

Correlation of Breast cancer Prognostic and Predictive indicators in Saudi patients: A 20 years retrospective observational study.

Haitham KUSSAIBI^a MD

^a Pathology Department, Imam Abdulrahman bin Faisal University, Dammam, Saudi Arabia

Short Title: Breast cancer, Demographic variations

Corresponding Author:

Haitham KUSSAIBI

Pathology Department

Imam Abdulrahman bin Faisal University

Dammam, Saudi Arabia

Tel: +966554310433

E-mail: hkussaibi@iau.edu.sa

Number of Tables: 2

Number of Figures: 2

Word count: 2684

Keywords: breast cancer, molecular subtypes, prognostic indicators, predictive indicators, age-standardized cancer rate.

1 **Abstract**

2 Introduction

3 Breast cancer is the most common cancer in women in Saudi Arabia and the world (WHO 2020).
4 Several studies have been published, worldwide, about the prognostic indicators of breast cancer,
5 many of them showed a characteristic distribution according to certain geographical areas

6 Methods

7 Over 20 years (1998-2018), the results of 498 patients diagnosed with breast cancer, have been
8 collected from the archive of the pathology department at King Fahd University Hospital (KFHU).

9 Results

10 This study included 498 patients diagnosed with breast cancer at King Fahd University Hospital over
11 20 years period (1998-2018), 58.4% (n=291) were Saudis. Data analysis showed a wide age
12 distribution of breast cancers among eastern Saudi patients; however, most cases were seen in the
13 3rd and 4th decades. Luminal B was the most common subtype followed by triple-negative and
14 luminal-A. Statistical analysis revealed a significant negative relationship between Saudi patients' age
15 at diagnosis and Her2 expression ($P= .030$), interestingly, this association was not significant in none-
16 Saudi patients ($P= .528$).

17 Discussion/Conclusion.

18 Our data revealed that breast cancer in Eastern Province had similar prognostics to international
19 findings, however, Her2 profile and molecular subtype among Eastern Saudi women showed a minor
20 deviation from worldwide published data.

21

22 **Introduction**

23
24 Breast cancer is the most common cancer in women in Saudi Arabia constituting 18% of all cancers in
25 Saudi women 1999 [1]. Several studies have been published, worldwide, about the prognostic
26 indicators of breast cancer 2019-2020 [2-4], many of them showed a characteristic distribution
27 according to certain geographical areas [5]. Breast cancer in Saudi Arabia has distinctive features
28 than those in western countries 1998 [6]. The median age for Stage III breast cancers was 46 ± 11
29 which is distinct from the 60-65 years median age in Western countries 1999 [1]. The Eastern region
30 of Saudi Arabia has the highest overall age-standardized incidence rate (26.6 per 100,000 women)
31 2013 [7]. A study in the western region included 740 cases, concluded that luminal A molecular
32 subtype was the most common, while HER2-positive was the least, furthermore, HER2-positive and
33 triple-negative tumors affected women below 50 years old and were associated with higher
34 histological grade and larger tumor size 2020 [8], almost the same findings were reported in a study
35 from Riyadh region on 359 breast cancer patients between 2010 and 2014 [9]. A 5 years study in the
36 Eastern province between 1982-1987 revealed that breast cancer represented 4.1% of all
37 malignancies diagnosed during this period 1991 [10]. An 8 years study (2006-2013) in Al Madinah
38 region about histopathological features of breast cancer, showed that most cases were in an
39 advanced stage at a young age (median 46) 2014 [11]. Another study in 2018 on 224 patients from
40 Riyadh region, revealed a younger age distribution of breast cancer than those in Western nations,
41 however, it was not associated with worse outcomes, overall survival for 10 years was 87% in all age
42 groups [12]. In the Eastern province, there is a screening program in which annual screening is
43 offered to all women aged 40 years and above. A study between 2009 and 2014 reported a total of
44 8061 women were screened representing 15.0%, cancer was detected in 47 (0.58%); of which 70%
45 had no or less than 2 cm lesion [13]. A study between 1990 and 2014 revealed that breast cancer
46 represented 27% of all female malignancies and it occurred at a younger age (median 48y) than
47 those in western countries, there was a steady increase in cancer cases between 1990-2010 [14].

48 We aim here to reveal the profile of prognostic and predictive indicators of breast cancer from Saudi
49 patients and none-Saudis living in the area and compare it with the published data worldwide and
50 nearby region.

51 **Materials and Methods**

52 Data collection:

53 Over 20 years (1998-2018), the results of 498 patients diagnosed with breast cancer, have been
54 collected from the archive of pathology department at King Fahd University Hospital (KFHU).

55 Inclusion criteria:

56 All patients having breast cancer excisional specimen (lumpectomy or mastectomy).

57 **Data collected:**

58 Patients' age at diagnosis, nationality, tumor type, grade, size, lymph node metastasis, ER, PR, Her2,
59 Ki67, and molecular type. Results classified as the following:

60 Age at diagnosis: classified by decades. Patients' nationality was either Saudi or non-Saudi. Tumor
61 types included Ductal (NOS), Lobular, DCIS, or other. Concerning the tumor grading, we have
62 followed the modified SBR system (grade I, II, or III), while for tumor size categorization, we have
63 followed the TNM system (T1: ≤ 2 cm, T2: 2-5cm, T3: > 5 cm). Lymph node status was either free or
64 metastatic. ER & PR results were classified into three categories: 0, 1+ (1-10%), 2+ (11-50%), 3+ ($>$
65 50%). Her2 results grouped into positive (when it is 3+ by IHC or FISH) or negative (when it is 0 or 1+).
66 The guidelines of the College of American Pathologists (CAP) has been adapted for ER, PR, and Her2.
67 Ki67 results reported as neg, low (1-10%), intermediate (10-20%), or high proliferative index ($> 20\%$).
68 Molecular typing was according to 4 categories (luminal A, luminal B, triple-negative, or Her2).

69 **Data analysis:**

70 IBM SPSS v26 software has been utilized for statistical analysis. A significant correlation is considered
71 at P-value $\leq .050$.


72 **Results**

73 **The demographic background of patients in the study:**

74 This study included 498 patients diagnosed with breast cancer at King Fahd University Hospital over
75 20 years period (1998-2017), 58.4% (n=291) were Saudis, while 42.6% (n=207) were non-Saudis. The
76 none-Saudi patients included 36 Filipinos, 35 Egyptians, 21 Yemenis, 18 Syrians, 16 Sudani, 14
77 Jordanians, 12 Pakistanis, 11 Indonesians, 9 Indians, 6 Palestinians, 6 Lebanese, 4 Bahraini and 19
78 patients from other countries. The patients, either Saudi or none, come from different cities in the
79 Eastern Province, mainly from Khobar and Dammam followed by Qatif and Hufuf then Dhahran, Hafr
80 Albatin, and Jubail (as shown in Fig. 1.).

81 Over the 20 years of the study, there was a steady increase in the number of cancers diagnosed per
82 year. Data analysis revealed an average of 11 new cases/year for the period (1998-2007) versus 39
83 new cases/year for the period (2008-2017).

84 Figure 1. Place of residence for patients in the study




85

86

87 Data analysis showed a wide age distribution of patients in the study, however, most breast cancer
 88 cases (76%) were seen in the 3rd, 4th, and 5th decades (as shown in Table 2). The median age at
 89 diagnosis was similar between Saudi and non-Saudi breast cancer patients (51y ± 12).

90

91 Figure 2. Patients' age at diagnosis of breast cancer: comparison between Saudi and non-Saudi



92

93

94 **Histopathological features of breast cancers in the study:**

95 In Saudi patients, invasive ductal carcinoma (NOS) was the most common type (88.7%), followed by
 96 invasive lobular carcinoma (6.9%), DCIS (1.7%), and other types (2.7%). Non-Saudis showed a

97 comparable frequency of the different types; however, DCIS was slightly more frequent (4.8%)
 98 (shown in **Table 1.**)
 99 Most tumors were of grade II/III (54.6%), followed by Grade III/III (37.1%). Grade I/III was the least
 100 seen (8.2%). The results were again almost similar between Saudis and non-Saudis.
 101 One third (37.4%) of resected tumors in Saudi patients, were in stage T1, while 54.6% were in stage
 102 T2, however, only 15.4% were in advanced stage (T3). Tumors' stage was slightly different in non-
 103 Saudi patients included 23.2% in stage T1, 58% in stage T2, and 18.8% in stage T3.

104
 105 **Table 1. Frequency of breast cancer type, grade, and size among Saudi and non-Saudi patients:**
 106

	Cancer Type				Cancer Grade			Cancer size (cm)		
	Ductal	Lobular	DCIS	Other	I/III	II/III	III/III	T1 (≤2)	T2 (>2 - ≤5)	T3 (>5cm)
Saudis	258 (88.7%)	20 (6.9%)	5 (1.7%)	8 (2.7%)	24 (8.2%)	159 (54.6%)	108 (37.1%)	34 (37.4%)	43 (47.3%)	14 (15.4%)
None-Saudis	183 (88.4%)	9 (4.3%)	10 (4.8%)	5 (2.4%)	16 (7.7%)	119 (57.5%)	72 (34.8%)	16 (23.2%)	40 (58%)	13 (18.8%)

107
 108 For patients having axillary dissection, lymph node metastasis was seen in 56.6% and 67% of Saudi
 109 and non-Saudi patients, respectively.
 110 **Prognostic and predictive markers along with molecular type for breast cancer cases in the study:**
 111 The negativity rate in Saudi patients for ER, PR, and Her2 was 42.5%, 54.6%, and 74.5%, respectively.
 112 Comparable results were found in non-Saudis.
 113 In 2 thirds of cancers, the Ki67 proliferative index was more than 10%.
 114 Molecular type luminal B was the most common among Saudi patients representing 37.5%, followed
 115 by triple-negative and luminal A, which represent around 25% each. The least common type was
 116 Her2 type (13.4%). Similar findings were noticed for non-Saudi patients except for triple-negative
 117 type which was slightly more frequent (32.6%) than that in Saudi patients.

118
 119 **Table 2. Prognostic and predictive markers and molecular type for cancer patients in the study:**

	ER status				PR status				Her2 status		Ki67			Molecular type			
	-ve	+ve 1-10%	+ve 11-50%	+ve >50%	-ve	+ve 1-10%	+ve 11-50	+ve >50%	-ve	+ve (3+)	Low 1-10%	Intermediate 11-20%	High 21- 100%	Luminal A	Luminal B	Triple- negative	Her2
Saudi	121 (42.5%)	11 (3.9%)	50 (17.5%)	103 (36.1%)	155 (54.6%)	52 (18.3%)	24 (8.5%)	53 (18.7%)	205 (74.5%)	70 (25.5%)	61 (33.3%)	22 (12%)	100 (54.6%)	70 (24.1%)	109 (37.5%)	73 (25.1%)	39 (13.4%)
Non-Saudi	65 (44.8%)	4 (2.8%)	28 (19.3%)	48 (33.1%)	83 (57.2%)	27 (18.6%)	5 (3.4%)	30 (20.7%)	108 (76.6%)	33 (23.4%)	30 (34.9%)	7 (8.1%)	49 (57%)	36 (25%)	48 (33.3%)	47 (32.6%)	13 (9%)

120
 121

122 **Statistical analysis:**

123 Correlation analysis by IBM SPSS v26 using Spearman and Pearson revealed a negative significant
124 relationship between Saudi patients' age at diagnosis and Her2 expression (P= .030) which means,
125 Her2 amplification/high expression was more frequently seen in relatively younger patients.
126 Interestingly, this association was not significant in none-Saudi patients (P= .528). Furthermore, it has
127 been observed that breast cancer, over the years, is diagnosed more and more in younger ages, this
128 observation was also in Saudi patients rather than none-Saudis which has also been confirmed by
129 correlation analysis (P= .002 in Saudis, P= .267 in none-Saudis). In addition to that, over the years
130 between 1998 and 2017, it has been noticed that breast cancers are more and more of luminal types
131 (P= .000) with lower grades (P= .012) and lower stage (less metastasis to lymph nodes) (P= .009). This
132 last observation, however, was comparable between Saudis and none-Saudis.

133 **Discussion/Conclusion**

134 Our data revealed that breast cancer in Eastern Province had similar prognostics to international
135 findings, however, Her2 profile and molecular type were a little bit different and showed
136 characteristic distribution among Saudi women in this region.

137 The distribution of patients among the different cities is logical because of the hospital location in Al-
138 Khobar city so most of the patients live in that city in addition to its very close neighbor cities
139 Dammam and Dhahran.

140 Over the 20 years of our study, there was a steady increase in cancer incidence rate per year. A
141 similar observation has been reported in a study by Saggu et al, based on 15 years (1990-2014) data
142 obtained from the Saudi cancer registry. This is also reported, worldwide, in most countries mainly in
143 developing countries, as shown in a recent study by [15] (based on data collected between 1990 -
144 2017), revealed that female breast cancers increased from 870.2 thousand to 1937.6 thousand, with
145 age-standardized incidence rate increased from 39.2/100,000 to 45.9/100,000, mainly found in
146 developing countries, however, many developed countries (like USA and UK) showed decrease in
147 incidence rate.

148 Most cancer cases (76%), in the study, were seen in the 3rd - 5th decades of the patient's life, the
149 median age at diagnosis was (51y ± 12) and there was no difference between Saudi or non-Saudi
150 patients. This average age is a little bit higher than several studies in Saudi Arabia, for example, a
151 study by Alnegheimish NA et al done on 359 patients with BC from Riyadh region revealed a mean
152 age of 49.8 years [9]. Another study by Abdulkader Albasri et al on 398 patients with BC from the
153 Almadinah region, revealed a mean age of 46.9 years [11]. Other middle-eastern countries such as

154 Lebanon, showed almost similar mean age, as mentioned in a Lebanese study by Nagi S El Saghir et al
155 who reported a mean age of 49.8 years +/- 13.9 years among 2673 female with breast cancers [16].
156 However, this is far younger than the mean age of breast cancer patients reported in developed
157 countries such as the USA and the UK which is around 60 -65 years [6].

158 Invasive ductal carcinoma (NOS) was the most common type (88.7%), followed by invasive lobular
159 carcinoma (6.9%), DCIS (1.7%), and other types (2.7%). These findings are comparable to several
160 studies in Saudi Arabia and worldwide [3, 11]. Most tumors were of grade II/III (54.6%), followed by
161 Grade III/III (37.1%). Grade I/III was the least seen (8.2%). This is also reported similarly in several
162 studies in Saudi Arabia and worldwide.

163 One third (37.4%) of resected tumors were in stage T1, while 54.6% were in stage T2, however, only
164 15.4% were in advanced stage (T3). Tumors in stage T1 were slightly different in non-Saudi patients
165 included 23.2% vs 37.4%, this could be explained by more accessibility of Saudis to cancer screening
166 which increases the detection of cancer in an earlier stage. Furthermore, T2 (2-5cm) tumors were the
167 most frequent in many studies in Saudi Arabia and other developing countries, while, T1 tumors are
168 the most frequent ones in developed countries, again, due to the earlier detection of cancers,
169 according to many studies around the globe.

170 For patients having axillary dissection, lymph node metastasis was seen in 56.6% and 67% of Saudi
171 and non-Saudi patients, respectively. In general, cancers in none-Saudis were more advanced in
172 stage, not only in tumor size but also in cancer metastasis, either to lymph nodes or to distant
173 organs. This is most probably, as mentioned above, due to the late detection of cancers for none-
174 Saudi, as they have less access to health services than native citizens.

175 The negativity rate in Saudi patients for ER, PR, and Her2 was 42.5%, 54.6%, and 74.5%, respectively.
176 According to the College of American Pathologists (CAP) guidelines, the overall proportion of ER-
177 negative breast cancers (invasive and DCIS) in general, should not exceed 30% (approximately 20%
178 for postmenopausal vs. 35% for premenopausal women and up to 10% in Grade I tumors), while, the
179 overall proportion of HER2 positive breast cancers is 10-25% [17], [18]. In our series, the proportion
180 ER-negative breast cancers is much higher (42.5%), this is logic when we know that 92% of cancers in
181 our series are of Grade II or Grade III which are less frequently expressing ER or PR receptors,
182 however, the positivity rate of Her2 was 25.5% in our study which is within the published
183 benchmarks.

184 In 2 thirds of cancers, the Ki67 proliferative index was more than 10% which is well correlated with
185 the high frequency of Grade II (54.6%) and Grade III (37.1%) cancers in our series. A study from the

186 Al-Madinah region reported (Ki67 > 25%) in 73.9% of the 115 included patients [19]. However, there
187 is variability among pathologists about the cut-off value of High Ki67 [20].

188 Molecular type luminal-B was the most common among Saudi patients representing 37.5%, followed
189 by triple-negative and luminal-A, which represent around 25% each. The least common type was
190 Her2 type (13.4%). This is different from a Saudi study in the Riyadh region on 359 patients which
191 found that Luminal-A was the most common type (58.5%), triple-negative (14.8%), luminal-B (14.5%),
192 and Her2+ (12.3%) [9]. Similarly, a study from Oman on 542 breast cancer cases revealed that
193 Luminal-A was the most common subtype followed by triple-negative subtype. [5]. The higher
194 frequency of luminal-B in our series could be due-to considering high Ki67, in addition to the positive
195 receptor (ER or PR), as a qualifier to classify cancers as luminal-B even with negative Her2.

196 It has been revealed a negative significant relationship between Saudi patients' age at diagnosis and
197 Her2 expression ($P= .030$) which means, Her2 amplification/high expression was more frequently
198 seen in younger patients. One third of Her2-positive Saudi breast cancer patients were in their 3rd or
199 4th decade of life at diagnosis, while only 16% of patients with Her2-negative breast cancer were at
200 these age period (3rd - 4th decade). In other word, 41% of breast cancer in young age (3rd - 4th decade)
201 were Her2-positive. This is quite like a Japanese study on 25898 BC patients which revealed that
202 young patients (< 35 years) were associated with an advanced TNM stage and aggressive
203 characteristics including Her2-positivity, compared to older patients [21]. Interestingly, this
204 association was not significant in none-Saudi patients ($P= .528$). However, a study in Jeddah on 145
205 patients revealed no significant correlations between HER-2/neu and age or race [22].

206 Furthermore, it has been observed that breast cancer, over the years, is diagnosed more and more in
207 younger ages, this observation was also in Saudi patients rather than none-Saudis which has also
208 been confirmed by correlation analysis ($P= .002$ in Saudis, $P= .267$ in none-Saudis). In addition to that,
209 over the years between 1998 and 2017, it has been noticed that breast cancers are more and more
210 of luminal types ($P= .000$) with lower grade ($P= .012$) and lower stage (less metastasis to lymph
211 nodes) ($P= .009$). This could be explained by the improvement of early detection of cancer over
212 years.

213 Our data revealed that breast cancer in Eastern Province had similar prognostics to international
214 findings, however, Her2 profile and molecular subtype among Eastern Saudi women showed a minor
215 deviation from worldwide published data.

216 **Statements**

217 **Acknowledgement**

218 The author is grateful to Dr Areej Al Nemer, Pathology associate professor and consultant at King Fahd Hospital,
219 Imam Abdulrahman Bin Faisal University, for her valuable support and feedback.

220 **Statement of Ethics**

221 Ethical approval is granted by the SCRELC (Standing Committee on Research Ethics on Living
222 Creatures), Institutional review board at Imam Abdulrahman Bin Faisal University, IRB-2020-1-262.

223 Informed patient consent was waived due to the use of archival data of anonymous nature that does
224 not disclose patients' identity.

225 **Disclosure Statement**

226 The authors have no conflicts of interest to declare.

227 **Funding Sources**

228 This project is self-funded by the author.

229 **Author Contributions**

230 This work has been conducted by a single author.

References

1. Ezzat AA, Ibrahim EM, Raja MA, Al-Sobhi S, Rostom A, Stuart RK. Locally advanced breast cancer in Saudi Arabia: high frequency of stage III in a young population. *Med Oncol.* 1999;16(2):95-103.
2. Babiker S, Nasir O, Alotaibi SH, Marzogi A, Bogari M, Alghamdi T. Prospective breast cancer risk factors prediction in Saudi women. *Saudi J Biol Sci.* 2020;27(6):1624-31.
3. Asiri S, Asiri A, Ulahannan S, Alanazi M, Humran A, Hummadi A. Incidence Rates of Breast Cancer by Age and Tumor Characteristics Among Saudi Women: Recent Trends. *Cureus.* 2020;12(1):e6664.
4. Alsolami FJ, Azzeh FS, Ghafouri KJ, Ghaith MM, Almaimani RA, Almasmoum HA, et al. Determinants of breast cancer in Saudi women from Makkah region: a case-control study (breast cancer risk factors among Saudi women). *BMC Public Health.* 2019;19(1):1554.
5. Mehdi I, Monem AA, Al Bahrani B, Ramadhan FA. Breast cancer molecular subtypes in oman: correlation with age, histology, and stage distribution - analysis of 542 cases. *Gulf J Oncolog.* 2014;1(15):38-48.
6. Ibrahim EM, al-Mulhim FA, al-Amri A, al-Muhanna FA, Ezzat AA, Stuart RK, et al. Breast cancer in the eastern province of Saudi Arabia. *Med Oncol.* 1998;15(4):241-7.
7. Alghamdi IG, Hussain, II, Alghamdi MS, El-Sheemy MA. The incidence rate of female breast cancer in Saudi Arabia: an observational descriptive epidemiological analysis of data from Saudi Cancer Registry 2001-2008. *Breast Cancer (Dove Med Press).* 2013;5:103-9.
8. Al-Thoubaity FK. Molecular classification of breast cancer: A retrospective cohort study. *Ann Med Surg (Lond).* 2020;49:44-8.
9. Alnegheimish NA, Alshatwi RA, Alhefdhi RM, Arafah MM, AlRikabi AC, Husain S. Molecular subtypes of breast carcinoma in Saudi Arabia. A retrospective study. *Saudi Med J.* 2016;37(5):506-12.
10. al-Idrissi HY. Pattern of breast cancer in Saudi females in eastern province of Saudi Arabia. *Indian J Med Sci.* 1991;45(4):85-7.
11. Albasri A, Hussainy AS, Sundkji I, Alhujaily A. Histopathological features of breast cancer in Al-Madinah region of Saudi Arabia. *Saudi Med J.* 2014;35(12):1489-93.
12. Alabdulkarim B, Hassanain M, Bokhari A, AlSaif A, Alkarji H. Age distribution and outcomes in patients undergoing breast cancer resection in Saudi Arabia. A single-institute study. *Saudi Med J.* 2018;39(5):464-9.
13. Al Mulhim FA, Syed A, Bagatadah WA, Al Muhanna AF. Breast cancer screening programme: experience from Eastern province, Saudi Arabia. *East Mediterr Health J.* 2015;21(2):111-9.
14. Saggu S, Rehman H, Abbas ZK, Ansari AA. Recent incidence and descriptive epidemiological survey of breast cancer in Saudi Arabia. *Saudi Med J.* 2015;36(10):1176-80.
15. Chen Z, Xu L, Shi W, Zeng F, Zhuo R, Hao X, et al. Trends of female and male breast cancer incidence at the global, regional, and national levels, 1990-2017. *Breast Cancer Res Treat.* 2020;180(2):481-90.
16. El Saghier NS, Shamseddine AI, Geara F, Bikhazi K, Rahal B, Salem ZM, et al. Age distribution of breast cancer in Lebanon: increased percentages and age adjusted incidence rates of younger-aged groups at presentation. *J Med Liban.* 2002;50(1-2):3-9.
17. Allison KH, Hammond MEH, Dowsett M, McKernin SE, Carey LA, Fitzgibbons PL, et al. Estrogen and Progesterone Receptor Testing in Breast Cancer: ASCO/CAP Guideline Update. *J Clin Oncol.* 2020;38(12):1346-66.
18. Wolff AC, Hammond MEH, Allison KH, Harvey BE, Mangu PB, Bartlett JMS, et al. Human Epidermal Growth Factor Receptor 2 Testing in Breast Cancer: American Society of Clinical Oncology/College of American Pathologists Clinical Practice Guideline Focused Update. *J Clin Oncol.* 2018;36(20):2105-22.

19. Elkablawy MA, Albasri AM, Mohammed RA, Hussainy AS, Nouh MM, Alhujaily AS. Ki67 expression in breast cancer. Correlation with prognostic markers and clinicopathological parameters in Saudi patients. *Saudi Med J*. 2016;37(2):137-41.
20. Al Nemer A. The Performance of Ki-67 Labeling Index in Different Specimen Categories of Invasive Ductal Carcinoma of the Breast Using 2 Scoring Methods. *Appl Immunohistochem Mol Morphol*. 2017;25(2):86-90.
21. Kataoka A, Iwamoto T, Tokunaga E, Tomotaki A, Kumamaru H, Miyata H, et al. Young adult breast cancer patients have a poor prognosis independent of prognostic clinicopathological factors: a study from the Japanese Breast Cancer Registry. *Breast Cancer Res Treat*. 2016;160(1):163-72.
22. Al-Ahwal MS. HER-2 positivity and correlations with other histopathologic features in breast cancer patients--hospital based study. *J Pak Med Assoc*. 2006;56(2):65-8.