

1 **Investigating the effect of biomass fuel use and Kitchen location on Maternal**
2 **Report of Birth size: A Cross-Sectional Analysis of 2016 Ethiopian**
3 **Demographic Health Survey data**

4 Girum Gebremeskel Kanno^{1*}, Adane Tesfaye Anbesse², Mohammed Feyisso Shaka³, Miheret
5 Tesfu Legesse¹ Sewitemariam Desalegn Andarge²

6 ¹College of Health and Medical Science, School of public health, Dilla University, Dilla,
7 Ethiopia

8 ²College of Health and Medical Science, Department of Nutrition, Dilla University, Dilla,
9 Ethiopia

10 ³College of Health and Medical Science, Department of Reproductive Health, Dilla University,
11 Dilla, Ethiopia

12 **Corresponding Author*:** Girum Gebremeskel Kanno

13 P.O.Box 42550

14 E-mail: girummeskell@gmail.com

15 **Acknowledgment**

16 Authors are grateful to DHS ICF, USA for giving us permission to use the EDHS 2016 dataset.

17 **Conflict of interest**

18 The authors declare no conflict of interest, financial or otherwise.

19 **Abstract**

20 Household air pollution from the use of biomass fuels has been associated with low birth weight
21 in many developing countries. We investigated the effect of indoor air pollution from biomass
22 fuel use and kitchen location on maternal reports of birth size in newborn children in Ethiopia

23 using secondary cross-sectional data from Ethiopian Demographic Health Survey conducted in
24 2016. Birth weight from child health card and/or mothers' recall was the dependent dichotomous
25 variable. Fuel type was classified as high pollution fuels, and low pollution fuels. Hierarchical
26 logistic regression was used to assess the effect of fuel type on birth weight. The prevalence of
27 low birth weight was 25.9% and the use of biomass fuels was significantly associated with
28 higher odds of having low birth weight baby in the bivariate analysis, after controlling for child
29 and maternal factors. In the final model, the association turned insignificant with AOR, 1.3 (95%
30 0.9, 1.9). The kitchen location, Gender of the baby, Mother's Anemia Status, Maternal Chewing,
31 and wealth Index were significant factors in the final model. The use of biomass fuels
32 and kitchen location were associated with reduced child size at birth. Further observational
33 studies should investigate this association using more direct methods.

34 **Keywords:** Biomass fuel, Demographic and Health Survey, Kitchen location, Low Birth
35 Weight, Maternal report of birth size, Ethiopia

36 **Practical Implications**

37 The findings from this study have important implication at national level for policy makers.
38 Ethiopia is a country with a huge proportion of the population depends on biomass fuels for
39 cooking. Despite the progress made to reduce the burden of maternal and infant mortality and
40 morbidity in the pre SDG era, LBW is still one of the challenges that need to be addressed.
41 Identifying the link between biomass fuel use and kitchen location with low birth weight can
42 help the efforts to revise, amend or implement programs that help achieve the SDG goal six, by
43 engaging the energy and health sectors together.

44 **Introduction**

45 Low birth weight is defined as weight at birth less than 2500 grams. It is a significant public
46 health problem globally and is associated with a range of both short and long term consequences
47 [1]. It is an important marker of maternal and fetal health and nutritional status [2]. Worldwide
48 15% to 20% of all births are low birth weight, representing more than 20 million births a year
49 [3]. The great majority of low birth weight births occur in low- and middle-income countries
50 especially in the most vulnerable populations, with regional estimates of 13% in sub-Saharan
51 Africa and 26% in Ethiopia [1, 4]. In most developing countries including Ethiopia, the data on
52 low birth weight remain limited or unreliable, as many births occur in homes or small health
53 facilities and mostly they are underreported or not reported at all in official figures, where the
54 final consequence is underestimation of the prevalence of low birth weight [5].

55 Low birth weight is a major predictor of prenatal mortality and morbidity and compared with
56 babies born at or above the low birth weight cut-off (2,500 g), babies born with low birth weight
57 have a higher risk of stunting, lower IQ, and a higher chance of death during their childhood and
58 also have increased risk for non-communicable diseases such as diabetes and cardiovascular disease later
59 in life [6-9].

60 Safe environment is one of the basic needs for mothers to grow a healthy baby along with good
61 nutrition, rest, and adequate antenatal care [10]. Different studies have indicated that low birth
62 weight has been a result of exposure to unsafe indoor environment which is mainly the result of
63 household air pollution resulting from cooking fuels and environmental tobacco smoke (ETS) in
64 most developing countries [11-12]. Combustion from these solid fuels in simple household
65 cookstoves contributes to household air pollution (HAP) by emitting considerably large amounts
66 of noxious pollutants and health-damaging airborne pollutants, including particulate matter
67 (PM), carbon monoxide (CO), nitrogen dioxide (NO₂) formaldehyde, and many other toxic
68 polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons (PAHs) [13-16]. Out of this noxious pollutants, Carbon

69 monoxide is a well-known fetotoxicant chemical associated with poor fetal growth. The
70 mechanism could be in two ways. The first mechanism occurs when the amount of oxygen
71 supply that must be delivered to tissues has decreased and phenomenon called hypoxia
72 occurred because carbon monoxide interacts with hemoglobin to cross the placenta, which limits
73 the ability of the placenta to transfer nutrients to the fetus. The other occurs when inhaled
74 particulate matter from smoke impairs fetal growth by damaging cells through oxidative stress.
75 [17-20].

76 Almost 3 billion people, mainly in low and middle-income countries, and 90% of the rural
77 household population in developing countries still rely on high polluting solid fuels (wood,
78 animal dung, charcoal, crop wastes, and coal) burnt in inefficient, highly polluting stoves for
79 cooking and heating and lighting, which are responsible for producing a high concentration of
80 particulate matter in the indoor environment [15-16, 22].

81 In sub-Saharan Africa the number of people with biomass fuel use has showed no significant
82 change in three decades time from 1980 to 2010, yet the population exposed to indoor air
83 pollution has increased from 333 million to 646 million [23]. This can be translated as, 76% of
84 particulate matter air pollution around the world occurs indoors in developing countries. When
85 biomass fuels are burnt on traditional, typically simple, inefficient, and unwanted household
86 cooking stoves, they producing large volumes of indoor smoke or air pollutant which exceed the
87 safe levels recommended by the World Health Organization which is (recommended 24-hour
88 mean: $PM_{2.5} < 25 \mu g/m^3$ and $PM_{10} < 50 \mu g/m^3$) [15, 16, 23-24].

89 In the sub-Saharan Africa region, where Ethiopia is part of, the leading risk factor for neonatal
90 death (which accounts for more than half of under-five mortality) is low birth weight [25]. The
91 global under-five mortality data indicated that in order to achieve the sustainable development

92 goal (SDG) target of 25 per 1000 live births by 2030 in sub-Saharan Africa (SSA) region,
93 interventions must be enhanced to change the current situations [26].

94 In Ethiopia, more than 95% of households rely on biomass fuels for cooking, and in almost 53 %
95 of households, food is cooked inside the house creating a favorable condition for indoor air
96 pollution, the largest single environmental risk factor for adverse pregnancy outcomes such as
97 premature death and low birth weight [4, 22].

98 Different studies conducted in developing countries such as India, Bangladesh, Pakistan, and
99 Malawi, indicated that the use of high polluting cooking fuels was associated with LBW [12, 27-
100 30]. Most of the previously conducted studies in Ethiopia that assessed the predictors of low
101 birth weight mainly focused on maternal, child, and socio-demographic related factors only [31-
102 34], where maternal exposure to indoor air pollution was not taken into consideration while other
103 studies such as [35-36] tried to assess the impact of different fuel types on child size at birth but
104 their focus was localized to the small study area. Another study also assessed the predictors of
105 being small size at Birth using the 2011 EDHS the nation-wide data [37], but the impact of the
106 type of household fuel types and the kitchen location on the birth weight of the child at birth was
107 not included. A starting point to fill the gap is the provision of national empirical evidence on the
108 magnitude of the risk posed by IAP to LBW in the Ethiopian context. Therefore, this study
109 aimed to assess the relationship between exposure to maternal biomass fuel use and low birth
110 weight at the national level in Ethiopia. In this study, in addition to these factors, we tried to
111 determine the effect of biomass fuel use and kitchen location on birth size among births in the
112 last five years proceeding to 2016 EDHS.

113 **Materials and methods**

114 **Data Source, Setting, and Study Design**

115 Data for this cross-sectional study was obtained from secondary data of 2016 EDHS. The census
116 frame is a complete list of 84,915 enumeration areas (EAs) created for the 2007 PHC. Two-stage
117 stratified sampling was applied to identify eligible residential households across 645
118 enumeration areas (EAs). Each region was stratified into urban and rural areas, yielding 21
119 sampling strata. Samples of EAs were selected independently in each stratum in two stages.
120 Implicit stratification and proportional allocation were achieved at each of the lower
121 administrative levels by sorting the sampling frame within each sampling stratum before sample
122 selection, according to administrative units at different levels, and by using a probability
123 proportional to size selection at the first stage of sampling. In the first stage, a total of 645 EAs
124 (202 in urban areas and 443 in rural areas) were selected with probability proportional to EA size
125 (based on the 2007 PHC) and with independent selection in each sampling stratum. The resulting
126 lists of households served as a sampling frame for the selection of households in the second
127 stage. In the EDHS 2016 survey, the total number of births reported in the previous five years
128 was 44,596.

129 **Dependent Variable/Outcome variable**

130 Our dependent variable was the maternal reported birth size. In Ethiopia as in most developing
131 countries, the majority of deliveries take place at home, and as a result information on birth
132 weight was obtained only for small (14% of births) of babies which were weighed at birth [4].
133 Information on birth weight was collected by either a written record or the mother's recall on the
134 size of their babies. The mother's assessment of the child's weight was critical because
135 information on birth weight was rarely available for most of the births in the last five years.
136 Respondents (mothers) were asked, "At birth, what was the size of the baby?" The options were

137 ‘very large’, ‘larger than average’, ‘average’, ‘smaller than average’, and ‘very small’. An infant
138 was classified as being LBW (<2500 gram) if the mother reported that they were ‘very small’ or
139 ‘smaller than average’. The rest were labeled as not low birth weight (>2500 gram). Births with
140 missing information about the size of the baby at birth (75.3%) and multiple births (8.2%) were
141 excluded from our analysis.

142 **Exposure variables**

143 The main independent variables of interest for this study were cooking fuel type and Kitchen
144 location. The standard DHS used eleven fold classification of cooking fuels used in the house.
145 The specific questions asked were, “What type of fuel does your household mainly use?” “Is the
146 cooking usually done in the house, in a separate building, or outdoors?” For our analysis, the
147 main cooking fuel used was grouped into two namely; high pollution cooking fuels (wood, straw,
148 animal dung, and crop residues, kerosene, coal, and charcoal), and low pollution cooking fuels
149 (electricity, liquid petroleum gas, natural gas, and biogas). On the other hand kitchen location
150 was categorized into three groups; food cooked “in the house”, “in a separate building” and
151 “outdoors”.

152 **Other predictor variables**

153 Other independent variables included in the model were maternal age in years (<20, 20-29, and
154 30-49 years), maternal education (none, primary, secondary, higher), maternal body mass index
155 (BMI) (Underweight, Normal, Overweight or Obese), wealth index (1 to 5 from poorest to
156 richest, calculated based on the availability of household assets using principal component
157 analysis and provided in the dataset) [4], Birth order (first, second, third, fourth or higher),
158 Gender of the child (Male, Female), Pregnancy intention (planned, mistimed, unplanned),
159 Residence (urban, rural), Chat Chewing (No, Yes) and Alcohol drinking (No, Yes).

160 We categorized a BMI of less than 18.5 as underweight, 18.5 to less than 25.0 as normal, 25.0 to
161 29.0 as overweight, and greater than 29.0 as Obese [4]. We used the wealth index quintiles
162 reported in the EDHS data, which is constructed using principal components analysis on the
163 possession of different household assets, adjusting for urban-rural differences [4]. Pregnancy
164 intention was categorized into three groups based on whether the birth or pregnancy was wanted
165 then (planned), wanted later (mistimed), or unwanted (unplanned) at the time of conception.

166 **Inclusion and exclusion criteria**

167 A total of 44,596 births were reported during the previous five years and birth size data was
168 available only for 11,023 of the births either from card or mother's recall. Out of these 10,730
169 cases were singleton births and 10,014 cases have fulfilled the inclusion criteria as indicated in
170 (Fig 1). Apart from birth size information and twin cases, those cases with missing values from
171 child-related, maternal, and household factors were excluded. Cigarette smoking mothers were
172 excluded from this study because they were very low in number and all the smokers were found
173 to be in the 'high polluting cooking fuel users' category.

174 **Fig 1. Included and excluded cases on data management process**

175 **Data analysis**

176 For the bivariate analysis of categorical variables, we used binary logistic regression. We carried
177 out the analyses using SPSS Version 20 software. In addition to the type of fuel used, other
178 (independent) variables considered were child factors (gender of the baby, and birth order),
179 maternal factors (Anemia level, BMI, age at first childbirth, Chat chewing, Alcohol drinking,
180 education, and pregnancy intention) and socio-demographic factors (place of residence
181 (urban/rural) wealth index, sex of head of the household). The collinearity test was done to check

182 the possibility of the presence of collinearity using Pearson's correlation matrix and Pearson's
183 correlation coefficients were calculated to rule out multicollinearity. Multilevel modeling was
184 done to adjust for cluster sampling (cluster as the primary sampling unit used in DHS). During
185 statistical modeling, certain factors known to confound the results were adjusted. Hosmer and
186 Lemeshow test was used to check for model fitness. Adjusted odds ratios (AOR) and their 95%
187 confidence intervals (95% CI) were calculated. A p-value of less than 0.05 was considered
188 significant.

189 **Ethics Statement**

190 This secondary analysis was exempted from ethical review approval, because it used publicly
191 available, de-identified data. However, a request to access datasets from DHS was made, and a
192 letter of permission to use the data set was obtained before the analysis was made.

193 **Results**

194 **Descriptive statistics**

195 A total of 44,596 births were reported during the previous five years and birth size data was
196 available only for 11,023, of the births and only 10,014 cases were considered for this analysis.
197 According to the mother's report of the child's size at birth, 1602(16.0%) were very small,
198 989(9.9%) smaller than average and 74.1% were average or larger, which is more or less similar
199 with the overall EDHS 2016 report, which shows 16% of births were very small, 10% were
200 smaller than average, and 73% were average or larger. The proportion of infants which were
201 LBW was 2591 (25.9%). Of these 2591 (25.9%) LBW infants, the majority 2538(97.9%) were
202 from households with biomass fuel users (such as charcoal, wood, straws or crops ad animal
203 dungs), and in 1181(45.6%) of the households food has been cooked inside the house. The

204 dominant types of fuel used by the households with newborns in Ethiopia was wood (8383
205 83.7%) and only about three percent (274) of households use electricity [Table 1].

206 The proportion of LBW among low polluting fuel users was 17% while the proportion of LBW
207 among biomass fuel users was 26.2%. From the total children included in this study 2591
208 (25.9%) were LBW, and 9703(96.9%) infants belong to households using biomass fuels [Table
209 2]. Exposure to cooking smoke is greater when cooking takes place inside the house rather than
210 in a separate building or outdoors. In Ethiopia, cooking is done in a separate building in 4664
211 (46.6%) of households. This figure is similar to the EDHS report which was 47%.

212 **Bivariate analysis**

213 The Bivariate logistic regression analysis revealed that, there is a significant association between
214 many potential predictor variables and infants birth size as indicated in [Table 3]. Infants born
215 from mothers who live in households utilizing biomass fuels were 1.7 (OR, 95% CI 1.3, 2.3)
216 times more likely to be born with low birth weight as compared to those using low polluting
217 fuels. Newborn infants whose mother residing in households where food is cooked inside the
218 house or in a separate building were found to be more likely to be LBW compared to infants
219 born from mothers with a households where cooking is mostly done outdoors. Among other
220 maternal factors, ages of the mother at first pregnancy, being moderate or mildly anemic, and
221 lower BMI were among the risk factors for the child being LBW. Similarly, the sex of the child,
222 children born in rural areas and those belonging to families with lower wealth index were at
223 increased risk of being LBW [Table 3].

224 **Multivariable analysis**

225 We have analyzed the effect of fuel type and kitchen location on maternal report of birth size
226 hierarchically. In the first model we have included and analyzed the effect of fuel type and

227 kitchen location by including child factors. After that in the second model, we took the
228 candidates from the first model were added with the maternal factors. In the final model we take
229 all the candidates from the child and maternal factors and included with socio-demographic
230 factors, one by one to the main exposure variables i.e. type of cooking fuel, and kitchen location.
231 In the initial stage (model 1) when the impact of cooking fuel and kitchen location was assessed
232 with child factors, on maternal report of birth weight, the use of biomass fuel had significant
233 effect on maternal report of child size. Mothers who has used biomass fuels were 1.7 (adjusted
234 OR 1.7; 95% CI 1.3, 2.3) times more likely to give birth to a LBW baby when compared to
235 mother who has used low polluting fuels. Apart from the type of fuel type the kitchen location
236 was also had a significant effect on maternal reported birth size. Cooking inside the house had
237 (adjusted OR 1.3; 95% CI 1.15, 1.6) and cooking in a separate building had (adjusted OR 1.2;
238 95% CI 1.02, 1.4) effect on LBW respectively, when compared to households cooking outdoors.
239 From the child factors being female gender was significantly associated with LBW other than
240 fuel type and Kitchen location while birth order played no significant role on LBW [Table 4].
241 In the second stage (model-2), the selected candidates from (model 1) were added to the maternal
242 characteristics such as the age of the mother at first birth, maternal, educational status, BMI,
243 Anemia level, maternal Chat chewing, and alcohol drinking. There was a reduction in the
244 strength of the effect of fuel type on LBW but still the association was significant. Considering
245 the child and maternal factors into consideration, biomass fuel use was significantly associated
246 with LBW (adjusted OR 1.5; 95% CI 1.1, 2.1) while the strength of the effect of kitchen location
247 on LBW showed a marginal increment at this stage. Other maternal factors significantly
248 affecting the child's birth size were maternal Anemia ($p = <0.001$), maternal chat chewing
249 ($p=0.002$), and maternal Alcohol drinking ($p<0.001$). Being a female baby still had a significant

250 effect on LBW in Model 2 and its effect size was unchanged at this stage. In final model (model-
251 3) child, maternal, and demographic variables were included together with the exposure variables
252 to examine the effect on LBW. At this stage, mothers who live in households using biomass fuels
253 tend to have a higher odds of giving a LBW child but the association was insignificant ($p =$
254 0.069) (adjusted OR 1.4, 95% 0.98, 1.9), but the kitchen location where the food is prepared for
255 the household remains a significant predictor of LBW. Cooking either in the household
256 ($p=0.001$) (Adjusted OR, 1.3; 95% CI 1.1, 1.6) or in a separate building ($p=0.002$) (Adjusted
257 OR; 95% CI 1.3 1.2, 1.5) were, almost equally found to have a significant effect on birth size
258 compared to cooking food outside the household. Being a female child was not only a significant
259 predictor in the final model; its strength was found somewhat similar and strong in all the
260 models. Maternal age, Anemia level, pregnancy intention, chat chewing, alcohol drinking, and
261 wealth index were also found to be significant predictors of the child's birth size in the final
262 model (Tab. 3). However, the types of residence, sex of head of the household and maternal
263 education were not associated with birth weight in the final model. The wealth index might have
264 masked the association between cooking fuel and birth weight in the final model. This may
265 imply that people with higher incomes could afford to buy cleaner fuels which are usually more
266 expensive.

267 **Discussion**

268 According to the descriptive findings of the study, a quarter of children were born with low birth
269 weight and about 62% of children with low birth weight were very small and 38% smaller than
270 average. This finding is comparable to finding from rural India of National Family Health
271 Survey [38] which found the level of low birth weight to be 23% and another finding from India
272 which identified 23.8% in Dehradun [39], and 23% in Rural Karnataka [40].

273 On the other hand, only three percent of the households use low polluting fuel and the main
274 source of fuel item for the majority of the households (about 85%) is wood. This level of using
275 highly polluting cooking fuels among households in Ethiopia is much higher than the finding
276 from India 72.9% [28], Malawi, 80% [30], and Ghana [41] which have found that 66% of
277 households using biomass fuel as their main cooking fuel.

278 Regarding the association between birth weight and fuel type, children of mothers from
279 households with high polluting fuels were about two times more likely to have low birth weight
280 on bivariate analysis and intermediate models. However, the use of biomass fuel was not
281 significantly associated with birth weight after all the confounding variables were controlled on
282 multivariate analysis of the final model. A similar finding was revealed from a study by WHO on
283 indoor air pollution from biomass fuel use and risk of LBW [42] and study from Ghana [41].
284 Another study from Malawi using DHS data has revealed an increased but insignificant
285 association between biomass fuel use and low birth weight [30]. Similarly, a study conducted
286 using Indian DHS data, identified a higher risk of low birth weight from biomass fuel use at
287 bivariate analysis but insignificant relationship after adjustment for other predictor variables
288 [28]. However, other findings from different studies showed that using biomass fuels was
289 associated with a higher risk of low birth weight. In this regard, using biomass fuel was also
290 implicated with twofold increased risk on low birth weight in Lanzhou, China [43] and 175g
291 reduction in mean birth weight was observed in children born to mothers that used biomass fuels
292 [12] in Zimbabwe. A similar finding was reported using the Pakistan Demographic and Health
293 Survey data, where children born from households with biomass fuel (wood) users were found
294 41% more likely to have LBW compared to children born from households using cleaner fuel
295 types such as natural gas [29]. In the current study, the association between birth weight and fuel

296 type might be masked by the effect of the wealth index of the households since the richer
297 households might have the capability to afford those clean fuel types which are more expensive
298 in the Ethiopian context. The strength of the association wealth index has on birth size was
299 decreasing as we go from the poorest to the richest which could be a clear indication that most
300 solid biomass fuels are either cheap or free (in the case of agricultural residues and animal
301 dungs) compared to low polluting fuel types such as electricity, liquid petroleum gas, natural gas
302 and biogas which are relatively expensive.

303 This study identified that, cooking whether inside the house or in a separate building was
304 associated with the decreased maternal report of child size, compared to cooking outdoors. The
305 finding of the practice of cooking food in the house with LBW was consistent with a study
306 conducted in the Wolaita zone, southern Ethiopia [35] and Dang district of Nepal [44], where
307 Children born to mothers regularly cooking inside the house were found to report a low birth
308 weight baby as compared to those mothers cooking outdoor. This might be due to risks
309 associated with the type of fuel use, exposure time, ventilation status and the efficiency of the
310 cooking stove. The world health organization set a public health standard for indoor air
311 pollutants (24-hour mean: $PM_{2.5} < 25 \mu g/m^3$ and $PM_{10} < 50 \mu g/m^3$) which can be attained through
312 the use of cleaner fuels, well ventilated households and kitchens, efficient cookstoves and less
313 exposure times. Since none or most of them are absent in most developing countries including
314 Ethiopia, biomass fuel use together with longer exposure times, poor ventilation and unimproved
315 cooking stoves might be path ways for maternal exposure to higher level of pollutants which in
316 turn results in LBW [15, 16, 23-24].

317 According to our findings cooking inside the house and cooking in a separate building has an
318 almost similar effect on birth size when compared to cooking outdoors. This is a clear indication

319 that, unless efficient stoves are in place with adequate ventilation when using solid biomass fuels
320 the effect of cooking inside the house or in a separate kitchen might not have a difference. This is
321 apparent in countries such as Ethiopia, where widespread use of improved cookstove has been
322 deterred by, different factors such as the meager income of the poor to afford, lack of
323 infrastructure, sluggish market penetration into remote villages, lack of know-how of utilization,
324 and information gap [45]. Our finding was in contrary to similar researches conducted in
325 Bangladesh [27], where the cooking place was not significantly associated with child size at
326 birth. One reason for the difference might be due to the difference in the location, ventilation
327 status and other kitchen characteristics. It could be also attributed to the proportion of efficient
328 stoves users, proportion of solid biomass fuel users and other socio-economic characteristics of
329 the study population.

330 According to this study finding, wealth index was found to be a significant predictor of LBW.
331 Newborns from poorer households were found to be at higher risk of being low birth weight than
332 newborns from richer households. Ethiopian Female neonates were also lighter than their male
333 counterparts. This finding was similar to findings from other studies elsewhere including studies
334 from Japan [46], Northern Ethiopia [33]. On the other, hand neonates of anemic women had a
335 marginally higher possibility of being LBW compared to those of non-anemic women. From a
336 study conducted in Northern Ethiopia, anemic women were found to be nine times more likely to
337 deliver an infant with low birth weight [33] and similar finding was found from a study of
338 national Family Health Survey-IV in India [28]. Similarly, marginal association was found
339 between maternal chat chewing and birth weight. This finding also complies with finding from
340 study conducted in Yemen [47].

341 **Methodological Strengths and Limitations**

342 The strength of this study is that the findings can be generalized at the country level since the
343 study utilized data from a nationally representative household survey. However, children were
344 classified as LBW or not LBW based on the mothers subjective judgment which might introduce
345 measurement bias on the outcome ‘low birth weight’. This could have implications on the result.
346 Apart from the reliance on self-report of birth size, the cross-sectional design might pose
347 problems in establishing the temporal link between exposure and outcome. This study assumed
348 that maternal exposure to biomass fuels was a phenomenon occurred repeatedly for a long time
349 before pregnancy, which might not always be the case. Besides, households may not use the
350 same fuel types all the time. Changes in use from biomass fuel use to cleaner fuel types might
351 occur in real life situation that may lead to misclassification of the use of fuel types which in turn
352 may compromise exposure and end up in under or overestimated effect. Therefore, the exclusion
353 of the use of mixed fuel types and its effect on LBW is the biggest limitation of this study and
354 the interpretation of the result must be with great caution.

355 **Conclusion**

356 Use of biomass fuel and kitchen location was associated with child size at birth. Our finding has
357 important program and policy implications for countries such as Ethiopia, where large
358 proportions of the population rely on polluting biomass fuels for cooking. Furthermore, future
359 studies should investigate the association using more direct methods for measurement of
360 exposure to smoke emitted from biomass fuels on birth weight.

361 **Data Availability Statement**

362 The data are available from the Demographic and Health Survey program website. This data is
363 publicly available online and it can be accessed at the following website by selecting the specific
364 country Ethiopia. [http:// dhsprogram.com/data/available-datasets.cfm](http://dhsprogram.com/data/available-datasets.cfm)

365 **Authors' contributions**

366 **Conceptualization:** Girum Gebremeskel Kanno **Formal analysis:** Girum Gebremeskel Kanno
367 and Sewitemariam Desalegn Andarge **Methodology:** Girum Gebremeskel Kanno and Sewitemariam
368 Desalegn Andarge **Project administration:** Girum Gebremeskel Kanno **Supervision:** Adane
369 Tesfaye Anbesse, Mohamed Feyisso Shaka and Miheret Tesfu Legesse **Writing – original**
370 **draft:** Girum Gebremeskel Kanno and Mohamed Feyisso Shaka **Writing – review & editing:**
371 Girum Gebremeskel Kanno, Mohamed Feyisso Shaka and Adane Tesfaye Anbesse, Miheret
372 Tesfu Legesse

373 **Funding**

374 The authors have no support or funding to report.

375 **References**

- 376 1. World Health Organization: Global Nutrition Targets 2025: Low birth weight policy
377 brief. 2014.
- 378 2. Christian P., Lee S. E., Angel M. D., Adair, L. S., Arifeen S. E, Ashorn P., et al. Risk of
379 childhood undernutrition related to small-for-gestational age and preterm birth in low-
380 and middle-income countries. *Int J Epidemiol.* 2013; 42(13): 40–55.
- 381 3. World Health Organization: Comprehensive implementation plan on maternal, infant and
382 young child nutrition. Resolution WHA65.6. 2012.
383 ([http://www.who.int/nutrition/ topics/WHA65.6_resolution_en.pdf?ua=1](http://www.who.int/nutrition/topics/WHA65.6_resolution_en.pdf?ua=1), accessed 17

- 384 4. Ethiopian Central Statistical Agency: Ethiopian Demographic and Health Survey report:
385 Key Indicators Report. The DHS Program ICF. 2016.
- 386 5. Kim D. and Saada A. The Social Determinants of Infant Mortality and Birth Outcomes in
387 Western Developed Nations: A Cross-Country Systematic Review. *Int. J. Environ. Res.*
388 *Public Health* 2013; 10: 2296-2335; doi:10.3390/ijerph10062296
- 389 6. Gu H., Wang L., Liu L., Luo X., Wang J., Hou F., Denis P. et al. A gradient relationship
390 between low birth weight and IQ: A meta-analysis. *Sci Rep.* 2017; 7(1):18035.
391 doi:10.1038/s41598-017-18234-9
- 392 7. Jornayvaz F. R., Vollenweider P., Bochud M., Mooser V., Waeber G. and Marques-Vidal
393 P. Low birth weight leads to obesity, diabetes and increased leptin levels in adults: the
394 CoLaus study. *Cardiovasc Diabetol.* 2016; 15: 73. DOI 10.1186/s12933-016-0389-2
- 395 8. Risnes K. R., Vatten L. J, Baker J. L., Jameson K., Sovio U., Kajantie E., et al.
396 Birthweight and mortality in adulthood: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Int J*
397 *Epidemiol.* 2011; 40(3):647-61. doi: 10.1093/ije/dyq267.
- 398 9. Larroque B., Bertrais S., Czernichow P., Léger J. School difficulties in 20-year-olds who
399 were born small for gestational age at term in a regional cohort study. *Pediatrics.*
400 2001;108(1):111-115. doi: 10.1542/peds.108.1.111
- 401 10. UNICEF-WHO: Low Birthweight Estimates Levels and trends 2000–2015. 2019.
- 402 11. Boy E., Bruce N., and Delgado H. Birth Weight and Exposure to Kitchen Wood Smoke
403 during Pregnancy in Rural Guatemala. *Environ. Health Perspect.*2002;110:1.
- 404 12. Mishra V., Dai X., SMITH K. R., and Mika L. Maternal Exposure to Biomass Smoke and
405 Reduced Birth Weight in Zimbabwe. *Ann. Epidemiol.* 2004; 14(10):740–747
406 doi:10.1016/j.annepidem.2004.01.009

- 407 13. Kim KH, Jahan SA & Kabir E A review of diseases associated with household air
408 pollution due to the use of biomass fuels. *J. Hazard. Mater.* 2011; 192: 425–431.
- 409 14. Balakrishnan K. Sambandam S. Ramaswamy P. Mehta S. Smith KR. Exposure
410 assessment for respirable particulates associated with household fuel use in rural districts
411 of Andhra Pradesh, India. *J Expo Anal Environ Epidemiol.* 2004;14: 14-25.
412 DOI: [10.1289/ehp.9479](https://doi.org/10.1289/ehp.9479)
- 413 15. Smith KR. Indoor air pollution in developing countries: recommendations for research.
414 *Indoor Air.* 2002; 12: 198–207.
- 415 16. Oliveira BF, Ignotti E, Hacon SS. A systematic review of the physical and chemical
416 characteristics of pollutants from biomass burning and combustion of fossil fuels and
417 health effects in Brazil. *Cad Saude Publica.* 2011; 27(9):1678-98. DOI:
418 <http://dx.doi.org/10.1590/S0102-311X2011000900003> version=html
- 419 17. Zhang J.J. and Smith K.R. Household Air Pollution from Coal and Biomass Fuels in
420 China: Measurements, Health Impacts, and Interventions. *Environ. Health Perspect.*
421 2007;115(6):848-55. doi: [10.1289/ehp.9479](https://doi.org/10.1289/ehp.9479)
- 422 18. Ha E.H., Hong Y.C., Lee B.E., Woo B.H., Schwartz J. and Christiani D. C. Is Air
423 Pollution a Risk Factor for Low Birth Weight in Seoul? *Epidemiology.* 2001; 12(6):643-
424 648. DOI: [10.1097/00001648-200111000-00011](https://doi.org/10.1097/00001648-200111000-00011)
- 425 19. Maisonet M., Bush T. J., Correa A. and Jaakkola J.K. Relation between Ambient Air
426 Pollution and Low Birth Weight in the Northeastern United States. *Environ. Health*
427 *Perspect.* 2001;109(3):351-356.
- 428 20. Washam C. Cooking with wood may fuel low birth weight: kitchen smoke puts babies at
429 risk. *Environmental health perspectives.* 2008; 116(4):A173–A.

- 430 21. Ritz B, Yu F. The effect of ambient carbon monoxide on low birth weight among
431 children born in southern California between 1989 and 1993 Environ. Health
432 Perspect.1999; 107(1):17–25. doi: [10.1289/ehp.9910717](https://doi.org/10.1289/ehp.9910717)
- 433 22. World Health Organization; Opportunities for transition to clean household energy.
434 application of the Household Energy Assessment Rapid Tool (HEART) in Ethiopia.
435 Getachew E Beyene, Abera Kumie, Rufus Edwards, Karin Troncoso. 2018; ISBN 978-
436 92-4-151449-1
- 437 23. Bonjour S., Rohani H. A., Wolf J., Bruce N. G , Mehta S., Prüss-Ustün A., Lahiff M.,
438 Rehfuess E. A., Mishra V., Smith K. R. Solid fuel use for household cooking: country
439 and regional estimates for 1980-2010. Environ Health Perspect. 2013;121(7):784-90.
440 DOI: [10.1289/ehp.1205987](https://doi.org/10.1289/ehp.1205987)
- 441 24. Amegah AK, Quansah R, Jaakkola JJK. Household Air Pollution from Solid Fuel Use
442 and Risk of Adverse Pregnancy Outcomes: A Systematic Review and Meta-Analysis of
443 the Empirical Evidence. PLoS ONE. 2014; 9(12): e113920.
444 <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0113920>
- 445 25. UNICEF; Levels and Trends in Child Mortality: Estimates Developed by the UN Inter-
446 Agency Group for Child Mortality Estimation (IGME). UNICEF: New York, 2015.
- 447 26. Lawn JE, Blencowe H, Oza S, You D, Lee A CC, Waiswa P et al. Every Newborn:
448 progress, priorities, and potential beyond survival. Lancet. 2014; 384 (9988): 189–205.
- 449 27. Haider MR, Rahman MM, Islam F, Khan MM Association of Low Birthweight and
450 Indoor Air Pollution: Biomass Fuel Use in Bangladesh. JH&P. 2016;6(11): 18-25.
451 <https://doi.org/10.5696/2156-9614-6-11.18>

- 452 28. Sreeramareddy CT, Shidhaye RR and Sathiakumar N. Association between biomass fuel
453 use and maternal report of child size at birth - an analysis of 2005-06 India Demographic
454 Health Survey data. BMC Public Health. 2011; 11:403
455 <http://www.biomedcentral.com/1471-2458/11/403>
- 456 29. Ahmed Z, Zafar M, Khan NA, Qureshi MS. Exposure to biomass fuel and low child birth
457 weight – Findings of Pakistan Demographic and Health Survey 2006–2007. Int J Health
458 Syst Disaster Manage. 2015;3:S19-26.
- 459 30. Milanzi EB, Namacha NM. Maternal biomass smoke exposure and birthweight in
460 Malawi: Analysis of data from the 2010 Malawi Demographic and Health Survey.
461 Malawi Med J. 2017;29(2):160–165.
- 462 31. Bekela MB, Shimbire MS, Gebabo TF, Geta MB, Tonga AT, Zeleke EA, Sidemo NB, and
463 Getnet AB Determinants of Low Birth Weight among Newborns Delivered at Public
464 Hospitals in Sidama Zone, South Ethiopia: Unmatched Case-Control Study. Hindawi
465 Journal of Pregnancy. 2020; Article ID 4675701, <https://doi.org/10.1155/2020/4675701>
- 466 32. Talie A, Taddele M, and Alemayehu M. Magnitude of Low Birth Weight and Associated
467 Factors among Newborns Delivered in Dangla Primary Hospital, Amhara Regional State,
468 North west Ethiopia. Hindawi Journal of Pregnancy. 2017; Article ID 3587239, 6 pages
469 <https://doi.org/10.1155/2019/3587239>
- 470 33. Asmare G, Berhan N, Berhanu M and Alebel A. Determinants of low birth weight among
471 neonates born in Amhara Regional State Referral Hospitals of Ethiopia: unmatched case
472 control study. BMC Res Notes. 2018; 11:447 <https://doi.org/10.1186/s13104-018-3568-2>
- 473 34. Sema A, Tesfaye F, Belay Y, Amsalu B, Bekele D, and Desalew A. Associated Factors
474 with Low Birth Weight in Dire Dawa City, Eastern Ethiopia: A Cross-Sectional Study.

- 475 Hindawi BioMed Research International. 2019; Article ID 2965094,
476 <https://doi.org/10.1155/2019/2965094>
- 477 35. Admasie A, Kumie A and Worku A. Association of Household Fuel Type, Kitchen
478 Characteristics and House Structure with Child Size at Birth in Wolaita Sodo, Southern
479 Ethiopia. Open Publ Health J. 2018; 11: 298-308.
- 480 36. Wachamo TM, Bililign YN, Bizuneh AD Risk factors for low birth weight in hospitals of
481 North Wello zone, Ethiopia: A casecontrol study. PLoS ONE. 2019; 14(3): e0213054.
482 <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0213054>
- 483 37. Alemu T, Umeta M. Prevalence and Predictors of "Small Size" Babies in Ethiopia: In-
484 depth Analysis of the Ethiopian Demographic and Health Survey 2011. Ethiop J Health
485 Sci. 2016; 26(3): 243-250.
- 486 38. Noor N, Kural M, Joshi T, Pandit D, Patil A. Study of maternal determinants influencing
487 birth weight of newborn. Arch Med Heal Sci 2015;3:239. [https://doi.org/10.4103/2321-
488 4848.171912](https://doi.org/10.4103/2321-4848.171912).
- 489 39. Negi KS, Kandpal SD, Kukreti M. Epidemiological factors affecting low birth weight. JK
490 Sci 2006;8:31–4.
- 491 40. Metgud CS, Naik VA, Mallapur MD. Factors affecting birth weight of a newborn - a
492 community based study in rural Karnataka, India. PLoS One 2012;7.
493 <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0040040>.
- 494 41. Weber E, Adu-bonsaffoh K, Vermeulen R, Klipstein-grobusch K, Grobbee DE, Browne
495 JL, et al. Household fuel use and adverse pregnancy outcomes in a Ghanaian cohort
496 study. Reprod Health 2020;17:1–8.
- 497 42. World Health Organization. Indoor air pollution from solid fuels and risk of low birth

- 498 weight and stillbirth. Annu. Conf. Int. Soc. Environ. Epidemiol., Johannesburg: 2007, p.
499 1–39.
- 500 43. Jiang M, Qiu J, Zhou M, He X, Cui H, Lerro C, et al. Exposure to cooking fuels and birth
501 weight in Lanzhou, China: A birth cohort study. BMC Public Health 2015;15:1–10.
502 <https://doi.org/10.1186/s12889-015-2038-1>.
- 503 44. K. C. A, Basel PL, Singh S (2020) Low birth weight and its associated risk factors:
504 Health facility-based case-control study. PLoS ONE 15(6): e0234907.
505 <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal>.
- 506 45. Dawit Diriba Guta Assessment of Biomass Fuel Resource Potential And Utilization in
507 Ethiopia: Sourcing Strategies for Renewable Energies. Int. J. Renew. Energy Res. 2012;
508 2(1).
- 509 46. Terada M, Matsuda Y, Ogawa M, Matsui H, Satoh S. Effects of maternal factors on birth
510 weight in Japan. J Pregnancy 2013;2013. <https://doi.org/10.1155/2013/172395>.
- 511 47. Abdel-Aleem, A.M, Abdulkader A.A, Mustafa M, S.A, Nasr A A, Assad,A M.M. Khat
512 Chewing During Pregnancy: an Insight on an Ancient Problem. Impact of Chewing Khat
513 on Maternal and Fetal Outcome among Yemeni Pregnant Women. J Gynecol Neonatal
514 Biol. 2015; 1(2). DOI: [10.15436/2380-5595.15.004](https://doi.org/10.15436/2380-5595.15.004)

515 **Table 1. Distribution of birth sizes according to biomass fuel use, Kitchen location and**
516 **selected socio-demographic factors**

Variable	Weight at birth/recall					Total
	Very large	Greater than average	Average	Smaller than average	Very small	

It is made available under a [CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 International license](https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/) .

Fuel types						
Low polluting fuels	90(5%)	34(2.4%)	134(3.2%)	26(8.4%)	27(8.7%)	311(3.1%)
High polluting fuels	1706(95%)	1373(97.6%)	4686(96.8%)	963(97.4%)	1575(98.3%)	9703(96.9%)
Total	1796(100%)	1407(100%)	4220(100%)	989(100%)	1602(100%)	10014(100%)
Kitchen location						
Inside the house	738(41.1%)	627(44.6%)	1740(41.2%)	455(46%)	725(45.3%)	4286(42.8%)
In another building	887(49.4%)	641(45.5%)	1963(46.5%)	436(44.1%)	738(46%)	4664(46.6%)
Outdoors	171(9.5%)	139(9.9%)	518(12.3%)	97(9.8%)	139(8.7%)	1064(10.6%)
Total	1796(100%)	1407(100%)	4220(100%)	989(100%)	1602(100%)	10014(100%)
Highest Education Level						
No education	1071(59.6%)	956(68%)	2734(64.8%)	705(71.3%)	1164(72.6%)	6631(66.2%)
Primary	586(32.6%)	378(26.9%)	1169(27.7%)	226(22.9%)	363(22.7%)	2722(27.2%)
Secondary	81(4.5%)	50(3.6%)	223(5.3%)	36(3.6%)	49(3.1%)	438(4.4%)
Higher	58(3.2%)	23(1.6%)	94(2.4%)	22(2.2%)	26(1.7%)	223(2.2%)
Total	1796(100%)	1407(100%)	4220(100%)	989(100%)	1602(100%)	10014(100%)

Place of Residence						
Urban	228 (12.7%)	127(9%)	480(11.4%)	83 (8.4%)	130 (8.1%)	1048(10.5%)
Rural	1568(87.3%)	1280(91%)	3740(88.6%)	905(91.6%)	1472 (91.9%)	8965.5(89.5%)
Total	1796 (100%)	1407 (100%)	4220(100%)	989(100%)	1602(100%)	10014(100%)
Wealth index						
Poorest	341(19%)	337(23.9%)	992.5(23.5%)	297(30.1%)	441(27.6%)	2408.8(24.1%)
Poorer	415.5 (23.1%)	303(21.6%)	950(22.5%)	224.6 (22.7%)	407.8(25.5%)	2301(23%)
Middle	344(19.1%)	321 (22.8%)	880(20.9%)	215(21.8%)	329 (20.6%)	2089(20.9%)
Richer	396(22%)	250.5(17.8%)	774(18.3%)	148.5(15%)	249(15.6%)	1818(18.2%)
Richest	300(16.7%)	195(13.9%)	623.6(14.8%)	103(10.4%)	174(10.9%)	1396.6(13.9%)
Total	1796 (100%)	1407 (100%)	4220 (100%)	989(100%)	1602(100%)	10014(100%)

517 **Table 2 Distribution of Birth size from mothers recall with fuel types and kitchen location**

Variable	Birth weight status		
	<2500gram (Low birth weight)	>2500 gram (Not Low birth weight)	Total

Fuel type			
Low polluting cooking fuels	53(2.1%)	258 (3.5%)	311 (3.1%)
High polluting cooking fuels (biomass fuels)	2538(97.9%)	7165(96.5%)	9703(96.9%)
Total	2591(100%)	7423 (100%)	10014 (100%)
Kitchen location			
Inside the house	1181(45.6)	3105(41.8%)	4286(42.8%)
In separate building	1174(45.3%)	3491 (47.0%)	4665(46.6%)
Outdoors	236 (9.1%)	827 (11.1%)	1063(10.6%)
Total	2591 (100%)	7423 (100%)	10014 (100%)

518 **Table 3 Bivariate analysis of child size at birth with the type of fuel kitchen location and**
 519 **other variables**

Variable	Low birth weight	Normal birth weight	Unadjusted Odds ratios (95% CI)	p-value
Type of fuel				
High Pollution Fuels	2538	7165	1.7 (1.3-2.3)*	<0.001
Low Pollution Fuels	53	258	1	
Kitchen location				
Inside the house	1181	3105	1.3 (1.1-1.6)*	<0.001
In separate building	1174	3490	1.2 (1.001-1.4)*	0.045
Outdoors	236	828	1	
Gender of the baby				
Male	1162	4079	1	
Female	1429	3344	1.5 (1.4-1.6)*	<0.001
Birth order number				

It is made available under a [CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 International license](https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/) .

1	500	1362	1	
2	420	1239	0.9 (0.8-1.1)	0.313
3	358	1074	0.90(0.8-1.1)	0.235
4+	1313	3748	0.96 (0.8-1.1)	0.463
Mothers age at birth				
<20	1739	4732	1	1
20-29	821	2599	0.86 (0.78-0.9)*	0.002
30-49	31	92	0.9 (0.6-1.4)	0.648
Maternal BMI				
Low	528	1459	1.8 (1.2-2.8)*	0.006
Normal	1931	5507	1.8(1.2-2.6)*	0.008
Overweight	104	320	1.6 (1.01-2.6)*	0.043
Obese	28	137	1	1
Maternal Anemia level				
Sevier	46	101	1.4 (0.99-2.01)	0.056
Moderate	202	499	1.2 (1.04-1.5)*	0.014
mild	629	1553	1.2 (1.1-1.4)*	<0.001
Not anemic	1714	5270	1	1
Pregnancy intention when become pregnant				
Then	1918	5633	0.9 (0.8-1.1)	0.316
Later	461	1218	1.02 (0.8-1.2)	0.843
never	212	572	1	1
Maternal Chat chewing				
No	2137	6285	1	1
Yes	454	1138	1.2 (1.04-1.3)*	0.009
Maternal Alcohol Drinking				
No	1767	5334	1	1

Yes	824	2089	1.2 (1.1-1.3)*	<.001
Mothers education				
No education	1869	4762	1.4 (1.03-2.0)*	0.034
Primary	589	2133	1 (0.7-1.4)	0.993
Secondary	85	353	0.9(0.6-1.3)	0.484
Higher	48	175	1	1
Type of residence				
Urban	214	835	1	1
Rural	2377	6588	1.4(1.2-1.6)*	<0.001
Wealth index				
Poorest	739	1670	1.8 (1.5-2.1)**	<0.001
Poorer	632	1669	1.5 (1.3-1.8)**	<0.001
Middle	545	1545	1.4 (1.2-1.7)**	<0.001
Richer	398	1420	1.1 (0.95-1.3)	0.188
Richest	277	1119	1	1

520 * p < 0.05, ** p < 0.01, *** p < 0.001

521 **Table 4 Multivariable analysis of child size at birth with fuel type, kitchen location and**
 522 **other variables**

Variable	MODEL 1	MODEL 2	MODEL3
Type of fuel			
Low Pollution Fuels	1	1	1
High Pollution Fuels	1.7 (1.3-2.3)***	1.5 (1.1- 2.1)*	1.4 (0.98-1.9)
Kitchen location			
Inside the house	1.3 (1.1-1.6)***	1.4 (1.2-1.7)***	1.3 (1.1-1.6)**
In another building	1.2(1.03-1.4)*	1.3 (1.1-1.5)**	1.3 (1.15-1.5)**
Outdoors	1	1	1
Gender of the baby			

Male	1	1	1
Female	1.5 (1.4-1.6)***	1.5 (1.4-1.6)***	1.5 (1.4-1.7)***
Birth order number			
1	1		
2	0.9 (0.8-1.1)	xxx	
3	0.9 (0.8-1.03)	xxx	
4+	0.9 (0.8-1.1)	xxx	
Mothers age at birth			
>19		1	1
20-29		0.9 (0.8-.98)*	0.9 (0.8-0.97)*
30-49		1.1 (0.7-1.6)	1.1 (0.7-1.6)
Maternal BMI			
Low		1	
Normal		1.01 (0.9-1.1)	
Overweight		1.1 (0.8-1.4)	
Obese		0.7 (0.5-1.1)	xxx
Maternal Anemia level			
Sevier		1.4(0.95-1.9)	1.3 (0.9-1.8)
Moderate		1.3 (1.1-1.6)**	1.2 (1.03-1.5)*
mild		1.2 (1.1-1.4)***	1.2(1.1-1.3)*
Not anemic		1	1
Pregnancy intention when become pregnant			
Then		1	
Later		1.1 (1.0-1.3)	xxx
never		1.02 (0.9-1.2)	xxx
Maternal Chat chewing			
No		1	1
Yes		1.2 (1.1-1.4)**	1.2 (1.1-1.4)**

Maternal Alcohol Drinking			523
No		1	1
Yes		1.3 (1.2-1.5)***	1.3 (1.2-1.5)*** 524
Mothers education			525
No education		1.1 (0.8-1.6)	0.9(0.7-1.1) 526
Primary		0.8 (0.6-1.2)	0.8 (0.5-1.1) 527
Secondary		0.7 (0.5-1.1)	0.8 (0.5-1.1) 528
Higher		1	1 529
Type of residence			530
Urban			1 531
Rural			1.0 (0.8-1.2) 532
Wealth index			533
Poorest			1.5 (1.2-1.9)*** 534
Poorer			1.4(1.1-1.7)** 535
Middle			1.3 (1.03-1.5) 536
Richer			1.1(0.9-1.3) 537
Richest			1 538
Sex Of Head of the household			539
Male			1 540
Female			1.1(0.96-1.3) 541

541 * p < 0.05, ** p < 0.01, *** p < 0.001

Original Data set

41,392: Records available from the Individual Record File of the EDHS

Out of these, birth weight was available for only 11,023 of the births either from measurement or mothers recall.

Excluded from Data set

- 33,573: No documentation of infant Birth weight
- 292: twin cases were excluded
- 157: no or unclear documentation on fuel type or not a De-jure resident
- 283: maternal BMI information was not available.
- 76: mothers didn't know the size of their baby
- 9: Kitchen location unknown
- 98: Smoking Mothers

Final included in data sets for the analysis

10,014 CASES for the final model with dichotomous outcome variable

