Investigating the effect of biomass fuel use and Kitchen location on Maternal Report of Birth size: A Cross-Sectional Analysis of 2016 Ethiopian Demographic Health Survey data

Girum Gebremeskel Kanno[1]*, Adane Tesfaye Anbesse[2], Mohammed Feyisso Shaka[3], Miheret Tesfu Legesse[1] Sewitemariam Desalegn Andarge[2]

[1]College of Health and Medical Science, School of public health, Dilla University, Dilla, Ethiopia

[2]College of Health and Medical Science, Department of Nutrition, Dilla University, Dilla, Ethiopia

[3]College of Health and Medical Science, Department of Reproductive Health, Dilla University, Dilla, Ethiopia

Corresponding Author*: Girum Gebremeskel Kanno

E-mail: girummeskell@gmail.com

Abstract

Background: Household air pollution from using biomass fuels has been associated with Low birth weight in many developing countries. This study aimed to investigate the effect of indoor air pollution from biomass fuels and kitchen location on maternal report of birth size among new born children in Ethiopia.

Method: A secondary data analysis was conducted using 2016 Ethiopian Demographic Health Survey data. Birth weight from child health card and/or mothers' recall was the dependent dichotomous variable. Fuel type was classified as high pollution fuels (wood, straw, animal dung, and crop residues kerosene, coal and charcoal), and low pollution fuels (electricity, liquid

petroleum gas, natural gas and biogas). Hierarchical logistic regression was used to assess the effect of fuel type on birthweight. Adjusted Odds Ratios and their 95% Confidence Interval were calculated. A p-value less than 0.05 were considered as significant.

Result: The prevalence of low birthweight was 17% and 26.2% among low and high polluting fuel users respectively. Compared to low polluting fuels, the use of high polluting cooking fuels, was associated with higher odds of having low birthweight baby (Unadjusted COR 1.7; 95% CI 1.3, 2.3). AOR was still 1.7; 95% CI (1.26, 2.3) after controlling for child factors. AOR after controlling for both child and maternal factors was 1.5 (95% CI 1.1, 2.1). In final model the association turned insignificant with AOR, 1.3 (95% 0.9, 1.9). Kitchen location, Gender of the baby, Mother's Anemia Status, Maternal Chat chewing, and wealth Index were significant factors in the final model.

Conclusion: In this study, use of biomass fuels and kitchen location were associated with reduced child size at birth. Further observational studies should investigate this association using more direct methods.

Key words: Biomass fuel, Kitchen location, Low Birth Weight, Ethiopia

Introduction

Low birthweight is defined as weight at birth less than 2500 grams. It is a significant public health problem globally and is associated with a range of both short and long term consequences [1]. It is an important marker of maternal and fetal health and nutritional status [2]. Worldwide 15% to 20% of all births are low birthweight, representing more than 20 million births a year [3]. The great majority of low birthweight births occur in low- and middle-income countries especially in the most vulnerable populations, with regional estimates of 13% in sub-Saharan Africa and 26% in Ethiopia [1, 4]. In most developing countries including Ethiopia the data on

low birth weight remain limited or unreliable, as many deliveries occur in homes or small health clinics and are not reported in official figures, which may result in an underestimation of the prevalence of low birthweight [5].

Low birth weight is a major predictor of prenatal mortality and morbidity and compared with babies born at or above the low birthweight cut-off (2,500 g), babies born with low birthweight have a higher risk of stunting, lower IQ and a higher chance of death during their childhood and also have increased risk for non-communicable diseases such as diabetes and cardiovascular disease later in life [6-9].

To grow a healthy baby, mothers need good nutrition, rest, adequate antenatal care and a clean environment [10]. Apart from the mothers nutritional status and antenatal care follow up, studies have found Low birthweight has been a result of exposure to household air pollution resulting from cooking fuels and environmental tobacco smoke (ETS) in most developing countries [11-12]. Combustion from these solid fuels in simple household cook stoves contribute to household air pollution (HAP) by emitting considerably large amounts of noxious pollutants and health-damaging airborne pollutants, including particulate matter (PM), carbon monoxide (CO), nitrogen dioxide (NO_2) formaldehyde, and many other toxic polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons (PAHs) [13-16]. Out of this noxious pollutants, Carbon monoxide is a well-known feto-toxicant chemical associated with poor fetal growth. The mechanism could be in two ways. One occurs when carbon monoxide combines with hemoglobin to cross the placenta which causes hypoxia, or a decreased oxygen supply to tissue, which limits the ability of the placenta to transfer nutrients to the fetus. The other occurs when inhaled particulate matter from smoke impairs fetal growth by damaging cells through oxidative stress. [17-20].

Almost 3 billion people, mainly in low and middle-income countries, and 90% of the rural household population in developing countries still rely on high polluting solid fuels (wood,

animal dung, charcoal, crop wastes and coal) burnt in inefficient, highly polluting stoves for cooking and heating and lighting, which are responsible for producing high concentration of particulate matter in the indoor environment [15-16, 22].

The population mainly using solid fuel for cooking has remained unchanged over the last three decades at around 2.7 to 2.8 billion. Between 1980 and 2010, the population exposed to household air pollution increased from 333 million to 646 million in sub-Saharan Africa [23]. Approximately, 76% of particulate matter air pollution around the world occurs in indoors in developing countries. Biomass fuels are usually burnt on traditional, typically simple, inefficient and unwanted household cooking stoves producing large volumes of indoor smoke or air pollutant which often exacerbates the problem and make it far exceed the safe levels recommended by the World Health Organization (recommended 24-hour mean: $PM_{2.5} < 25$ $\mu g/m^3$ and $PM_{10} < 50$ $\mu g/m^3$) often in poorly ventilated houses or kitchens [15, 16, 23-24].

In sub Saharan Africa region, where Ethiopia is part of, the leading risk factor for neonatal death (which accounts for more than half of under-five mortality) is low birthweight [25]. Recent statistics on global under-five mortality showed that sub-Saharan Africa (SSA) is a region where more interventions are needed if the sustainable development goal (SDG) target of 25 per 1000 live births by 2030 is to be achieved [26].

In Ethiopia, more than 95% of households rely on biomass fuels for cooking, and in almost 53 % of households food is cooked inside the house creating a favorable condition for indoor air pollution, the largest single environmental risk factor for adverse pregnancy outcomes such as premature death and low birthweight [4, 22].

Different studies conducted in developing countries such as India, Bangladesh, Pakistan and Malawi, indicated that the use of high polluting cooking fuels were associated with LBW [12,

27-30]. Most of previously conducted studies in Ethiopia that assessed the predictors of low birthweight mainly focused on maternal, child and socio-demographic related factors only [31-34], where maternal exposure to indoor air pollution was not taken into consideration while other studies such as [35-36] tried to assess the impact of different fuel types on child birth size during delivery but their focus was localized to small study area. Another study also assessed the predictors of being small size at Birth using the 2011 EDHS the nation-wide data [37], but the impact of type of household fuel types and the kitchen location on birthweight of the child at birth were not included. A starting point to fill the gap is the provision of national empirical evidence on the magnitude of the risk posed by IAP to LBW in the Ethiopian context. Therefore, this study aimed to assess the relationship between exposure to maternal biomass fuel use and low birthweight at national level in Ethiopia. In this study, in addition to these factors, we tried to determine the effect of biomass fuel use and kitchen location on birth size among births in the last five years proceeding to 2016 EDHS.

Materials and methods

Data Source, Setting and Study Design

Data for this cross-sectional study was obtained from secondary data of 2016 EDHS. The census frame is a complete list of 84,915 enumeration areas (EAs) created for the 2007 PHC. Two-stage stratified sampling was applied to identify eligible residential households across 645 enumeration areas (EAs). Each region was stratified into urban and rural areas, yielding 21 sampling strata. Samples of EAs were selected independently in each stratum in two stages. Implicit stratification and proportional allocation were achieved at each of the lower administrative levels by sorting the sampling frame within each sampling stratum before sample

selection, according to administrative units in different levels, and by using a probability proportional to size selection at the first stage of sampling. In the first stage, a total of 645 EAs (202 in urban areas and 443 in rural areas) were selected with probability proportional to EA size (based on the 2007 PHC) and with independent selection in each sampling stratum. The resulting lists of households served as a sampling frame for the selection of households in the second stage. In the EDHS 2016 survey, the total number of births reported in the previous five years was 44,596.

Dependent Variable/Outcome variable

Our dependent variable was maternal reported birth size. In Ethiopia as in most developing countries, majority of deliveries take place at home, and as a result information on birthweight was obtained for only for small (14% of births) of babies which were weighed at birth [4]. Information on birth weight was collected by either a written record or the mother's recall on the size of their babies. The mother's assessment of the child's weight was critical because information on birth weight was rarely available for most of the births in the last five year. Respondents (mothers) were asked "At birth, what was the size of the baby?" The options were 'very large', 'larger than average', 'average', 'smaller than average', and 'very small'. An infant was classified as being LBW (<2500 gram) if the mother reported that they were 'very small' or 'smaller than average'. The rest were labeled as not low birth weight (>2500 gram). Births with missing information about size of the baby at birth (75.3%) and multiple births (8.2%) were excluded from our analysis.

Exposure variables

The main independent variables of interest for this study were cooking fuel type and Kitchen location. The standard DHS used eleven fold classification of cooking fuels used in the house. The specific questions asked were, “What type of fuel does your household mainly use?” “Is the cooking usually done in the house, in a separate building, or outdoors?” For our analysis, the main cooking fuel used was grouped into two namely; high pollution cooking fuels (wood, straw, animal dung, and crop residues, kerosene, coal and charcoal), and low pollution cooking fuels (electricity, liquid petroleum gas, natural gas and biogas). On the other hand kitchen location was categorized in to three groups; food cooked “in the house”, “in a separate building” and “outdoors”.

Other predictor variables

Other independent variables included in the model were maternal age in years (<20, 20-29, and 30-49 years), maternal education (none, primary, secondary, higher), maternal body mass index (BMI) (Underweight, Normal, Overweight or Obese), wealth index (1 to 5 from poorest to richest, calculated based on availability of household assets using principal component analysis and provided in the dataset) [4], Birth order (first, second, third, fourth or higher), Gender of the child (Male, Female), Pregnancy intention (planned, mistimed, unplanned), Residence (urban, rural), Chat Chewing (No, Yes) and Alcohol drinking (No, Yes).

We categorized a BMI of less than 18.5 as underweight, 18.5 to less than 25.0 as normal, 25.0 to 29.0 as overweight, and greater than 29.0 as Obese [4]. We used the wealth index quintiles reported in the EDHS data, which is constructed using principal components analysis on the possession of different household assets, adjusting for urban-rural differences [4]. Pregnancy

intention was categorized into three groups based on whether the birth or pregnancy was wanted then (planned), wanted later (mistimed), or unwanted (unplanned) at the time of conception.

Inclusion and exclusion criteria

A total of 44,596 births were reported during the previous five year and birth size data was available only for 11,023 of the births either from card or mothers recall. Out of these 10,730 cases were singleton births and 10,014 cases have fulfilled the inclusion criteria as indicated in (Fig 1). Apart from birth size information and twin cases, those cases with missing values from child related, maternal and household factors were excluded. Even if maternal cigarette smoking is an important cofounding variable, it was excluded from this study because it was very low in number and all the smokers were found to be in the 'high polluting cooking fuel users' category. Therefore, it was excluded from the analysis.

Fig 1. Included and excluded cases on data management process

Data analysis

For bivariate analysis of categorical variables we used Binary logistic regression. We carried out the analyses using SPSS Version 20 software. In addition to type of fuel used, other (independent) variables considered were child factors (gender of the baby, and birth order), maternal factors (Anemia level, BMI, age at first childbirth, Chat chewing, Alcohol drinking, education, and pregnancy intention) and socio-demographic factors (place of residence (urban/rural) wealth index, sex of head of the household). Collinearity test was done to check possibility of presence collinearity using Pearson's correlation matrix and Pearson's correlation coefficients were calculated to rule out multi-collinearity. Multilevel modeling was done to adjust for cluster sampling (cluster as primary sampling unit used in DHS). During statistical

modeling, certain factors known to confound the results were adjusted. Hosmer and Lemeshow test was used to check for model fitness. Adjusted odds ratios (AOR) and their 95% confidence intervals (95% CI) were calculated. A p-value less than 0.05 were considered as significant.

Ethics Statement

The analysis presented in this study was based on secondary analysis of existing survey data with all identifying information removed. The original data was collected by Ethiopian Central Statistical Agency (CSA) and Federal Ministry of Health (FMoH) by technical assistance of ICF through the DHS Program. The ethical clearance was provided by the Federal Democratic Republic of Ethiopia Ministry of Science and Technology and the Institutional Review Board of ICF International. Written consent to participate in the study was obtained from parents and the data were recorded anonymously. Therefore, this secondary analysis was exempted from ethical review approval, because it used publicly available, de-identified data. However, request to access datasets from DHS was made, and a letter of permission to use the data set was obtained before analysis was made.

Results

Descriptive statistics

According to the mothers report of the child's size at birth, 1602(16.0%) were very small, 989(9.9%) smaller than average and 74.1% were average or larger, which is more or less similar with the overall EDHS 2016 report, which shows 16% of births were very small, 10% were smaller than average, and 73% were average or larger. The prevalence of LBW is therefore 2591 (25.9%). Of these 2591 (25.9%) LBW infants, majority of them 2538(97.9%) were from

households with high polluting fuel use (such as charcoal, wood, straws or crops ad animal dungs), and in 1181(45.6%) of the households food has been cooked inside the house The main types of fuel used by the households was wood (8383 83.7%) and only about three percent (274) of households use electricity [Table 1].

Table 1. Distribution of birth sizes according to main exposure variable and selected socio-demographic factors

Variable	Weight at birth/recall					Total
	Very large	Greater than average	Average	Smaller than average	Very small	
Fuel types						
Low polluting fuels	90(5%)	34(2.4%)	134(3.2%)	26(8.4%)	27(8.7%)	311(3.1%)
High polluting fuels	1706(95%)	1373(97.6%)	4686(96.8%)	963(97.4%)	1575(98.3%)	9703(96.9%)
Total	1796 (100%)	1407(100%)	4220(100%)	989(100%)	1602(100%)	10014(100%)
Kitchen location						
Inside the house	738(41.1%)	627(44.6)	1740(41.2%)	455(46%)	725(45.3%)	4286(42.8)
In another building	887(49.4%)	641(45.5%)	1963(46.5%)	436(44.1%)	738(46%)	4664(46.6%)
Outdoors	171(9.5%)	139(9.9%)	518(12.3%)	97(9.8%)	139(8.7%)	1064(10.6%)

Total	1796 (100%)	1407(100%)	4220(100%)	989(100%)	1602(100%)	10014(100%)
Highest Education Level						
No education	1071(59.6%)	956 (68%)	2734(64.8%)	705 (71.3%)	1164 (72.6%)	6631 (66.2%)
Primary	586(32.6%)	378 (26.9%)	1169 (27.7 %)	226 (22.9%)	363 (22.7%)	2722 (27.2%)
Secondary	81 (4.5%)	50(3.6%)	223(5.3%)	36(3.6%)	49(3.1%)	438(4.4%)
Higher	58(3.2%)	23(1.6%)	94(2.4%)	22(2.2%)	26(1.7%)	223(2.2%)
Total	1796(100%)	1407 (100%)	4220 (100%)	989 (100%)	1602 (100%)	10014(100%)
Place of Residence						
Urban	228 (12.7%)	127(9%)	480(11.4%)	83 (8.4%)	130 (8.1%)	1048(10.5%)
Rural	1568(87.3%)	1280(91%)	3740(88.6%)	905(91.6%)	1472 (91.9%)	8965.5(89.5%)
Total	1796 (100%)	1407 (100%)	4220(100%)	989(100%)	1602(100%)	10014(100%)
Wealth index						
Poorest	341(19%)	337(23.9%)	992.5(23.5%)	297(30.1%)	441(27.6%)	2408.8(24.1%)
Poorer	415.5 (23.1%)	303(21.6%)	950(22.5%)	224.6 (22.7%)	407.8(25.5%)	2301(23%)
Middle	344(19.1%)	321 (22.8%)	880(20.9%)	215(21.8%)	329 (20.6%)	2089(20.9%)
Richer	396(22%)	250.5(17.8%)	774(18.3%)	148.5(15%)	249(15.6%)	1818(18.2%)
Richest	300(16.7%)	195(13.9%)	623.6(14.8%)	103(10.4%)	174(10.9%)	1396.6(13.9%)
Total	1796 (100%)	1407 (100%)	4220 (100%)	989(100%)	1602(100%)	10014(100%)

Prevalence of LBW among low polluting fuel users was 17% while the prevalence of LBW among high polluting fuel users was 26.2%. Of the total children included in the study 2591 (25.9%) were LBW, and 9703(96.9%) were born in households using high polluting cooking fuels [Table 2]. Exposure to cooking smoke is greater when cooking takes place inside the house rather than in a separate building or outdoors. In Ethiopia, cooking is done in a separate building in 4664 (46.6%) of households. This figure is similar to the EDHS report which was 47%.

Table 2 Distribution of Birth size from mothers recall with fuel types and kitchen location

Variable	Birth weight status		
	<2500gram (Low birthweight)	>2500 gram (Not Low birthweight)	Total
Fuel type			
Low polluting cooking fuels	53(2.1%)	258 (3.5%)	311 (3.1%)
High polluting cooking fuels	2538(97.9%)	7165(96.5%)	9703(96.9%)
Total	2591(100%)	7423 (100%)	10014 (100%)
Kitchen location			
Inside the house	1181(45.6)	3105(41.8%)	4286(42.8%)
In separate building	1174(45.3%)	3491 (47.0%)	4665(46.6%)
Outdoors	236 (9.1%)	827 (11.1%)	1063(10.6%)
Total	2591 (100%)	7423 (100%)	10014 (100%)

Univariate analysis

The results of univariate analysis for type of fuel and other control variables with low birth weight i.e. the effect of cooking fuel, kitchen location and other variables on low birth weight are shown in [Table 3]. Mothers from households using high pollution fuels were 1.7 (OR, 95% CI

1.3, 2.3) times more likely to give birth to a low birthweight baby as compared to those using cleaner fuels. Mothers who delivered their first baby between 20-29 years old were 12 % more likely not to deliver a baby with normal birth (OR, 0.86 95% CI 0.78-0.9) compared to mothers who delivered their first baby below 20 years. Similarly, Mothers who reported to have no formal education were 1.4 times (OR 1.4, 95% CI 1.03, 2.0) and were more likely to give birth to a LBW baby as compared to those who reported to have completed grade 12 and higher. Female babies (OR 1.5, 95% CI 1.4, 1.6) were more likely to be LBW. Among other maternal factors, being moderate or mildly anemic, and lower BMI were among the risk factors for child being LBW. Similarly, children born in rural areas and those belonging to families with lower wealth index were at increased risk of being LBW.

Table 3 Uivariate analysis of child size at birth with type of fuel and other variables

Variable	Low birthweight	Normal birthweight	Unadjusted Odds ratios (95% CI)	p-value
Type of fuel				
High Pollution Fuels	2538	7165	1.7 (1.3-2.3)*	<0.001
Low Pollution Fuels	53	258	**1**	
Kitchen location				
Inside the house	1181	3105	1.3 (1.1-1.6)*	<0.001
In separate building	1174	3490	1.2 (1.001-1.4)*	0.045
Outdoors	236	828	**1**	
Gender of the baby				
Male	1162	4079	1	
Female	1429	3344	1.5 (1.4-1.6)*	<0.001
Birth order number				

1	500	1362	**1**	
2	420	1239	0.9 (0.8-1.1)	0.313
3	358	1074	0.90(0.8-1.1)	0.235
4+	1313	3748	0.96 (0.8-1.1)	0.463
Mothers age at birth				
<20	1739	4732	1	1
20-29	821	2599	0.86 (0.78-0.9)*	0.002
30-49	31	92	0.9 (0.6-1.4)	0.648
Maternal BMI				
Low	528	1459	1.8 (1.2-2.8)*	0.006
Normal	1931	5507	1.8(1.2-2.6)*	0.008
Overweight	104	320	1.6 (1.01-2.6)*	0.043
Obese	28	137	1	1
Maternal Anemia level				
Sevier	46	101	1.4 (0.99-2.01)	0.056
Moderate	202	499	1.2 (1.04-1.5)*	0.014
mild	629	1553	1.2 (1.1-1.4)*	<0.001
Not anemic	1714	5270	1	**1**
Pregnancy intention when become pregnant				
Then	1918	5633	0.9 (0.8-1.1)	0.316
Later	461	1218	1.02 (0.8-1.2)	0.843
never	212	572	1	1
Maternal Chat chewing				
No	2137	6285	1	1
Yes	454	1138	1.2 (1.04-1.3)*	0.009
Maternal Alcohol Drinking				
No	1767	5334	1	1

Yes	824	2089	1.2 (1.1-1.3)*	<.001
Mothers education				
No education	1869	4762	1.4 (1.03-2.0)*	0.034
Primary	589	2133	1 (0.7-1.4)	0.993
Secondary	85	353	0.9(0.6-1.3)	0.484
Higher	48	175	1	1
Type of residence				
Urban	214	835	1	1
Rural	2377	6588	1.4(1.2-1.6)*	<0.001
Wealth index				
Poorest	739	1670	1.8 (1.5-2.1)**	<0.001
Poorer	632	1669	1.5 (1.3-1.8)**	<0.001
Middle	545	1545	1.4 (1.2-1.7)**	<0.001
Richer	398	1420	1.1 (0.95-1.3)	0.188
Richest	277	1119	1	1

* $p < 0.05$, ** $p < 0.01$, *** $p < 0.001$

Multivariable analysis

We developed three models by including child factors (model-1), maternal factors (model-2) and socio-demographic factors (model-3), one by one to the main exposure variables i.e. type of cooking fuel, and kitchen location to control for the effect of these variables on the association with birthweight. In the first stage (model-1), when gender of the baby and birth order were statistically controlled, the adjusted OR for the association i.e. effect of cooking fuel on birth weight was the highest (adjusted OR 1.7; 95% CI 1.3, 2.3). The place where food is cooked for the household was also found to associate with LBW in this model. Controlling the other child factors, cooking inside the house had (adjusted OR 1.3; 95% CI 1.15, 1.6) and cooking in a separate building had (adjusted OR 1.2; 95% CI 1.02, 1.4) respectively compared to households

cooking in outdoors. In model-1, gender of the baby or female babies were also found to have the reason for lower birthweight other than fuel type and Kitchen location while birth order played no significant role on LBW [Table 4].

Table 4 Multivariable analysis of child size at birth with type of fuel and other variables

Variable	MODEL 1	MODEL 2	MODEL3
Type of fuel			
Low Pollution Fuels	1	1	1
High Pollution Fuels	1.7 (1.3-2.3)***	1.5 (1.1- 2.1)*	1.4 (0.98-1.9)
Kitchen location			
Inside the house	1.3 (1.1-1.6)***	1.4 (1.2-1.7)***	1.3 (1.1-1.6)**
In another building	1.2(1.03-1.4)*	1.3 (1.1-1.5)**	1.3 (1.15-1.5)**
Outdoors	1	1	1
Gender of the baby			
Male	1	1	1
Female	1.5 (1.4-1.6)***	1.5 (1.4-1.6)***	1.5 (1.4-1.7)***
Birth order number			
1	1		
2	0.9 (0.8-1.1)	**xxx**	
3	0.9 (0.8-1.03)	**xxx**	
4+	0.9 (0.8-1.1)	**xxx**	
Mothers age at birth			
>19		1	1
20-29		0.9 (0.8-.98)*	0.9 (0.8-0.97)*
30-49		1.1 (0.7-1.6)	1.1 (0.7-1.6)
Maternal BMI			
Low		1	
Normal		1.01 (0.9-1.1)	

Overweight		1.1 (0.8-1.4)	
Obese		0.7 (0.5-1.1)	**xxx**
Maternal Anemia level			
Sevier		1.4(0.95-1.9)	1.3 (0.9-1.8)
Moderate		1.3 (1.1-1.6)**	1.2 (1.03-1.5)*
mild		1.2 (1.1-1.4)***	1.2(1.1-1.3)*
Not anemic		1	1
Pregnancy intention when become pregnant			
Then		1	
Later		1.1 (1.0-1.3)	**xxx**
never		1.02 (0.9-1.2)	**xxx**
Maternal Chat chewing			
No		1	1
Yes		1.2 (1.1-1.4)**	1.2 (1.1-1.4)**
Maternal Alcohol Drinking			
No		1	1
Yes		1.3 (1.2-1.5)***	1.3 (1.2-1.5)***
Mothers education			
No education		1.1 (0.8-1.6)	0.9(0.7-1.4)
Primary		0.8 (0.6-1.2)	0.8 (0.5-1.1)
Secondary		0.7 (0.5-1.1)	0.8 (0.5-1.1)
Higher		1	1
Type of residence			
Urban			1
Rural			1.0 (0.8-1.2)
Wealth index			
Poorest			1.5 (1.2-1.9)***
Poorer			1.4(1.1-1.7)**

Middle			1.3 (1.03-1.64)**
Richer			1.1(0.9-1.3)
Richest			1
Sex Of Head of the household			
Male			1
Female			1.1(0.96-1.3)

* p < 0.05, ** p < 0.01, *** p < 0.001

In the next step (model-2), when maternal characteristics such as, Age of the mother at first birth, maternal, educational status, BMI, Anemia level, maternal Chat Chewing and Alcohol drinking were added, and still, the effect of cooking fuel on birthweight remained significant but effect size decreased (adjusted OR 1.5; 95% CI 1.1, 2.1). The decrease in effect may have been due to positive effect shown by maternal age where, mothers who first gave birth in the age between the age 20-29 years were 10% more likely (OR, 0.9; 95% CI 0.8-0.98) to give birth to a child with normal birth when compared to mothers first gave birth below the age of 20. Other maternal factors significantly affecting the child's birth size were, Maternal Anemia (*p* =<0.001), Maternal Chat Chewing (*p*=0.002), and maternal Alcohol drinking (*p*<0.001). Being female baby still had significant effect on LBW in Model 2 and its effect size was not changed at this stage.

In full model (model-3) in addition to child and maternal characteristics, demographic variables like type of residence (urban/rural), sex of head of the household (Male, Female) and wealth index, were added and also statistically controlled. In the final model-3, mothers who live in households using high polluting fuels tend to have a higher odds of giving a LBW child but the association was not significant (*p* = 0.069) (adjusted OR 1.4, 95% 0.98, 1.9), But the kitchen location where the food is prepared for the household remains significant predictor of LBW. Cooking either in the household (p=0.001) (Adjusted OR, 1.3; 95% CI 1.1, 1.6) or in a separate

building (p=0.002) (Adjusted OR; 95% CI 1.3 1.2, 1.5) were, almost equally found to have a significant effect on birth size compared to cooking food outside the household. Gender or being a female child was still significant predictor in the final as well as in all the three models with no effect size reduction. Maternal age, Anemia level, pregnancy intention, chat chewing, alcohol drinking and wealth index were also found to be significant predictors of the child's birth size in the final model (Tab. 3). However, the types of residence, sex of head of the household and maternal education were not associated with birthweight in the final model. Wealth index might have masked the association between cooking fuel and birth weight in the final model. This may imply that people with higher income could afford to buy cleaner fuels which are usually more expensive.

Discussion

According to the descriptive findings of the study, a quarter of children were born with low birth weight and about 62% of children with low birthweight were very small and 38% smaller than average. This finding is comparable to finding from rural India of National Family Health Survey [38] which found the level of low birth weight to be 23% and another finding from India which identified 23.8% in Dehradun [39], and 23% in Rural Karnataka [40].

On the other hand only three percent of the households use low polluting fuel and the main source of fuel item for majority of the households (about 85%) is wood. This level of using highly polluting cooking fuels among households in Ethiopia is much higher than the finding form India 72.9% [28], Malawi, 80% [30], and Ghana [41] which have found that 66% of households using high polluting fuel as their main cooking fuel.

Regarding the association between birth weight and fuel type, children of mothers from households with high polluting fuels were about two times more likely to have low birth weight on bivariate analysis and intermediate models. However the association between fuel type and birth weight turned negligible after controlling for all confounding variables on multivariate analysis of final model. Similar finding was revealed from a study by WHO on indoor air pollution from solid fuels and risk of low birthweight and stillbirth [42] and study from Ghana [41]. Another study from analysis of Malawi using DHS data has revealed an increased but insignificant risk of reduced birth weight [30]. Similarly, study based on DHS data from India, on the association between biomass fuel use and maternal report of child size at birth, identified an increased risk of reduced birthweight based on the size of child at birth at bivariate analysis but not statistically significant after adjustment for other risk factors [28]. However other findings from different studies show that using biomass fuels is associated with higher risk of low birth weight. In this regard, using biomass as cooking fuel was associated with more than two-fold increased risk of LBW from finding of study in Lanzhou, China [43] and from study conducted in Zimbabwe, 175g reduction in mean birthweight was observed in children born to mothers that used high polluting fuels [12]. Similar result was also found from findings of Pakistan Demographic and Health Survey study where infants born to common high polluted biomass fuel (wood) users were 41% more likely to have LBW compared to infants born to common low polluted biomass fuel (natural gas) users [29]. In the current study, the association between birthweight and fuel type might be masked by the effect of wealth of the households since the richer households might have the capability to afford those clean fuel types which are more expensive in Ethiopian context. The strength of association wealth index has on birth size was decreasing as we go from the poorest to the richest which could be a clear indication that

most solid biomass fuels are either cheap or free (in the case of agricultural residues and animal dungs) compared to low polluting energy sources such as electricity, liquid petroleum gas, natural gas and biogas which are relatively expensive.

This study identified that, cooking whether inside the house or in a separate building was associated with decreased maternal report of child size, compared to cooking in outdoors. The finding of the practice of cooking food in the house with LBW was consistent with a study conducted in Wolaita zone, southern Ethiopia [35] and Dang district of Nepal [44], where Children born to mothers regularly cooking inside the house were found to report a low birthweight baby as compared to those mothers cooking outdoor. This may be due to living in the same house where the food is prepared may had higher risk and duration of exposure to the noxious pollutants above safe levels recommended by the World Health Organization (recommended 24-hour mean: $PM_{2.5} < 25$ μg/m^3 and $PM_{10} < 50$ μg/m^3) from the burning of biomass fuels on traditional, typically simple, inefficient and unwanted household cooking stoves often in poorly ventilated houses or kitchens [15, 16, 23-24].

According to our finding cooking inside the house and cooking in a separate building has almost similar effect on birth size when compared to cooking outdoors. This is a clear indication that unless efficient stoves are in place with adequate ventilation when using solid biomass fuels the effect of cooking inside the house or in a separate kitchen might not have a difference. This is apparent in countries such as Ethiopia, where a widespread use of improved cook stove has been deterred by, different factors such as meager income of the poor to afford, lack of infrastructure, sluggish market penetration in to remote villages, lack of know-how of utilization and information gap [45]. Our finding was in contrary to similar researches conducted in Bangladesh [27], where cooking place was not significantly associated with child size at birth which could be

due to the difference in the kitchen characteristics, the use of efficient stoves, and proportion of solid biomass fuel users and the socio economic characteristics of the study population.

In the final model, children born to women poorer households were at higher risk of being low birth weight compared to those from richer households. Female neonates were found to be at higher risk of low birthweight compared to males. This finding was similar to findings from other studies elsewhere including studies from Japan [46], Northern Ethiopia [33], On the other hand neonates of anemic women had marginally higher possibility of being LBW compared to those of non-anemic women. From study conducted in Northern Ethiopia, anemic women were found to be nine times more likely to deliver infant with low birthweight [33] and similar finding was found from study of national Family Health Survey-IV in India [28]. Similarly marginal association was found between maternal chat chewing and birthweight. This finding also complies with finding from study conducted in Yemen [47].

Methodological Strengths and Limitations

The strength of this study is that the findings can be generalized at the country level since the study utilized data from a nationally representative household survey. However, children were classified as LBW or not LBW based on the mothers subjective judgment which might introduce measurement bias on the outcome 'birthweight'. This could have implications on the result. Apart from the reliance on self-report of birth size, the cross-sectional design poses problems in establishing the temporal link between exposure and outcome. This study assumed that maternal exposure to biomass fuels was a phenomenon occurred repeatedly for a long time before pregnancy, which might not always be the case. Besides, households may not use the same fuel types all the time and switching from high to low polluting fuels may occur in real life scenarios

which might result in misclassification of exposure and an underestimated effect. Therefore, the exclusion of the use of mixed fuel types and its effect on LBW is not taken into consideration.

Conclusion

Use of biomass fuels is associated with child size at birth. Our finding has important program and policy implications for countries such as Ethiopia, where large proportions of the population rely on polluting biomass fuels for cooking. Developing and effective implementation of biomass based energy strategy which largely involves innovative technological investment and more efficient use of cooking stove technologies must be part of the intervention. Furthermore, future studies should investigate the association using more direct methods for measurement of exposure to smoke emitted from biomass fuels and birthweight.

Acknowledgments

Authors are grateful to DHS ICF, USA for giving us permission to use the EDHS 2016 dataset.

Data Availability Statement

The data are available from the Demographic and Health Survey program website. This data is publicly available online and it can be accessed at the following website by selecting the specific country Ethiopia. http:// dhsprogram.com/data/available-datasets.cfm

Authors' contributions

Conceptualization: Girum Gebremeskel Kanno

Formal analysis: Girum Gebremeskel Kanno and Sewitemariam Desalegn Andarge

Methodology: Girum Gebremeskel Kanno and Sewitemariam Desalegn Andarge

Project administration: Girum Gebremeskel Kanno

Supervision: Adane Tesfaye Anbesse, Mohamed Feyisso Shaka and Miheret Tesfu Legesse

Writing – original draft: Girum Gebremeskel Kanno and Mohamed Feyisso Shaka

Writing – review & editing: Girum Gebremeskel Kanno, Mohamed Feyisso Shaka and Adane Tesfaye Anbesse, Miheret Tesfu Legesse

Competing interests

The authors declare no conflict of interest, financial or otherwise.

Funding

The authors have no support or funding to report.

References

1. World Health Organization: Global Nutrition Targets 2025: Low birth weight policy brief. 2014.
2. Christian P., Lee S. E., Angel M. D., Adair,L. S., Arifeen S. E, Ashorn P., et al. Risk of childhood undernutrition related to small-for-gestational age and preterm birth in low- and middle-income countries. Int J Epidemiol. 2013; 42(13): 40–55.
3. World Health Organization: Comprehensive implementation plan on maternal, infant and young child nutrition. Resolution WHA65.6. 2012.

(http://www.who.int/nutrition/ topics/WHA65.6_resolution_en.pdf?ua=1, accessed 17

4. Ethiopian Central Statistical Agency: Ethiopian Demographic and Health Survey report: Key Indicators Report. The DHS Program ICF. 2016.
5. Kim D. and Saada A. The Social Determinants of Infant Mortality and Birth Outcomes in Western Developed Nations: A Cross-Country Systematic Review. Int. J. Environ. Res. Public Health 2013; 10: 2296-2335; doi:10.3390/ijerph10062296
6. Gu H., Wang L., Liu L., Luo X., Wang J., Hou F., Denis P. et al. A gradient relationship between low birth weight and IQ: A meta-analysis. Sci Rep. 2017; 7(1):18035. doi:10.1038/s41598-017-18234-9
7. Jornayvaz F. R., Vollenweider P., Bochud M., Mooser V., Waeber G. and Marques-Vidal P. Low birth weight leads to obesity, diabetes and increased leptin levels in adults: the CoLaus study. Cardiovasc Diabetol. 2016; 15: 73. DOI 10.1186/s12933-016-0389-2
8. Risnes K. R., Vatten L. J, Baker J. L , Jameson K. , Sovio U., Kajantie E., et al. Birthweight and mortality in adulthood: a systematic review and meta-analysis. Int J Epidemiol. 2011; 40(3):647-61. doi: 10.1093/ije/dyq267.
9. Larroque B , Bertrais S., Czernichow P., Léger J. School difficulties in 20-year-olds who were born small for gestational age at term in a regional cohort study. Pediatrics. 2001;108(1):111-115. doi: 10.1542/peds.108.1.111
10. UNICEF-WHO: Low Birthweight Estimates Levels and trends 2000–2015. 2019.
11. Boy E., Bruce N., and Delgado H. Birth Weight and Exposure to Kitchen Wood Smoke during Pregnancy in Rural Guatemala. Environ. Health Perspect.2002;110:1.

12. Mishra V., Dai X., SMITH K. R., and Mika L. Maternal Exposure to Biomass Smoke and Reduced Birth Weight in Zimbabwe. Ann. Epidemiol. 2004; 14(10):740–747 doi:10.1016/j.annepidem.2004.01.009
13. Kim KH, Jahan SA & Kabir E A review of diseases associated with household air pollution due to the use of biomass fuels. J. Hazard. Mater. 2011; 192: 425–431.
14. Balakrishnan K. Sambandam S. Ramaswamy P. Mehta S. Smith KR. Exposure assessment for respirable particulates associated with household fuel use in rural districts of Andhra Pradesh, India. J Expo Anal Environ Epidemiol. 2004;14: 14-25. DOI: 10.1289/ehp.9479
15. Smith KR. Indoor air pollution in developing countries: recommendations for research. Indoor Air. 2002; 12: 198–207.
16. Oliveira BF, Ignotti E, Hacon SS. A systematic review of the physical and chemical characteristics of pollutants from biomass burning and combustion of fossil fuels and health effects in Brazil. Cad Saude Publica. 2011; 27(9):1678-98. DOI: http://dx.doi.org/10.1590/S0102- 311X2011000900003 version=html
17. Zhang J.J. and Smith K.R. Household Air Pollution from Coal and Biomass Fuels in China: Measurements, Health Impacts, and Interventions. Environ. Health Perspect. 2007;115(6):848-55. doi: 10.1289/ehp.9479
18. Ha E.H., Hong Y.C., Lee B.E., Woo B.H., Schwartz J. and Christiani D. C. Is Air Pollution a Risk Factor for Low Birth Weight in Seoul? Epidemiology. 2001; 12(6):643-648. DOI: 10.1097/00001648-200111000-00011

19. Maisonet M., Bush T. J., Correa A. and Jaakkola J.K. Relation between Ambient Air Pollution and Low Birth Weight in the Northeastern United States. Environ. Health Perspect. 2001;109(3):351-356.
20. Washam C. Cooking with wood may fuel low birth weight: kitchen smoke puts babies at risk. Environmental health perspectives. 2008; 116(4):A173–A.
21. Ritz B, Yu F. The effect of ambient carbon monoxide on low birth weight among children born in southern California between 1989 and 1993 Environ. Health Perspect.1999; 107(1):17–25. doi: 10.1289/ehp.9910717
22. World Health Organization; Opportunities for transition to clean household energy. application of the Household Energy Assessment Rapid Tool (HEART) in Ethiopia. Getachew E Beyene, Abera Kumie, Rufus Edwards, Karin Troncoso. 2018; ISBN 978-92-4-151449-1
23. Bonjour S., Rohani H. A., Wolf J., Bruce N. G , Mehta S. , Prüss-Ustün A., Lahiff M., Rehfuess E. A., Mishra V., Smith K. R. Solid fuel use for household cooking: country and regional estimates for 1980-2010. Environ Health Perspect. 2013;121(7):784-90. DOI: 10.1289/ehp.1205987
24. Amegah AK, Quansah R, Jaakkola JJK. Household Air Pollution from Solid Fuel Use and Risk of Adverse Pregnancy Outcomes: A Systematic Review and Meta-Analysis of the Empirical Evidence. PLoS ONE. 2014; 9(12): e113920. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0113920
25. UNICEF; Levels and Trends in Child Mortality: Estimates Developed by the UN Inter-Agency Group for Child Mortality Estimation (IGME). UNICEF: New York, 2015.

26. Lawn JE, Blencowe H, Oza S, You D, Lee A CC, Waiswa P et al. Every Newborn: progress, priorities, and potential beyond survival. Lancet. 2014; 384 (9988): 189–205.
27. Haider MR, Rahman MM, Islam F, Khan MM Association of Low Birthweight and Indoor Air Pollution: Biomass Fuel Use in Bangladesh. JH&P. 2016;6(11): 18-25. https://doi.org/10.5696/2156-9614-6-11.18
28. Sreeramareddy CT, Shidhaye RR and Sathiakumar N. Association between biomass fuel use and maternal report of child size at birth - an analysis of 2005-06 India Demographic Health Survey data. BMC Public Health. 2011; 11:403 http://www.biomedcentral.com/1471-2458/11/403
29. Ahmed Z, Zafar M, Khan NA, Qureshi MS. Exposure to biomass fuel and low child birth weight – Findings of Pakistan Demographic and Health Survey 2006–2007. Int J Health Syst Disaster Manage. 2015;3:S19-26.
30. Milanzi EB, Namacha NM. Maternal biomass smoke exposure and birthweight in Malawi: Analysis of data from the 2010 Malawi Demographic and Health Survey. Malawi Med J. 2017;29(2):160–165.
31. Bekela MB, Shimbre MS, Gebabo TF, Geta MB, Tonga AT, Zeleke EA, Sidemo NB, and Getnet AB Determinants of Low Birth Weight among Newborns Delivered at Public Hospitals in Sidama Zone, South Ethiopia: Unmatched Case-Control Study. Hindawi Journal of Pregnancy. 2020; Article ID 4675701, https://doi.org/10.1155/2020/4675701
32. Talie A, Taddele M, and Alemayehu M. Magnitude of Low Birth Weight and Associated Factors among Newborns Delivered in Dangla Primary Hospital, Amhara Regional State, North west Ethiopia. Hindawi Journal of Pregnancy. 2017; Article ID 3587239, 6 pages https://doi.org/10.1155/2019/3587239

33. Asmare G, Berhan N, Berhanu M and Alebel A. Determinants of low birth weight among neonates born in Amhara Regional State Referral Hospitals of Ethiopia: unmatched case control study. BMC Res Notes. 2018; 11:447 https://doi.org/10.1186/s13104-018-3568-2
34. Sema A, Tesfaye F, Belay Y, Amsalu B, Bekele D, and Desalew A. Associated Factors with Low Birth Weight in Dire Dawa City, Eastern Ethiopia: A Cross-Sectional Study. Hindawi BioMed Research International. 2019; Article ID 2965094, https://doi.org/10.1155/2019/2965094
35. Admasie A, Kumie A and Worku A. Association of Household Fuel Type, Kitchen Characteristics and House Structure with Child Size at Birth in Wolaita Sodo, Southern Ethiopia. Open Publ Health J. 2018; 11: 298-308.
36. Wachamo TM, Bililign YN, Bizuneh AD Risk factors for low birth weight in hospitals of North Wello zone, Ethiopia: A casecontrol study. PLoS ONE. 2019; 14(3): e0213054. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0213054
37. Alemu T, Umeta M. Prevalence and Predictors of "Small Size" Babies in Ethiopia: In-depth Analysis of the Ethiopian Demographic and Health Survey 2011. Ethiop J Health Sci. 2016; 26(3): 243-250.
38. Noor N, Kural M, Joshi T, Pandit D, Patil A. Study of maternal determinants influencing birth weight of newborn. Arch Med Heal Sci 2015;3:239. https://doi.org/10.4103/2321-4848.171912.
39. Negi KS, Kandpal SD, Kukreti M. Epidemiological factors affecting low birth weight. JK Sci 2006;8:31–4.
40. Metgud CS, Naik VA, Mallapur MD. Factors affecting birth weight of a newborn - a community based study in rural Karnataka, India. PLoS One 2012;7.

https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0040040.

41. Weber E, Adu-bonsaffoh K, Vermeulen R, Klipstein-grobusch K, Grobbee DE, Browne JL, et al. Household fuel use and adverse pregnancy outcomes in a Ghanaian cohort study. Reprod Health 2020;17:1–8.
42. World Health Organization. Indoor air pollution from solid fuels and risk of low birth weight and stillbirth. Annu. Conf. Int. Soc. Environ. Epidemiol., Johannesburg: 2007, p. 1–39.
43. Jiang M, Qiu J, Zhou M, He X, Cui H, Lerro C, et al. Exposure to cooking fuels and birth weight in Lanzhou, China: A birth cohort study. BMC Public Health 2015;15:1–10. https://doi.org/10.1186/s12889-015-2038-1.
44. K. C. A, Basel PL, Singh S (2020) Low birth weight and its associated risk factors: Health facility-based case-control study. PLoS ONE 15(6): e0234907. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.
45. Dawit Diriba Guta Assessment of Biomass Fuel Resource Potential And Utilization in Ethiopia: Sourcing Strategies for Renewable Energies. Int. J. Renew. Energy Res. 2012; 2(1).
46. Terada M, Matsuda Y, Ogawa M, Matsui H, Satoh S. Effects of maternal factors on birth weight in Japan. J Pregnancy 2013;2013. https://doi.org/10.1155/2013/172395.
47. Abdel-Aleem, A.M, Abdulkader A.A, Mustafa M, S.A, Nasr A A, Assad,A M.M. Khat Chewing During Pregnancy: an Insight on an Ancient Problem. Impact of Chewing Khat on Maternal and Fetal Outcome among Yemeni Pregnant Women. J Gynecol Neonatal Biol. 2015; 1(2). DOI: 10.15436/2380-5595.15.004

Supporting information

S1 Fig. Included and excluded cases in the data management process

S1 Table. Model fitness test for all the three tests and their full information

S3 Authentication letter from DHS ICF

Original Data set
41,392: Records available from the Individual Record File of the EDHS

Out of these, birth weight was available for only 11,023 of the births either from measurement or mothers recall.

Excluded from Data set

- **33,573:** No documentation of infant Birth weight
- **292:** twin cases were excluded
- **157**: no or unclear documentation on fuel type or not a De-jure resident
- **283:** maternal BMI information was not available.
- **76**: mothers didn't know the size of their baby
- **9:** Kitchen location unknown
- **98:** Smoking Mothers

Final included in data sets for the analysis

10,014 CASES for the final model with dichotomous outcome variable