Improved symptoms following bumetanide treatment in children aged 3 to 6 years with autism spectrum disorder via GABAergic mechanisms: a randomized, double-blind, placebo-controlled trial

Yuan Dai[1+], MD; Lingli Zhang[1+], MD; Juehua Yu[1,2+], PhD; Xin Zhou[3], MD; Yiting Ji[1], MD; Kai Wang[1], MD; Xiujuan Du[1], MD; Xin Liu[1], MD; Yun Tang[1,4], PhD; Shining Deng[1], PhD; Christelle Langley[5], PhD; Weiguang Li[6], PhD; Jun Zhang[1], PhD; Jianfeng Feng[7], PhD; Barbara J Sahakian[1,5,7], PhD, DSc; Qiang Luo[7, 8]*, PhD; Fei Li[1]*, MD.

[1]Developmental and Behavioural Pediatric Department & Child Primary Care Department, Brain and Behavioural Research Unit of Shanghai Institute for Pediatric Research and MOE-Shanghai Key Laboratory for Children's Environmental Health, Xinhua Hospital, Shanghai Jiao Tong University School of Medicine, Shanghai, China

[2]Centre for Experimental Studies and Research, The First Affiliated Hospital of Kunming Medical University, Kunming, China.

[3]Clinical Research Unit, Xinhua Hospital, Shanghai Jiao Tong University School of Medicine, Shanghai, China

[4]The School of Psychology and Cognitive Science, East China Normal University, Shanghai, China

[5]Department of Psychiatry and the Behavioural and Clinical Neuroscience Institute, University of Cambridge, Cambridge, UK

[6]Collaborative Innovation Center for Brain Science, Department of Anatomy and Physiology, Shanghai Jiao Tong University School of Medicine, Shanghai, China

[7]MOE-Key Laboratory of Computational Neuroscience and Brain-Inspired Intelligence, Institute of Science and Technology for Brain-Inspired Intelligence, Fudan University, Shanghai, China

[8]State Key Laboratory of Medical Neurobiology and MOE Frontiers Center for Brain Science, Institutes of Brain Science and Human Phenom Institute, Fudan University, Shanghai, China

+These authors contributed equally to this work

*Correspondence:
Dr. F Li, Developmental and Behavioural Pediatric Department & Child Primary Care Department, Brain and Behavioural Research Unit of Shanghai Institute for Pediatric Research

and MOE-Shanghai Key Laboratory for Children's Environmental Health, Xinhua Hospital, Shanghai Jiao Tong University School of Medicine, Shanghai 200092, China. Email: feili@shsmu.edu.cn

Dr. Q Luo, Institute of Science and Technology for Brain-Inspired Intelligence, Fudan University, China. Email: qluo@fudan.edu.cn

Abstract

With the drug therapy for the core symptoms of autism spectrum disorder (ASD) currently limited, here we reported a randomised, double-blind, placebo-controlled trial to investigate the efficacy, safety, and potential neural mechanism of bumetanide in children with ASD aged 3 to 6 years old. In this trial, 120 children with ASD were 1:1 randomly assigned to receive 0.5 mg bumetanide or placebo orally twice daily for 3 months. The primary outcome was the score reduction of Childhood Autism Rating Scale (CARS) and the secondary outcomes were the score of Clinical Global Impressions (CGI) Improvement scale (CGI-I) at 3 months and the change from baseline to 3-month in Autism Diagnostic Observation Schedule (ADOS). Magnetic resonance spectroscopy (MRS) was used to measure γ-aminobutyric acid (GABA) and glutamate neurotransmitter concentrations in the insular cortex (IC) before and after the treatment. As compared with the placebo, bumetanide treatment was significantly better in reducing severity. No patient withdrew from the trial due to adverse events. The superiority of bumetanide to placebo in reducing insular GABA, measured using MRS, was demonstrated. The clinical improvement was associated with the decrease in insular GABA in the bumetanide group. In children with predominantly moderate and severe ASD, the treatment of bumetanide at a dose of 0.5 mg twice daily attenuated the core symptoms, as compared with placebo, with few adverse events. The neuroimaging data demonstrated that bumetanide decreased GABA levels in insular cortex, which was associated with symptom reduction.

Key words: Autism spectrum disorder, children, RCT, bumetanide, GABA

Introduction

Autism spectrum disorder (ASD), is an early-onset neurodevelopmental disorder and often leads to a life-time disability, and currently has no cure and no FDA-approved medication to effectively treat its core symptoms including social communication and social interaction as well as restricted, repetitive patterns of behaviour [1-3]. Behavioural interventions in early childhood are most effective and recommended [4, 5]. However, behaviour therapy services are not widely available for early childhood intervention in many countries [6, 7].

Bumetanide, an FDA-approved potent loop diuretic, has been proposed as a promising drug candidate for ASD treatment. In previous studies the use of bumetanide was shown to improve the symptoms including social communication, interaction, and restricted interest and it was also shown to attenuate the severity of the disorder in ASD patients, as measured by Childhood Autism Rating Scale (CARS,) with no major adverse events [8-11]. The first randomised controlled trial in 60 cases aged 3 to 11 years, of which the average age was 6.8 years, showed improvements in CARS and Clinical Global Impressions (CGI) Improvement scale (CGI-I) with a main side effect mild hypokalemia [12]. Another randomised controlled unblinded trial on 60 cases aged 2.5 to 6.5 years found that the add-on bumetanide together with the Applied Behaviour Analysis (ABA) was superior to ABA alone as measured by both CGI-I and Autism Behaviour Checklist (ABC) total score. In that study, no significant side effects were reported[13]. A randomised, four-arm, dose-response trial to optimize bumetanide dosage on 88 cases aged 2 to 18 years showed improvements in CARS total score and global Social Responsiveness Scales (SRS) score, as well as the subcategories social communication and restricted interests and repetitive behaviour in SRS, however some adverse events were observed, including hypokalemia, increased urine elimination, loss of appetite, dehydration and asthenia[14]. Limitations of these trials included relatively small sample sizes and the patient heterogeneity in terms of a large age range from infants to adolescents. Therefore, the safety and efficacy of bumetanide in young children remain uncertain. In addition, it is important to understand the neural mechanisms of symptom reduction by bumetanide in the human autistic brain. Towards filling this gap, our most recent open-label trial on 83 children with ASD aged 3 to 6 years showed improvements in both CARS and CGI and provided the neuroimaging evidence suggesting that bumetanide reduces γ-aminobutyric acid (GABA) in the human autistic brain, especially in the insular cortex (IC)[15]. The IC is known as a brain region involved in the integration of sensory, emotional, and autonomic information in order to allocate cognitive resources and guide behaviour[16]. These functions were variably

impaired in ASD rodent models and in individuals with ASD[17]. Our previous findings suggested that bumetanide decreases GABA in the IC, and thereby ameliorates ASD symptoms in children[15].

These recent findings by our group encouraged us to conduct a randomised, double-blind, placebo-controlled trial of bumetanide, in which we investigated the safety and efficacy of bumetanide treatment for 3 months in children aged 3 to 6 years with ASD. In addition, we used magnetic resonance spectroscopy (MRS) to measure the neurotransmitter concentrations of GABA and glutamate in the IC obtained before and after treatment. This would allow us to determine whether the therapeutic effect of bumetanide on autistic symptoms was associated with changes in GABA.

Materials and methods

Detailed methods are provided in the Appendix-supplementary methods.

Trial design and participants

The study used a randomised, double-blind, placebo-controlled, parallel-group design. We recruited eligible children from outpatient clinics at the Xinhua Hospital affiliated to Shanghai Jiao Tong University School of Medicine. Participants were considered eligible if they were diagnosed with ASD according to the Diagnostic and Statistical Manual of Mental Disorders, Fifth Edition (DSM-5); aged between 3 to 6 years; confirmed diagnosis with the Autism Diagnostic Interview–Revised (ADIR) and/or Autism Diagnostic Observation Schedule (ADOS), a CARS total score of no less than 30 and had no access to any behavioural intervention. We excluded patients if they had liver or kidney dysfunction; a history of allergy to sulfa drugs; abnormal electrocardiogram; genetic or chromosomal abnormalities; were diagnosed with neurological disease (e.g. epilepsy, Rett syndrome), or psychiatric disorder (e.g. very early-onset schizophrenia) other than ASD; severe hearing or visual impairment; were currently using melatonin for the treatment of sleep disorders or cessation of such treatment for less than 3 weeks. Additional exclusion criteria for neuroimaging were any contraindications of magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) scanning and any previous reports of traumatic brain injury.

Participants were randomly assigned in a 1:1 ratio to receive 0.5mg oral bumetanide or placebo twice daily for 3 months by use of a block randomisation scheme. Bumetanide and

placebo tablets were identical in appearance, smell and taste. Patients and their caregivers, investigators, experienced psychiatrists, and data analysts remained masked for the treatment allocation until the study database was locked. The study was conducted in accordance with the guidelines for Good Clinical Practice and the principles of the Declaration of Helsinki and was reviewed and approved by the Ethics Committee of Xinhua Hospital affiliated to Shanghai Jiao Tong University School of Medicine (XHEC-C-2016-103). Parents or legal guardians of all participants provided written informed consent. The trial was registered with ClinicalTrials.gov (NCT03156153).

Outcome measures

The primary outcome was the change from baseline to 3-month in CARS (the total score ranges from 15 to 60 by summing up the 15 items, with a higher score indicating more severe autism)[18]. Confirmatory secondary outcomes were the change from baseline to 3-month in ADOS, SRS and the Clinical Global Impression-Improvement (CGI-I) to rate how much the patient's illness has improved or worsened relative to a baseline measurement (a seven-point scale; 1 = “very much improved” to 7 = “very much worse”). The exploratory outcomes were the changes from baseline to 3-month in neurotransmitter (e.g. GABA and glutamate) concentrations within the IC. The safety outcomes were symptoms and blood parameters (serum potassium, uric acid, and creatine) closely monitored during the treatment period.

Statistical analysis

Participants who received at least one dose of the study medication were included in the modified intention-to-treat population of primary outcome analysis and safety analysis, which was performed when the last trial participant reached 3 months.

The treatment effect of bumetanide was assessed by the change of the total score of CARS from baseline to 3-month using a mixed model [19]. If the treatment effect was significant on the total score of CARS, we further tested the effects on 6 CARS items separately as suggested by our previous open-label study [15]. Adjustment for multiple comparisons was performed at $p = 0.05$ using the false discovery rate (FDR) approach (Benjamin-Hochberg adjusted-p value). For CGI-I, the Kruskal–Wallis chi-squared test was applied to assess the significance level of the inter-group difference. Similarly, the treatment effects of bumetanide on the neurotransmitters in the insular cortex were tested by mixed models adjusted for age, sex, and intelligent. A permutation-based linear model was used to study the association

between the changes of the neurotransmitter concentrations and the change of the CARS total scores, conducted by treatment group, adjusted for age, sex, intelligence, baseline CARS scores and neurotransmitter concentrations. If a significant association was detected, associations between the change in MRS measurement and the CARS items which showed significant treatment effects were further investigated and FDR correction was applied to control for multiple comparisons with items.

Results

Participants

From May 24th, 2017 through March 25th, 2019, of one hundred seventy-seven patients who were screened for eligibility, 120 were enrolled and 60 (50%) were randomly assigned to the bumetanide group and 60 (50%) to the placebo group (Figure 1). Among these 120 patients, one patient withdrew consent before the initiation of trial treatment and did not take any dose of study medication, resulting in 59 patients in the bumetanide group and 60 in the placebo group in the modified intend-to-treat analysis. Fifty-eight (97%) patients in the bumetanide group and 58 (97%) in the placebo group completed the 3-month study. One patient in the bumetanide group (who suffered from hand, foot, and mouth disease at week 4) and two in the placebo group (who were given the access to behavioural therapy at week 5) discontinued the treatment before the end of trial. For the MRS data, in the bumetanide group, 45 patients were scanned before the treatment, 38 after and 37 at both times due to MRI compliance and tolerance in young children. In the placebo group there were 49 patients scanned before the treatment, 43 after and 43 at both times. After the quality control of the MRS data, as described in the Methods section, the final sample consisted of 18 in the bumetanide group and 23 in the placebo group (Figure 1). The mean age of all patients was 4.12 years; 84% were male. The mean CARS total score was 38.17 (SD 4.08); 71% of all patients had severe symptoms with a total score exceeding 36 and a rating of 3 or higher on at least 5 of the 15 CARS items. Baseline characteristics such as age, sex, severity of ASD and IQ/DQ were well-balanced across the two groups (Table 1).

Outcomes

In the modified intention-to-treat analysis, the total score of CARS decreased on average by 2.23 (SD 1.29) points in the bumetanide group and by 1.28 (SD 0.91) points in the placebo group. Using the mixed-effect model, the therapeutic effect of bumetanide compared with placebo was statistically significant ($t_{117} = -4.67$, $p = 8.20\times10^{-6}$) and had a moderate-to-large

effect size as measured by the partial-eta squared (η_p^2 = 0.15, Cohen's D = 0.86 using a t-test of the group difference in the reduction of CARS total score). Significant decreases were also observed in the CARS items, including item 1 (impairment in human relationships) and item 13 (activity level); after adjustment for multiple comparisons item 1 (p-perm = 0.013, p-FDR = 0.039) and item 13 (p-perm = 0.011, p-FDR = 0.039) remained significant (Table 2). Clinical improvement was confirmed by improvement on the CGI-I scale ($\chi 2 = 5.27$, p = 0.022, supplementary Table 1). Moreover, a significant amelioration (t = -2.107, p = 0.0398, p-perm = 0.046) in SRS was shown in bumetanide group (11.16 (18.30)) comparing with that in placebo group (1.33 (16.27)), which provided an independent support for primary measure CARS (supplementary Table 5). However, no significant difference in ADOS was observed when comparing before and after 3-months treatment (supplementary Table 4).

The mean decrease between baseline and 3-month follow-up in the GABA/NAA ratio in the IC was 0.0439 (SD 0.06) in the bumetanide group and 0.0002 (SD 0.06) in the placebo group. We found a significant difference in the changes of the GABA concentration between the drug group and the placebo group while controlling for the age, sex and IQ/DQ (t_{36} = -2.335, p = 0.025). Using the mixed-effect model, we found that bumetanide compared with placebo had a significant effect over the 3-month treatment course on the GABA concentration (t_{40} = -2.20, p = 0.034; p-perm = 0.04, η_p^2 = 0.08; Cohen's D = 0.71 using a t-test of the group difference in the reduction of GABA concentration) (Figure 2B). Using the mixed-effect model, we also examined the NAA/Cr ratio and GABA/Cr ratio [20], and found no drug effect on NAA/Cr but a drug effect on GABA/Cr (t_{38} = -2.02, p = 0.051, p-perm = 0.04, η_p^2 = 0.074)(supplementary Table 6). These results excluded the confounding effect of possible changes in NAA and further confirmed the drug effect on GABA. At the baseline before treatment, no significant difference in neither the GABA/Cr ratio nor the NAA/Cr ratio between the bumetanide group and the placebo group. The GABA change was predictive of the change in symptom severity as measured by the CARS total score (t_{11} = 2.278, p = 0.035) in the bumetanide group, but not in the placebo group (t_{16} = 0.483, p = 0.594) after the treatment (Figure 2C). For the specific symptom dimensions, the GABA change was predictive of the change in the activity level (t_{11} = 2.553, p = 0.009, p-FDR = 0.017).

Adverse events

All adverse events were graded 4 (mild) and no patient withdrew from the trial due to adverse events (Table 3). The most common adverse event observed was polyuria: 40 (67.8%) in the bumetanide group and 5 (8.3%) in the placebo group. However, there was no significant difference (t_{57}=-0.29, p=0.77) between the decreases of CARS total scores in the subjects of bumetanide group with polyuria 2.26 (1.31) versus without polyuria 2.16 (1.28) (supplementary Table 7). There were 11 (18.6%) at 1-week, 4 (6.8%) at 1-month and 2 (3.4%) at 3-month in the bumetanide group developed mild hyperuricemia, and it was resolved by appropriate diet adjustment and more water drinking. A total of 6 in the bumetanide group (1 (1.7%) at 1-week and 5 (8.5%) at 3-month) developed mild hypokalemia, with serum potassium between 3.0 and 3.5 mmol/L. Hypokalemia was resolved after patients were administered potassium supplements and were advised to eat potassium-rich foods. The remaining side effects were loss of appetite (4 (6.8%) versus 1 (1.7%)), constipation (5 (8.5%) versus 2 (3.3%)), nausea (5 (8.5%) versus 2 (3.3%)), vomiting (1 (1.7%) versus 2 (3.3%)), diarrhea (0 versus 1 (1.7%)) and sleeping problem (1 (1.7%) versus 0) in the bumetanide group versus the placebo group (Table 3). Neither the change in blood potassium nor change in blood uric acid levels were associated with the decreases of CARS total scores (supplementary Table 8 & 9).

Discussion and conclusion

In this randomised, double-blind, placebo-controlled trial of bumetanide in a large group (n = 120) of children with ASD aged 3 to 6 years, we found that bumetanide at a dose of 0.5 mg twice daily, significantly improved the core symptoms of ASD as measured by the primary clinical outcome (CARS total score), with no clinically significant side effects. There was a moderate to large effect size ($\eta_p^2 = 0.15$) of bumetanide treatment. We also found that the treatment of bumetanide reduced the insular GABA, and greater reduction in GABA was associated with greater decrease in the CARS total score in the patients who received bumetanide.

Although a number of early intensive behavioural interventions have been developed, the generalizability and availability remain a significant barrier for children with ASD after diagnosis [4]. For example, in some European countries, more than 20% of children aged 7 years or younger receive no intervention at all.[6] In China, the age of diagnosis is often between 3 and 6 years old, which is also a critical time window of rapid and dramatic postnatal brain development, and of fundamental acquisition of social and cognitive development[21]. However, there are fewer published trials in preschool-aged children with ASD than in school-aged children or adults. The children in the present trial were all aged 3 to 6 years, and the majority had severe ASD (71%) as determined by CARS total score. We conclude that the bumetanide is safe and effective in improving disease severity and attenuating social and motor impairment for children with ASD aged 3 to 6 years old, and therefore provides a viable alternative therapeutic option for young patients in addition to behavioural interventions.

The therapeutic effects of bumetanide on autistic young children are encouraging, which calls for further rigorous studies on the underlying mechanisms. Based on the results, we suggest that the decreased GABA in the IC after bumetanide treatment in children with ASD mediates the improvement of core symptoms. The bumetanide-mediated reduction of insular GABA in the present study was positively associated with the decrease of CARS total score after the treatment with bumetanide. No significant treatment effect of bumetanide was observed on the glutamate concentration in the bumetanide group compared with the placebo group ($t_{39} = -1.50$, $p = 0.143$; Supplementary Method). This finding supports our previous open-label trial in 83 young patients which provided evidence that the symptom improvement following bumetanide treatment was significantly associated with reduced GABA in IC but

not in visual cortex [15]. We also found that the association of the insular GABA decrease was especially related to an improvement of a sensorimotor-related CARS item (item13 activity level), in keeping with our previous findings [15]. According to DSM-5, the sensorimotor-related symptoms belong to the ASD core symptoms, and have been shown to precede and be predictive of social-communication deficits in childhood [22].

Bumetanide has been suggested to act on its molecular target Na-K-Cl cotransporter 1 (NKCC1) in the adult brain shown by animal studies [23, 24]. NKCC1 acts as an inhibitor to reduce the intracellular chloride concentration ([Cl−]i) to promote the inhibitory nature of GABAergic synaptic transmission at the cellular level. Bumetanide has also been reported to hyperpolarize GABA reversal potential in immature neurons in mouse pups treated with intraperitoneal injections of bumetanide[25]. We found that bumetanide reduced insular GABA in autistic children. It is known that there is an impairment of the neurodevelopmental GABA switch (excitatory-to-inhibitory) in ASD rodent models [26] and it appears that the same may be true in children with ASD [15]. Therefore, our results suggest that a bumetanide-mediated decrease in cortical GABA level might be considered as a reduction in an excitatory action of GABA. This reduction of the excitatory action of GABA may ultimately facilitate the GABA switch to an inhibitory action of GABA, as seen in the typical human adult brain [27]. In addition, bumetanide as a NKCC1 inhibitor has been identified to preferentially act on the GABAergic inhibitory interneurons over the glutamatergic excitatory cortical neurons in laboratory animals [28], providing a potential mechanism for bumetanide to adjust the GABA level.

As a single-site investigator-initiated trial, the current study still has some limitations, including a relatively short treatment period (3 months) for bumetanide, which precluded an evaluation of long-lasting effect due to the short follow-up time. Thus, future studies with longer treatment periods would be helpful to evaluate the long-term therapeutic effects of bumetanide in children with ASD. Consistent with previous studies, the present trial indicated that possibly not all young children with ASD will benefit from bumetanide treatment in such a short period (3-months). Due to the high heterogeneity in ASD, further investigations will be required to identify specific biomarkers for the potential subpopulation of effective responders to bumetanide treatment. Although we have used, what might be considered the gold standard, including additional rating scales may contribute to future studies.-In our MRS experiment, NAA was the only available peak acquired simultaneously

to our metabolites of interest, namely GABA. To control for potential drifts in the spectra during acquisition and variations between scans, a simultaneously acquired reference peak is highly preferable. Therefore, we chose to compare the GABA/NAA ratio before and after treatment to assess the drug effect on GABA. It is theoretically possible that the drug effect detected for the GABA/NAA ratio was driven by NAA. However, the nonsignificant drug effect on both Glx/NAA and NAA/Cr but significant effect on GABA/Cr might suggest that the detected drug effect was unlikely to be driven by NAA. Future studies using more direct measurement of GABA may reveal more detailed effect of bumetanide in the autistic brains.

In summary, this trial in a large group of children with ASD aged 3 to 6 years old demonstrated that 3-month treatment of bumetanide at a dose of 0.5 mg twice daily is safe and effective in improving the core symptoms of ASD. In addition, we found that the therapeutic effect of bumetanide on disease was associated with changes in GABA in IC, which may help to elucidate the therapeutic mechanism of bumetanide.

Conflicts of interest

Dr. Sahakian consults for Cambridge Cognition and Peak, UK. All other authors declare no conflict of interest.

Acknowledgments

This project was funded by the Shanghai Municipal Commission of Health and Family Planning (No.2017ZZ02026, No. 2018BR33, No.2017EKHWYX-02 and No.GDEK201709), Shanghai Shenkang Hospital Development Center (No.16CR2025B), Shanghai Municipal Education Commission (No.20152234), National Natural Science Foundation of China (No. 81571031, No. 81761128035, No.81930095, No. 81873909, No.81701334 and No. 81703249), Shanghai Committee of Science and Technology (No.17XD1403200, No.17ZR1444400, No.19410713500, No.18DZ2313505), Xinhua Hospital of Shanghai Jiao Tong University School of Medicine (2018YJRC03, Talent introduction-014 and Top talent-201603), National Human Genetic Resources Sharing Service Platform (2005DKA21300), the National Key Research and Development Program of China (2018YFC0910503), 111 Project (NO.B18015), the Shanghai Municipal Science and Technology Major Project (No.2018SHZDZX01), Guangdong Key Project in "Development of new tools for diagnosis and treatment of Autism" (2018B030335001), and ZJ Lab.

Evaluators: Mingyu Xu, MD PhD, Yun Fan, BA, Danping Wu, MS, Yongjun Chen, MS

TSC: Kun Sun, MD PhD, Zhongchen Luo, PhD, Jiong Li, MD PhD, Ti-Fei Yuan, PhD, Li Yang, PhD

DSMB: Lixiao Shen, MD PhD, Feng Li, MD PhD, Yongguo Yu, MD PhD, Wei Zhou, MD PhD, Xi Zhang, PhD

We also thank the children and families who participated in this study.

Author contributions

FL and QL designed the study. YD, LLZ, JTY, KW, XJD, YT and SND collected the data. YD, LLZ, JHY, WGL and CL interpreted the data and wrote the report. BJS contributed to the data analysis and interpretation and manuscript preparation. XZ and JFF provided methodology support. FL and QL were the principal investigators. All authors approved the final version of the manuscript. Xinhua Hospital Shanghai Jiao Tong University School of Medicine, China was leading the study design and conducted the clinical trial; Fudan University, China was leading the biostatistics and MRS data analysis; University of Cambridge, UK team provided supports in study design, data interpreting strategy and article editing. QL did the statistical analysis and signed it off. The statistical analysis plan was finalized on August 15, 2016. Data lock occurred at August 20, 2019. Xinhua Hospital Clinical Research Unit (CRU) provided financial administration. On-site audit was regularly carried out by the Shanghai Shenkang Hospital Development Center with no critical findings.

References

[1] Accordino RE, Kidd C, Politte LC, et al. Psychopharmacological interventions in autism spectrum disorder. Expert Opin Pharmacother, 2016, 17: 937-952

[2] Sun X, Allison C, Wei L, et al. Autism prevalence in china is comparable to western prevalence. Mol Autism, 2019, 10: 7

[3] Baio J, Wiggins L, Christensen DL, et al. Prevalence of autism spectrum disorder among children aged 8 years - autism and developmental disabilities monitoring network, 11 sites, united states, 2014. MMWR Surveill Summ, 2018, 67: 1-23

[4] Schreibman L, Dawson G, Stahmer AC, et al. Naturalistic developmental behavioral interventions: Empirically validated treatments for autism spectrum disorder. J Autism Dev Disord, 2015, 45: 2411-2428

[5] Lord C, Elsabbagh M, Baird G, et al. Autism spectrum disorder. Lancet, 2018, 392: 508-520

[6] Salomone E, Beranova S, Bonnet-Brilhault F, et al. Use of early intervention for young children with autism spectrum disorder across europe. Autism, 2016, 20: 233-249

[7] Hastings RP, Robertson J, Yasamy MT. Interventions for children with pervasive developmental disorders in low and middle income countries. J Appl Res Intellect Disabil, 2012, 25: 119-134

[8] Grandgeorge M, Lemonnier E, Degrez C, et al. The effect of bumetanide treatment on the sensory behaviours of a young girl with asperger syndrome. BMJ Case Rep, 2014, 2014:
[9] Hadjikhani N, Zurcher NR, Rogier O, et al. Improving emotional face perception in autism with diuretic bumetanide: A proof-of-concept behavioral and functional brain imaging pilot study. Autism, 2015, 19: 149-157
[10] Kharod SC, Kang SK, Kadam SD. Off-label use of bumetanide for brain disorders: An overview. Front Neurosci, 2019, 13: 310
[11] Lemonnier E, Ben-Ari Y. The diuretic bumetanide decreases autistic behaviour in five infants treated during 3 months with no side effects. Acta Paediatr, 2010, 99: 1885-1888
[12] Lemonnier E, Degrez C, Phelep M, et al. A randomised controlled trial of bumetanide in the treatment of autism in children. Transl Psychiatry, 2012, 2: e202
[13] Du L, Shan L, Wang B, et al. A pilot study on the combination of applied behavior analysis and bumetanide treatment for children with autism. J Child Adolesc Psychopharmacol, 2015, 25: 585-588
[14] Lemonnier E, Villeneuve N, Sonie S, et al. Effects of bumetanide on neurobehavioral function in children and adolescents with autism spectrum disorders. Transl Psychiatry, 2017, 7: e1124
[15] Zhang L, Huang C-C, Dai Y, et al. Symptom improvement in children with autism spectrum disorder following bumetanide administration is associated with decreased gaba/glutamate ratios. Translational Psychiatry, 2020, 10: 9
[16] Uddin LQ. Salience processing and insular cortical function and dysfunction. Nat Rev Neurosci, 2015, 16: 55-61
[17] Gogolla N, Takesian AE, Feng G, et al. Sensory integration in mouse insular cortex reflects gaba circuit maturation. Neuron, 2014, 83: 894-905
[18] Schopler E, Reichler RJ, DeVellis RF, et al. Toward objective classification of childhood autism: Childhood autism rating scale (cars). J Autism Dev Disord, 1980, 10: 91-103
[19] Nieuwenhuis S, Forstmann BU, Wagenmakers EJ. Erroneous analyses of interactions in neuroscience: A problem of significance. Nat Neurosci, 2011, 14: 1105-1107
[20] Donahue MJ, Near J, Blicher JU, et al. Baseline gaba concentration and fmri response. Neuroimage, 2010, 53: 392-398
[21] Czeizel AE, Dudas I. Prevention of the first occurrence of neural-tube defects by periconceptional vitamin supplementation. N Engl J Med, 1992, 327: 1832-1835
[22] Mosconi MW, Sweeney JA. Sensorimotor dysfunctions as primary features of autism spectrum disorders. Sci China Life Sci, 2015, 58: 1016-1023
[23] Brandt C, Nozadze M, Heuchert N, et al. Disease-modifying effects of phenobarbital and the nkcc1 inhibitor bumetanide in the pilocarpine model of temporal lobe epilepsy. J Neurosci, 2010, 30: 8602-8612
[24] Romermann K, Fedrowitz M, Hampel P, et al. Multiple blood-brain barrier transport mechanisms limit bumetanide accumulation, and therapeutic potential, in the mammalian brain. Neuropharmacology, 2017, 117: 182-194
[25] Wang DD, Kriegstein AR. Blocking early gaba depolarization with bumetanide results in permanent alterations in cortical circuits and sensorimotor gating deficits. Cereb Cortex, 2011, 21: 574-587
[26] Tyzio R, Nardou R, Ferrari DC, et al. Oxytocin-mediated gaba inhibition during delivery attenuates autism pathogenesis in rodent offspring. Science, 2014, 343: 675-679
[27] Ben-Ari Y. The gaba excitatory/inhibitory developmental sequence: A personal journey. Neuroscience, 2014, 279: 187-219
[28] Martina M, Royer S, Pare D. Cell-type-specific gaba responses and chloride homeostasis in the cortex and amygdala. J Neurophysiol, 2001, 86: 2887-2895

Figures

Figure 1: Trial profile. QC: Quality Control.

Figure 2: (A) Insular cortex VOIs in MRS, (B) the change in GABA/NAA ratio from baseline to 3-month, and (C) the association of changes of GABA/NAA with the changes of CARS total score after 3-month treatment.

Table 1: Baseline characteristics of study participants

Variable	*Bumetanide*	*Placebo*	Degree of freedom	Statistic	p value
N	59	60			
Age, years	4.03 (0.81)	4.22 (0.94)	115.0	1.17	0.25
Sex					
Female	8 (14%)	11 (18%)	1	0.51	0.48
Male	51 (86%)	49 (82%)			
ADOS*					
Communication	6.41 (1.53)	6.17 (1.65)	116.6	-0.82	0.41
Social score	10.83 (1.96)	10.47 (2.22)	115.6	-0.95	0.34
Social + Communication	17.24 (3.28)	16.63 (3.40)	116.9	-0.99	0.33
Imagination	2.75 (1.29)	2.33 (1.24)	116.6	-1.77	0.08
Repetition	2.32 (1.44)	1.92 (1.33)	115.9	-1.59	0.11
ADOS (+) *	59 (100%)	60 (100%)			
ADIR*					
Total A	21.22 (5.39)	20.68 (6.12)	115.6	-0.51	0.61
Total B non-verbal	10.79 (3.25)	10.40 (2.76)	31.0	-1.46	0.15
Total B verbal	12.59 (4.61)	10.50 (3.79)	79.9	-0.58	0.56
Total C	6.22 (2.38)	6.23 (2.25)	116.4	0.03	0.98
Total D	3.22 (1.60)	3.53 (1.67)	116.9	1.04	0.30
ADIR (+) *	48 (82%)	49 (82%)	1	0.002	0.97
CARS*					
Total score	38.27 (3.39)	38.08 (4.68)	107.6	-0.26	0.79
Number of items scored ≥3	6.20 (2.91)	6.00 (3.97)	108.1	-0.32	0.75
Severity of ASD*					
Severe	46 (78%)	39 (65%)	1	2.45	0.12
Mild to moderate	13 (22%)	21 (35%)			
Comorbidity with DD/ID*					
With DD/ID	44 (75%)	39 (66%)	1	1.29	0.26
Without DD/ID	15 (25%)	21 (35%)			

Data are mean (SD) or n (%). Group differences are evaluated with Welch's t test for continuous variables and Pearson's chi-squared test for categorical variables. *ADOS=The Autism Diagnostic Observation Schedule. *ADIR=The Autism Diagnostic Interview–Revised. *CARS=Childhood Autism Rating Scale. *Data shown in the ADOS (+) or ADIR (+) are the number and proportion of participants that met the criteria for ADOS or ADIR. *Severity of ASD was defined according to CARS: Severe symptoms of ASD were participants whose total score exceeded 36 and who had a rating of 3 or higher on at least 5 of the 15 items of CARS. *DD/ID=Developmental delay/intellectual disability: By intellectual assessment, the patients with developmental quotient (DQ) < 75 assessed using Gesell development scales, and intelligence quotient (IQ) < 70 assessed using WISC-R or WPPSI (Wechsler Intelligence Scale for children) were diagnosed as developmental delay or intellectual disability (DD/ID).

Table 2. Primary clinical efficacy outcomes at month 3

	Mean (SD) at baseline		Mean (SD) at month 3		Mean change (SD) between month 3		p value	Perm. p value*	FDR adjusted p value*
	Bumetanide (n=59)	Placebo (n=60)	Bumetanide (n=59)	Placebo (n=60)	Bumetanide (n=59)	Placebo (n=60)			
Total score of CARS	38.27 (3.39)	38.08 (4.68)	36.04 (3.30)	36.80 (4.55)	2.23 (1.29)	1.28 (0.91)	8.20×10^{-6}		
No. of items scored≥3	6.20 (2.91)	6.00 (3.97)	4.15 (2.59)	4.75 (3.83)	2.05 (1.29)	1.25 (1.26)	0.0008		
Items of CARS*									
Item 1	2.76 (0.44)	2.68 (0.55)	2.51 (0.39)	2.56 (0.50)	0.25 (0.30)	0.13 (0.25)	0.0123	0.0117	0.0380
Item 3	2.68 (0.45)	2.71 (0.47)	2.53 (0.45)	2.57 (0.44)	0.15 (0.27)	0.14 (0.32)	0.8409	0.8337	0.8337
Item 4	2.47 (0.48)	2.45 (0.52)	2.35 (0.43)	2.35 (0.52)	0.13 (0.29)	0.10 (0.24)	0.5774	0.5915	0.7098
Item 5	2.77 (0.42)	2.66 (0.50)	2.61 (0.41)	2.58 (0.51)	0.16 (0.27)	0.08 (0.27)	0.0868	0.0853	0.1280
Item 7	2.58 (0.45)	2.53 (0.47)	2.38 (0.38)	2.44 (0.43)	0.20 (0.30)	0.09 (0.33)	0.0523	0.0487	0.0973
Item 13	2.56 (0.47)	2.70 (0.45)	2.36 (0.45)	2.66 (0.45)	0.20 (0.37)	0.04 (0.31)	0.0112	0.0127	0.0380

The treatment effect of bumetanide was assessed by testing the significance of an interaction term of a mixed effect model. The interaction term was defined by treatment X time. *Six items of the CARS were analyzed based on the previous open-label study to identify those that were the most affected by the treatment. Item 1: Impairment in Human Relationships. Item 3: Inappropriate affect. Item 4: Bizarre Use of Body Movement and Persistence of Stereotypes. Item 5: Peculiarities in Relating to Nonhuman Objects. Item 7: Peculiarities of Visual Responsiveness. Item 13: Activity level. The p-value of this interaction term was listed. *Perm. p value: P value for time × group interaction in a mixed effect model based on 3000 random permutations. *FDR adjusted p value was calculated by multiple comparisons performed at p,0.05 using the false discovery rate approach. CARS=Childhood Autism Rating Scale

Table 3. Reported adverse events (in the modified intention-to-treat population)

	Bumetanide (N=59)	Placebo (N=60)
Non-serious adverse event		
Polyuria*	40 (67.8%)	5 (8.3%)
Loss of appetite	4 (6.8%)	1 (1.7%)
Constipation	5 (8.5%)	2 (3.3%)
Nausea	1 (1.7%)	0 (0%)
Vomiting	1 (1.7%)	2 (3.3%)
diarrhea	0 (0%)	1 (1.7%)
Sleeping problem	1 (1.7%)	0 (0%)
Mild hypokalemia*		
1-week	1 (1.7%)	0 (0%)
1-month	0 (0%)	0 (0%)
3-month	5 (8.5%)	0 (0%)
Mild hyperuricemia*		
1-week	11 (18.6%)	1 (1.7%)
1-month	4 (6.8%)	0 (0%)
3-month	2 (3.4%)	1 (1.7%)
Serious adverse events		
Total	0 (0%)	0 (0%)

Data are n (%). No participants withdrew after adverse events. *Polyuria usually occurred within 3 hours of bumetanide treatment, which was resolved at the end of the treatment. *Mild hypokalemia: Serum potassium usually between 3.0 and 3.5mmol/L. Mild hypokalemia was resolved after patients were administered potassium supplements and were advised to eat potassium-rich foods. *Mild hyperuricemia usually occurred in one week or one month after the onset of treatment and was resolved by appropriate diet adjustment and more water drinking.

Figure 1



Figure 1: Trial profile. QC: Quality Control.

Figure 2



Figure 2: (A) Insular cortex VOIs in MRS, (B) the change in GABA/NAA ratio from baseline to 3 months, and (C) the association of changes of GABA/NAA ratio with the changes of CARS total score after 3-month treatment.