

1 **Parents' and guardians' views on the acceptability of a future Covid-19**  
2 **vaccine: a multi-methods study in England**

3 Sadie Bell<sup>1§</sup>, Richard Clarke<sup>2</sup>, Sandra Mounier-Jack<sup>1</sup>, Jemma L Walker<sup>3,4</sup>, Pauline Paterson<sup>3</sup>

4

5 1) Department of Global Health and Development, Faculty of Public Health and Policy,  
6 London School of Hygiene & Tropical Medicine, 15-17 Tavistock Place, London, WC1H 9SH,  
7 UK

8 2) Newcastle University Business School, Newcastle University London, 102 Middlesex  
9 Street, London, E1 7EZ, UK

10 3) Department of Infectious Disease Epidemiology, Faculty of Epidemiology and Population  
11 Health, London School of Hygiene & Tropical Medicine, Keppel Street, London WC1E 7HT,  
12 UK

13 4) Department of Statistics, Modelling and Economics, Public Health England, National  
14 Infection Service, 61 Colindale Ave, London NW9 5EQ, UK

15

16 <sup>§</sup> Corresponding author: Sadie Bell, 15-17 Tavistock Place, London School of Hygiene and  
17 Tropical Medicine, London, WC1H 9SH, UK

18 *Email:* [sadie.bell@lshtm.ac.uk](mailto:sadie.bell@lshtm.ac.uk)

19

It is made available under a [CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 International license](https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/) .

## **Parents' and guardians' views on the acceptability of a future Covid-19 vaccine: a multi-methods study in England**

20

### 21 Abstract

22 Background: The availability of a COVID-19 vaccine has been heralded as key to controlling  
23 the COVID-19 pandemic. COVID-19 vaccination programme success will rely on public  
24 willingness to be vaccinated.

25 Methods: We used a multi-methods approach – involving an online cross-sectional survey  
26 and semi-structured interviews - to investigate the views of parents' and guardians' on the  
27 acceptability of a future COVID-19 vaccine. 1252 parents and guardians (aged 16+ years)  
28 who reported living in England with a child aged 18 months or under completed the survey.  
29 Nineteen survey respondents were interviewed.

30 Findings: Most participants reported they would definitely accept or were unsure but  
31 leaning towards accepting a COVID-19 vaccine for themselves (*Definitely 55.8%; Unsure but*  
32 *leaning towards yes 34.3%*) and their child/children (*Definitely 48.2%; Unsure but leaning*  
33 *towards yes 40.9%*). Participants were more likely to accept a COVID-19 vaccine for  
34 themselves than for their child/children. Less than 4% of participants reported that they  
35 would definitely not accept a COVID-19 vaccine for themselves or their children.

36 Participants that self-reported as Black, Asian, Chinese, Mixed or Other ethnicity were  
37 almost 3 times more likely to reject a COVID-19 vaccine for themselves and their children  
38 than White British, White Irish and White Other participants. Respondents from lower  
39 income households were also more likely to reject a COVID-19 vaccine.

40 The main reason for vaccine acceptance was for self-protection from COVID-19. Common  
41 concerns were around COVID-19 vaccine safety and effectiveness, which were largely  
42 prompted by the newness and rapid development of the vaccine.

It is made available under a [CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 International license](#) .

## **Parents' and guardians' views on the acceptability of a future Covid-19 vaccine: a multi-methods study in England**

43 Conclusion: To alleviate concerns, information on how COVID-19 vaccines are developed  
44 and tested, including their safety and efficacy, must be communicated clearly to the public.  
45 To prevent inequalities in uptake, it is crucial to understand and address factors that may  
46 affect COVID-19 vaccine acceptability in ethnic minority lower-income groups who are  
47 disproportionately affected by COVID-19.

48

49 Keywords: COVID-19; SARS-CoV-2; Vaccine; Acceptance

It is made available under a [CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 International license](https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/) .

## **Parents' and guardians' views on the acceptability of a future Covid-19 vaccine: a multi-methods study in England**

### **50 Background**

51 Over 20.6 million cases of coronavirus (COVID-19) and almost 750,000 deaths have been  
52 reported globally since the start of the COVID-19 pandemic to 13<sup>th</sup> August 2020 [1]. In  
53 addition to the global mortality and morbidity costs attributed to COVID-19, the pandemic  
54 and restrictions implemented to slow COVID-19 spread (i.e. lockdown, quarantine, curfew  
55 and social distancing measures) have resulted in devastating social and economic  
56 consequences. The pandemic has exacerbated global inequalities, with the world bank  
57 predicting that 71 million people will be pushed into extreme poverty in 2020 [2].

58

59 According to COVID-19 mortality figures, the UK is one of the worst affected countries in the  
60 world, with over 310,000 cases and 41,000 deaths reported as of 13<sup>th</sup> August 2020 [1, 3]. In  
61 efforts to slow the spread of COVID-19 in the UK, stringent restrictions on movement were  
62 enforced on 23<sup>rd</sup> March 2020, with the general public directed to only leave their homes to:  
63 shop for basic essentials, take one form of exercise per day, access medical care or help a  
64 vulnerable person, and to travel to and from work if absolutely necessary (where unable to  
65 work from home) [4]. People most at-risk from COVID-19 were asked to protect themselves  
66 by shielding from 23<sup>rd</sup> March 2020: staying at home at all times, for at least 12 weeks. In  
67 addition, schools were closed to all but children of key workers or vulnerable children [4].

68

69 Although restrictions to slow COVID-19 spread have eased in many countries, including the  
70 UK, day-to-day life globally remains distinctly marked by the COVID-19 pandemic, and  
71 measures to prevent COVID-19 spread [5]. A COVID-19 vaccine has been heralded as key to  
72 ending the pandemic [6]. With an accelerated process, in which development stages take

It is made available under a [CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 International license](https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/) .

## **Parents' and guardians' views on the acceptability of a future Covid-19 vaccine: a multi-methods study in England**

73 place in parallel, scientists aim to produce a COVID-19 vaccine within 12-18 months, at an  
74 anticipated cost of at least \$3 billion for vaccine development, manufacture and delivery [7,  
75 8]. In the UK, a Vaccine taskforce has been created to 'drive forward, expedite and co-  
76 ordinate efforts to research and then produce a coronavirus vaccine and make sure one is  
77 made available to the public as quickly as possible' [6]. On 20<sup>th</sup> July 2020, the UK  
78 government secured early access to 90 million doses of promising COVID-19 vaccines,  
79 'giving the UK the most likely chance of getting access to a safe and effective vaccine at the  
80 quickest speed' [9].

81

82 In planning for the near-future availability of a COVID-19 vaccine, as well as focusing on how  
83 to deliver COVID-19 vaccine programmes and ensure equitable vaccine allocation globally  
84 [10], it is crucial to explore the acceptability of a COVID-19 vaccine to the public. The success  
85 of any COVID-19 vaccination programme will depend on public willingness to receive the  
86 vaccination.

87

88 The priority groups to receive a future COVID-19 vaccine in the UK are frontline National  
89 Health Service (NHS) workers and social care workers, and those at increased risk of COVID-  
90 19 (i.e. due to age or other risk factors, including underlying health conditions). It is not  
91 currently known when or if children or adults not in risk groups for COVID-19 will be eligible  
92 for a COVID-19 vaccine. Vaccinating young and healthy members of the population may help  
93 to prevent COVID-19 transmission to high-risk groups. We conducted a multi-methods study  
94 to investigate the views of parents and guardians in England on the acceptability of a future

It is made available under a [CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 International license](#) .

## **Parents' and guardians' views on the acceptability of a future Covid-19 vaccine: a multi-methods study in England**

95 COVID-19 vaccine, looking at their views on receiving a COVID-19 vaccine for themselves  
96 and their children.

97

### **98 Methods**

99 We used a multi-methods approach – combining qualitative and quantitative methods –  
100 with the aim of gaining a more complete insight into the acceptability of a future COVID-19  
101 vaccine. The study utilised a cross-sectional questionnaire survey and semi-structured  
102 interviews, to quantify the prevalence of different views on COVID-19 vaccine acceptability,  
103 and to explore reasons behind these views. The questions asked regarding COVID-19  
104 vaccine acceptance were part of a larger study exploring parents' and guardians' views and  
105 perceptions towards childhood vaccinations and use of NHS general practice services for  
106 childhood vaccination during the COVID-19 pandemic

107

108 *Cross-sectional survey*

#### 109 *Recruitment*

110 Survey recruitment took place between 19th April and 11th May 2020. Eligible participants  
111 were required to be (1) a parent or guardian of a child (or children) aged 18 months or  
112 under, (2) a resident of England, and (3) aged 16+ years.

113

114 We utilised an online social media strategy to recruit survey participants. This strategy  
115 involved the creation of a Facebook page dedicated to the study with a single post  
116 advertising the study, outlining the eligibility criteria for participation, and including the  
117 hyperlink to the survey. An email was then sent to organisers of 284 baby and toddler play

It is made available under a [CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 International license](https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/) .

## **Parents' and guardians' views on the acceptability of a future Covid-19 vaccine: a multi-methods study in England**

118 groups in England. This contact email included a study summary and a request to share the  
119 advertising post through the groups various means of communication (e.g. Facebook,  
120 WhatsApp, Twitter or an email list). In addition to this, Facebook's paid promotion feature  
121 was used to target the survey at eligible potential participants.

122

### 123 *Measures*

124 The survey included demographic questions concerning age, gender, household income,  
125 employment, marital status of participants, and number and age of children. Two questions  
126 were designed to capture acceptance of a new COVID-19 vaccination. The text of the  
127 questions read "*If a new coronavirus (COVID-19) vaccine became available would you accept*  
128 *the vaccine for yourself?*" and "*If a new coronavirus (COVID-19) vaccine became available*  
129 *would you accept the vaccine for your child/children?*". A 4-point Likert scale was used to  
130 encourage participants to take a stance on the vaccine (rather than selecting a 'do not  
131 know' answer). The Likert scale options were "*Yes, definitely*", "*Unsure but leaning towards*  
132 *yes*", "*Unsure but leaning towards no*" and "*No, definitely not*". Open-text boxes were  
133 included for participants to explain their responses to the two questions on vaccine  
134 acceptability for themselves and for their children. Participants could give more than one  
135 reason in the open-text boxes.

136

### 137 *Analysis*

138 A paired samples t-test was used to compare acceptance of a COVID-19 vaccine for self and  
139 for the participant's child. Two subsequent logistic regressions were then conducted to  
140 determine the demographic factors associated with rejection of the COVID-19 vaccine for

It is made available under a [CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 International license](https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/) .

## **Parents' and guardians' views on the acceptability of a future Covid-19 vaccine: a multi-methods study in England**

141 both self-vaccination and that of the participant's child. Alpha was set to 0.05 for all tests.

142 Age, household income, ethnicity, location, and employment were included as predictive

143 variables in the logistic regression models. In the logistic regression model for child

144 vaccination, number of children was also included as a predictive variable.

145

146 To perform the logistic regressions ethnicity was dichotomised into '*White*' (i.e. White

147 British, White Irish and White Other participants) and '*Black, Asian or minority ethnic*

148 (*BAME*)' (i.e. Black, Asian, Chinese, Mixed or Other ethnicity). Household income brackets

149 were reduced to a "*low income*" (<£35,000), "*medium income*" (£35,000 - £84,999) and

150 "*high income*" (>£85,000), and the vaccine acceptance variables were dichotomised into

151 "*likely to accept*" (those that answered *Yes, definitely* or *Unsure but leaning towards yes*)

152 and "*likely to reject*" (those that answered *No, definitely not* or *Unsure but leaning towards*

153 *no*).

154

155 Free text responses were analysed thematically in Microsoft Excel. Coding schemes were

156 produced based on the content of the free-text comments.

157

### 158 *Semi-structured interviews*

159 On completing the survey, participants were asked to provide their contact details if

160 interested in taking part in a follow-up semi-structured interview. Participants that had

161 expressed interest were purposively selected based on a range of characteristics, including

162 ethnicity, household income, and geographical location.

163



It is made available under a [CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 International license](https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/) .

## **Parents' and guardians' views on the acceptability of a future Covid-19 vaccine: a multi-methods study in England**

164 Participants were emailed an information sheet, fully detailing the study objectives and  
165 explaining all aspects of participation, including the right to withdraw from the research.  
166 Written informed consent was obtained from each participant. Interviews lasted  
167 approximately 30 minutes and were conducted over the phone. Topic guides, shaped  
168 around the content of the questionnaire, were used to assist the interviews. Interview  
169 participants received a £10 gift voucher as a thank you for their time and contribution. The  
170 interviews took place between 27<sup>th</sup> April and 27<sup>th</sup> May 2020.

171

172 Interviews were transcribed verbatim and analysed thematically in NVivo12 using the stages  
173 outlined by Braun and Clarke [11]: data familiarisation, coding and theme identification and  
174 refinement. To enhance the rigour of the analysis, coding approaches and data  
175 interpretations were discussed between SB, RC, PP and SM-J.

176

### *177 Public involvement*

178 We gained feedback from parents with young children, including children aged 18 months  
179 or under, in the refinement of the survey questions and layout. This involvement aimed to  
180 increase the user-friendliness and appropriateness of the survey.

181

### **182 Findings**

183 1252 parents and guardians completed the survey (see participant characteristics in Table  
184 1). Most survey participants were female (95.0%), raising a child/children with a partner  
185 (97.0%), and identified as being White British, White Irish or White Other (94.1%). The age  
186 range of participants was 18-48 years (Mean=32.95, SD=4.565). Median household income

It is made available under a [CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 International license](https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/) .

## **Parents' and guardians' views on the acceptability of a future Covid-19 vaccine: a multi-methods study in England**

187 was reported as £55,000-£64,999. Of the survey participants, 1049 (83.8%) left free-text  
188 responses to the open text survey questions.

189

190 **INSERT TABLE 1 HERE**

191

192 43.3% of survey participants (n=530) provided their details to be contacted for a follow-on  
193 interview. In total, 61 parents were contacted to participate. Of these 19 took part in  
194 interviews (18 women and one man), 39 did not respond to recruitment emails, two  
195 responded initially but did not follow through with an interview. The characteristics of  
196 interviewees are outlined in Table 2.

197

198 **INSERT TABLE 2 HERE**

199

200 *Quantitative findings - Acceptability of a future COVID-19 vaccination*

201 A high proportion of survey participants reported that they would definitely accept or were  
202 unsure but leaning towards accepting a COVID-19 vaccine for themselves (*Yes, definitely*  
203 *55.8%, n=699; Unsure but leaning towards yes 34.3%, n=429*) and their child/children (*Yes,*  
204 *definitely 48.2%, n=604; Unsure but leaning towards yes 40.9%, n=512*) (Table 3). Less than 1  
205 in 10 participants reported that they were unsure but leaning towards rejecting or would  
206 definitely reject a COVID-19 vaccine for themselves (*Unsure but leaning towards no 6.2%,*  
207 *n=78; No, definitely not 3.7%, n=46*) and their child/children (*Unsure but leaning towards no*  
208 *7.4%, n=93; No, definitely not 3.4%, n=43*).

209

It is made available under a [CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 International license](https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/) .

## Parents' and guardians' views on the acceptability of a future Covid-19 vaccine: a multi-methods study in England

210 Participants were more likely to say that they would definitely accept or were leaning  
211 towards accepting a COVID-19 vaccine for themselves (mean = 2.42, SD = .768) than for their  
212 child/children (mean = 2.34, SD = .761),  $t(1251) = 7.636$   $p < .001$ .

213

### 214 **INSERT TABLE 3**

215

216 *Survey open-text and interview findings: main reasons for accepting the vaccine (Figure 1)*

217 Of the survey participants that stated they would definitely accept or were unsure but  
218 leaning towards accepting a COVID-19 vaccine for themselves (n=1128), 897 left an open-  
219 text reason (79.5%). 231 participants did not complete the open text (20.5%). Of the  
220 participants that stated they would definitely accept or were leaning towards accepting a  
221 COVID-19 vaccine for their child (n=1116), 925 (82.9%) gave a reason for definitely accepting  
222 or leaning towards accepting a COVID-19 vaccine for their child. 191 participants did not  
223 complete the open text (17.1%).

224

225 All interviewees said that they would definitely accept or were unsure but leaning towards  
226 accepting COVID-19 vaccine for themselves in their survey responses. Sixteen interviewees  
227 said they would definitely accept or were leaning towards accepting a COVID-19 vaccine for  
228 their children in their survey responses (see Table 2). Interestingly, one interviewee (#19)  
229 that was leaning towards accepting the vaccine at the time of completing the survey was  
230 leaning towards refusing the vaccination (for herself and her child) at the time of interview.

231

It is made available under a [CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 International license](https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/) .

## Parents' and guardians' views on the acceptability of a future Covid-19 vaccine: a multi-methods study in England

232 The following reasons were given for COVID-19 vaccine acceptance for self and for  
233 child/children, in order of how often they were mentioned by survey participants and  
234 importance to interviewees.

### 235 *To protect self and others*

236 Of survey participants expressing positive intentions to vaccinate and leaving an open-text  
237 response, the most prevalent reason was to provide protection from COVID-19 to the  
238 person being vaccinated (*for self* n=380, 42.4%; *for child* n=393, 42.5%), followed by  
239 protecting other people (*for self* n=213, 23.7%; *for child* n=180, 19.5%), including family  
240 members (*for self* n =109, 12.2%; *for child* n=49, 5.3%). Participants also reported that they  
241 would vaccinate to protect someone known to them in a risk group for COVID-19 (*for self*  
242 n=74, 8.2%; *for child* n=29, 3.1%). 5.0% (n=45) of survey participants specifically mentioned  
243 that they wanted to receive the vaccine to stay healthy to look after their child/children.  
244 Interviewees also corroborated these findings, highlighting that vaccinating would make  
245 them feel safer in visiting older family members.

246 *'... for us, I definitely want that. I'd want us protected as a family. You*  
247 *know, my parents, his [partner's] parents are ageing, so we'd be going to*  
248 *see them, and I don't want to put them at risk. So, certainly, I think it's*  
249 *really important.'* (Interview #13)

250  
251 Several survey participants cited that they would vaccinate as they were key workers (e.g.  
252 involved in health and social care, education and childcare, or the food sector) and in  
253 frequent contact with other people (n=60, 6.7%). Health and social care workers particularly  
254 noted a need to vaccinate to protect themselves and 'at risk' patients and clients.

255

It is made available under a [CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 International license](#) .

## **Parents' and guardians' views on the acceptability of a future Covid-19 vaccine: a multi-methods study in England**

### 256 *Vaccination as a route to 'returning to normal life'*

257 For several interviewees, the availability of a vaccine was viewed as the only way of ending  
258 social-distancing measures and returning to normal life. Interviewees talked about  
259 lockdown as being financially unsustainable, and detrimental to physical and mental  
260 wellbeing and children's educational and social development. Interviewees that were  
261 shielding during the pandemic were particularly keen to accept a future vaccine, with one  
262 parent who had looked into entering a COVID-19 vaccine trial saying *they 'would be first at*  
263 *the door'*.

264

265 Survey participants also indicated that they would be vaccinated (n=33, 3.7%) and get their  
266 children vaccinated (n=24, 2.6%) as a means of stopping the need for social distancing.

267

### 268 *Trust and belief in the importance of vaccination*

269 Survey participants indicated that they would receive the vaccine for themselves (n=46,  
270 5.1%) or their child/children (n=65, 7.0%) if it was recommended by the government or  
271 healthcare workers. Survey participants cited their belief in the importance of vaccination as  
272 a reason to receive the vaccination themselves (n=35, 3.9%) or to vaccinate their children  
273 (n=50, 5.4%).

274

275 A proportion of survey participants specifically reported trust in vaccinations, science or  
276 healthcare workers as a prompt to vaccinate their child/children (n=38, 4.1%) and  
277 themselves (n=24, 2.7%).

278

It is made available under a [CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 International license](#) .

## Parents' and guardians' views on the acceptability of a future Covid-19 vaccine: a multi-methods study in England

### 279 *Less risk in vaccinating adults*

280 Interviewees discussed a greater willingness to 'risk' receiving the vaccination for  
281 themselves than for their children due to safety concerns. Survey participants also reported  
282 the perception that it was less risky to vaccinate adults than children (n=29, 3.2%) as the  
283 vaccine trials were conducted in adults.

284

285 **INSERT FIGURE 1 HERE**

286

287 *Survey open-text and interview findings: main reasons for not accepting the vaccine (Figure*  
288 *2)*

289 Of the participants that stated they would definitely reject or were unsure but leaning  
290 towards rejecting a COVID-19 vaccine for themselves, 100 left an open-text reason (80.6%)  
291 and 24 did not give an open-text reason (19.4%). 124 (91.2%) gave a reason for definitely  
292 rejecting or leaning towards rejecting a COVID-19 vaccine for their child and 12 did not give  
293 an open-text reason (8.8%). Three interviewees reported that they were unsure but leaning  
294 towards rejecting a COVID-19 vaccine for their child/children (see Table 2).

295

296 The following reasons were given for COVID-19 vaccine rejection by survey participants and  
297 interviewees.

298

### 299 *Vaccine safety and effectiveness concerns*

300 The most common concerns expressed by survey participants about a COVID-19 vaccine  
301 were around vaccine safety (*for self* n=49, 49.0%; *for child* n=77, 62.1%) and effectiveness

It is made available under a [CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 International license](https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/) .

## Parents' and guardians' views on the acceptability of a future Covid-19 vaccine: a multi-methods study in England

302 (for self n=11, 11.0%; for child n=10, 8.1%), which were largely prompted by the newness  
303 and rapid development of the vaccine (for self n=50, 50.0%; for child n=84, 67.7%), and the  
304 newness of COVID-19 (for self n=3, 3.0%; for child n=5, 4.0%). Participants were worried that  
305 the development process might be too rapid, not allowing enough time for adequate testing  
306 to confirm the vaccine's short and long-term safety, and also vaccine effectiveness.

307

308 These concerns were echoed by interviewees who had reservations around how a vaccine  
309 developed in a fraction of the usual timeline for vaccine development could be confirmed as  
310 safe.

311 *'I'm very pro-vaccines in general, but my main concern was as long as it*  
312 *hasn't been rushed through. As long as we know it's safe and all the proper*  
313 *tests have been done, and, you know, when you sort of heard things in the*  
314 *news like well, it's been 18 years and they haven't developed a SARS*  
315 *vaccines, or whatever it was that was sort of banded around yesterday, it*  
316 *sort of makes you go, why is that? So, I think that if a vaccine was to come*  
317 *out like this one could do in a hurry it need to have associated information*  
318 *to how this has been able to happen so quickly.'* (Interviewee #19)

319

320 Some survey participants and interviewees wanted to 'wait-and-see' if the vaccination was  
321 safe before being vaccinated themselves, or getting their children vaccinated. One  
322 interviewee discussed preferring for her family to remain in lockdown for longer (i.e. living  
323 for a longer duration with the restrictions implemented on 23<sup>rd</sup> March 2020), to allow more  
324 time for vaccine development and safety testing. This interviewee acknowledged this  
325 situation as not a financially viable option for those unable to work from home.

326

It is made available under a [CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 International license](https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/) .

## Parents' and guardians' views on the acceptability of a future Covid-19 vaccine: a multi-methods study in England

### 327 *Children less at risk of COVID-19*

328 Some survey participants expressed a lack of benefit to vaccinating their children, citing that  
329 children are hardly affected, are at lower risk of severe COVID-19 infection than adults  
330 (n=24, 19.4%), and less likely to catch or transmit COVID-19 (n=4, 3.2%). Participants and  
331 interviewees discussed the decision to vaccinate their children in terms of balancing  
332 potential advantages and disadvantages. The perception that children are less at risk of  
333 COVID-19 was combined with safety concerns as a reason not to vaccinate.

334 *'Any vaccine for covid-19 will have been produced in a huge hurry, possibly*  
335 *bypassing some of the normal processes and procedures that ensure*  
336 *safety. As small children have a very low risk of being seriously ill from the*  
337 *virus, I'm not sure I would risk it. I would encourage my mum, who is 70*  
338 *with underlying health conditions, to take it though as the risk /reward is*  
339 *different.'* (Survey participant #1095)

340

341 Several survey participants indicated that they would be more likely to get their  
342 child/children vaccinated if this was beneficial in reducing COVID-19 spread to older people  
343 and clinical risk groups.

344

### 345 *Low perception of risk*

346 Some survey participants indicated not needing the vaccine as they (n=16, 16.0%) and their  
347 child/children (n=4, 3.2%) were 'fit and healthy' and not in an at-risk group. 2% of  
348 participants (n=2) indicated that it was better to prioritise 'at-risk' groups for vaccination.

349



It is made available under a [CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 International license](#) .

## Parents' and guardians' views on the acceptability of a future Covid-19 vaccine: a multi-methods study in England

350 *Already had COVID-19*

351 A small number of survey participants indicated that they would not accept a COVID-19  
352 vaccine for themselves or their child/children as they (n=6, 6.0%) or their child (n=4, 3.2%)  
353 had already had COVID-19.

354

355 *Need for transparency to make an informed vaccine decision*

356 To be able to make an informed choice about vaccination, parents expressed a need for  
357 transparent information on vaccine development, vaccine efficacy and vaccine safety. A lack  
358 of vaccine information at present was given as a reason not to accept the vaccine for a  
359 minority of survey participants for their children (n=6, 4.8%) and for themselves (n=1, 1.0%).  
360 One interviewee also discussed that the information should come from trusted sources such  
361 as healthcare professionals, the NHS and Public Health England.

362 *'...if the NHS is telling you something you're more likely to believe it,*  
363 *whereas if it's a politician, you know, you've got much more incentive to*  
364 *think, "Well, are they telling the truth?" (Interviewee #17)*

365

366 *Lack of trust*

367 A small number of survey participants stated a lack of trust in vaccinations, science or the  
368 medical profession as a reason to not accept a vaccine (*for self* n =4, 4.0%; *for child* n =2,  
369 1.6%).

370

371 **INSERT FIGURE 2 HERE**

It is made available under a [CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 International license](https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/) .

## Parents' and guardians' views on the acceptability of a future Covid-19 vaccine: a multi-methods study in England

372 *Quantitative findings – Factors associated with COVID-19 vaccine rejection for self*

373 A forward stepwise logistic regression analysis was performed with a dichotomised version  
374 of the self-vaccination against COVID-19 variable as the discrete variable. *Age, household*  
375 *income, ethnicity, location* and *employment* were included as predictive variables. The final  
376 model included three predictor variables (*household income, employment* and *ethnicity*) and  
377 significantly predicted 'Likely to reject' (omnibus chi-square = 50.225, df = 7,  $p < .001$ ). *Age*  
378 and *location* did not significantly predict 'Likely to reject', as such they are excluded from  
379 the model. The Hosmer-Lemeshow test demonstrates that the model adequately fits the  
380 data chi-square = 4.239, df = 7,  $p = .752$ . Table 4 gives coefficients and the Wald statistic,  
381 odds ratio and associated degrees of freedom for each of the predictor variables.

382

383 Participants in the lower household income bracket (<£35,000) were almost twice (OR: 2.08,  
384 95%CI: 1.31 – 3.3) as likely to reject a COVID-19 vaccine than participants with a medium  
385 household income (£35,000-£84,999). Those in the highest income bracket (>£85,000) were  
386 almost three times (OR: 0.35, 95%CI: 0.17 – 0.73) as likely to accept the vaccine as middle-  
387 income bracket participants (£35,000-£84,999).

388

389 Participants that self-reported as Black, Asian, Chinese, Mixed or Other ethnicity were 2.7  
390 times (95%CI: 1.27 – 5.87) more likely to reject a COVID-19 vaccine than White British,  
391 White Irish and White Other participants. There was also some indication that those that  
392 identify their employment as *homemaker* were more likely to reject the vaccination than  
393 those in full time employment or on parental leave from full time employment.

It is made available under a [CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 International license](https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/) .

## Parents' and guardians' views on the acceptability of a future Covid-19 vaccine: a multi-methods study in England

394 **INSERT TABLE 4 HERE**

395 *Quantitative findings – Factors associated with COVID-19 vaccine rejection for child*

396 A forward stepwise logistic regression analysis was performed with a dichotomised version  
397 of the child vaccination against COVID-19 variable as the discrete variable. *Age, household*  
398 *income, ethnicity, location, employment and number of children* were included as predictive  
399 variables. The final model included three predictor variables (*income, ethnicity and number*  
400 *of children*) and significantly predicted 'Likely to reject' (omnibus chi-square = 37.896, df = 6,  
401  $p < .001$ ). *Age, location and employment* did not significantly predict 'Likely to reject', as  
402 such they were excluded from the model. The Hosmer-Lemeshow test demonstrates that  
403 the model adequately fits the data chi-square = 1.502, df = 6,  $p = .958$ . Table 5 gives  
404 coefficients and the Wald statistic and associated degrees of freedom and probability values  
405 for each of the predictor variables.

406

407 Similarly, to accepting a novel covid-19 vaccination for themselves, participants that self-  
408 reported as Black, Asian, Chinese, Mixed or Other ethnicity were 2.74 times (95%CI: 1.35 –  
409 5.57) more likely to reject a COVID-19 vaccine for their child than White British, White Irish  
410 and White Other participants. This finding was also found for income, with participants in  
411 the lower household income bracket (<£35,000) being 1.8 times (95%CI: 1.17 – 2.82) as  
412 likely to reject a COVID-19 vaccine for their child than participants with a medium household  
413 income (£35,000-£84,999). Participants with more than four children were also found to be  
414 around four times (OR 4.13; 95%CI: 1.873 – 9.104) more likely to reject the vaccination for  
415 their children than those with only one child, however, caution should be taken with this  
416 finding due to the small sample size.

It is made available under a [CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 International license](https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/) .

## Parents' and guardians' views on the acceptability of a future Covid-19 vaccine: a multi-methods study in England

417 **INSERT TABLE 5 HERE**

### 418 **Discussion**

419 In this mixed methods study, the majority of parents and guardians reported they would  
420 definitely accept or were unsure but leaning towards accepting a COVID-19 vaccine for  
421 themselves (*Yes, definitely* 55.8%, n=699; *Unsure but leaning towards yes* 34.3%, n=429) and  
422 their child/children (*Yes, definitely* 48.2%, n=604; *Unsure but leaning towards yes* 40.9%,  
423 n=512). Less than 4% of parents and guardians reported that they would definitely not  
424 accept a COVID-19 vaccine for themselves or their children.

425

426 Attitudes towards a COVID-19 vaccine appear more positive in our study (in terms of the  
427 proportion of participants reporting they would definitely accept or were leaning towards  
428 accepting vaccination) than those reported in surveys conducted with adults in France,  
429 Germany, Italy, Portugal, the Netherlands and the US [12-14], and comparable to reports  
430 from Denmark and Australia [13, 15]. However, this could be due to differences in the  
431 questions asked; highlighting the need for cross-country surveys and consistency in the  
432 wording of questions. These surveys indicate that the difference in vaccination acceptance  
433 ranges greatly between countries, from around 62% in France to 80% in Denmark and the  
434 UK [13], and are reflective of trust in vaccines and health systems more broadly, and in  
435 governments. More positive attitudes towards COVID-19 vaccination in our study appear to  
436 reflect the high level of parent trust in vaccines in the UK, and trust in the NHS.

437

438 The main reasons, or 'pros' for vaccinating, were to protect the individual being vaccinated  
439 against COVID-19, followed by protecting others from the disease. Uncertainties around

It is made available under a [CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 International license](https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/) .

## **Parents' and guardians' views on the acceptability of a future Covid-19 vaccine: a multi-methods study in England**

440 vaccine safety, effectiveness, and the benefit of vaccinating children were the most  
441 prevalent reasons given for COVID-19 vaccine refusal. Safety and effectiveness concerns  
442 were prompted by fears that the accelerated vaccine development process, considered  
443 politically motivated, could result in cutting corners. Comparably, previous research also  
444 suggests that people consider older vaccines safer than newer ones [16, 17], and highlights  
445 the importance of transparency in communicating about the vaccine development process  
446 and of vaccination safety testing.

447

448 When a COVID-19 vaccine is launched, should any safety signals or safety concerns arise, it  
449 will be key for government and public health officials to reassure the public with  
450 transparency, action, accountability and timeliness in order to avoid any detrimental impact  
451 of the new vaccine on the current national immunisation programme. The Dengvaxia  
452 controversy in the Philippines highlights how safety concerns around a newly launched  
453 vaccine, Dengvaxia, can derail a successful national immunisation programme leading to  
454 drops in childhood vaccine uptake, and even spill over to other health care treatments [18].

455

456 Other surveys have identified that views on COVID-19 vaccine acceptance are influenced by  
457 vaccine efficacy and perceptions of disease risk. In a cross-sectional survey conducted in  
458 Indonesia, Harapan et al [19] found that 93.3% of participants would receive a COVID-19  
459 vaccine that was 95% effective but only 67.0% of participants would accept a 50% effective  
460 vaccine. The authors also found that acceptance was higher amongst participants that  
461 considered themselves at greater risk of COVID-19 infection.

462

It is made available under a [CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 International license](https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/) .

## **Parents' and guardians' views on the acceptability of a future Covid-19 vaccine: a multi-methods study in England**

463 In our study, lower levels of vaccine acceptance for children were discussed by parents in  
464 terms of children being at lower-risk of COVID-19. Evidence suggests that children have  
465 lower susceptibility to COVID-19 [20], very few children develop severe COVID-19 (even if  
466 they are in a clinical risk group), and their role in the transmission of COVID-19 is unclear  
467 [21]. Similarly with influenza vaccination, parents and guardians in our study more often  
468 considered the perceived benefits to their child, rather than societal benefits, as a reason to  
469 vaccinate their children [22].

470

### *471 Acting now to prevent inequalities in COVID-19 vaccine uptake*

472 We found that participants of Black, Asian, Chinese, Mixed or Other ethnicity reported were  
473 more likely to reject a COVID-19 vaccine for themselves and their child/children compared  
474 to White participants (i.e. White British, White Irish or White Other). Comparably, lower  
475 levels of COVID-19 vaccine acceptability in Black African and lower income groups have  
476 been reported in US based surveys [14]. This is of concern given the evidence that Black,  
477 Asian and minority ethnic (BAME) groups and people living in the most deprived areas are at  
478 higher risk of acquiring COVID-19 infection and at increased risk of death from COVID-19  
479 [21]. Inequalities in vaccination uptake amongst BAME communities [23-26] and lower  
480 income groups [26, 27] already exist for certain vaccines and a concerted effort must be  
481 made to understand factors affecting vaccine acceptance and prevent ethnic inequalities in  
482 uptake of a future COVID-19 vaccine. We were unable to explore ethnic differences in  
483 COVID-19 vaccine acceptance due to insufficient representation of ethnic minority groups in  
484 writing free-text responses and agreeing to participate in interviews.

485

It is made available under a [CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 International license](https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/) .

## **Parents' and guardians' views on the acceptability of a future Covid-19 vaccine: a multi-methods study in England**

### 486 *Strengths and limitations*

487 The quality of the study was enhanced through the use of a multi-methods approach in  
488 which interview and open-text responses were used to develop insight into factors  
489 underpinning quantitative responses. Our study took place at the height of the COVID-19  
490 pandemic in England and a survey repeated now that we are 'past the peak' of COVID-19  
491 cases and deaths and lockdown has been eased may yield different responses. This has  
492 already been indicated in the second wave of a large European survey looking at COVID-19  
493 vaccine attitudes [28]. This highlights the need for longitudinal studies to measure the  
494 acceptability of a COVID-19 vaccine at different intervals.

495

496 Our recruitment strategy, using social media, achieved a high number of responses  
497 (n=1252). Although geographically representative, our participants were not overly  
498 representative in terms of household income and ethnicity. This meant that it was not  
499 possible to explore differences in vaccination views by ethnicity and household income  
500 when looking at open-text responses and conducting interviews.

501

### 502 **Conclusion**

503 The success of COVID-19 vaccination programmes will rely heavily on public willingness to  
504 accept the vaccine. The main concerns raised by parents in our study were around the  
505 safety and effectiveness of a 'rushed' and new COVID-19 vaccine. To alleviate these  
506 concerns, there needs to be clear communication and transparency as to how COVID-19  
507 vaccines are developed and tested, and safety and efficacy information.

508

It is made available under a [CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 International license](https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/) .

## **Parents' and guardians' views on the acceptability of a future Covid-19 vaccine: a multi-methods study in England**

509 Opening up a conversation with members of the public at an early stage is key to  
510 understanding factors that may affect vaccine acceptability, and developing approaches to  
511 allay any concerns. This must happen in parallel with efforts to develop a COVID-19 vaccine.  
512 Importantly, efforts must be made to understand and address factors that may affect  
513 COVID-19 vaccine uptake in Black, Asian and minority ethnic groups and lower-income  
514 households who are disproportionately affected by COVID-19.

515

### **516 Ethical approval**

517 Ethical approval was granted by the London School of Hygiene & Tropical Medicine  
518 Observational Research Ethics Committee (study reference: 21879).

519

### **520 Acknowledgements**

521 We would like to thank the baby and toddler group leads who helped share the online  
522 survey with potential participants. We are especially grateful for the time and contribution  
523 of all parents and guardians who took part in the study.

524

### **525 Declaration of conflicting interests**

526 The authors declare no potential conflicts of interest with respect to the research,  
527 authorship, and/or publication of this article.



It is made available under a [CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 International license](#) .

## **Parents' and guardians' views on the acceptability of a future Covid-19 vaccine: a multi-methods study in England**

### 528 **Funding**

529 The research was funded by the National Institute for Health Research (NIHR) Health  
530 Protection Research Unit in Immunisation at the London School of Hygiene & Tropical  
531 Medicine in partnership with Public Health England. The views expressed are those of  
532 the author(s) and not necessarily those of the NHS, the NIHR, the Department of  
533 Health or Public Health England.

## Parents' and guardians' views on the acceptability of a future Covid-19 vaccine: a multi-methods study in England

### 534 References

- 535 1. European Centre for Disease Prevention and Control (ECDC). *COVID-19 situation update*  
536 *worldwide, as of 13 August 2020*. 2020 [cited 2020 13 August]; Available from:  
537 <https://www.ecdc.europa.eu/en/geographical-distribution-2019-ncov-cases>.
- 538 2. World Bank. *Projected poverty impacts of COVID-19 (coronavirus)*. 2020.
- 539 3. UK Government. *Coronavirus (COVID-19) in the UK*. 2020 [cited 2020 13 August]; Available  
540 from: <https://coronavirus.data.gov.uk/#category=nations&map=case>.
- 541 4. The Rt Hon Boris Johnson MP. *Prime Minister's statement on coronavirus (COVID-19): 23*  
542 *March 2020*. 2020 [cited 2020 13 August]; Available from:  
543 [https://www.gov.uk/government/speeches/pm-address-to-the-nation-on-coronavirus-23-](https://www.gov.uk/government/speeches/pm-address-to-the-nation-on-coronavirus-23-march-2020)  
544 [march-2020](https://www.gov.uk/government/speeches/pm-address-to-the-nation-on-coronavirus-23-march-2020).
- 545 5. UK Government. *Staying alert and safe (social distancing)*. 2020 [cited 2020 13 August];  
546 Available from: [https://www.gov.uk/government/publications/staying-alert-and-safe-social-](https://www.gov.uk/government/publications/staying-alert-and-safe-social-distancing)  
547 [distancing](https://www.gov.uk/government/publications/staying-alert-and-safe-social-distancing).
- 548 6. UK Government, *Government launches Vaccine Taskforce to combat coronavirus*. 2020.
- 549 7. Prichep, E. *Why a coronavirus vaccine takes over a year to produce – and why that is*  
550 *incredibly fast*. 2020 [cited 2020 13 August]; Available from:  
551 [https://www.weforum.org/agenda/2020/04/why-a-coronavirus-vaccine-takes-over-a-year-](https://www.weforum.org/agenda/2020/04/why-a-coronavirus-vaccine-takes-over-a-year-to-produce-and-why-that-is-incredibly-fast)  
552 [to-produce-and-why-that-is-incredibly-fast](https://www.weforum.org/agenda/2020/04/why-a-coronavirus-vaccine-takes-over-a-year-to-produce-and-why-that-is-incredibly-fast).
- 553 8. Global Preparedness Monitoring Board, *Global Preparedness Monitoring Board calls on G7*  
554 *and G20 leaders to support \$8bn call to scale-up global response to COVID-19*. 2020.
- 555 9. UK Government, *Millions could be vaccinated against COVID-19 as UK secures strong*  
556 *portfolio of promising vaccines*. 2020.
- 557 10. Yamey, G., et al., *Ensuring global access to COVID-19 vaccines*. *Lancet*, 2020. **395**(10234): p.  
558 1405-1406.
- 559 11. Braun, V. and V. Clarke, *Using thematic analysis in psychology*. *Qualitative Research in*  
560 *Psychology*, 2006. **3**(2): p. 77-101.
- 561 12. Coconel Group, *A future vaccination campaign against COVID-19 at risk of vaccine hesitancy*  
562 *and politicisation*. *Lancet Infect Dis*, 2020.
- 563 13. Neumann-Böhme, S., Varghese, N.E., Sabat, I, *Once we have it, will we use it? A European*  
564 *survey on willingness to be vaccinated against COVID-19*. *European Journal of Health*  
565 *Economics* 2020.
- 566 14. Aryn A. Malik, S.M.M., Jad Elharake, Saad B. Omer, *Determinants of COVID-19 Vaccine*  
567 *Acceptance in the U.S*. medRxiv 2020.
- 568 15. Dodd, R.H., et al., *Willingness to vaccinate against COVID-19 in Australia*. *Lancet Infect Dis*,  
569 2020.
- 570 16. Bedford, H. and M. Lansley, *More vaccines for children? Parents' views*. *Vaccine*, 2007.  
571 **25**(45): p. 7818-23.
- 572 17. Gust, D.A., et al., *Parents with doubts about vaccines: which vaccines and reasons why*.  
573 *Pediatrics*, 2008. **122**(4): p. 718-25.
- 574 18. Larson, H.J., *Politics and public trust shape vaccine risk perceptions*. *Nat Hum Behav*, 2018.  
575 **2**(5): p. 316.
- 576 19. Harapan, H., et al., *Acceptance of a COVID-19 Vaccine in Southeast Asia: A Cross-Sectional*  
577 *Study in Indonesia*. *Front Public Health*, 2020. **8**: p. 381.
- 578 20. Viner, R.M., Mytton, O.T., Bonell, C., Melendez-Torres, G.J., Ward, J.L., Hudson, L.,  
579 Waddington, C., Thomas, J., Russell, S., van der Klis, F., Panovska-Griffiths, J., Davies, N.G.,  
580 Booy, R., Eggo, R. , *Susceptibility to and transmission of COVID-19 amongst children and*  
581 *adolescents compared with adults: a systematic review and meta-analysis*. medRxiv, 2020.
- 582 21. Public Health England, *Disparities in the risk and outcomes of COVID-19* 2020: London.

It is made available under a [CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 International license](https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/) .

## Parents' and guardians' views on the acceptability of a future Covid-19 vaccine: a multi-methods study in England

- 583 22. Paterson, P., T. Chantler, and H.J. Larson, *Reasons for non-vaccination: Parental vaccine*  
584 *hesitancy and the childhood influenza vaccination school pilot programme in England.*  
585 *Vaccine*, 2018. **36**(36): p. 5397-5401.
- 586 23. Wagner, K.S., et al., *Childhood vaccination coverage by ethnicity within London between*  
587 *2006/2007 and 2010/2011.* *Arch Dis Child*, 2014. **99**(4): p. 348-53.
- 588 24. Marlow, L.A., et al., *Ethnic differences in human papillomavirus awareness and vaccine*  
589 *acceptability.* *J Epidemiol Community Health*, 2009. **63**(12): p. 1010-5.
- 590 25. Tiley, K.S., et al., *Inequalities in childhood vaccination timing and completion in London.*  
591 *Vaccine*, 2018. **36**(45): p. 6726-6735.
- 592 26. Loiacono, M.M., et al., *Patient and practice level factors associated with seasonal influenza*  
593 *vaccine uptake among at-risk adults in England, 2011 to 2016: An age-stratified*  
594 *retrospective cohort study.* *Vaccine X*, 2020. **4**: p. 100054.
- 595 27. Hungerford, D., et al., *Effect of socioeconomic deprivation on uptake of measles, mumps and*  
596 *rubella vaccination in Liverpool, UK over 16 years: a longitudinal ecological study.* *Epidemiol*  
597 *Infect*, 2016. **144**(6): p. 1201-11.
- 598 28. Schreyögg, J.S., I; Neuman-Böhme, S; Varghese, N; van Exel, J; Pita Barros, P; Brouwer, W;  
599 Stargardt, T, *Managing the COVID-19 pandemic: New results from the European survey on*  
600 *policy support, confidence and vaccination attitudes.* 2020.
- 601

It is made available under a [CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 International license](https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/) .

Characteristic	Frequency (%)
<b>Location</b>	
South East	286 (22.8)
Greater London	164 (13.1)
North West	90 (7.2)
East of England	231 (18.5)
West Midlands	98 (7.8)
South West	139 (11.1)
Yorkshire and the Humber	116 (9.3)
East Midlands	70 (5.6)
North East	53 (4.2)
Prefer not to answer	5 (0.4)
<b>Ethnicity</b>	
White:- British	1082 (86.4)
White:- Irish	20 (1.6)
White:- Other white background	76 (6.1)
Black or Black British:- African	3 (0.2)
Black or Black British:- Caribbean	1 (0.1)
Mixed:- White and Black Caribbean	7 (0.6)
Mixed:- White and Black African	1 (0.1)
Mixed:- White and Asian	9 (0.7)
Mixed:- Other mixed background	7 (0.6)
Asian or Asian British:- Indian	15 (1.2)
Asian or Asian British:- Pakistani	10 (0.8)
Asian or Asian British:- Bangladeshi	3 (0.2)
Asian or Asian British:- Other Asian background	3 (0.2)
Chinese	2 (0.2)
Other ethnic group not represented by these options	7 (0.6)
Do not wish to say	6 (0.5)
<b>Employment status</b>	
Working full-time (over 30 hours per week) or on parental leave from full time employment	679 (54.2)
Working part-time (less than 30 hours per week) or on parental leave from part time employment	404 (32.3)
Homemaker	114 (9.1)
Student	12 (0.9)
Unemployed	13 (1.0)
Other	23 (1.8)
Prefer not to answer	7 (0.6)
<b>Household income (GBP)</b>	
Under £34,999	255 (20.4)
£35,000 - £84,999	638 (51.0)
£85,000 and over	267 (21.3)
Prefer not to answer	92 (7.3)
<b>Number of children</b>	
1	558 (44.6)
2	504 (40.3)
3	148 (11.8)
4 or more	42 (3.4)
<b>Age of youngest child</b>	
< 2 months	223 (18.0)
3-5 months	330 (26.6)
6-8 months	179 (14.4)
9-11 months	154 (12.3)
12-14 months	218 (17.6)
> 15 months	138 (11.1)

It is made available under a [CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 International license](https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/) .

No.	Age (years)	Ethnicity	Region	Household Income	No. of children	Age of youngest child at time of interview (months)	Response to: If a new coronavirus (COVID-19) vaccine became available would you accept the vaccine for your child/children?	Response to: If a new coronavirus (COVID-19) vaccine became available would you accept the vaccine for yourself?
#1	25-29	Mixed:- White and Asian	South East	Under £34,999	1	3-6	Unsure but leaning towards yes	Unsure but leaning towards yes
#2	30-34	White: - Other white background	South West	Prefer not to answer	4	11-14	Yes definitely	Yes definitely
#3	25-29	White British	East Midlands	£35,000 - £84,999	2	3-6	Unsure but leaning towards yes	Yes definitely
#4	30-34	White British	South West	£85,000 and over	1	7-10	Yes definitely	Yes definitely
#5	30-34	White British	Yorkshire and Humber	£35,000 - £84,999	2	3-6	Unsure but leaning towards yes	Unsure but leaning towards yes
#6	35-39	White British	South West	£35,000 - £84,999	3	3-6	Unsure but leaning towards yes	Unsure but leaning towards yes
#7	40-44	White British	Greater London	£85,000 and over	2	3-6	Unsure but leaning towards yes	Unsure but leaning towards yes
#8	35-39	White: - Other white background	Greater London	£85,000 and over	2	3-6	Unsure but leaning towards no	Unsure but leaning towards yes
#9	30-34	White British	South East	Under £34,999	2	11-14	Yes definitely	Yes definitely
#10	30-34	Mixed:- White and Black Caribbean	West Midlands	£85,000 and over	1	0-2	Unsure but leaning towards yes	Unsure but leaning towards yes
#11	30-34	White British	South West	£35,000 - £84,999	1	11-14	Yes definitely	Yes definitely
#12	35-39	White British	East Midlands	£35,000 - £84,999	2	11-14	Unsure but leaning towards yes	Unsure but leaning towards yes
#13	30-34	Asian or Asian British: - Pakistani	Greater London	£35,000 - £84,999	1	0-2	Yes definitely	Yes definitely
#14	35-39	White British	South East	Under £34,999	2	3-6	Unsure but leaning towards no	Unsure but leaning towards no
#15	30-34	White: - Other white background	East of England	Under £34,999	1	11-14	Yes definitely	Yes definitely
#16	40-44	White British	East of England	£35,000 - £84,999	1	11-14	Yes definitely	Yes definitely
#17	30-34	White British	South West	Under £34,999	1	11-14	Unsure but leaning towards no	Unsure but leaning towards yes
#18	30-34	Chinese	Greater London	£85,000 and over	2	0-2	Unsure but leaning towards yes	Unsure but leaning towards yes
#19	25-29	Mixed:- White and Black Caribbean	West Midlands	£35,000 - £84,999	2	0-2	Unsure but leaning towards yes	Unsure but leaning towards yes

It is made available under a [CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 International license](#) .

Question	Frequency (%)
<hr/>	
If a new coronavirus (COVID-19) vaccine became available would you accept the vaccine for you child/children?	
Yes, definitely	604 (48.2)
Unsure but leaning towards yes	512 (40.9)
Unsure but leaning towards no	93 (7.4)
No, definitely not	43 (3.4)
<i>Total</i>	<i>1252 (100)</i>
If a new coronavirus (COVID-19) vaccine became available would you accept the vaccine for yourself?	
Yes, definitely	699 (55.8)
Unsure but leaning towards yes	429 (34.3)
Unsure but leaning towards no	78 (6.2)
No, definitely not	46 (3.7)
<i>Total</i>	<i>1252 (100)</i>

---

Variable	Univariable analysis			Multivariable analysis		
	Sig (p)	OR	95% CI	Sig (p)	OR	95% CI
<b>Age</b>	<b>.008</b>	-	-	<b>.559</b>	-	-
18-23	.017	3.575	1.257 – 10.165			
24-30	.004	1.860	1.216 – 2.844			
31-36 †	-	-	-			
37-42	.710	.899	.514 – 1.574			
43+	.439	1.634	.471 – 5.669			
<b>Household income</b>	<b>&lt;.001</b>	-	-	<b>&lt;.001</b>	-	-
Low Income <£35,000 (n=242)	<.001	2.535	1.677 – 3.832	.002	2.087	1.317 – 3.307
Medium Income £35,000 - £84,999 (n=622)†	-	-	-	-	-	-
High Income >£85,000 (n=260)	<.006	.363	.177 - .744	.005	.352	.170 – .729
<b>Employment</b>	<b>&lt;.001</b>	-	-	<b>.033</b>	-	-
Working full-time (n=628)†	-	-	-	-	-	-
Working part-time (n=373)	.802	.943	.596 – 1.491	.229	.739	.452 – 1.209
Homemaker (n=103)	<.001	3.025	1.784 – 5.130	.038	1.888	1.035 – 3.445
Student (n=10)	.006	5.673	1.656 – 19.436	.311	2.076	.506 – 8.524
Unemployed (n=10)	.009	5.042	1.504 – 16.903	.224	2.474	.575 – 10.651
<b>Ethnicity</b>	<b>.017</b>	-	-	<b>.010</b>	-	-
White (n=1,070)†	-	-	-	-	-	-
BAME (n=54)	.010	2.295	1.216 – 4.333	.010	2.733	1.270 – 5.885


† Reference category

Variable	Univariable analysis			Multivariable analysis		
	Sig ( <i>p</i> )	OR	95% CI	Sig ( <i>p</i> )	OR	95% CI
<b>Employment</b>	<b>&lt;.001</b>			<b>.201</b>		
Working full-time (n=628)†	-	-	-			
Working part-time (n=373)	.923	1.021	.666 – 1.568			
Homemaker (n=103)	<.001	2.561	1.511 – 4.340			
Student (n=10)	.074	3.377	.891 – 12.805			
Unemployed (n=10)	<.001	8.684	2.829 – 26.660			
<b>Household income</b>	<b>&lt;.001</b>			<b>.001</b>	-	-
Low Income <£35,000 (n=242)	<.001	2.291	1.521 – 3.451	.007	1.826	1.178 – 2.830
Medium Income £35,000 - £84,999 (n=622)†	-	-	-	-	-	-
High Income >£85,000 (n=260)	.094	.614	.347 – 1.087	.079	.596	.334 – 1.062
<b>Number of children</b>	<b>&lt;.001</b>			<b>.004</b>	-	-
1 (n=507)†	-	-	-	-	-	-
2 (n=447)	.289	1.249	.828 – 1.882	.409	1.206	.773 – 1.880
3 (n=133)	.014	1.955	1.146 – 3.335	.083	1.677	.935 – 3.009
4 or more (n=37)	<.001	4.250	2.044 – 8.836	<.001	4.054	1.843 – 8.914
<b>Ethnicity</b>	<b>.038</b>			<b>.009</b>	-	-
White (n=1,070)†	-	-	-	-	-	-
BAME (n=54)	.027	2.046	1.087 – 3.852	.009	2.549	1.259 – 5.159

†Reference category



# Main reasons for accepting the vaccine:



## Main reasons for not accepting the vaccine:

