

1 **Demand for Self-Managed Online Telemedicine Abortion in Eight European Countries During**
2 **the COVID-19 Pandemic: A Regression Discontinuity Analysis**

3
4 Abigail R. A. Aiken^{1,2,*}, Jennifer E. Starling PhD^{3,4}, Rebecca Gomperts MD, PhD⁵, James G.
5 Scott PhD^{3,6} and Catherine E. Aiken MB BChir, PhD⁷

6
7 ¹ Associate Professor, LBJ School of Public Policy, University of Texas at Austin, Texas, 78712,
8 USA

9 ² Faculty Associate, Population Research Center, University of Texas at Austin, Texas, 78712,
10 USA

11 ³ PhD Candidate, Department of Statistics and Data Sciences, University of Texas at Austin,
12 Austin, TX 78712, USA

13 ⁴ Statistician, Mathematica Policy Research, Cambridge, MA, 02139, USA

14 ⁵ Founder and Director, Women on Web, Amsterdam, The Netherlands

15 ⁶ Professor, McCombs School of Business, University of Texas at Austin, Austin, TX, 78712,
16 USA

17 ⁷ Academic Clinical Lecturer, University Department of Obstetrics and Gynaecology, University
18 of Cambridge; NIHR Cambridge Biomedical Research Centre, CB2 2SW, UK

19

20 *Corresponding author:

21 LBJ School of Public Affairs

22 University of Texas at Austin

23 Austin, TX, 78712

24 +1 512-810-9285

25 araa2@utexas.edu

26 **NOTE: This preprint reports new research that has not been certified by peer review and should not be used to guide clinical practice.**
ORCID: 0000-0002-1555-3025

What this paper adds

What is already known on this subject

- The COVID-19 pandemic has presented challenges to patients seeking medication abortion, including lockdown travel restrictions and infection risk during in-person clinic visits.
- Yet in most European countries, medication abortion must still be provided through in-person models of care. The sole exception is Great Britain, where a fully remote medication abortion service was introduced in response to the pandemic.
- Anecdotal reports suggest that patients are struggling to access in-person abortion services and may turn to self-managed abortion as a result. However, to date there has been no systematic assessment of this possibility.

What this study adds

- Our study provides the best evidence to date that demand for self-managed medication abortion provided using online telemedicine increased following the emergence of the COVID-19 pandemic.
- The largest increases were observed in countries where medication abortion is provided mainly in hospitals and where travel restrictions were most stringent. By contrast, in the one country that implemented fully remote services, demand for self-managed abortion declined almost to zero.
- Our findings demonstrate the urgent need for policymakers to expand access to telemedicine models of medication abortion within the formal healthcare setting.

28 **Abstract (295)**

29

30 **Objectives:** In most European countries, patients seeking medication abortion during the
31 COVID-19 pandemic are still expected to attend healthcare settings in person despite lockdown
32 measures and infection risk. We assessed whether demand for self-managed medication abortion
33 provided by a fully remote online telemedicine service increased following the emergence of
34 COVID-19.

35 **Design:** We used regression discontinuity to compare the number of requests to online
36 telemedicine service Women on Web in eight European countries before and after they
37 implemented lockdown measures to slow COVID-19 transmission. We examined the number
38 deaths due to COVID-19, the degree of government-provided economic support, the severity of
39 lockdown travel restrictions, and the medication abortion service provision model in countries
40 with and without significant changes in requests.

41 **Setting:** Eight European countries served by Women on Web.

42 **Participants:** 3,915 people who made requests for self-managed abortion to Women on Web
43 between January 1st, 2019 and June 1st, 2020.

44 **Main Outcome Measures:** Percent change in requests to Women on Web before and after the
45 emergence of COVID-19 and associated lockdown measures.

46 **Results:** Five countries showed significant increases in requests, ranging from 28% in Northern
47 Ireland ($p=0.001$) to 139% in Portugal ($p<0.001$). Two countries showed no significant change
48 in requests, and one country, Great Britain, showed an 88% decrease in requests ($p<0.001$).
49 Countries with significant increases in requests were either countries where abortion services are
50 mainly provided in hospitals or where no abortion services are available and international travel
51 was prohibited during lockdown. By contrast, Great Britain authorized teleconsultation for
52 medication abortion and provision of medications by mail during the pandemic.

53 **Conclusion:** These marked changes in requests for self-managed medication abortion during
54 COVID-19 demonstrate demand for fully remote models of abortion care and an urgent need
55 for policymakers to expand access to medication abortion by telemedicine.

56

57 **Introduction**
58

59 The first wave of the COVID-19 pandemic posed challenges for the provision of abortion care
60 in Europe. Reallocation of resources, redeployment of staff, and social distancing requirements
61 all introduced new barriers to in-person clinic visits.^{1,2}

62

63 Countries differed in their policy responses to these new challenges. Great Britain expanded
64 remote access to medication abortion, allowing teleconsultation with providers, and mifepristone
65 and misoprostol to be provided by mail.³⁻⁵ France extended the ability to take abortion
66 medications at home following an in-person visit with a healthcare professional from 7 weeks to
67 9 weeks of gestation.⁶ Germany allowed mandatory pre-abortion counselling to take place by
68 phone or video teleconsult instead of in person.⁷ Most other countries, however, made few
69 changes to medication abortion service models and continued to require fully in-person
70 provision, despite calls from human rights groups to prioritize patient safety and expand remote
71 access.^{7,8}

72

73 At the same time, the economic downturn and rising unemployment across Europe in the wake
74 of the pandemic may increase demand for abortion care at a time when it is most difficult to
75 access in the clinic setting. This situation raises the possibility of an increase in abortions taking
76 place outside the formal healthcare setting. Marie Stopes International estimates that an
77 additional 2.7 million unsafe abortions will take place globally as a result of health service
78 disruptions caused by the pandemic.⁹

79

80 However, not all abortions that take place outside the formal healthcare settings are unsafe.
81 Medication abortion provided through online telemedicine services has been shown to be a safe
82 and effective option.^{10,11} Using data from one such service, we assessed whether demand for

83 online telemedicine abortion changed in eight European countries after stay-at-home restrictions
84 to slow the spread of COVID-19 were introduced.

85

86 **Methods**

87

88 We obtained fully de-identified data from Women on Web (WoW), a non-profit organisation
89 that provides telemedicine medication abortion services up to 10 weeks of gestation.¹² The
90 service is accessed via an online form, which directly populates the database from which our data
91 were obtained. Submitted forms are screened by a doctor, and if clinical eligibility criteria are
92 met, mifepristone and misoprostol are sent by mail. In some countries, referrals are also made to
93 local in-clinic services. A donation of 70-90 Euros is requested to support the service, but may
94 be waived or reduced in cases of financial hardship. Information and support are provided via
95 email in a variety of languages by a trained helpdesk team. People accessing the service consent
96 to the fully anonymized use of their data for research purposes at the time of submitting the
97 online consultation form.

98

99 Our analytic sample includes eight countries: Germany, Hungary, Italy, Malta, The Netherlands,
100 Northern Ireland, Portugal, and Great Britain. WoW does not accept consultations from all
101 countries in Europe, because abortion is legal and normally relatively accessible in most places.
102 Among those countries that WoW does serve, some have only a few consultations requests over
103 the course of a year. We excluded countries that had too few requests to reliably detect
104 differences in request numbers between the 'before' and 'after' periods (i.e. fewer than 10
105 expected requests in the 'after' period). We also excluded Spain, because the Spanish
106 Government censored the WoW website during the study period and so no requests could be
107 made,¹³ and Poland because the number of requests made to WoW has been unstable since the
108 beginning of 2020.

109

110 We obtained the daily number of requests made to WoW from the eight countries in our sample
111 between 1st January 2019 and 1st June 2020 (the last day that lockdown measures were lifted in a
112 country included in the analysis). We excluded duplicate requests, which were identified as >1
113 request with the same information and location made within 12 hours. The number of requests
114 from each country was analysed using a regression-discontinuity design.¹⁴ We designated a
115 ‘before’ period, which began on 1st January 2019 and ended on the date that each individual
116 country’s government issued their first ‘stay-at-home’ directive. The one exception was
117 Germany, where the ‘before’ period begins on 1st January 2020, due to the fact that WoW did
118 not accept consultations from Germany in until late 2019. The ‘after’ period began the first day
119 after the ‘stay-at-home’ directive was issued for each country, and ended on the first day that the
120 directives were eased in each country. ‘Stay-at-home’ directives were chosen as the threshold date
121 defining the “pre” and “post” periods, because the majority of European countries issued such a
122 directive, which posed definitive limitations on population movement and activities. Of the
123 countries included in our analytic sample, only Malta did not issue a population-wide directive,
124 and we instead used the date that the Maltese government issued a directive to close public
125 places as the discontinuity point.¹⁵

126

127 We fit a generalised linear model (GLM) for each country’s daily requests between 1st January
128 2019 (1st January 2020, for Germany) and the date of easing ‘stay-at-home’ restrictions. The
129 model incorporated a dummy variable for the ‘before’ v. ‘after’ period, representing a possible
130 discontinuity at the day of the ‘stay-at-home’ directive. The significance of the discontinuity for
131 each country was assessed using a likelihood ratio test to compare with a null model that did not
132 include a dummy variable for the ‘before’ v. ‘after’ period. The null model was also used to
133 generate Monte Carlo simulations for each country, which create a probability distribution of the
134 expected requests in the ‘after’ period with no discontinuity. The observed requests line would

135 be highly likely to lie within this probability distribution if there was no difference in requests
136 between the ‘before’ and ‘after’ periods. We also calculated the percentage difference between
137 observed and expected requests in the ‘after’ period. For Northern Ireland, both the null and
138 discontinuity models also included a dummy variable indicating the period after 10th April 2020,
139 because abortion services became available for the first time in Northern Ireland on this date.¹⁶

140

141 We also compiled information for each country included in the analysis on several metrics we
142 hypothesised could be related to demand for online abortion: stringency of ‘stay-at-home’
143 requirements; deaths due to COVID-19; economic assistance provided by governments in
144 response to the pandemic;¹⁷ and abortion service provision before and during the pandemic.^{7,18-20}

145 We examined each of these metrics across each country included in the analysis to assess their
146 relationship to changes in requests to WoW.

147

148 Data analyses were conducted using the R statistical package version 3.6.2.²¹ Findings were
149 considered statistically significant at an alpha level of 0.05. The study was reviewed by the
150 University of Texas at Austin Institutional Review Board and considered exempt on the basis
151 that the study is an analysis of pre-collected, fully de-identified data.

152

153 Patient involvement

154 Patients were not involved in the design or conduct of the study. However, the follow-up that
155 WoW provides is designed to address the priorities and experiences of people who access the
156 service. Thus, although this study is an analysis of secondary, de-identified data, with no direct
157 participant involvement, the research questions were informed by the needs of people who rely
158 on WoW to access abortion.

159

160

161 **Results**

162 During the data collection period, Women on Web received 3,915 requests for abortion
163 medications from the eight countries included in the analysis. Among these, we observed a
164 statistically significant increase in requests during the ‘after’ period in five countries: Hungary,
165 Italy, Malta, Portugal, and Northern Ireland (Figure 1). The magnitude of the observed increases
166 ranged from 139% above expected in Portugal to 28% above expected in Northern Ireland
167 (Table 1). In two countries (Germany, the Netherlands) there was no statistically significant
168 difference in observed compared to expected numbers of requests in the ‘after’ period (Figure 1
169 and Table 1). In one country (Great Britain), there was a statistically significant decrease in
170 requests in the ‘after’ period (Figure 1 and Table 1).

171

172 Countries that had higher numbers of COVID-19 related deaths or which provided less
173 government economic support during the pandemic did not appear to have higher numbers of
174 requests to WoW (Table 2). We did however, observe a relationship between higher numbers of
175 requests and both the location of abortion service provision, and the severity of domestic and
176 international travel restrictions (Table 2). In Italy, Portugal, and Hungary, all of which showed
177 significant increases in requests to WoW, abortion is provided mostly in the hospital setting and
178 all enacted stringent stay-at-home requirements. In Northern Ireland and Malta, where
179 significant increases in requests were also observed, in-clinic abortion services are only available
180 by traveling outside of the country, and international travel was restricted during the study
181 periods. In Germany and the Netherlands, we observed no increases in requests, abortion
182 services remained available in clinic settings, and no country-wide domestic travel restrictions
183 were enacted. In Great Britain, abortion services were made available by fully remote
184 telemedicine shortly after lockdown began and we observed a significant decrease in requests.

185

186

187 **Discussion**

188

189 During the first wave of the COVID-19 pandemic in Europe, we observed changes in requests
190 to the WoW online telemedicine abortion service among five out of eight countries in our
191 analysis. Among countries where abortion is legally available within the formal healthcare setting,
192 we observed increased requests to the WoW online telemedicine service in those countries that
193 had more stringent stay-at-home requirements—including country-wide domestic travel
194 restrictions—and where abortion is mostly available only in the hospital setting. Among the two
195 countries where abortion was not legally available within the formal healthcare setting during the
196 study period, and where travel outside the country was restricted, we also observed an increase in
197 requests. Among countries where abortion is legally available but which enacted less stringent
198 stay-at-home policies (including no country-wide domestic travel restrictions) and where
199 abortions are provided outside the hospital setting, we observed no increases in requests. In the
200 sole country where abortion services were made available by fully remote telemedicine during the
201 study period, we observed a significant decrease in requests.

202

203 Our data provide a unique window into requests for self-managed medication abortion using
204 online telemedicine during COVID-19. Key strengths include the ability to measure changes in
205 demand for self-managed abortion from a reliable source that does not rely on self-reporting,
206 and the ability to compare data from before and after the emergence of COVID-19. An
207 important limitation, however, is that there are of course other pathways to abortion outside the
208 formal healthcare setting in Europe, including alternative sources of mifepristone and
209 misoprostol, and non-medication methods. Thus, we cannot measure all demand for self-
210 managed abortion during the pandemic. We also lack nuanced insight into the exact reasons
211 underlying changes in requests to WoW for any particular country. It is important to note that in
212 the two countries where we observed no increases in requests, people likely still encountered

213 challenges to accessing abortion services.²² Future qualitative work could address this important
214 knowledge gap.

215

216 Our results may reflect two distinct phenomena. First, in some countries, more people may be
217 seeking abortion through all channels during the pandemic. The decision to end a pregnancy
218 could be due to the perception of risk posed by COVID-19, reduced access to pre-natal care,
219 and limited social support during lockdowns.²³ Additionally, decision-making could be influenced
220 by the economic downturn COVID-19 has precipitated, with many people facing unemployment
221 or financial losses.²⁴ It has also been suggested that social distancing policies may increase rates
222 of unintended pregnancy due to increased time spent at home with a partner or reduced access
223 to contraception.²⁵

224

225 Second, the observed increases in requests may represent a shift in demand from in-clinic
226 abortion to self-managed abortion using online telemedicine. In countries where abortion
227 services are provided predominantly or solely in hospital settings, people may have feared
228 entering a hospital due to perceived or real risk of infection. Even where limited alternatives are
229 available in the community setting—for example in Portugal, where a few private clinics offer
230 abortion services—accessing these services may still have been extremely challenging due to the
231 infection risk associated with public transport, inability to escape surveillance from a controlling
232 partner, or difficulty finding childcare while daycares and schools were closed. Moreover, in
233 countries with no abortion services, the inability to travel outside of the country to seek abortion
234 care due to travel restrictions may have led more people to seek an alternative in online
235 telemedicine. Indeed, our findings from Northern Ireland show a steep increase in requests to
236 WoW following the introduction of lockdown measures, followed by a levelling off shortly after
237 the introduction of within-country abortion services.

238

239 These challenges to accessing medication abortion during COVID-19, coupled with the
240 increases we observed in requests for online telemedicine are reflected in the fact that people in
241 countries where the challenges are greatest found their own solutions outside the clinic setting.
242 However, while medication abortion provided via online telemedicine is a safe and effective
243 option,^{10,11} it is not without legal risks.²⁶ Its safety also depends on access to the formal healthcare
244 system when necessary, which is not guaranteed during a pandemic. Additionally, while some
245 people may prefer self-managed medication abortion, others may experience it as fraught and
246 isolating due to stigma or the threat of criminalization, or may have preferred in-clinic care.²⁷
247 Despite the fact that the WHO recommends the use of telemedicine abortion provision models
248 during COVID-19,²⁸ only one country in the analysis, Great Britain, responded to pandemic by
249 purposefully changing their medication abortion service to circumvent the difficulties of in-
250 person care. Following the introduction of a fully remote telemedicine service for medication
251 abortion up to 10 week's gestation, where consultations with healthcare professionals are done
252 by phone or video, and medications are mailed or made available for pick-up from a clinic front-
253 desk, requests to WoW decreased to a single consultation. This dramatic decrease points not
254 only to the removal of access barriers posed by COVID-19, but also of pre-existing barriers.
255 Evidence from other settings suggests that similar telemedicine models for medication abortion
256 are safe, effective, and acceptable to patients.²⁹

257

258 **Conclusion**

259 Our findings provide evidence in support of the need for service model changes to make
260 medication abortion more accessible during and beyond the COVID-19 pandemic.³⁰ Fully
261 remote provision of early medication abortion negates the need to visit a hospital or healthcare
262 facility, thus preserving personal protective equipment, and reducing infection risks for both
263 patients and healthcare providers. Follow-up care can be provided in the clinic if necessary, and
264 patients have clear continuity of care in the rare instances that adverse events occur. Authorizing

265 and implementing telemedicine models within the formal healthcare setting in line with the
266 WHO recommendations would help to meet the demand we observed for remote provision and
267 would ensure truly patient-centered care.

268

269

270 **Contributors**

271 ARAA and CEA conceived of the original research question. ARAA, CEA, JGS, and JES
272 contributed to the study design. RG provided the de-identified data. JES conducted the statistical
273 analyses and prepared the tables and figures. ARAA and CEA did the initial data interpretation.
274 ARAA wrote the first draft of the manuscript. All authors contributed to final data
275 interpretation, revised first and subsequent drafts critically for intellectual content, and approved
276 the final manuscript. All authors agree to be accountable for all aspects of the work. ARAA is
277 the manuscripts guarantor. The corresponding author attests that all listed authors meet
278 authorship criteria and that no others meeting the criteria have been omitted.

279

280

281 **Competing Interests**

282 All authors have completed the ICMJE uniform disclosure form
283 at www.icmje.org/doi_disclosure.pdf and declare: ARAA and JES have received grant support
284 from the Society of Family Planning and infrastructure support from the National Institutes of
285 Health. The authors declare no financial relationships with any organisations that might have an
286 interest in the submitted work in the previous three years. RG is Founder and Director of
287 Women on Web. The authors declare no other relationships or activities that could appear to
288 have influenced the submitted work.

289

290

291 **Copyright**

292 The Corresponding Author has the right to grant on behalf of all authors and does grant on be-
293 half of all authors, a [worldwide licence](#) to the Publishers and its licensees in perpetuity, in all
294 forms, formats and media (whether known now or created in the future), to i) publish, repro-
295 duce, distribute, display and store the Contribution, ii) translate the Contribution into other lan-
296 guages, create adaptations, reprints, include within collections and create summaries, extracts
297 and/or, abstracts of the Contribution, iii) create any other derivative work(s) based on the Con-
298 tribution, iv) to exploit all subsidiary rights in the Contribution, v) the inclusion of electronic
299 links from the Contribution to third party material where-ever it may be located; and, vi) licence
300 any third party to do any or all of the above.

301

302 **Data Sharing**

303 No additional data are available.

304 All authors, external and internal, had full access to all of the data (including statistical reports
305 and tables) in the study and can take responsibility for the integrity of the data and the accuracy
306 of the data analysis.

307

308 **Ethics Approval**

309 The University of Texas at Austin Institutional Review Board reviewed the study protocol and
310 declared the use of pre-collected, fully de-identified data exempt from the need for approval.

311

312

313

314 **Transparency**

315 ARAA affirms that the manuscript is an honest, accurate, and transparent account of the study
316 being reported; that no important aspects of the study have been omitted; and that any
317 discrepancies from the study as planned (and, if relevant, registered) have been explained

318

319 **Role of the Funding Source**

320 This study was supported in part by the Eunice Kennedy Shriver National Institute of Child
321 Health & Human Development of the NIH through Center Grant P2CHD042849, awarded to
322 the Population Research Center at the University of Texas at Austin. The funder played no role
323 in the study design; in the collection, analysis, and interpretation of data; in the writing of the
324 report; or in the decision to submit the article for publication. The authors are completely
325 independent from the funding sources. The content of this article is solely the responsibility of
326 the authors and does not necessarily represent the official views of the National Institutes of
327 Health.

328

329 **Dissemination Declaration**

330 We plan to disseminate the results to people who have made requests to the WoW service by
331 having a link to the published paper included in the 'Research' section of the WoW website.

332

333 **References**

- 334 1. Council on Foreign Relations. Women Around the World & Women and Foreign Policy
335 Program. Abortion in the Time of COVID-19. June 25th 2020.
336 <https://www.cfr.org/blog/abortion-time-covid-19> (Accessed September 4th 2020).
337
- 338 2. European Parliamentary Forum for Sexual and Reproductive Rights & International Planned
339 Parenthood Federation Report. April 22nd 2020. Women and girls left without care: a snapshot in
340 time during COVID-19. [https://www.epfweb.org/sites/default/files/2020-05/epf -
341 _ipff_en_joint_report_sexual_and_reproductive_health_during_the_covid-
342 19_pandemic_23.04.2020.pdf](https://www.epfweb.org/sites/default/files/2020-05/epf_-_ipff_en_joint_report_sexual_and_reproductive_health_during_the_covid-19_pandemic_23.04.2020.pdf) (Accessed September 4th 2020).
343
- 344 3. Department of Health and Social Care. Temporary approval of home use for both stages of
345 early medical abortion in England. March 30th 2020.
346 [https://www.gov.uk/government/publications/temporary-approval-of-home-use-for-both-
347 stages-of-early-medical-abortion--2](https://www.gov.uk/government/publications/temporary-approval-of-home-use-for-both-stages-of-early-medical-abortion--2) (Accessed September 4th 2020).
348
- 349 4. Department of Health and Social Services. Temporary approval of home use for both stages
350 of early medical abortion in Wales.
351 April 1st 2020. [https://gov.wales/temporary-approval-home-use-both-stages-early-medical-
352 abortion](https://gov.wales/temporary-approval-home-use-both-stages-early-medical-abortion)
353 (Accessed September 4th 2002).
354
- 355 5. Scottish Government Chief Medical Officer Directorate. Temporary approval of home use for
356 both stages of early medical abortion in Scotland. March 31st 2020.
357 [https://www.sehd.scot.nhs.uk/cmo/CMO\(2020\)09.pdf](https://www.sehd.scot.nhs.uk/cmo/CMO(2020)09.pdf) (Accessed September 4th 2020).
358

- 359 6. Haute Autorité de Santé. Réponses rapides dans le cadre du COVID-19 - Interruption
360 Volontaire de Grossesse (IVG) médicamenteuse à la 8ème et à la 9ème semaine d'aménorrhée
361 (SA) hors milieu hospitalier
362 9th April 2020. [https://www.has-sante.fr/upload/docs/application/pdf/2020-](https://www.has-sante.fr/upload/docs/application/pdf/2020-04/reponse_rapide_ivg_09_04_2020_coiv8.pdf)
363 [04/reponse_rapide_ivg_09_04_2020_coiv8.pdf](https://www.has-sante.fr/upload/docs/application/pdf/2020-04/reponse_rapide_ivg_09_04_2020_coiv8.pdf) (Accessed September 4th 2020).
364
- 365 7. Webber M. How coronavirus is changing access to abortion. *Politico*. May 8th 2020.
366 <https://www.politico.eu/article/how-coronavirus-is-changing-access-to-reproductive-health/>
367 (Accessed September 4th 2020).
368
- 369 8. Center for Reproductive Rights. European governments must ensure safe and timely access to
370 abortion care during the COVID-19 pandemic. [https://reproductiverights.org/press-](https://reproductiverights.org/press-room/european-governments-must-ensure-safe-and-timely-access-abortion-care-during-covid-19)
371 [room/european-governments-must-ensure-safe-and-timely-access-abortion-care-during-covid-19](https://reproductiverights.org/press-room/european-governments-must-ensure-safe-and-timely-access-abortion-care-during-covid-19)
372 (Accessed September 4th 2020).
373
- 374 9. Marie Stopes International. COVID-19 threatens women's health. April 2nd 2020.
375 <https://mariestopes-us.org/2020/covid-19-threatens-womens-health/> (Accessed September 4th
376 2020).
377
- 378 10. Gomperts RJ, Jelinska K, Davies S, Gemzell-Danielsson K, Kleiverda G. Using telemedicine
379 for termination of pregnancy with mifepristone and misoprostol in settings where there is no
380 access to safe services. *BJOG: An International Journal of Obstetrics & Gynaecology*. 2008
381 Aug;115(9):1171-8.
382
383

- 384 11. Aiken, A.R., Digol, I., Trussell, J. and Gomperts, R., 2017. Self reported outcomes and
385 adverse events after medical abortion through online telemedicine: population based study in the
386 Republic of Ireland and Northern Ireland. *BMJ*. 2017;16;357;j2011.
387
- 388 12. Women on Web <https://www.womenonweb.org/en/page/521/about-women-on-web>
389 (Accessed September 4th 2020).
390
- 391 13. Women on Web. Spain censors information about abortion amid Covid-19 lockdown.
392 June 17th 2020. [https://www.womenonweb.org/en/page/20230/spain-censors-information-](https://www.womenonweb.org/en/page/20230/spain-censors-information-about-abortion-amid-covid-19-lockdown)
393 [about-abortion-amid-covid-19-lockdown](https://www.womenonweb.org/en/page/20230/spain-censors-information-about-abortion-amid-covid-19-lockdown). (Accessed September 4th 2020).
394
- 395 14. Venkataramani AS, Bor J, Jena AB. Regression discontinuity designs in healthcare research.
396 *BMJ*. 2016 Mar 14;352:i1216.
397
- 398 15. Azzopardi K. Covid-19 regulations repealed, vulnerable people no longer required to stay at
399 home. *Malta Independent*. June 4th 2020. [https://www.independent.com.mt/articles/2020-06-](https://www.independent.com.mt/articles/2020-06-04/local-news/Covid-19-regulations-repealed-vulnerable-people-no-longer-required-to-stay-at-home-6736223847)
400 [04/local-news/Covid-19-regulations-repealed-vulnerable-people-no-longer-required-to-stay-at-](https://www.independent.com.mt/articles/2020-06-04/local-news/Covid-19-regulations-repealed-vulnerable-people-no-longer-required-to-stay-at-home-6736223847)
401 [home-6736223847](https://www.independent.com.mt/articles/2020-06-04/local-news/Covid-19-regulations-repealed-vulnerable-people-no-longer-required-to-stay-at-home-6736223847) (Accessed September 4th 2020).
402
- 403 16. Ferguson A. Northern Ireland authorities give green light to abortion services. *Reuters*. April
404 9th 2020. [https://www.reuters.com/article/us-britain-nireland-abortion/northern-ireland-](https://www.reuters.com/article/us-britain-nireland-abortion/northern-ireland-authorities-give-green-light-to-abortion-services-idUSKCN21R2XG)
405 [authorities-give-green-light-to-abortion-services-idUSKCN21R2XG](https://www.reuters.com/article/us-britain-nireland-abortion/northern-ireland-authorities-give-green-light-to-abortion-services-idUSKCN21R2XG) (Accessed September 4th
406 2020).
407

- 408 17. University of Oxford Blavatnik School of Government. Coronavirus Government Response
409 Tracker. [https://www.bsg.ox.ac.uk/research/research-projects/coronavirus-government-
410 response-tracker](https://www.bsg.ox.ac.uk/research/research-projects/coronavirus-government-
410 response-tracker) (Accessed September 4th 2020).
411
- 412 18. Europe Abortion Access Project. <https://europeabortionaccessproject.org> (Accessed
413 September 4th 2020).
414
- 415 19. Bellizzi S, Ronzoni AR, Pichierri G, Cegolon L, Salaris P, Panu Napodano CM, Fiamma M.
416 Safe abortion amid the COVID-19 pandemic: The case of Italy. *International Journal of
417 Gynecology & Obstetrics*. 2020 May 21.
418
- 419 20. Caruana-Finkel L. Abortion in the time of COVID-19: perspectives from Malta. *Sexual and
420 Reproductive Health Matters*. 2020 Jan 1;28(1):1780679.
421
- 422 21. R Core Team (2017). R: A language and environment for statistical computing. R Foundation
423 for Statistical Computing, Vienna, Austria. URL <https://www.R-project.org/>. (Accessed
424 September 4th 2020).
425
- 426 22. [Stevis-Gridneff M.](#), [Haridasani Gupta A.](#), Pronczuk M. Coronavirus Created an Obstacle
427 Course for Safe Abortions. *The New York Times*.
428 <https://www.nytimes.com/2020/06/14/world/europe/coronavirus-abortion-obstacles.html>
429 (Accessed September 4th 2020).
430
- 431 23. Bayefsky MJ, Bartz D, Watson KL. Abortion during the Covid-19 Pandemic—Ensuring
432 Access to an Essential Health Service. *New Engl J Med*. 2020;382(19):e47.
433

- 434 24. Alderman L., [Stevis-Gridneff M.](#) The Pandemic's Economic Damage Is Growing. *The New*
435 *York Times*. July 7th 2020. [https://www.nytimes.com/2020/07/07/business/EU-OECD-](https://www.nytimes.com/2020/07/07/business/EU-OECD-coronavirus-economic-reports.html)
436 [coronavirus-economic-reports.html](https://www.nytimes.com/2020/07/07/business/EU-OECD-coronavirus-economic-reports.html) (Accessed September 4th 2020).
437
- 438 25. UNFPA, with contributions from Avenir Health, Johns Hopkins University (USA) and
439 Victoria University (Australia). Impact of the COVID-19 Pandemic on Family Planning and
440 Ending Gender-based Violence, Female Genital Mutilation and Child Marriage. April 22nd 2020.
441 ([https://www.unfpa.org/resources/impact-covid-19-pandemic-family-planning-and-ending-](https://www.unfpa.org/resources/impact-covid-19-pandemic-family-planning-and-ending-gender-based-violence-female-genital)
442 [gender-based-violence-female-genital](https://www.unfpa.org/resources/impact-covid-19-pandemic-family-planning-and-ending-gender-based-violence-female-genital)). (Accessed September 4th 2020).
443
- 444 26. Herve, T and S Sheldon, Abortion by telemedicine in the European Union, *International*
445 *Journal of Gynecology and Obstetrics*, 2019;145: 125–128.
446
- 447 27. Aiken AR, Johnson DM, Broussard K, Padron E. Experiences of women in Ireland who
448 accessed abortion by travelling abroad or by using abortion medication at home: a qualitative
449 study. *BMJ SRH*. 2018;44(3);181-6.
450
- 451 28. World Health Organization (WHO). Maintaining essential health services: operational
452 guidance for the COVID-19 context. June 1st 2020.
453 <https://apps.who.int/iris/handle/10665/332240> (Accessed September 4th 2020).
454
- 455 29. Kohn JE, Snow JL, Simons HR, Seymour JW, Thompson TA, Grossman D. Medication
456 abortion provided through telemedicine in four US states. *Obstetrics & Gynecology*.
457 2019;134(2);343-50.
458

459 30. Assis MP, Larrea S. Why self-managed abortion is so much more than a provisional solution
460 for times of pandemic. *Sexual and Reproductive Health Matters*. 2020 Jan 1;28(1):1779633.
461

462 **Table 1: Actual versus expected numbers of self-managed abortion requests in the**
 463 **“after” period for each country included in the study**

464

Country	Actual Requests	Expected Requests	Percent Change Over Baseline Trend (95% CI)	P Value
Portugal	34	14.2	139.0 (54.5, 385.7)	< 0.001
Italy	53	31.6	67.9 (23.3, 152.4)	< 0.001
Hungary	113	83.2	35.8 (11.9, 71.2)	< 0.001
Malta	69	52.3	31.9 (3.0, 76.9)	< 0.001
Northern Ireland (UK)	97	75.8	28.0 (4.3, 64.4)	0.001
Germany	465	467.1	-0.5 (-9.0, 9.2)	0.798
Netherlands	47	50.9	-7.7 (-28.8, 27.0)	0.458
Great Britain	1	8.1	-87.6 (-92.9, -66.7)	< 0.001

465

466

467 **Table 2: Financial and health parameters during the COVID-19 pandemic for all**

468 **countries included in the analysis**

469

Country	Date stay-at-home requirements began	Date stay-at-home requirements ended	Number of Deaths due to COVID-19 when stay-at-home requirement ended	Stringency of stay-at-home requirements (Country-wide domestic travel restriction)	Government economic support index	Location and scope of abortion service provision
Portugal	19/03/20	14/04/20	535	87.96 (Yes)	75	Abortion available on request through 10 weeks gestation with a 3-day waiting period and provided mostly in hospitals.
Northern Ireland	23/03/20	10/04/20	476	75.93 (Yes, including to the rest of the UK)	100	No abortion services available until new legislation brought into effect on April 10 th 2020.
Hungary	12/03/20	04/05/20	351	76.85 (Yes)	75	Abortion available in certain circumstances through 12 weeks gestation and provided in hospitals. No medication abortion available.
Malta	17/03/20 [†]	01/06/20	9	NA (No, but no travel outside the country)	NA	No abortion services available.
Italy	23/03/20	10/04/20	18,281	93.52 (Yes)	50	Abortion available on request through 90 days gestation with a 7-day waiting period, and provided mostly in hospitals.
Germany	09/03/20	04/05/20	6,692	73.15 (No, only a few specific districts)	88	Abortions provided on request through 14 weeks with a 3-day waiting period, and mostly provided in doctor's offices and clinics.
Netherlands	06/03/20	11/05/20	5,440	80 (No)	63	Abortions provided on request up until viability with a 5-day waiting period, and mostly provided in doctor's offices and clinics.
Great Britain	23/03/20	13/05/20	32,692	76 (Yes)	100	Medication abortion provision changed from in-person to fully remote service model, including phone consultation and pills provided by mail or pick-up at a clinic

470 [†]Malta did not issue a population-wide directive, so that date that the Maltese government issued
471 a directive to close public places is used in lieu.

472

473

474

475 **Figure 1: Observed versus expected requests to Women on Web for all countries**

476 **included in the analysis**

477 Cumulative requests in the “before” versus “after” periods are in black and orange, respectively.

478 Vertical dashed lines show the dates when stay-at-home orders were announced. The blue line

479 shows the model without any discontinuities (the null model), and the green line shows the

480 model fit with a discontinuity. for the stay-at-home order. The pink lines are the 250 Monte


481 Carlo simulations from the null model, which support the likelihood ratio test’s finding that the

482 model with discontinuities is a significantly better fit than the null model.


Countries with significant increase in requests

medRxiv preprint doi: <https://doi.org/10.1101/2020.09.15.20195222>; this version posted September 18, 2020. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity.


All rights reserved. No reuse allowed without permission.



Countries without significant change in requests



Countries with significant decrease in requests



Women on Web requests since 01-01-2019

- Data (no restrictions)
- Data (restrictions)
- Fit without discontinuity
- Fit with discontinuity