medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2020.09.13.20193532; this version posted October 5, 2020. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity.
All rights reserved. No reuse allowed without permission.

Role of Weather Factors in COVID-19 Death Growth Rates in Tropical Climate: A Data-Driven Study Focused on Brazil

Manuscript

Rahul Kalippurayil Moozhipurath[1]

(Faculty of Economics and Business, Goethe University Frankfurt[1])

Date: 05.10.2020

Rahul Kalippurayil Moozhipurath; Faculty of Economics and Business, Goethe University Frankfurt, Theodor-W.-Adorno-Platz 4, 60629 Frankfurt, Germany; email: rahulkm85@gmail.com, Phone: +49-152-1301-0589;

NOTE: This preprint reports new research that has not been certified by peer review and should not be used to guide clinical practice.

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2020.09.13.20193532; this version posted October 5, 2020. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity.
All rights reserved. No reuse allowed without permission.

Abstract

Background. Brazil reported 123,780 deaths across 27 administrative regions, making it the second-worst affected country after the US in terms of COVID-19 deaths as of 3 September 2020. Understanding the role of weather factors in COVID-19 in Brazil is helpful in the long-term mitigation strategy of COVID-19 in other tropical countries because Brazil experienced early large-scale outbreak among tropical countries. Recent COVID-19 studies indicate that relevant weather factors such as temperature, humidity, UV Index (UVI), precipitation, ozone, pollution and cloud cover may influence the spread of COVID-19. Yet, the magnitude and direction of those associations remain inconclusive. Furthermore, there is only limited research exploring the impact of these weather factors in a tropical country like Brazil. In this observational study, we outline the roles of 7 relevant weather factors including temperature, humidity, UVI, precipitation, ozone, pollution (visibility) and cloud cover in COVID-19 death growth rates in Brazil.

Methods. We use a log-linear fixed-effects model to a panel dataset of 27 administrative regions in Brazil across 182 days (n=3882) and analyze the role of relevant weather factors by using daily cumulative COVID-19 deaths in Brazil as the dependent variable. We carry out robustness checks using case-fatality-rate (CFR) as the dependent variable.

Findings. We control for all region-specific time-fixed factors as well as potentially confounding time-varying factors. We observe a significant negative association of COVID-19 daily deaths growth rate in Brazil with weather factors - UVI, temperature, ozone and cloud cover. Specifically, a unit increase in UVI, maximum temperature, and ozone level independently associate with 6.0 percentage points [$p<0.001$], 1.8 percentage points [$p<0.01$] and 0.3 percentage points [$p<0.1$] decline in COVID-19 deaths growth rate. Further, a percentage point increase in cloud cover associates with a decline of 0.148 percentage points [$p<0.05$] in COVID-19 deaths growth rate. Surprisingly, contrary to other studies, we do not

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2020.09.13.20193532; this version posted October 5, 2020. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity.
All rights reserved. No reuse allowed without permission.

find evidence of any association between COVID-19 daily deaths growth rate and humidity, visibility and precipitation. We find our results to be consistent even when we use the CFR as the dependent variable.

Interpretation. We find independent protective roles of UVI, temperature, ozone and cloud cover in mitigating COVID-19 deaths growth rate, even in a tropical country like Brazil. We observe these results to be consistent across various model specifications, especially for UVI and cloud cover, even after incorporating additional time-varying weather parameters such as dewpoint, pressure, wind speed and wind gust. These results could guide health-related policy decision making in Brazil as well as similar tropical countries.

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2020.09.13.20193532; this version posted October 5, 2020. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity.
All rights reserved. No reuse allowed without permission.

1 Introduction

Brazil reported 123,780 deaths across 27 administrative regions, making it the second-most affected country after the US in terms of COVID-19 deaths as of 3 September 2020[1]. Understanding the role of weather factors in COVID-19 in Brazil would be helpful in the long-term mitigation strategy of COVID-19 in other tropical countries because Brazil experienced early large-scale outbreak among tropical countries. Recent COVID-19 studies indicate that relevant weather factors such as temperature, humidity, UV Index (UVI), precipitation, ozone, pollution and cloud cover may influence the spread of COVID-19. Yet, the magnitude and direction of those associations in general and also specifically for tropical countries remain inconclusive. A majority of the studies considered only a subset of these weather factors and they did not take into account various region-specific time-constant factors as well as the potentially lagged effects of the weather factors, which might confound the results.

So far, studies looking at the impact of these weather factors on COVID-19 in a tropical country like Brazil are limited. Brazil is an interesting country for studying the impact of weather parameters on COVID-19 primarily due to three reasons. Firstly, Brazil is the most affected country in tropics and second most affected country in the world after the US in terms of COVID-19 deaths. Secondly, Brazil is the fifth largest country with a majority of its territory in Southern Hemisphere (93% of the landmass in Southern Hemisphere[2]) – spanning across 5°N and 33°S. Initial stages of COVID-19 started in Brazil during their autumn (Southern Hemisphere), and subsequently, Brazil transitioned from autumn to winter. The dynamics of COVID-19 in autumn to winter transition may improve our understanding of the role of weather factors, especially for similar regions in the northern hemisphere, when they transition from autumn to winter. Thirdly, a large area of Brazil's geography (81.4%)[3] is tropical, experiencing a wide range of seasons and weather conditions prominent in a tropical

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2020.09.13.20193532; this version posted October 5, 2020. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity.
All rights reserved. No reuse allowed without permission.

climate, enabling us to draw early conclusions on how weather factors might impact COVID-19 in tropical areas[4] . Therefore, the results of such a study in Brazil can guide further health-related policy decision making in similar tropical countries.

To the best of our knowledge, so far, no empirical study has comprehensively explored the role of these different relevant weather factors in COVID-19 death growth rates covering 27 different administrative regions in Brazil, controlling for various other time-varying factors well as region-specific time-constant factors. In this observational study, we empirically describe the role of these weather factors in COVID-19 death grow rates in Brazil. We observe a significant negative association of daily growth rate of cumulative COVID-19 deaths (COVID-19 daily death growth rate) in Brazil with weather factors - UVI, temperature, ozone and cloud cover, indicting their respective protective roles. Surprisingly, contrary to other studies, we do not find any association between COVID-19 daily death growth rates and humidity, visibility and precipitation. We find our results to be consistent even when we use the case-fatality-rate (CFR) as the dependent variable.

2 Role of Relevant Weather Factors in COVID-19

Studies indicate that the impact of weather factors on respiratory viral infections and deaths comes from three major mechanisms[5]. Firstly, weather factors affect the survival and transmission of viruses[5]. Respiratory viral infection occurs primarily through contact, droplet spray, aerosol or fomite or surface transmission[5] and weather factors may play a role in this transmission[5]. Secondly, weather factors play a role in human behaviour affecting the likelihood of being in contact with an infected person and thereby the transmission of viruses[5]. Thirdly, weather factors such as UVB Radiation can modulate the immune responses via its role in vitamin D synthesis[5].

Prior studies show that weather factors[6] such as humidity[7], UVI[3], temperature[8] and precipitation[8,9] play a substantial role in the transmission of viruses such as influenza. Early

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2020.09.13.20193532; this version posted October 5, 2020. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity.
All rights reserved. No reuse allowed without permission.

studies indicate that weather factors such as temperature[10] and humidity[10,11] may influence the spread of COVID-19 and plausibly deaths. Temperature and humidity primarily impact the survival period of the virus on different surfaces influencing its transmission[12–14]. Thus, their protective role may be due to their impact on the viability of viruses as they affect the viral membranes[5]. On the contrary, temperature and humidity also influence the behaviour of people. For example, a higher temperature may also increase their likelihood of outdoor activities and thereby increasing the possibility of transmission.

Moisture-based weather factors like precipitation may play a role in converting dry environment into moist and cold conditions, making it more conducive for the survival and transmission of viruses[15]. However, precipitation and cloud cover also influence the behaviour of people, limiting their likelihood of outdoor activities and thereby potentially impacting the transmission of COVID-19.

Further, air pollution[16] may be a significant risk factor for respiratory infection as it affects the immune system[17], as well as denser particulate matter, could carry coronavirus for more extended periods as well as across larger distances[17]. Studies also indicate a significant association of air pollution and COVID-19 death rate[17]. Furthermore, studies show that the presence of air pollutants like ozone is also associated with an increased risk of ARDS (Acute Respiratory Distress Syndrome)[18], which is a significant risk factor in COVID-19. On the contrary, some studies also indicate a protective role of ozone as it reduces the transmission of viral diseases like influenza[19] and plausibly COVID-19[20], plausibly due to anti-viral effects[20] .

UV Radiation, another weather factor, plays a protective role in two ways. UV Radiation inactivates viruses in fomite transmission, thereby mitigating the viral spread and deaths[21]. UV Radiation, specifically UVB also plays a significant role in vitamin D skin synthesis[22–26]. Even in a sunny and tropical country like Brazil, studies indicate that Vitamin D deficiency is common[27]. UVB Radiation and the likelihood of skin exposure & skin synthesis vary

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2020.09.13.20193532; this version posted October 5, 2020. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity.
All rights reserved. No reuse allowed without permission.

substantially several factors such as seasons[28], time[28], latitude[28], altitude[28], active lifestyle[29,30], dietary habits[31],[28], food fortification[28], age[28] and skin colour[28]. UV index measures the intensity of UV radiation and is used as a warning for sunburn risk, mostly by solar UVB radiation spectrum 290-315 nm[32], the spectrum which helps in vitamin D skin synthesis[33]. Early studies show a protective role of UVB and vitamin D in COVID-19[34,35,36,37,38]. 1,25-dihydroxyvitamin D [1,25 $(OH)_2D$], an active vitamin D form, plays an essential role in modulating innate and adaptive immune systems[39,40], renin-angiotensin system (RAS)[40–42] as well as in modulating the inflammatory response [39,40]. Emerging COVID-19 studies indicate that vitamin D deficiency might be a risk factor not only for incidence[36,37], but also for severity[43] [44]and mortality[38,45,46]. Therefore, based on the prior studies, we consider temperature, humidity, UV Index, precipitation, ozone, visibility and cloud cover to be the relevant factors to consider for exploring the role of weather in COVID-19 deaths growth rate.

3 Evaluation of Previous Studies on Weather Factors & COVID-19

We anticipate that studies exploring the role of weather in COVID-19 need to consider all the above relevant weather factors, as considering only a subset of these factors may confound and limit the reliability of results[47]. Early studies also indicate that a tropical country like Brazil shows some substantial variation from higher latitude countries with low temperature and low UV Index or those with periods under winter[4]. We argue that conclusions of studies with over-representation of locations with a lower temperature or lower UV[47] either due to the study period or due to specific regions may have limited applicability in a tropical country like Brazil. Furthermore, prior studies indicate that an extended study period helps in strengthening the evidence for the association between these factors and COVID-19[4]. Therefore, it is vital to consider relevant weather parameters as well as the regional characteristics and the duration of the study.

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2020.09.13.20193532; this version posted October 5, 2020. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity.
All rights reserved. No reuse allowed without permission.

Studies also indicate the importance of considering lags, as there is usually a substantial delay in the onset of symptoms, testing, hospitalisation and deaths[47,48]. Furthermore, humans can store vitamin D produced via the UV Index to be used later[34]. According to prior studies, time-constant factors such as population, genetic profile, culture, density, age structure, gender, underlying disease, co-morbidities, skin pigmentation, gender, mobility, underlying conditions, diet or socio-economic factors are relevant to consider in COVID-19[34,35,47]. As COVID-19 is a novel disease, we anticipate that controlling for other weather factors such as dew point, pressure, wind speed, and wind gust may increase the robustness of the conclusions. With this rationale, we derive twelve criteria for evaluating the relevant literature exploring the role of weather factors in COVID-19, as shown in Table 1.

We provide an overview of the evaluation of various studies focusing on the role of the above relevant weather parameters in COVID-19, as shown in Table 1. Although COVID-19 studies indicate that these relevant weather factors may influence COVID-19 cases as well as deaths, the magnitude and direction of those associations remain inconclusive. Results of some studies a indicate that COVID-19 spread and plausibly deaths associate negatively with temperature[10,15,47,49–51], humidity[10,15,52,53] , UV Index[34,35], precipitation[48,54], ozone[20]. However, the results of other studies indicate no association with temperature[55,56], humidity[56],precipitation[50], UV radiation[57]. Some studies even indicate a positive association with temperature[48,52], precipitation[15,47], pollution[53] and humidity[53].

Further, studies exploring the impact of these weather factors on a tropical country like Brazil are limited. A few studies focused on Brazil find a negative association with temperature[2,49]; whereas others show a positive association with temperature[4] and humidity[4,58] or no association with temperature[58]. Further, as shown in Table 1, the majority of the Brazilian studies used data for a shorter period covering only the time until the start of Brazilian winter. Furthermore, no studies in Brazil has so far considered weather factors such as UV Index, ozone, visibility and cloud cover.

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2020.09.13.20193532; this version posted October 5, 2020. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity.
All rights reserved. No reuse allowed without permission.

The reasons for the above inconclusive results are unclear[47]. As mentioned in Table 1, most of these studies considered only a subset of these weather factors. They did not take into account the lags, which might potentially limit the reliability of the results[47]. Further, the majority of these studies did not take into account the above time constant confounding factors. Other limitations include using Reproduction Number (R_0) of COVID-19 as the dependent variable, whose estimation includes several assumptions[47] . Majority of the studies had over-representation of locations with a lower temperature or lower UV[47] either due to various studies conducted during northern hemisphere winter/spring or due to the focus on regions in the higher latitudes in the northern hemisphere.

Extending the study by Moozhipurath, Kraft, and Skiera (2020) [34] and Moozhipurath, and Kraft (2020) [35], we investigate the role of relevant weather factors in COVID-19, focusing on the within-country variation in a tropical country, Brazil. In contrast to Moozhipurath, Kraft, and Skiera (2020) [34] and Moozhipurath, and Kraft (2020) [35] which focus on UVI, we evaluate the existing literature on all relevant weather factors in COVID-19 in detail. We further empirically explore the role of all relevant weather factors like temperature, humidity, UVI, precipitation, ozone and pollution in COVID-19 with a focus on Brazil. Furthermore, Moozhipurath, Kraft, and Skiera (2020) [34] and Moozhipurath, and Kraft (2020) [35] studied COVID-19 when Northern Hemisphere transitioned from winter to summer with the majority of the outbreaks focused on countries in higher latitudes. These countries in higher latitudes undergo substantial variation in temperature and UVI [4]. It is not yet clear whether the results, i.e., the protective role of UVB radiation in COVID-19 is valid for a tropical country like Brazil, with higher UVI and limited variation in UVI and temperature. Contrary to the above studies, we also use a more comprehensive weather dataset focusing on Brazil, a tropical country in Southern Hemisphere with additional weather factors such as wind speed, dew point, pressure and wind gust. We also use a more extended period of data.

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2020.09.13.20193532; this version posted October 5, 2020. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity.
All rights reserved. No reuse allowed without permission.

In this observational study, we empirically describe the role of these seven relevant weather factors in COVID-19 deaths in Brazil. Contrary to the majority of other studies, we focus on the within-country variation located primarily in the Southern Hemisphere tropical region, consider time lags and control for all time-constant and various time-varying confounding factors. Further, we consider all relevant weather factors as well as analyze extended periods of data. In robustness checks, we also incorporate additional time-varying weather parameters such as dewpoint, pressure, wind speed and wind gust to ensure the robustness of our results.

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2020.09.13.20193532; this version posted October 5, 2020. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity.
All rights reserved. No reuse allowed without permission.

Table 1: Review of Studies Exploring Weather Factors in COVID-19

		Association of Relevant Weather Factors with COVID-19 Spread, Cases or Deaths – Focus of Study							Controlled for Other Factors			
Authors	**Focus Regions & Date of Latest Data Used**	**Temperature**	**Humidity**	**UV Index**	**Precipitation**	**Ozone**	**Pollution (e.g., Visibility)**	**Cloud Cover**	**All Relevant Weather Factors**	**Other Weather Factors - Pressure etc.**	**Controlled for All Time-Constant Factors (e.g., co-**	**Time Dimension or Lags**
Sajadi et al. (2020) [10]	50 Cities, Global; 10 March 2020	–	–						No	No	No	No
Sarkodie et al. (2020)[14]	Top 20 countries with COVID-19 cases; 27 April 2020	–	–		+				No	Wind Speed, Pressure, Dew Point	Yes	No
Bashir et al. (2020) [50]	New York City; 12 April 2020	+	*		*		+		No	Wind Speed	No	No
Lin et al. (2020) [51]	Mainland China; 29 February 2020	–	*						No			
Xu et al. (2020) [47]	Global (R_0); Focus on the US; 22 April 2020	–	*	–	+	+	+	*	Yes	Wind Speed, Pressure	Yes	Yes
Jüni et al. (2020) [11]	Global excluding China, South Korea, Italy & Iran; 20 March 2020	*	–						No	No	No	No
Oliveiros et al. (2020) [52]	Mainland China; 1 March 2020	–	+		*				No	Wind Speed	No	No
Zhang et al. (2020) [53]	219 Chinese Cities;	–	+				+		No	Wind Speed	No	No
Moozhipurath et al. (2020) [34]; Moozhipurath et al. (2020) [35]	152[34] / 136[35] Countries; 8 May 2020			–					Yes	No	Yes	Yes
Bu et al. (2020) [54]	2 Cities – China; 4 February 2020	–	+		–				No	No	No	No
Menebo (2020) [48]	Oslo, Norway; 2 May 2020	+			+				No	Wind Speed	No	Yes
Ran et al. (2020) [20]	154 Chinese Cities (R_0); 29 February 2020					–			No	No	No	No
Briz-Redón et al. (2020) [55]	Provinces, Spain; 28 March 2020	*							No	No	No	Yes
Coelho et al. (2020) [56]	65 Countries; 31 March 2020	*			+				No	No	No	No
Yao et al. (2020) [57]	Chinese Cities (R_0); 9 March 2020;	*	*	*					No	No	No	No
Rosario et al. (2020) [49]	1 state in Brazil - Rio De Janiro; 28 April 2020	–	*		*				No	Wind Speed	No	No
Auler et al. (2020) [4]	5 cities in Brazil; 13 April 2020	+	+		*				No	No	No	No
Prata et al. (2020) [2]	27 cities in Brazil; 1 April 2020	–							No	No	No	No
Neto et al. (2020) [58]	Capital cities in Brazil; 1 May 2020	*	+						No	Wind Speed, Dew Point	No	No
This Study	**27 Administrative Regions of Brazil; 24 August 2020**	**–**	*****	**–**	*****	**–**	*****	**–**	**Yes**	**Wind Speed, Dew Point, Pressure, Wind Gust**	**Yes**	**Yes**

Note: Legends indicating the association of weather factors with COVID-19; +: Positive association; –: Negative association; *: No association; Blanks: Respective factor was not considered in the study or used as control variables & not explored in detail

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2020.09.13.20193532; this version posted October 5, 2020. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity.
All rights reserved. No reuse allowed without permission.

4 Methods

4.1 Description of Data

We sourced COVID-19 data, covering across 27 administrative regions (26 states, 1 Federal District) of Brazil from the publicly available data published by the Ministry of Health, Brazil (https://covid.saude.gov.br/). The dataset covers 182 days from 25 February 2020 until 24 August 2020. We then used Python Geocoder to identify the latitude and longitude of these 27 administrative regions of Brazil (26 states, 1 Federal District). We then collected the daily weather data from https://darksky.net/ for these 27 administrative regions, based on the above latitude and longitude information. Subsequently, we merged these data to construct the final dataset at an administrative region level, as outlined in Table 2. All of these 27 administrative regions have reported more than 20 COVID-19 cumulative infections as of 24 August 2020. To ensure that the observations at the early stage of COVID-19 do not bias our results, we removed all 20 daily observations at the beginning of the outbreak for each administrative region.

The dataset consists of the cumulative daily number of COVID-19 deaths at an administrative region level along with relevant weather factors such as temperature (minimum and maximum – both in degrees Celsius (^{o}C)), relative humidity (percentage, scale 0% - 100%)), UV Index (on a linear scale : 0 - 11+)), precipitation (mm), ozone (Dobson Unit (DU)), visibility (Kilometers (KM)) and cloud cover (percentage of cloud cover, on a scale : 0 - 1). UV Index is measured at ground level. Table 3 summarizes the descriptive statics of our dataset. As of 24 August 2020, on average per 27 administrative regions in Brazil, cumulative COVID-19 deaths were 4,270, and the growth rate of daily COVID-19 deaths was 0.007. The average growth rate of daily COVID-19 deaths was 0.05 across administrative regions, and the study period. Minimum temperature and maximum temperature across these administrative regions and the study period were 17.9 ^{o}C and 29.0 ^{o}C respectively. Further,

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2020.09.13.20193532; this version posted October 5, 2020. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity.
All rights reserved. No reuse allowed without permission.

relative humidity and UVI were on average, 75.9% and 7.4, respectively. Precipitation, ozone, visibility and cloud cover were on average 0.14 mm, 15.7 KM, 257 DU and 0.45 or 45% respectively.

Table 2: Summary of the Dataset

Number of administrative regions of Brazil in our data set	27 regions (26 states, 1 Federal District)
… > 0 cumulative COVID-19 deaths before 24 Aug 2020	27 regions (26 states, 1 Federal District)
… > 20 cumulative COVID-19 infections before 24 Aug 2020	27 regions (26 states, 1 Federal District)
Time-period	25 February 2020 – 24 August 2020 (Duration: 182 days)
Level of data granularity	Daily
Data Source of daily COVID-19 deaths & cases at an administrative region level	Ministry of Health, Brazil, https://covid.saude.gov.br/
Latitude and longitude information for each administrative region	Python Geocoder
Weather data (daily)	Dark Sky https://darksky.net/

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2020.09.13.20193532; this version posted October 5, 2020. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity.
All rights reserved. No reuse allowed without permission.

Table 3: Descriptive Statistics of Data Set

Variable	Number of Administrative Regions	Number of Observations	Mean	Std. Dev.	Min	Max
Cumulated COVID-19 deaths on 24 August	27	27	4,270	5,764	579	28,505
Growth rate of cumulative COVID-19 deaths on 24 August	27	27	0.007	0.005	0	0.018
Daily growth rate of cumulative COVID-19 deaths	27	3,882	0.05	0.09	-0.004	1.39
Daily growth rate of CFR on 24 August	27	27	0.002	0.005	-0.011	0.012
Daily growth rate of CFR covering all observations from the beginning	27	3,882	0.001	0.08	-0.80	1.24
Time-passed by from first reported infection until 24 August	27	27	164	6	156	181
Daily Ultraviolet index (UVI)	27	3,921	7.4	2.0	3	13
Daily cloud index	27	3,921	0.45	0.30	0	1
Daily ozone level	27	3,921	257	9	234	315
Daily visibility level	27	3,921	15.7	1.2	0.6	16.1
Daily relative humidity level	27	3,921	75.9	13.7	31	100
Daily precipitation level	27	3,921	0.14	0.28	0	2.8
Minimum temperature per day within an administrative region	27	3,921	17.9	4.3	-2.6	25.1
Maximum temperature per day within an administrative region	27	3,921	29.0	4.3	10.3	39.9

Note: We drop the first 20 observations after the first case in an administrative region. Therefore, we have lesser number of observations than 182*27 = 4914.

4.2 Description of Methodology

We use a log-linear fixed-effects model to the above panel dataset of 27 administrative regions in Brazil across 182 days (n=3882). We use the cumulative COVID-19 deaths as the dependent variable and the case-fatality-rate (CFR) for robustness checks. Further, our model isolates the association of these relevant weather factors from all time-constant and various time-varying region-specific factors. We account for the lagged effects of these weather factors by including 42 days moving averages of these weather parameters daily per region.

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2020.09.13.20193532; this version posted October 5, 2020. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity.
All rights reserved. No reuse allowed without permission.

Our fixed-effects model demeans the structural model and isolates these weather factors from region-specific time-constant factors analytically.

Our fixed-effects control for all region-specific time-constant factors such as age structure (e.g., fraction of population above 65 years of age), genetic profile, culture, density, age structure, gender, underlying disease, co-morbidities, skin pigmentation, gender, mobility, underlying conditions, diet or socio-economic factors that are relevant to consider in COVID-19[34,35,47] We provide a detailed explanation of our methodology and the interpretation of these associations in section 1 and section 2 in the *Supplementary Appendix*.

5 Results

We summarize our main results in Table 4. After controlling for all time-constant and various time-varying confounding factors, we note a substantial and significant negative association of daily growth rates of cumulative COVID-19 deaths (COVID-19 daily deaths growth rate) with UVI, cloud cover, maximum temperature and ozone, with a moving average window of 42 days (Model 1 in Table 4). Specifically, a permanent unit increase of UVI, maximum temperature and ozone are independently associated with a decline of 6.0 percentage points [$p<0.001$], 1.8 percentage points [$p<0.01$] and 0.3 percentage points [$p<0.1$] in COVID-19 deaths growth rate. A unit percentage increase in cloud cover is associated with 0.148 percentage points [$p<0.05$] decline in COVID-19 deaths growth rate. These results indicate their respective protective roles.

Further, we explore what these associations mean in relative terms over a period of 2 weeks. A unit increase of UVI, maximum temperature and ozone are associated with a decline of 48% ($= -0.06 \cdot \frac{14}{42}/0.05$), 14.4% ($= -0.018 \cdot \frac{14}{42}/0.05$), and 2.4% ($= -0.003 \cdot \frac{14}{42}/0.05$) of daily growth rates of COVID-19 deaths as compared to the average daily growth rates over two weeks (14 days). As these results indicate, the above associations are substantial in

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2020.09.13.20193532; this version posted October 5, 2020. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity.
All rights reserved. No reuse allowed without permission.

relative terms, over a period of two weeks. Model 2 of Table 4 outlines that the results are consistent, even when we use CFR as the dependent variable. The estimated associations in Model 2 are weaker than those of Model 1 as the weather factors not only affect the COVID-19 deaths but also the infections, as outlined in section 2 of this manuscript.

We check the robustness of our results in Table S1 in *Supplementary Appendix*. We include additional time-varying weather parameters such as dewpoint, pressure, wind speed and wind gust. We find our results to be consistent across various model specifications, especially for UVI and cloud cover, even after incorporating these additional time-varying weather parameters.

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2020.09.13.20193532; this version posted October 5, 2020. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity.
All rights reserved. No reuse allowed without permission.

Table 4: Effect of Weather Factors on Cumulative COVID-19 Deaths in Brazil

	Model 1	Model 2
Dependent Variable	COVID-19 Deaths	CFR
UVI	-0.060*** (-5.83)	-0.047*** (-5.73)
Precipitation	0.038 (0.46)	0.011 (0.17)
Cloud Index	-0.148* (-2.29)	-0.135** (-2.82)
Ozone	-0.003+(-1.73)	-0.003* (-2.27)
Visibility Level	0.002 (0.22)	0.002 (0.15)
Humidity Level	-0.00002 (-0.02)	-0.001 (-0.48)
Temperature Max	-0.018**(-3.06)	-0.012* (-2.35)
Temperature Min	-0.005 (-0.79)	0.0003 (0.07)

Control Variables

Time Trend of Growth Rate	Region-specific Linear and Squared Time Trends	Region-specific Linear and Squared Time Trends
Time Window for Moving Averages in Days	42	42
Number of Estimates	8 (+27 FE + 54 TRSE)	8 (+27 FE + 54 TRSE)
Number of Observations	3,882	3,882
Number of Administrative Regions	27	27
R-squared-Adj	32.78%	9.64%

Note: +: $p < 0.10$, *: $p < 0.05$, **: $p < 0.01$. t-statistics based on robust standard errors in parentheses. FE stands for region fixed-effects, TRSE stands for time region-specific effects.

6 Discussion

We observe a significant negative association of COVID-19 daily death growth rates in Brazil with weather factors - UVI, temperature, ozone and cloud cover. Specifically, a unit increase in UVI, maximum temperature, and ozone independently associate with 6.0 percentage points [p<0.001], 1.8 percentage points [p<0.01] and 0.3 percentage points [p<0.1] decline in COVID-19 daily deaths growth rate. Further, a unit percentage increase in cloud cover associates with a decline of 0.148 percentage points [p<0.05] in COVID-19 daily deaths

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2020.09.13.20193532; this version posted October 5, 2020. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity.
All rights reserved. No reuse allowed without permission.

growth rate. These results translate into a substantial decline of COVID-19 daily deaths growth rate as compared to the average daily growth rates over two weeks (14 days) in relative terms especially for UVI (48%) and maximum temperature (14.4%). These results assume importance since the average UVI and average temperature are relatively high and their variations are moderate in tropical regions compared to other regions. Surprisingly, contrary to other studies, we do not find any association between COVID-19 daily deaths growth rate and humidity, visibility and precipitation. We find our results to be consistent even when we use the CFR as the dependent variable.

Our results are consistent with various theoretical mechanisms through which weather factors may affect immunity, human behaviour as well as viral survival and transmission[5]. Prior studies indicate that in higher latitudes (above 35º latitude) during winter, ozone absorbs most of the UVB[59] and reduces the protective role of UVB. However, it is surprising that even in a tropical country like Brazil with high average UV Index and most of the geographic area below 33°S, UVB radiation plays a crucial mitigating role in COVID-19. These results are consistent with the emerging observational and clinical findings related to the protective role of UVB radiation due to its role in the inactivation of viruses as well as vitamin D synthesis[34,35,36,37,38]. This finding can guide the policy decisions, for example – sensible sunlight exposure and vitamin D supplementation – even in tropical countries.

We anticipate that temperature may play a protective role in COVID-19 in Brazil since higher temperature reduces the spread of COVID-19 and thereby the likelihood of deaths plausibly due to its effect on viral survival and transmission. These results are consistent with the majority of the existing studies on other viruses such as SARS-CoV[60]. In contrast with some prior studies, we find a protective role of ozone in COVID-19[20] in Brazil[47]. This protective role may be plausibly due to its anti-viral effects[20]. Finally, the protective role of cloud cover in Brazil may be due to the changes in the behaviour of people – for example – more time spent indoors and thereby reducing the likelihood of transmission of COVID-19

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2020.09.13.20193532; this version posted October 5, 2020. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity.
All rights reserved. No reuse allowed without permission.

outdoors. In contrast to many other studies[47,10,15,52,53,48,54], we do not find any association between COVID-19 daily deaths growth rate and other weather factors such as humidity, visibility and precipitation in Brazil. We observe our results to be consistent across various model specifications, especially for UVI and cloud cover, even after incorporating additional time-varying weather parameters such as dewpoint, pressure, wind speed and wind gust.

We control for all time-constant and region-specific factors and different time-varying confounding factors[34,35]. Nevertheless, we admit that we may not be able to exclude other time-varying confounding factors, which might affect our results[34,35]. We also acknowledge that our study does not take into account the regional variations within Brazil as well as the difference in UVB exposure across urban and rural regions due to different amount of time spent outdoors. Our study uses the latest COVID-19 data with one of the comprehensive datasets available on weather in Brazil at an administrative region level.

In general, tropical countries do not undergo substantial variation in temperature and UVB radiation across seasons. However, our results indicate that even in such tropical countries, a consistent drop in UVI or temperature due to change of seasons (e.g., monsoon, rainy season, winter) may be associated with a substantial increase in the daily death growth rate of COVID-19. These results also serve as an early warning for tropical regions in the Northern Hemisphere which is transitioning to autumn and winter. Furthermore, our study indicates that with the arrival of summer (higher temperature and higher UVI), tropical countries in the southern hemisphere like Brazil may face a decline in COVID-19 deaths. We further acknowledge that our study results cannot be a substitute for health guidance for Brazil. However, we hope that the results of our study will guide further ecological studies and guide policy decision making in Brazil and other similar tropical countries.

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2020.09.13.20193532; this version posted October 5, 2020. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity.
All rights reserved. No reuse allowed without permission.

7 Declaration of Interests

RKM is a PhD student at Goethe University, Frankfurt. He is a full-time employee of a multinational chemical company involved in vitamin D business and holds the shares of the company. This study is intended to contribute to the ongoing COVID-19 crisis and is not sponsored by his company. The views expressed in the paper are those of the authors and do not represent that of any organization. No other relationships or activities that could appear to have influenced the submitted work.

8 Acknowledgements

We would like to thank Lennart Kraft for his valuable support, providing inputs to this paper and his review. We would like to acknowledge Sharath Mandya Krishna, for his support to this paper. We thank Matthew Little for his inputs and his assistance in the review. We would also like to acknowledge Magdalena Ceklarz for her contributions to our paper and the discussions about COVID-19 at different points in time.

9 Author Contributions

RKM conceptualised the research idea, conducted literature research, designed theoretical framework and collected COVID-19 data. RKM analyzed and interpreted the results and wrote the article.

10 Role of the Funding Source

This study is not sponsored by any organisation. The corresponding author had full access to all the data and had final responsibility for the submission decision.

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2020.09.13.20193532; this version posted October 5, 2020. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity.
All rights reserved. No reuse allowed without permission.

11 Additional Information

Correspondence and requests for materials should be addressed to Rahul Kalippurayil Moozhipurath (rahulkm85@gmail.com).

12 Data Sharing

The data used in the study are sourced from publicly available sources. Data regarding COVID-19 are obtained on 25 August 2020 from *the data released by the Ministry of Health, Brazil* and can be accessed at https://covid.saude.gov.br/. Data regarding weather is obtained from *Dark Sky* on the 25 August, 2020 and can be accessed at https://darksky.net/. We will make specific data set used in this study available for any future research. Interested researchers can contact Rahul Kalippurayil Moozhipurath via email to get access to the data.

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2020.09.13.20193532; this version posted October 5, 2020. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity.
All rights reserved. No reuse allowed without permission.

13 References

1. Dong, E., Du, H. & Gardner, L. An interactive web-based dashboard to track COVID-19 in real time. *Lancet Infect. Dis.* **20**, 533–534 (2020).
2. Prata, D. N., Rodrigues, W. & Bermejo, P. H. Temperature significantly changes COVID-19 transmission in (sub)tropical cities of Brazil. *Sci. Total Environ.* **729**, 138862 (2020).
3. Alvares, C. A., Stape, J. L., Sentelhas, P. C., de Moraes Gonçalves, J. L. & Sparovek, G. Köppen's climate classification map for Brazil. *Meteorol. Z.* **22**, 711–728 (2013).
4. Auler, A. C., Cássaro, F. A. M., da Silva, V. O. & Pires, L. F. Evidence that high temperatures and intermediate relative humidity might favor the spread of COVID-19 in tropical climate: A case study for the most affected Brazilian cities. *Sci. Total Environ.* **729**, 139090 (2020).
5. Moriyama, M., Hugentobler, W. J. & Iwasaki, A. Seasonality of respiratory viral infections. *Annu. Rev. Virol.* **7**, (2020).
6. Chretien, J.-P., George, D., Shaman, J., Chitale, R. A. & McKenzie, F. E. Influenza forecasting in human populations: A scoping review. *PLOS ONE* **9**, e94130 (2014).
7. Shaman, J., Jeon, C. Y., Giovannucci, E. & Lipsitch, M. Shortcomings of vitamin D-based model simulations of seasonal influenza. *PLOS ONE* **6**, e20743 (2011).
8. Ianevski, A. *et al.* Low temperature and low UV indexes correlated with peaks of influenza virus activity in Northern Europe during 2010–2018. *Viruses* **11**, 207 (2019).
9. Yang, W. *et al.* Dynamics of influenza in tropical Africa: Temperature, humidity, and co-circulating (sub)types. *Influenza Other Respir. Viruses* **12**, 446–456 (2018).
10. Sajadi, M. M. *et al.* Temperature, humidity and latitude analysis to predict potential spread and seasonality for COVID-19. Preprint at http://dx.doi.org/10.2139/ssrn.3550308 (2020).
11. Jüni, P. *et al.* Impact of climate and public health interventions on the COVID-19 pandemic: a prospective cohort study. *CMAJ* **192**, E566–E573 (2020).

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2020.09.13.20193532; this version posted October 5, 2020. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity.
All rights reserved. No reuse allowed without permission.

12. Casanova, L. M., Jeon, S., Rutala, W. A., Weber, D. J. & Sobsey, M. D. Effects of air temperature and relative humidity on coronavirus survival on surfaces. *Appl. Environ. Microbiol.* **76**, 2712–2717 (2010).
13. Chin, A. W. H. *et al.* Stability of SARS-CoV-2 in different environmental conditions. *Lancet Microbe* **1**, e10 (2020).
14. van Doremalen, N. *et al.* Aerosol and surface stability of SARS-CoV-2 as compared with SARS-CoV-1. *N. Engl. J. Med.* **382**, 1564–1567 (2020).
15. Sarkodie, S. A. & Owusu, P. A. Impact of meteorological factors on COVID-19 pandemic: Evidence from top 20 countries with confirmed cases. *Environ. Res.* **191**, 110101 (2020).
16. Contini, D. & Costabile, F. Does air pollution influence COVID-19 outbreaks? *Atmosphere* **11**, 377 (2020).
17. Zhu, Y., Xie, J., Huang, F. & Cao, L. Association between short-term exposure to air pollution and COVID-19 infection: Evidence from China. *Sci. Total Environ.* **727**, 138704 (2020).
18. Rhee, J. *et al.* Impact of long-term exposures to ambient PM2.5 and ozone on ARDS Risk for older adults in the United States. *Chest* **156**, 71–79 (2019).
19. Ali, S. T. *et al.* Ambient ozone and influenza transmissibility in Hong Kong. *Eur. Respir. J.* **51**, (2018).
20. Ran, J. *et al.* The ambient ozone and COVID-19 transmissibility in China: A data-driven ecological study of 154 cities. *J. Infect.* **81**, e9–e11 (2020).
21. Sagripanti, J.-L. & Lytle, C. D. Inactivation of influenza virus by solar radiation. *Photochem. Photobiol.* **83**, 1278–1282 (2007).
22. Hart, P. H., Gorman, S. & Finlay-Jones, J. J. Modulation of the immune system by UV radiation: more than just the effects of vitamin D? *Nat. Rev. Immunol.* **11**, 584–596 (2011).
23. Bodiwala, D. *et al.* Prostate cancer risk and exposure to ultraviolet radiation: further support for the protective effect of sunlight. *Cancer Lett.* **192**, 145–149 (2003).

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2020.09.13.20193532; this version posted October 5, 2020. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity.
All rights reserved. No reuse allowed without permission.

24. Grant, W. B. An estimate of premature cancer mortality in the US due to inadequate doses of solar ultraviolet-B radiation. *Cancer* **94**, 1867–1875 (2002).

25. Grant, W. B. An ecologic study of the role of solar UV-B radiation in reducing the risk of cancer using cancer mortality data, dietary supply data, and latitude for European countries in *Biologic Effects of Light 2001 (ed. Holick, M.F.)* 267–276 (Springer, 2002).

26. Rostand, S. G. Ultraviolet light may contribute to geographic and racial blood pressure differences. *Hypertension* **30**, 150–156 (1997).

27. Peters, B. S. E., Santos, L. C. dos, Fisberg, M., Wood, R. J. & Martini, L. A. Prevalence of vitamin D insufficiency in Brazilian adolescents. *Ann. Nutr. Metab.* **54**, 15–21 (2009).

28. Holick, M. F. Vitamin D deficiency. *N. Engl. J. Med.* **357**, 266–281 (2007).

29. Zittermann, A. Vitamin D in preventive medicine: are we ignoring the evidence? *Br. J. Nutr.* **89**, 552–572 (2003).

30. Tangpricha, V., Pearce, E. N., Chen, T. C. & Holick, M. F. Vitamin D insufficiency among free-living healthy young adults. *Am. J. Med.* **112**, 659–662 (2002).

31. Crowe, F. L. *et al.* Plasma concentrations of 25-hydroxyvitamin D in meat eaters, fish eaters, vegetarians and vegans: results from the EPIC–Oxford study. *Public Health Nutr.* **14**, 340–346 (2011).

32. Godar, D. E., Pope, S. J., Grant, W. B. & Holick, M. F. Solar UV doses of young Americans and vitamin D3 production. *Environ. Health Perspect.* **120**, 139–143 (2012).

33. McKenzie, R. L., Liley, J. B. & Björn, L. O. UV radiation: balancing risks and benefits. *Photochem. Photobiol.* **85**, 88–98 (2009).

32. Moozhipurath, R.K., Kraft, L. & Skiera, B. Evidence of protective role of ultraviolet-B (UVB) radiation in reducing COVID-19 deaths. Preprint at http://dx.doi.org/10.2139/ssrn.3586555 (2020).

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2020.09.13.20193532; this version posted October 5, 2020. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity.
All rights reserved. No reuse allowed without permission.

33. Moozhipurath, R. K. & Kraft, L. Does lockdown decrease the protective role of ultraviolet-B (UVB) radiation in reducing COVID-19 deaths? Preprint at https://doi.org/10.1101/2020.06.30.20143586 (2020).

34. Meltzer, D. O. *et al.* Association of vitamin D deficiency and treatment with COVID-19 incidence. Preprint at https://doi.org/10.1101/2020.05.08.20095893 (2020).

37. D'Avolio, A. *et al.* 25-Hydroxyvitamin D concentrations are lower in patients with positive PCR for SARS-CoV-2. *Nutrients* **12**, 1359 (2020).

36. Maghbooli, Z. *et al.* Vitamin D sufficiency reduced risk for morbidity and mortality in COVID-19 patients. Preprint at http://dx.doi.org/10.2139/ssrn.3616008 (2020).

39. Grant, W. B. *et al.* Evidence that vitamin D supplementation could reduce risk of influenza and COVID-19 infections and deaths. *Nutrients* **12**, 988 (2020).

40. Charoenngam, N. & Holick, M. F. Immunologic effects of vitamin D on human health and disease. *Nutrients* **12**, 2097 (2020).

41. Cui, C. *et al.* Vitamin D receptor activation regulates microglia polarization and oxidative stress in spontaneously hypertensive rats and angiotensin II-exposed microglial cells: Role of renin-angiotensin system. *Redox Biol.* **26**, 101295 (2019).

42. Xu, J. *et al.* Vitamin D alleviates lipopolysaccharide-induced acute lung injury via regulation of the renin-angiotensin system. *Mol. Med. Rep.* **16**, 7432–7438 (2017).

41. Ghavideldarestani, M., Honardoost, M. & Khamseh, M. E. Role of vitamin D in pathogenesis and severity of COVID-19 infection. Preprint at https://www.preprints.org/manuscript/202004.0355/v1 (2020).

42. Alipio, M. Vitamin D supplementation could possibly improve clinical outcomes of patients infected with coronavirus-2019 (COVID-19). Preprint at http://dx.doi.org/10.2139/ssrn.3571484 (2020).

45. Ilie, P. C., Stefanescu, S. & Smith, L. The role of vitamin D in the prevention of coronavirus disease 2019 infection and mortality. *Aging Clin. Exp. Res.* **32**, 1195–1198 (2020).

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2020.09.13.20193532; this version posted October 5, 2020. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity.
All rights reserved. No reuse allowed without permission.

44. Davies, G., Garami, A. R. & Byers, J. C. Evidence supports a causal role for vitamin D status in COVID-19 outcomes. Preprint at https://doi.org/10.1101/2020.05.01.20087965 (2020).

45. Xu, R. *et al.* The modest impact of weather and air pollution on COVID-19 transmission. Preprint at https://doi.org/10.1101/2020.05.05.20092627 (2020).

48. Menebo, M. M. Temperature and precipitation associate with COVID-19 new daily cases: A correlation study between weather and Covid-19 pandemic in Oslo, Norway. *Sci. Total Environ.* **737**, 139659 (2020).

49. Rosario, D. K. A., Mutz, Y. S., Bernardes, P. C. & Conte-Junior, C. A. Relationship between COVID-19 and weather: Case study in a tropical country. *Int. J. Hyg. Environ. Health* **229**, 113587 (2020).

50. Bashir, M. F. *et al.* Correlation between climate indicators and COVID-19 pandemic in New York, USA. *Sci. Total Environ.* **728**, 138835 (2020).

51. Lin, C. *et al.* A mechanism-based parameterisation scheme to investigate the association between transmission rate of COVID-19 and meteorological factors on plains in China. *Sci. Total Environ.* **737**, 140348 (2020).

50. Oliveiros, B., Caramelo, L., Ferreira, N. C. & Caramelo, F. Role of temperature and humidity in the modulation of the doubling time of COVID-19 cases. Preprint at https://doi.org/10.1101/2020.03.05.20031872 (2020).

53. Zhang, Z., Xue, T. & Jin, X. Effects of meteorological conditions and air pollution on COVID-19 transmission: Evidence from 219 Chinese cities. *Sci. Total Environ.* **741**, 140244 (2020).

52. Bu, J. *et al.* Analysis of meteorological conditions and prediction of epidemic trend of 2019-nCoV infection in 2020. Preprint at https://doi.org/10.1101/2020.02.13.20022715 (2020).

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2020.09.13.20193532; this version posted October 5, 2020. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity.
All rights reserved. No reuse allowed without permission.

55. Briz-Redón, Á. & Serrano-Aroca, Á. A spatio-temporal analysis for exploring the effect of temperature on COVID-19 early evolution in Spain. *Sci. Total Environ.* **728**, 138811 (2020).

54. Coelho, M. T. P. *et al.* Exponential phase of COVID-19 expansion is driven by airport connections. Preprint at https://doi.org/10.1101/2020.04.02.20050773 (2020).

57. Yao, Y. *et al.* No association of COVID-19 transmission with temperature or UV radiation in Chinese cities. *Eur. Respir. J.* **55**, (2020).

58. Neto, R. A. A. & Melo, G. C. Correlation between weather, population size and COVID-19 pandemic: a study of Brazilian capitals. *J. Health Biol. Sci.* **8**, 1–5 (2020).

59. Holick, M. F. Vitamin D: importance in the prevention of cancers, type 1 diabetes, heart disease, and osteoporosis. *Am. J. Clin. Nutr.* **79**, 362–371 (2004).

60. Chan, K. H. *et al.* The effects of temperature and relative humidity on the viability of the SARS coronavirus. *Adv. Virol.* **2011**, 1–7 (2011).