medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2020.09.11.20192849; this version posted December 9, 2020. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. It is made available under a CC-BY 4.0 International license .

Ignoring the elephant in the room: factors contributing to inadequate access to condoms and sources of condoms during novel coronavirus diseases 2019 in South Africa.

Obasanjo Afolabi Bolarinwa [1,2*]

[1]*Discipline of Public Health Medicine, School of Nursing and Public Health, University of KwaZulu-Natal, Durban, South Africa;* [2]*Department of Demography and Social Statistics, Faculty of Social Sciences, Obafemi Awolowo University, Nigeria.*

*Corresponding Author: Obasanjo Afolabi Bolarinwa, Email: bolarinwaobasanjo@gmail.com, +27838467184.

Author contributions

OAB conceptualized the study, wrote the first draft, analyzed & interpreted the results, and overall edited the manuscript.

NOTE: This preprint reports new research that has not been certified by peer review and should not be used to guide clinical practice.

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2020.09.11.20192849; this version posted December 9, 2020. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. It is made available under a CC-BY 4.0 International license .

Abstract

Evidence has shown that the prescribed lockdown and physical distancing due to the novel coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19) have made accessing essential health care services much difficult in low-and middle-income countries (LMICs). Access to contraception is essential and should not be denied, even in a global crisis, because it is associated with several health benefits. It is paramount to maintain timely access to contraception without unnecessary barriers. Hence, this study examines the factors contributing to limited access to condoms and sources of condoms during the COVID-19 pandemic in South Africa (SA).The first secondary dataset on coronavirus from the National Income Dynamic Study (NIDS) conducted in SA during the coronavirus pandemic was employed in this study. This study involved 4,517 respondents. Data were analysed using frequency analysis, chi-square test and binary logistic regression analysis. Almost one-quarter of South Africans could not access condoms, and every 7 in 10 South Africans preferred public or government hospitals as a source of condoms. Female South Africans (aOR=0.86; 95% CI=0.69-1.08), those aged 35-45 (aOR=0.96; 95% CI=0.73-1.28) and those residing in KwaZulu-Natal province (aOR=0.30; 95% CI=0.17-0.52) were 14%, 4% and 70% respectively less likely to have access to condoms during the COVID-19 lockdown. Findings from the study suggest strategies and interventions that will be tailored towards non-obstruction of contraception access during the on-going COVID-19 or any future pandemic. Moreover, special consideration should be given to certain provinces, the uneducated and those in the 4th quintile of wealth-income in South Africa.

Keywords: Access, Sources, Condoms, sexual and reproductive health, COVID-19, South Africa.

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2020.09.11.20192849; this version posted December 9, 2020. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. It is made available under a CC-BY 4.0 International license .

Introduction

The coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19) outbreak has revealed how strikingly unprepared the world is for a pandemic and how easily viruses spread in our interconnected world (1). It has also been shown to be highly contagious (2), and this has radically changed social relations in the world (3).

The strain that the outbreak imposes on health systems will undoubtedly impact the sexual and reproductive health of individuals living in low-and middle-income countries (LMICs) (4, 5) with such consequences as halt the supply of contraceptive products, including condoms, due to restriction imposed as a result of lockdown and physical distancing (6, 7).

Developing countries within Sub-Saharan Africa and Southern Asia bears the maximum burden of unmet need for modern contraceptives, accounting for 57% of total global unmet needs, of which 39% of these women reside in developing countries (8). Despite the doubling in the number of women consuming modern contraceptive methods from 470 million in 1990 to around 840 million in 2019, an estimated 214 million women in developing countries still had unmet needs for contraceptives (8).

This is equally apparent as Sub-Saharan Africa (SSA) region continues to have the lowest contraceptive prevalence at 24% and the highest level of unmet need at 25% (9). There are numerous barriers to receiving high-quality healthcare in SSA (10). However, multiple factors such as poverty, illiteracy, lack of knowledge and awareness about contraceptives, non-availability of contraceptives and other factors have been linked to low use of contraceptive in this region (8), but the most recent is the limited access to sexual and reproductive healthcare (SRH) services which makes accessibility of contraception limited (11).

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2020.09.11.20192849; this version posted December 9, 2020. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. It is made available under a CC-BY 4.0 International license .

Healthcare access is defined as the opportunity to identify healthcare needs, seek healthcare services, reach, obtain or use healthcare services, and require services fulfilled (12). It's pertinent to know that access to required medications or services is central to achieving universal health coverage (13). For instance, a recent study in Italy concluded that nine out of every fifteen adults indulged in risky sexual behaviour due to lack of access to condoms due to coronavirus outbreak in the country (14).

Evidence has also shown that the prescribed lockdown and physical distancing due to COVID-19 have made accessing essential health care much more difficult in LMICs (15). Access to contraception is essential and should not be denied, even in the time of a global crisis (16). Effective contraception significantly reduces maternal mortality by preventing unintended pregnancy and the inherent risks associated with pregnancy; for these reasons and more, it is paramount to maintain timely access to contraception without unnecessary barriers (16).

Guttmacher Institute Authors and other studies estimated that if there were a 10% decline over a year in the use of contraception as a result of inadequate access because of the ongoing pandemic, an additional of over 48 million women would have an unmet need for contraception worldwide, resulting in more than 15 million additional unintended pregnancies (17-19), which may lead to unsafe abortions and higher extra spending in the future on sexual and reproductive health outcomes as a result of coronavirus disease 2019 pandemic (20-22).

The first cases of SARS-CoV-2 were declared in Africa in late February and early March 2020 (23). South Africa (SA) had its first case reported on March 06, 2020 (24); since then, cases have increased to over 638,000 and more than 15,000 deaths have been recorded as of 8th of September, 2020 (25).

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2020.09.11.20192849; this version posted December 9, 2020. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. It is made available under a CC-BY 4.0 International license .

President Cyril Ramaphosa declared a nationwide lockdown on 23 March 2020 to help curb the spread of the 2019 Coronavirus Disease outbreak (COVID-19) in SA and to encourage health systems to plan for the may influx of moderate to severe cases of COVID-19 (26, 27). In addition to the national lockdown, other physical distancing steps such as isolation of persons infected with the COVID-19 and quarantining of anyone who might have been exposed or in contact with an infected individual were also encouraged and implemented (28).

Despite World Health Organization (WHO) advises to Federal and state governments that preparedness efforts should focus on access to “essential medicines” and healthcare services to satisfy the priority health needs of the population (29). However, certain individuals within households and communities in South Africa were deprived of access to essential medicine or health care services, including sexual and reproductive health services and contraception, because they feel obligated to uphold the lockdown and prevent transmission of COVD-19, as such these individuals choice of contraception are being influenced by location or source of contraception (30).

South Africa's overall unmet need for contraception of 18%, while the contraceptive prevalence rate (CPR) for married women is 54% and 64% for unmarried women (31). Although the effects of contraceptive obstruction during pandemics are often not the direct result of the pandemic, instead they are indirect consequences of strained health care systems, disruptions in care and redirected resources to pandemic needs (32, 33)

It’s imperative to note that before the COVID-19 outbreak, access to optimal healthcare has also been facing major socio□demographic inequalities (34) and these Socio-demographic inequalities contribute to unequal access to quality health care (35). However, with the current evolution of the COVID-19 pandemic, there is a need for concerted actions towards ensuring

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2020.09.11.20192849; this version posted December 9, 2020. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. It is made available under a CC-BY 4.0 International license .

individuals who need essential access to sexual and reproductive health, including the provision of contraception services, are not obstructed (4, 36). Thus, there is a need to examine South Africans' demographic and economic characteristics' access to condoms and sources of condoms during the ongoing COVID-19 pandemic.

Methods and Materials

Study design and settings

This study used data from the National Income Dynamics Study-Coronavirus Rapid Mobile Survey (NIDS-CRAM) (37). NIDS-CRAM is a nationally-representative survey of the NIDS, which involves a sample of South African adults from 2017 NIDS wave 5 (38). This survey's primary investigator is the Southern Africa Labour and Development Research Unit (SALDRU), which is affiliated with the University of Cape Town (UCT). SALDRU is aided by the South Africa Department of Planning, Monitoring and Evaluation (39). The NIDS wave 5 survey employed a stratified, two-stage cluster sample design to interview respondents in all nine South African provinces. Hence this study maintained the NIDS wave 5 study design and settings for NIDS-CRAM (40).

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2020.09.11.20192849; this version posted December 9, 2020. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. It is made available under a CC-BY 4.0 International license .

Data collection

NIDS-CRAM is a computer-assisted telephone interviewing (CATI) survey, with the first wave conducted during the coronavirus pandemic in South Africa between May and June 2020. Respondents were mainly asked retrospective questions about their circumstances in February and April 2020. The NIDS-CRAM constitutes a sample of 7,074 individuals drawn from the adult sub-sample of the fifth wave of NIDS conducted in 2017. Information such as demographic characteristics, economic characteristics, access to contraception and sources of contraception during the coronavirus pandemic in South Africa were the variables extracted from the NIDS-CRAM wave 1 dataset. The de-identified dataset can be accessed upon request at http:/www.nids.uct.ac.za while the redefined dataset used for this study has been deposited to open science framework (OSF) and can be accessed here https://doi:10.17605/OSF.IO/J4XQR.

Sampling

All the age groups interviewed in the survey were included. After eliminating respondents who failed to answer questions related to access to condoms or source of condoms during the coronavirus pandemic in South Africa, a total of 4,517 respondents were eligible for the study out of 7,074 individuals. The eligible respondents were those within the reproductive age group between the ages of 17 to 45 years.

Statistical Analysis

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2020.09.11.20192849; this version posted December 9, 2020. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. It is made available under a CC-BY 4.0 International license .

NIDS_CRAM wave 1 dataset was recoded and analyzed using STATA version 16. Descriptive statistics were used to summarize data on demographic characteristics, economic characteristics, access to condoms and preferred sources during the 2019 novel coronavirus pandemic in South Africa. Outcome variables were access to condoms and sources of condoms. Access to condoms was measured by asking the respondents if they have access to condoms during COVID-19 lockdown or not, followed by the source they preferred, while the explanatory variables were demographic and economic characteristics of the respondents. Selected demographic characteristics include; age, population group, gender and province of the respondents while Economic characteristics was measure by employment status, educational level and income of the respondents. Income of the respondents were measured using the nation's wealth quintile categorization (Upper quintile: R52 078 and above, 4th quintile: R23 156 – R52 077, 3rd quintile: R12 781 – R23 155, 2nd quintile: R7 030 – R12 780, and Lower quintile: R7 029 and below) (41).

Source of condoms has three variable which includes; private sources (respondent getting condoms from the private source like private clinic or hospital), public (respondent getting condoms from the public source like public/government clinic or hospital) and other sources which includes pharmacy shops, road sellers etc.). Dataset was weighted by applying the recommended weight command of "svyset cluster [pw=w1_nc_wgt], strata(stratum)"and summarized as percentages (%) for both explanatory and outcome variables (37). Chi-square was done to check the significant association of the selected demographic and economic variables on access to condoms and sources of condoms. Binary logistic regression tests were performed to determine adjusted likelihood of the explanatory variables on only access to condoms in the outcome variables due to its dichotomy nature; those who had access were coded "1" as "yes"

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2020.09.11.20192849; this version posted December 9, 2020. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. It is made available under a CC-BY 4.0 International license .

and those who did not have access were coded "0" as "No". P-value < 0.05 was considered statistically significant at 95% confidence interval (CI), and explanatory variables with an adjusted odds ratio (aOR) greater than 1 were considered to have a higher likelihood on the outcome variables.

Ethics approval and consent to participate

This study is a secondary analysis of the NIDS-CRAM wave 1 dataset. Ethical approval for NIDS-CRAM was granted by the University of Cape Town (UCT) Commerce Faculty Ethics Committee. In 2017, the NIDS data collectors (Wave 5) conducted a written informed consent process for all participants and only resumed interviews until this procedure had been completed. NIDS-CRAM 2020 was drawn from the same population sample of wave 5; hence, the participants' consent was re-validated via telephone interview before proceeding with relevant questions.

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2020.09.11.20192849; this version posted December 9, 2020. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. It is made available under a CC-BY 4.0 International license .

Results

Percentage distribution of the explanatory and outcome variables.

Table 1 below showed the percentage distribution of the explanatory variables, which are the respondents' demographic and economic characteristics during the survey.

Demographic Characteristics

The majority of the respondents were between the age group 25-34 years (39.55). Almost 8 in 10 (84.07%) of the respondents were Africans or Black, while the lowest population group was among Asians/Indians with 1.85%.

A little above half of the respondents were female (51.67%), while males involved in the survey were below average (48.33%). Gauteng had the highest respondents, with 27.64%, followed by KwaZulu-Natal 18.08%, while the lowest was among Northern-Cape (2.75%). Almost 8 in 10 of the respondents dwells in a House or flat residence, while 1.07% of the respondents dwell in other forms of dwelling types other than those listed above (Table 1).

Economic Characteristics

More than two-fifths of the respondents interviewed were employed (47.79%), while 13.12% were not economically active at the time of data collection. More than three-fifths of the respondents were in the lower quintile (60.18%), while those in the third quintile were the least with 4.05% of the respondents' wealth-income category. The majority of the respondents had secondary school education (94.16%), whiles 1.36% had tertiary education.

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2020.09.11.20192849; this version posted December 9, 2020. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. It is made available under a CC-BY 4.0 International license .

Table 1. Percentage distribution of demographic & economic characteristics of the respondents

Variable	*n=4,517*	**Variable**	*n=4,517*
Age	**Percentage (%)**	**Employment**	**Percentage (%)**
17-24	25.88	Not Economically Active	13.12
25-34	39.55	Unemployed	39.09
35-45	34.57	Employed	47.79
Mean Age= 30.73	**SD Age** =7**.41**	**Income**	
Population group		Lower quintile	60.18
African/Black	84.07	2nd quintile	8.43
Coloured	8.78	3rd quintile	4.05
Asian/Indian	1.85	4th quintile	8.10
White	5.30	Upper quintile	19.24
Sex		**Education**	
Male	48.33	No schooling	0.25
Female	51.67	Primary	4.28
Province		Secondary	94.16
Western Cape	10.60	Tertiary	1.36

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2020.09.11.20192849; this version posted December 9, 2020. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. It is made available under a CC-BY 4.0 International license .

Eastern Cape	11.33
Northern Cape	2.75
Free State	6.10
KwaZulu-Natal	18.63
North West	4.47
Gauteng	27.64
Mpumalanga	8.59
Limpopo	9.88
Dwelling Type	
A Flat House	78.18
Traditional House	7.64
Informal House	13.12
Other	1.07

NIDS-CRAM, 2020 (Weighted)

Percentage distribution of the outcome variables

Percentage distribution of the outcome variables presented in figure1 below indicated that more than two-fifths (22.83%) of the respondents were unable to access condoms during the coronavirus pandemic in South Africa while 7 in every 10 respondents preferred public sources; which include public hospital and clinic to get condoms.

Figure 1: Access and source of condoms

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2020.09.11.20192849; this version posted December 9, 2020. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. It is made available under a CC-BY 4.0 International license .



NIDS-CRAM, 2020 (Weighted)

Bivariate Analysis

Table 2 showed the chi-square test of association between demographic & economic factors and access to condoms & source of condoms during the coronavirus pandemic in South Africa.

The results showed that respondents between 17-24 years (23.59%) had no access to condoms, while the age group 35-45 years (67.17%) preferred public source of condoms to other sources. Test of association showed no significant association between the age of respondents and access to condoms as $P>0.05$ while there was a significant association between the age of respondents and sources of condoms as $P<0.05$.

Among the respondents' population group, the African or Black population group had no access to condoms as every 2 in 10 African or Black population were unable to access condoms. Also, more than 7 in 10 African or Black population preferred public sources of condoms. Both access

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2020.09.11.20192849; this version posted December 9, 2020. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. It is made available under a CC-BY 4.0 International license .

to condoms and sources of condoms is significantly associated with the population group as *P<0.05*.

Gender of respondents showed that females had no access to contraception as a little more than two-fifths (23.85%) were unable to access condoms while more than three-quarters of the female respondents preferred public source of condoms. The association test was not significant between gender and access to condoms as *P>0.05,* while there is a significant association between gender and source of condoms as *P<0.05*.

Every 3 in 10 respondents from the KwaZulu-Natal province (30.07%) had no access to condoms, while approximately 81% of respondents from the same province preferred public sources of condoms. Both access to condoms and sources of condoms were the significantly associated province of respondents as both *P-value* were less than *0.05*.

28.38% of respondents who dwelled in the traditional house were unable to access condoms. In the same vein, 86.35% of those same respondents category preferred public source of condoms. Test of association showed no significant association between dwelling type and access to condoms as *P>0.05* while there is a significant relationship between dwelling type and sources of condoms as *P<0.05*.

Among the employment category, a little below a quarter (23.39%) of respondents who were not unemployed had no access to condoms; also, 8 in ten of the same group preferred public sources of condoms. Test of association between employment and access to condoms showed no significant association as *P>0.05* while there is an association between employment and sources of condoms as *P<0.05*.

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2020.09.11.20192849; this version posted December 9, 2020. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. It is made available under a CC-BY 4.0 International license .

Almost every 3 in 10 respondents with primary education had no access to condoms, while 9 in 10 respondents of the same group preferred public sources of condoms. Both access to condoms and sources of condoms was significantly associated education of respondents as both *P-values* were less than *0.05*.

Table 2: Test of association using chi-square between independent variables and dependent variables

Variable	**Access to Condoms**		**Sources of Condoms**		
Age	**Yes (%)**	**No (%)**	**Private (%)**	**Public (%)**	**Other (%)**
17-24	76.41	23.59	3.89	80.56	15.54
25-34	77.57	22.43	3.18	68.9	27.91
35-45	77.27	22.73	9.44	67.17	23.39
Total	77.17	22.83	5.53	71.43	23.05
χ2=6.76	***P-value = 0.73***		***χ2=47.90***	***P-value=0.03****	
Population group					
African	75.69	24.31	4.07	74.46	21.47
Coloured	82.5	17.52	7.53	66.81	25.66
Asian/Indian	96.2	3.79	2.39	0.00	97.61
White	85.08	14.92	39.5	8.46	52.05
Total	77.17	22.83	5.53	71.43	23.05

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2020.09.11.20192849; this version posted December 9, 2020. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. It is made available under a CC-BY 4.0 International license .

χ2=84.02		***P-Value=0.00****	***χ2=221.33***		***P-value=0.00****
Gender					
Male	78.23	21.77	5.23	67.03	27.74
Female	76.15	23.85	5.78	75.14	19.07
Total	77.15	22.85	5.53	71.41	23.06
χ2=3.61		***P-value=0.21***	***χ2=20.75***		***P-value=0.02****
Province					
Western Cape	83.65	16.35	12.82	70.22	16.97
Eastern Cape	83.75	16.25	5.39	72.05	22.55
Northern Cape	75.07	24.93	6.47	50.66	42.87
Free State	74.45	25.55	6.32	76.62	17.06
KwaZulu-Natal	61.49	38.51	3.46	81.26	15.28
North-West	81.84	18.16	8.49	69.39	22.12
Gauteng	81.91	18.09	5.23	57.55	37.22
Mpumalanga	71.53	28.47	5.81	70.46	23.73

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2020.09.11.20192849; this version posted December 9, 2020. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. It is made available under a CC-BY 4.0 International license .

Limpopo	84	16	4.83	77.67	17.49
Total	77.17	22.83	5.52	71.43	23.05
χ2=237.04	***P-value=0.00****		***χ2= 102.69***	***P-value=0.00****	
Dwelling Type					
A Flat House	77.4	22.6	6.56	69.01	24.43
Traditional House	71.62	28.38	1.17	86.35	12.47
Informal House	79.38	20.68	2.88	77.08	20.04
Others	72.48	27.52	0	54.25	45.75
Total	77.17	22.88	5.26	71.43	23.05
χ2=11.04	***P-value=0.23***		***χ2=40.42***	***P-value=0.00****	
Employment					
Not Active	77.38	22.62	2.33	83.25	13.8
Unemployed	76.61	23.39	2.11	80.6	18.21
Employed	77.57	22.43	9.32	60.95	29.74
Total	77.17	22.83	5.52	71.43	23.05
χ2=4.83	***P-value=0.36***		***χ2=103.15***	***P-value=0.00****	

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2020.09.11.20192849; this version posted December 9, 2020. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. It is made available under a CC-BY 4.0 International license .

Wealth Income					
Lower quintile	76.54	23.46	3.22	75.31	22.32
2nd quintile	75.84	24.16	0.48	89.32	10.7
3rd quintile	86.07	13.93	3.55	83.44	18.17
4th quintile	71.55	28.45	2.57	77.66	17.06
Upper quintile	80.19	19.81	18.83	49.78	36.7
Total	77.16	22.84	5.53	71.43	23.05
χ2=31.04	***P-value=0.00****		***χ2=137.65***	***P=value=0.00****	
Education					
No Education	75.14	24.86	0	100.00	0
Primary	73.81	26.19	1.05	91.98	6.97
Secondary	77.29	22.71	5.80	69.96	24.24
Tertiary	79.76	20.24	3.47	96.53	0
Total	77.17	22.83	5.53	71.43	23.05
χ2=53.17	***P-value=0.00****		***χ2=58.46***	***P-value=0.00****	

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2020.09.11.20192849; this version posted December 9, 2020. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. It is made available under a CC-BY 4.0 International license .

NIDS-CRAM, 2020 (Weighted) *** Significant at 0.05**

Multivariable Analysis

Table 3 showed the binary logistic regression results between independent variables and access to condoms during the coronavirus pandemic in South Africa.

Respondents between the age group, 25-34 years, were 6% more likely to have access to condoms with a 95% confidence interval (0.81-1.38). Population group respondents who were Coloured and White were 15% and 46%, respectively, more likely to have access to condoms than the Black or African population.

Female respondents were 14% less likely to have access to condoms with a confidence interval of (0.69-1.08) compared to the male respondents. Both respondents who reside in KwaZulu-Natal and Mpumalanga were 70% and 45% likely to have access to condoms, respectively, compared to Western-Cape respondents.

The respondents' employment status showed that unemployed respondents were 3% less likely to have access to condoms compared to the reference category (RC), who were not economically active. Those who were within the 4th quintile of wealth-income were 24% less likely to have access to condoms compared to those in the upper quintile who were 14% more likely to have access to condoms.

Respondents who had no education were 14% less likely to have access to condoms with a confidence interval of (0.25-3.21) compared to the reference category (RC). Test of relationship

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2020.09.11.20192849; this version posted December 9, 2020. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. It is made available under a CC-BY 4.0 International license .

showed no significant relationship between all categories of respondents educational level and access to condoms as *P>0.05*.

Table 3: Test of the relationship between independent variables & access to condoms using Binary Logistic Regression

Variables	**Access to Condoms**		
Age group	**aOR**	**P>|t|**	**95% CI**
17-24	**RC**		
25-34	1.06	0.70	(0.81-1.38)
35-45	0.96	0.79	(0.73-1.28)
Population group			
African	**RC**		
Coloured	1.15	0.62	(0.66-2.02)
Asian/Indian	15.93	0.00*	(2.85-88.92)
White	1.46	0.32	(0.69-3.12)
Gender			
Male	**RC**		
Female	0.86	0.20	(0.69-1.08)

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2020.09.11.20192849; this version posted December 9, 2020. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. It is made available under a CC-BY 4.0 International license .

Province			
Western Cape	**RC**		
Eastern Cape	1.10	0.76	(0.58-2.09)
Northern Cape	0.63	0.21	(0.31-1.30)
Free State	0.64	0.17*	(0.33-1.22)
KwaZulu-Natal	0.30	0.00*	(0.17-0.52)
North-West	0.98	0.96	(0.54-1.65)
Gauteng	0.95	0.85	(0.30-0.98)
Mpumalanga	0.55	0.04	(0.60-2.23)
Limpopo	1.16	0.65	(0.85-1.71)
Dwelling Type			
A Flat House	**RC**		
Traditional House	1.21	0.29	(0.85-1.71)
Informal House	1.13	0.46	(0.81-1.58)
Others	0.71	0.56	(0.22-2.28)
Employment			
Not Active	**RC**		

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2020.09.11.20192849; this version posted December 9, 2020. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. It is made available under a CC-BY 4.0 International license .

Unemployed	0.97	0.85	(0.71-1.33)
Employed	0.98	0.93	(0.68-1.42)
Wealth Income			
Lower quintile	**RC**		
2nd quintile	0.97	0.84	(0.69-1.35)
3rd quintile	1.90	0.01*	(1.15-3.12)
4th quintile	0.76	0.10	(0.56-1.05)
Upper quintile	1.23	0.19	(0.90-1.68)
Education			
No Education	0.89	0.86	(0.25-3.21)
Primary	1.05	0.93	(0.33-3.37)
Secondary	1.29	0.74	(0.29-5.71)
Tertiary	**RC**		

NIDS-CRAM, 2020 (Weighted) *** Significant at 0.05**

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2020.09.11.20192849; this version posted December 9, 2020. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. It is made available under a CC-BY 4.0 International license .

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2020.09.11.20192849; this version posted December 9, 2020. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. It is made available under a CC-BY 4.0 International license .

Discussion

This study examined the factors contributing to inadequate access to condoms and sources of condoms during the 2019 novel coronavirus (2019-nCoV) in South Africa (SA) using the first national income dynamic study-coronavirus rapid mobile survey (NIDS-CRAM) dataset conducted during 2019-nCoV. I described national-level variation in accessing condoms and sources of condoms during the 2019-nCoV lockdown in the year 2020, and the role which selected demographic and economic characteristics played. This study acquired and contributed to the existing literature on how limited access to condoms can increase the unmet need for contraception, which may lead to poor sexual and reproductive health outcomes. The study further expands the scope of the unmet need for contraception by including limited access to condoms during the 2019-nCoV lockdown and physical distancing in SA.

The study is in concordance with the study that concluded that inadequate or limited access to condoms is part of the contributing factors to the unmet need for contraception (9). The result showed that more than two in every ten South Africans experienced limited access to condoms during the pandemic. A study conducted in Indonesia and Kenya on preferred contraception sources showed that most of the population preferred public/government hospitals to obtain their contraception prescriptions (42, 43). This is in line with the study result in that more than two-thirds of South Africans preferred public/government hospitals to get condoms. This could be one of the reasons why the respondents were unable to access condoms as most public or government hospitals were occupied or overwhelmed due to the influx of 2019-nCoV patients (32, 33) and also limited transportation as a result of lockdown/ physical distancing (12, 44).

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2020.09.11.20192849; this version posted December 9, 2020. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. It is made available under a CC-BY 4.0 International license .

The study further showed evidence that there is variation in respondents' adopted sources of condoms as all selected demographic and economic variables were significantly associated with the source of condoms. This means that South Africans demographic or economic characteristics determine their sources of condoms. This is also in line with a study conducted in Kenya that showed a large variation in respondents' socio-demographic characteristics and contraception sources (43).

As the access to contraception continues to be a major contributor to high unmet needs in developing countries (8), this study results showed that South Africans who were between 35-45 years, the female respondents, those who reside in KwaZulu-Natal and Mpumalanga provinces and finally, those who were unemployed, not educated and those in the 4th quintile of wealth-income experienced were less likely to have access to condoms during the 2020 lockdown/ physical distancing due to 2019-nCoV pandemic. This is contrary to the studies conducted in South Africa and Ghana prior to the 2019-nCoV pandemic in terms of socio-demographic characteristics of respondents affected (45, 46).

However, this study results showed similarity in most studies, commentaries and editorial opinions that obstruction in sexual and reproductive services in the ongoing 2019-nCoV pandemic could lead to a high unmet need for contraception (15, 17, 32) and to the best of my knowledge this is the first paper that holistically employed NIDS-CRAM dataset to examine how 2019-nCoV influence limited access to condoms, preferred sources of condoms and demographic & economic characteristics of South Africans affected.

Strengths and Limitations

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2020.09.11.20192849; this version posted December 9, 2020. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. It is made available under a CC-BY 4.0 International license .

The use of secondary datasets has its limitations as some questions of interest to further probe the respondents in terms of retrospective questions were not asked during data collection, and this limited the scope of the study. The strength of this study is the use of aboriginal staff during the telephone interview. The use of computer-assisted telephone interviewing during 2019-nCoV is another important strength of the study.

Conclusion and Recommendations

Limited access to condoms is the ignored elephant in the room. This study added to the body of literature that there was limited access to condoms during 2019-nCoV and that the preferred source of condoms was very skewed in South Africa.

The study concluded that demographic and economic characteristics of South Africans influenced their adopted sources of condoms and that limited access to condoms was more experienced among the aged population, Black South African population groups, those who reside in Free state, Mpumalanga and KwaZulu-Natal provinces and those who were either poor nor rich, i.e., those in the 4th quintile of wealth income and those uneducated. Policies, strategies, and interventions that will consider the contraception demands of South Africans during the ongoing or future pandemic should be targeted at similar demographic and economic characteristics. This will reduce the unmet need for contraception in South Africa and tackle the unequal family planning use coverage.

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2020.09.11.20192849; this version posted December 9, 2020. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. It is made available under a CC-BY 4.0 International license .

References

1. Kavanagh MM, Erondu NA, Tomori O, Dzau VJ, Okiro EA, Maleche A, et al. Access to lifesaving medical resources for African countries: COVID-19 testing and response, ethics, and politics. The Lancet. 2020;395(10238):1735-8.
2. Faden YA, Alghilan NA, Alawami SH, Alsulmi ES, Alsum HA, Katib YA, et al. Saudi Society of Maternal-Fetal Medicine guidance on pregnancy and coronavirus disease 2019. Saudi medical journal. 2020;41(8):779-90.
3. Ibarra FP, Mehrad M, Mauro MD, Godoy MFP, Cruz EG, Nilforoushzadeh MA, et al. Impact of the COVID-19 pandemic on the sexual behavior of the population. The vision of the east and the west. International braz j urol. 2020;46:104-12.
4. Riley T, Sully E, Ahmed Z, Biddlecom A. Estimates of the potential impact of the COVID-19 pandemic on sexual and reproductive health in low-and middle-income countries. Int Perspect Sex Reprod Health. 2020;46:46.
5. Hussein J. COVID-19: What implications for sexual and reproductive health and rights globally? : Taylor & Francis; 2020.
6. Makins A, Arulkumaran S, Contraception F, Committee FP, Sheffield J, Townsend J, et al. The negative impact of COVID□19 on contraception and sexual and reproductive health: Could immediate postpartum LARCs be the solution? International Journal of Gynecology & Obstetrics. 2020.
7. Oyediran KA, Makinde OA, Adelakin O. The Role of Telemedicine in Addressing Access to Sexual and Reproductive Health Services in sub-Saharan Africa during the COVID-19 Pandemic. African Journal of Reproductive Health. 2020;24(2):49-55.
8. (UNFPA) UNPF. Impact of the COVID-19 Pandemic on Family Planning and Ending Gender-based Violence, Female Genital Mutilation and Child Marriage. 2020 [Available from:

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2020.09.11.20192849; this version posted December 9, 2020. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. It is made available under a CC-BY 4.0 International license .

https://www.unfpa.org/sites/default/files/resource-pdf/COVID-19_impact_brief_for_UNFPA_24_April_2020_1.pdf.

9. Cleland J, Harbison S, Shah IH. Unmet need for contraception: issues and challenges. Studies in family planning. 2014;45(2):105-22.

10. Geldsetzer P, Reinmuth M, Ouma PO, Lautenbach S, Okiro EA, Bärnighausen T, et al. Mapping physical access to healthcare for older adults in sub-Saharan Africa: A cross-sectional analysis with implications for the COVID-19 response. medRxiv. 2020.

11. Mmeje OO, Coleman JS, Chang T. Unintended Consequences of the COVID-19 Pandemic on the Sexual and Reproductive Health of Youth. Journal of Adolescent Health. 2020.

12. Ahmed S, Ajisola M, Azeem K, Bakibinga P, Chen YF, Choudhury NN, et al. Impact of the societal response to COVID-19 on access to healthcare for non-COVID-19 health issues in slum communities of Bangladesh, Kenya, Nigeria and Pakistan: results of pre-COVID and COVID-19 lockdown stakeholder engagements. BMJ Glob Health. 2020;5(8).

13. Adebisi YA, Jumoke AA, Carolyn OO. Coronavirus disease-19 and access to medicines in Africa. International Journal of Health & Allied Sciences. 2020;9(5):120.

14. Balestri R, Magnano M, Rizzoli L, Infusino SD, Urbani F, Rech G. STIs and the COVID-19 pandemic: the lockdown does not stop sexual infections. J Eur Acad Dermatol Venereol. 2020.

15. Cash R, Patel V. Has COVID-19 subverted global health? The Lancet. 2020;395(10238):1687-8.

16. Benson LS, Madden T, Tarleton J, Micks E. Society of Family Planning interim clinical recommendations: Contraceptive provision when healthcare access is restricted due to pandemic response. 2020.

17. Kumar M, Daly M, De Plecker E, Jamet C, McRae M, Markham A, et al. Now is the time: a call for increased access to contraception and safe abortion care during the COVID-19 pandemic. BMJ Global Health. 2020;5(7):e003175.

18. Ahmed Z, Cross L. Crisis on the horizon: Devastating losses for global reproductive health are possible due to COVID-19, Guttmacher Institute. 2020.

19. Kumar N. COVID 19 era: a beginning of upsurge in unwanted pregnancies, unmet need for contraception and other women related issues. The European Journal of Contraception & Reproductive Health Care. 2020;25(4):323-5.

20. Yazdkhasti M. The novel coronavirus (COVID-19) and unintended pregnancy during the quarantine period. 2020.

21. Hall KS, Samari G, Garbers S, Casey SE, Diallo DD, Orcutt M, et al. Centring sexual and reproductive health and justice in the global COVID-19 response. The Lancet. 2020;395(10231):1175-7.

22. Purdy C. Opinion: how will COVID-19 affect global access to contraceptives—and what can we do about it? Devex. 2020.

23. Caballero AE, Ceriello A, Misra A, Aschner P, McDonnell ME, Hassanein M, et al. COVID-19 in people living with diabetes: An international consensus. Journal of Diabetes and its Complications. 2020;34(9):107671.

24. Nyasulu J, Pandya H. The effects of coronavirus disease 2019 pandemic on the South African health system: A call to maintain essential health services. African Journal of Primary Health Care & Family Medicine. 2020;12(1).

25. (NICD) NIoCD. COVID-19 Surveillance Dashboard 2020 [Available from: https://www.nicd.ac.za.

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2020.09.11.20192849; this version posted December 9, 2020. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. It is made available under a CC-BY 4.0 International license .

26. 2020 SAn. Covid-19 News updae 2020 [Available from: https://www.sanews.gov.za/southafrica/president-ramaphosa-announces-nationwide-lockdown.
27. Blumberg L, Jassat W, Mendelson M, Cohen C. The COVID-19 crisis in South Africa: Protecting the vulnerable. South African Medical Journal. 2020.
28. Mukumbang FC, Ambe AN, Adebiyi BO. Unspoken inequality: how COVID-19 has exacerbated existing vulnerabilities of asylum-seekers, refugees, and undocumented migrants in South Africa. International journal for equity in health. 2020;19(1):1-7.
29. Alexander GC, Qato DM. Ensuring access to medications in the US during the COVID-19 pandemic. JAMA. 2020.
30. Joska JA, Andersen L, Rabie S, Marais A, Ndwandwa E-S, Wilson P, et al. COVID-19: Increased Risk to the Mental Health and Safety of Women Living with HIV in South Africa. AIDS and Behavior. 2020:1.
31. Health SADo. South Africa Demographic and Health Survey 2016: Key Indicators Report: Statistics South Africa; 2017.
32. Ferreira-Filho ES, de Melo NR, Sorpreso ICE, Bahamondes L, Simões RDS, Soares-Júnior JM, et al. Contraception and reproductive planning during the COVID-19 pandemic. Expert Review of Clinical Pharmacology. 2020;13(6):615-22.
33. Hamzehgardeshi Z, Yazdani F, Rezaei M, Kiani Z. COVID-19 as a Threat to Sexual and Reproductive Health. Iranian Journal of Public Health. 2020;49:136-7.
34. Okereke M, Ukor NA, Adebisi YA, Ogunkola IO, Favour Iyagbaye E, Adiela Owhor G, et al. Impact of COVID□19 on access to healthcare in low□and middle□income countries: Current evidence and future recommendations. The International Journal of Health Planning and Management. 2020.
35. Desai S, Samari G. COVID□19 and Immigrants' Access to Sexual and Reproductive Health Services in the United States. Perspectives on Sexual and Reproductive Health. 2020.
36. Tang K, Gaoshan J, Ahonsi B. Sexual and reproductive health (SRH): a key issue in the emergency response to the coronavirus disease (COVID-19) outbreak. Reproductive Health. 2020;17:1-3.
37. Kerr A, Ardington C, Burger R. Sample design and weighting in the NIDS-CRAM survey. 2020.
38. Ingle K, Brophy T. Panel User Manual. 2020.
39. SALDRU. Development Research Unit. National income dynamics study (NIDS). 2012;2012.
40. Leibbrandt M, Woolard I, de Villiers L. Methodology: Report on NIDS wave 1. Technical paper. 2009;1.
41. Stats S. Living conditions of households in South Africa. Avail-18. 2015.
42. Radhakrishnan U. A dynamic structural model of contraceptive use and employment sector choice for women in Indonesia. US Census Bureau Center for Economic Studies Paper No CES-WP-10-28. 2010:10-01.
43. Jalang'o R, Thuita F, Barasa SO, Njoroge P. Determinants of contraceptive use among postpartum women in a county hospital in rural KENYA. BMC public health. 2017;17(1):604.
44. Brey Z, Mash R, Goliath C, Roman D. Home delivery of medication during Coronavirus disease 2019, Cape Town, South Africa. African Journal of Primary Health Care & Family Medicine. 2020;12(1):4.

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2020.09.11.20192849; this version posted December 9, 2020. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. It is made available under a CC-BY 4.0 International license .

45. Marrone G, Abdul-Rahman L, De Coninck Z, Johansson A. Predictors of contraceptive use among female adolescents in Ghana. African journal of reproductive health. 2014;18(1):102-9.

46. Chimbindi NZ, McGrath N, Herbst K, San Tint K, Newell M-L. Socio-demographic determinants of condom use among sexually active young adults in rural KwaZulu-Natal, South Africa. The open AIDS journal. 2010;4:88.