

1 **A novel sample pooling strategy and its application for mass**
2 **screening of SARS-CoV-2 in an outbreak of COVID-19 in**
3 **Vietnam**

4 Ton That Thanh¹, Nguyen Thi Thanh Nhan¹, Huynh Kim Mai², Nguyen Bao Trieu², Le
5 Xuan Huy², Ho Thi Thanh Thuy³, Le Thanh Chung¹, Nguyen Ngoc Anh¹, Nguyen Thi Thu
6 Hong⁴, Bui Duc Thang¹, Nguyen Thi Hoai Thu¹, Le Thi Kim Chi¹, Nguyen Thi Hanh⁵,
7 Nguyen Huy Hoang⁵, Nguyen Van Vinh Chau⁶, Guy Thwaites^{4,7}, Do Thai Hung², Le Van
8 Tan⁴, Ngo Thi Kim Yen⁸

9 ¹Centre for Disease Control and Prevention, Da Nang city, Vietnam

10 ²Institute of Pasteur, Nha Trang city, Vietnam

11 ³Viet A, Ho Chi Minh city, Vietnam

12 ⁴Oxford University Clinical Research Unit, Ho Chi Minh city, Vietnam

13 ⁵Da Nang University of Medical Technology and Pharmacy, Da Nang city, Vietnam

14 ⁶Hospital for Tropical Diseases, Ho Chi Minh City, Vietnam

15 ⁷Centre for Tropical Medicine and Global Health, Nuffield Department of Medicine,
16 University of Oxford, Oxford, UK

17 ⁸Department of Health, Da Nang City, Vietnam

18 Correspondence: Le Van Tan, email: tanlv@oucru.org

19 Running title: Sample pooling and its application for mass screening of SARS-CoV-2

20 Abstract: 50

21 Main text: 1500

22 Keywords: SARS-CoV-2, COVID-19, mass screening, sample pooling, RT-PCR

23 **ABSTRACT**

24 We present a sample pooling approach and the results of its application for mass screening
25 of SARS-CoV-2 in >96,000 asymptomatic individuals. Our approach did not compromise
26 the sensitivity of PCR, while increasing the throughput and reducing 77% of the costs.
27 22/32 asymptomatic cases would have been missed without mass screening.

28 Severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus 2 (SARS-CoV-2) is the cause of
29 coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19) pandemic [1]. As of 11 September 2020, there have
30 been >28 million cases and >913,000 deaths reported globally (who.int).
31 After the first infection reported in Vietnam on 23 January 2020 [2], COVID-19 was
32 brought under control by the second week of April [3]. However, after 100 days of no
33 community transmission, on 25 July 2020, SARS-CoV-2 infection was confirmed in a 57
34 year-old man presenting with pneumonia admitted to C Hospital in Da Nang city, central
35 of Vietnam. This was followed by the detection of SARS-CoV-2 in another 61-year old
36 man who had been mechanically ventilated for four days in another hospital of the city, Da
37 Nang Hospital [4].
38 Rigorous contact tracing and testing resulted in an escalation of COVID-19 cases in the
39 following week, with the majority of cases being linked with Da Nang hospital [4].
40 Consequently, the city was locked down on July 28, 2020. In parallel, a mass community
41 testing approach coupled with a novel sample pooling strategy was implemented on the
42 second week of August 2020, contributing to the success of COVID-19 control in Da Nang
43 city. Indeed, as of 11 September 2020, the city experienced 12 consecutive days with no
44 community transmission [4]. Here, we describe our sample pooling strategy and the results
45 of its application for mass community testing for the period between 8 and 21 August
46 2020.

47 **METHODS**

48 **COVID-19 containment approaches applied in Da Nang**

49 In addition to the containment approaches widely applied in Vietnam [5], a mass
50 community testing was implemented in Da Nang from the second week of August 2020
51 onward. Accordingly, this approach has been applicable to asymptomatic individuals with
52 at least one of the criteria: 1) quarantined individuals with the first SARS-CoV-2 RT-PCR
53 negative, 2) visiting Da Nang Hospital between 15 and 26 July 2020, the period with
54 ongoing transmission within the hospital as defined by the local authorities, 3) living in an
55 area where there was a confirmed case of community transmission, 4) a family member of
56 person who was in direct contact of a confirmed case.

57 **Collection of pooled nasal pharyngeal throat swabs and epidemiological data**

58 We pragmatically aimed to group 2-7 people into one group for testing. We collected a
59 nasal pharyngeal throat swab (NTS) from each individual of the group, and combined in a
60 single 15-ml collection tube containing 3 ml of viral transport medium (Figure 1A). If
61 SARS-CoV-2 testing of the pool returned positive, we then collected single NTS from
62 each individuals of the corresponding group for confirmatory RT-PCR testing (Figure 1A).
63 All collected samples were sent to the laboratory of Da Nang Centre for Disease Control
64 and Prevention which was responsible for 90% of mass screening in Da Nang city.
65 We also collected demographics, contact history and respiratory signs/symptoms from the
66 confirmed cases using a standard case record form.

67 **Viral RNA extraction and SARS-CoV-2 RT-PCR**

68 We extracted viral RNA from 200ul of the pooled NTS samples using Thermo Scientific
69 KingFisher Flex, an Automatic Nucleic Acid Extraction Workstation (Thermo Scientific),

70 following manufacturer's instructions. We used LightPower iVASARS-CoV-2 1st RT-
71 rPCR Kit (Viet A, Vietnam) as a screening assay, and the E gene real time RT-PCR assay
72 (TIB MOLBIOL, Germany)[6] as a confirmatory assay.

73 For subsequent testing of NTS swabs obtained from individuals of the corresponding
74 positive pools (Figure 1A), we manually extract viral RNA from 140ul of the NTS samples
75 using the QIAamp viral RNA kit (QIAgen GmbH, Hilden, Germany), following the
76 manufacturer's instruction, and used the TIB MOLBIOL E gene real time RT-PCR to
77 detect SARS-CoV-2.

78 **Statistical analysis**

79 We used Wilcoxon signed-rank test available in GraphPad Prism version 5.04 (GraphPad
80 Software, San Diego, California) to compare the Ct values obtained from the pooled
81 samples and individual samples. The Ct values used for the analysis were those generated
82 by the confirmatory assay.

83 **Ethics**

84 The present work formed part of the response to COVID-19 outbreaks that was approved
85 by Da Nang Department of Health. Accordingly, obtaining informed consent from
86 individuals was deemed unnecessary.

87 **RESULTS**

88 **Collection of pooled nasal pharyngeal throat swabs**

89 From August 8 to August 21, 2020, a total of 22,290 pooled NTS samples were collected
90 from 96,123 individuals meeting the inclusion, and successfully tested for SARS-CoV-2.
91 The number of NTSs per pool varied between 2 and 7 with the majority having 5 samples
92 per pool (Supplementary Table 1). The included individuals accounted for 8.2% of the
93 population of 1.1 million in Da Nang city, and came from 7/8 eight districts of the city.
94 The remaining district had no reported COVID-19 case as of September 5, 2020.

95 **SARS-CoV-2 detection in pooled/individuals nasal pharyngeal throat swabs**

96 RT-PCR testing revealed evidence of SARS-CoV-2 RNA in 24/2290 (0.11%) of the pools
97 (Supplementary Table 1), with Ct values of internal controls within the normal range (data
98 not shown). Consequently, a total of 104 individuals were resampled and tested for SARS-
99 CoV-2 by RT-PCR. Subsequently, 32 individuals belonging to one of the 24 originally
100 positive pools had a confirmed diagnosis of SARS-CoV-2 (Figure 1B), accounting for 21%
101 reported cases in Da Nang City during the same period (8-22 August 2020)
102 (Supplementary Figure 1). There was no difference in Ct values of pooled NTS samples
103 and that of individual NTS samples (Figure 1C).

104 The time from pooled sample collection to reporting the confirmed diagnostic result was
105 either the same day (n=9), 24 hours (n=10) or 48 hours (n=12). In the remaining case, the
106 result was released within 3 working days.

107 **Demographics, clinical characteristic and contact history of the confirmed cases**

108 The 32 SARS-CoV-2 positive individuals included 21 females and 11 males aging from 14
109 to 73 years (median 45 years). None had any signs/symptoms of respiratory infection at the

110 time of collection. Of these, 22 had no history of contact with a confirmed COVID-19 case
111 (Supplementary Table 2 and Figure 1B). Two family clusters with all eight members were
112 positive for SARS-CoV-2 and were all asymptomatic. None recalled of having any
113 signs/symptoms of respiratory infections.

114 **The effectiveness of group testing strategy**

115 By pooling, we successfully saved 73,833 RT-PCR tests and associated consumables
116 (corresponding to a reduction of 77% of the costs). In our setting, the associated cost to
117 conduct RT-PCR testing for SARS-CoV-2 in one suspected case was ~21.5 US\$. Thus,
118 excluding manpower, our mass screening strategies saved ~1.5 million US\$. Because our
119 available resources could only accommodate some ~1500 SARS-CoV-2 RT-PCRs per
120 days for mass screening, it would have taken 64 days to complete the screening for those
121 96,123 individuals compared to 14 days required by our pooling strategy.

122 **DISCUSSION**

123 We present a novel sample pooling strategy and the results of its application for mass
124 community screening of SARS-CoV-2 in asymptomatic people in Da Nang city, an
125 epicenter of the ongoing COVID-19 outbreak in Vietnam since the end of July 2020. We
126 tested 96,123 asymptomatic individuals and found 32 confirmed cases (0.03%); accounting
127 for 21% of 156 reported cases in Da Nang city during the same period. Of these, 22 had no
128 history of contact with a confirmed case. Therefore, they would have been missed, should
129 mass community testing had not been implemented, and may have contributed to the
130 expansion of the outbreak.

131 In previous reports, individual samples were separately collected, and an equal volume
132 from several samples was then pooled into one tube prior to nucleic acid isolation for
133 subsequent RT-PCR analysis [7, 8]. This dilutes individual samples of the pools, and
134 therefore could in principle compromise the sensitivity of the RT-PCR [7, 9], especially in
135 case of samples with low viral loads [10]. In contrast, our strategy combined individual
136 swabs in one tube at the time of collection, therefore did not result in dilution of individual
137 samples. Indeed, we observed no difference in the obtained Ct values between the pooled
138 and individual NTS samples.

139 Potentially, the reduction in time required to complete the screening of 96,123 individuals
140 from 64 days to 14 days has had significant implication for the success of COVID-19
141 control in Da Nang to date. In addition, combining individual swabs at collection reduced
142 77% of the laboratory spaces required to accommodate all NTS samples from those 96,123
143 individuals, and substantially reduced the reagents and manpower associated costs. These

144 costs and time savings are critical to COVID-19 control, especially in resource limited
145 settings.

146 In spite of these advantages, confirmatory testing of samples obtained on the other days
147 after the primary screening might result in false negative results, especially during the later
148 phase of the infection [8]. We were not able to assess this in our study, although at least
149 one sample from all 32 positive pools was subsequently positive for SARS-CoV-2.

150 To summarize, we showed that combining NTS samples at the time of collection allows
151 for high throughput mass screening of asymptomatic individuals, while maintaining the
152 sensitivity and substantially reducing the associated costs. The approach might be
153 applicable in other settings, where there are shortages of diagnostic reagents and the
154 disease prevalence is low, but the demands for testing is high.

155 **ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS**

156 The study was funded by Da Nang People’s Committee, Da Nang, Vietnam. We would
157 like to thank the World Health Organization and the US Centers for Disease Control and
158 Prevention for their support with the COVID-19 diagnostic reagents. LVT and GT are
159 supported by the Wellcome Trust of Great Britain (204904/Z/16/Z and 106680/B/14/Z,
160 respectively)

161 **LEGENDS TO FIGURES**

162 **Figure 1:** Illustration of the novel sample pooling strategy and its application for mass
163 screening of 96,123 asymptomatic individuals; **A)** Flowchart illustrating the strategy of
164 obtaining the pooled nasal pharyngeal throat swabs for primary screening of SARS-CoV-2,
165 and follow-up on individuals of the pools that had a positive SARS-CoV-2 RT-PCR result,
166 **B)** Flowchart illustrating the mass community testing for SARS-CoV-2 leading to the
167 detection of 32 cases, including eight belonging to two family clusters , **C)** Plots showing
168 real time RT-PCR Ct values of pooled NTS samples and individual NTS swabs.

169 **Supplementary Figure 1:** Temporal distribution of the number of reported cases (blue
170 bars) and deaths (red bars) in Da Nang between July 25 and August 29, 2020, and the
171 number of cases detected through the mass community testing approach (green bars)

172 **REFERENCES**

173

- 174 1. Zhu, N., D. Zhang, W. Wang, X. Li, B. Yang, J. Song, et al., *A Novel Coronavirus*
175 *from Patients with Pneumonia in China, 2019*. N Engl J Med, 2020. **382**(8): p. 727-
176 733.
- 177 2. Phan, L.T., T.V. Nguyen, Q.C. Luong, T.V. Nguyen, H.T. Nguyen, H.Q. Le, et al.,
178 *Importation and Human-to-Human Transmission of a Novel Coronavirus in*
179 *Vietnam*. N Engl J Med, 2020. **382**(9): p. 872-874.
- 180 3. Pham, Q.T., M.A. Rabaa, H.L. Duong, Q.T. Dang, D.Q. Tran, H.L. Quach, et al.,
181 *The first 100 days of SARS-CoV-2 control in Vietnam*. Clin Infect Dis, 2020.
- 182 4. *ncov.moh.gov.vn*. accessed on 5 September 2020.
- 183 5. Chau, N.V.V., V. Thanh Lam, N. Thanh Dung, L.M. Yen, N.N.Q. Minh, L.M.
184 Hung, et al., *The natural history and transmission potential of asymptomatic SARS-*
185 *CoV-2 infection*. Clin Infect Dis, 2020.
- 186 6. Corman, V.M., O. Landt, M. Kaiser, R. Molenkamp, A. Meijer, D.K. Chu, et al.,
187 *Detection of 2019 novel coronavirus (2019-nCoV) by real-time RT-PCR*. Euro
188 Surveill, 2020. **25**(3).
- 189 7. Ben-Ami, R., A. Klochendler, M. Seidel, T. Sido, O. Gurel-Gurevich, M. Yassour,
190 et al., *Large-scale implementation of pooled RNA extraction and RT-PCR for*
191 *SARS-CoV-2 detection*. Clin Microbiol Infect, 2020.
- 192 8. Lohse, S., T. Pfuhl, B. Berkó-Göttel, J. Rissland, T. Geißler, B. Gärtner, et al.,
193 *Pooling of samples for testing for SARS-CoV-2 in asymptomatic people*. The
194 Lancet Infectious Diseases, 2020.
- 195 9. Yelin, I., N. Aharony, E. Shaer Tamar, A. Argoetti, E. Messer, D. Berenbaum, et
196 al., *Evaluation of COVID-19 RT-qPCR test in multi-sample pools*. Clin Infect Dis,
197 2020.
- 198 10. Sahajpal, N.S., A.K. Mondal, A. Njau, S. Ananth, K. Jones, P.K. Ahluwalia, et al.,
199 *Proposal of RT-PCR-Based Mass Population Screening for Severe Acute*
200 *Respiratory Syndrome Coronavirus 2 (Coronavirus Disease 2019)*. J Mol Diagn,
201 2020.




Figure 1A: Flowchart illustrating the strategy of obtaining the pooled nasal pharyngeal throat swabs for primary screening of SARS-CoV-2, and follow-up on individuals of the pools that had a positive SARS-CoV-2 RT-PCR result.




Figure 1B: Flowchart illustrating the mass community testing for SARS-CoV-2 leading to the detection of 32 cases, including eight belonging to two family clusters

Note to Figure 1B: *From the same family of five and three people, respectively who were all positive for SARS-CoV-2, but were all asymptomatic.




Figure 1C: Plots showing real time RT-PCR Ct values of pooled NTS samples and individual NTS swabs

Note to Figure 1C: a total of 24 pooled NTS samples and 32 individual NTS samples were included for analysis. Ct values (median, range): for pooled NTS samples: 30.86 (16 – 37), for individual NTS samples: 30.33 (15.36 – 34). P comparison = 0.23


Supplementary Table 1: Details about the number of individuals per pool nasal pharyngeal throat swabs and results of RT-PCR testing for SARS-CoV-2

Number of individual swabs per pool	Number of pools, N=22,290	Number of pools with SARS-CoV-2 detected (%)	Number of people positive for SARS-CoV-2 after resampling and testing of individual samples
2, n(%)	1408 (6)	1 (0.07)	1
3, n(%)	3337 (15)	4 (0.12)	6
4, n(%)	4528 (20)	5 (0.11)	5
5, n(%)	12922 (58)	14 (0.11%)	20
6, n(%)	91 (0.4)	0	Non applicable
7, n(%)	4 (0.02)	0	Non applicable

Supplementary Table 2: Epidemiological factors of SARS-CoV-2 positive individuals

Patient Number*	Reason for being tested	Recalled date of contact	Tested positive on	Risk group [#]
1	A neighbor of a confirmed case. No known contact with a confirmed case		August 9	1
2	Living in the same apartment buildings of a confirmed cases	Non applicable	August 10	1
3	A neighbor of a confirmed case. No known contact with a confirmed case	Non applicable	August 10	1
4	Visited Da Nang hospital at any time point July 15, 2020 – July 26, 2020. No known contact with a confirmed case	Non applicable	August 11	1
5	Visited Da Nang hospital at any time point July 15, 2020 – July 26, 2020. No known contact with a confirmed case	Non applicable	August 11	1
6	No known contact. Living in an area with recorded community transmission	Non applicable	August 12	1
7	Visited Da Nang hospital at any time point July 15, 2020 – July 26, 2020. No known contact with a confirmed case	Non applicable	August 12	1
8	Visited Da Nang hospital at any time point July 15, 2020 – July 26, 2020. No known contact with a confirmed case	Non applicable	August 15	1
9	Visited Da Nang hospital at any time point July 15, 2020 – July 26, 2020. No known contact with a confirmed case	Non applicable	August 15	1
10	Visited Da Nang hospital at any time point July 15, 2020 – July 26, 2020. No known contact with a confirmed case	Non applicable	August 15	1
11	A neighbor of a confirmed case with out a known contact	Non applicable	August 15	1
12	Visited Da Nang hospital at any time point July 15, 2020 – July 26, 2020. No known contact with a confirmed case	Non applicable	August 17	1
13	Visited Da Nang hospital at any time point July 15, 2020 – July 26, 2020. No known contact with a confirmed case	Non applicable	August 17	1
14	A businessperson at a market where mass community testing was conducted. No known contact with a confirmed case	Non applicable	August 18	1
15	No known contact. Living in an area with recorded community transmission	Non applicable	August 18	1
16	Visited Da Nang hospital at any time point July 15, 2020 – July 26, 2020. No known contact with a confirmed case	Non applicable	August 20	1
17	Visited Da Nang hospital at any time point July 15, 2020 – July 26, 2020. No known contact with a confirmed case	Non applicable	August 20	1
18	Visited Da Nang hospital at any time point July 15, 2020 – July 26, 2020. No known contact with a confirmed case	Non applicable	August 20	1
19	A businessperson at a market where mass community testing was conducted. No known contact with a confirmed case	Non applicable	August 22	1
20	A businessperson at a market where mass community testing was conducted. No known contact with a confirmed case	Non applicable	August 21	1
21	A businessperson at a market where mass community testing was conducted. No known contact with a confirmed case	Non applicable	August 21	1
22	A guard at a market where mass community testing was conducted. No known contact with a confirmed case	Non applicable	August 22	1
23	A contact of a confirmed case. First SARS-CoV-2 RT-PCR was negative.	July 20, 2020	August 12	2
24	A family member (patient 26) was a contact of a confirmed case.	July 20, 2020 onward	August 12	2
25	A family member (patient 26) was a contact of a confirmed case.	July 20, 2020 onward	August 12	2
26	A family member (patient 26) was a contact of a confirmed case.	July 20, 2020 onward	August 12	2
27	A family member (patient 26) was a contact of a confirmed case.	July 20, 2020 onward	August 12	2
28	A contact of a confirmed case. First SARS-CoV-2 RT-PCR was negative.	July 20, 2020 onward	August 15	2
29	A contact of a confirmed case. First SARS-CoV-2 RT-PCR was negative.	July 24, 2020 onward	August 17	2
30	A contacts of two confirmed cases. First SARS-CoV-2 RT-PCR was negative.	July 25, 2020 onward	August 19	2
31	A contact of a confirmed case. First SARS-CoV-2 RT-PCR was negative.	August 12, 2020	August 20	2
32	A contact of a confirmed case. First SARS-CoV-2 RT-PCR was negative.	July 24, 2020	August 20	2

Note to Supplementary Table 2: *none presenting with any respiratory sign/symptom at the time of swab collection of mass community testing. [#]1: would have been missed without mass community testing, 2: could be picked up through contact tracing and PCR testing of contacts of a confirmed case.



Supplementary Figure 1: Temporal distribution of the number of reported cases (blue bars) and deaths (red bars) in Da Nang between July 25 and August 29, 2020, and the number of cases detected through the mass community testing approach (green bars)