

Mental health as a mediator of the association between educational inequality and cardiovascular disease: A Mendelian randomisation study

First Author. Jones, Honorary Research Associate

Subject terms. Epidemiology. Lifestyle, and Prevention; Genetics

Authors. Daniel P Jones BMBCh* 1, Robyn E Wootton PhD 1,2, Dipender Gill BMBCh PhD 3, Alice R Carter MSc 1,4, David Gunnell MD PhD 1,5, Marcus R Munafò PhD 2,4,5, Hannah M Sallis PhD 1,2

1. Department of Population Health Sciences, Bristol Medical School, University of Bristol, Bristol, BS8 2PR
2. School of Psychological Science, University of Bristol, 12a Priory Road, Bristol, BS8 1TU
3. Department of Epidemiology and Biostatistics, Imperial College London, London, W2 1PG
4. MRC Integrative Epidemiology Unit at the University of Bristol, Oakfield House, Oakfield Road, Bristol, BS8 2BN
5. NIHR Biomedical Research Centre at the University Hospitals Bristol and Weston NHS Foundation Trust and the University of Bristol, BS8 2BN.

*Corresponding author: Dr Daniel Jones (daniel.jones6@wales.nhs.uk), Public Health Wales, Capital Quarter 2, Tyndall Street, Cardiff, CF10 4BZ. +44 (0) 2920 348755

Abstract (249 out of 250 words)

Background: Education is inversely associated with cardiovascular disease. Several mediators for this association have been established but a significant proportion of the protective effect remains unaccounted for. Mental health is a proposed mediator, but current evidence is mixed and subject to bias from confounding factors and reverse causation. Mendelian randomisation (MR) is an instrumental variable technique that uses genetic proxies for exposures and mediators to reduce such bias.

Methods and Results: We used logistic regression and two-step MR analyses to investigate whether educational attainment affects risk of mental health disorders. We then performed observational and MR mediation analyses to explore whether mental health disorders mediate the association between educational attainment and risk of cardiovascular disease. Higher levels of educational attainment were associated with reduced depression, anxiety and cardiovascular disease in observational analyses [Odds Ratio (95% Confidence interval) 0.79 (0.77-0.81), 0.76 (0.73-0.79) and 0.79 (0.78-0.81) respectively], and MR analyses provided support for these reflecting causal effects [OR (95% CI) 0.72 (0.67-0.77), 0.50 (0.42-0.59) and 0.62 (0.58-0.66) respectively]. Both anxiety and depression were associated with cardiovascular disease in observational analyses [OR (95% CI) 1.63 (1.49-1.79) and OR (95% CI) 1.70 (1.59-1.82) respectively] but only depression was associated in the MR analyses [OR (95% CI) 1.09 (1.03-1.15)]. Roughly 6% of the total protective effect of education on cardiovascular disease was mediated by depression.

Conclusions: Higher levels of educational attainment protect against mental health disorders and reduced depression accounts for a small proportion of the total protective effect of education on cardiovascular disease.

Key words: education, depression, anxiety, cardiovascular disease, Mendelian randomisation

1 Mental health as a mediator of the association between educational inequality and
2 cardiovascular disease: A Mendelian randomisation study

3

4 Introduction

5

6 Cardiovascular disease is a leading cause of global morbidity and mortality¹. The
7 association between socio-economic inequality and cardiovascular disease is well-
8 established, with those living in deprived areas typically having much higher cardio-
9 vascular mortality than those in the less deprived areas². More specifically, dispari-
10 ties in educational attainment have recently been shown to affect cardiovascular
11 disease using both conventional and genetic epidemiological techniques³. In the lat-
12 ter, cardiovascular risk was estimated to decrease by a third for every 3.6 years of
13 additional full-time education past the age of eleven⁴.

14

15 A number of well-recognised cardiovascular risk factors appear to act as mediators
16 for education⁵. For example, low educational attainment is associated with in-
17 creased tobacco smoking, higher BMI, and higher blood pressure which in turn are
18 linked to increased risk of cardiovascular disease⁶. However, even taking these me-
19 diators into account, there is a significant proportion of the association between ed-
20 ucation and cardiovascular disease that remains unaccounted for.

21

22 Existing evidence suggests an association between mental health and cardiovascu-
23 lar disease as well as an association between education and mental health^{7,8}. Con-
24 sequently, mental health may be another potential mediator between education and

1 cardiovascular disease. For example, educational inequality may lead to disparities
2 in psychological development by affecting exposure to stressors, resources to cope
3 with stress, and negative external societal perception⁹. Poor mental health could, in
4 turn, lead to increased cardiovascular disease risk with proposed mechanisms in-
5 cluding chronic inflammation¹⁰, increased sympathetic nervous system activation¹¹,
6 and increased cortisol exposure¹².

7
8 Conventional observational studies help identify and quantify any associations be-
9 tween the two that may be due to causal effects. Those that have explored educa-
10 tion, mental health and cardiovascular disease have, so far, proved inconclusive^{13,14}.
11 Furthermore, observational evidence can be subject to bias from confounding and
12 reverse causation, and specifically in the context of mediation studies, to measure-
13 ment error and collider bias¹⁵.

14
15 Mendelian randomisation (MR) is an instrumental variable technique that uses ge-
16 netic variants as a proxy for an exposure of interest¹⁶. These variants are random-
17 ised at conception and therefore largely inherited independently from other variants
18 affecting confounding factors. These variants are also unchanged throughout the
19 lifetime and so are unlikely to be affected by outcomes of interest, therefore reduc-
20 ing bias from reverse causation. Thus, MR reduces the sources of bias that limit
21 causal inference in conventional observational research and is an effective, compli-
22 mentary tool in investigating potential causality.

23

1 Here, we specifically used two-step MR using summary data for each step. Two-
2 step MR measures the exposure-mediator, exposure-outcome and mediator-out-
3 come effects separately and is particularly useful when binary mediators are being
4 measured individually. We utilised separate samples for the instrument and out-
5 come summary data, thus providing greater sample sizes in some cases.

6

7 In this study, we used a complimentary approach utilising both observational and
8 MR techniques to explore the relationship between education, mental health and
9 cardiovascular disease. Elucidation of this causal pathway could have important im-
10 plications for policymakers interested in both physical and mental health improve-
11 ment.

12

13 Methods

14 Data availability: The data used for the observational analyses conducted in this
15 study is available to qualified researchers upon application to the UK biobank
16 (<https://www.ukbiobank.ac.uk/researchers/>). The data used for the MR analyses
17 conducted in this study is publicly available via the cited references.

18

19 *I. Observational analyses*

20 *Sample*

21 Our observational analyses used data from the UK Biobank, a national health re-
22 source which recruited participants aged 39-72 years from 22 centres across the
23 UK between 2006-2010. Details of recruitment are publicly available¹⁷. We excluded

1 814 individuals on the basis of consent withdrawal, reported aneuploidy, or mis-
2 match between reported and chromosomal sex. We then applied the Medical Re-
3 search Council Integrative Epidemiology Unit quality control procedure in order to
4 restrict the sample to those of European ancestry¹⁸. The final sample size with com-
5 plete phenotype measures was 333,525 with a mean age of 56.9 years (standard
6 deviation [SD] = 8) and 54% females.

7

8 *Measures*

9 Educational attainment: Participants in the UK Biobank reported the levels of qualifi-
10 cations attained and the highest level of qualification was converted into number of
11 years in education using the International Standard Classification for Education
12 coding of educational attainment (See Supplementary Table S1). Mean educational
13 attainment was 13.9 years (SD = 5.1).

14

15 Mental health problems: Individuals were classified as having had a mental health
16 problem if they reported “Yes” to either of the routine survey questions “Have you
17 ever seen a general practitioner (GP) for nerves, anxiety, tension or depression?” or
18 “Have you ever seen a psychiatrist for nerves, anxiety, tension or depression?”.

19 Depression: Individuals were classified as having major depressive disorder if their
20 hospital episode statistics and/or general practice linked health record showed a di-
21 agnosis of a depressive episode or recurrent episodes according to the Interna-
22 tional Classification of Disease (ICD) codes F32 and F33.

23 Anxiety: Individuals were classified as having anxiety disorder if their health record
24 showed such a diagnosis according to ICD code F41.

1 The prevalence of these mediators in our sample was 34% for mental health prob-
2 lems, 2.9% for depression, and 1.4% for anxiety.

3

4 *Cardiovascular disease*: Individuals were classified as having cardiovascular disease
5 if their health records showed at least one diagnosis of angina or myocardial infarc-
6 tion prior to recruitment classified by ICD codes I20 and I21 respectively. The prev-
7 alence of cardiovascular disease in the sample at the time of recruitment was 6%.

8

9 Additional covariates included age, sex, smoking status, hypertension, obesity, and
10 socio-economic position. The methods for their classification and the respective
11 prevalence figures are reported in the supplementary material (see Supplementary
12 Information and Supplementary Table S2).

13

14 *II. MR analyses*

15 *Genetic instruments*

16 Educational attainment: 1271 independent genome-wide significant loci ($p < 5 \times 10^{-8}$)
17 were identified in a GWAS meta-analysis of educational attainment by Lee and col-
18 leagues (N = 1,131,881)¹⁹. Educational attainment was measured as years in educa-
19 tion. The GWAS meta-analysed 71 cohorts of European ancestry measuring educa-
20 tional attainment. The median effect size of each allele of these lead variants was
21 1.7 weeks of schooling.

22

1 Mental health problems: 14 independent genome-wide significant loci were identi-
2 fied in a recent GWAS of a phenotype described as “broad depression” in UK Bi-
3 obank data (113,769 cases and 208,811)²⁰. Here, we report this phenotype as “Hav-
4 ing had a mental health problem” instead. Individuals were classified as having had
5 a mental health problem if they reported “Yes” to either of the routine survey ques-
6 tions “Have you ever seen a general practitioner (GP) for nerves, anxiety, tension or
7 depression?” or “Have you ever seen a psychiatrist for nerves, anxiety, tension or
8 depression?”. Cases with diagnosed bipolar disorder or schizophrenia or who were
9 taking anti-psychotic medications were excluded. A number of participants were
10 also excluded by inter-relatedness filtering.

11

12 Depression: 44 genome-wide significant loci were identified in a GWAS meta-analy-
13 sis of major depressive disorder (135,458 cases and 344,901 controls) by Wray and
14 colleagues²¹. A total of 29 samples containing individuals of European ancestry
15 were included in the analysis. Major depressive disorder was defined by meeting
16 DSM-IV or IC9-9/10 criteria either by clinician review of medical records or by ex-
17 pert interview.

18

19 Anxiety: A recent GWAS of anxiety disorder (7016 cases and 14,745 controls) iden-
20 tified one independent genome-wide significant locus²². Anxiety cases were re-
21 cruited from seven different cohorts of European ancestry participating in the Anxi-
22 ety NeuroGenetics Study with cases confirmed according to DSM-IV criteria. In or-
23 der to improve instrument power, we relaxed the significance threshold to $p < 5 \times 10^{-5}$

1 and identified 108 independent significant loci following LD clumping ($r^2 < 0.001$, dis-
2 tance > 10000 kb).

3

4 Cardiovascular disease: We used summary data from a recent GWAS meta-analysis
5 from the CardioGRAMplusC4D consortium²³. This included 48 cohorts with a total
6 of 60,801 cases and 123,504 controls. The cohort studies typically defined a case
7 as having a diagnosis of myocardial infarction or angina with several also requiring
8 confirmation from angiographic evidence.

9

10 III. *Statistical analysis*

11 All analyses were performed using R (Version 3.6.2)²⁴. A directed acyclic graph for
12 the proposed causal relationships investigated is shown in Figure 1.

13

14 [Figure 1 here]

15

16 Observational analysis: First, we used logistic regression to investigate the associa-
17 tion of educational attainment with cardiovascular disease and with each of our me-
18 diators having adjusted for the effects of age and sex. Second, we analysed the ef-
19 fect of each of our mediators on cardiovascular disease and, in order to effectively
20 isolate the mediator effects, adjusted for age, sex, educational attainment, smoking
21 status, socio-economic position, hypertension, and obesity. When specifically ana-
22 lysing the effects of depression on cardiovascular disease, we additionally adjusted
23 for the effects of anxiety and vice versa.

24

1 The proportion mediated statistic was calculated using the product of coefficients
2 method as detailed in previous work²⁵. The effect sizes were calculated using abso-
3 lute risk differences as estimated from the odds ratios from our regression analysis
4 and the known prevalence figures in UK Biobank. Standard errors were calculated
5 using the delta method.

6

7 MR univariable analysis: The univariable analysis consisted of using two-sample MR
8 methods applied in the TwoSample MR package 0.4.18²⁶. We first ran our analysis
9 using educational attainment as an exposure and each of our proposed mediators
10 and cardiovascular disease as outcomes. We then repeated the analysis using each
11 mediator as an exposure and cardiovascular disease as an outcome.

12

13 For these analyses, we used an inverse-variance weighted (IVW) approach in the
14 main analysis²⁷, with additional statistical sensitivity analyses: weighted mode²⁸,
15 weighted median²⁹, and MR Egger³⁰. Each sensitivity analysis makes different as-
16 sumptions about pleiotropy.

17

18 When using the anxiety disorder instrument, we performed MR Robust Associated
19 Profile Score (MR RAPS) instead of IVW to improve estimation whilst using a re-
20 laxed p-value threshold for the instrument³¹. MR RAPS offers a greater robustness
21 to pleiotropy when using many weak instruments, as we do here.

22

1 Two-step MR analysis: We next performed two-step MR using the TwoSample MR
2 package 0.4.18. We used the results of our univariable MR analysis for the expo-
3 sure-mediator step. For the mediator-outcome step we then used the residual mul-
4 tivariable MR method to calculate the effect of each mediator on cardiovascular dis-
5 ease having adjusted for the effects of educational attainment³². The estimates for
6 each step were multiplied together to produce an indirect effect estimate and the
7 proportion mediated statistic was then calculated as for the observational analysis
8 (detailed above).

9

10 *IV. Sensitivity analyses*

11 The methods for our sensitivity analyses are presented in the supplementary mate-
12 rial (see Supplementary Information). These analyses included MR Egger, Cochran's
13 Q Tests of Heterogeneity, mean of F (mF) statistics, MR Steiger, and bidirectional
14 observational models. They also included an observational analysis of mental health
15 and cardiovascular disease conducted without adjustment for other co-variables ex-
16 cept for age and sex.

17

18 *V. Exploratory analysis*

19 In order to illustrate an approach for investigating multiple mediators between men-
20 tal health and cardiovascular disease, we performed an exploratory analysis of a
21 likely candidate based on existing evidence. This analysis investigates the role of to-
22 bacco smoking as a mediator between depression and cardiovascular disease. The
23 methods are in Supplementary Material.

24

1 Ethical Considerations: UK Biobank has received ethics approval from the UK Na-
2 tional Health Service's National Research Ethics Service (ref 11/NW/0382).

3

4 Results

5

6 *Association of education with cardiovascular disease*

7 We found strong evidence to suggest that educational attainment has an inverse
8 association with cardiovascular disease (see Figure 2). In our observational analysis,
9 for every standard deviation increase in educational attainment there was a 24%
10 (95% CI 21-27%) reduction in cardiovascular disease. Our MR analysis suggested a
11 causal, protective effect for education against cardiovascular disease.

12

13 *Association of education with mental health*

14 We also found that education has an inverse association with anxiety and depres-
15 sion and that this is consistent across both adjusted observational and MR analyses
16 (see Figure 2). For each standard deviation increase in educational attainment there
17 were 25% (95% CI 24-26%) and 21% (95% CI 19-23%) reductions in depression
18 and anxiety respectively. Our MR analysis suggested that education has a causal,
19 protective effect against anxiety and depression.

20

21 Educational attainment generally showed only weak evidence of an association with
22 mental health problems in our observational analysis (OR 0.99, 95% CI 0.98-1.01,
23 $p=0.06$) but, in our MR analysis, there was evidence of a causal, protective effect

1 (IVW OR 0.95, 95% CI 0.94-0.96, $p < 0.0001$). This association was consistent across
2 the MR sensitivity analyses.

3

4 [Figure 2 here]

5

6 *Association of mental health with cardiovascular disease*

7 In our observational analysis, mental health problems in general were associated
8 with increased cardiovascular disease risk (OR 1.29, 95% CI 1.24-1.33, $p < 0.001$),
9 but our MR analysis did not provide clear support for a causal interpretation (OR
10 1.48, 95% CI 0.92-2.39, $p = 0.11$) (see Figure 3).

11

12 However, we found strong observational evidence that depression was associated
13 with increased cardiovascular risk (OR 1.70, 95% CI 1.58-1.82, $p < 0.001$), and our
14 MR analyses supported a causal interpretation (OR 1.09, 95% CI 1.03-1.15,
15 $p = 0.0021$). Anxiety disorder was strongly associated with cardiovascular disease in
16 our observational analysis (OR 1.63, 95% CI 1.49-1.79, $p < 0.001$) but MR analysis
17 did not support a causal interpretation (OR 1.00, 95% CI 0.99-1.01, $p = 0.82$).

18

19 [Figure 3 here]

20

21 *Proportion mediated by mental health of the association between education and*
22 *cardiovascular disease*

23 Our mediation results show that mental health problems in general (2-step MR:
24 4.2%, 95% CI 3.0%-5.3%) and specifically depression (2-step MR: 6.5%, 95% CI

1 5.6%-7.5%) account for a small proportion of the negative association between ed-
2 ucation and cardiovascular disease (see Figure 4). The proportion mediated by anxi-
3 ety disorder was very small in the observational analysis, and we found little evi-
4 dence of mediation in our MR analysis.

5

6 [Figure 4 here]

7

8 *Sensitivity analyses*

9 The results of our sensitivity analyses are presented in Supplementary Tables S3-13
10 and included: testing for pleiotropy and reverse causation, testing for bidirectionality
11 in our observational data, and testing personality traits as additional potential medi-
12 ators. The results provided little evidence of bias from directional pleiotropy but did
13 raise the possibility of reverse causation between cardiovascular disease and the
14 proposed mediators in our observational analyses.

15

16 *Exploratory analysis of tobacco smoking as a mediator between depression and car-* 17 *diovascular disease*

18 In a further analysis, we sought to investigate a potential role for multiple mediators
19 in this pathway, specifically smoking as a mediator between adjusted depression
20 and cardiovascular disease. We found that depression is associated with increased
21 smoking activity and that lifetime smoking was associated with increased cardio-
22 vascular risk (see Supplementary Tables S15-16). In Figure 5, we show that smok-
23 ing accounts for a large proportion of the increased cardiovascular risk associated
24 with depression, 29% (95% CI 28.9-29.7%) according to our MR analysis.

1 [Figure 5 here]

2

3 Discussion

4

5 In this study, we used both observational and MR techniques to investigate mental
6 health as a mediator between education and cardiovascular disease. Our results
7 demonstrate that depression accounts for a proportion of the protective effect edu-
8 cation has on cardiovascular disease.

9

10 We demonstrated, in observational analyses, that educational attainment has a
11 clear, inverse association with anxiety disorder, major depressive disorder, and car-
12 diovascular disease. Our MR analyses suggested these relationships are causal. In
13 addition, our MR analyses suggested a causal, protective effect of educational at-
14 tainment on mental health problems more broadly although observational analysis
15 showed no strong association.

16

17 Mental health problems in general and, specifically, anxiety and depression were all
18 associated with increased cardiovascular risk in our observational analyses. Our MR
19 analyses only suggested a causal relationship between depression and cardiovas-
20 cular disease.

21

22 Following these initial results, we further explored the proposed causal relationships
23 between education, mental health and cardiovascular disease, which likely consists

1 of additional mediators (see Figure 1). Our observational analyses showed that de-
2 pression was positively associated with lifetime smoking which was, in turn, associ-
3 ated with increased cardiovascular risk even after adjustment for depression. Our
4 MR analyses suggested these associations are causal. We found that lifetime smok-
5 ing accounts for as much as 30% of the association between depression and cardi-
6 ovascular disease.

7

8 *Our results in context*

9 Our analysis suggests that depression serves as a mediator between education and
10 cardiovascular disease, and thus accounts for an unexplained portion of the protec-
11 tive effect of education. Specifically, it suggests that educational disparities may
12 produce additional cardiovascular disease burden partly by increasing rates of de-
13 pression. Depression and coronary artery disease have previously been found to be
14 associated in MR work^{33,34}; however, this mediation analysis constitutes a novel
15 finding that can help identify possible new targets for interventions.

16

17 Our results support a protective role for education against mental health problems
18 and, specifically, major depressive disorder and anxiety disorder. The foremost ex-
19 planation for this is educational attainment being a proxy for overall socio-economic
20 position which has a robust negative correlation with depression and anxiety³⁵. For
21 example, educational attainment is associated with both higher income and better
22 occupation later in life^{36,37}. Such factors protect against experiences such as debt,
23 financial struggles, and poor working conditions which feature amongst several rel-

1 evant risk factors for mental health disorders³⁸. Related interventions would accord-
2 ingly include policies to promote educational attainment and reduce socio-eco-
3 nomic inequalities.

4
5 At an individual level, further years spent in education or training are also likely to
6 play a protective role against mental health problems by directly modifying a range
7 of psychosocial factors and providing resources that can be drawn upon as stress-
8 ors are encountered³⁹. Such resources might include mental health advice, support
9 networks, social participation, close friends, and good work-life balance^{40,41}. Addi-
10 tional years in education may also help to offset exposure to stressors until one has
11 greater maturity and resources to cope with them. By considering these observa-
12 tions, successful individual-level interventions in the education system might be de-
13 veloped.

14
15 In an exploratory analysis, we found that smoking accounts for a large proportion of
16 the association between depression and cardiovascular disease. The link between
17 smoking and cardiovascular disease is well-known and depression and smoking are
18 also associated^{42,43}. This link between smoking and depression has been commonly
19 attributed to a ‘self-medication’ hypothesis where smoking is used to cope with de-
20 pressive symptoms. Other factors that are proposed to partially explain the remain-
21 der of the association between depression and cardiovascular disease include
22 chronic inflammation and changes in lipid metabolism⁴⁴.

23
24

1 *Implications*

2 Our study has several important public health implications. First, it strengthens the
3 case for investment in education and interventions related to it by demonstrating
4 that educational attainment can reduce mental health burden, which is itself a sig-
5 nificant source of morbidity and mortality⁴⁵. Second, by illustrating the links between
6 education, mental health, and cardiovascular disease, we have reinforced the need
7 to recognise the potential interplay between social determinants, physical and men-
8 tal health problems. Indeed, in this study, we show how a physical disease burden
9 could be partly alleviated by targeting a social determinant of mental health. Finally,
10 we have also illustrated how to further explore potential mediators between depres-
11 sion and cardiovascular disease in order to elucidate additional intervention targets.
12 Here, we have highlighted tobacco smoking as such a target.

13

14 *Strengths and limitations*

15 The strengths of our study include the use of MR analysis to complement a conven-
16 tional observational approach which allowed us to better overcome bias arising
17 from confounding, measurement error and reverse causation. The use of a ‘triangu-
18 lation approach’ also allowed for greater confidence in causal inference when there
19 was consistency between the different approaches⁴⁶. We were also able to limit bias
20 arising from self-reporting and misclassification by using strict case definition for
21 both our observational and MR data sources. Such bias can be particularly prob-
22 lematic when using binary exposures in MR⁴⁷. An additional strength of our study
23 was the use of two-step MR for a mediation analysis. This allowed us to specifically

1 quantify the proportion of our exposure's effect attributable to our proposed media-
2 tors. Finally, we extended our analysis in order to illustrate how our methodology
3 could explore multiple mediators in the proposed pathway.

4
5 In our study, the use of MR demonstrated a discrepancy when observing the effects
6 of mental health on cardiovascular disease. When adjusting for confounding in our
7 mediation analysis, we likely over-adjusted in order to confidently isolate the effects
8 of the mediator. To measure this, we conducted a sensitivity analysis without ad-
9 justment although this suggested that the effect sizes we have found may actually
10 be underestimates (see Supplementary Table 17). Instead, the discrepancy may
11 have primarily been due to reverse causation, with cardiovascular disease leading
12 to mental health problems and exaggerating observed associations. In a further
13 sensitivity analysis, where cardiovascular disease was the exposure and depression
14 and then anxiety the outcomes, we did indeed find such bidirectionality.

15
16 A common limitation of MR studies is weak instrument strength⁴⁸. Our instruments
17 for both educational attainment and depression were adequate in this regard, with
18 large mF statistics and sample sizes. However, our instrument for anxiety had a rel-
19 atively smaller mF statistic and required a relaxed genome-wide significance thresh-
20 old; the null result should therefore be interpreted with caution. However, we utilised
21 the MR RAPS method to compensate for the relaxed threshold and we also con-
22 ducted a sensitivity analysis with the worry sub-scale of the Eysenck Personality
23 Questionnaire (Revised Short form). This analysis had adequate instrument strength

1 and a larger sample size but also produced a null result (see Supplementary Table
2 S8).

3

4 Despite adequate instrument strength, our ‘mental health problems’ instrument pro-
5 duced wide confidence intervals, suggesting these analyses may have been under-
6 powered. Unlike our other instruments, this one was constructed from a GWAS per-
7 formed on a single sample, as the unusual phenotype measured is only available in
8 the UK Biobank. In future, we hope other cohorts will have this or a similar pheno-
9 type measured, leading to larger sample sizes for analysis and, hopefully, the dis-
10 covery of further significant variants.

11

12 When conducting two-sample MR, overlap between the samples can be problem-
13 atic. The samples used for our exposure and mediators did feature partial overlap
14 due to the use of UK Biobank data in both sets. This introduces a greater risk of a
15 type I error in our two-sample univariable analyses of education and mental health
16 due to possible overfitting. However, we also saw an inverse association with edu-
17 cation in our sensitivity analyses that utilised personality traits related to mental
18 health (see Supplementary Table S8). These featured substantively less overlap be-
19 tween samples. In addition, this limitation did not apply to our two-step analysis
20 which pools the data for the exposure and mediators before analysis is conducted.

21

22 Another common limitation of MR studies is bias from directional pleiotropy⁴⁹. We
23 conducted numerous sensitivity analyses, including multiple MR methods and

1 measurement of MR Egger intercepts (see Supplementary Tables S3 and S7), which
2 suggest our results were not biased by directional pleiotropy.

3

4 Finally, even with our approach, education may still be acting as a proxy for socio-
5 economic position. A proposed strategy for investigating this would be to conduct
6 an additional analysis using a measure such as household income which would al-
7 low for comparison as well as adjustment as part of a multivariable MR approach. A
8 recently published GWAS meta-analysis exploring household income⁵⁰ offers the
9 possibility of this in future studies. Natural experiment techniques could also be
10 used having previously suggested that the raising of school age reduced cardiovas-
11 cular disease⁵¹.

12

13 *Conclusions*

14 In conclusion, we have demonstrated that educational attainment is inversely asso-
15 ciated with mental health problems, anxiety, depression, and cardiovascular dis-
16 ease. A reduction in depression accounts for part of the inverse association be-
17 tween education and cardiovascular disease. Finally, we have shown that smoking
18 accounts for a large proportion of the association between depression and cardio-
19 vascular disease. Our findings offer significant clinical and public health implications
20 by further demonstrating interplay between social determinants, mental and physi-
21 cal disease and associated targets for intervention.

22

23

24

25

26

27

1 Acknowledgements

2 We are grateful to the participants of the UK Biobank and the individuals who
3 contributed to each of the previous GWAS analyses conducted as well as all the
4 research staff who worked on the data collection. This research has been
5 conducted using the UK Biobank Resource under Application Number 9142.

6

7 Sources of Funding

8 REW, HMS, ARC and MRM are all members of the Medical Research Council
9 (MRC) Integrative Epidemiology Unit at the University of Bristol funded by the MRC:
10 <http://www.mrc.ac.uk> [MC_UU_00011/7]. DG is supported by the Wellcome Trust 4i
11 Programme (203928/Z/16/Z) and British Heart Foundation Research Centre of Ex-
12 cellence (RE/18/4/34215) at Imperial College London. This study was supported by
13 the National Institute Health Research (NIHR) Biomedical Research Centre at the
14 University Hospitals Bristol National Health Service (NHS) Foundation Trust and the
15 University of Bristol. The views expressed in this publication are those of the au-
16 thors and not necessarily those of the NHS, the NIHR or the Department of Health
17 and Social Care.

18

19 Disclosures

20 DG is employed part-time by Novo Nordisk. No other conflicts of interest and no
21 further funding sources are declared.

22

23

24

- 1 Supplementary Materials
- 2 Supplementary Methods
- 3 Supplementary Tables S1-S15
- 4 Supplementary Figures S16-S17
- 5 References 52-57

1 References


- 1 Global atlas on cardiovascular disease prevention and control. WHO. https://www.who.int/cardiovascular_diseases/publications/atlas_cvd/en/. Published January 2020. Accessed January 25, 2020.
- 2 Inequalities in health. GOV.UK. <https://www.gov.uk/government/publications/health-profile-for-england-2018/chapter-5-inequalities-in-health>. Published 2018. Accessed January 25, 2020.
- 3 Rosengren A, Subramanian S, Islam S, Chow C, Avezum A, Kazmi K, Sliwa K, Zubaid M, Rangarajan S, Yusuf S. Education and risk for acute myocardial infarction in 52 high, middle and low-income countries: INTERHEART case-control study. *Heart*. 2009;95(24):2014-2022.
- 4 Tillmann T, Vaucher J, Okbay A, Pikhart H, Peasey A, Kubinova R, Pajak A, Tamosiunas A, Maljutina S, Hartwig F, Fischer K, Veronesi G, Palmer T, Bowden J, Davey Smith G et al. Education and coronary heart disease: mendelian randomisation study. *BMJ*. 2017;j3542.
- 5 Lynch J, Kaplan G, Cohen R, Tuomilehto J, Salonen J. Do Cardiovascular Risk Factors Explain the Relation between Socioeconomic Status, Risk of All-Cause Mortality, Cardiovascular Mortality, and Acute Myocardial Infarction? *American Journal of Epidemiology*. 1996;144(10):934-942.
- 6 Carter A, Gill D, Davies N, Taylor A, Tillmann T, Vaucher J, Wootton R, Munafò M, Hemani G, Malik R, Seshadri S, Woo D, Burgess S, Davey Smith G, Holmes M et al. Understanding the consequences of education inequality on cardiovascular disease: mendelian randomisation study. *BMJ*. 2019:l1855.
- 7 Hemingway H, Marmot M. Evidence based cardiology: Psychosocial factors in the aetiology and prognosis of coronary heart disease: systematic review of prospective cohort studies. *BMJ*. 1999;318(7196):1460-1467.
- 8 Melkevik O, Hauge L, Bendtsen P, Reneflot A, Mykletun A, Aarø L. Associations between delayed completion of high school and educational attainment and symptom levels of anxiety and depression in adulthood. *BMC Psychiatry*. 2016;16(1).
- 9 Gallo L, Matthews K. Understanding the association between socioeconomic status and physical health: Do negative emotions play a role? *Psychological Bulletin*. 2003;129(1):10-51.
- 10 Lazzarino A, Hamer M, Gaze D, Collinson P, Rumley A, Lowe G, Steptoe A. The interaction between systemic inflammation and psychosocial stress in the association with cardiac troponin elevation: A new approach to risk assessment and disease prevention. *Preventive Medicine*. 2016;93:46-52.
- 11 Hering D, Lachowska K, Schlaich M. Role of the Sympathetic Nervous System in Stress-Mediated Cardiovascular Disease. *Current Hypertension Reports*. 2015;17(10).
- 12 Iob E, Steptoe A. Cardiovascular Disease and Hair Cortisol: a Novel Biomarker of Chronic Stress. *Current Cardiology Reports*. 2019;21(10).
- 13 Matthews K, Gallo L, Taylor S. Are psychosocial factors mediators of socioeconomic status and health connections? *Annals of the New York Academy of Sciences*. 2010;1186(1):146-173.
- 14 Boylan J, Cundiff J, Matthews K. Socioeconomic Status and Cardiovascular Responses to Standardized Stressors. *Psychosomatic Medicine*. 2018;80(3):278-293.
- 15 Alice R Carter, Eleanor Sanderson, Gemma Hammerton, Rebecca C Richmond, George Davey Smith, Jon Heron, Amy E Taylor, Neil M Davies, Laura D Howe. (Preprint) Mendelian randomisation for mediation analysis: current methods and challenges for implementation. *bioRxiv*. 835819. doi: <https://doi.org/10.1101/835819>
- 16 Baiocchi M, Cheng J, Small D. Instrumental variable methods for causal inference. *Statistics in Medicine*. 2014;33(13):2297-2340.
- 17 Sudlow C, Gallacher J, Allen N, Beral V, Burton P, Danesh J, Downey P, Elliott P, Green J, Landray M, Liu B, Matthews P, Ong G, Pell J, Silman A et al. UK Biobank: An Open Access Resource for Identifying the Causes of a Wide Range of Complex Diseases of Middle and Old Age. *PLOS Medicine*. 2015;12(3):e1001779.
- 18 Mitchell R, Hemani G, Dudding T, Corbin L, Harrison S, Paternoster L. IEU Quality Control. *Data.bris.ac.uk*. https://data.bris.ac.uk/datasets/1ovaau5sxunp2cv8rcy88688v/UK%20Biobank%20Genetic%20Data_MRC%20IEU%20Quality%20Control%20version%202.pdf. Published 2019. Accessed February 24, 2020.

-
- 19 Lee J, Wedow R, Okbay A, Kong E, Maghziyan O, Zacher M, Nguyen-Viet T, Bowers P, Sidorenko J, Karlsson Linnér R, Fontana M, Kundu T, Lee C, Li H, Li R et al. Gene discovery and polygenic prediction from a genome-wide association study of educational attainment in 1.1 million individuals. *Nature Genetics*. 2018;50(8):1112-1121.
- 20 Howard D, Adams M, Shirali M, Clarke T, Marioni R, Davies G, Coleman J, Alloza C, Shen X, Barbu M, Wigmore E, Gibson J, Hagenaars S, Lewis C, Ward J et al. Genome-wide association study of depression phenotypes in UK Biobank identifies variants in excitatory synaptic pathways. *Nature Communications*. 2018;9(1).
- 21 Wray N, Ripke S, Mattheisen M, Trzaskowski M, Byrne E, Abdellaoui A, Adams M, Agerbo E, Air T, Andlauer T, Bacanu S, Bækvad-Hansen M, Beekman A, Bigdeli T, Binder E et al. Genome-wide association analyses identify 44 risk variants and refine the genetic architecture of major depression. *Nature Genetics*. 2018;50(5):668-681.
- 22 Otowa T, Hek K, Lee M, Byrne E, Mirza S, Nivard M, Bigdeli T, Aggen S, Adkins D, Wolen A, Fanous A, Keller M, Castelao E, Kutalik Z, der Auwera S et al. Meta-analysis of genome-wide association studies of anxiety disorders. *Molecular Psychiatry*. 2016;21(10):1391-1399.
- 23 The CARDIoGRAMplusC4D Consortium. A comprehensive 1000 Genomes-based genome-wide association meta-analysis of coronary artery disease. *Nature Genetics*. 2015;47(10):1121-1130.
- 24 R Core Team. R: The R Project for Statistical Computing. *R-project.org*. <https://www.r-project.org/>. Published 2014. Accessed January 26, 2020.
- 25 VanderWeele TJ. Mediation Analysis: A Practitioner's Guide. *Annual Review Public Health*. 2016; 37: 17-32
- 26 MR Base for two sample MR. *MRCIEU*. <https://mrcieu.github.io/TwoSampleMR/>. Published 2019. Accessed January 27, 2020.
- 27 Lawlor D, Harbord R, Sterne J, Timpson N, Davey Smith G. Mendelian randomization: Using genes as instruments for making causal inferences in epidemiology. *Statistics in Medicine*. 2008;27(8):1133-1163.
- 28 Hartwig F, Davey Smith G, Bowden J. Robust inference in summary data Mendelian randomization via the zero modal pleiotropy assumption. *International Journal of Epidemiology*. 2017; 46(6):1985-98.
- 29 Bowden J, Davey Smith G, Haycock P, Burgess S. Consistent Estimation in Mendelian Randomization with Some Invalid Instruments Using a Weighted Median Estimator. *Genetic Epidemiology*. 2016; 40(4):304-14.
- 30 Burgess S, Thompson S. Interpreting findings from Mendelian randomization using the MR-Egger method. *European Journal of Epidemiology*. 2017; 32(5):377-89.
- 31 Qingyuan Z, Jingshu W, Jack B, Dylan SS. Statistical inference in two-sample summary-data Mendelian randomization using robust adjusted profile score. (Preprint) *Arxiv*. 2018; <https://arxiv.org/abs/1801.09652>
- 32 Burgess S, Daniel RM, Butterworth AS, Thompson SG; EPIC-InterAct Consortium. Network Mendelian randomization: using genetic variants as instrumental variables to investigate mediation in causal pathways. *International Journal of Epidemiology*. 2015; 44:484-95.
- 33 Wu Q, Kling JM. Depression and the risk of myocardial infarction and coronary death: a meta-analysis of prospective cohort studies. *Medicine*. 2016; 95:e2815.
- 34 Mulugeta A, Zhou A, King C, Hyppönen E. Association between major depressive disorder and multiple disease outcomes: a phenome-wide Mendelian randomisation study in the UK Biobank. *Molecular Psychiatry*. 2019;25(7):1469-1476.
- 35 Fryers T, Melzer D, Jenkins R. Social inequalities and the common mental disorders. *Social Psychiatry and Psychiatric Epidemiology*. 2003;38(5):229-237.
- 36 Education and earnings. *OECD*. https://stats.oecd.org/Index.aspx?DataSetCode=EAG_EARNINGS. Published 2020. Accessed on 28 January 2020
- 37 Andersen R, Van De Werfhorst H. Education and occupational status in 14 countries: the role of educational institutions and labour market coordination. *British Journal of Sociology*. 2010; 61(2):336-55.
- 38 Weich S, Lewis G. Poverty, unemployment and the common mental disorders: a population-based cohort study. *BMJ*. 1998; 317:115-19.

- 39 Matthews KA, Gallo LC. Psychological perspectives on pathways linking socioeconomic status and physical health. *Annual Review of Psychology*. 2011; 62:501–30
- 40 Aldabe B, Anderson R, Lyly-Yrjanainen M, Parent-Thirion A, Vermeylen G, Kelleher C, Niedhammer I. Contribution of material, occupational, and psychosocial factors in the explanation of social inequalities in health in 28 countries in Europe. *Journal of Epidemiology & Community Health*. 2010; 65(12):1123-1131.
- 41 Moor I, Rathmann K, Stronks K, Levin K, Spallek J, Richter M. Psychosocial and behavioural factors in the explanation of socioeconomic inequalities in adolescent health: a multilevel analysis in 28 European and North American countries. *Journal of Epidemiology and Community Health*. 2014; 68(10):912-921.
- 42 Doll R, Peto R, Boreham J, Sutherland I. Mortality in relation to smoking: 50 years' observations on male British doctors. *BMJ*. 2004; 328:1519
- 43 Taylor A, Fluharty M, Munafò M. Investigating the possible causal association between smoking and depression and anxiety using Mendelian randomisation meta-analysis: The CARTA consortium. *Journal of Epidemiology and Community Health*. 2014; 68(Suppl 1):A45.1-A45.
- 44 Khandaker G, Zuber V, Rees J, Carvalho L, Mason A, Foley C, Gkatzionis A, Jones P, Burgess S. Shared mechanisms between coronary heart disease and depression: findings from a large UK general population-based cohort. *Molecular Psychiatry*. 2019;25(7):1477-1486.
- 45 Mathers CD, Loncar D. Projections of Global Mortality and Burden of Disease from 2002 to 2030. *PLoS Medicine*. 2006; 3(11):e442.
- 46 Lawlor D, Tilling K, Davey-Smith G. Triangulation in aetiological epidemiology. *International Journal of Epidemiology*. 2016; 45(6): 1866–1886.
- 47 Burgess S, Labrecque JA. Mendelian randomization with a binary exposure variable: interpretation and presentation of causal estimates. *European Journal of Epidemiology*. 2018; 33: 947–952.
- 48 Davies Neil M, Holmes Michael V, Davey-Smith G. Reading Mendelian randomisation studies: a guide, glossary, and checklist for clinicians *BMJ*. 2018; 362:k60.
- 49 Hemani G, Bowden J, Davey-Smith G. Evaluating the potential role of pleiotropy in Mendelian randomization studies. *Human Molecular Genetics*. 2018; 27(R2):R195-R208.
- 50 Hill W, Davies N, Ritchie S, Skene N, Bryois J, Bell S, Di Angelantonio E, Roberts D, Xueyi S, Davies G, Liewald D, Porteous D, Hayward C, Butterworth A, McIntosh A et al. Genome-wide analysis identifies molecular systems and 149 genetic loci associated with income. *Nature Communications*. 2019; 10(1):5741.
- ⁵¹ Hamad R, Nguyen TT, Bhattacharya J, Glymour MM, Rehkopf DH. Educational attainment and cardiovascular disease in the United States: A quasi-experimental instrumental variables analysis. *PLoS Medicine*. 2019; 16(6):e1002834.
- ⁵² Bowden J, Del Greco M. F, Minelli C, Davey-Smith G, Sheehan N, Thompson J. Assessing the suitability of summary data for two-sample Mendelian randomization analyses using MR-Egger regression: the role of the I² statistic. *International Journal of Epidemiology*. 2016: dyw220.
- ⁵³ Brion M, Shakhbazov K, Visscher P. Calculating statistical power in Mendelian randomization studies. *International Journal of Epidemiology*. 2012; 42(5):1497-1501.
- ⁵⁴ Greco M FD, Minelli C, Sheehan NA, Thompson JR. Detecting pleiotropy in Mendelian randomisation studies with summary data and a continuous outcome. *Statistics in Medicine*. 2015; 34:2926-40.
- ⁵⁵ Hemani G, Tilling K, Davey Smith G. Orienting the causal relationship between imprecisely measured traits using GWAS summary data. *PloS Genetics*. 2017;13(11):e1007081.
- ⁵⁶ Nagel M, Jansen P, Stringer S, Watanabe K, de Leeuw C, Bryois J, Savage J, Hammerschlag A, Skene N, Muñoz-Manchado A, White T, Tiemeier H, Linnarsson S, Hjerling-Leffler J, Polderman T et al. Meta-analysis of genome-wide association studies for neuroticism in 449,484 individuals identifies novel genetic loci and pathways. *Nature Genetics*. 2018; 50(7):920-927.
- ⁵⁷ Liu M, Jiang Y, Wedow R, Li Y, Brazel D, Chen F, Datta G, Davila-Velderrain J, McGuire D, Tian C, Zhan X, Choquet H, Docherty A, Faul J, Foerster J et al. Association studies of up to 1.2 million individuals yield new insights into the genetic etiology of tobacco and alcohol use. *Nature Genetics*. 2019;51(2):237-244.


Figures and Figure Legends

Figure 1




A diagram illustrating the proposed causal relationships between education, mental health and cardiovascular disease. Z_1 and Z_2 represent genetic proxies and U represents confounding factors.

Figure 2




The association of educational attainment with mental health and cardiovascular disease presented as odds ratios per standard deviation increase in education

Figure 3




The association of mental health with cardiovascular disease following adjustment for the effects of educational attainment

Figure 4



The estimated proportions of the inverse association between education and cardiovascular disease accounted for by mental health presentations, depression and anxiety

Figure 5



The estimated proportion of the association between depression and cardiovascular disease accounted for by lifetime smoking