Insufficient hyperfibrinolysis in COVID-19: a systematic review of thrombolysis based on meta-analysis and meta-regression

Running title: Fibrinolytic pathogenesis of COVID-19

Hong-Long Ji[1,2], Zhenlei Su[3], Runzhen Zhao[1], Andrey A. Komissarov[1,2], Guohua Yi[4], Shan-Lu Liu[5,6.7.8], Steven Idell[1,2], and Michael A. Matthay[9]

[1]Department of Cellular and Molecular Biology, University of Texas Health Science Centre at Tyler, Tyler, Texas 75708, USA. [2]Texas Lung Injury Institute, The University of Texas Health Science Centre at Tyler, Tyler, Texas 75708, USA. [3]Department of Respiratory and Critical Care Medicine, Xinxiang Central Hospital, Xinxiang, Henan, China. [4]Department of Pulmonary Immunology, The University of Texas Health Science Centre at Tyler, Tyler, Texas 75708, USA. [5]Center for Retrovirus Research, The Ohio State University, Columbus, OH 43210, USA. [6]Department of Veterinary Biosciences, The Ohio State University, Columbus, OH 43210, USA. [7]Department of Microbial Infection and Immunity, The Ohio State University, Columbus, OH 43210, USA. [8]Viruses and Emerging Pathogens Program, Infectious Diseases Institute, The Ohio State University, Columbus, OH 43210, USA. [9]Department of Medicine and Anaesthesia, University of California San Francisco, San Francisco, California, USA

Hong-Long Ji, james.ji@uthct.edu or james.hl.ji@gmail.com
Zhenlei Su, 1064704255@qq.com
Andrey Komissarov, Andrey.Komissarov@uthct.edu or Komissarov_a@yahoo.com
Runzhen Zhao, runzhen.zhao@uthct.edu
Guohua Yi, Guohua.Yi@uthct.edu
Shan-Lu Liu, liu.6244@osu.edu
Steven Idell, Steve.Idell@uthct.edu
Michael.Matthay@ucsf.edu

Word count: summary 370, introduction 345, methods 394, results 1011, discussion 2832.
Reference: 115
Figures (color): 4
Tables: 2

Supplementary files: Figures 3; tables 9

Correspondance
Hong-Long (James) Ji, james.ji@uthct.edu

Funding information
NIH grants: HL87017 (HLJ), HL095435 (MAM), HL134828 (MAM), HL130402 (AK&SI), AI112381(SLL), AI150473 (SLL), HL154103 (SI &AK), and HL14285301 (SI).

Summary

Background How aberrant fibrinolysis influences the clinical progression of COVID-19 presents a clinicopathological dilemma challenging intensivists. To investigate whether abnormal fibrinolysis is a culprit or protector or both, we associated elevated plasma D-dimer with clinical variables to identify a panoramic view of the derangements of fibrinolysis that contribute to the pathogenesis of COVID-19 based on studies available in the literature.

Methods We performed this systematic review based on both meta-analysis and meta-regression to compute the correlation of D-dimer at admission with clinical features of COVID-19 patients in retrospective studies or case series. We searched the databases until Aug 18, 2020, with no limitations by language. The first hits were screened, data extracted, and analyzed in duplicate. We did the random-effects meta-analyses and meta-regressions (both univariate and multivariate). D-dimer associated clinical variables and potential mechanisms were schematically reasoned and graphed.

Findings Our search identified 42 observational, or retrospective, or case series from six countries (n=14,862 patients) with all races and ages from 1 to 98-year-old. The weighted mean difference of D-dimer was 0.97 μg/mL (95% CI 0.65, 1.29) between relatively mild (or healthy control) and severely affected groups with significant publication bias. Univariate meta-regression identified 58 of 106 clinical variables were associated with plasma D-dimer levels, including 3 demographics, 5 comorbidies, 22 laboratory tests, 18 organ injury biomarkers, 8 severe complications, and 2 outcomes (discharge and death). Of these, 11 readouts were negatively associated with the level of plasma D-dimer. Further, age and gender were confounding factors for the identified D-dimer associated variables. There were 22 variables independently correlated with the D-dimer level, including respiratory rate, dyspnea plasma K^+, glucose, SpO_2, BUN, bilirubin, ALT, AST, systolic blood pressure, and CK. We thus propose that "insufficient hyperfibrinolysis (fibrinolysis is accelerated but unable to prevent adverse clinical impact for clinical deterioration COVID-19)" as a peculiar mechanism.

Interpretation The findings of this meta-analysis- and meta-regression-based systematic review supports elevated D-dimer as an independent predictor for mortality and severe complications. D-dimer-associated clinical variables draw a landscape integrating the aggregate effects of systemically suppressive and locally (i.e., in the lung) hyperactive derangements of fibrinolysis. D-dimer and associated clinical biomarkers and conceptually parameters could be combined for risk stratification, potentially for tracking thrombolytic therapy or alternative interventions.

Funding National Institute of Health.

Keywords COVID-19, D-dimer, hyperfibrinolysis, thrombolytic, comorbidity, meta-regression

Introduction

The sustained COVID-19 pandemic has oversaturated the emergency and intensive critical care resources globally. Hypercoagulability has been evidenced in most critically ill patients by elevated D-dimer and fibrin degradation products (FDP), a decrease in platelet count, an incremental increase in the prothrombin time, and a rise in fibrinogen[1-9]. Of these, patients with increased D-dimer are more vulnerable to worsened clinical consequences of COVID-19, with more severe complications, including require ICU support[1-9].

Thromboembolism of COVID-19 patients is the fatal sequelae of hypercoagulation and fibrinolytic abnormalities. Pulmonary embolism (PE) and deep vein thrombosis (DVT) can cause respiratory failure in severely ill patients with COVID-19[10-14]. Postmortem pathology shows that small fibrinous thrombi in small pulmonary arterioles are very common. Activation of the coagulation cascade is further supported by endothelial tumefaction, pulmonary megakaryocytes in the capillaries, and endotheliitis[15-19]. Elevated D-dimer is an indicator of the activation of the fibrinolysis system and removal of clots or extravascular collections of fibrin by plasmin. Compared with the consistent coagulopathy, however, the clinical ramifications of deranged fibrinolysis are not well studied and reviewed systematically.

Increased D-dimer level has not consistently been observed by all COVID-19 clinical studies, although it is a broadly applied biomarker for prognosis and outcomes of anti-thrombosis[20]. The current explanations for the elevated D-dimer in critically ill patients are multiple, including “suppression of fibrinolysis”, “secondarily hyperactive fibrinolysis”, “consumption of fibrinolysis”, “fibrinolysis resistance”, and “fibrinolysis shutdown”[21,22]. To address the coagulopathic changes complicating COVID-19, two diametrically different therapeutic regimes are in practice: fibrinolytic (alteplase-tPA)[10,11,23-28] and antifibrinolytic therapies (nafamostat and tranexamic acid (TXA))[29-31]. It is therefore imperative to clarify the role of pathophysiologic derangements of fibrinolysis in clinical outcomes that occur in COVID-19 patients. We therefore systematically reviewed key cohort studies and performed both meta-analyses and meta-regressions to explore the relationships between the plasma D-dimer level on admission with demographics, laboratory tests, fatal cardiopulmonary function, radiology, interventions, complications, and outcomes. As a result, we herein propose a model, namely, the insufficient hyperfibrinolysis at the inflamed organs yet depressively systemic hypofibrinolysis that explains the aberrant metabolism, distribution, and excretion of fibrin(ogen) in COVID-19 patients.

Methods

We conducted a systematic review of literature in accordance with the methods recommended in the PRISMA guidelines (**Figure S1**).

Literature search. Two independent investigators searched the potential studies in the NCBI PubMed, EMBASE, Scopus, Web of Science, Google Scholar, and some preprint platforms, including the medRxiv, Preprint, and bioRxiv. The search strategy was (D-dimer OR fibrin OR proteolytic OR fibrinolysis OR coagulation OR thrombin OR platelet OR plasmin OR tPA OR fibrinolytic OR thrombolytic) AND (COVID-19 OR 2019-nCoV OR SARS-nCoV OR Wuhan OR SARS-CoV-2). Sorted COVID-19 and SARS-CoV-2 preprints were screened if available. The hits were limited to publication in year of 2019-2020. Studies published in some high impact journals focusing on the fibrinolysis and coagulation systems were summarized (**Table 1**). Related articles published during the preparation of this manuscript were discussed.

Criteria for study selection and data extraction. All eligible studies meeting the following criteria were included: 1) the species was human, 2) the publications were original clinical investigations, and 3) the results were presented as or could be converted or digitized to mean ± SD (SDM) or percentage. Studies were excluded if they were: 1) reviews or editorial, single case reports, commentaries, or preclinical studies; 2) results that could not be converted or digitized to SDM or percentage, and 3) full articles or clinical data that were not available. Raw data of each sub-group at admission were extracted by ZLS and RZZ. Individual data of case series[2,32], median and interquartile range[1,4-8,13,33-47], and ranges of median or mean[9,48,49] were converted to SDM as described previously[50,51]. Percentages and SDMs were extracted directly from studies if available.

Meta-analysis. To perform meta-analysis with the STATA v.16.1, the studies with two groups or more were pooled to compute weighted mean differences (WMD) and 95% confidence intervals (95% CI)[50]. Publication bias between selected studies was assessed with both the Egger's and Begg's tests using the metabias program. The stability of the results was confirmed by the random-effects trim and fill analysis using the metatrim program.

Meta-regression analysis. The associations between D-dimer and demographic features, comorbidities, laboratory tests, radiographic results, treatments, hospitalization, outcomes, and complications were analyzed. D-dimer was considered as a covariate of other clinical variables. The standard errors of D-dimer were used to indicate the within-study variability, and the random-effect ReML method was applied. If observations were lesser than six, the results of this parameter were removed.

Results

1. General information. Following the PRISMA guideline, we included 42 key studies for meta-analysis and meta-regression (**Figure S1**). The demographic features, D-dimer, ARDS, and mortality, were summarized in **Table 1**. In total, there were 14,862 laboratory-confirmed patients: 31 studies from China (5,961cases), 5 from USA (8,525 cases), 3 from Italy (62 cases), and 1 from Ireland (83 cases), France (150 cases), and Brazil (81 cases), respectively. The age was from 1 to 98-year-old. The races included Asian, African, Caucasian, and mixed with an incidence of ARDS ranged from 0 to 100%, and a fatality ranged from 0 to 95.3%. D-dimer level ranged from 0 to 35.7 μg/mL, and 9 studies reported a normal value (< 0.5 μg/mL).

2. Significant publication bias caused by divergent study designs. COVID-19, as a new emerging infectious disease, the clinical studies had divergent designs. We compared the D-dimer level between relatively mild and severe groups of 23 studies (**Figure 1A**). Only a small increase of D-dimer, 0.97 μg/mL (95% CI 0.65, 1.29) was observed in the relatively severe group with significant publication bias (92.5%), which was corroborated by both the Begg's (P=0.009) and Egger's tests (P<0.001) (**Figure 1B**) and the random-effects filled funnel plot (**Figure 1C**, P<0.001). Similarly, significant variants caused by retrospective studies and case series was observed for both age (**Figure S2**) and mortality (**Figure S3**). Thus, it was considered to be inappropriate to perform meta-analysis without well-designed RCT (randomized controlled trials) studies. Instead, we hypothesized that D-dimer can serve as a critical covariate for clinical features.

3. D-dimer correlated to demographic features. To detect the potential associations of D-dimer with 36 demographic characteristics of COVID-19 patients, we performed meta-regression (**Table S2**). Of these, preexisting medical conditions, including any comorbidity, hypertension, diabetes, chronic lung diseases, and cerebrovascular diseases, were positively associated with D-dimer (P<0.05). Age, gender, blood pressure, and dyspnea/tachypnoea positively correlated with D-dimer (P<0.05, **Table 2**). Moreover, the percentage of female and diastolic pressure was negatively correlated to D-dimer. Subgroup analysis showed a cutoff age was <65 years in the studies with two groups (<65 or ≥65), and further <50 in the studies with four groups (<50, ≥50, <60, ≥60, and ≥70) for a negative coefficient value (P<0.05, **Table S3**).

4. D-dimer is a correlate with laboratory tests but not radiologic readouts. To analyze the correlation of D-dimer with 62 laboratory tests and radiological readouts, meta-regressions were conducted for individual variable and summarized in **Table S4**. There were 32 laboratory tests significantly associated with D-dimer (**Table S4**). In addition, FDP tended to associate with D-dimer levels (P=0.058, N=10). These tests could be roughly grouped as 1) inflammation and tissue injury markers, 2) acute lung injury markers, 3) acute kidney injury markers, 4) acute liver injury markers, and 5) cardiac/skeletal muscle injury markers (**Table 2**).

5. D-dimer is associated with mechanical ventilation. To evaluate the relationship between interventions and D-dimer, 11 therapies were studied in association with the D-dimer level (**Tables S5 and 2**). Interestingly, mechanical ventilation was positively associated with D-dimer (N=71, P<0.001, **Figure 2**). Immune enhancement therapy (P=0.084, N=24) was also found to correlate with D-dimer if additional observations were available to increase sample size.

6. D-dimer serves as an independent risk factor for fatal organ injury and systemic conditions. To examine if D-dimer is an independent risk factor for deadly complications, the dependence of fatal organ injury and systemic disorders was analyzed using the metareg program. The results were summarized in **Tables S6** and **2**. Acute lung (ALI/ARDS), heart, kidney, and liver injuries were significantly associated with D-dimer (P<0.05, **Figure 2**). In addition, four systemic complications, i.e., sepsis, secondary infection, disseminated intravascular coagulation (DIC), and coagulopathy, showed marked associations with D-dimer. Acute brain injury and acidosis showed a tendency to associate with the D-dimer. Together, both acute fatal organ injury and systemic complications could be predicted by D-dimer.

7. D-dimer predicts severity, hospitalization, and outcomes. Given the correlation of D-dimer with the demographic features, abnormal laboratory tests, interventions, and severe complications, we hypothesized that D-dimer is an independent indicator for the progress (CURB 65 score, onset to admission, onset to dyspnea), hospitalization (discharged, time taken to turn SARS-CoV-2 PCR negative), and mortality. We analyzed the correlation of D-dimer and these clinical readouts and summarized in **Tables S7** and **2**. D-dimer was positively associated with the severity of lung injury (CURB 65), the days from the onset to admission, onset to dyspnea, time taken to be PCR negative, and overall mortality (**Figure 2**). In contrast, the discharged rate was negatively related to D-dimer, demonstrating the capability of D-dimer as a prognostic variate for outcomes.

8. Exclusion of age and gender as confounding factors. Age and gender have been identified as preexisting medical conditions associated with COVID-19 resulting in higher mortality[3,34,47]. We eliminated their effects on the association of D-dimer with 61 identified variables in bivariate meta-regression analyses. Age was a significant confounding factor of 27 variables, and 26 variables were still associated with the D-dimer independent on age (**Table S8**). In contrast, 31 variables were disassociated with the D-dimer. By comparison with age, male was a much weaker confounding covariate (**Table S9**). Male was significantly associated with 11 variables and results in the dissociation of 14 variables with D-dimer. The association of 28 and 42 variables with D-dimer was still significant after considering age and male as a

covariate, respectively (**Table 2**). Age-affected 18 variables include comorbidity, hypertension, diabetes, lymphocyte, $CD4^+$ and $CD8^+$ T cells, IL8, TNFα, eGFR, hemoglobin, albumin, CK-MB, onset to admission, onset to PCR negative, discharged, secondary infection, acute kidney injury, and acute liver injury. There were 10 variables confounded by both age and male, including diastolic pressure, chronic lung diseases, WBC, IL2R, IL6, ESR, GGT, CURB 65 score, coagulopathy, and DIC. These four variables were markedly affected by covariate male: platelets, fibrinogen, alkaline phosphatase, and onset to dyspnea. Finally, 22 variables were significantly associated with D-dimer: respiratory rate, systolic pressure, dyspnea, serum K^+, neutrophils, globulin, CRP, ferritin, LDH, PCT, SpO_2, blood glucose, BUN, total bilirubin, ALT, AST, CK, mortality, ventilation, ARDS, sepsis, and acute cardiac injury (**Figure 3**).

Discussion

We aimed to systematically analyze the relationships between circulating D-dimer level in the blood and clinical variables to explore the underlying mechanisms for the dysfunctional fibrinolysis in critically ill COVID-19 patients. A considerable range of plasma D-dimer levels on admission for severely affected patients exists. The directions of dynamically changed FDPs for hospitalized patients are different between discharged and deceased cohorts. As revealed by our meta-regression analysis, plasma D-dimer is associated with comorbidities, demographics, some laboratory tests, radiology, hospitalization, complications, and outcomes. These results suggest that in addition to serving as an independent predictor for fatality, severity, and a feasible marker for daily monitoring thrombolytic therapy, D-dimer is a non-specific biomarker that interacts with other coagulation molecules, inflammatory cytokines, and markers for organ/tissue injury. Of note, the interplay of acute-phase proteins with fibrinogen and D-dimer suggests that infection-induced inflammation (cytokines and chemokines) initiates a "hyperfibrinolysis". This notion is supported by the disassociation of D-dimer with the entire coagulation panel (PT, APTT, factor VIII and XI, TAT, etc.)[52,53]. In general, a relatively hyperfibrinolytic homeostasis maintains vascular patency and normal organ function under physiological conditions (**Figure 3B**). SARS-CoV-2 and co-bacterial infection develops a hypercoagulable state followed by hyperfibrinolysis in COVID-19. If hyperfibrinolysis can counter excessive coagulopathy, then the patients will be protected against thrombosis. Otherwise, insufficient local hyperfibrinolysis in the lung of non-survivors will be exhausted and appears as "systemic shutdown".

1. Clinicopathological mechanisms of fibrinolysis in COVID-19. Soluble fibrinogen is synthesized in the liver (1.7-5g/d) primarily and others, including the bone marrow, brain, lung, and gastrointestinal epithelium (**Figure 4A**). It mainly distributes in the plasma (75%), interstitial fluid (16%), platelets, and lymph[54,55]. IL6 and other proinflammatory cytokines/chemokines, steroids, and miRNAs upregulate the fibrinogen synthesis up to 10-fold during the acute phase of injury and infection. Fibrinogen (2-3%) can be turned over to fibrin monomers by thrombin and cleaved by plasmin, the process termed fibrinogenolysis[54,56]. Fibrinogen degradation products (FgDP) are 2- or 3-fold that of D-dimer but with a shorter half lifetime (2.8 h vs 16 h for D-dimer). Crosslinked fibrin is formed in the presence of FXIIIa. At the endothelial cell surface of injured blood vessels, fibrin(ogen) "glues" the plugs formed by the aggregation of platelets to develop thrombi (clots). Excessive fibrin deposition and inflammation activate endothelial cells to produce tPA and urokinase plasminogen activator (uPA)[54,55]. Either tPA or uPA is capable of cleaving hepatocyte-derived plasminogen to activate plasmin. Plasmin proteolytically cleaves fibrin within the thrombi into FDP and D-dimers, the end products of fibrinolysis. Given the very short half lifetime (in seconds or minutes) of endogenous thrombin, tPA, uPA, and plasmin, and the overwhelming antithrombin, plasminogen activator inhibitor 1 (PAI-1), and plasmin inhibitors with a much longer lifetime in the plasma[57], the primary cleavage of plasminogen and fibrin may predominately take place at the surface of clots. Eventually, FgDP and FDP (D-dimer) will be catabolized in the liver and captured by the reticuloendothelial system and excreted to the bile[54,55]. Another clearance pathway is via the kidney to excreted to urine[54,55]. D-dimer assay has routinely applied for excluding PE, deep venous thromboembolism, and DIC, as well as a marker for monitoring the effects of fibrinolytic/thrombolytic therapy.

Age is associated with an increased D-dimer level in COVID-19 patients at admission as a covariate or independent prognostic marker for the outcomes of COVID-19. The cutoff value of D-dimer (0.5 μg/mL) is age-dependent for healthy cohorts[58,59]. Our subgroup analysis is consistent with the concept that adults older than 50 approach the threshold of the D-dimer levels seen in normalcy (**Table S9**). The difference in D-dimer between men and women is minor in healthy population[59]. The positive association of D-dimer with the percentage of male patients in COVID studies indicates more severe cases in men than women when admitted.

Mechanisms for increased baseline D-dimer at admission: We demonstrate that patients with comorbidity, including total comorbidity, hypertension, diabetes, and chronic respiratory diseases, correlates with D-dimer levels at admission. The association can be explained by the comorbidity-associated elevations in plasmin[60]. Increased D-dimer has been reported in the following conditions[56,59,61]: DIC, VTE, pregnancy, advance age, malignancy, sepsis, burns, trauma, myocardial injury, cerebrovascular event, liver disease, severe renal disease, surgery, ARDS, pancreatitis, diabetes, Alzheimer, disability, and neonatal period. The different time points for admission and D-dimer testing could partially account for the different D-dimer levels between groups and studies. This is in fact the rationale of this work to apply meta-regression analyses. Patients with severe COVID-19 may be those who were ill for a longer period than mild controls. This is supported by the association of the D-dimer level and the days from onset to admission (**Table 2**). Alternatively, the progression of disease may be much quicker in critically ill patients at admission. A significant increase in plasma tPA was reported in ICU patients with elevated D-dimer[62,63]. In contrast, the α2-antiplasmin protein was at the upper limit of normal level[62].

Synthesis, metabolism, distribution, and excretion of fibrin(ogen). Our regression analysis reveals that elevated D-dimer is associated with a broad spectrum of immune responses to SARS-CoV-2 infection, including increased pro-

inflammatory cytokines (IL2R, IL6, IL8, and TNFα), acute phase proteins (CRP/C-reactive protein, fibrinogen, ferritin, and albumin), and inflammation indicators (ESR-erythrocyte sedimentation rate, PCT-procalcitonin, globulin, white blood cells, neutrophil, lymphocyte, and $CD4^+$ & $CD8^+$ T cells). Moreover, the days for reversion of the PCR test to negative is related to the D-dimer level. These correlations support the concept that interactions between high levels of circulating cytokines and hyperfibrinolysis are functionally correlated. The binding of the spike proteins of SARS-CoV-2 to the ACE2 receptor in host respiratory epithelial cells downregulates the protective ACE2/Ang1-7/Mas axis, leading to increased expression of PAI-1[64]. Airway and lung epitheliitis releases proinflammatory cytokines to attract leukocytes from the blood. Moreover, infiltrated immune cells are activated and unleashed to attach normal lung tissues by releasing overwhelming cytokines. These cytokines upregulate positive acute-phase protein (i.e., fibrinogen), TF, and trypsin expression and inhibit negative proteins (i.e., albumin). Trypsin-activated matrix metalloproteinases break down the basolateral membrane and interstitial extracellular matrix. Further, endotheliopathy occurs in infected capillaries to initiate a local hypercoagulable state. The kinin-bradykinin is activated by IL6 to stimulate tPA expression in endothelial cells[64]. Deposition of fibrin (clotting) activates endothelial cells to express more IL8, which suppresses clot lysis time[65]. This, combined with the hypoxia-causing eryptosis, may be the reason for IL8 associating with high mortality in influenza patients. ESR is associated with the severity of COVID-19 patients[66]. In addition to carrying oxygen, erythrocyte-bound streptokinase and tPA break down the clots. Extrathyroidal produced PCT if maintained at an inflated level by cytokines is an indicator of poor outcomes of COVID-19. It has been used as a marker for co-bacterial infection in septic shock and influenza. Neutrophil extracellular traps (NETs) may contribute to organ damage and promote thrombosis and fibrinolysis via elastase, so do lymphocytes/macrophages. Hepatocyte-synthesized globulins and albumin are involved in liver function, coagulation, and antiinflammation. Their reduced levels by vast consumption predict a poor outcome. Albumin acts as anticoagulant and antiplatelets and increases vascular permeability[67], which explains the links between elevated D-dimer and hypoalbuminemia (**Figure 4B**).

Coagulation and fibrinolysis. Our results show a close relation between the plasma D-dimer and thrombocytopenia, hyperfibrinogenemia, and thrombosis. Significant reduction in platelets could be due to 1) vast consumption for adhesion and aggregation to the surface of injured lung capillaries, 2) a decrease in platelet release from fully mature megakaryocytes in the injured lung, and 3) abnormal hematopoiesis of infected bone marrow. Hypercoagulation and abnormal fibrinolysis are inconsistent in COVID-19 patients. There is no correlation between abnormal coagulation variables and thrombosis except elevated D-dimer[53]. The hypercoagulable state is systemic, but the hyperfibrinolysis occurs in the pulmonary capillaries. This is supported by the "fibrinolysis resistance" or "fibrinolysis shutdown" in vitro whole blood clot lysis[22,68,69]. The moderate reduction in circulating plasminogen of ICU COVID-19 patients eliminates the thrombolytic effects of tPA[70], which is supported by thromboelastometry (TEM)[49,71]. The half lifetime of plasminogen is 2.2 days, the fibrinolysis resistance in vitro cannot be explained by the distance to the clots in vivo (about 45 seconds per cycle through the circulation system) and the time between collecting blood to assay. Indeed, sequential TEM tests on days 0, 5, and 10 found no fibrinolytic activity too[71], probably due to extremely elevated PAI-1 and insufficient supply of tPA. Another line of evidence is the dynamic changes in increased TAT (thrombin-antithrombin) and reduced PAP (plasmin-antiplasmin) complexes in non-survivors[72], a sign of hyperactive plasminogen cleavage and resultant hyperfibrinolysis. This is also probably due to the adhesion of plasminogen to the clot at the cell surface and/or unable circulating through the pulmonary capillaries blocked by microthrombi. Thrombin level was significantly reduced in COVID-19 patients associated with increased D-dimer[62]. Of note, spontaneous fibrinolysis was enhanced by high tPA plasma of a subset COVID-19 patients[63].

Cell/tissue injury. Lysis of platelets, erythrocytes, and other cells caused by infection contribute to higher serum K^+ levels and reduced hemoglobin. The tissue damage is supported by the increased LDH level, together with serum K^+ and hemoglobin correlate with D-dimer. A decrease in renal excretion of K^+ salt may worsen the hyperkalemia in COVID-19. Cell death at the clotting site and alveoli increase the release of fibrin(ogen) into the blood and the risk of embolism. Meanwhile, a new reaction surface is exposed for producing D-dimer by plasmin both locally and systemically.

Acute lung injury. D-dimer is associated with acute lung injury/ARDS, including hypoxia (respiratory rate, dyspnea, SpO_2, CURB 65, and onset to dyspnea) and the need for mechanical support. The lung is a key early target organ of SARS-CoV-2 infection and the crucial source of D-dimer. At the early stage before vascular endothelialitis, extravascular fibrin degradation in the alveolar sacs and interstitium could be the major site responsible for the generation of elevated D-dimer levels. uPA in the exudate cleaves plasminogen to generate plasmin, together with elastases released from macrophage and neutrophils to resolve fibrin, but the process is greatly inhibited by locally increased levels of PAI-1 in most forms of ARDS [73-75] and likely in that induced by COVID-19. Both fibrin and FDPs will be retained to the venous system via the lymphatic system (17L/day). The lymphatic retention may take place in other edematous organs until the patients are either recovered or expired[76,77]. This may be the case for patients with elevated D-dimer but not vascular thromboembolism. Disassociations of increased plasma D-dimer with either augmented tPA or PAI-1 in relatively young

COVID-19 patients (age 41.7 ± 14) supports the importance of extravascular fibrinolysis[63]. This is strongly supported by the benefits of inhaled fibrinolytics[78-80], postmortem findings[15-19], and clinical features. Vasculopathy in the pulmonary capillaries further enhances the local hyperfibrinolytic process. Plasma D-dimer and other FDPs rise along with the pulmonary hypercoagulable state and a proinflammatory state. Hypoxia occurs in patients with the DAD lungs and promotes fibrin deposition and degradation. Mechanical ventilation with positive pressure could facilitates the retention of extravascular generated D-dimers.

Acute liver injury. Our results show an association of acute liver injury and elevated D-dimer in the blood. Postmortem biopsies detected congestion, vascular changes, and periportal lymphocyte infiltration and fibrosis[15,17,18]. The D-dimer level is increased in various liver diseases, as the result of the overwhelming deposition of fibrin(ogen) and subsequent inflammation injury in the liver, which leading to suppressed catabolism of D-dimer.

Acute kidney injury. The association of D-dimer and estimated glomerular rate (eGFR) in COVID-19 patients is supported by the clinical practice for adjusting D-dimer cutoff value for patients with renal dysfunction[81]. Reduced eGFR will reduce the renal clearance of D-dimer and result in an elevated plasma D-dimer level[82]. On the other hand, increased blood urea nitrogen (BUN) level (cutoff: >4.6 mmol/L) as well as D-dimer (cutoff: ≥0.845 μg/mL) serves as an independent risk factor for predicting in-hospital mortality[83]. Coronavirus-like particles were detected in damaged kidneys[19,84] and assumably stimulating renal uPA synthesis.

Acute cardiac injury. The heart per se appears not a significant target of SARS-CoV-2 infection, although ACE2 receptors are expressed in myocytes. This could be due to sufficient blood supply to dwindle local inflammation and hypercoagulation effectively. Some patients showed lymphocytic myocarditis and myositis[19,85]. Approximately 20% of postmortem samples had fibrin thrombi and interstitial edema[85]. Thus, hypoxic myocardial diseases, including heart stroke, myocardial infarction caused by infection, resulting in an increment in plasma D-dimer[86]. The acute cardiac injury was detected by elevated CK, CK-MB, and myoglobin. Of note, a 16-year LIPID trial demonstrates that higher D-dimer is an independent predictor of all-cause mortality, cardiovascular mortality, cancer mortality, and other fatal events[87].

Hyperglycemia. Nearly half of COVID-19 patients (47%) had elevated levels of blood glucose at admission[88]. Hyperglycemia is an independent risk factor for progression to critical cases and in-hospital deaths for both mild and severe patients. Intriguingly, stress hyperglycemia is an independent risk factor associated with worse outcomes among critically ill patients[89]. SARS-CoV-2 induced cytokine storm, and the direct infection of the pancreas leads to insulin resistance. Hyperglycemia then results in increased TF, FVII, thrombin and PAI-1 levels, promoting clot formation and restraining fibrinolysis. Glycation and oxidation of these coagulation and fibrinolysis proteins in compact clots develops resistance to plasmin[90].

Systemic complications. Systemic complications, including bacterial co-infection, septic shock, DIC, and thromboembolic events in large blood vessels, have high incidences and poor outcomes in non-survivors. D-dimer is associated with these severe complications in critically ill patients. All of the mechanisms illustrated in **Figure 4B** are involved in the hyperfibrinolysis at the late stage. The effects of tPA delivery are poor for multiorgan failures, as we reviewed below.

A sudden increment in the D-dimer level in ICU patients. A rapid rise in D-dimer level was described in non-survivors, particularly for the last test before death. It can be caused by the rapid exacerbation of septic organ failures, DIC, and secondary infection. Pump head thrombosis in patients treated with ECMO[28], ventilation[14,91], steroids, tocilizumab, and tPA administration leads to a transient augmentation in plasma D-dimer[12].

2. Prognostic relevance. Our results showed a strong positive association of elevated D-dimer on admission and mortality, indicating the prognostic value of an elevated D-dimer for the high risk of death. This is further corroborated by the positive correlation between D-dimer and days from onset to admission, the need for ventilation, and the days taken for PCR test reversion to negative. Another line of supportive evidence is the negative relation of D-dimer and discharged probability. For the first time, our data show that prompt admission and clearance of SARS-CoV-2 virus may alleviate the severity and reduce the fatal events by preventing the hyperfibrinolysis and inflammation. D-dimer, as an independent prognostic marker, is supported by other reviews[92-95] and clinical studies[47,96]. However, given the dynamic feature of plasma D-dimer, the peak D-dimer value improves the prognostic relevance[97-101]. Combination with other clinical parameters of biomarkers, for example, IL6 or chest images, is recommended for the diagnosis and follow-up of PE and VTE because D-dimer could be raised by extravascular fibrinolysis[76].

3. Strengths. For the first time, we have systematically reviewed the clinicopathologic mechanisms of fibrinolysis with elevated D-dimer and its diagnostic and prognostic relevance. Based on the findings, we infer that this test may be tractable for the stratification and interventions of COVID-19 patients. The associations of D-dimer and other clinical variables indicate either a relationship, which may be cause-effect or indirect. The D-dimer-associated variables have been confirmed or could be prognostic biomarkers for developing fatal events and in-hospital mortality. Extremely elevated plasma D-dimer is the consequence of hyperfibrinolysis predominately in the pulmonary capillaries and other organs. A

dynamic increase in the D-dimer level may be associated with fatality and thromboembolism, while we infer that a continuous decline by daily testing will generally lead to recovery.

4. Limitations. Because COVID-19 is a new emerging disease, most of the included studies are descriptive, case series, retrospective, single-center, and observational. Few are randomized trials and perspective. Most of the studies included are from China/Asia, where the pandemic was initiated. Diversity in cohorts exists from children to seniors associated with variant comorbidities and complications. Inconsistent grouping strategies between studies pose a challenge for meta-analysis, for example, ICU vs non-ICU, ARDS vs non-ARDS, control vs COVID-19, survivor vs non-survivor, severe vs non-severe, VTE vs non-VTE, death vs recovered, mild vs moderate, moderate vs severe, normal vs abnormal D-dimer, etc. We cannot exclude the potential pre- and post-test deviations regarding the methodology for D-dimer assays. Meta-regression but not meta-analysis may partially eliminate these deviations.

5. Conclusions. We have comprehensively reviewed the fibrinolytic mechanisms for the most common biomarker D-dimer in severe COVID-19 patients and proposed a new model of insufficient pulmonary hyperfibrinolysis in both extra- and intravascular compartments. SARS-CoV-2 infection-initiated primary hypercoagulation occurs with hyperfibrinolysis in the lung and other end-organs, presenting with D-dimer elevations. Suppression of the excretion and catabolism in the injured liver and kidney contributes to the accumulation of D-dimer in the blood. This process is further enhanced by systemic septic DIC, multiorgan failure, and secondary infection in deceased patients; while the discharged patients show the restore of systemic hyperfibrinolysis over hypercoagulable state, as indicated by normalized plasma D-dimer. Our model thus provides new and comprehensive information on the clinical relationship between aberrant fibrinolysis and D-dimers in patients with COVID-19.

Contributors
HLJ, ZLS, and RZZ were responsible for searching the literature, reviewing extracted data, meta-analysing data, and initiating drafts of this manuscript. HLJ, RZZ, MAM, SI, SLL, GHY, and AK prepared the manuscript and all authors approved submission.

Declaration of interests
None of the authors have any conflict of interest to declare.

Acknowledgments
This study was supported by NIH grants HL87017, HL095435, HL134828, HL154103, HL130402, AI112381, AI150473, and HL14285301.

Figure legend

Figure 1. Random-effects meta-analysis of D-dimer level. **A**. Forest plot. We selected studies that had subgroups, which could be divided into relatively mild (including normal) and severe groups. We pooled weighted mean differences (WMD, black diamond, and gray square) and 95% CI (horizontal lines through the diamonds) of D-dimer from eligible 23 studies. Studies with only one group or moderate group were excluded. The red diamond represents the overall WMD. **B**. Egger's publication bias plot. N=89, P<0.001. **C**. Filled funnel plot. P<0.001. Circle, raw data; square, pseudo data needed for symmetric distribution.

Figure 2. Random-effects meta-regression of D-dimer associated variables.

Figure 3. Schematic summary of D-dimer related variables. **A**. Demography, radiology, laboratory tests, complications, and outcomes sorted by organ functions. **B**. Hemostasis and outcomes of COVID-19 patients.

Figure 4. Clinicopathological mechanisms of hyperfibrinolysis in COVID-19. **A**. Fibrinolysis system with half lifetime for key components. **B**. Potential mechanisms for elevated D-dimer in COVID-19.

Table 1. Demographic features of analyzed 42 studies. *IQR. Mean ± S.D. # normal and D-dimer elevated group. (-) range from minimum to maximum. N/A, not available. AS, Asian. AF, African. CC, Caucasian. MR, mixed race, or others. Normal D-dimer range, < 0.5 μg/ml.

Study	Journal	Region	Cases	Age (year)	Race	Male /%	D-dimer (μg/mL)	Mortality /%
Akalin E, et al[9]	NEJM	USA	36	60 (32 - 77)	AF/CC/MR	26/72	1.02 (0.32 - 5.19)	10/28
Borba MG, et al[102]	JAMA Network	Brazil	81	51.1 ± 13.9	CC/AA/MR	61/75.3	N/A	22/27.2
Chen G, et al[33]	JCI	China	21	56 (50, 65)*	Asian	17/81	0.5 (0.4, 1.8)*	4/19
Chen N, et al[103]	Lancet	China	99	55.5 ± 13.1	Asian	67/68	0.9 (0.5, 2.8)*	11/11
Chen T, et al[34]	BMJ	China	274	62 (44, 70)*	Asian	171/62	1.1 (0.5, 3.2)*	113/41.2
Cui S, et al[104]	J Thromb Haemost	China	81	59.9 ± 14.1	Asian	37/46	3 (0 - 8.2)	8/10
Du R, et al[105]	ERJ	China	179	56.7 ± 13.7	Asian	97/54.2	0.5 (0.3, 1.7)*	21/11.73
Du Y, et al[106]	AJRCCM	China	85	65.8 ± 14.2	Asian	62/72.9	5.16 ± 4.68	81/95.29
Feng Y, et al[35]	AJRCCM	China	476	53 (40, 64)*	Asian	271/56.9	0.58 (0.35, 1.48)*	38/8
Fogarty H, et al[107]	BJH	Ireland	83	62 ± 16.3	CC/AS/AF	55/66.27	0.88 (0.74, 3.46)*	13/15.7
Han H, et al[108]	CCLM	China	94	N/A	Asian	48/51	10.36 ± 25.31	N/A
Helm J, et al[13]	ICM	France	150	63 (53,71)*	CC/AA/MR	122/ 81.3	2.27 (1.16, 20.0)*	13/8.7
Huang C, et al[36]	Lancet	China	41	49 (41, 58)*	Asian	30/73	0.5 (0.3, 1.3)*	6/15
Lu JT, et al[8]	Lancet Infect Dis	China	577	55 (39,66)*	Asian	254/44	0.3 (0.1, 0.7)*	39/6.8
Mo P, et al[37]	CID	China	155	54 (42, 66)*	Asian	86/55.5	0.19 (0.12, 0.36)*	22/14.2
Oxley TJ, et al[32]	NEJM	USA	5	40.4 (33 - 49)	CC	4/80	3.66 (0.05 - 13.8)	0
Panigada M, et al[49]	J Thromb Haemost	Italy	24	56 (23 - 71)	CC	N/A	4.88 (1.2 - 16.95)	N/A
Paranjpe I, et al[38]	medRxiv	USA	2,199	65 (54 - 76)*	CC/AA/AS/MR	1293/58.8	1.31 (0.74, 2.44)*	310/14.10
Qiu H, et al[109]	Lancet Infect Dis	China	36	8.3 ± 3.5	Asian	23/64	0.29 ± 0.2	0
Ranucci M, et al[39]	J Thromb Haemost	Italy	16	61 (55, 65)*	CC	15/93.75	2.5 (1.6, 2.8)*	7/43.7
Rentsch CH, et al[40]	medRxiv	USA	585	66.1 (60.4,71.0)*	CC/AF/MR	558/95.4	N/A	17/2.9
Richard S, et al[7]	JAMA	USA	5,700	63 (52, 75)*	AF/AS/CC/MR	3437/60.3	0.44 (0.26, 0.87)*	553/21
Spiezia L, et al[110]	Thromb Haemost	Italy	22	67 ± 8	CC	20/90.91	5.34 ± 2.10	1/4.55
Tang N, et al[111]	J Thromb Haemost	China	449	65.1 ± 12.0	Asian	268/59.69	1.94 (0.9, 9.44)*	134/29.8
Wang D, et al[6]	JAMA	China	138	56 (22 - 92)	Asian	75/54.3	0.20 (0.12 - 0.40)	6/4.3
Wang L, et al[41]	J Infect	China	339	69 (65 - 76)*	Asian	166/49	1.20 (0.62, 3.25)*	65/19.2
Wang Y, et al[42]	AJRCCM	China	344	64 (52, 72)*	Asian	179/52.0	1.3 (0.5, 5.0)*	133/38.7
Wang YM, et al[5]	Lancet	China	158	66.0 (57, 73)*	Asian	89/56	N/A	22/15
Wang Z, et al[43]	CID	China	69	42 (35, 62)*	Asian	32/46	N/A	5/7.5
Wu C, et al[112]	JAMA Inter Med	China	201	51 (43, 60)*	Asian	128/63.7	0.61 (0.35, 1.28)*	44/21.9
Wu J, et al[44]	CID	China	80	46.1 ± 15.42	Asian	39/48.75	0.9 (0.4, 2.4)*	0
Xie J, et al[3]	Lancet	China	299	62 (50.8, 71)*	Asian	239/53.8	N/A	224/50.5
Xu X, et al[4]	BMJ	China	62	41 (32, 52)*	Asian	36/58	0.2 (0.2, 0.5)*	0
Yang F, et al[45]	I Med Virol	China	52	63 (34 - 98)*	Asian	28 (53.8)	1.7 (0.7, 3.3)*	11/21.2
Yang WJ, et al[113]	J Infect	China	149	45.11 ± 13.35	Asian	81/54.4	0.22 ± 0.28	0
Yang X, et al[114]	Lancet Respir Dis	China	52	59.7 ± 13.3	Asian	35/67	N/A	32/61.54
Yao Y, et al[115]	J Intensive Care	China	63# 185#	63.0 ± 13.4	Asian	135/54.4	0.35 (0.23, 0.42)* 1.69 (0.91, 5.06)*	17/9.2
Zhang JJ, et al[46]	Allergy	China	140	57 (25, 87)*	Asian	71/50.7	0.2 (0.1, 0.5)*	N/A

Zhang L, et al[47]	J Thromb Haemost	China	343	62 (48, 69)*	Asian	169/49.27	0.54 (0.20, 1.41)*	13/3.8
Zhang Y, et al[2]	NEJM	China	3	68 (65 - 70)	Asian	2/66.67	9.02 ± 10.37	N/A
Zhou F, et al[1]	Lancet	China	191	56 (46, 67)*	Asian	119/62	0.8 (0.4, 3.2)*	54/28.3
Zou Y, et al[48]	BioSci Trend	China	303	51 (16 - 88)	Asian	158/52.15	0.45 (0.31, 0.81)*	N/A

Table 2. D-dimer associated clinical variables (58 of 106 in total with a sample size ≥ 5, P < 0.05) identified by univariate-regression. Abbreviations: CI, confidence interval. Obs, the number of groups from the included studies. WBC, white blood cells. CK, creatine kinase. CK-MB, creatine kinase myocardial band. ALT, alanine aminotransferase. AST, aspartate aminotransferase. BUN, blood urine nitrogen. eGFR, estimated glomerular filtration rate. LDH, lactate dehydrogenase. PCT, Procalcitonin. CRP, C-reactive protein. ESR, erythrocyte sedimentation rate. IL2R, interleukin 2 receptor. TNFα, tissue necrosis factor α. CURB 65, CURB-65 Severity Score. ARDS, acute respiratory distress syndrome. TE, thromboembolism. DIC, Disseminated intravascular coagulation. † include the range of both male and female. P < 0.05 after removing the confounding variable age (*) or male (§) using bivariate regression.

Characteristics	**Univariate coeff**	**Obs**	**AR^2**	**P value**	**Manifestations**	**Normal range†**
Age (yr)	0.043 (0.022, 0.063)	80	24.06	< 0.001§	<50	NA
Male (%)	0.047 (0.024, 0.071)	78	22.79	< 0.001*	NA	NA
Female (%)	-0.047 (-0.071, -0.024)	78	22.79	< 0.001*	NA	NA
Preexisting conditions						
Comorbidity (%)	0.020 (0.002, 0.038)	45	14.57	0.030§		NA
Hypertension (%)	0.027 (0.010, 0.043)	60	28.01	0.002§	Comorbidity	NA
Diabetes (%)	0.042 (0.018, 0.066)	61	36.42	0.001§	Comorbidity	NA
Chronic lung diseases (%)	0.104 (0.045, 0.163)	62	20.92	0.001	Comorbidity	NA
Onset to admission (day)	0.179 (0.048, 0.310)	31	31.89	0.009§	Severity	NA
Inflammatory and tissue injury markers						
Platelet ($\times 10^9$/L)	0.014 (0.004, 0.024)	64	18.65	0.009*	Thrombocytopenia	125 - 350
WBC ($\times 10^9$/L)	0.518 (0.387, 0.649)	71	65.26	< 0.001	Leukocytosis	4 - 11
Neutrophil ($\times 10^9$/L)	0.447 (0.307, 0.586)	53	68.98	< 0.001*§	Neutrophilia	1.5 - 8
Lymphocyte ($\times 10^9$/L)	-0.606 (-1.115, -0.096)	70	7.70	0.020§	Lymphopenia	1.0 - 4.8
$CD4^+$ T cell (/μl)	-0.004 (-0.008, -0.001)	21	29.00	0.018§	Inflammation	500 - 1,200
$CD8^+$ T cell (/μl)	-0.008 (-0.012, -0.005)	21	75.33	< 0.001§	Inflammation	200 - 800
IL2R (U/mL)	0.003 (0.000, 0.005)	9	45.90	0.044	Cytokine receptor	100 - 500
IL6 (pg/mL)	0.011(0.001, 0.022)	28	-10.23	0.030	Pro-cytokine	≤ 1.8
IL8 (pg/mL)	0.122 (0.017, 0.227)	9	45.39	0.029§	Chemokine	< 57.8
TNFα (pg/mL)	0.543 (0.002, 1.085)	9	9.65	0.049§	Cytokine	≤ 2.8
Globulin (g/L)	0.167 (0.044, 0.291)	8	83.51	0.016*§	Hypoproteinemia	20 - 35
Fibrinogen (g/L)	1.077 (0.272, 1.882)	27	45.94	0.011*	Hyperfibrinogenemia	2 - 4
Ferritin (μg/L)	0.002 (0.001, 0.003)	23	13.05	0.008*§	Iron transport	30 - 400
CRP (mg/L)	0.013 (0.006, 0.021)	45	48.18	0.001*§	Inflammation	< 8.0
Albumin (g/L)	-0.158 (-0.249, -0.066)	41	29.29	0.001§	Hypoalbuminemia	35 - 55
PCT (ng/mL)	1.137 (0.720, 1.554)	53	50.76	< 0.001*§	Bacterial sepsis	< 0.5
ESR (mm/h)	0.009 (0.001, 0.017)	17	42.93	0.032	Inflammation	1 - 20
LDH (U/L)	0.007 (0.005, 0.009)	51	62.65	< 0.001*§	Tissue damage	140 - 280
Serum K^+ (mmol/L)	2.187 (1.064, 3.309)	16	64.07	0.001*§	Hyperkalemia	3.6 - 5.2
Glucose (mmol/L)	0.978 (0.395, 1.562)	14	61.42	0.003*§	Hyperglycemia	4.4 - 7.2
Hemoglobin (g/L)	-0.157 (-0.246, -0.068)	37	27.70	0.001§	Anemia	121 - 172
Time for PCR-negative (day)	0.035 (0.021, 0.049)	8	100	0.001§	RNAaemia	NA
Acute lung injury markers						
Respiratory rate (/min)	0.749 (0.269, 1.229)	19	-1.43	0.004*§	Lung function	12 - 20
Dyspnea/tachypnoea (%)	0.019 (0.007, 0.030)	45	23.35	0.002*§	Lung injury	NA
SpO2 (%)	-0.183 (-0.303, -0.063)	10	46.77	0.008*§	Hypoxia	95 - 100
Ventilation (%)	0.019 (0.010, 0.028)	71	28.50	< 0.001*§	Lung injury	NA
CURB 65 (lung)	1.945 (1.011, 2.879)	8	87.65	0.002	Lung injury	NA
Onset to dyspnea (day)	0.090 (0.021, 0.158)	11	69.35	0.016*	Lung injury	NA

Acute kidney injury markers						
eGFR (ml/min/1.73m^2)	-0.045 (-0.089, -0.001)	9	37.84	0.047§	Kidney injury	90
BUN (mmol/L)	0.651 (0.493, 0.810)	31	87.22	< 0.001*§	Kidney injury	3 - 7
Acute liver injury biomarkers						
Total bilirubin (μmol/L)	0.245 (0.138, 0.353)	36	50.40	< 0.001*§	Liver injury	5.1 - 17
Alkaline phosphatase (U/L)	0.083 (0.042, 0.125)	5	100.00	0.008*	Liver diseases	20 - 140
γ-glutamyl transpeptidase (GGT) (U/L)	0.193 (0.063, 0.324)	8	73.76	0.011	Liver diseases	9 - 48
ALT (U/L)	0.072 (0.048, 0.097)	62	60.11	< 0.001*§	Liver injury	19 - 33
AST (U/L)	0.052 (0.035, 0.070)	53	56.96	< 0.001*§	Liver injury	7 - 56
Cardiac/skeletal muscle injury markers						
Systolic pressure (mmHg)	0.018 (0.007, 0.029)	21	46.67	0.004*§	Cardiovascular	90 - 120
Diastolic pressure (mmHg)	-0.155 (-0.271, -0.039)	6	89.60	0.021	Cardiovascular	60 - 80
Myoglobin (ng/mL)	0.012 (0.004, 0.019)	11	83.51	0.006§	Rhabdomyolysis	0 - 85
CK (U/L)	0.006 (0.003, 0.009)	51	47.26	< 0.001*§	Muscle injury	22 - 198
CK-MB (U/L)	-0.032 (-0.057, -0.007)	24	45.47	0.014§	Myocardial infarction	5 - 25
Complications						
ARDS (%)	0.033 (0.023, 0.043)	48	56.63	< 0.001*§	Lung injury	NA
Secondary infection (%)	0.018 (0.012, 0.025)	17	85.62	< 0.001§	Systemic	NA
Sepsis (%)	0.029 (0.015, 0.043)	34	36.52	< 0.001*§	Systemic	NA
Acute cardiac injury (%)	0.048 (0.032, 0.065)	42	49.65	< 0.001*§	Heart injury	NA
Thrombosis	0.041 (0.011, 0.071)	17	38.05	0.011	Systemic	NA
Acute kidney injury (%)	0.029 (0.007, 0.052)	28	-1.61	0.014§	Kidney injury	NA
DIC (%)	0.042 (0.001, 0.083)	6	95.63	0.048	Systemic	NA
Acute liver injury (%)	0.055 (0.012, 0.098)	19	38.29	0.015§	Liver injury	NA
Prognosis						
Mortality (%)	0.031 (0.022, 0.040)	72	52.65	< 0.001*§	Death	NA
Discharged (%)	-0.007 (-0.012, -0.002)	45	11.96	0.010§	Survival	NA

Figure 1.


A
Study ID
WMD (95% CI)
% Weight
Han H 2020 17.90 (-2.19, 37.99) 0.03
Chen G 2020 2.30 (-5.62, 10.22) 0.16
Huang C 2020 1.90 (-3.66, 7.46) 0.32
Zhou F 2020 4.60 (0.73, 8.47) 0.64
Wang Y 2020 4.40 (0.65, 8.15) 0.68
Du R 2020 0.60 (-2.60, 3.80) 0.90
Wang L 2020 3.30 (0.47, 6.13) 1.13
Chen T 2020 4.00 (1.31, 6.69) 1.23
Tang N 2020 3.23 (0.76, 5.70) 1.42
Yang F 2020 1.80 (0.11, 3.49) 2.56
Zhang L 2020 4.35 (2.77, 5.93) 2.80
Cui S 2020 4.40 (3.05, 5.75) 3.43
Wu C 2020 0.64 (-0.14, 1.42) 5.71
Feng Y 2020 0.60 (-0.01, 1.21) 6.59
Yao Y 2020 1.34 (0.89, 1.79) 7.41
Zhang JJ 2020 0.20 (-0.22, 0.62) 7.52
Fogarty H 2020 0.20 (-0.15, 0.55) 7.82
Lu JT 2020 0.30 (0.01, 0.59) 8.05
Zou Y 2020 0.61 (0.33, 0.89) 8.09
Wang D 2020 0.24 (-0.04, 0.52) 8.12
Paranjpe I 2020 1.48 (1.26, 1.70) 8.29
Qiu H 2020 0.15 (0.02, 0.28) 8.51
Mo P 2020 0.03 (-0.03, 0.09) 8.60
Overall (I-squared = 92.5%, p = 0.000) 0.97 (0.65, 1.29) 100.00
NOTE: Weights are from random effects analysis
-40 -30 -20 -10 0 10 20 30 40
D-dimer (μg/mL)
B
Egger's publication bias plot
Standardized effect
0 20 40 60 80
0 50 100 150 200
Precision
C
Filled funnel plot with pseudo 95% confidence limits
Theta, filled
-20 10 0 10 20
0 5 10
S.E. of theta, filled

Figure 2. Meta-regression of plasma D-dimer level on admission with clinical variables. Dashed vertical red lines indicate the normal range.



Figure 3. Correlation of D-dimer elevations and aberrant fibrin deposition with organ dysfunction. **A**. D-dimer-associated clinical parameters sorted by the system and clinical relevance. **B**. Outcomes correlate with D-dimer levels based on our hypothesis.



Figure 4. Schematic mechanisms for the dynamic D-dimer level in COVID-19 patients. **A**. Regulation of the fibrinolysis system. The half lifetime for key components is given in followed brackets. **B**. Clinicopathological mechanisms for elevated plasma D-dimer in COVID-19 patients.



Reference

1. Zhou F, Yu T, Du R, et al. Clinical course and risk factors for mortality of adult inpatients with COVID-19 in Wuhan, China: a retrospective cohort study. *Lancet* 2020; **395**(10229): 1054-62.
2. Zhang Y, Xiao M, Zhang S, et al. Coagulopathy and antiphospholipid antibodies in patients with COVID-19. *N Engl J Med* 2020; **382**(17): e38.
3. Xie J, Hungerford D, Chen H, et al. Development and external validation of a prognostic multivariable model on admission for hospitalized patients with COVID-19. *medRxiv* 2020: 2020.03.28.20045997.
4. Xu XW, Wu XX, Jiang XG, et al. Clinical findings in a group of patients infected with the 2019 novel coronavirus (SARS-Cov-2) outside of Wuhan, China: retrospective case series. *BMJ* 2020; **368**: m606.
5. Wang Y, Zhang D, Du G, et al. Remdesivir in adults with severe COVID-19: a randomised, double-blind, placebo-controlled, multicentre trial. *Lancet* 2020; **395**(10236): 1569-78.
6. Wang D, Hu B, Hu C, et al. Clinical characteristics of 138 hospitalized patients with 2019 novel coronavirus-infected pneumonia in Wuhan, China. *JAMA* 2020; **323**(11): 1061-9.
7. Richardson S, Hirsch JS, Narasimhan M, et al. Presenting characteristics, comorbidities, and outcomes among 5700 patients hospitalized with COVID-19 in the New York city area. *JAMA* 2020; **323**(20): 2052-9.
8. Lu J, Hu S, Fan R, et al. ACP risk grade: a simple mortality index for patients with confirmed or suspected severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus 2 disease (COVID-19) during the early stage of outbreak in Wuhan, China. *medRxiv* 2020: 2020.02.20.20025510.
9. Akalin E, Azzi Y, Bartash R, et al. COVID-19 and kidney transplantation. *N Engl J Med* 2020; **382**(25): 2475-7.
10. Bona RD, Valbusa A, Malfa G, et al. Systemic fibrinolysis for acute pulmonary embolism complicating acute respiratory distress syndrome in severe COVID-19: a case series. *Eur Heart J Cardiovasc Pharmacother* 2020: 10.1093/ehjcvp/pvaa087.
11. Ly A, Alessandri C, Skripkina E, et al. Rescue fibrinolysis in suspected massive pulmonary embolism during SARS-CoV-2 pandemic. *Resuscitation* 2020; **152**: 86-8.
12. Chan KH, Slim J, Shaaban HS. Pulmonary embolism and increased levels of D-dimer in patients with coronavirus disease. *Emerg Infect Dis* 2020; **26**(10): 10.3201/eid2610.202127.
13. Helms J, Tacquard C, Severac F, et al. High risk of thrombosis in patients with severe SARS-CoV-2 infection: a multicenter prospective cohort study. *Intensive Care Med* 2020: 10.1007/s00134-020-6062-x.
14. Connors JM, Levy JH. COVID-19 and its implications for thrombosis and anticoagulation. *Blood* 2020; **135**(23): 2033-40.
15. Tian S, Xiong Y, Liu H, et al. Pathological study of the 2019 novel coronavirus disease (COVID-19) through postmortem core biopsies. *Mod Pathol* 2020; **33**(6): 1007-14.
16. Dolhnikoff M, Duarte-Neto AN, de Almeida Monteiro RA, et al. Pathological evidence of pulmonary thrombotic phenomena in severe COVID-19. *J Thromb Haemost* 2020; **18**(6): 1517-9.
17. Schaller T, Hirschbühl K, Burkhardt K, et al. Postmortem examination of patients with COVID-19. *JAMA* 2020; **323**(24): 2518-20.
18. Beigmohammadi MT, Jahanbin B, Safaei M, et al. Pathological findings of postmortem biopsies from lung, heart, and liver of 7 deceased COVID-19 patients. *Int J Surg Pathol* 2020: 10.1177/1066896920935195.
19. Bradley BT, Maioli H, Johnston R, et al. Histopathology and ultrastructural findings of fatal COVID-19 infections in Washington State: a case series. *Lancet* 2020; **396**(10247): 320-32.
20. Al-Ani F, Chehade S, Lazo-Langner A. Thrombosis risk associated with COVID-19 infection. A scoping review. *Thromb Res* 2020; **192**: 152-60.
21. Marchandot B, Sattler L, Jesel L, et al. COVID-19 related coagulopathy: a distinct entity? *J Clin Med* 2020; **9**(6): 1651.
22. Wright FL, Vogler TO, Moore EE, et al. Fibrinolysis shutdown correlation with thromboembolic events in severe COVID-19 infection. *J Am Coll Surg* 2020; **231**(2): 193-203.e1.
23. Wang J, Hajizadeh N, Moore EE, et al. Tissue plasminogen activator (tPA) treatment for COVID-19 associated acute respiratory distress syndrome (ARDS): A case series. *J Thromb Haemost* 2020; **18**(7): 1752-5.
24. Barrett CD, Oren-Grinberg A, Chao E, et al. Rescue therapy for severe COVID-19 associated acute respiratory distress syndrome (ARDS) with tissue plasminogen activator (tPA): a case series. *J Trauma Acute Care Surg* 2020: 10.1097/ta.0000000000002786.
25. Christie DB, 3rd, Nemec HM, Scott AM, et al. Early outcomes with utilization of tissue plasminogen activator in COVID-19 associated respiratory distress: a series of five cases. *J Trauma Acute Care Surg* 2020: 10.1097/ta.0000000000002787.

26. Papamichalis P, Papadogoulas A, Katsiafylloudis P, et al. Combination of thrombolytic and immunosuppressive therapy for coronavirus disease 2019: A case report. *Int J Infect Dis* 2020; **97**: 90-3.
27. Poor HD, Ventetuolo CE, Tolbert T, et al. COVID-19 critical illness pathophysiology driven by diffuse pulmonary thrombi and pulmonary endothelial dysfunction responsive to thrombolysis. *Clin Transl Med* 2020; **10**(2): e44.
28. Arachchillage DJ, Stacey A, Akor F, Scotz M, Laffan M. Thrombolysis restores perfusion in COVID 19 hypoxia. *Br J Haematol* 2020: 10.1111/bjh.17050.
29. Asakura H, Ogawa H. Potential of heparin and nafamostat combination therapy for COVID-19. *J Thromb Haemost* 2020; **18**(6): 1521-2.
30. Doi K, Ikeda M, Hayase N, Moriya K, Morimura N. Nafamostat mesylate treatment in combination with favipiravir for patients critically ill with COVID-19: a case series. *Crit Care* 2020; **24**(1): 392.
31. Thierry AR. Anti-protease treatments targeting plasmin(ogen) and neutrophil elastase may be beneficial in fighting COVID-19. *Physiol Rev* 2020; **100**(4): 1597-8.
32. Oxley TJ, Mocco J, Majidi S, et al. Large-vessel stroke as a presenting feature of COVID-19 in the young. *N Engl J Med* 2020; **382**(20): e60.
33. Chen G, Wu D, Guo W, et al. Clinical and immunological features of severe and moderate coronavirus disease 2019. *J Clin Invest* 2020; **130**(5): 2620-9.
34. Chen T, Wu D, Chen H, et al. Clinical characteristics of 113 deceased patients with coronavirus disease 2019: retrospective study. *Bmj* 2020; **368**: m1091.
35. Feng Y, Ling Y, Bai T, et al. COVID-19 with different severities: a multicenter study of clinical features. *Am J Respir Crit Care Med* 2020; **201**(11): 1380-8.
36. Huang C, Wang Y, Li X, et al. Clinical features of patients infected with 2019 novel coronavirus in Wuhan, China. *Lancet* 2020; **395**(10223): 497-506.
37. Mo P, Xing Y, Xiao Y, et al. Clinical characteristics of refractory COVID-19 pneumonia in Wuhan, China. *Clin Infect Dis* 2020: 10.1093/cid/ciaa270.
38. Paranjpe I, Russak A, De Freitas JK, et al. Clinical characteristics of hospitalized COVID-19 patients in New York city. *medRxiv* 2020: 2020.04.19.20062117.
39. Ranucci M, Ballotta A, Di Dedda U, et al. The procoagulant pattern of patients with COVID-19 acute respiratory distress syndrome. *J Thromb Haemost* 2020; **18**(7): 1747-51.
40. Rentsch CT, Kidwai-Khan F, Tate JP, et al. COVID-19 testing, hospital admission, and intensive care among 2,026,227 United States veterans aged 54-75 years. *medRxiv* 2020: 2020.04.09.20059964.
41. Wang L, He W, Yu X, et al. Coronavirus disease 2019 in elderly patients: characteristics and prognostic factors based on 4-week follow-up. *J Infect* 2020; **80**(6): 639-45.
42. Wang Y, Lu X, Li Y, et al. Clinical course and outcomes of 344 intensive care patients with COVID-19. *Am J Respir Crit Care Med* 2020; **201**(11): 1430-4.
43. Wang Z, Yang B, Li Q, Wen L, Zhang R. Clinical features of 69 cases with coronavirus disease 2019 in Wuhan, China. *Clin Infect Dis* 2020; **71**(15): 769-77.
44. Wu J, Liu J, Zhao X, et al. Clinical characteristics of imported cases of COVID-19 in Jiangsu province: a multicenter descriptive study. *Clin Infect Dis* 2020; **71**(15): 706-12.
45. Yang F, Shi S, Zhu J, Shi J, Dai K, Chen X. Clinical characteristics and outcomes of cancer patients with COVID-19. *J Med Virol* 2020: 10.1002/jmv.25972.
46. Zhang JJ, Dong X, Cao YY, et al. Clinical characteristics of 140 patients infected with SARS-CoV-2 in Wuhan, China. *Allergy* 2020; **75**(7): 1730-41.
47. Zhang L, Yan X, Fan Q, et al. D-dimer levels on admission to predict in-hospital mortality in patients with Covid-19. *J Thromb Haemost* 2020; **18**(6): 1324-9.
48. Zou Y, Guo H, Zhang Y, et al. Analysis of coagulation parameters in patients with COVID-19 in Shanghai, China. *Biosci Trends* 2020: 10.5582/bst.2020.03086.
49. Panigada M, Bottino N, Tagliabue P, et al. Hypercoagulability of COVID-19 patients in intensive care unit. A report of thromboelastography findings and other parameters of hemostasis. *J Thromb Haemost* 2020; **18**(7): 1738-42.
50. Su Z, Zhu L, Wu J, Zhao R, Ji HL. Systematic review and meta-analysis of nasal potential difference in hypoxia-induced lung injury. *Sci Rep* 2016; **6**: 30780.
51. Zhao R, Su Z, Wu J, Ji HL. Serious adverse events of cell therapy for respiratory diseases: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Oncotarget* 2017; **8**(18): 30511-23.
52. Coccheri S. COVID-19: The crucial role of blood coagulation and fibrinolysis. *Intern Emerg Med* 2020: 1-5.

53. Martín-Rojas RM, Pérez-Rus G, Delgado-Pinos VE, et al. COVID-19 coagulopathy: an in-depth analysis of the coagulation system. *Eur J Haematol* 2020: 10.1111/ejh.13501.
54. Weisel JW, Litvinov RI. Fibrin formation, structure and properties. *Subcell Biochem* 2017; **82**: 405-56.
55. Takeda Y. Studies of the metabolism and distribution of fibrinogen in healthy men with autologous 125-I-labeled fibrinogen. *J Clin Invest* 1966; **45**(1): 103-11.
56. Favresse J, Lippi G, Roy PM, et al. D-dimer: preanalytical, analytical, postanalytical variables, and clinical applications. *Crit Rev Clin Lab Sci* 2018; **55**(8): 548-77.
57. Rühl H, Berens C, Winterhagen A, Müller J, Oldenburg J, Pötzsch B. Label-free kinetic studies of hemostasis-related biomarkers including D-dimer using autologous serum transfusion. *PLoS One* 2015; **10**(12): e0145012.
58. Olson JD. D-dimer: an overview of hemostasis and fibrinolysis, assays, and clinical applications. *Adv Clin Chem* 2015; **69**: 1-46.
59. Haase C, Joergensen M, Ellervik C, Joergensen MK, Bathum L. Age- and sex-dependent reference intervals for D-dimer: evidence for a marked increase by age. *Thromb Res* 2013; **132**(6): 676-80.
60. Ji HL, Zhao R, Matalon S, Matthay MA. Elevated plasmin(ogen) as a common risk factor for COVID-19 susceptibility. *Physiol Rev* 2020; **100**(3): 1065-75.
61. Johnson ED, Schell JC, Rodgers GM. The D-dimer assay. *Am J Hematol* 2019; **94**(7): 833-9.
62. Nougier C, Benoit R, Simon M, et al. Hypofibrinolytic state and high thrombin generation may play a major role in sars-cov2 associated thrombosis. *J Thromb Haemost* 2020; **18**: 2215-9.
63. Zuo Y, Warnock M, Harbaugh A, et al. Plasma tissue plasminogen activator and plasminogen activator inhibitor-1 in hospitalized COVID-19 patients. *medRxiv* 2020: 2020.08.29.20184358.
64. Kwaan HC. Coronavirus Disease 2019: The Role of the Fibrinolytic System from Transmission to Organ Injury and Sequelae. *Semin Thromb Hemost* 2020.
65. Bester J, Matshailwe C, Pretorius E. Simultaneous presence of hypercoagulation and increased clot lysis time due to IL-1β, IL-6 and IL-8. *Cytokine* 2018; **110**: 237-42.
66. Lapić I, Rogić D, Plebani M. Erythrocyte sedimentation rate is associated with severe coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19): a pooled analysis. *Clin Chem Lab Med* 2020; **58**(7): 1146-8.
67. Violi F, Ceccarelli G, Cangemi R, et al. Hypoalbuminemia, coagulopathy, and vascular disease in COVID-19. *Circ Res* 2020; **127**(3): 400-1.
68. Semeraro F, Colucci M, Caironi P, et al. Platelet drop and fibrinolytic shutdown in patients with sepsis. *Crit Care Med* 2018; **46**(3): e221-e8.
69. Weiss E, Roux O, Moyer JD, et al. Fibrinolysis resistance: a potential mechanism underlying COVID-19 coagulopathy. *Thromb Haemost* 2020: 10.1055/s-0040-1713637.
70. Henry BM, Benoit SW, Hoehn J, Lippi G, Favaloro EJ, Benoit JL. Circulating Plasminogen Concentration at Admission in Patients with Coronavirus Disease 2019 (COVID-19). *Semin Thromb Hemost* 2020.
71. Pavoni V, Gianesello L, Pazzi M, Stera C, Meconi T, Frigieri FC. Evaluation of coagulation function by rotation thromboelastometry in critically ill patients with severe COVID-19 pneumonia. *J Thromb Thrombolysis* 2020; **50**(2): 281-6.
72. Tang N, Bai H, Xiong D, Sun Z. Specific coagulation markers may provide more therapeutic targets in COVID-19 patients receiving prophylactic anticoagulant. *J Thromb Haemost* 2020: 10.1111/jth.14988.
73. Idell S, James KK, Levin EG, et al. Local abnormalities in coagulation and fibrinolytic pathways predispose to alveolar fibrin deposition in the adult respiratory distress syndrome. *J Clin Invest* 1989; **84**(2): 695-705.
74. Idell S. Coagulation, fibrinolysis, and fibrin deposition in acute lung injury. *Crit Care Med* 2003; **31**(4 Suppl): S213-20.
75. Matthay MA, Idell S. Update on acute lung injury and critical care medicine 2009. *Am J Respir Crit Care Med* 2010; **181**(10): 1027-32.
76. Thachil J. All those D-dimers in COVID-19. *J Thromb Haemost* 2020; **18**(8): 2075-6.
77. Hunt BJ, Levi M. Re The source of elevated plasma D-dimer levels in COVID-19 infection. *Br J Haematol* 2020; **190**(3): e133-e4.
78. Wu Y, Wang T, Guo C, et al. Plasminogen improves lung lesions and hypoxemia in patients with COVID-19. *Qjm* 2020; **113**(8): 539-45.
79. Zhao R, Ali G, Nie HG, et al. Plasmin improves blood-gas barrier function in oedematous lungs by cleaving epithelial sodium channels. *Br J Pharmacol* 2020; **177**(13): 3091-106.
80. Liu C, Ma Y, Su Z, et al. Meta-analysis of preclinical studies of fibrinolytic therapy for acute lung injury. *Front Immunol* 2018; **9**: 1898.

81. Schefold JC, Gerber JL, Angehrn MC, et al. Renal function-adjusted D-dimer levels in critically ill patients with suspected thromboembolism. *Crit Care Med* 2020; **48**(4): e270-e6.
82. Robert-Ebadi H, Bertoletti L, Combescure C, Le Gal G, Bounameaux H, Righini M. Effects of impaired renal function on levels and performance of D-dimer in patients with suspected pulmonary embolism. *Thromb Haemost* 2014; **112**(3): 614-20.
83. Cheng A, Hu L, Wang Y, et al. Diagnostic performance of initial blood urea nitrogen combined with D-dimer levels for predicting in-hospital mortality in COVID-19 patients. *Int J Antimicrob Agents* 2020: 10.1016/j.ijantimicag.2020.106110.
84. Su TH, Kao JH. The clinical manifestations and management of COVID-19-related liver injury. *J Formos Med Assoc* 2020; **119**(6): 1016-8.
85. Nunes Duarte-Neto A, de Almeida Monteiro RA, da Silva LFF, et al. Pulmonary and systemic involvement of COVID-19 assessed by ultrasound-guided minimally invasive autopsy. *Histopathology* 2020: 10.1111/his.14160.
86. Liu Q, Li C. Predictive value of myoglobin and D-dimer on severe heat stroke: a clinical analysis of 38 patients with severe heat stroke. *Chin Crit Care Med* 2019; **31**(5): 594-7.
87. Simes J, Robledo KP, White HD, et al. D-dimer predicts long-term cause-specific mortality, cardiovascular events, and cancer in patients with stable coronary heart disease: LIPID study. *Circulation* 2018; **138**(7): 712-23.
88. Wu J, Huang J, Zhu G, et al. Elevation of blood glucose level predicts worse outcomes in hospitalized patients with COVID-19: a retrospective cohort study. *BMJ Open Diabetes Res Care* 2020; **8**(1): e001476.
89. Lee TF, Drake SM, Roberts GW, et al. Relative hyperglycemia is an independent determinant of in-hospital mortality in patients with critical illness. *Crit Care Med* 2020; **48**(2): e115-e22.
90. Alzahrani SH, Ajjan RA. Coagulation and fibrinolysis in diabetes. *Diab Vasc Dis Res* 2010; **7**(4): 260-73.
91. Li Q, Cao Y, Chen L, et al. Hematological features of persons with COVID-19. *Leukemia* 2020; **34**(8): 2163-72.
92. Shah S, Shah K, Patel SB, et al. Elevated D-dimer levels are associated with increased risk of mortality in COVID-19: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Cardiol Rev* 2020: 10.1097/crd.0000000000000330.
93. Vidali S, Morosetti D, Cossu E, et al. D-dimer as an indicator of prognosis in SARS-CoV-2 infection: a systematic review. *ERJ Open Res* 2020; **6**(2): 00260-2020.
94. Li Q, Chen L, Li Q, et al. Cancer increases risk of in-hospital death from COVID-19 in persons <65 years and those not in complete remission. *Leukemia* 2020: 10.1038/s41375-020-0986-7.
95. Lima WG, Barra A, Brito JCM, Nizer WSC. D-Dimer serum levels as a biomarker associated for the lethality in patients with coronavirus disease 2019: a meta-analysis. *Blood Coagul Fibrinolysis* 2020; **31**(5): 335-8.
96. Zhou F, Yu T, Du R, et al. Clinical course and risk factors for mortality of adult inpatients with COVID-19 in Wuhan, China: a retrospective cohort study. *Lancet* 2020: https://doi.org/10.1016/S0140-6736(20)30566-3.
97. Ye W, Chen G, Li X, et al. Dynamic changes of D-dimer and neutrophil-lymphocyte count ratio as prognostic biomarkers in COVID-19. *Respir Res* 2020; **21**(1): 169.
98. Fu J, Kong J, Wang W, et al. The clinical implication of dynamic neutrophil to lymphocyte ratio and D-dimer in COVID-19: A retrospective study in Suzhou China. *Thromb Res* 2020; **192**: 3-8.
99. Li Y, Zhao K, Wei H, et al. Dynamic relationship between D-dimer and COVID-19 severity. *Br J Haematol* 2020; **190**(1): e24-e7.
100. Wang M, Zhang J, Ye D, et al. Time-dependent changes in the clinical characteristics and prognosis of hospitalized COVID-19 patients in Wuhan, China: A retrospective study. *Clin Chim Acta* 2020; **510**: 220-7.
101. Yu B, Li X, Chen J, et al. Evaluation of variation in D-dimer levels among COVID-19 and bacterial pneumonia: a retrospective analysis. *J Thromb Thrombolysis* 2020: 10.1007/s11239-020-02171-y.
102. Borba MGS, Val FFA, Sampaio VS, et al. Effect of high vs low doses of chloroquine diphosphate as adjunctive therapy for patients hospitalized with severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus 2 (SARS-CoV-2) infection: a randomized clinical trial. *JAMA Netw Open* 2020; **3**(4): e208857.
103. Chen N, Zhou M, Dong X, et al. Epidemiological and clinical characteristics of 99 cases of 2019 novel coronavirus pneumonia in Wuhan, China: a descriptive study. *Lancet* 2020; **395**(10223): 507-13.
104. Cui S, Chen S, Li X, Liu S, Wang F. Prevalence of venous thromboembolism in patients with severe novel coronavirus pneumonia. *J Thromb Haemost* 2020; **18**(6): 1421-4.
105. Du RH, Liang LR, Yang CQ, et al. Predictors of mortality for patients with COVID-19 pneumonia caused by SARS-CoV-2: a prospective cohort study. *Eur Respir J* 2020; **55**(5): 2000524.
106. Du Y, Tu L, Zhu P, et al. Clinical features of 85 fatal cases of COVID-19 from Wuhan. A retrospective observational study. *Am J Respir Crit Care Med* 2020; **201**(11): 1372-9.
107. Fogarty H, Townsend L, Ni Cheallaigh C, et al. COVID19 coagulopathy in Caucasian patients. *Br J Haematol* 2020; **189**(6): 1044-9.

108. Han H, Yang L, Liu R, et al. Prominent changes in blood coagulation of patients with SARS-CoV-2 infection. *Clin Chem Lab Med* 2020; **58**(7): 1116-20.
109. Qiu H, Wu J, Hong L, Luo Y, Song Q, Chen D. Clinical and epidemiological features of 36 children with coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19) in Zhejiang, China: an observational cohort study. *Lancet Infect Dis* 2020; **20**(6): 689-96.
110. Spiezia L, Boscolo A, Poletto F, et al. COVID-19-related severe hypercoagulability in patients admitted to intensive care unit for acute respiratory failure. *Thromb Haemost* 2020; **120**(6): 998-1000.
111. Tang N, Bai H, Chen X, Gong J, Li D, Sun Z. Anticoagulant treatment is associated with decreased mortality in severe coronavirus disease 2019 patients with coagulopathy. *J Thromb Haemost* 2020; **18**(5): 1094-9.
112. Wu F, Zhao S, Yu B, et al. A new coronavirus associated with human respiratory disease in China. *Nature* 2020; **579**(7798): 265-9.
113. Yang W, Cao Q, Qin L, et al. Clinical characteristics and imaging manifestations of the 2019 novel coronavirus disease (COVID-19):A multi-center study in Wenzhou city, Zhejiang, China. *J Infect* 2020; **80**(4): 388-93.
114. Yang X, Yu Y, Xu J, et al. Clinical course and outcomes of critically ill patients with SARS-CoV-2 pneumonia in Wuhan, China: a single-centered, retrospective, observational study. *Lancet Respir Med* 2020; **8**(5): 475-81.
115. Yao Y, Cao J, Wang Q, et al. D-dimer as a biomarker for disease severity and mortality in COVID-19 patients: a case control study. *J Intensive Care* 2020; **8**: 49.