1 TITLE

2 The use of germicidal ultraviolet light, vaporised hydrogen peroxide and dry heat to decontaminate

3 face masks and filtering respirators contaminated with an infectious norovirus

5 RUNNING TITLE

6 Norovirus mask and FFR decontamination

8 AUTHORS

9 Constance Wielick[1,*], Louisa F. Ludwig-Begall[1,*], Lorène Dams[1], Ravo M. Razafimahefa[1], Pierre-

10 Francois Demeuldre[2], Aurore Napp[2], Jan Laperre[3], Eric Haubruge[4], Etienne Thiry[1,#]

12 AUTHOR AFFILIATIONS

13 [1] Veterinary Virology and Animal Viral Diseases, Department of Infectious and Parasitic Diseases,

14 FARAH Research Centre, Faculty of Veterinary Medicine, Liège University, Liège, Belgium

15 [2] Department of Hospital Pharmacy, The University Hospital Center, University of Liège, Liège,

16 Belgium

17 [3] Centexbel Textile Research Centre, Grace-Hollogne, Belgium

18 [4] TERRA Research Centre, Gembloux Agro-Bio Tech, University of Liège, Gembloux, Belgium

20 [*]These authors contributed equally to this work.

21 [#]Corresponding author: etienne.thiry@uliege.be

22 Orcid ID Etienne Thiry: 0000-0002-9585-7933

24 WORD COUNT

25 1645 (excluding Summary and References) +400 words for two figures

Non-standard abbreviations:
FFR: filtering facepiece respirator
SM: surgical mask
MuNoV: murine norovirus
SARS-CoV-2: severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus 2

ABSTRACT

In the context of the SARS-CoV-2 pandemic, reuse of surgical masks and filtering facepiece

respirators has been recommended. Their reuse necessitates procedures to inactivate contaminating

human respiratory and oral pathogens. We previously demonstrated decontamination of masks and

respirators contaminated with an infectious SARS-CoV-2 surrogate via ultraviolet germicidal

irradiation, vaporised hydrogen peroxide, and use of dry heat. Here, we show that these same methods

efficiently inactivate a more resistant, non-enveloped oral virus; decontamination of infectious murine

norovirus-contaminated masks and respirators reduced viral titres by over four orders of magnitude on

mask or respirator coupons.

KEYWORDS

Decontamination (UV; H_2O_2; dry heat); respirator; surgical mask; norovirus

INTRODUCTION

In the context of the ongoing severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus 2 (SARS-CoV-2)

pandemic, the supply of personal protective equipment remains under strain and re-use of surgical face

masks (masks) and filtering facepiece respirators (FFRs) has been recommended [1]. Prior

decontamination is paramount to safe re-use of these items and must guarantee the complete

inactivation of SARS-CoV-2 and that of other contaminating respiratory or oral human pathogens [2].

Human respiratory pathogens include other enveloped corona-, pneumo-, metapneumo-, paramyxo-,

and orthomyxoviruses as well as non-enveloped coxsackie- and rhinoviruses; oral pathogens include

astro-, picorna-, polio-, rota- and noroviruses (all non-enveloped) [3]. Enveloped viruses, surrounded

by an outer lipid layer, are susceptible to harsh environmental conditions and inactivating treatments;

non-enveloped viruses are known to be significantly more resistant. The small, non-enveloped human

noroviruses (genus *Norovirus*, family *Caliciviridae*), recognised as the major global cause of viral

gastroenteritis [4], are notorious for their tenacity in the face of decontamination [5]. The genetically

and structurally similar murine norovirus (MuNoV), which replicates efficiently *in vitro*, has been

identified as an appropriate surrogate virus for modelling human norovirus inactivation [6].

We previously demonstrated efficient decontamination of masks and FFRs contaminated with an

infectious SARS-CoV-2 surrogate virus via ultraviolet germicidal irradiation, vaporised hydrogen

peroxide, and use of dry heat [7]. In the present investigation into decontamination of MuNoV-

inoculated masks and FFRs, we show that these same methods efficiently inactivate a more resistant,

non-enveloped oral virus. All three methods permit demonstration of a loss of viral infectivity by more

than three orders of magnitude in line with the FDA policy regarding face masks and respirators [2].

Inactivation of a norovirus, the most resistant of the respiratory and oral human viruses, can predict the

inactivation of any less resistant viral mask or FFR contaminant.

METHODS

Efficacy of three different decontamination methods in inactivating an infectious norovirus was

assessed using masks and FFRs experimentally inoculated with MuNoV. Per decontamination method

and mask type, one negative control mask or FFR (uncontaminated but treated), three treated masks or

FFRs (MuNoV-contaminated and treated), and three positive controls (MuNoV-contaminated but

untreated) were utilised. The workflow followed previously described protocols for mask and FFR

inoculation, decontamination and virus elution [7].

Virus and cells

The murine macrophage cell line RAW264.7 (ATCC TIB-71) was maintained in Dulbecco's modified

Eagle's medium (Invitrogen) containing 10% heat inactivated foetal calf serum (FCS) (BioWhittaker),

2% of an association of penicillin (5000 SI units/ml) and streptomycin (5 mg/ml) (PS, Invitrogen) and

1% 1 M HEPES buffer (pH 7.6) (Invitrogen) at 37 °C with 5% CO_2.

Stocks of MuNoV isolate MNV-1.CW1 were produced by infection of RAW264.7 cells at a

multiplicity of infection of 0.05. Two days post-infection, cells and supernatant were harvested and

clarified by centrifugation for 20 minutes at 1000 x g after three freeze/thaw cycles (– 80°C/37°C).

Titres were determined via the tissue culture infective dose ($TCID_{50}$) method; RAW 264.7 cells were

seeded in 96-well plates, infected with 10-fold serial dilutions of MuNoV, incubated for three days at

37 °C with 5% CO_2, and finally stained with 0.2% crystal violet for 30 minutes. Titres, expressed as

$TCID_{50}$/ml, were calculated according to the Reed and Muench transformation [8]. A virus stock with

a titre of $10^{7.06}$ $TCID_{50}$/ml was used in subsequent steps.

Surgical masks and filtering facepiece respirators

All masks and FFRs, verified to be from the same respective manufacturing lot, were supplied by the

Department of the Hospital Pharmacy, University Hospital Centre of Liege (Sart-Tilman).

Manufacturers (and models): KN95 FFR - Guangzhou Sunjoy Auto Supplies CO. LTD, Guangdong,

China (2020 N°26202002240270); surgical mask (Type II) - Hangzhou Sunten Textile Co., LTD,

Hangzhou, China (SuninCare™, Protect Plus).

Murine norovirus inoculation of surgical masks and filtering facepiece respirators,

decontamination, elution and quantification

Per treated or control mask or FFR, 100 µl of undiluted viral suspension were injected under the first

outer layer at the centre of each of three square coupons (34 mm x 34 mm). In addition to inoculation

of the *de facto* masks or FFRs, 100 µl of viral suspension was pipetted onto one elastic strap per

contaminated mask or FFR. Masks and FFRs were allowed to dry for 20 minutes at room temperature

before decontamination via UV irradiation, vaporised H_2O_2, and dry heat.

Masks and FFRs were individually UV-irradiated for 2 minutes (2.6J/cm² fluence per mask), using a

LS-AT-M1 (LASEA Company, Sart Tilman, Belgium). Vaporous hydrogen peroxide (VHP) treatment

was performed with the V-PRO Max Sterilizer (Steris, Mentor, OH) which uses 59% liquid H_2O_2 to

generate hydrogen peroxide vapor. A 28-minute non lumen cycle was used, consisting of 2 min 40 sec

conditioning (5 g/min), 19 min 47 sec decontamination (2.2 g/min) and 7 min 46 sec aeration (750

ppm peak VHP concentration). Dry heat decontamination was performed at temperatures of 102°C ($\pm$

4°C) for 60 min ($\pm$ 15 min) in an electrically heated vessel (M-Steryl, AMB Ecosteryl Company,

Mons, Belgium).

Upon completion of the decontamination protocols, MuNoV was eluted from three excised coupons

and one severed elastic strap per mask or FFR into 4 mL elution medium (Eagle's DMEM (Sigma))

supplemented with 2 % of an association of penicillin (5000 SI units/mL) and streptomycin (5 mg/mL)

(PS, Sigma), 20% FCS and 0.1% β-mercaptoethanol) via 1 minute (coupons) or 20 minute vortex

(straps) at maximum speed (2500 rounds per minute; VWR VX-2500 Multi-Tube Vortexer).

Titres of infectious MuNoV recovered from individual coupons and straps were determined via

TCID$_{50}$ assay. Back titrations of inoculum stocks were performed in parallel to each series of

decontamination experiments.

Data analysis and statistics

Statistical analyses of differences in infectious viral titres were performed using GraphPad Prism 7
(Graph-Pad Software) and P-values were computed by using a two-sided independent sample t-test,
where ****$P<0.0001$, ***$P<0.001$, **$P<0.01$, *$P<0.05$, and ns is $P \geq 0.05$.

RESULTS
Back titrations of virus inoculums performed in parallel to each series of experiments confirmed
MuNoV inoculum titres to be within a range of 3.55×10^7 to 6.31×10^7 TCID$_{50}$/mL for all experiments.

The cell culture limit of detection (LOD) was 0.8 log10 TCID$_{50}$/ml ($\leq 6.31 \times 10^0$ TCID$_{50}$/mL) for all
analyses except those concerning H$_2$O$_2$-treated mask- or FFR straps (2.8 log10 TCID$_{50}$/ml (6.31×10^2
TCID$_{50}$/mL)) and UV-treated FFR straps (1.8 log10 TCID$_{50}$/ml (6.31×10^1 TCID$_{50}$/mL)).

High levels of infectious virus were recovered from MuNoV-inoculated, untreated coupons of all
masks and FFRs, with mean overall recovery values of 1.98×10^5 (± 3.03) TCID$_{50}$/mL. Mean strap
recovery values were similar between experiments, however they were lower by up to two orders of
magnitude than coupon recovery values (notable exception: elution from untreated masks), with mean
values of 4.90×10^4 (± 7.47) TCID$_{50}$/mL (Figure 1).

Following mask UV irradiation and dry heat treatment, titres for virus recovered from coupons
remained below the assay LOD, showing total loss of infectivity of over four orders of magnitude
(3.19×10^4 (± 1.57) TCID$_{50}$/mL and 8.68×10^4 (± 5.41) TCID$_{50}$/mL, respectively), while titres of virus
recovered from H$_2$O$_2$- vaporised coupons indicated a loss of infectivity of five orders of magnitude
(5.15×10^5 (± 3.94) TCID$_{50}$/mL). Titres of virus recovered from treated mask straps were reduced by
three orders of magnitude post UV irradiation (3.12×10^3 (± 2.77) TCID$_{50}$/mL) and by five orders of
magnitude post heat- and H$_2$O$_2$-treatments (1.25×10^5 (± 0.69) TCID$_{50}$/mL (below LOD), and 1.44×10^5
(± 0.94) TCID$_{50}$/mL, respectively).

Decontamination followed a similar pattern of viral inactivation for UV- and dry heat-treated FFR
coupons, reducing viral titres by over four orders of magnitude (7.55×10^4 (± 3.91) TCID$_{50}$/mL and
3.93×10^4 (± 2.04) TCID$_{50}$/mL, respectively). Following vaporised H$_2$O$_2$ treatment, titres for virus
recovered from coupons showed a loss of infectivity of five orders of magnitude (4.40×10^5 (± 4.20)
TCID$_{50}$/mL). UV-, H$_2$O$_2$- and heat-treatment of FFR straps reduced infectivity by three orders of
magnitude (2.45×10^3 (± 0.90) TCID$_{50}$/mL, from 2.51×10^3 (± 0.90) TCID$_{50}$/mL to below the LOD,
7.41×10^3 (± 11.14) TCID$_{50}$/mL and 8.64×10^3 (± 19.35) TCID$_{50}$/mL, respectively).

CONCLUSIONS

This is, to our knowledge, the first description of stable disinfection of surgical masks and FFRs
contaminated with an infectious norovirus using UV irradiation, vaporised H_2O_2, and dry heat
treatment.

Here we demonstrate successful recovery of high quantities of infectious MuNoV from inoculated,
otherwise untreated masks and FFR coupons. Three decontamination methods, chemical vaporised
H_2O_2 and physical inactivation via UV irradiation and dry heat treatment, successfully reduced
infectious loads of MuNoV inoculated under the outer surface layer of mask and FFR coupons by
more than four orders of magnitude. Since carrier surfaces likely influence decontamination efficacy,
we examined viral inactivation not only on the *de facto* FFRs or masks, but also on their elastic straps
that may become equally contaminated. We compared titres of infectious virus recovered from
inoculated, untreated mask or FFR straps and those inoculated and subsequently decontaminated.
While all three decontamination methods were successfully validated as they reduced viral loads by at
least more than three orders of magnitude, the elevated LOD of UV-treated FFR straps and H_2O_2-
vaporised mask- and FFR straps prevented detection of higher infectivity losses. Further studies are
planned to elucidate these effects, which may potentially be associated either to inherent virucidal
properties of or poor elution from the elastic materials.

In conclusion, we describe successful validation of three decontamination methods, UV irradiation,
vaporised H_2O_2, and dry heat treatment, in inactivating an infectious non-enveloped virus in line with
the FDA policy regarding face masks and FFRs. The MuNoV surrogate supplements existing data
regarding decontamination of surgical masks and FFRs, and both it and the different decontamination
methods tested, are easily adaptable to other FFR and mask types, presenting a useful conservative
model for stable validation of non-enveloped virus decontamination.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

The authors express their sincere gratitude to Amélie Matton and Frédéric de Meulemeester (AMB
Ecosteryl, Mons, Belgium), Axel Kupisiewicz (LASEA, Sart-Tilman, Belgium), Pierre Leonard
(Solwalfin, Belgium) for suggestions and technical and administrative support.

CONFLICTS OF INTEREST STATEMENT

The authors have no conflicts of interest to disclose.

FUNDING SOURCE

This work was supported by grants from the Walloon Region, Belgium (Project 2010053 -2020-

"MASK - Decontamination and reuse of surgical masks and filtering facepiece respirators") and the

ULiège Fonds Spéciaux pour la Recherche 2020.

REFERENCES

[1]   World Health Organization (WHO). Rational use of personal protective equipment for
203   coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19). Who 2020;2019:1–7.
204   [2]   Center for Devices and Radiological Health. Enforcement Policy for Face Masks and
205   Respirators During the Coronavirus Disease (COVID-19) Public Health Emergency (Revised)
206   Guidance for Industry and Food and Drug Administration Staff 2020.
207   [3]   Kramer A, Schwebke I, Kampf G. How long do nosocomial pathogens persist on inanimate
208   surfaces? A systematic review. BMC Infect Dis 2006;6:1–8. https://doi.org/10.1186/1471-
209   2334-6-130.
210   [4]   Robilotti E, Deresinski S, Pinsky BA. Norovirus. Clin Microbiol Rev 2015;28:134–64.
211   https://doi.org/10.1128/CMR.00075-14.
212   [5]   Zonta W, Mauroy A, Farnir F, Thiry E. Comparative Virucidal Efficacy of Seven Disinfectants
213   Against Murine Norovirus and Feline Calicivirus, Surrogates of Human Norovirus. Food
214   Environ Virol 2015. https://doi.org/10.1007/s12560-015-9216-2.
215   [6]   Nims RW, Zhou SS. Intra-family differences in efficacy of inactivation of small, non-
216   enveloped viruses. Biologicals 2016;44:456–62.
217   https://doi.org/10.1016/j.biologicals.2016.05.005.
218   [7]   Ludwig-Begall LF, Wielick C, Dams L, Nauwynck H, Demeuldre P-F, Napp A, et al. The use
219   of germicidal ultraviolet light, vaporised hydrogen peroxide and dry heat todecontaminate face
220   masks and filtering respirators contaminated with a SARS-CoV-2 surrogate virus. J Hosp
221   Infect 2020. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jhin.2020.08.025.
222   [8]   Reed, L.J.; Muench H. A simple method of estimating fifty percent endpoints. Am J Hyg
223   1938;27.

FIGURES

Figure 1

Figure 2

Figure 1. Recovery of MuNoV after elution from inoculated, untreated surgical masks and filtering
facepiece respirators. Recovery of infectious murine norovirus (MuNoV) from inoculated untreated
surgical masks (SM) and filtering facepiece respirators (FFR) was analysed in RAW 264.7 cells. The
cell culture limit of detection (LOD) was 0.8 $\log_{10}$ TCID$_{50}$/mL (6.31×10^0). Similar levels of virus
recovery were detected for left, right and middle (L, R, M) coupons of masks and respirators; recovery
efficacy of infectious virus from straps (S) deviated significantly in all analyses from the mean of all
coupons (with the exception of extraction from SM straps in the H_2O_2 assay). P-values were computed
by using a two-sided independent sample t-test to calculate differences between individual coupon
values and differences between mean values of all coupons and straps, where ****P<0.0001,
***P<0.001, **P<0.01, *P<0.05, and ns is P≥0.05.

Figure 2. Effect of three decontaminating treatments on MuNoV-inoculated surgical mask- and
filtering facepiece respirator coupons and straps. The infectivity of murine norovirus (MuNoV)
recovered from surgical masks (SM) and filtering facepiece respirators (FFR) decontaminated via
exposure to ultraviolet light (UV), vaporised hydrogen peroxide (H_2O_2), or dry heat treatment was
analysed in RAW 264.7 cells. The cell culture limit of detection (LOD) was 0.8 $\log_{10}$ TCID$_{50}$/ml for
all analyses except those concerning H_2O_2-treated SM or FFR straps (1.8 and 2.8 $\log_{10}$ TCID$_{50}$/ml,
respectively) and UV-treated FFR straps (1.8 $\log_{10}$ TCID$_{50}$/ml). Per decontamination method, nine
MuNoV-inoculated, decontaminated coupons (n=9) and three inoculated, decontaminated straps (n=3)
were analysed in parallel to inoculated, untreated, positive control coupons (n=9) and straps (n=3). P-
values were computed by using a two-sided independent sample t-test, where ****P<0.0001,
***P<0.001, **P<0.01, *P<0.05, and ns is P≥0.05.