Effect of Renin-Angiotensin-Aldosterone System inhibitors on outcomes of COVID-19 patients with hypertension: Systematic review and Meta-analysis

Tamirat Bekele Beressa¹, Tamiru Sahilu², Serawit Deyno³,⁴

¹Department of Pharmacy, College of Medicine and Health Sciences, Ambo University, P.O. Box 19, Ambo; Ethiopia
²College of Health Science, Assosa University, P.O. Box 18 (Assosa University (ASU)); Assosa, Ethiopia
³Pharm-Biotechnology and Traditional Medicine Center of Excellence, Mbarara University of Science and Technology, Mbarara, Uganda
⁴School of Pharmacy, Faculty of Medicine, Hawassa University, P. O. Box 1560, Hawassa; Ethiopia

Email address: TBB tamiratbekele12@gmail.com, TS tamepfsa@gmail.com; SD dserawit@std.must.ac.ug

Corresponding Author:

Department of Pharmacy, College of Medicine and Health Sciences, Ambo University, P.O. Box 19, Ambo; Ethiopia
E-mail: tamiratbekele12@gmail.com; tbekele@std.must.ac.ug
Tel: +256 753 415 022/+251966736947

Abstract

Objective: This research aimed to systematically review and summarize the influence of Renin-Angiotensin-Aldosterone System (RAAS) inhibitors on the outcome of COVID_19 patients with hypertension.

Methods: Electronic databases; PubMed/Medline, CINAHL, the Cochrane Central Register of Controlled Trials, clinical trial.gov, and Google Scholar were searched from 2019 to June 1, 2020. Additionally, the references of identified articles were also searched.

Results: A total of 9 articles comprising 3,823 patients were incorporated; 1416 patients on RAAS inhibitors and 3469 on non-RAAS inhibitors. The study demonstrated that the taking of RAAS inhibitors in COVID_19 patients with hypertension significantly reduced mortality where patients on RAAS inhibitors had a 27% decrease of mortality (RR= 0.73 [95% CI: 0.63- 0.85, p<0.0001, I²=0%, random-effects model]) compared to those not taking ACEI/ARB. No significant association were observed in disease severity (RR= 0.92 (95% CI: 0.74 - 1.14) and hospitalization (WMD= -2.33[95% CI: -5.60, 0.75]), random-effects model.

Conclusion: This study supports RAAS inhibitors’ safe use among COVID_19 patients with hypertension.
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Background

Corona viral diseases 2019 (COVID_19) is a pandemic disease originated from Wuhan city of, China from December 2019. The pandemic has continued to debilitate global health and the economy. As of June 7, 2020; 7,005,822 cases of COVID_19 were reported, including 402,678 deaths worldwide. Severe COVID_19 illnesses have consistently been observed in patients with Comorbid illnesses such as cardiovascular and diabetes mellitus. According to the report of the Chinese CDC as of February 11, 2020, 10.5% of deaths occurred in COVID_19 patients with cardiovascular diseases and, 6% of them were patients with hypertension.

Most patients with cardiovascular disease use RAAS inhibitors; commonly angiotensin-converting enzyme inhibitor (ACEI) or angiotensin receptor blocker (ARB). They are a drug of choice for heart failure, hypertension, chronic kidney disease and, myocardial infraction. Besides inhibition of the formation of angiotensin II; RAAS inhibitors increase the expression of angiotensin-converting enzyme 2 (ACE2); a membrane-bound aminopeptidase that is expressed abundantly in the lungs and the heart. ACE2 plays an important role in the breakdown of angiotensin II (a potent vasoconstrictor) to angiotensin (1-7) (a vasodilator) which helpful in cardiovascular patients.

Researches reported that severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus 2 (SARS-CoV-2) attacks the humans cells through ACE2, and reduces ACE2 expression, resulting imbalance of ACE/Ang II/AT1R axis and ACE2/Ang (1-7)/Mas receptor. Therefore; there are concerns about the use of RAAS inhibitors as a result of an increase in expression of ACE2; a target molecule for SARS CoV-2. This led to the hypothesis that the use of RAAS inhibitors might be harmful in patient with COVID_19 especially in patients with comorbidity like...
hypertension. There are retrospective studies conducted to test this hypothesis and one meta-analysis (preprint) summarized the studies in all patients taking RAAS inhibitors \(^{16}\). The meta-analysis included 1842 COVID_19 patients and exhibited a significant 43% decrease in mortality on the side of patients taking ACEI/ARB \(^{16}\). After the meta-analysis several studies were conducted which requires updating the evidence and also there is a need for evidence on hypertensive patients. This study aimed to systematically synthesis the evidence on the effect of RAAS inhibitors on the outcome of COVID_19 patients with hypertension.

**Methods**

**Study design**

This is a systematic review and meta-analysis conducted using electronic database searches. The review conducted according to the preferred reporting items for Systematic Review and Meta-Analysis Protocol (PRISMA) \(^{17}\). The protocol was registered in the (Prospero) International Prospective Register of a systematic review with registration number CDR42020186477.

**Search strategy**

The databases such as PubMed, the Cochrane Central Register of controlled trials, clinical trial.gov, CINAHIL, and Google scholar were searched from 2019 to June 1, 2020. Additionally, the references of identified articles were also searched. No language limitation was employed. Flow diagram was used to summarize the number of studies identified, screened, excluded, and finally included in the study. Search terms for PubMed were attached under the supplementary document (Appendix 1).
Study selection

Two reviewers (TB and TS) independently carried out searching for literature and identified relevant studies and sequentially screened their titles and abstracts for eligibility. The full texts of eligible studies were retrieved. Differences in the inclusion of articles were fixed on discussion with the third author (SD). A screening guide was used to ensure that all review authors applied the selection criteria.

Inclusion criteria

Randomized controlled trials (RCTs) including cluster RCTs, observational studies, and prospective, retrospective comparative cohort studies and case-control studies were considered to be included in the systematic review and meta-analysis. The review considered all patients who were taking ACEI or ARBs alone or in combination for hypertension with COVID-19 patients.

Exclusion criteria

In vitro studies, studies not related to ARB or ACEI for COVID-19 patients with hypertension, studies without extractable data, outcome (death), or severity or hospitalization not clearly reported were excluded from the review.

Methodological quality assessment

Selected papers were evaluated by two reviewers (TB & TS) for methodological validity before inclusion in the review with the Newcastle-Ottawa quality assessment score (NOS) method. Any differences that arose from the reviewers were fixed through discussion, with a third reviewer (SD).
Data extraction

Two reviewers (TB and TS) extracted data from the studies using a pre-designed format. Data extracted include first author, the region of study, included population, study design, number of study participants, comparator group, patient status, severity, age (mean, median), gender, interventions, and patients outcomes (number of cases).

Data analysis and statistical methods

Data analysis was performed by RevMan 5.4 (Copenhagen: the Cochrane Collaboration, 2020) and Stata version 13 (StataCorp, 2013). Risk ratios were used for comparison and reported as 95% confidence interval. P-value ≤ 0.05 was considered statistically significant. The Q-statistic test was used to assess the heterogeneity of the included study and I^2 statistic was used to indicate the percentage of variation in the studies as a result of heterogeneity instead of chance.

Results

A total of 608 articles were identified through searching of electronic databases, out of this 554 remained after removing duplications. After screening in title and abstract 112 articles remained; of which 105 articles (review articles and letters to editors) were excluded. Finally, 9 articles were included for meta-analysis (Fig 1). A total number of 3,823 patients were included; 1416 patients on RAAS inhibitors and 3469 on non-RAAS inhibitors (Fig 2). Newcastle-Ottawa Scale methodological quality assessment gave the quality of the included studies in good arrangement (Appendix 2).
All included studies were retrospective, and six were conducted in China, one in USA and one in UK, (table 1).
Table 1: Characteristics of included studies

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Study</th>
<th>Study type</th>
<th>Sample size</th>
<th>Type of RAAS inhibitor used</th>
<th>Mean age in years</th>
<th>Country</th>
<th>Follow up period</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Andrew et al, 2020</td>
<td>Retrospective study</td>
<td>3017</td>
<td>ACEI/ARB</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>USA</td>
<td>NA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bean et al, 2020</td>
<td>Retrospective study</td>
<td>1200</td>
<td>ACEI/ARB</td>
<td>67.96</td>
<td>UK</td>
<td>from 1st March to 13th April 2020</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gao et al, 2020</td>
<td>Retrospective observational study</td>
<td>2877</td>
<td>ACEI/ARB</td>
<td>64.24 years for hypertension arm</td>
<td>Wuhan, China</td>
<td>up to 1 April (median 12–32) days</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Li et al, 2020</td>
<td>Retrospective study</td>
<td>1178</td>
<td>ACEI/ARB</td>
<td>55.5 years</td>
<td>China</td>
<td>January 15 to March 15, 2020</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Liu et al, 2020</td>
<td>Retrospective study</td>
<td>78</td>
<td>CCB, ARB, ACEI, Thiazide or BB</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>China</td>
<td>Jan 12 to Feb 9, 2020</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Meng et al, 2020</td>
<td>Retrospective study</td>
<td>417</td>
<td>ACEI/ARB</td>
<td>64.5 years</td>
<td>China</td>
<td>11 January to 23 February 2020</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Effect of RAASI on disease severity

Nine studies reported the severity data of the hypertensive COVID-19 patients. No significant association was observed with RAAS inhibitors on severity; RR=0.92 (95% CI: 0.74-1.14), random effect model. Significant heterogeneity was observed among the studies ($I^2 = 64\%$, $P=0.005$) Fig.2.

![Figure 2](https://example.com/figure2.png)

Figure 2: Forest plot for severity of patients taking RAAS inhibitors compared to those not taking RAAS inhibitors.
Effect of RAAS inhibitors on mortality of patients with COVID_19 and hypertension

Seven studies reported the mortality data of the hypertensive COVID_19 patients. No heterogeneity was observed among the 7 studies ($I^2 = 0\%, P=0.59$). The pooled mortality was RR= 0.73 (95% CI: 0.63- 0.85), fixed effect model Fig. 3.

![Forest plot for mortality of patients taking RAAS inhibitors compared to not taking RAAS inhibitors](https://example.com/forest_plot)

Figure 3: Forest plot for mortality of patients taking RAAS inhibitors compared to not taking RAAS inhibitors

The use of RAAS inhibitor did not have association with long term hospitalization as compared with non RAAS inhibitor users (weighed mean difference -2.33[95% CI: -5.60, 0.75], fixed effect model. No heterogeneity was observed ($I^2=0\%, P=0.65$), Fig 4.

![Effect of RAAS inhibitor on the time of hospitalization](https://example.com/hospitalization_plot)

Figure 4: Effect of RAAS inhibitor on the time of hospitalization

Assessment of bias

The funnel plot was used to assess the publication bias. Funnel plot indicates the existence of small study effects. We performed the regression–based on Egger’s test. The funnel plot was symmetrical in shape for severity and mortality (Fig 5 and 6), indicating no publication bias.
Regression-based Egger’s test indicates that there were no small-study effects on mortality (P=0.122) and severe events (p = 0.686).

Figure 5: Funnel plot for the severity of diseases

Figure 6: Funnel plot for mortality
Discussion

Concern over the safety of RAAS inhibitors use in patients contracted COVID_19 originated since SARS-CoV-2 uses ACE2 receptor to enter the target human cell. Animal studies also showed an increase in RAAS inhibitor expression of ACE2 which could speed up the spread of the virus in human cells, predispose for hospitalization, increase and, mortality. This was further strengthened by the report that patients with hypertension taking ACEI/ARB have increased risk of developing severe pneumonia \( P = 0.064 \). Dooley et al. also reported that RAAS inhibitors increased the risk of symptomatic infection with COVID_19 approximately by two-fold in community populations. However, other studies reported that the use of ACEI/ARB did not show association with the outcome of COVID-19 patients.

This study, however, was in contrast to the original hypothesis demonstrating the safe usage of RAAS inhibitors in hypertensive COVID_19 patients; in this, it was associated with a significant reduction in mortality. The hypertensive patients with COVID_19 who were on ACE/ARB had a 27% reduction in risk of mortality compared to that not on ACEI/ARB. This finding is helpful and should be considered in clinical decision making. Two large sample size studies, and, reported a reduction in mortality with patients on ARBs/ACEIs compared to those on non-ARBs/ACEIs medications. A study compared the use of other antihypertensive drugs with ACEI/ARB revealed that ACEI/ARB significantly reduced mortality in COVID_19 patients with hypertension. RAAS inhibitors thought to up-regulate the level of ACE2 which may protect against organ damage by inhibiting the production of angiotensin II. Moreover, the study showed that patients with COVID_19 appeared to exhibit RAAS activation, increased viral load levels, and lung injury. However, all five small sample studies did not show any association with mortality. Emerging data suggested that patients with hypertension and diagnosed with
COVID-19 are at an increased risk of death. These patients have often prescribed RAAS inhibitors, including ACE inhibitors and ARBs. The finding of this study indicated the beneficial effect of RAAS inhibitors use over not using them and correlated well with the recommendation of the International Society of Hypertension.

This study showed no significant association in both severities of the disease and hospitalization. Previously reported studies revealed the direct anti-inflammatory effect of RAAS inhibitors which could help in the prevention of cardiovascular complications. RAAS inhibitors reduce pro-inflammatory cytokines, chemokine’s; reduce pro-inflammatory effect leukocyte, and endothelial cells. This could justify the current findings and lower number of critical patients in hypertension patients with COVID-19 on RAAS inhibitors arm. However, fewer study availability and retrospective nature of the included studies warrants further randomized controlled studies and observational studies.

Conclusion

This study supports the safe use of ACEI/ARB among hypertensive patients contracted COVID-19 and in line with the recommendation of the International Society of Hypertension.
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Appendix 1: Search terms used

(“ace inhibitor*” OR “angiotensin converting enzyme inhibitor*” OR arb*, “angiotensin receptor blocker*” OR captopril OR capoten OR benazepril OR Lotensin OR enalapril OR vasotec OR epaned OR lexxel OR fosinopril OR monopril OR lisinopril OR prinivil OR zestril OR qbrelis OR moexipril OR univasc OR perindopril OR aceon OR quinapril OR accupril OR ramipril OR altace OR trandolapril OR mavik OR teveten OR benicar OR olmesartan OR diovan
OR valsartan OR atacand OR candesartan OR cozaar OR losartan OR micardis OR avapro OR edarbi OR irbesartan OR “azilsartan medoxomil” OR prexxartan OR valsartan) AND ("sars-coronavirus" OR “sars-cov-2” OR "severe acute respiratory syndrome" OR coronavir* OR coronavirus* OR "corona virus" OR “corono virus” OR "corono virus" OR "virus corona" OR "virus corono" OR "covid-19" OR “covid19”* OR "covid 19" OR "2019-nCoV" OR (wuhan* AND (virus OR viruses OR viral)) OR (covid* AND (virus OR viruses OR viral)) OR "sars-cov" OR "mers-cov" OR "mers cov" OR “middle east respiratory syndrome”).

Appendix 2: Newcastle–Ottawa Scale (NOS) methodological quality assessment

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Study</th>
<th>Selection</th>
<th>Comparability</th>
<th>Outcome</th>
<th>Qualiy Score</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Representativeness of the exposed cohort</td>
<td>Selectio of non-exposed cohort</td>
<td>Ascertainment of exposure</td>
<td>Demonstration that outcome of interest was not present at start of study</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Andrew 2020</td>
<td>*</td>
<td>*</td>
<td>*</td>
<td>*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Li 2020</td>
<td>*</td>
<td>*</td>
<td></td>
<td>*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Meng 2020</td>
<td>*</td>
<td>*</td>
<td>*</td>
<td>*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yang 2020</td>
<td>*</td>
<td>*</td>
<td>*</td>
<td>*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Zeng 2020</td>
<td>*</td>
<td>*</td>
<td>*</td>
<td>*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Zhang 2020</td>
<td>*</td>
<td>*</td>
<td>*</td>
<td>*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bean 2020</td>
<td>*</td>
<td>*</td>
<td>*</td>
<td>*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Liu 2020</td>
<td>*</td>
<td>*</td>
<td>*</td>
<td>*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gao 2020</td>
<td>*</td>
<td>*</td>
<td>*</td>
<td>*</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Interpretation

Good quality: 3 or 4 stars from selection; 1or 2 stars from comparability and 2 or 3 stars from outcome

Fair quality: 2 stars in selection; 1 or 2 stars in comparability and 2 or 3 stars in outcome

Poor quality: 0 or 1 star from selection OR 1 or 2 stars in comparability OR 0 or 1 star from outcome