

Impact of universal masking in health care and community on SARS-CoV-2 spread

Pletz MW¹; Steiner A²; Kesselmeier M³, Löffler B⁴, Trommer S⁵, Weis S^{1,6}, Maschmann J⁷,
Stallmach A⁸

1) Institute for Infectious Diseases and Infection Control, Jena University Hospital, Jena,
Germany

2) Department for Occupational Health, Jena University Hospital, Jena, Germany

3) Research Group Clinical Epidemiology, Center for Sepsis Control and Care (CSCC), Jena
University Hospital, Jena, Germany

4) Institute for Medical Microbiology, Jena University Hospital, Jena, Germany

5) Public Health Department, City of Jena

6) Department of Anesthesiology and Intensive Care Medicine, Jena University Hospital,
Jena, Germany

7) Medical Executive Board, Jena University Hospital, Jena, Germany

8) Department of Internal Medicine IV (Gastroenterology, Hepatology and Infectious
Diseases), Jena University Hospital, Jena, Germany

Corresponding author:

*Mathias W. Pletz, MD, Full Professor and Chair
Institute for Infectious Diseases and Infection Control
Jena University Hospital / Friedrich-Schiller-University
Am Klinikum 1
07747 Jena
Germany
Tel. +49-3641-932-4650 oder -4794 (secretary)
Email: mathias.pletz@med.uni.jena.de
Fax. +49-3641-932-4652*

32 **Abstract**

33 Universal masking the health care setting and in the community to contain the spread of
34 SARS-CoV-2 has been recently recommended by the WHO, but supporting data are rare.
35 The City of Jena was the first community in Germany to issue an order on mandatory public
36 masking. Here, we report the development of the number of novel infections in our hospital
37 and in the city of Jena after implementation of universal masking in our hospital and the city.

39 **Introduction**

40 There are only a few studies on universal masking during the flu season in hospitals
41 (Esposito et al. 2020), and, to the best of our knowledge, there are no studies investigating
42 the efficacy of universal masking in healthy individuals to prevent SARS-CoV-2
43 transmissions. At least, for seasonal human corona virus infection, it was shown that exhaled
44 droplets with a diameter of $\leq 5 \mu\text{m}$ containing viral particles can completely be withheld by
45 surgical masks when worn by an infected person (Leung et al. 2020). Arguments against
46 universal masking include a global supply shortage of medical masks, the insufficient
47 filtration of alternative cloth masks compared to medical masks (MacIntyre et al. 2015), a
48 false sense of protection by wearing a mask that may result in failing to maintain physical
49 distance from others, and the risk imposed by incorrect usage of masks by non-trained
50 carriers, e.g. transmitting pathogens from contaminated hands to the mask. Here, we report
51 effects of universal masking that were observed after implementation of (i) mandatory
52 universal masking in the Jena University Hospital (JUH) and (ii) of mandatory community
53 masking in the city of Jena.

55 **Impact of hospital-wide universal masking**

56 The JUH is a tertiary academic hospital with about 1,400 beds and 5,600 employees
57 providing care for about 53,000 inpatients per year. The first detected COVID-19 case in
58 Jena was a physician returning from Austria. Upon his return, he immediately reported to the
59 hospital occupational health department, was tested and isolated at home on 11th March

2020. On 16th March, a nurse that had returned from skiing in Northern Italy became the source of the first nosocomial outbreak involving four patients and two health care workers (HCW). Consequently, extensive screening was conducted on 1,311 HCW – representing one third of the total work force in direct patient care- between 11th March and 12th May resulting in 31 positive cases until 19th March, approximately one week after the first confirmed case (**Figure 1**). As a consequence, mandatory masking for all personnel involved in patient care was implemented on 20th March. Continued routine staff screening revealed only four HCWs tested positive between 20th March and 12th May. This corresponds to a substantial drop in the rate of new infections among HCWs from 10.1% (31 of 306 screened HCW in this period) before to 0.4% (4 of 1,005 HCW screened in this period) after implementation of universal masking. In addition, a strict containment system was introduced on 5th April, which included placing all patients in single rooms upon admission and SARS-CoV-2 screening regardless of their admitting chief complaint. Thereafter, HCW screening did not reveal any further positive case.

74

75 **Impact of community-wide universal masking**

Jena is the second largest city in Thuringia, Germany, with approximately 111,400 inhabitants. Despite thorough contact tracing and isolation of confirmed COVID-19 positive cases by the authorities, a rapid increase in numbers was observed after patient zero (**Figure 1**). The governmental containment (closing of stores, schools, kindergartens, churches etc.) together with social distancing measures was strictly implemented on 20th March in Jena and four days later in the entire Federal State of Thuringia. As novel infections continued to occur, Jena authorities were the first in Germany to introduce universal community-wide masking, *i.e.* mandatory covering of the mouth and nose in public buildings and public transport accepting also cloth masks or scarfs. On 24th April, a state-wide recommendation for community-wide masking in combination with first relaxations of the strict lockdown was issued.

We also assessed the effectiveness of mandatory community-wide masking in Jena in comparison with the nearby city of Erfurt (**Figure 1**). Both cities exhibit a similar university as well as socioeconomic structure. Erfurt introduced masking four weeks after Jena, simultaneously with the first relaxation of the lockdown measures.

As shown in **Figure 1**, there were no new COVID-19 cases in Jena five days after implementation, which is in line with the average incubation time of SARS-CoV-2. While in Erfurt, COVID-19 continued to spread and only ceased after the same community-wide masking was imposed.

We are aware that mere association is not causation and that our conclusions are limited by the observational nature of the data. However, it is uncertain whether an ethically sound trial on the controversial issue of mandatory community-wide masking will ever be performed. Therefore, our observations support the notion to implement universal masking in both health care as well as community settings as considering the ensuing reduced infection-rates. Given the risk-benefit ratio, we consider universal masking combined with social distancing as a suitable measure to contain the spread of SARS-CoV-2.


Funding

MP was supported by a grant from the German Ministry of Education and Research (BMBF No. KI1501). MK was supported by the Integrated Research and Treatment Center – Center for Sepsis Control and Care (CSCC) at the Jena University Hospital, funded by the German Ministry of Education and Research (BMBF No. 01EO1502).

Conflicts of interest

The authors do not report any conflict of interest.

112 FIGURE



113

114 **Figure 1:** Daily reported newly confirmed cases of SARS-CoV-2 infection and **A)**
115 corresponding cumulative cases per 1,000 health care workers (HCW) of Jena University
116 Hospital (JUH, 1,500 beds as well as per 100,000 inhabitants of the Thuringian cities **B)** Jena
117 and **C)** Erfurt. All reported cases comprise only the first SARS-CoV-2 test results. Results of
118 multiple testing are excluded because all infected subjects were reported by full name and
119 address to the respective Public Health Departments. **D)** For comparison, the cumulative
120 number per 100,000 inhabitants in the State of Thuringia is provided. Implemented measures
121 are color-coded.

122 Of note, “negative” bars for daily reported novel infections in Jena and Erfurt are explained
123 by re-classification of individual COVID-19 cases as non-COVID-19 after quality control of
124 the respective data and laboratory reports by the State Health Department of Thuringia. The
125 Population was taken from the Thuringian State Office of Statistics (31st December 2019).
126

127

References

- Esposito, S., Principi, N., Leung, C.C. and Migliori, G.B. 2020. Universal use of face masks for success against COVID-19: evidence and implications for prevention policies. *Europ Respir J* Apr 29; 2001260.
- Feng, S., Shen, C., Xia, N., Song, W., Fan, M. and Cowling, B.J. 2020. Rational use of face masks in the COVID-19 pandemic. *Lancet Resp. Med* 8(5), pp. 434–436.
- Leung, N.H.L., Chu, D.K.W., Shiu, E.Y.C., Chan, K.-H., McDevitt, J.J., Hau, B.J.P., Yen, H.-L., Li, Y., Ip, D.K.M., Peiris, J.S.M., Seto, W.-H., Leung, G.M., Milton, D.K. and Cowling, B.J. 2020. Respiratory virus shedding in exhaled breath and efficacy of face masks. *Nat Med* 26(8): 676-680.
- MacIntyre, C.R., Seale, H., Dung, T.C., Hien, N.T., Nga, P.T., Chughtai, A.A., Rahman, B., Dwyer, D.E. and Wang, Q. 2015. A cluster randomised trial of cloth masks compared with medical masks in healthcare workers. *BMJ Open* 5(4), p. e006577.