Impact of universal masking in health care and community on SARS-CoV-2 spread

Pletz MW[1]; Steiner A[2]; Kesselmeier M[3], Löffler B[4], Trommer S[5], Weis S[1,6], Maschmann J[7],

Stallmach A[8]

1) Institute for Infectious Diseases and Infection Control, Jena University Hospital, Jena,

Germany

2) Department for Occupational Health, Jena University Hospital, Jena, Germany

3) Research Group Clinical Epidemiology, Center for Sepsis Control and Care (CSCC), Jena

University Hospital, Jena, Germany

4) Institute for Medical Microbiology, Jena University Hospital, Jena, Germany

5) Public Health Department, City of Jena

6) Department of Anesthesiology and Intensive Care Medicine, Jena University Hospital,

Jena, Germany

7) Medical Executive Board, Jena University Hospital, Jena, Germany

8) Department of Internal Medicine IV (Gastroenterology, Hepatology and Infectious

Diseases), Jena University Hospital, Jena, Germany

Corresponding author:

Mathias W. Pletz, MD, Full Professor and Chair
Institute for Infectious Diseases and Infection Control
Jena University Hospital / Friedrich-Schiller-University
Am Klinikum 1
07747 Jena
Germany
27
28 *Tel. +49-3641-932-4650 oder -4794 (secretary)*
29 *Email: mathias.pletz@med.uni.jena.de*
30 *Fax. +49-3641-932-4652*
31

Abstract

Universal masking the health care setting and in the community to contain the spread of SARS-CoV-2 has been recently recommended by the WHO, but supporting data are rare. The City of Jena was the first community in Germany to issue an order on mandatory public masking. Here, we report the development of the number of novel infections in our hospital and in the city of Jena after implementation of universal masking in our hospital and the city.

Introduction

There are only a few studies on universal masking during the flu season in hospitals (Esposito et al. 2020), and, to the best of our knowledge, there are no studies investigating the efficacy of universal masking in healthy individuals to prevent SARS-CoV-2 transmissions. At least, for seasonal human corona virus infection, it was shown that exhaled droplets with a diameter of ≤5 μm containing viral particles can completely be withheld by surgical masks when worn by an infected person (Leung et al. 2020). Arguments against universal masking include a global supply shortage of medical masks, the insufficient filtration of alternative cloth masks compared to medical masks (MacIntyre et al. 2015), a false sense of protection by wearing a mask that may result in failing to maintain physical distance from others, and the risk imposed by incorrect usage of masks by non-trained carriers, *e.g.* transmitting pathogens from contaminated hands to the mask. Here, we report effects of universal masking that were observed after implementation of (*i*) mandatory universal masking in the Jena University Hospital (JUH) and (*ii*) of mandatory community masking in the city of Jena.

Impact of hospital-wide universal masking

The JUH is a tertiary academic hospital with about 1,400 beds and 5,600 employees providing care for about 53,000 inpatients per year. The first detected COVID-19 case in Jena was a physician returning from Austria. Upon his return, he immediately reported to the hospital occupational health department, was tested and isolated at home on 11th March

2020. On 16th March, a nurse that had returned from skiing in Northern Italy became the

source of the first nosocomial outbreak involving four patients and two health care workers

(HCW). Consequently, extensive screening was conducted on 1,311 HCW – representing

one third of the total work force in direct patient care- between 11th March and 12th May

resulting in 31 positive cases until 19th March, approximately one week after the first

confirmed case (*Figure 1*). As a consequence, mandatory masking for all personnel involved

in patient care was implemented on 20th March. Continued routine staff screening revealed

only four HCWs tested positive between 20th March and 12th May. This corresponds to a

substantial drop in the rate of new infections among HCWs from 10.1% (31 of 306 screened

HCW in this period) before to 0.4% (4 of 1,005 HCW screened in this period) after

implementation of universal masking. In addition, a strict containment system was introduced

on 5th April, which included placing all patients in single rooms upon admission and SARS-

CoV-2 screening regardless of their admitting chief complaint. Thereafter, HCW screening

did not reveal any further positive case.

Impact of community-wide universal masking

Jena is the second largest city in Thuringia, Germany, with approximately 111,400

inhabitants. Despite thorough contact tracing and isolation of confirmed COVID-19 positive

cases by the authorities, a rapid increase in numbers was observed after patient zero

(*Figure 1*). The governmental containment (closing of stores, schools, kindergartens,

churches etc.) together with social distancing measures was strictly implemented on 20th

March in Jena and four days later in the entire Federal State of Thuringia. As novel infections

continued to occur, Jena authorities were the first in Germany to introduce universal

community-wide masking*, i.e.* mandatory covering of the mouth and nose in public buildings

and public transport accepting also cloth masks or scarfs. On 24th April, a state-wide

recommendation for community-wide masking in combination with first relaxations of the

strict lockdown was issued.

We also assessed the effectiveness of mandatory community-wide masking in Jena in

comparison with the nearby city of Erfurt (***Figure 1***). Both cities exhibit a similar university as

well as socioeconomic structure. Erfurt introduced masking four weeks after Jena,

simultaneously with the first relaxation of the lockdown measures.

As shown in ***Figure 1,*** there were no new COIVD-19 cases in Jena five days after

implementation, which is in line with the average incubation time of SARS-CoV-2. While in

Erfurt, COVID-19 continued to spread and only ceased after the same community-wide

masking was imposed.

We are aware that mere association is not causation and that our conclusions are limited by

the observational nature of the data. However, it is uncertain whether an ethically sound trial

on the controversial issue of mandatory community-wide masking will ever be performed.

Therefore, our observations support the notion to implement universal masking in both health

care as well as community settings as considering the ensuing reduced infection-rates.

Given the risk-benefit ratio, we consider universal masking combined with social distancing

as a suitable measure to contain the spread of SARS-CoV-2.

Funding
MP was supported by a grant from the German Ministry of Education and Research (BMBF
No. KI1501). MK was supported by the Integrated Research and Treatment Center – Center
for Sepsis Control and Care (CSCC) at the Jena University Hospital, funded by the German
Ministry of Education and Research (BMBF No. 01EO1502).

Conflicts of interest
The authors do not report any conflict of interest.

FIGURE

Figure 1: Daily reported number of novel confirmed SARS-CoV-2 infections and

corresponding cumulative numbers per 1,000 health care workers (HCW) of Jena University

Hospital (JUH, 1,500 beds) as well as per 100,000 inhabitants of the Thuringian cities Jena

and Erfurt (left panel). All reported cases comprise only the first SARS-CoV-2 test results.

Results of multiple testing are excluded because all infected subjects are reported by full

name and address to the respective Public Health Departments. For comparison, the

cumulative number per 100,000 inhabitants in the State of Thuringia is provided (right panel).

The measures implemented at the JUH and in the cities are color-coded. Please note,

"negative" bars for daily reported novel infections in Jena and Erfurt are explained by re-

classification of individual COVID-19 cases as non-COVID-19 after quality control of the

respective data and laboratory reports by the State Health Department of Thuringia. The

number of inhabitants (on 31st December 2019) were taken from the Thuringian State Office

of Statistics.

References

Esposito, S., Principi, N., Leung, C.C. and Migliori, G.B. 2020. Universal use of face masks

for success against COVID-19: evidence and implications for prevention policies. *Europ*

Respir J   Apr 29; 2001260.

Feng, S., Shen, C., Xia, N., Song, W., Fan, M. and Cowling, B.J. 2020. Rational use of face

masks in the COVID-19 pandemic. *Lancet Resp. Med*   8(5), pp. 434–436.

Leung, N.H.L., Chu, D.K.W., Shiu, E.Y.C., Chan, K.-H., McDevitt, J.J., Hau, B.J.P., Yen, H.-

L., Li, Y., Ip, D.K.M., Peiris, J.S.M., Seto, W.-H., Leung, G.M., Milton, D.K. and Cowling, B.J.

2020. Respiratory virus shedding in exhaled breath and efficacy of face masks. *Nat Med 26*

85): 676-680.

MacIntyre, C.R., Seale, H., Dung, T.C., Hien, N.T., Nga, P.T., Chughtai, A.A., Rahman, B.,

Dwyer, D.E. and Wang, Q. 2015. A cluster randomised trial of cloth masks compared with

medical masks in healthcare workers. *BMJ Open* 5(4), p. e006577.