

PI3K/mTOR and topoisomerase inhibitors with potential activity against SARS-CoV-2 infection

James Robert White, PhD¹

5 Michael Bonner Foote, MD²

Justin Jee, MD, PhD²

Guillem Argilés, MD²

Jonathan C. M. Wan, MD, PhD²

Luis Alberto Diaz, Jr., MD^{2*}

10

¹ Resphera Biosciences, Baltimore, MD USA

² Department of Medicine, Memorial Sloan Kettering Cancer Center, New York, NY, USA

*Corresponding Author Information:

15 Luis Alberto Diaz Jr., Department of Medicine, Memorial Sloan Kettering Cancer Center, 1275 York Avenue, New York, NY 10065, USA; Phone: 1.646.888.5268; Email: diazl5@mskcc.org

Abstract

20 There is an urgent need to identify therapies to prevent and treat SARS-CoV-2 infection. We performed a statistical evaluation of in vitro gene expression profiles reflecting exposure to 1,835 drugs, and found topoisomerase inhibitors and PI3K/mTOR pathway inhibitors among the strongest candidates for reduced expression of ACE2, a host gene associated with SARS-CoV-2 infection. Retrospective clinical data suggest that patients on these agents may be less likely to test positive for SARS-CoV-2.

25

Introduction

Severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus 2 (SARS-CoV-2) continues to spread globally with over 13.1 million diagnosed cases and 574,000 deaths (as of 14 July, 2020). SARS-CoV-2 is a single-stranded
30 RNA virus that utilizes spike (S) glycoproteins to infect individuals by attaching to angiotensin-converting enzyme 2 (encoded by the ACE2 gene) expressed on airway epithelia¹⁻³. After attachment, hemagglutinin cleavage of ACE2 initiates viral internalization and subsequent viral S protein cleavage by the transmembrane protease serine 2 TMPRSS2 to enable viral entry³. Other transmembrane proteases, such as ADAM17 and TMPRSS11D, may also influence cleavage of ACE2^{4,5}.

35 ACE2 gene expression may provide a unique therapeutic target to help prevent SARS-CoV-2 infection. Hofman et al. found that ACE2 expression on a panel of cell lines correlated with *in vitro* susceptibility to SARS-CoV S-driven infection⁴. Many ACE2-associated factors, including TMPRSS2, have no recognized indispensable somatic functions; drugs targeting this pathway may show a promising therapeutic ratio⁶.

40 The Library of Integrated Network-Based Cellular Signatures (LINCS) program is an open resource for the scientific community that includes expression profiles of cells exposed to a variety of perturbing agents⁷ including small molecules over a range of concentrations. Here, we report on a comprehensive statistical evaluation of cell line gene expression signatures associated with various drug exposures in LINCS. We
45 analyzed 1,835 drugs for which distinct cells lines and variable dosages were available, and sought to identify those with statistically significant reductions in expression of genes associated with SARS-CoV-2 infection. We then utilized retrospective clinical data to evaluate whether cancer patients receiving drugs associated with reduced expression of ACE2 demonstrated a decreased odds ratio for a positive SARS-CoV-2 test compared to patients receiving other antineoplastic therapies.

50

Results and Discussion

For each drug, we fitted a generalized linear model to moderated Z-score values⁸ reflecting relative ACE2 gene expression across seven cell lines and drug dosages from 0.01 uM to 10 uM (Table S1). Among those
55 drugs with the strongest associated reductions in ACE2 expression, we identified two major categories: (i) topoisomerase inhibitors, and (ii) PI3K/mTOR pathway inhibitors (Table 1). Topoisomerase inhibitors including camptothecin, SN-38, and Genz-644282 demonstrated significant reductions in ACE2 expression, often with a dose dependent relationship (Fig. 1). PI3K/mTOR inhibitors including PF-04691502, GDC-0980(RG7422), and Taselisib also displayed dose dependent reductions in ACE2
60 expression (Fig. 2). Moreover, two AKT inhibitors related to the PI3K/mTOR pathway, Afuresertib and MK-2206, were associated with reduced ACE2 (Table 1).

We next performed a retrospective review of clinical records to evaluate the frequency of SARS-CoV-2 infection in patients on these ACE2-associated antineoplastics. Retrospective data was obtained from an
65 IRB-approved study of adult cancer patients tested for SARS-CoV-2 receiving active antineoplastic therapy at Memorial Sloan Kettering Cancer Center (MSKCC) during the COVID-19 epidemic period (n=4,040 patients; Table S2). The overall study population had mainly solid tumors (n=3771; 93.3%). Thirteen percent (n=535) of the study population was actively treated with an ACE2-associated drug (Table S2, Table S5). Patients treated with ACE2-associated drugs showed similar clinical demographics to those
70 treated with different antineoplastics (Table S2).

Patients receiving ACE2-associated therapies demonstrated a lower univariate odds ratio (0.65, 95% CI 0.00-0.98, P=0.04) for a positive SARS-CoV-2 test during active antineoplastic therapy compared to patients on other agents (Table S3). Additional study covariates shown to be significant in cancer patients
75 were also evaluated. Patients with non-white race (OR 2.00), hematologic malignancy (OR 2.89) and metastatic disease (OR 1.49) also demonstrated statistically significant increase in the odds of a positive SARS-CoV-2 test (Table S3). Significant covariates were placed into a multivariate logistic regression

model (Table S4). Active therapy trended towards independent significance for a SARS-CoV-2 positive test (OR 0.68, 95% CI 0.40-1.07, BH-corrected $q=0.13$).

80

Our results reveal several antineoplastic agents that may demonstrate reductions in ACE2 expression, a potential target proposed in preventing and treating SARS-CoV-2 infection. Cancer patients taking these potential ACE2-associated agents showed lower rates of a positive SARS-CoV-2 test compared to patients taking other forms of active antineoplastic therapy. These medications were universally held when patients tested positive for SARS-CoV-2, and our study was underpowered to detect possible differences in COVID-19 associated endpoints, such as admission, hypoxic events and death.

85

These findings are consistent with recent studies that propose topoisomerase inhibitors or PI3K/mTOR inhibitors for treatment of COVID-19. One recent study proposed Irinotecan and Etoposide combination therapy for critically ill COVID-19 patients⁹ based on the immunomodulatory and viral suppressive profiles of these drugs. Additionally, a recent loss-of-function experiment revealed that certain topoisomerases were required for efficient replication of positive-sense RNA viruses including SARS-CoV-2¹⁰. Immunoregulatory and anti-viral properties have also been cited as rationales for PI3K/mTOR pathway inhibitors to treat COVID-19¹¹⁻¹⁷.

95

This study has several limitations. ACE2-associated antineoplastic therapies were discovered by *in-vitro* computer modeling and need to be validated experimentally. Although *in vitro* data implicates ACE2 in the SARS-CoV-2 infection route, ACE2 is not yet a clinically validated target. Furthermore, clinical data obtained were retrospective and may be underpowered to estimate the true impact of ACE2-associated therapy on SARS-CoV-2 positivity and COVID-19 adverse outcomes. Finally, study patient characteristics are skewed towards non-metastatic (63.2%), solid tumor (93.3%) patients. Despite these limitations, our results suggest that further investigation of PI3K/mTOR pathway inhibitors and topoisomerase inhibitors as a COVID-19 preventative strategy are warranted.

100

Methods

105 ***In Vitro* Modeling and Analysis.** LINCS gene expression signatures and associated drug exposure
metadata were collected using the LINCS Data Portal API (<http://lincsportal.ccs.miami.edu/apis>).
Compounds considered were limited to those tested on the seven specific cell lines of interest with 24 hours
of exposure time at concentrations ranging from 0.01uM to 10uM. For each candidate drug, a generalized
linear model was fit to ACE2 moderated Z-scores values adjusted for \log_{10} (drug concentration). Calculated
110 by LINCS, moderated Z-scores reflect a weighted average of replicate samples in an experiment of a
specific cell line and drug concentration. Model coefficients and P-values associated with baseline
expression and drug concentration (two-sided test; null hypothesis $\beta=0$) were used to prioritize drugs with
significant reductions in ACE2 expression and dose dependent relationships. P-values were adjusted for
multiple hypothesis testing using the false discovery rate (FDR).

115
Data Availability. LINCS Phase I data are publicly available through NCBI (GEO accession GSE92742)
and the LINCS Data Portal 2.0 (<http://lincsportal.ccs.miami.edu/>). Procedures on LINCS signature
generation and moderated Z-score calculation can be found in the GEO LINCS user guide (v2.1;
<https://docs.google.com/document/d/1q2gciWRhVCAAnlvF2iRLuJ7whrGP6QjpsCMq1yWz7dU/edit#>).
120 Aggregated patient data used in this study is available upon request from the corresponding author (L.A.D.).
Requests will be first reviewed for compliance with the ethical and patient privacy regulations of the
Memorial Sloan Kettering Cancer Center.

Code Availability. The R code used for this study is available upon request from the corresponding author.

125
Clinical Data Acquisition and Definitions. Electronic medical record (EMR) auto-populated flowsheet
data was obtained for adult cancer patients at Memorial Sloan Kettering Cancer Center (MSKCC)
undergoing active antineoplastic treatment during the time of the first positive SARS-CoV-2 tests
(03/01/2020) with a study data freeze on 5/28/20 for analysis. Patients with antineoplastic therapies that

130 were discontinued before this study period were not included in the study. Auto-populated flowsheet data
was obtained from a standardized-input curated database that assessed the time and date of antineoplastic
administration, as well as patient demographics, disease characteristics and study endpoints. SARS-CoV-2
testing was performed at MSKCC using a nasopharyngeal swab to determine the presence of virus specific
RNA (MSKCC FDA-EUA-approved assay, and commercial assay Cephied®). Patients who had a positive
135 test were classified as SARS-CoV-2 positive. Patients who tested negative or were not tested for SARS-
CoV-2 were classified as “Not SARS-CoV-2 positive”.

Study subgroups were classified as in Table S2. Notably, malignancy status as solid or hematologic was
not mutually exclusive; several study patients had both an active solid and liquid malignancy, and were
140 thereby coded as having each separate subtype. Although most patients were coded for the study variables,
several patients did not have coded demographics and these patients were not associated with their
respective missing demographic. For example, 89.1% of patients were coded for tobacco status with 10.9%
of patients with an unknown tobacco status; these patients were not associated with a tobacco status in the
statistical analysis.

145 Antineoplastic therapies were grouped using standardized antineoplastic drug categories (Table S5).
Antineoplastic agents with significant activity from *in vitro* assessment (Table 1) were cross-referenced
against the study population to determine ACE2-associated therapy status. If a patient received an ACE2-
expression reducing therapy during the study period (03/01/20-05/28/20), they were classified in the
150 “ACE2-associated antineoplastic” subgroup. Patients who did not receive a potential ACE2 expression
reducing agent were classified in the “No ACE2-associated antineoplastic” subgroup.

Clinical Data Statistical Analysis. Univariate, one-sided Fisher’s exact statistical testing was used to test
the specific hypothesis that active ACE2-associated antineoplastic use is associated with a lower odds ratio
155 of a positive SARS-CoV-2 test. Additional study covariates (female gender, age greater than or equal to

65, non-white race, smoking, hematologic malignancy, metastatic disease) were similarly evaluated. Odds ratios, 95% confidence intervals, and one-sided p-values were reported for each covariate. Covariates with a p-value of less than or equal to 0.10 were placed into a multivariate model, and logistic regression was performed. To account for multiplicity, p-values for each covariate in the multivariate model were subject
160 to Benjamini-Hochberg correction and q-values were reported.

The odds ratios of achieving specific clinical endpoints, including admission, hypoxic event (defined as requiring greater than 4 L of oxygen), and death, were assessed comparing patients with ACE2-associated antineoplastics and patients who did not received ACE2-associated antineoplastics using similar Fisher's
165 exact statistical testing. No significant difference in adverse COVID-19 outcomes (admission, hypoxia requiring greater than 4 liters of supplementary oxygen, death, or composite of these three outcomes) was observed in the overall study population, or a subset population of only SARS-CoV-2 positive patients.

All statistical computation was done with use of R Studio (version 1.2.5033) and R (v4.0.0) statistical
170 software.

Study Approval. This study was approved by the Institutional Review Board at Memorial Sloan
Kettering Cancer Center.

175

Author contributions

180 J.R.W. and L.A.D. conceived and designed the study. J.R.W. and M.B.F. analyzed and interpreted data. J.J. and M.B.F. collected retrospective patient data. J.C.M.W. and A.G. provided guidance and scientific input. J.C.M.W. and A.G. interpreted results. J.R.W., J.C.M.W., and M.B.F. drafted the manuscript. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

185 Acknowledgements

Research supported by a Stand Up to Cancer Colorectal Cancer Dream Team Translation Cancer Research Grant (SU2C-AACR-DT22-17). Stand up to Cancer is a program of the Entertainment Industry Foundation administered by the American Association for Cancer Research. M.B.F. is partially supported by a T32 NIH Scholar Grant.

190

Conflict of Interest Statement

JRW is the founder and owner of Resphera Biosciences, LLC. JJ holds a patent licensed by MDSeq, Inc. GA has received honoraria for advisory roles from Hoffman La-Roche, Bayer and Servier and honoraria for speaking engagements from Hoffman La-Roche, Bristol Myers Squibb, Bayer and Servier. GA has received travel grants from Hoffman La-Roche, Bayer, Servier, Amgen and Merck and research funds have been awarded to GA from Bayer. GA is an uncompensated advisor for Menarini and Treos Bio, Inc. LAD is a member of the board of directors of Personal Genome Diagnostics (PGDx) and Jounce Therapeutics. LAD is a paid consultant to PGDx, 4Paws and Neophore. LAD is an uncompensated consultant for Merck but has received research support for clinical trials from Merck. LAD is an inventor of multiple licensed patents related to technology for circulating tumor DNA analyses and mismatch repair deficiency for diagnosis and therapy from Johns Hopkins University. Some of these licenses and relationships are associated with equity or royalty payments directly to Johns Hopkins and LAD. LAD also holds equity in PGDx, Jounce Therapeutics, Thrive Earlier Detection and Neophore, and his spouse holds equity in Amgen.

195

200

The terms of these arrangements for LAD are being managed by Johns Hopkins and Memorial Sloan

205 Kettering in accordance with their conflict of interest policies.

References

1. Zhou, P. *et al.* A pneumonia outbreak associated with a new coronavirus of probable bat origin. *Nature* **579**, 270–273 (2020).
- 210 2. Walls, A. C. *et al.* Structure, Function, and Antigenicity of the SARS-CoV-2 Spike Glycoprotein. *Cell* **181**, 281–292.e6 (2020).
3. Hoffmann, M. *et al.* SARS-CoV-2 Cell Entry Depends on ACE2 and TMPRSS2 and Is Blocked by a Clinically Proven Protease Inhibitor. *Cell* **181**, 271–280.e8 (2020).
4. Heurich, A. *et al.* TMPRSS2 and ADAM17 Cleave ACE2 Differentially and Only Proteolysis by TMPRSS2 Augments Entry Driven by the Severe Acute Respiratory Syndrome Coronavirus Spike Protein. *J. Virol.* **88**, 1293–1307 (2014).
- 215 5. Palau, V., Riera, M. & Soler, M. J. ADAM17 inhibition may exert a protective effect on COVID-19. *Nephrol. Dial. Transplant* (2020) doi:10.1093/ndt/gfaa093.
6. Stopsack, K. H., Mucci, L. A., Antonarakis, E. S., Nelson, P. S. & Kantoff, P. W. TMPRSS2 and COVID-19: Serendipity or Opportunity for Intervention? *Cancer Discov.* **10**, 779–782 (2020).
- 220 7. Keenan, A. B. *et al.* The Library of Integrated Network-Based Cellular Signatures NIH Program: System-Level Cataloging of Human Cells Response to Perturbations. *Cell Systems* vol. 6 13–24 (2018).
8. Subramanian, A. *et al.* A Next Generation Connectivity Map: L1000 Platform and the First 1,000,000 Profiles. *Cell* (2017) doi:10.1016/j.cell.2017.10.049.
- 225 9. Lovetruer, B. The AI-discovered Aetiology of COVID-19 and Rationale of the Irinotecan + Etoposide Combination Therapy for Critically Ill COVID-19 Patients. 1–18 (2020) doi:10.20944/preprints202003.0341.v1.
10. Prasanth, K. R. *et al.* Topoisomerase III- β is required for efficient replication of positive-sense RNA viruses. *bioRxiv* 2020.03.24.005900 (2020) doi:10.1101/2020.03.24.005900.
- 230 11. Lehrer, S. Inhaled biguanides and mTOR inhibition for influenza and coronavirus (Review). *World Acad. Sci. J.* **2**, 1–1 (2020).
12. Ciliberto, G., Mancini, R. & Paggi, M. G. Drug repurposing against COVID-19: Focus on anticancer agents. *Journal of Experimental and Clinical Cancer Research* vol. 39 1–9 (2020).
13. Zheng, Y., Li, R. & Liu, S. Immunoregulation with mTOR inhibitors to prevent COVID-19 severity: A novel intervention strategy beyond vaccines and specific antiviral medicines. *J. Med. Virol.* jmv.26009 (2020) doi:10.1002/jmv.26009.
- 235 14. Kindrachuk, J. *et al.* Antiviral potential of ERK/MAPK and PI3K/AKT/mTOR signaling modulation for Middle East respiratory syndrome coronavirus infection as identified by temporal kinome analysis. *Antimicrob. Agents Chemother.* **59**, 1088–1099 (2015).
- 240 15. Zhou, Y. *et al.* Network-based drug repurposing for novel coronavirus 2019-nCoV/SARS-CoV-2. *Cell Discov.* **6**, 1–18 (2020).
16. Terrazzano, G. *et al.* An Open Question: Is It Rational to Inhibit the mTor-Dependent Pathway as COVID-19 Therapy? *Front. Pharmacol.* **11**, 856 (2020).
- 245 17. Stukalov, A. *et al.* Multi-level proteomics reveals host-perturbation strategies of SARS-CoV-2 and SARS-CoV. *bioRxiv* 2020.06.17.156455 (2020) doi:10.1101/2020.06.17.156455.

250

Tables and Figures

255

Table 1. Classes of drugs commonly identified as reducers of ACE2 expression. Generalized fixed effects model results reported per drug including coefficient for ACE2 expression (baseline) and associated with drug dose relationship. “N/A” designates “Not Applicable”. Unadjusted and false discovery rate (FDR) adjusted P-values are included.

Drug	Annotation	Coefficient for change in ACE2	P-value for change in ACE2	FDR adj. P-value for change in ACE2	Coefficient for dose relationship	P-value for dose relationship	FDR adj. P-value for dose relationship
SN-38	topoisomerase inhibitor	-1.57	< 0.0001	< 0.0001	-0.59	< 0.0001	0.0191
Genz-644282	topoisomerase inhibitor	-1.31	< 0.0001	< 0.0001	-0.24	0.2118	0.6896
Camptothecin	topoisomerase inhibitor	-1.08	< 0.0001	0.0004	0.20	0.4281	0.8264
Topotecan	topoisomerase inhibitor	-0.31	0.7487	0.8785	NA	NA	NA
Trovafloxacin mesylate	topoisomerase inhibitor	-0.27	0.0255	0.1617	-0.61	0.0001	0.0191
Aphidicolin	topoisomerase inhibitor	-0.23	0.0280	0.1686	-0.28	0.0234	0.3135
Irinotecan	topoisomerase inhibitor	-0.18	0.0607	0.2439	-0.13	0.2464	0.7079
Etoposide	topoisomerase inhibitor	-0.18	0.1455	0.3880	-0.38	0.0102	0.2395
Adarotene	apoptosis / dna damage inducer	-0.57	< 0.0001	0.0002	-0.48	0.0003	0.0472
Temsirolimus	mTOR inhibitor	-0.38	0.0003	0.0078	-0.28	0.0149	0.2764
TORIN-2	mTOR inhibitor	-0.68	< 0.0001	0.0010	-0.27	0.0928	0.5307
AZD-8055	mTOR inhibitor	-0.24	0.0021	0.0360	-0.19	0.0355	0.3727
Everolimus	mTOR inhibitor	-0.32	0.0048	0.0606	0.00	0.9871	0.9986
MLN-0128	mTOR inhibitor	-0.53	< 0.0001	< 0.0001	-0.26	0.0119	0.2593
Taselisib	PI3K inhibitor	-0.56	< 0.0001	0.0002	-0.39	0.0018	0.1289
Pictilisib	PI3K inhibitor	-0.28	0.0001	0.0022	-0.09	0.2280	0.6940
PI3-K mTOR Inhibitor III	PI3K/mTOR inhibitor	-0.52	< 0.0001	0.0003	-0.52	< 0.0001	0.0191
PF-04691502	PI3K/mTOR inhibitor	-0.77	< 0.0001	< 0.0001	-0.43	0.0003	0.0430
GSK1059615	PI3K/mTOR inhibitor	-0.57	< 0.0001	< 0.0001	-0.37	< 0.0001	0.0001
GDC-0980(RG7422)	PI3K/mTOR inhibitor	-0.38	0.0001	0.0042	-0.36	0.0013	0.1081
UNII-CVL1685GPH	PI3K/mTOR inhibitor	-0.37	0.0023	0.0387	-0.33	0.0170	0.2804
EX-8678	PI3K/mTOR inhibitor	-0.57	0.0004	0.0106	0.02	0.8838	0.9748
Afuresertib	AKT inhibitor	-0.51	< 0.0001	0.0010	-0.31	0.0157	0.2780
MK-2206	AKT inhibitor	-0.28	0.0012	0.0249	0.01	0.9510	0.9931

Supp Table. S1 | Differential expression results for ACE2 (LINCS Phase I data) using moderated Z-score signature measures of relative expression.

260 [See supplementary Excel file]

265

Supp Table. S2 | Summary of overall study patient characteristics. Demographic, clinical and treatment characteristics of all study patients, stratified into subgroups by ACE2-associated antineoplastic therapy status are reported. *ACE2-associated therapies and other anti-neoplastic therapies are not mutually exclusive; patients within the subgroup could be exposed to multiple agents during the study period. “N/A” designates “Not Applicable”.

	All (n=4040)	No ACE2-associated antineoplastic(n=3505)	ACE2-associated antineoplastic (n=535)
	No. (% Total Patients)	No. (% Column)	No. (% Column)
Demographics			
Female	2406 (59.6%)	2095 (59.8%)	311 (58.1%)
Male	1634 (40.4%)	1410 (40.2%)	224 (41.9%)
White	3179 (78.7%)	2753 (78.6%)	426 (79.6%)
Non-White	703 (17.4%)	613 (17.5%)	90 (16.8%)
Age ≥ 65	2034 (50.3%)	1786 (51.0%)	248 (46.4%)
Age < 65	2006 (49.7%)	1719 (49.1%)	287 (53.6%)
Tobacco former or current smoker	2034 (50.3%)	1786 (51.0%)	248 (46.4%)
Never smoked tobacco	2006 (49.7%)	1719 (49.1%)	287 (53.6%)
Cancer Overview			
Solid malignancy*	3771 (93.3%)	3273 (93.4%)	498 (93.1%)
Hematologic malignancy*	269 (6.7%)	232 (6.6%)	37 (6.9%)
Metastatic disease	1485 (36.8%)	1305 (37.2%)	180 (33.6%)
Non-metastatic disease	2555 (63.2%)	2200 (62.8%)	355 (66.4%)
Outcomes			
SARS-CoV-2 positive	207 (5.1%)	188 (5.4%)	19 (3.6%)
Not SARS-CoV-2 positive	3833 (94.9%)	3317 (94.7%)	516 (96.4%)
Admission	559 (13.8%)	452 (12.9%)	107 (20.0%)
Hypoxic event	69 (1.7%)	52 (1.5%)	17 (3.2%)
Death	133 (3.3%)	110 (3.1%)	23 (4.3%)
ACE2-associated therapy*			
Topotecan	18 (0.4%)	N/A	18 (3.4%)
Irinotecan	281 (7.0%)	N/A	281 (52.5%)
Etoposide	74 (1.8%)	N/A	74 (13.8%)
Temsirolimus	6 (0.1%)	N/A	6 (1.1%)
Everolimus	151 (3.7%)	N/A	151 (28.2%)
Alpelisib	59 (1.5%)	N/A	59 (11.0%)
Duvelisib	7 (0.2%)	N/A	7 (1.3%)
Idelalisib	2 (0.1%)	N/A	2 (0.4%)
MLN-0128	1 (0.1%)	N/A	1 (0.2%)
Ipasertib	1 (0.1%)	N/A	1 (0.2%)
AZD5363	3 (0.1%)	N/A	3 (0.6%)
Other anti-neoplastic therapy *			

Alkylating agents	601 (12.3%)	601 (17.1%)	N/A
Anti-CTLA-4 monoclonal antibodies	55 (1.1%)	55 (1.6%)	N/A
Anti-PD-1 monoclonal antibodies	654 (13.4%)	654 (18.6%)	N/A
Antimetabolites	802 (16.4%)	802 (22.9%)	N/A
Antineoplastic antibiotics	186 (3.8%)	186 (5.3%)	N/A
Multidrug formulations	15 (0.3%)	15 (0.4%)	N/A
BCR-ABL tyrosine kinase inhibitors	65 (1.3%)	65 (1.9%)	N/A
BTK inhibitors	34 (0.7%)	34 (1.0%)	N/A
CD20 monoclonal antibodies	19 (0.4%)	19 (0.5%)	N/A
CD30 monoclonal antibodies	11 (0.2%)	11 (0.3%)	N/A
CD38 monoclonal antibodies	38 (0.8%)	38 (1.1%)	N/A
CDK 4/6 inhibitors	291 (6.0%)	291 (8.3%)	N/A
EGFR inhibitors	195 (4.0%)	195 (5.6%)	N/A
Hedgehog pathway inhibitors	2 (0.1%)	2 (0.1%)	N/A
HER2 inhibitors	223 (4.6%)	223 (6.4%)	N/A
Histone deacetylase inhibitors	2 (0.1%)	2 (0.1%)	N/A
Mitotic inhibitors	523 (10.7%)	523 (14.9%)	N/A
Multikinase inhibitors	388 (8.0%)	388 (11.1%)	N/A
Other antineoplastic	559 (13.8%)	559 (16.0%)	N/A
Oral immunomodulatory	107 (2.2%)	107 (3.0%)	N/A
PARP inhibitors	133 (2.7%)	133 (3.8%)	N/A
Proteasome inhibitors	47 (1.0%)	47 (1.3%)	N/A
Topical chemotherapy	17 (0.3%)	17 (0.5%)	N/A
VEGF/VEGFR inhibitors	330 (6.8%)	330 (9.4%)	N/A

270 **Supp Tab. S3 | Univariate analysis of patients receiving active antineoplastic therapy establishes odds ratios for a SARS-CoV-2 positive test for study covariates.** Univariate analysis of study covariates demonstrates a significant decreased odds ratio for a SARS-CoV-2 positive test in patients with receiving ACE2-associated antineoplastic therapy (OR 0.65, 95% CI 0.00-0.98, p-value 0.04).


Covariate	OR	95% CI Min	95% CI Max	p-value
ACE2-associated antineoplastic therapy	0.65	0.00	0.98	0.04
Female gender	0.79	0.00	1.01	0.06
Age greater than or equal to 65	1.04	0.81	Inf	0.43
Non-white race	2.00	1.51	Inf	0.00
Smoking	0.91	0.69	Inf	0.76
Hematologic malignancy	2.89	2.02	Inf	0.00
Metastatic disease	1.49	1.16	Inf	0.00

275 **Supp Tab. S4 | Multivariate logistic regression of study covariates in patients receiving active antineoplastic therapy.** Multivariate logistic regression analysis of covariates demonstrating statistical significance ($p \leq 0.10$) in univariate analysis were included in a multivariate logistic regression. ACE2-associated antineoplastic therapy shows a trend towards significance (OR 0.68, 95% CI 0.41-1.07, BH-corrected q-value of 0.13).


Covariate	OR	95% CI Min	95% CI Max	p-value	BH- corrected q-values
ACE2-associated antineoplastic therapy	0.68	0.40	1.07	0.11	0.13
Non-white race	2.02	1.46	2.77	0.00	0.00
Hematologic malignancy	2.62	1.70	3.93	0.00	0.00
Female gender	0.76	0.57	1.03	0.07	0.12
Metastatic disease	1.26	0.93	1.69	0.13	0.13

280 **Supp Tab. S5 | Major antineoplastic subcategorization key.** Patient classification mapping shown for specific antineoplastic drugs used by patients in the “No ACE2-associated antineoplastic cohort” and their respective antineoplastic subcategory used in Supplementary Table S2.

[See supplementary Excel file]



285 **Fig. 1 | ACE2 expression reductions associated with exposure to various topoisomerase inhibitors.** Related genes (ADAM17, TMPRSS11D, TMPRSS2) included for comparison. Y-axis (left) = moderated Z-scores summarizing differential expression across multiple replicates per cell line calculated by the LINCS project. Y-axis (right) = drug name.



290 **Fig. 2 | ACE2 expression reductions associated with exposure to PI3K/mTOR inhibitors.** Related genes (ADAM17, TMPRSS11D, TMPRSS2) included for comparison. Y-axis = moderated Z-scores summarizing differential expression across multiple replicates per cell line calculated by the LINCS project. Y-axis (right) = drug name.