medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2020.08.20.20178657; this version posted August 23, 2020. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. It is made available under a CC-BY-NC 4.0 International license .

Title: COVID-19 in a cohort of pregnant women and their descendants. Cohort profile in the MOACC-19 study.

Authors: Javier Llorca[1,2,3], Carolina Lechosa-Muñiz[1,4], Pilar Gortázar[1,4], María Fernández-Ortiz[2], Yolanda Jubete[1,4], María J. Cabero[1,2,4] and the MOACC-19 group

Affiliations:

1. Universidad de Cantabria, Santander, Spain
2. IDIVAL, Santander, Spain
3. CIBER de Epidemiología y Salud Pública (CIBERESP), Madrid, Spain
4. University Hospital Marques de Valdecilla, Santander, Spain

Members of the MOACC-19 group:

Jéssica Alonso-Molero[1,2], Bárbara Arozamena[4], Laura Conde-Gil[4], Elsa Cornejo del Río[4], Rocío Cuesta-González[4], Trinidad Dierssen-Sotos[1,2,3], Pelayo Frank de Zulueta[4], Inés Gómez-Acebo[1,2,3], Coral Llano-Ruiz[4], Lorena Lasarte-Oria[4], Sonia López-Gómez[4], Sonia Mateo-Sota[4], Victoria Orallo, Rosa Pardo, Daniel Pérez González, María Sáez de Adana Herrero[4].

ABSTRACT

Consequences of SARS-CoV-2 infection on pregnant women and their descendants are not well known. The Mother and Child Covid-19 study is a cohort recruiting about 1000 pregnant women and their children in Cantabria, North of Spain, during COVID-19 pandemic. This article reports the cohort profile and preliminary results as recruitment is still open. Three sub-cohorts can be identified at recruitment. Sub-cohort 1 includes women giving birth between 23rd March and 25th May 2020; they have been retrospectively recruited and could have been exposed to COVID-19 only in their third trimester of pregnancy. Sub-cohort 2 includes women giving birth from 26th May 2020 on; they are being prospectively recruited and could have been exposed to COVID-19 in both their second and third trimesters of pregnancy. Sub-cohort 3 includes women in their 12th week of pregnancy prospectively recruited from 26th May 2020 on; they could have been exposed to COVID-19 anytime in their pregnancy. All women are being tested for SARS-CoV-2 infection using both RT-PCR for RNA detection and ELISA for anti-SARS-CoV-2 antibodies. All neonates are being tested for antibodies using immunochemoluminiscency tests; if the mother is tested positive for SARS-CoV-2 RNA, a naso-pharyngeal swab is also obtained from the child for RT-PCR analysis. Children will be followed-up for one year in order to ascertain the effect that COVID-19 on their development. As of 29th July, 477 women have been recruited (212, 132 and 133 for sub-cohorts 1, 2 and 3, respectively). Eight women tested positive to SARS-CoV-2 RNA. Seven children were born from these women and all tested negative for SARS-CoV-2 RNA. Summarizing, we are recruiting a cohort of 1000 pregnant women and their neonates during the COVID-19 pandemic. Results so far show that few women were infected at delivery and no child have been affected.

Keywords: COVID-19; pregnancy; neonates; cohort study; Spain.

NOTE: This preprint reports new research that has not been certified by peer review and should not be used to guide clinical practice.

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2020.08.20.20178657; this version posted August 23, 2020. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. It is made available under a CC-BY-NC 4.0 International license .

Title: COVID-19 in a cohort of pregnant women and their descendants. Cohort profile in the MOACC-19 study.

Authors: Javier Llorca[1,2,3], Carolina Lechosa-Muñiz[1,4], Pilar Gortázar[1,4], María Fernández-Ortiz[2], Yolanda Jubete[1,4], María J. Cabero[1,2,4] and the MOACC-19 group

Affiliations:

1. Universidad de Cantabria, Santander, Spain
2. IDIVAL, Santander, Spain
3. CIBER de Epidemiología y Salud Pública (CIBERESP), Madrid, Spain
4. University Hospital Marques de Valdecilla, Santander, Spain

Correspondence:

Javier Llorca
Facultad de Medicina
Universidad de Cantabria
Avda. Herrera Oria s/n
39011 Santander
Spain
Phone # 34-942 201 993
E-mail: javier.llorca@unican.es

Members of the MOACC-19 group:

Jéssica Alonso-Molero[1,2], Bárbara Arozamena[4], Laura Conde-Gil[4], Elsa Cornejo del Río[4], Rocío Cuesta-González[4], Trinidad Dierssen-Sotos[1,2,3], Pelayo Frank de Zulueta[4], Inés Gómez-Acebo[1,2,3], Coral Llano-Ruiz[4], Lorena Lasarte-Oria[4], Sonia López-Gómez[4], Sonia Mateo-Sota[4], Victoria Orallo, Rosa Pardo, Daniel Pérez González, María Sáez de Adana Herrero[4].

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2020.08.20.20178657; this version posted August 23, 2020. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. It is made available under a CC-BY-NC 4.0 International license .

INTRODUCTION

The emergence of the new coronavirus SARS-CoV-2 in China at the end of 2019 produced a pandemic of COVID-19 characterized by fever, cough, pneumonia and other respiratory symptoms, with many patients also developing a systemic inflammatory crisis, sometimes considered a cytokine storm (1,2). Both bilateral pneumonia and cytokine storm could eventually lead to severe disease, especially in vulnerable groups, reaching a case-fatality rate about 3% (2).

Although some debate remains about the possibility and the relative importance of air-borne transmission via aerosol, the scientific consensus indicates that most transmission is produced via direct contact with a patient whether symptomatic or asymptomatic and via droplet nuclei produced by her/his respiratory secretions (3). In this regard, non-pharmacological preventive measures for general population include wearing mask, respiratory etiquette and social distance about 1-2 metres, while the use of personal protection equipment such as high efficiency mask, gown, gloves or facial protection is only recommended for professional exposure (4,5).

As the number of COVID-19 cases increases, concern arises on the role played by pregnant women, whether as vulnerable group or as putative transmitters to their descendant (6). Two other coronaviruses had produced epidemics of international interest in the 21st century. During the Severe Acute Respiratory Syndrome (SARS) epidemic in 2002-2003, infection in pregnancy was associated with severe maternal disease, maternal mortality and spontaneous miscarriage (7). The Middle-East Respiratory Syndrome (MERS) appeared in 2012 and it is still ongoing. Only 11 cases of MERS in pregnancy have been reported, ten of them having adverse clinical outcome (6). Vertical transmission has not been documented in either SARS or MERS (6).

As COVID-19 is producing severe disease with remarkable case-fatality rate in some vulnerable groups such as aged people or those carrying chronic diseases, the clinical course of COVID-19 in pregnant women and their pregnancy outcomes should be especially watched over, as the experience with SARS and MERS teaches us. Initial reports, however, indicated that most infected women have mild presentation (8–10) and maternal mortality in COVID-19 pregnant women is scarce as compared with both SARS and MERS (11). Nevertheless, pregnant women are more likely to be admitted to ICU (12–14) and suffer postpartum complications (15) than non-pregnant women of similar age. Possible mechanisms for bringing about worse health outcome in pregnant women could encompass changes in lung volume, increased secretions in the upper respiratory tract, increasing susceptibility due to changes in cell-mediated immunity (11,16).

While two articles have reported remarkable decreases in newborns with gestational age lower than 28 weeks to non-SARS-CoV-2 infected women during the pandemic (17,18), high rates of preterm delivery by caesarean rate have been reported in COVID-19 infected women (10,13,15). No differences in caesarean rate delivery were found, however, when comparing symptomatic vs. asymptomatic infected women (15), which suggests that the medical ground for COVID-19 associated caesarean rates was the infection itself rather than the clinical situation.

Putative ways of mother to child transmission of COVID-19 to be considered include placental, intravaginal or breastfeeding transmissions. Reports on neonate outcome from women infected by SARS-CoV-2 are still scarce. Although most articles did not find evidence of vertical

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2020.08.20.20178657; this version posted August 23, 2020. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. It is made available under a CC-BY-NC 4.0 International license .

transmission (19–22), some cases of infected newborns have been documented in spite of delivering by caesarean rate, avoiding breastfeeding and careful mother - child isolation (13,23–26). The case for transplacental transmission, however, is subject to stringent requisites, including the detection of SARS-CoV-2 by PCR in umbilical cord blood, neonatal blood collected within the first 12 hours of life or amniotic fluid collected prior to rupture of membrane (27). In this regard, Vivanti et al (28) have convincingly reported a well-documented case of transplacental transmission. Placental pathology such us thrombi in foetal vessels has been found to be frequent in infected pregnant women (15) and could be a way of damaging neonates even in absence of SARS-CoV-2 mother-to-child transmission.

Besides the importance that COVID-19 disease could directly have on pregnant women and pregnancy result, there is also an indirect way that has not been studied in deep so far. Many countries have fought against COVID-19 by locking down most economic and social activity (29) and deeply changing the way hospitals were working (e.g., many consultations were carried out via phone or other non-face-to-face technologies; surgical procedures were delayed) (30), leading to noticeable changes in emergency room motives of consultation, even with strong decreases in consultations by usually urgent and life-threatening diseases (31,32) so that the whole health system in many countries has been deflected with unpredictable consequences. There is still no data on whether this switch could have affected the way that pregnant women with or without COVID-19 have been attended during pregnancy and delivery. For instance, we may wonder if caesarean rates could have rose or if the number of consultations during pregnancy could have decreased.

In this article, we are reporting the inception of a cohort of pregnant women and their neonates during the COVID-19 pandemic in Spain, one of the European countries most relentlessly stroke by COVID-19. Our main goals are to ascertain differences in outcomes between pregnant women with and without COVID-19, as well as among their children, and to compare pregnancy outcomes during COVID-19 pandemic with those occurred in a pre-COVID-19 cohort in the same hospital.

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2020.08.20.20178657; this version posted August 23, 2020. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. It is made available under a CC-BY-NC 4.0 International license .

METHODS

Specific and broader aims and rationale of study design

This article aims to report the design, implementation and early results of the MOther And Child Covid-19 cohort (MOACC-19) incepted at the University Hospital Marqués de Valdecilla (HUMV), Santander, Spain.

The broader aim of MOACC-19 is to better understand the effect that COVID-19 pandemic has on both mother and child health. The specific objectives are: (1) To estimate the prevalence of anti-SARS-CoV-2 antibodies in pregnant women; (2) to ascertain the risk of vertical transmission; (3) to find out the impact of both symptomatic and asymptomatic infection of mother on child health at delivery and after 6 and 12 months of follow-up; (4) to evaluate the modifications in medical practice in pregnancy, delivery and neonatal care during COVID-19 pandemic, as well as the changes they could have on neonate health, and (5) to evaluate the relationship between socio-economic status and risk of infection by SARS-CoV-2 in pregnant women.

Context: Covid-19 pandemic in Spain

As of 29th July, COVID-19 has produced 282641 cases in Spain (incidence rate: 602.2 per 100000 people) and has claimed for 28441 deaths (mortality rate: 60.6 per 100000 people) according to official data reported to ECDC (33). Daily number of cases are displayed in Figure 1. The first case was reported by 2nd February and the first death by 5th March. The daily number of cases peaked by 27th March (9181 cases) and that of deaths by 3rd April (950 deaths). Figure 1 also presents the main legal restrictions ordered by the Spanish Government, including severe confinement from 27th March to 21st June and complete lockdown from 29th of March to 12th of April.

Setting

The HUMV is a third level hospital with 900 beds located in the region of Cantabria, North of Spain. It usually attends about 2500 deliveries in a normal year (about 90% deliveries in the region), but due to the COVID-19 crisis all deliveries occurred in the Cantabria region from March to June 2020 have been gathered in the HUMV. From March to June 2020, it also concentrated all COVID-19 admissions in Cantabria; in order to do it, the hospital was divided in two separated parts, one for COVID-19 patients and the other for non-COVID-19 patients. From 23th March 2020 on, all pregnant women admitted for delivery were tested to SARS-CoV-2 active infection using RT-PCR.

Recruitment

MOACC-19 is intended to recruit at least 1000 pregnant women and their neonates. Recruitment timing is displayed in Figure 1 in the context of the pandemic evolution in Spain. Recruitment begun on 26th May 2020. It was organized with three sub-cohorts in mind:

- Sub-cohort 1: Women who had delivered from 23th March to 25th May 2020. They had already been tested with RT-PCR by the day of delivery. They are being retrospectively contacted by phone and invited to participate in MOACC-19. These women had been exposed to SARS-CoV-2 in the third trimester of their pregnancy.
- Sub-cohort 2: Women admitted to delivery from 26th May 2020 on are being invited to participate in the study at admission. They could have been exposed to SARS-CoV-2 in

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2020.08.20.20178657; this version posted August 23, 2020. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. It is made available under a CC-BY-NC 4.0 International license .

the second –where the pandemic in Spain was higher- or third trimester of their pregnancy. The later their date of delivery, the lower their exposure to COVID-19 in the third trimester.

- Sub-cohort 3: Women consulting for their 12th week of pregnancy from 26th May on are being invited to participate. If they agreed, they are immediately tested with RT-PCR. They have been exposed to the worst period of the pandemic in their first trimester; their exposure in the second trimester was lower as the pandemic wave went down, but their exposure in the third trimester is still unknown as that trimester could begin from August 2020 on.

Women in sub-cohorts 1 and 2 are also invited to include their neonates in the study. Women in sub-cohort 3 will be invited to do so by the time of delivery.

Figure 2 displays the flow diagrams of tasks carried out for each sub-cohort, including data collection, biological samples, biological determinations and follow up.

Data collection

At recruitment, women are being asked to answer a face-to-face questionnaire. It included socio-demographic data, obstetrics history, medical history, exposure to COVID-19 and symptoms compatible with COVID-19. Data on both obstetrics and medical history are to be completed by reviewing medical records. Regarding the neonate, we will review medical records in order to obtain information on characteristics at birth, perinatal pathology, admission to neonatal ICU and type of feeding at hospital discharge (Table 1).

Follow up

Neonates will be followed up at 6 and 12 months of life. They will be explored by a paediatrician in order to ascertain their general development and their psychomotor development (Table 1). Type of feeding, vaccinations, exposure to environmental tobacco smoke, respiratory diseases and other diseases in the first year of life will be asked for (Table 1).

Biological determinations

A naso-pharyngeal sample is being taken with a swab from all women at delivery and from women in sub-cohort 3 at 12th week of pregnancy. These samples are being tested for SARS-CoV-2 infection via RT-PCR. A blood sample by venopuncture is being obtained from each woman at recruitment and tested for anti-SARS-CoV-2 spike protein IgG and IgM using ELISA. Had any of these determinations in the mother been positive, we would carry out both RT-PCR and antibody determinations via ELISA for the neonate and the woman's partner. Moreover, we would search for viral RNA in placenta and mother's milk via RT-PCR.

Each neonate in Spain is screened for congenital metabolopathies by obtaining a blood sample from the heel in the first few days of life. In order to avoid unnecessary pricks to a neonate, in the same procedure we are obtaining a blood gout for studying IgG and IgM via immunochemoluminiscency.

In the follow up, new blood samples by venopuncture (mother) or finger prick (child) will be obtained at 6 and 12 months in order to study anti-SARS-CoV-2 antibodies.

Comparison group

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2020.08.20.20178657; this version posted August 23, 2020. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. It is made available under a CC-BY-NC 4.0 International license .

Health care during pregnancy and at delivery, newborn characteristics, development and non-COVID-19 pathology will be compared with a cohort of 969 neonates recruited in 2018 in the same hospital. This cohort has been described elsewhere (34,35). In brief, the cohort was recruited from January to August 2018 in the HUMV; data on maternal age, parity, educational level, pregnancy duration, type of delivery and toxic habits in pregnancy were obtained from maternal medical records. Data on neonate gender, weight and other characteristics at birth, attendance to nursery and type of feeding were obtained by interviewing the mother in each check-up every other month until the 12th month of life. Data on newborn health evolution, including vaccinations, infectious diseases, bronchospasms, emergency room consultations and hospital admissions were obtained from medical records.

Statistical analysis conducted to date

Descriptive statistics are displayed as frequency and percentage for categorical variables and mean and standard deviation for continuous variables. Statistical comparisons are performed via chi-squared test or independent samples Student-t test.

Ongoing statistical analysis

In this section we outline the ongoing statistical analysis for each specific objective.

(1) Prevalence and its 95% CI of anti-SARS-CoV-2 antibodies in pregnant women will be estimated assuming a binomial distribution (or a Poisson distribution if the number of positives is too small).
(2) Risk of vertical transmission would be described. We do not expect that the number of mother and children positive to SARS-CoV-2 either antibodies or RNA would be enough to perform a formal statistical analysis.
(3) The impact of maternal COVID-19 on child health will be evaluated using ANCOVA for continuous effect variables (e.g., weight and cephalic perimeter at birth) or logistic regression for dichotomic effect variables (e.g., premature birth, respiratory distress). Both ANCOVA and logistic regression models will be adjusted for the identifiable confounders.
(4) In order to evaluate the modifications in medical practice in pregnancy we will compare the MOACC-19 cohort with that recruited in 2018 in the same hospital. Continuous variables will be studied using Student t test for independent samples and ANCOVA in order to adjust for confounding variables. Categorical variables will be studied using chi-squared test. The effect those modifications in medical practice could have on child health will be studied with the same statistical techniques indicated in specific objective 3.
(5) Socio-economic status will be measured using HOUSES, a score developed by Jung et al. It includes four data from housing: surface, cost, number of restrooms and number of bedrooms. Its relationship with risk of infection by SARS-CoV-2 in pregnant women will be analysed via logistic regression.

Patient and public involvement

Patients were not involved in the study.

Ethical considerations

The study was approved by the Clinical Research Ethics Committee of Cantabria (reference: 2020.174). Two different written informed consents -one for the mother and one for the child-

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2020.08.20.20178657; this version posted August 23, 2020. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. It is made available under a CC-BY-NC 4.0 International license .

have to be signed by the mother before being admitted in the study. The study is conducted according to the Declaration of Helsinki (last update of Fortaleza) and the European Union regulation 2016/679 on the protection of natural persons with regard to the processing of personal data.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Findings to date

As of 29th July, 477 women have been recruited; 212 delivered before 26th May and were recruited retrospectively; 132 delivered after 26th May and were recruited prospectively and 133 reached their 12th week of pregnancy after 26th May. Their characteristics appear in Table 2. About 48% were 35 year or older, 86% were European and 11% were born in Latino-America. 44% reported university studies, 71% were actively working. 9% pregnancies were produced by in vitro fertilization or artificial insemination. 9% women reported to have smoked in pregnancy, but none among those currently pregnant ($p<0.001$); 2.9% women reported to have drunk alcohol in pregnancy, but none among those currently pregnant ($p = 0.06$). For 51% women, this was their first child. Caesarean rate was carried out in 20% deliveries and other instrumental procedures in 7%. The three sub-cohorts recruited only differed in age at recruitment (women in sub-cohort 3 -still pregnant- were slightly younger, $p=0.04$), fertilization type (only 85% in sub-cohort 3, $p = 0.05$) and smoking in pregnancy (0% reported by women in sub-cohort 3, $p<0.001$).

Eight women tested positive to SARS-CoV-2 RNA via RT-PCR; six of them were from the retrospective sample, one from the prospective sample and one from the currently pregnant women (Supplementary Table 1). One of their partners was also positive to RT-PCR and 5 were negative. Five women reported university studies; seven were employed (3 as health care workers and 3 worked in restaurants or commerce). Caesarean rate was performed in 2 women and other instrumental delivery in other 2. They did not suffer any gestational pathology other than COVID-19. Six were asymptomatic. A woman developed shortness of breathing and suffered syncope by week 32nd of pregnancy; by week 39th she delivered a healthy child. Another woman suffered nausea; she is still pregnant. Two women reported to have had contact at home with someone diagnosed of COVID-19; the remaining women reported neither a known contact responsible for them to get infected nor an international travel in the previous 2 weeks.

Three hundred and forty-four children had been born as of 31st July 2020 from women recruited in MOACC-19. Their main characteristics appear in Table 3. Fifteen of them (4.5%) were premature; 22 children (6.5%) had low weight at birth and 16 (4.8%) weighted more than 4000 g. Four children were twins (1.2%). Twenty-five children (7.3%) required admission to ICU; 7 because of jaundice, 6 due to respiratory distress and 4 due to low weight at birth or prematurity. About 58% children were exclusively breastfeeding at hospital discharge, 23% received mixed feeding and 19% were fed with infant formula. Children prospectively recruited had slightly lower Apgar scores than those retrospectively recruited ($p = 0.02$ for Apgar at 1' and $p = 0.01$ for Apgar at 5'). Apart from differences in Apgar scores, child characteristics were similar in children retrospectively or prospectively recruited.

Seven children were born from women testing positive to RT-PCR COVID-19 infection the day of delivery. Their main characteristics are shown in Supplementary Table 2. Their gestations

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2020.08.20.20178657; this version posted August 23, 2020. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. It is made available under a CC-BY-NC 4.0 International license .

lasted between 37 weeks + 4 days and 40 weeks + 1 day. They weighted between 2755 and 3500 g at delivery. A child was admitted in the ICU due to respiratory distress; he had Apgar 1' = 4 and Apgar 5' = 8. The other six children were healthy, their Apgar 1' was 9 and their Apgar 5' ranged 9 – 10; they did not required admission in the ICU. Naso-pharyngeal swabs were obtained for RT-PCR analysis at least twice for each child: one the day of delivery and another the day after; they were all negative. A test for antibodies anti-SARS-CoV-2 was carried out and was negative for both IgG and IgM in all seven children.

Strengths and limitations

In this article we are reporting the inception and first results of a cohort of women who have been pregnant in the COVID-19 pandemic in Spain and their children. The study has some limitations. Firstly, it has been designed for recruiting 1000 women and children under the assumption that prevalence of COVID-19 infection in Spain by 31st March would be about 15%, as suggested by the first version of a report from the Imperial College (36). The fact that a Spanish national study later reported the prevalence to be 5% (37) could make our study underpowered. If it happens, we would deal it by enlarging our cohort. Secondly, information regarding exposure to people infected by SARS-CoV-2 or risk activities is self-reported, which makes it less reliable than recorded variables such as those regarding pregnancy control or delivery results; therefore, some information bias could be expected, although it could possibly be a non-differential one. Thirdly, women participating in the study could be more motivated than women rejecting it. In this regard, recruitment of women in sub-cohort 1 was delayed for some weeks, as many women were reluctant to come back to the hospital in the middle of the pandemic, where news about hospital activity and number of admitted or dead patients by COVID-19 were alarming. As the wave went on, they became much more cooperative and widely agreed in participating in the study. This did not happen in sub-cohorts 2 and 3 as they were actually recruited in a routine visit to hospital. Therefore, we could not rule out the possibility of participants in sub-cohort 1 being different from participants in sub-cohorts 2 and 3. Nevertheless, participants in all three cohorts shared most characteristics as shown in Table 2, which makes such a differential participation less likely. Fifthly, antibodies anti-SARS-CoV-2 in neonates are being determined via immunochemoluminiscency, which seems to be less sensitive than ELISA. In spite of the potential losing of accuracy, we do prefer it for neonates as we would consider unethical to obtain a sample of blood by venopuncture from a neonate unless clinical reasons justify it.

The study has some strengths too. Firstly, we are recruiting women in one of the developed countries earlier and more affected by COVID-19 (38,39). Secondly, we are identifying three sub-cohorts whose higher exposure to COVID-19 would be in different pregnancy trimester, so that we could study the effect of early and late infection on both mother and child health. Thirdly, this study takes place in a country with public health system of universal coverage; therefore, differences that could be find among woman and child health are not expected to be due to differences in health care accessibility. Fourthly, we could be able to compare this cohort with a previous one recruited in the same hospital in 2018, so that we expect to measure differences in health care due to COVID-19 pandemic affecting women and children irrespective they were or not infected by SARS-CoV-2. Fifthly, the cohort being recruited in only a hospital somehow guaranties homogeneity in health care and collecting information. Further on, women in this cohort could join the International Registry of Coronavirus Exposure in Pregnancy (IRCEP, https://corona.pregistry.com) so that results from this cohort could be compared with those of women elsewhere.

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2020.08.20.20178657; this version posted August 23, 2020. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. It is made available under a CC-BY-NC 4.0 International license .

CONCLUSION

MOACC study is recruiting a cohort of about 1000 pregnant women and their neonates during the COVID-19 pandemic evolution in Spain in order to ascertain the impact COVID-19 would have on both mother and child health. Characteristics of three different sub-cohorts would allow us to study such an effect on each pregnancy trimester and to compare this cohort with a previous one recruited in the same hospital before the beginning of the pandemic, which could also allow to understand what changes have occurred in pregnancy health care during the pandemic and what effects those changes could have.

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2020.08.20.20178657; this version posted August 23, 2020. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. It is made available under a CC-BY-NC 4.0 International license .

Author contributions: Conceptualization, JL, MJC; methodology, JL, CL, PG, MJC; formal analysis, JL, MFO, MJC; investigation, CL, PG, YJ, MJC; data curation, JL, MFO; writing—original draft preparation, JL; writing—review and editing, JL, CLM, MJC; funding acquisition, JL, MJC. All authors have read and agreed to the published version of the manuscript.

Funding: This study is funding by a grant from Instituto de Salud Carlos III (ISCIII) (reference: COV20/00923). The funder did not have any role in the design, methods, analysis, or preparation of this manuscript.

Acknowledgments: The authors acknowledge the cooperation of Dr Jorge Calvo-Montes, Service of Microbiology at University Hospital Marqués de Valdecilla and Dr Marcos López-Hoyos, Service of Immunology at University Hospital Marqués de Valdecilla, for the performance of RT-PCR analyses and anti-SARS-CoV-2 antibodies determinations. The Service of Gynaecology and Obstetrics and the Service of Paediatrics at University Hospital Marqués de Valdecilla collaborated in recruiting patients.

Conflict of interest: The authors declare not to have conflict of interest.

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2020.08.20.20178657; this version posted August 23, 2020. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. It is made available under a CC-BY-NC 4.0 International license .

REFERENCES

1. Zhu N, Zhang D, Wang W, Li X, Yang B, Song J, et al. A novel coronavirus from patients with pneumonia in China, 2019. N Engl J Med. 2020 Feb 20;382(8):727–33.

2. Mehta P, McAuley DF, Brown M, Sanchez E, Tattersall RS, Manson JJ. COVID-19: consider cytokine storm syndromes and immunosuppression. Vol. 395, The Lancet. Lancet Publishing Group; 2020. p. 1033–4.

3. Klompas M, Baker MA, Rhee C. Airborne Transmission of SARS-CoV-2: Theoretical Considerations and Available Evidence. Vol. 324, JAMA - Journal of the American Medical Association. American Medical Association; 2020. p. 441–2.

4. How to Protect Yourself & Others | CDC [Internet]. Centers for Disease Control and Prevention. 2020 [cited 2020 Aug 14]. Available from: https://www.cdc.gov/coronavirus/2019-ncov/prevent-getting-sick/prevention.html

5. COVID-19: Strategies for Optimizing the Supply of PPE | CDC [Internet]. Centers for Disease Control and Prevention. 2020 [cited 2020 Aug 14]. Available from: https://www.cdc.gov/coronavirus/2019-ncov/hcp/ppe-strategy/index.html

6. Schwartz DA, Graham AL. Potential maternal and infant outcomes from coronavirus 2019-NCOV (SARS-CoV-2) infecting pregnant women: Lessons from SARS, MERS, and other human coronavirus infections. Vol. 12, Viruses. MDPI AG; 2020.

7. Wong SF, Chow KM, Swiet M. Severe Acute Respiratory Syndrome and pregnancy. BJOG An Int J Obstet Gynaecol. 2003 Jul;110(7):641–2.

8. Pereira A, Cruz-Melguizo S, Adrien M, Fuentes L, Marin E, Perez-Medina T. Clinical course of coronavirus disease-2019 in pregnancy. Acta Obstet Gynecol Scand. 2020 Jul 1;99(7):839–47.

9. Crovetto F, Crispi F, Llurba E, Figueras F, Gómez-Roig MD, Gratacós E. Seroprevalence and presentation of SARS-CoV-2 in pregnancy. Lancet (London, England). 2020 Aug 6;20(31714–1):s0140-6736.

10. Della Gatta AN, Rizzo R, Pilu G, Simonazzi G. Coronavirus disease 2019 during pregnancy: a systematic review of reported cases. Vol. 223, American Journal of Obstetrics and Gynecology. Mosby Inc.; 2020. p. 36–41.

11. Dashraath P, Wong JLJ, Lim MXK, Lim LM, Li S, Biswas A, et al. Coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19) pandemic and pregnancy. Am J Obstet Gynecol. 2020 Jun 1;222(6):521–31.

12. Collin J, Byström E, Carnahan AS, Ahrne M. Public Health Agency of Sweden's Brief Report: Pregnant and postpartum women with severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus 2 infection in intensive care in Sweden. Acta Obstet Gynecol Scand. 2020 Jul 1;99(7):819–22.

13. Knight M, Bunch K, Vousden N, Morris E, Simpson N, Gale C, et al. Characteristics and outcomes of pregnant women admitted to hospital with confirmed SARS-CoV-2 infection in UK: national population based cohort study. BMJ. 2020 Jun 8;369:m2107.

14. Ellington S, Strid P, Tong VT, Woodworth K, Galang RR, Zambrano LD, et al. Characteristics of Women of Reproductive Age with Laboratory-Confirmed SARS-CoV-2 Infection by Pregnancy Status — United States, January 22–June 7, 2020. MMWR Morb Mortal Wkly Rep. 2020 Jun 26;69(25):769–75.

15. Prabhu M, Cagino K, Matthews K, Friedlander R, Glynn S, Kubiak J, et al. Pregnancy and

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2020.08.20.20178657; this version posted August 23, 2020. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. It is made available under a CC-BY-NC 4.0 International license .

postpartum outcomes in a universally tested population for SARS-CoV-2 in New York City: a prospective cohort study. BJOG An Int J Obstet Gynaecol. 2020 Aug 13;

16. Zaigham M, Andersson O. Maternal and perinatal outcomes with COVID-19: A systematic review of 108 pregnancies. Vol. 99, Acta Obstetricia et Gynecologica Scandinavica. Wiley-Blackwell; 2020. p. 823–9.

17. Hedermann G, Hedley PL, Bækvad-Hansen M, Hjalgrim H, Rostgaard K, Poorisrisak P, et al. Changes in premature birth rates during the Danish nationwide COVID-19 lockdown: a nationwide register-based prevalence proportion study. medRxiv Prepr. 2020 Aug 11;

18. Philip R, Purtill H, Reidy E, Daly M, Imcha M, McGrath D, et al. Reduction in preterm births during the COVID-19 lockdown in Ireland: a natural experiment allowing analysis of data from the prior two decades. medRxiv Prepr. 2020;

19. Yan J, Guo J, Fan C, Juan J, Yu X, Li J, et al. Coronavirus disease 2019 in pregnant women: a report based on 116 cases. Am J Obstet Gynecol. 2020 Jul 1;223(1):111.e14.

20. Li N, Han L, Peng M, Lv Y, Ouyang Y, Liu K, et al. Maternal and neonatal outcomes of pregnant women with COVID-19 pneumonia: a case-control study. Clin Infect Dis. 2020 Mar 30;ciaa352.

21. Chen Y, Peng H, Wang L, Zhao Y, Zeng L, Gao H, et al. Infants Born to Mothers With a New Coronavirus (COVID-19). Front Pediatr. 2020 Mar 16;8(104).

22. Pereira A, Cruz-Melguizo S, Adrien M, Fuentes L, Marin E, Forti A, et al. Breastfeeding mothers with COVID-19 infection: a case series. Int Breastfeed J. 2020 Aug 8;15(1):69.

23. Alzamora MC, Paredes T, Caceres D, Webb CM, Webb CM, Valdez LM, et al. Severe COVID-19 during Pregnancy and Possible Vertical Transmission. Am J Perinatol. 2020 Jun 1;37(8):861–5.

24. Ferrazzi E, Frigerio L, Savasi V, Vergani P, Prefumo F, Barresi S, et al. Vaginal delivery in SARS-CoV-2-infected pregnant women in Northern Italy: a retrospective analysis. BJOG An Int J Obstet Gynaecol. 2020 Aug 1;127(9):1116–21.

25. Zeng H, Xu C, Fan J, Tang Y, Deng Q, Zhang W, et al. Antibodies in Infants Born to Mothers With COVID-19 Pneumonia. JAMA - J Am Med Assoc. 2020;

26. Zeng L, Xia S, Yuan W, Yan K, Xiao F, Shao J, et al. Neonatal Early-Onset InfectionWith SARS-CoV-2 in 33 Neonates Born to MothersWith COVID-19 in Wuhan, China. JAMA Pediatr. 2020;23(77):E1–3.

27. Shah PS, Diambomba Y, Acharya G, Morris SK, Bitnun A. Classification system and case definition for SARS-CoV-2 infection in pregnant women, fetuses, and neonates. Vol. 99, Acta Obstetricia et Gynecologica Scandinavica. Wiley-Blackwell; 2020. p. 565–8.

28. Vivanti AJ, Vauloup-Fellous C, Prevot S, Zupan V, Suffee C, Do Cao J, et al. Transplacental transmission of SARS-CoV-2 infection. Nat Commun. 2020 Dec 1;11(1):1–7.

29. Hsiang S, Allen D, Annan-Phan S, Bell K, Bolliger I, Chong T, et al. The effect of large-scale anti-contagion policies on the COVID-19 pandemic. Nature. 2020 Jun 8;584(7820):262–7.

30. CDC 2020c. Interim Infection Prevention and Control Recommendations for Healthcare Personnel During the Coronavirus Disease 2019 (COVID-19) Pandemic [Internet]. Centers for Disease Control and Prevention. 2020 [cited 2020 Aug 15]. Available from:

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2020.08.20.20178657; this version posted August 23, 2020. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. It is made available under a CC-BY-NC 4.0 International license .

https://www.cdc.gov/coronavirus/2019-ncov/hcp/infection-control-recommendations.html

31. Ponkilainen V, Kuitunen I, Hevonkorpi TP, Paloneva J, Reito A, Launonen AP, et al. The effect of nationwide lockdown and societal restrictions due to COVID-19 on emergency and urgent surgeries. Br J Surg. 2020 Aug 7;

32. Lange SJ, Ritchey MD, Goodman AB, Dias T, Twentyman E, Fuld J, et al. Potential Indirect Effects of the COVID-19 Pandemic on Use of Emergency Departments for Acute Life-Threatening Conditions — United States, January–May 2020. MMWR Morb Mortal Wkly Rep. 2020 Jun 26;69(25):795–800.

33. 2020 EC for DP and C. Download today's data on the geographic distribution of COVID-19 cases worldwide [Internet]. European Centre for Disease Prevention and Control 2020. 2020 [cited 2020 Aug 1]. Available from: https://www.ecdc.europa.eu/en/publications-data/download-todays-data-geographic-distribution-covid-19-cases-worldwide

34. Muñiz CL, Paz-Zulueta M, Río EC Del, Sota SM, De Adana MS, Pérez MM, et al. Impact of maternal smoking on the onset of breastfeeding versus formula feeding: A cross-sectional study. Int J Environ Res Public Health. 2019 Dec 2;16(24).

35. Lechosa-Muñiz C, Paz-Zulueta M, Herrero MS de A, Rio EC Del, Sota SM, Llorca J, et al. Health care costs associated to type of feeding in the first year of life. Int J Environ Res Public Health. 2020 Jul 1;17(13):1–9.

36. Russell TW, Hellewell J, Abbott S, Golding N, Gibbs H, Jarvis CI, et al. Using a delay-adjusted case fatality ratio to estimate under-reporting | CMMID Repository [Internet]. CMMID Repository. 2020 [cited 2020 Apr 2]. Available from: https://cmmid.github.io/topics/covid19/global_cfr_estimates.html

37. Pollán M, Pérez-Gómez B, Pastor-Barriuso R, Oteo J, Hernán MA, Pérez-Olmeda M, et al. Prevalence of SARS-CoV-2 in Spain (ENE-COVID): a nationwide, population-based seroepidemiological study. Lancet. 2020 Jul 6;0(0).

38. Comparisons of all-cause mortality between European countries and regions - Office for National Statistics [Internet]. UK Office for National Statistics. 2020 [cited 2020 Aug 15]. Available from: https://www.ons.gov.uk/peoplepopulationandcommunity/birthsdeathsandmarriages/deaths/articles/comparisonsofallcausemortalitybetweeneuropeancountriesandregions/januarytojune2020

39. Russell TW, Golding N, Hellewell J, Abbott S, B Pearson CA, van Zandvoort K, et al. Reconstructing the global dynamics of under-ascertained COVID-19 cases and infections. medRxiv Prepr. 2020 Jul 8;

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2020.08.20.20178657; this version posted August 23, 2020. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. It is made available under a CC-BY-NC 4.0 International license .

Figure 1. Pregnant women recruitment for this study in the context of the COVID-19 pandemic evolution in Spain. The blue line represents the daily number of cases as reported to the ECDC. The blue rectangles indicate the main legal restrictions. The yellow rectangles mark the recruitment period for each sub-cohort. The orange rectangles indicate the period of exposure to COVID-19 for each sub-cohort. Note on reported cases: Peaks by May 10th, 22th and 28th, and troughs by April 19th and May 5th are anomalies in the number of reported cases due to corrections in the series.

Figure 2. Flow diagram of tasks performed in each sub-cohort: (2a) sub-cohort 1, (2b) sub-cohort 2, (2c) sub-cohort 3.

Table 1. Summary of data collected in the MOACC-19 study

Type of data	Time of data collection	Variables measured
Socio-demographic	Baseline	Age, gender, nationality, educational level, housing characteristics, work status, occupation
Obstetrics history	Baseline	Parity, pre-gestational BMI, fertilization type, week of maternity leave, gestational weight gain, prenatal education, toxic habits, type of birth
Exposure or risk factors for COVID-19	Baseline	Number of people living in the same house, symptoms compatible with COVID-19, previous test for COVID-19 diagnosis, travels, contact with COVID-19 cases, working in high risk places (e.g., health care worker)
Medical history	Baseline	Diabetes mellitus, arterial hypertension, cardiovascular disease, pulmonary disease, cancer, other chronic or severe disease
Neonate characteristics at birth	Baseline	Gestational age, gender, birth weight, birth size, birth cephalic perimeter, Apgar, multiple birth, type of feeding at hospital discharge, admission to neonatal ICU, perinatal pathology (including respiratory distress among others), congenital malformations
Neonate development	Follow up at 6 months	Weight, size, cephalic perimeter, type of feeding, psychomotor development (primary reflex persistency, hypertonia, hypotonic, sitting with support, search for sound origin, lack of sound emission), pathology
Neonate development	Follow up at 12 months	Weight, size, cephalic perimeter, type of feeding, psychomotor development (stand, thumb-forefinger pinch, understanding simple orders, pointing with forefinger, interest for other children), pathology
Vaccinations in the first year of life	Follow up at 12 months	Systematic vaccinations in the Spanish schedule + rotavirus + meningococcus B
Other neonate variables	Follow up at 6 and 12 months	Attendance to nursery, environmental tobacco smoke, bronchospasm

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2020.08.20.20178657; this version posted August 23, 2020. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. It is made available under a CC-BY-NC 4.0 International license.

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2020.08.20.20178657; this version posted August 23, 2020. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. It is made available under a CC-BY-NC 4.0 International license .

Table 2. Main characteristics of women included in MOACC-19 study

Variable	Whole cohort (n = 477)	Sub-cohort 1 (women delivering before 26/May/2020) (n = 212)	Sub-cohort 2 (women delivering from 26/May/2000 on) (n = 132)	Sub-cohort 3 (women in their 12th week of pregnancy from 26/May/2000) (n = 133)	P
Age, mean±sd	33.9±4.9	34.1±4.7	34.5±5.1	33.0±5.0	0.04
Age					
<25	21 (4.5)	7 (3.4)	5 (3.8)	9 (6.9)	0.28
25-29	62 (13.1)	28 (13.4)	16 (12.1)	18 (13.7)	
30-34	160 (33.9)	65 (31.1)	43 (32.6)	52 (39.7)	
35-39	169 (35.8)	85 (40.7)	46 (34.9)	38 (29.0)	
>40	60 (12.7)	24 (11.5)	22 (16.7)	14 (10.7)	
Nationality					
European	407 (85.7)	190 (90.1)	112 (84.9)	105 (80.0)	0.10
African	12 (2.5)	2 (1.0)	6 (4.6)	4 (3.0)	
Asiatic	5 (1.1)	2 (1.0)	1 (0.8)	2 (1.5)	
Latino-America	51 (10.7)	17 (8.1)	13 (9.9)	21 (15.9)	
Education level					
Primary	71 (14.9)	27 (12.7)	17 (13.0)	27 (20.3)	0.26
Secondary	34 (7.1)	17 (8.0)	12 (9.1)	5 (3.8)	
FP	162 (34.0)	78 (36.8)	42 (32.1)	42 (31.6)	
University	209 (43.9)	90 (42.5)	60 (45.8)	49 (44.4)	
Working status					
Unemployed / no active worker	129 (27.2)	49 (23.2)	40 (30.5)	40 (30.1)	0.37
Employed	336 (70.7)	159 (75.4)	88 (67.2)	89 (66.9)	
Student	10 (2.1)	3 (1.4)	3 (2.3)	4 (3.0)	
Gestational age low work, mean±sd	25.3±9.2	26.0±8.8	23.7±10.0	-	0.08
Fertilization type					
Natural	310 (90.9)	199 (94.3)	111 (85.4)	-	0.05
Artificial insemination	4 (1.2)	2 (1.0)	2 (1.5)	-	
In vitro fertilization (own ovules)	21 (6.2)	8 (3.8)	13 (10.0)	-	
In vitro fertilization (donated ovules)	6 (1.8)	2 (1.0)	4 (3.1)	-	
Pregestational BMI, mean±sd	24.4±5.4	24.2±5.5	24.5±5.7	24.6±5.0	0.77
Gestational weight gain, mean±sd	11.8±5.3	11.8±5.5	11.9±4.9	-	0.92
Smoker in pregnancy	43 (9.0)	23 (10.9)	20 (15.2)	0 (0.0)	<0.001
Alcohol consumption in pregnancy	14 (2.9)	9 (4.3)	5 (3.8)	0 (0.0)	0.06
Parity (incl. current delivery)					
1	173 (51.0)	103 (48.6)	73 (55.3)	-	0.74
2	143 (42.2)	93 (43.9)	51 (38.6)	-	
≥3	23 (6.8)	16 (7.5)	8 (6.1)	-	
Type of delivery					
Eutocic	242 (72.9)	156 (74.3)	89 (70.1)	-	0.64

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2020.08.20.20178657; this version posted August 23, 2020. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. It is made available under a CC-BY-NC 4.0 International license .

Instrumental	24 (7.2)	15 (7.1)	9 (7.1)	-	
Caesarean rate	66 (19.9)	39 (18.6)	29 (22.8)	-	
COVID-19 RT-PCR (women)					
Positive	8 (1.7)	6 (2.8)	1 (0.8)	1 (0.9)	0.25
Negative	451 (98.3)	205 (97.2)	131 (99.2)	115 (99.1)	
COVID-19 RT-PCR (partner)					
Positive	3 (0.9)	1 (0.5)	2 (1.6)	-	0.61
Negative	318 (99.1)	195 (99.5)	123 (98.4)	-	
Pathology in pregnancy	232 (67.4)	145 (68.4)	87 (65.9)	-	0.63
Gestational diabetes	23 (6.7)	14 (6.6)	9 (6.8)	-	0.94
Gestational diabetes with insulin	21 (6.1)	11 (5.2)	10 (7.6)	-	0.37
Gestational hypertension	14 (4.1)	9 (4.3)	5 (3.8)	-	0.84
Chronic hypertension	2 (0.6)	1 (0.5)	1 (0.8)	-	0.74
Preeclampsia	11 (3.2)	5 (2.4)	6 (4.6)	-	0.26
Placenta previa	0	0	0	-	-
Placental abruption	1 (0.3	1 (0.5)	0	-	0.43
Threatened miscarriage	16 (4.7)	10 (4.7)	6 (4.6)	-	0.94
Metrorrhagia (2nd half pregnancy)	4 (1.2)	3 (1.4)	1 (0.8)	-	0.58
Prelabour rupture of membranes	3 (0.9)	1 (0.5)	2 (1.5)	-	0.31
Intrahepatic cholestasis	4 (1.2)	3 (1.4)	1 (0.8)	-	0.58
Oligoamnios Polyamnios	2 (0.6)	2 (0.9)	0	-	0.26
Surgery	7 (2.0)	5 (2.4)	2 (1.5)	-	0.59
Threatened premature delivery	3 (0.9)	2 (0.9)	1 (0.8)	-	0.86
Chorioamnionitis	1 (0.3)	0	1 (0.8)	-	0.20
Chromosomopathies	3 (0.9)	2 (0.9)	1 (0.8)	-	0.86

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2020.08.20.20178657; this version posted August 23, 2020. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. It is made available under a CC-BY-NC 4.0 International license .

Table 3. Main characteristics of children included in the study

Variable	Total (n = 344)	Sub-cohort 1 (children born before 26/May/2020) (n = 212)	Sub-cohort 2 (children born from 26/May/2020 on) (n = 132)	P value
Gestational age at delivery				
<34 weeks	2 (0.6)	2 (1.0)	0 (0.0)	0.25
34-36 weeks	13 (3.9)	10 (4.9)	3 (2.3)	
≥37 weeks	317 (95.5)	191 (94.1)	126 (97.7)	
Birth weight				
<2500 g	22 (6.5)	14 (6.7)	8 (6.2)	0.82
2500 – 4000 g	299 (88.7)	183 (88.0)	116 (89.9)	
>4000 g	16 (4.8)	11 (5.3)	5 (3.9)	
Birth size, mean±sd	49.4±3.5	49.2±3.1	49.8±4.1	0.17
Birth cephalic perimeter, mean±sd	34.5±1.7	34.3±1.6	34.7±1.8	0.09
Apgar 1', mean±sd	8.8±0.8	8.8±0.7	8.5±1.0	0.02
Apgar 5', mean±sd	9.7±0.6	9.7±0.5	9.6±0.7	0.01
pH, mean±sd	7.24±0.44	7.22±0.52	7.29±0.27	0.19
Gender				
Woman	147 (43.2)	91 (43.5)	56 (42.8)	0.89
Man	193 (56.8)	118 (56.5)	75 (57.3)	
Twin	4 (1.2)	2 (1.0)	2 (1.5)	0.64
Type of feeding at hospital discharge				
Exclusive breastfeeding	195 (58.4)	121 (59.0)	74 (57.4)	0.83
Mixed	77 (23.1)	45 (22.0)	32 (24.8)	
Infant formula	62 (18.6)	39 (19.0)	23 (17.8)	
Neonatology admission	25 (7.3)	18 (8.5)	7 (5.3)	0.26
Jaundice	7 (2.0)	5 (2.4)	2 (1.5)	0.59
Respiratory distress	6 (1.7)	3 (1.4)	3 (2.3)	0.56
Low weight	1 (0.3)	1 (0.5)	0 (0.0)	0.43
Prematurity	3 (0.9)	3 (1.4)	0 (0.0)	0.17
SARS-CoV-2 antibodies				
IgG	6 (1.9)	5 (2.6)	1 (0.8)	0.27
IgM	2 (0.6)	2 (1.0)	0 (0.0)	0.26

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2020.08.20.20178657; this version posted August 23, 2020. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. It is made available under a CC-BY-NC 4.0 International license .

Supplementary Table 1. Characteristics of women tested positive for SARS-CoV-2 infection via RT-PCR

Variable	Category	N (%)
Study cohort	Sub-cohort 1 (Women delivering before 26/May/2020)	6
	Sub-cohort 2 (Women delivering from 26/May/2020 on)	1
	Sub-cohort 3 (Women in their 12th week of pregnancy after 26/May/2020)	1
Partner RT-PCR	Negative	5
	Positive	1
	Missing	2
Age	<25 years	0
	25-29 years	0
	30-34 years	3 (37.5)
	35-39 years	2 (25.0)
	≥40 years	3 (37.5)
Education level	Primary studies	0
	Secondary studies	1 (12.5)
	FP	2 (25.0)
	University studies	5 (62.5)
Work status	Unemployed / no active worker	1 (12.5)
	Employed	7 (87.5)
	Student	0
Occupation	Health care worker	3 (37.5)
	Working in restaurant or commerce	3 (37.5)
	Others	2 (25.0)
Fertilization type	Natural	7 (87.5)
	Artificial insemination	0
	In vitro fertilization (own ovule)	0
	In vitro fertilization (ovodonation)	0
	Missing (still pregnant)	1 (12.5)
Smoker in pregnancy	No	8 (100.0)
	Yes	0
Alcohol consumption in pregnancy	No	8 (100.0)
	Yes	0
Parity	1	5 (62.5)
	2	1 (12.5)
	≥3	1 (12.5)
	Missing (still pregnant)	1 (12.5)
Type of delivery	Eutocic	3 (37.5)
	Caesarean rate	2 (25.5)
	Instrumental	2 (25.5)
	Still pregnant	1 (12.5)
Age (years)	mean±sd (min, max)	36.4±4.0 (30 – 40)
Gestational age low at work (weeks)	mean±sd (min, max)	21.1±12.7 (0 – 32)
Pregestational BMI	mean±sd (min, max)	22.3±2.9 (18.5 – 28.0)

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2020.08.20.20178657; this version posted August 23, 2020. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. It is made available under a CC-BY-NC 4.0 International license .

(kg/m^2)		
Gestational weight gain (kg)	mean±sd (min, max)	10.9±2.8 (8 – 16)
Symptoms associated with COVID-19	Fever	0
	Chills	0
	Sore throat	0
	Cough	0
	Short of breathing	1
	Headache	0
	Nausea	1
	Loss of sense	1

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2020.08.20.20178657; this version posted August 23, 2020. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. It is made available under a CC-BY-NC 4.0 International license .

Supplementary Table 2. Characteristics of children born from women tested positive to SARS-CoV-2 infection using RT-PCR

Variable	Category	N = 7 (%)
Gestational age at birth	**<34 weeks**	0
	34-36 weeks	0
	≥37 weeks	7 (100.0)
Birth weight	**<2500 g**	0
	2500-4000 g	7 (100.0)
	>4000 g	0
Gender	**Women**	3 (42.9)
	Men	4 (57.1)
Twin or singleton	**Singleton**	7 (100.0)
	Twin	0
Breastfeeding at hospital discharge	**Exclusive breastfeeding**	2 (28.6)
	Mixed	3 (42.9)
	Infant formula	2 (28.6)
Neonatology admission	**No**	6 (85.7)
	Yes	1 (14.3)
Birth size	**mean±sd (min, max)**	49.1±2.4 (47 – 53)
Birth cephalic perimeter	**mean±sd (min, max)**	34.6±2.0 (33 – 39)
Apgar 1'	**mean±sd (min, max)**	8.3±1.9 (4 – 9)
Apgar 5'	**mean±sd (min, max)**	9.4±0.8 (8 – 10)
pH at birth	**mean±sd (min, max)**	7.27±0.04 (7.23 – 7.32)
SARS-CoV-2 via RT-PCR		All negative

Figure 1


March 5
First death reported
March 27
Peak of number of cases (9181)
March 29
Complete lockdown: halting all non-essential activity
April 12
Partial lockdown resumed
June 21
End of state of alarm
February 1
First case reported
March 14
State of alarm: Partial lockdown
April 3
Peak of deaths (950)
Daily number of cases
10000
5000
0
-10000
Subcohort 1 (retrospective recruitment)
Deliveries between March 23 and May 25
Subcohort 1: Period of possible exposure to covid-19, mostly in third trimester
Subcohort 2 (prospective recruitment)
Deliveries from May 26 on
Subcohort 2: Period of possible exposure to covid-19, higher in second trimester and lower in third trimester
Subcohort 3 (prospective recruitment)
Week 12 of pregnancy from May 26 on
Subcohort 3: Period of possible exposure to covid-19, higher in first trimester, lower in second trimester and unknown in third trimester
May 26: Recruitment begins
2/1/2020
3/1/2020
4/1/2020
5/1/2020
6/1/2020
7/1/2020

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2020.08.20.20178657; this version posted August 23, 2020. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. It is made available under a CC-BY-NC 4.0 International license .

Figure 2a



medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2020.08.20.20178657; this version posted August 23, 2020. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. It is made available under a CC-BY-NC 4.0 International license .

Figure 2b



medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2020.08.20.20178657; this version posted August 23, 2020. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. It is made available under a CC-BY-NC 4.0 International license .

Figure 2c