

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15

**The Effects of Coronavirus Victimization Distress and Coronavirus Racial Bias on Mental Health Among Black, Indigenous and Latinx Young Adults in the United States**

Celia B. Fisher<sup>1,2\*</sup>, Xiangyu Tao<sup>1</sup>, and Tiffany Yip<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Department of Psychology, Fordham University, Bronx, New York, U.S.

<sup>2</sup>Center for Ethics Education, Fordham University, Bronx, New York, U.S.

\* Corresponding Author: [Fisher@fordham.edu](mailto:Fisher@fordham.edu)

**Brief Title:** Effects of Coronavirus Victimization Distress and Racial Bias on Mental Health Among U.S. Ethnic Minority Adults

16 **The Effects of Coronavirus Victimization Distress and Coronavirus Racial Bias on Mental**  
17 **Health Among Black, Indigenous and Latinx Young Adults in the United States**

18 **Abstract**

19 **Background.** People of color in the U.S. have been disproportionately impacted by the COVID-  
20 19 pandemic in terms of rates of infection and morbidity. Explanations for these disparities  
21 include over-representation as essential workers and long-standing inequities in access to health  
22 services. Prior to the pandemic, racial discrimination has been associated with depression and  
23 general anxiety. However, the effect of discrimination and racial bias specific to the Coronavirus  
24 on mental health has not been examined. The aim of this study was to assess the effects of  
25 Coronavirus victimization distress and Coronavirus racial bias beliefs on the mental health of  
26 young adult people of color.

27 **Method.** An online survey administered to a national sample of 350 Black, Indigenous and  
28 Latinx adults (18 – 25 years) included Coronavirus health risks, prescription and financial  
29 security, measure of depression and anxiety and 2 new psychometrically validated measures for  
30 Coronavirus related victimization distress and racial bias.

31 **Results.** Employment, number of Coronavirus health risks, Coronavirus victimization distress  
32 and Coronavirus racial bias were positively correlated with each other and with depression and  
33 anxiety. By contrast, household income and perceived financial and prescription security were  
34 negatively correlated with Coronavirus victimization, Coronavirus racial bias and with the  
35 mental health indices. Structural equation modeling controlling for demographic variables  
36 indicated perceived Coronavirus racial bias mediated the effect of Coronavirus victimization  
37 distress on both mental health measures across all groups.

38 **Conclusions.** Results suggest the COVID-19 pandemic has created new pathways to mental  
39 health disparities among young adults of color by reversing formerly protective factors such as  
40 employment, and by exacerbating structural and societal inequities linked to race. Findings  
41 highlight the necessity of creating mental health services tailored to the specific needs of  
42 racial/ethnic minorities during the current and future health crises.

## 43 **Introduction**

44 The COVID-19 pandemic has amplified existing racial/ethnic disparities in the United  
45 States. People of color have higher risks of COVID-19 infection and mortality. Black,  
46 Indigenous and Latinx adults are at disproportionately higher risk. For example, as of July 28,  
47 2020, Black, Indigenous, and Latinx people in the U.S. compared with other racial/ethnic groups  
48 accounted for greater proportions of Coronavirus infections among young adults ages 18 – 29  
49 years (17.7%, 1.3%, 30.28%, respectively) and an even greater proportion of deaths (34.6%,  
50 4.5%, 38.66%, respectively) (1). Disparities faced by people of color during the pandemic are  
51 rooted in long-standing inequities in access to health services and health outcomes (2-4). These  
52 include lower levels of treatment satisfaction and trust, utilization rate, and service outcome  
53 resulting in delay and lack of adherence to treatment uptake (3-5). Compared with other  
54 racial/ethnic groups Black, Indigenous and Latinx persons in the US also have higher rates of  
55 CDC identified medical conditions associated with risk of severe illness from COVID-19,  
56 including obesity, diabetes, asthma, and HIV (6-14). In addition, Black, Indigenous, and Latinx  
57 adults are more likely to be employed as lower skilled workers, and during the current crises, as  
58 essential workers (15, 16), which increases risk of COVID-19 exposure. In 2018, approximately  
59 20% of employed Black and Latinx men worked in service occupations, compared to 13% of

60 White and Asian men (16). Although 11.9% of all workers are Black, they account for 17% of  
61 workers in Coronavirus frontline industries such as grocery and drug stores, public  
62 transportation, trucking, and health care (15). In addition to these longstanding disparities in  
63 healthcare, stay at home orders and reallocation of pharmaceuticals for emergency care have  
64 created barriers to prescriptions for health conditions related to higher risk for Coronavirus,  
65 placing people in lower economic strata and racially segregated communities at higher risk (17).

## 66 **Mental health among people of color**

67 People of color also suffer higher mental health risks compared to non-Hispanic whites  
68 (5, 18, 19). The National Center for Health Statistics (20) reported that Indigenous populations  
69 are 50% more likely to report hopelessness, worthlessness, and feelings of nervousness or  
70 restlessness compared to non-Hispanic Whites and 80% more likely to report frequent sadness.  
71 Black and Latinx women also report significantly greater depressive symptomatology than non-  
72 Hispanic White females (18, 21). Although the prevalence rates of major depression and general  
73 anxiety among Black and Latinx are often reported to be similar or lower than non-Hispanic  
74 Whites, these findings have been attributed to the fact people of color in the US seek mental  
75 health clinical care at rates well below their need and face discrimination during diagnosis and  
76 treatment, misdiagnosis and clinician bias (2, 5, 22-24). Other studies suggest the long-lasting  
77 effects of depression may be higher for African American and Caribbean Black men compared to  
78 non-Hispanic Whites (56% versus 38.6%) (25).

## 79 **Factors contributing to mental health problems among people of color**

80 The health, economic and employment disparities described above for people of color  
81 also contribute to increased internalizing disorders. Medical disparities currently identified as

82 increasing Coronavirus risk, have previously been shown to be associated with poor mental  
83 health in studies on the general population and on people of color specifically. For example,  
84 obesity and asthma have been associated with mood disorders and health-related quality of life  
85 among Black and Latinx populations in the U.S. (26-30). Relatedly, inadequate access to health  
86 care also contributes to depression and anxiety (31). Lower socioeconomic status (SES),  
87 financial and food insecurity in general have been associated with higher levels of depression  
88 and anxiety among Black and Latinx populations (32-39); although some studies found that  
89 higher SES is associated with depression among Black people (40-42). Past research has  
90 repeatedly reported on the protective effect of employment on mental health (43, 44). However,  
91 during the pandemic, employed individuals, especially those in positions involving in-person  
92 contact with others during working hours, are at higher risks of COVID-19 infection (45). Since  
93 racial/ethnic minorities are more likely to be employed in health care or low-wage jobs that are  
94 considered essential during the pandemic (31, 46), emerging reports indicate they are  
95 experiencing higher levels of depression and anxiety (47). Finally, although in general gender  
96 and sexual minority status among young adults is associated with increases in depression and  
97 anxiety (48, 49), intersecting ethnic and sexual minority identities have been identified as an  
98 increased risk factor for depression and suicidal ideation among Latinx and Black sexual  
99 minority men and women (50-54) and across people of color identified as transgender females  
100 (55, 56).

101 **The relationship between racial/ethnic discrimination and systemic**  
102 **racism on depression and anxiety among people of color**

103           People of color experience unique stressors related to their marginalized social identity  
104 that adversely contribute to depression and anxiety (57), including explicit racial/ethnic  
105 discrimination and microaggressions (58-61). Intergenerational trauma created by American  
106 slavery, colonialism, Jim Crow laws, and segregation, has been shown to effect both physical  
107 and psychological health among Black people (62-64). Loss and violation of sacred lands and a  
108 history of segregation of American Indian boarding schools and food programs is a significant  
109 stressor for Indigenous persons (12, 65). For Latinx people, studies have found significant  
110 association among documentation status (66, 67), fear of deportation (68), acculturation stress  
111 (69-71), and mental health status. Although to date, the effect of racial bias associated  
112 specifically with the Coronavirus on mental health has not been examined, the negative impact  
113 of racial/ethnic discrimination on physical and mental health has been well documented (58, 72-  
114 76). Based on the stress and coping framework (77), racial/ethnic discrimination is a social  
115 stressor that affects physiological and psychological responses such as increased levels of heart  
116 rate, cortisol secretions, blood pressure, emotional reactivity, and reliance on maladaptive  
117 emotion-regulation strategies (72). These in turn lead to higher risks of both physical health  
118 problems such as obesity and cardiovascular disease (78-80), and internalizing disorders such as  
119 depression and anxiety (73).

## 120 **Prior research on populations facing contagious disease**

### 121 **discrimination**

122           Research on victimization distress due to contagious diseases such as HIV, H1N1, and  
123 the 2003 severe acute respiratory syndrome (SARS) has found that contagious disease  
124 discrimination is associated with long-term negative mental health outcomes including

125 depression and anxiety (81-84). For example, in a study on SARS-related stigma in Hong Kong,  
126 residents who lived in the first officially identified site of community outbreak reported they  
127 were marginalized in their work, social, and home environments and experienced high levels of  
128 psychological distress, which did not decrease during the post-SARS era (85, 86). Prior biases  
129 against marginalized social groups also play a role in disease discrimination. For example, in  
130 Malaysia, during the H1N1 Flu epidemic, farmers held misconceptions that societal “out-groups”  
131 such as sexual minorities, the homeless and sex workers had higher risks of infections, which in  
132 turn led to discrimination against these groups (87).

133 Individuals who have personally experienced a negative event may attribute the  
134 victimization to various factors, such as personal reasons and discrimination (88). Following an  
135 experience of Coronavirus related bullying or discrimination, people of color may attribute the  
136 event to racial/ethnic discrimination based on beliefs that the Coronavirus has increased systemic  
137 racism in the US. The attributional ambiguity perspective suggests that attributions to racial  
138 discrimination rather than personal attributions may be self-protective, buffer the harmful effect  
139 of negative events, and contribute to better mental health (89). However, recent studies have  
140 suggested that there are still harmful effects on mental health with attributions to discrimination  
141 (73). This suggests that perceived increases in systemic racism as a consequence of the  
142 Coronavirus pandemic may not only be directly related to increases in depression and anxiety but  
143 may mediate the influence of Coronavirus victimization on these mental health indices.

## 144 **The current study**

145 Although the devastating impact of COVID-19 on the health of people of color in the  
146 U.S. has been well established, there is a paucity of research on how individual and societal


147 factors are affecting the mental health of these populations. The current study conducted an  
148 anonymous online survey in April 2020 to examine the association among demographic  
149 variables, Coronavirus victimization distress, and Coronavirus racial bias with depression and  
150 anxiety among Black, Latinx and Indigenous young adults. The following hypotheses were  
151 tested:

152 1. Employment status, sexual and gender minority identity, lower household income,  
153 financial and prescription insecurity, and number of COVID-19 health risks will be associated  
154 with higher levels of depression and anxiety among young adults of color.

155 2. Higher levels of victimization distress and perceived racial bias specifically related to  
156 the Coronavirus will be directly associated with depression and anxiety among young adults of  
157 color.

158 3. Coronavirus racial bias will mediate the association between Coronavirus victimization  
159 distress and depression and anxiety among young adults of color. The conceptual model for this  
160 mediating effect is provided in Table 1.

161 **Figure 1. Conceptual model for the mediating effect of Coronavirus racial bias on the association**  
162 **between Coronavirus victimization distress and depression and anxiety.**



163

164 Note. Conceptual model of the mediating effect of Coronavirus racial bias on the association between  
165 Coronavirus victimization distress and depression and anxiety. Structural equation modeling will test the  
166 model with the following covariates held constant: race/ethnicity, age, gender, sexual orientation,  
167 employment, financial security, prescription security and COVID-19 health risks.

## 168 **Methods**

### 169 **Participants**

170 Data were collected during April 2020 as part of a larger Internet-based national survey  
171 on the biological, psychological and social impact of the Coronavirus pandemic among young  
172 adults from diverse racial/ethnic groups. For the current study, eligible participants self-  
173 identified as Black, Indigenous, or Latinx; were between 18 – 25 years of age; self-reported they  
174 did not currently have the Coronavirus; lived in the United States for more than one year; and  
175 could read English at an eighth-grade level.

### 176 **Procedure and data validation**

177 Recruitment was conducted by Qualtrics XM. Qualtrics XM is an aggregator of survey  
178 panel websites and can recruit individuals who have signed up to take paid surveys across  
179 multiple sites. Participants are paid in points which they can exchange for gift cards. For the  
180 current study, Qualtrics XM sent emails or provided posts to young adults who had signed up to  
181 take surveys through various survey websites and offered compensation worth \$16.50 converted  
182 into the survey panel's point system. The emails and posts briefly described the study and  
183 provided a link to a screener on another Qualtrics site. The screener included questions on  
184 participants' age, race/ethnicity, assigned sex at birth, gender, sexual orientation, the US state

185 and zip code in which they lived, length of time living in the U.S., geographic region, living  
186 situation, employment and student status.

187 The target goal was to recruit a minimum of 100 participants from each of the 3  
188 racial/ethnic groups and to over sample for individuals living in rural areas to obtain a  
189 representative geographic sample. A Qualtrics system feature excluded participants who did not  
190 meet eligibility criteria and prevented them from re-entering the screener. Manual data validation  
191 protocols were established to exclude fraudulent or repeat participants; consistency between age  
192 and date of birth; inconsistency between reported city in which the survey was taken and zip  
193 code, and responses with identical IP addresses. Qualtrics also includes a speed check to exclude  
194 participants who respond in less than half the time of the median survey response.  
195 Approximately 57,000 people were sent emails or posts. A total of 418 Black, 223 Indigenous,  
196 and 195 Latinx participants took the screener, with 469 meeting eligibility requirements. Of  
197 those 350 (74.63%) completed the survey and passed the speed check. For the purposes of this  
198 study, an additional 38 respondents were eliminated if they reported they had the Coronavirus  
199 and 7 were eliminated for missing data on the Coronavirus Racial Bias Scale, resulting in a final  
200 sample of 305 (42% Black, 28% Indigenous; 30% Latinx).

201 Following the screener, eligible individuals were immediately sent to a page providing  
202 informed consent information. Participants who selected “I agree” at the bottom of the informed  
203 consent page, were redirected to the main survey which consisted of 204 items which took on  
204 average 14.02 minutes (SD = 33.84); some participants took an hour or more to complete the  
205 survey resulting in the large standard deviation. Participants were able to quit the survey at any  
206 time by closing the survey window and their data was not included in analysis. At the end of the  
207 survey, online resources on health information and Coronavirus prevention were provided.

208 Qualtrics uses unique identification numbers for each participant so that identifiable information  
 209 and survey data are not stored on Qualtrics servers. The identity of participants and their contact  
 210 information were therefore unknown to the investigators. Participants received the agreed  
 211 amount of incentive within seven days after they completed the survey to allow for data quality  
 212 checks. The study was approved by the University Institutional Review Board.

## 213 Measures

### 214 Demographic information

215 Demographic information collected during screening included age, gender, race and  
 216 ethnicity, sexual orientation, employment (full or part-time; whether one was employed as an  
 217 “essential worker”), student status, and region (urban, suburban, exurban, rural). Additional  
 218 information included: household income; financial security; prescription security; and an 8 item  
 219 checklist on CDC COVID-19 health risks. Item choices are provided in Table 1

220 **Table 1. Frequency and percentages for sociodemographic characteristics and COVID-19 health**  
 221 **risks for Black, Indigenous, and Latinx participants young adults ages 18 – 25 years.**

	Black		Indigenous		Latinx		Total		$X^2_{df}$	<i>p</i>
	(N = 128)		(N = 86)		(N = 91)		(N = 305)			
	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%		
<b>Gender</b>									$X^2_4=2.02$	.73
Cisgender Male	31	14.21	23	26.74	17	18.68	71	23.28		
Cisgender Female	67	52.34	46	53.49	53	58.24	166	54.43		
Gender Minority	30	23.44	17	19.77	21	23.08	68	22.30		

<b>Sexual Orientation</b>										$X^2_{4=}$ .65	.96
Heterosexual	91	71.09	59	68.6	61	67.03	211	69.18			
Sexual Minority	33	25.78	25	29.07	27	29.67	85	27.87			
Do not wish to answer	4	3.13	2	2.33	3	3.3	9	2.95			
<b>Employment Status</b>										$X^2_{4=}$ 1.45	.83
Not employed	55	42.97	34	39.53	32	35.16	121	39.67			
Essential workers	44	34.38	31	36.05	34	37.36	109	35.74			
Non-essential workers	29	22.67	21	24.42	25	27.47	75	24.59			
<b>Student</b>	73	57.03	45	52.33	56	61.54	174	57.05		$X^2_{2=}$ 1.53	.46
<b>Financial Security</b>										$X^2_{4=}$ 7.61	.11
I can't make ends meet	46	35.94	23	26.74	22	24.18	91	29.84			
I have just enough	49	38.28	40	46.51	51	56.04	140	45.90			
I am comfortable	33	25.78	23	26.74	18	19.78	74	24.26			
<b>Household Annual Income</b>										$X^2_{6=}$ 1.83	.93
Less than \$30,999	58	45.31	42	48.84	39	42.86	139	45.57			
\$31,000 – \$79,999	38	29.69	25	29.07	31	34.07	94	30.82			
More than \$80,000	11	8.59	9	10.47	8	8.79	28	9.18			

I do not want to answer/I do not know	21	16.41	10	11.63	13	14.29	44	14.43		
<b>Education Level</b>									$X^2_8=13.89$	.085
Partial high school or less	11	8.59	9	10.47	14	15.38	34	11.15		
High school graduate	59	46.09	29	33.72	23	25.27	111	36.39		
Some college	41	21.09	34	27.91	37	23.08	112	36.72		
Graduate degree	15	11.72	12	13.95	17	18.68	44	14.43		
Missing data	2	1.56	2	2.33	0	0	4	1.31		
<b>Region</b>									$X^2_6=22.60$	.001
Urban	35	27.34	25	29.07	30	32.97	90	29.51		
Suburban	43	33.59	35	40.7	42	46.15	120	39.34		
Exurban	4	3.13	9	10.47	9	9.89	22	7.21		
Rural	46	35.94	17	19.77	10	10.99	73	23.93		
<b>Prescription Security</b>									$X^2_6=6.81$	.57
No, because of costs or lack of insurance	19	14.84	9	10.47	16	17.58	44	14.43		
No, unable to reach a doctor to get a prescription I need	25	19.53	10	11.63	14	15.38	49	16.07		
No, have not been able to get to a pharmacy to pick up my prescription	8	6.25	7	8.14	4	4.4	19	6.23		

Yes, have been able to fill my prescription needs	26	20.31	20	23.26	24	26.37	70	22.95		
Have not had any prescription needs	50	39.06	40	46.51	33	36.26	123	40.33		
<b>COVID-19 Health risks<sup>a</sup></b>										
Asthma or chronic lung disease	21	16.41	24	27.91	23	25.27	68	22.30	$X^2_1 = 4.59$	.10
Heart condition	16	12.50	12	13.95	9	9.89	37	12.13	$X^2_1 = .71$	.70
HIV	7	5.47	4	4.65	6	6.59	17	5.57	$X^2_1 = .32$	.85
Rheumatoid Arthritis	10	7.81	8	9.30	8	8.79	26	8.52	$X^2_1 = .16$	.94
Other immunocompromised disease	8	6.25	11	12.79	9	9.89	28	9.18	$X^2_1 = 2.72$	.26
Cancer treatment	6	4.69	7	8.14	5	5.49	18	5.90	$X^2_1 = 1.14$	.56
Diabetes	11	8.59	9	10.47	8	8.79	28	9.18	$X^2_1 = .24$	.89
Obesity	17	13.28	14	16.28	14	15.38	45	14.75	$X^2_1 = .41$	.81

222

223 <sup>a</sup> Mean number of COVID-19 Health risks, standard deviations and range for each group are provided in  
 224 Table 5.

## 225 **Coronavirus Victimization Distress Scale (CVDS)**

226 The Coronavirus Victimization Distress Scale (CVDS) is a 5-item scale adapted from  
 227 items in the Daily Heterosexist Experiences Questionnaire (90), the LGBT People of Color  
 228 Microaggressions Scale (91), and the Adolescent Discrimination Distress Index (ADDI) (75).  
 229 The items assess distress in response to different instances of victimization (e.g. “teased or  
 230 bullied”, “physically threatened”) resulting from other’s believing the participant was a

231 Coronavirus risk. Each item was formatted as “I have been [...] because someone thought I was  
232 infected with the Coronavirus.” Responses were on a 5-point Likert-type scale (1 = It never  
233 happened, 2 = It happened but did not upset me; 3 = It happened and upset me a little; 4 = It  
234 happened and upset me moderately; 5 = It happened and upset me quite a bit).

### 235 **Coronavirus Racial Bias Scale (CRBS)**

236 The Coronavirus Racial Bias Scale (CRBS) is a 9-item scale developed to assess  
237 participants’ beliefs about how the Coronavirus is negatively affecting societal attitudes toward  
238 one’s race/ethnicity. Sample items include “I believe the country has become more dangerous for  
239 people in my racial/ethnic group because of fear of the Coronavirus”; “People of my  
240 race/ethnicity are more likely to lose their job because of the Coronavirus”; Negative social  
241 media posts against people of my race/ethnicity have increased because of the Coronavirus.”  
242 Participants indicated their Coronavirus racial bias beliefs on a 4-point Likert-type scale (1 –  
243 Strongly disagree, 4 – Strongly agree).

### 244 **Patient Health Questionnaire (PHQ-9)**

245 The 9-item Patient Health Questionnaire (PHQ-9) was used to assess the frequency of  
246 past-month experiences with depressive symptoms (92). Sample Items include “Trouble falling  
247 asleep, staying asleep, or sleeping too much” and “Feeling down, depressed or hopeless”.  
248 Responses are scored on a 4-point scale, anchored by (0) Not at All and (3) Nearly Every Day.  
249 Composite scale scores are computed by the average of item responses. In prior studies,  
250 Cronbach’s alpha for this scale ranged from .79 to .91 across adults identifying as Black,

251 Indigenous, and Latinx (93-95). The measurement invariance of the PHQ-9 across Black,  
252 Indigenous, Latinx and Non-Hispanic White young adults has been established (96-98).

### 253 **General Anxiety Disorder Screener (GAD-7)**

254 The 7-item Generalized Anxiety Disorder Screener (GAD-7) (99) was used to assess  
255 anxiety symptoms of participants during the past month. Sample items include “Being so restless  
256 that it is hard to sit still” and “Feeling afraid as if something awful might happen”. Participants  
257 respond to a 4-point scale, anchored by (0) *not at all* and (3) *nearly every day*. Composite scale  
258 scores are computed by the average of item responses. In prior studies, Cronbach’s alpha for  
259 GAD-7 ranged from .79 to .91 across adults identifying as Black, Indigenous, and Latinx (100-  
260 104).

### 261 **Data analysis plan**

262 Descriptive statistics were calculated for all demographic variables. This was followed by  
263 analysis of variance (ANOVA) and Chi-square tests to assess differences on these variables  
264 among the 3 racial/ethnic groups. To determine the independence and structure of the  
265 Coronavirus Victimization Distress Scale and the Coronavirus Racial Bias Scale, several  
266 confirmatory factor analyses (CFA) were conducted followed by Cronbach alphas to assess  
267 resulting scale reliability. Measurement invariance was then tested to assess whether the  
268 constructs of the Coronavirus Victimization Distress Scale and Coronavirus Racial Bias Scale  
269 were the same across the three racial/ethnic minority groups (105). Correlational analyses and  
270 ANOVAs were then conducted to examine: (1) the associations among Coronavirus  
271 victimization distress, Coronavirus racial bias, and demographic variables; and (2) differences on

272 depression and anxiety levels based on demographic variables, Coronavirus victimization  
273 distress and Coronavirus racial bias.

274 Structural equation modeling (SEM) using the R-4.0.1. and *lavaan* package (106, 107) to  
275 test the a priori conceptual model based on research hypotheses, which assessed the hypothesis  
276 that Coronavirus racial bias exerted a mediating role of Coronavirus racial bias on the effect of  
277 Coronavirus victimization distress on depression and anxiety. Two SEM analyses were  
278 conducted, with the first analysis estimated the effect across all racial/ethnic groups, and the  
279 second multigroup analysis estimated the effect for each racial/ethnic group separately. An  
280 alternative model was then examined, which reversed the relationship between Coronavirus  
281 racial bias and Coronavirus victimization distress, with the Coronavirus victimization distress  
282 mediated the relationship between Coronavirus racial bias and depression or anxiety.

283 Goodness of fit indices included the comparative fit index (CFI), Tucker-Lewis Index  
284 (TLI), and the root-mean-square error of approximation (RMSEA). A fit of  $> 0.95$  for the CFI  
285 and TLI and  $< 0.06$  for RMSEA was considered to indicate adequate fit (108). To test the  
286 indirect effects for statistical significance, the bias-corrected bootstrapping approach was  
287 adopted as it is robust against the violation of normal distribution assumptions for both the  
288 sampling distribution and indirect effect (109). One thousand re-samples were drawn to estimate  
289 the standard errors of the indirect effects and their 95% confidence intervals.

## 290 **Results**

### 291 **Descriptive data**

292 The sample included 305 participants (Mean age = 20.84, SD = 2.19, range =18 – 25)  
293 self-identified as, Black (N = 128; Mean age = 20.71, SD = 2.15), Indigenous (N = 86; Mean age  
294 = 21.30, SD = 2.41) and Latinx (N = 91; Mean age = 20.58, SD = 1.99). An ANOVA  
295 indicated no significant age differences across groups ( $F_{2, 203} = 2.80, p = .06$ ). Demographic data,  
296 percentages and Chi square tests of significance provided in Table 1 for each racial/ethnic group.  
297 Across race/ethnicity the majority identified as cisgender females or cisgender males, reported  
298 heterosexual sexual orientation, were full or part-time employed (60.33%; including 35.74%  
299 essential workers); and approximately half were students. Nearly one third (29.8%) indicated  
300 they felt financially insecure (“can’t make ends meet”). Approximately 45.57% reporting an  
301 annual household income below the national poverty rate for a family of 5 (\$30,680) (110).  
302 On average participants reported 1.52 COVID-19 pre-existing health risks (range = 0 – 8).  
303 The mean and standard deviation (SD) for health risks for each group was: Black (Mean = .75,  
304 SD = 1.34, range = 0 – 7); Indigenous (Mean = 1.03, SD = 1.85, range = 0 – 8); and Latinx  
305 (Mean = .90, SD = 1.43, range = 0 – 6). The distributions are highly skewed (skewness > 2 for  
306 each group), as more than half of Black (65.62%), Indigenous (56.98%), and Latinx (53.84%)  
307 participants did not report COVID-19 health risks. As indicated in Table 1, across groups thirty-  
308 seven percent reported difficulty filling prescriptions during the past month. Chi-square tests  
309 yielded no significant differences among the three racial/ethnic groups for the above  
310 demographic categories, except for region, which included a higher percentage of Black  
311 participants in rural areas.

312 **Psychometric validation of the Coronavirus Victimization Distress**  
313 **Scale (CVDS) and Coronavirus Racial Bias Scale (CRBS)**

314 As a prerequisite for the SEM analysis, three confirmatory factor analyses were  
315 conducted to determine the independence and structure of the Coronavirus Victimization  
316 Distress Scale (CVDS) and the Coronavirus Racial Bias Scale (CRBS). Model 1 included all  
317 items on both scales. Table 2 provides factor loadings of items on each scale. In this model,  
318 modification indices (MI = 39.54) indicated a strong correlated error between item 7 on the  
319 CRBS (“Due to the Coronavirus I have been cyberbullied because of my race/ethnicity”) and the  
320 CVDS (111). For that reason, item 7 on the CRBS was removed from the scale. Model 2  
321 included all five items on the CVDS and 8 items on the CRBS. Finally, to yield higher reliability  
322 and communalities for the SEM (112), Model 3 was developed, with all five items on CVDS and  
323 four parcels constructed from the 8-item CRBS, which were created by randomly pairing two  
324 items together and calculating the mean.

325 **Table 2. Factor loadings for Coronavirus Victimization Distress Scale and Coronavirus Racial Bias**  
326 **Scale based on CFA Model 1.**

	<b>Coronavirus Victimization Distress</b>	<b>Coronavirus Racial Bias</b>
I have been teased or bullied because someone thought I was infected with the Coronavirus	<b>.752</b>	.321
I have been physically threatened, hit or beaten up because someone thought I was infected with the Coronavirus	<b>.870</b>	.339
I have been treated rudely or unfairly because someone thought I was infected with the Coronavirus	<b>.825</b>	.312

I have been verbally taunted or called bad names in public because someone thought I was infected with the Coronavirus	<b>.787</b>	.303
I have been cyberbullied because someone thought I was infected with the Coronavirus	<b>.863</b>	.322
Due to the Coronavirus I have been cyberbullied because of my race/ethnicity	.570	.659 <sup>a</sup>
Since the Coronavirus I have seen a lot more cyberbullying of people of my race/ethnicity	.424	<b>.689</b>
Negative social media posts against people of my race/ethnicity have increased because of the Coronavirus	.377	<b>.744</b>
I worry about people thinking I have the Coronavirus simply because of my race/ethnicity.	.339	<b>.731</b>
People of my race/ethnicity are more likely to get the Coronavirus	.338	<b>.766</b>
Most social and mass media reports about the Coronavirus create bias against people of my racial/ethnic group.	.277	<b>.719</b>
People of my race/ethnicity will not receive Coronavirus healthcare as good the care received by other groups	.209	<b>.547</b>
I believe the country has become more dangerous for people in my racial/ethnic group because of fear of the Coronavirus.	.192	<b>.613</b>

People of my race/ethnicity are more likely to lose their job because of the Coronavirus.	.042	<b>.480</b>
---	------	-------------

327

328 Note. Extraction Method: Maximum Likelihood. Rotation Method: Oblimin with Kaiser Normalization.

329 <sup>a</sup> This item was removed from final scale based on analysis of confirmatory factor analysis.

330 Table 3 shows the  $\chi^2$  statistic and the global model fit indices of the three hypothesized  
 331 CFA models. Model 2 had a relatively good fit based on all three model fit indices including the  
 332 global fit index root mean square error of approximation (RMSEA), the Comparative Fix Index  
 333 (CFI), and the Tucker-Lewis Index (TLI). However, model 3 fit the data best among all tested  
 334 models, and met rule of thumb criteria for adequate fit (RMSEA < .06, CFI and TLI > .95) (108).  
 335 Both resultant scales had high demonstrated internal consistency (Cronbach's alpha = .91 for  
 336 CVDS, Cronbach's alpha = .86 for CRBS). The two scales were positively correlated ( $r = .43, p$   
 337 < .001).

338 **Table 3. Global model fit indices of CFA models for Coronavirus Victimization Distress and**  
 339 **Coronavirus Racial Bias items.**

Model	$\chi^2$	<i>df</i>	RMSEA	CFI	TLI
<b>1</b>	220.21	76	.079	.935	.922
<b>2</b>	139.66	64	.062	.962	.953
<b>3</b>	34.24	26	.032	.995	.993

340 Note. CFA = confirmatory factor analysis; RMSEA = root-mean-square error of approximation; CFI =  
 341 Comparative Fix Index; TLI = Tucker-Lewis Index.

342

343 **Measurement invariance of the CVDS and the CRBS across Black, Latinx**  
 344 **and Indigenous**

345 The measurement invariance of model 3 across Black, Indigenous, and Latinx participants was  
 346 examined (See Table 4 for detailed results). The sequence of tests of measurement invariance  
 347 included configural invariance, metric invariance, scalar invariance, and strict invariance. The  
 348 null hypothesis of the  $\chi^2$  difference test was that the more restricted invariance model (e.g.,  
 349 metric invariance) fit the data equally as well as the less restricted invariance model (e.g.,  
 350 configural invariance). Thus, the  $\chi^2$  difference test of the two nested invariance models in the  
 351 sequence should ideally be non-significant or there should be no material change in RMSEA  
 352 (105, 113). With the exception of the invariance model, the models were not statistically  
 353 different, and the RMSEA change of strict invariance from scalar invariance did not increase  
 354 noticeably (change  $\leq .01$ ), supporting measurement invariance of the CVDS and CRBS across  
 355 the three racial/ethnic groups.

356 **Table 4. Global model fit indices of measurement invariance tests for the CVDS and CRBS**

Invariance Model	$\chi^2$	df	$\chi^2$ difference test			RMSEA
			Comparison	$\chi^2(df)$	p	
Configural	109.10	78				.063
Metric	124.31	92	Configural	15.21 (14)	.36	.059
Scalar	145.87	106	Metric	21.56 (14)	.088	.061
Strict	160.57	110	Scalar	14.70 (4)	.0054	.067

357

## 358 **Racial/ethnic and demographic differences on the CVDS and CRBS**

359 Mean scores on the CVDS and CRBS among the 3 racial/ethnic groups are illustrated in  
360 Table 5. An ANOVA followed by Bonferroni post-hoc comparison ( $p = .001$ ) indicated Black  
361 and Latinx participants had significantly higher scores on the CRBS than Indigenous respondents,  
362 but no significant racial/ethnic differences emerged for the CVDS. Gender minority persons  
363 reported higher scores on the CVDS ( $F(2,302) = 7.78, p = .001$ ) and CRBS ( $F(2,302) = 4.39, p$   
364  $= .013$ ) than cisgender females ( $p = .01, p < .001$ , respectively), but did not differ from males.  
365 There were no significant differences between participants employed as essential workers and  
366 those employed in other positions, so employment was combined as one category. Employed  
367 participants compared to non-employed reported higher scores on the CVDS ( $F(1,303) = 15.65,$   
368  $p < .001$ ) and the CRBS ( $F(1,303) = 4.77, p = .03$ ). There were no significant differences between  
369 participants who did not have any prescription needs or were able to fill their prescriptions and as  
370 a group these participants reported lower levels of CVDS ( $F(1,303) = 70.33, p < .001$ ) and  
371 CRBS ( $F(1,303) = 17.15, p < .001$ ) than those reporting prescription difficulties. Pearson's  
372 correlation tests indicated significant positive correlations between the number of COVID-19  
373 health risks and scores on the CVDS ( $r = .39, p < .001$ ) and CRBS ( $r = .17, p < .01$ ). There were  
374 no differences on CVDS and CRBS based on age, sexual orientation, financial security, or  
375 annual household income.

376 **Table 5. Means, standard deviations, and ranges for COVID-19 health risks, CVDS, CRBS, PHQ-9,**  
377 **and GAD-7 for Black, Indigenous, and Latinx participants.**

	<b>Black</b>	<b>Indigenous</b>	<b>Latinx</b>	<b>Total</b>		
	<b>(N = 128)</b>	<b>(N = 86)</b>	<b>(N = 91)</b>	<b>(N = 305)</b>		
	Mean (SD)	Mean (SD)	Mean (SD)	Mean (SD)	<b>F</b>	<b>p</b>

	Range	Range	Range	Range	(df1, df2)	
<b>COVID-19 Health Risks</b>	.75 (1.34) Range = 0 – 7	1.03 (1.85) Range = 0 – 8	.90 (1.43) Range = 0 – 6	.88 (1.52) Range = 0 – 8	.92 (2, 302)	.55
<b>Coronavirus Victimization Distress Scale (CVDS)</b>	1.67 (.96) Range = 1 – 5	1.60 (.98) Range = 1 – 5	1.65 (.90) Range = 1 – 3.6	1.64 (.94) Range = 1 – 5	.17 (2,302)	.84
<b>Coronavirus Racial Bias Scale (CRBS)</b>	2.35 (.74) Range = 1 – 4	1.97 (.74) Range = 1 – 4	2.26 (.67) Range = 1 – 4	2.21 (.74) Range = 1 – 4	7.11 (2, 203)	<b>.001</b>
<b>Patient Health Questionnaire-9 (PHQ-9)</b>	2.28 (.81) Range = 1 – 4	2.37 (.83) Range = 1 – 4	2.32 (.76) Range = 1 – 4	2.32 (.80) Range = 1 – 4	.30 (2, 203)	.74
<b>Generalized Anxiety Disorder Screener (GAD-7)</b>	2.31 (.87) Range = 1 – 4	2.47 (.84) Range = 1 – 4	2.46 (.79) Range = 1 – 4	2.40 (.84) Range = 1 – 4	1.24 (2, 203)	.29

378

379 **Relationship of race/ethnicity, CVDS and CRBS and other**  
 380 **demographic variables with mental health indices**

381 Before examining the SEM model, Pearson’s correlation analyses were conducted to  
 382 examine relationships among demographic variables and the CVDS and CRBS and the mental  
 383 health indices (See Table 6). Consistent with hypotheses 1, employment status, sexual  
 384 orientation, and number of COVID-19 health risks were significantly and positively associated  
 385 with scores on the PHQ-9 and GAD-7 and financial and prescription security were significantly  
 386 and negatively associated with the mental health indices. There were no racial/ethnic, age,  
 387 gender, or household income differences on either mental health indices.

388 **Table 6. Correlation matrix of all study variables and potential covariates.**

Variable	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13
1. Coronavirus Victimization Distress Scale (CVDS)	1	.38***	.25***	.19***	.027	-.042	.11	.090	.22***	.39***	-.085	-.43***	.069
2. Coronavirus Racial Bias Scale (CRBS)		1	.30***	.25***	.17***	-.10	.086	.059	.12*	.17**	-.061	-.23***	-.021
3. Depression (PHQ-9)			1	.82***	-.031	-.11	.109	.24***	.16**	.25***	-.23***	-.19***	.046
4. Anxiety (GAD-7)				1	-.018	-.089	.057	.21***	.14*	.22***	-.20***	-.15*	.041
5. Race/ethnicity					1	-.13*	.063	.00	.022	-.046	-.033	-.069	.017
6. Age						1	-.090	-.048	.12*	-.042	-.071	.003	.034
7. Gender							1	.22***	-.022	.12*	-.041	-.021	-.15*
8. Sexual Orientation								1	.029	.056	-.098	-.040	-.010
9. Employment									1	.22***	.085	-.23***	.16**
10. COVID-19 Health Risks										1	-.13*	-.19***	-.001

11. Financial Security										1	.14**	.19**
12. Prescription Security											1	.062
13. Household Income												1

389

390 Note. N = 305. CVDS and CRBS are both mean scores from the two scales. \*  $p < .05$ . \*\*  $p < .01$  \*\*\*  $p$   
391  $< .001$ .

### 392 **Tests of the mediation hypothesis**

393 As illustrated in Table 6, consistent with hypothesis 2, scores on the CVDS and CRBS  
394 were significantly and positively associated with depression and anxiety measures. Structural  
395 equation modeling (SEM) was conducted to test the third hypothesis that perceived Coronavirus  
396 racial bias mediates the effect of Coronavirus victimization distress on depression and anxiety.  
397 Gender, age, sexual orientation, financial security, COVID-19 health risks, prescription security,  
398 and employment status were added as covariates based on significant associations between these  
399 factors and studied variables. Household income was not included as a covariate since there were  
400 14.43% missing data, and it was unrelated to mental health, CVDS or CRBS. To accommodate  
401 the use of categorical exogenous variables, parameters were estimated using weighted least  
402 squares with robust standard errors (WLSMV) (107). Missing data (N = 9) on sexual orientation  
403 were handled by listwise deletion (107). Model fit statistics are summarized for two SEM  
404 analyses in Table 7. The first analysis, which posited Coronavirus racial bias as the mediator of  
405 the effect of Coronavirus victimization distress on depression and anxiety, with racial/ethnic  
406 groups added as a covariate, showed adequate fit on all three fit indices including the RMSEA  
407 (.034), 90% CI [.019 .047], CFI (.980), and TCL (.972). Based on the same model, a multigroup

408 analysis for three racial/ethnic minority groups was then conducted and adequate fit (RMSEA  
409 = .048, 90% CI [.029 .063], CFI = .965, TLI = .954) was found.

410 **Table 7. Global model fit indices of structural equation models.**


	$\chi^2$	<i>df</i>	RMSEA	CFI	TLI
<b>Main Model</b>	158.85	118	.034	.980	.972
<b>Multigroup Analysis</b>	389.31	320	.047	.967	.956
<b>Alternative Model</b>	226.89	119	.055	.947	.927

411

412 Note. CFA = confirmatory factor analysis; RMSEA = root-mean-square error of approximation; CFI =  
413 Comparative Fix Index; TLI = Tucker-Lewis Index.

414 The first analysis (Fig 2) which included all participants and race/ethnicity as a covariate  
415 generated the best model fit statistics with sufficient power. Table 8 illustrates the standardized  
416 direct, indirect, and total effects of Coronavirus victimization distress on depression and anxiety  
417 through Coronavirus racial bias. In this model, Coronavirus victimization distress had significant  
418 indirect effects on depression and anxiety ( $\beta = .102$ ,  $p = .006$ , 95% CI [.023, 0.115],  $\beta = .095$ ,  $p$   
419  $= .006$ , 95% CI [.024, .117], respectively); direct and total effects were non-significant. The  
420 analysis indicates that Coronavirus racial bias beliefs fully accounted for the influence of  
421 Coronavirus victimization distress on depression and anxiety.

422 **Figure 2. Standardized results for structural equation model 1 with bootstrapping approach testing**  
423 **the mediating effect of Coronavirus racial bias on the association between Coronavirus**  
424 **victimization distress and depression and anxiety.**



425

426 Note. Fig 2. Structural equation model 1 standardized results with bootstrapping approach testing the  
 427 mediating effect of Coronavirus racial bias on the association between Coronavirus victimization distress  
 428 and depression and anxiety. Covariates included race/ethnicity, age, gender, sexual orientation,  
 429 employment status, financial security, healthcare, and COVID-19 health risks

430 \*  $p < .05$ , \*\*  $p < .01$

431 **Table 8. Total, direct and indirect standardized effects of Coronavirus victimization distress on**  
 432 **depression and anxiety based on the first SEM analysis**

Paths		<i>B</i>	95% CI
<b>Coronavirus Victimization Distress → Coronavirus Racial Bias → Depression</b>	Total Effect	.142	[-.004, .164]
	Indirect Effect	.102	[.023, .115]*
	Direct Effect	.040	[-.087, .116]

<b>Coronavirus Victimization Distress → Coronavirus Racial Bias → Anxiety</b>	Total Effect	.104	[-.022, .153]
	Indirect Effect	.095	[.024, .117]*
	Direct Effect	.009	[-.102, .100]

433 \* Statistically significant result based on 95% CI.

### 434 **Test of Alternative Hypothesis**

435 To further investigate support for the model above, a third SEM analysis considered an  
 436 alternative model which posited Coronavirus victimization distress as the mediator of the effect  
 437 of Coronavirus racial bias on depression and anxiety. We found an acceptable fit based on values  
 438 of RMSEA and CFI, but the TLI (.927) did not support adequate fit (See Table 7). Moreover, the  
 439 standardized direct, indirect, and total effects were not statistically significant.

### 440 **Exploratory Analysis**

441 The Black subsample had adequate power (= .80) to conduct the SEM; however, the  
 442 Indigenous and Latinx groups needed another six and two participants, respectively, to achieve  
 443 sufficient power (114). To inform future studies, we conducted exploratory analysis to examine  
 444 the same model separately for the three racial/ethnic minority groups. Table 9 presents the  
 445 standardized direct, indirect, and total effects of Coronavirus victimization distress on depression  
 446 and anxiety through Coronavirus racial bias for each racial/ethnic minority group. Similar to the  
 447 results of the main model, significant indirect effects on depression were found for both  
 448 Indigenous ( $\beta = .146, p = .083, 95\% \text{ CI } [.011, .226]$ ) and Black ( $\beta = .090, p = .032, 95\% \text{ CI}$

449 [.012, .120]) participants, yet indirect effects on anxiety were not significant. Unexpectedly, for  
 450 Latinx participants, Coronavirus victimization distress had significant direct ( $\beta = .270$ ,  $p = .034$ ,  
 451 95% CI [.010, .321],  $\beta = .250$ ,  $p = .031$ , 95% CI [.009, .314], respectively) and total effects ( $\beta$   
 452 = .331,  $p = .002$ , 95% CI [.064, .327],  $\beta = .334$ ,  $p = .001$ , 95% CI [.074, .343], respectively) on  
 453 depression and anxiety, although the indirect effects were not significant.

454 **Table 9. Total, direct and indirect standardized effects of Coronavirus victimization distress on**  
 455 **depression and anxiety for each racial/ethnic minority group based on the multigroup SEM**  
 456 **analysis**

Paths		<i>B</i>	95% CI
<b>Black</b>			
<b>Coronavirus Victimization Distress → Coronavirus Racial Bias → Depression</b>	Total Effect	.162	[-.054, .278]
	Indirect Effect	.090	[.009, .110]*
	Direct Effect	.072	[-.121, .228]
<b>Coronavirus Victimization Distress → Coronavirus Racial Bias → Anxiety</b>	Total Effect	.083	[-.126, .196]
	Indirect Effect	.076	[-.003, .123]
	Direct Effect	-.038	[-.196, .157]

<b>Indigenous</b>			
<b>Coronavirus Victimization Distress → Coronavirus Racial Bias → Depression</b>	Total Effect	.033	[-.135, .133]
	Indirect Effect	.146	[.011, .231]*
	Direct Effect	-.112	[-.274, .055]
<b>Coronavirus Victimization Distress → Coronavirus Racial Bias → Anxiety</b>	Total Effect	.040	[-.129, .146]
	Indirect Effect	.125	[-.012, .203]
	Direct Effect	-.084	[-.243, .098]
<b>Latinx</b>			
<b>Coronavirus Victimization Distress → Coronavirus Racial Bias → Depression</b>	Total Effect	.331	[.062, .334]*
	Indirect Effect	.061	[-.047, .130]
	Direct Effect	.270	[.002, .334]*
<b>Coronavirus Victimization Distress → Coronavirus Racial</b>	Total Effect	.334	[.074, .362]*

<b>Bias → Anxiety</b>	Indirect Effect	.084	[-.031, .144]
	Direct Effect	.250	[.011, .324]*

457

458 \* Statistically significant result based on 95% CI.

459

## Discussion

460

461

462

463

464

465

466

467

468

People of color in the United States have been disproportionately impacted by the COVID-19 pandemic in terms of rates of infection and morbidity. Explanations for these disparities include over-representation as essential workers and long-standing inequities in financial security and access to health services associated with racial/ethnic discrimination. Prior to the pandemic, racial and ethnic discrimination has been associated with poor mental health. Our data demonstrate that in addition to employment and health risks, victimization experiences and perceived increases in systemic racial biases specifically associated with the COVID-19 pandemic are related to higher levels of depression and anxiety among Black, Indigenous and Latinx young adults across geographic regions of the U.S.

469

470

471

472

473

474

475

Prior studies have indicated that employment is a protective factor against mental health problems (43, 44). However, as indicated by the present study, the COVID-19 pandemic represents a unique situation in which young adults of color who are employed face greater risk for depression and anxiety. Our study suggests this is due not only to the infection risks associated with work outside the home during this period, but to victimization by others based on social perceptions that people of color are more likely to be infected with the virus. Past research has also shown that sexual and gender minority status, medical conditions and financial

476 insecurity have direct impact on depression and anxiety among Black, Indigenous and Latinx  
477 young adults (26, 27, 32-42, 48-56). Our results are consistent with past research indicating the  
478 above factors are associated with internalizing disorders, and also demonstrates that during the  
479 COVID-19 pandemic, prescription insecurity can contribute to mental health risk. Prior research  
480 has shown an association between the pre-existing conditions now associated with increased  
481 Coronavirus risk. Since the incidence of these pre-existing conditions are disproportionately high  
482 among people of color, in particular obesity, diabetes, cardiovascular disease, and chronic illness  
483 (6-14, 115-119), it is not surprising that our study demonstrated an association between the  
484 number of Coronavirus health risks and mental health indices. Of note, is that 28% and 22% of  
485 our participants identified as sexual or gender minorities, respectively. As both persons of color  
486 and members of sexual and gender minority groups, the current COVID-19 crisis is likely  
487 exacerbating mental health stressors due to lack of access to the medical care resulting from  
488 multiple barriers such as medical mistrust, provider bias, and lack of insurance (2, 5, 22-24).

### 489 **Coronavirus victimization distress and Coronavirus racial bias**

490 This study provides evidence for the psychometric validity of two new scales: The  
491 Coronavirus Victimization Distress Scale and the Coronavirus Racial Bias Scale. These  
492 scales offered the opportunity to examine the relationship among Coronavirus specific  
493 discrimination and perceived racial bias, demographic factors, and mental health. We  
494 found that participants who were employed and reported prescription insecurity and more  
495 COVID-19 health risks reported higher levels of Coronavirus victimization and  
496 Coronavirus racial bias. These findings underscore the fact, that in addition to health and  
497 economic disparities, Black, Indigenous and Latinx young adults are bearing a  
498 disproportionate social burden during the current health crises.

499 The level of Coronavirus victimization distress and percentage of participants  
500 reporting other risk factors did not differ across different racial/ethnic groups, with one  
501 exception: Black and Latinx respondents reported higher levels of Coronavirus racial bias  
502 than Indigenous young adults. This finding may be explained by news and social media  
503 reports during April 2020 at the time this study was conducted. These reports identified  
504 COVID-19 infections among Black and Latinx as the most vulnerable groups for  
505 COVID-19 infection and death (6, 120), with outbreaks on tribal lands largely ignored by  
506 the federal government, mainstream media and online tracking (121); although recent  
507 studies suggest a relationship between household characteristics and infection rates on  
508 reservations (122). Thus, at the time this survey was conducted, Indigenous participants  
509 may have been less likely to have been exposed to public expressions of Coronavirus  
510 related racial bias against their group.

511 Results of our main SEM analysis for the full sample indicate that Coronavirus  
512 victimization distress was not directly associated with mental health, as the effect was fully  
513 mediated by Coronavirus racial bias beliefs. This finding was strengthened by the results of the  
514 alternative SEM model which did not find any mediation effect of Coronavirus victimization  
515 distress on the relationship of Coronavirus racial bias to mental health indices. These findings  
516 may be explained by prior work on attribution theory, suggesting that in certain instances  
517 members of marginalized groups may attribute negative social experiences to racial  
518 discrimination, which in turn has a harmful effect on mental health (73, 88). Thus, participants in  
519 the present study may have attributed the bullying, threats, and unfair treatment described in the  
520 Coronavirus Victimization Distress Scale items to perceived increases in racial biases created by  
521 the pandemic.

522 Although the results of separate group SEM analysis must be viewed with caution given  
523 the smaller sample size resulting in lower statistical power, they raise questions for future  
524 research. Similar to the results of the main model, for Black and Indigenous participants,  
525 Coronavirus racial bias continued to mediate the effects of Coronavirus victimization distress on  
526 depression, although the effect was not significant for anxiety. By contrast, for Latinx  
527 participants, Coronavirus victimization distress had significant direct effects on depression and  
528 anxiety which was not mediated by perceived Coronavirus racial bias. One explanation for this  
529 finding, which needs further investigation, is the statistically powerful effect that sexual minority  
530 status had on mental health indices among the Latinx respondents. Thirty-three percent of Latinx  
531 participants identified as sexual minorities in this sample. Thus, these young adults may have  
532 been more likely to attribute Coronavirus victimization to social biases against sexual minorities  
533 than to discrimination based on their ethnicity (123).

## 534 **Limitations and future directions**

535 To our knowledge, this is the first study to examine the relationship to mental health of  
536 victimization distress and perceived racial bias directly related to the Coronavirus among Black,  
537 Indigenous and Latinx young adults. However, due to the cross-sectional nature of the survey,  
538 we are unable to interpret the results as definitive of mediation or causation. It will be important  
539 for future studies to examine the longitudinal impact of Coronavirus victimization distress and  
540 associated racial bias beliefs on mental health among young adults within these populations. In  
541 addition, our data collection and recruitment methods yielded a geographically diverse national  
542 sample of Black, Indigenous and Latinx young adults; however, this methodology does not allow  
543 for absolute certainty that inclusion criteria were met and also limited participation those with  
544 Internet or mobile phone access and who had previously registered for online surveys.

545 This study developed and psychometrically validated new measures of victimization and  
546 racial bias associated with the current pandemic. This validation was limited to the sample  
547 surveyed and future research will assess the extent to which it will be validated on other Black,  
548 Indigenous and Latinx populations as well as other racial/ethnic groups in the U.S. For example,  
549 although the infection and morbidity rate of Asian heritage groups in the U.S. has not met levels  
550 seen among the populations included in this study, since April, reports of Coronavirus  
551 victimization and racial bias against Asian groups has increased and is worthy of study (124).  
552 Relatedly we found somewhat different patterns in the relationship of victimization distress and  
553 racial bias to mental health indices among the 3 different groups. Studies with larger sample  
554 sizes to achieve necessary power for each of these groups will help understand the extent to  
555 which these differences will be replicated. Finally, our data also suggest, that for some  
556 populations, minority stress associated with sexual orientation may have a greater influence on  
557 interpretations of Coronavirus related victimization. It will be fruitful to examine if this is tied to  
558 the legacy of HIV/AIDS discrimination among sexual minority young adults (125).

## 559 **Conclusions**

560 The mental health of people of color in the United States has been associated with  
561 longstanding experiences of racial and ethnic discrimination and systemic bias. This study  
562 highlights how the current COVID-19 pandemic has added to these mental health burdens  
563 through increases in Coronavirus victimization and perceived racial bias experienced by Black,  
564 Indigenous and Latinx young adults. These findings also demonstrate how an infectious disease  
565 crisis can reverse the usual protective effects of employment on mental health, when working  
566 people of color are more likely to be employed in settings that increase their exposure to

567 infection and subsequent social bias. In addition, this study underscores the deleterious effects on  
568 mental health of Coronavirus health risks and associated prescription insecurity and the  
569 continuing vulnerabilities associated with financial insecurity and sexual minority status. In  
570 addition to ongoing efforts to increase multicultural mental health services, these findings  
571 highlight the urgency of mental health services tailored to the specific needs of racial/ethnic  
572 minorities during the current and future health crises.

### 573 References

- 574 1. CDC. Demographic Trends of COVID-19 cases and deaths in the US reported to CDC. 2020.
- 575 2. Nestor BA, Cheek SM, Liu RT. Ethnic and racial differences in mental health service  
576 utilization for suicidal ideation and behavior in a nationally representative sample of adolescents.  
577 *Journal of affective disorders*. 2016;202:197-202.
- 578 3. Manuel JI. Racial/Ethnic and Gender Disparities in Health Care Use and Access. *Health*  
579 *Services Research*. 2018;53(3):1407-29.
- 580 4. Ben J, Cormack D, Harris R, Paradies Y. Racism and health service utilisation: A systematic  
581 review and meta-analysis. *PLOS ONE*. 2017;12(12):e0189900.
- 582 5. Chen JA. Psychiatric Symptoms and Diagnoses Among U.S. College Students: A Comparison  
583 by Race and Ethnicity. *Psychiatric services (Washington, DC)*. 2019;70(6):442-9.
- 584 6. El Chaar M, King K, Galvez Lima A. Are black and Hispanic persons disproportionately  
585 affected by COVID-19 because of higher obesity rates? *Surgery for Obesity and Related*  
586 *Diseases*. 2020.
- 587 7. Cho P, Geiss LS, Burrows NR, Roberts DL, Bullock AK, Toedt ME. Diabetes-Related  
588 Mortality Among American Indians and Alaska Natives, 1990–2009. *American Journal of Public*  
589 *Health*. 2014;104(S3):S496-S503.

- 590 8. Gold DR, Wright R. Population disparities in asthma. *Annu Rev Public Health*. 2005;26:89-  
591 113.
- 592 9. Peek ME, Cargill A, Huang ES. Diabetes health disparities. *Medical Care Research and*  
593 *Review*. 2007;64(5\_suppl):101S-56S.
- 594 10. Beavis AL, Gravitt PE, Rositch AF. Hysterectomy-corrected cervical cancer mortality rates  
595 reveal a larger racial disparity in the United States. *Cancer*. 2017;123(6):1044-50.
- 596 11. Bancks MP, Kershaw K, Carson AP, Gordon-Larsen P, Schreiner PJ, Carnethon MR.  
597 Association of Modifiable Risk Factors in Young Adulthood With Racial Disparity in Incident  
598 Type 2 Diabetes During Middle Adulthood. *JAMA*. 2017;318(24):2457.
- 599 12. Warne D, Lajimodiere D. American Indian health disparities: Psychosocial influences. *Social*  
600 *and Personality Psychology Compass*. 2015;9(10):567-79.
- 601 13. Peng TY, Ehrlich SF, Crites Y, Kitzmiller JL, Kuzniewicz MW, Hedderson MM, et al.  
602 Trends and racial and ethnic disparities in the prevalence of pregestational type 1 and type 2  
603 diabetes in Northern California: 1996–2014. *American Journal of Obstetrics and Gynecology*.  
604 2017;216(2):177.e1-e8.
- 605 14. Flegal KM, Kruszon-Moran D, Carroll MD, Fryar CD, Ogden CL. Trends in Obesity Among  
606 Adults in the United States, 2005 to 2014. *JAMA*. 2016;315(21):2284.
- 607 15. Rho HJ, Brown H, Fremstad S. A basic demographic profile of workers in frontline industries.  
608 Center for Economic and Policy Research. 2020.
- 609 16. Bureau of Labor Statistics. Labor force characteristics by race and ethnicity, 2018. US  
610 Bureau of Labor Statistics. 2019.
- 611 17. CDC. Groups at Higher Risk for Severe Illness 2020 [updated May 14, 2020. Available from:  
612 <https://www.cdc.gov/coronavirus/2019-ncov/need-extra-precautions/groups-at-higher-risk.html>.

- 613 18. Longmire-Avital B. Young, Depressed, and Black: A Comparative Exploration of  
614 Depressive Symptomatology Among Black and White Collegiate Women. *Journal of college*  
615 *student psychotherapy*. 2018;32(1):53-72.
- 616 19. Baldwin JA, Eaves ER, Brown BG, Elwell K, Williamson HJ. *The Behavioral Health of*  
617 *American Indian/Alaska Native Populations: Risk and Resiliency*. Springer International  
618 Publishing; 2020. p. 205-30.
- 619 20. National Center for Health Statistics. *Health, United States, 2015: with special feature on*  
620 *racial and ethnic health disparities*. Washington (DC): US Government Printing Office. 2016.
- 621 21. Shattell MM, Smith KM, Quinlan-Colwell A, Villalba JA. Factors Contributing to  
622 Depression in Latinas of Mexican Origin Residing in the United States: Implications for Nurses.  
623 2008;14(3):193-204.
- 624 22. Alegria M, Canino G, Shrout PE, Woo M, Duan N, Vila D, et al. Prevalence of mental illness  
625 in immigrant and non-immigrant U.S. Latino groups. *The American journal of psychiatry*.  
626 2008;165(3):359-69.
- 627 23. Vilsaint CL, NeMoyer A, Fillbrunn M, Sadikova E, Kessler RC, Sampson NA, et al.  
628 Racial/ethnic differences in 12-month prevalence and persistence of mood, anxiety, and  
629 substance use disorders: Variation by nativity and socioeconomic status. *Comprehensive*  
630 *psychiatry*. 2019;89:52-60.
- 631 24. Shao Z, Richie WD, Bailey RK. Racial and Ethnic Disparity in Major Depressive Disorder.  
632 *Journal of Racial and Ethnic Health Disparities*. 2016;3(4):692-705.
- 633 25. Williams DR, Gonzalez HM, Neighbors H, Nesse R, Abelson JM, Sweetman J, et al.  
634 Prevalence and distribution of major depressive disorder in African Americans, Caribbean blacks,

- 635 and non-Hispanic whites: results from the National Survey of American Life. Archives of  
636 general psychiatry. 2007;64(3):305-15.
- 637 26. Sherr L, Cluver L. World Health Day focus on HIV and depression-a comorbidity with  
638 specific challenges. J Int AIDS Soc. 2017;20(1).
- 639 27. Schachter J, Martel J, Lin C-S, Chang C-J, Wu T-R, Lu C-C, et al. Effects of obesity on  
640 depression: a role for inflammation and the gut microbiota. Brain, Behavior, and Immunity.  
641 2018;69:1-8.
- 642 28. Assari S. Association between obesity and depression among American Blacks: role of  
643 ethnicity and gender. Journal of Racial and Ethnic Health Disparities. 2014;1(1):36-44.
- 644 29. Pate CA, Zahran HS, Bailey CM. Impaired health-related quality of life and related risk  
645 factors among US adults with asthma. Journal of Asthma. 2019;56(4):431-9.
- 646 30. Oh H, Stickley A, Singh F, Koyanagi A. Self-reported asthma diagnosis and mental health:  
647 Findings from the Collaborative Psychiatric Epidemiology Surveys. Psychiatry research.  
648 2019;271:721-5.
- 649 31. Schneider EC, Zaslavsky AM, Epstein AM. Racial disparities in the quality of care for  
650 enrollees in Medicare managed care. Jama. 2002;287(10):1288-94.
- 651 32. Hoebel J, Maske UE, Zeeb H, Lampert T. Social inequalities and depressive symptoms in  
652 adults: the role of objective and subjective socioeconomic status. PloS one. 2017;12(1):e0169764.
- 653 33. Arenas DJ, Thomas A, Wang J, DeLisser HM. A Systematic Review and Meta-analysis of  
654 Depression, Anxiety, and Sleep Disorders in US Adults with Food Insecurity. J Gen Intern Med.  
655 2019;34(12):2874-82.

- 656 34. Lemstra M, Neudorf C, D'Arcy C, Kunst A, Warren LM, Bennett NR. A Systematic Review  
657 of Depressed Mood and Anxiety by SES in Youth Aged 10–15 Years. *Canadian Journal of*  
658 *Public Health*. 2008;99(2):125-9.
- 659 35. Nagata JM, Palar K, Gooding HC, Garber AK, Whittle HJ, Bibbins-Domingo K, et al. Food  
660 Insecurity Is Associated With Poorer Mental Health and Sleep Outcomes in Young Adults. *J*  
661 *Adolesc Health*. 2019;65(6):805-11.
- 662 36. Weinstein N, Stone DN. Need depriving effects of financial insecurity: Implications for well-  
663 being and financial behaviors. *Journal of Experimental Psychology: General*. 2018;147(10):1503.
- 664 37. Peplinski B, McClelland R, Szklo M. Associations between socioeconomic status markers  
665 and depressive symptoms by race and gender: results from the Multi-Ethnic Study of  
666 Atherosclerosis (MESA). *Annals of Epidemiology*. 2018;28(8):535-42.e1.
- 667 38. McCurley JL, Gutierrez AP, Bravin JI, Schneiderman N, Reina SA, Khambaty T, et al.  
668 Association of social adversity with comorbid diabetes and depression symptoms in the Hispanic  
669 Community Health Study/Study of Latinos Sociocultural Ancillary Study: a syndemic  
670 framework. *Annals of Behavioral Medicine*. 2019;53(11):975-87.
- 671 39. Villegas E, Hannon B, Hammons A, Teran Garcia M, Wiley A. Is Food Security  
672 Influencing Emotional Health? A Study with Hispanic Immigrant Mothers. *The FASEB Journal*.  
673 2017;31:791.27-.27.
- 674 40. Walton QL, Boone C. Voices Unheard: An Intersectional Approach to Understanding  
675 Depression among Middle-Class Black Women. *Women & Therapy*. 2019;42(3-4):301-19.
- 676 41. Assari S, Gibbons FX, Simons R. Depression among black youth; interaction of class and  
677 place. *Brain sciences*. 2018;8(6):108.

- 678 42. Assari S. Social Determinants of Depression: The Intersections of Race, Gender, and  
679 Socioeconomic Status. *Brain Sciences*. 2017;7(12):156.
- 680 43. McGee RE, Thompson NJ. Unemployment and depression among emerging adults in 12  
681 states, Behavioral Risk Factor Surveillance System, 2010. *Prev Chronic Dis*. 2015;12:E38.
- 682 44. Paul KI, Moser K. Unemployment impairs mental health: Meta-analyses. *Journal of*  
683 *Vocational Behavior*. 2009;74(3):264-82.
- 684 45. Bonanno GA, Brewin CR, Kaniasty K, Greca AML. Weighing the costs of disaster:  
685 Consequences, risks, and resilience in individuals, families, and communities. *Psychological*  
686 *science in the public interest*. 2010;11(1):1-49.
- 687 46. Solomon D, Maxwell C, Castro A. Systematic Inequality and Economic Opportunity.  
688 Washington: Center for American Progress. 2019.
- 689 47. Mehdi M, Waseem M, Rehm MH, Aziz N, Anjum S, Javid MA. Depression and Anxiety in  
690 Health Care Workers during COVID-19. *Biomedica*. 2020;36.
- 691 48. Krueger EA, Meyer IH, Upchurch DM. Sexual orientation group differences in perceived  
692 stress and depressive symptoms among young adults in the United States. *LGBT health*.  
693 2018;5(4):242-9.
- 694 49. Heterogeneity of transgender identity nonaffirmation microaggressions and their association  
695 with depression symptoms and suicidality among transgender persons [press release]. US:  
696 Educational Publishing Foundation 2019.
- 697 50. Bostwick WB, Meyer I, Aranda F, Russell S, Hughes T, Birkett M, et al. Mental health and  
698 suicidality among racially/ethnically diverse sexual minority youths. *American journal of public*  
699 *health*. 2014;104(6):1129-36.

- 700 51. Vu M, Li J, Haardörfer R, Windle M, Berg CJ. Mental health and substance use among  
701 women and men at the intersections of identities and experiences of discrimination: insights from  
702 the intersectionality framework. *BMC public health*. 2019;19(1):108.
- 703 52. Rhodes SD, Martinez O, Song E-Y, Daniel J, Alonzo J, Eng E, et al. Depressive symptoms  
704 among immigrant Latino sexual minorities. *American Journal of Health Behavior*.  
705 2013;37(3):404-13.
- 706 53. Race/ethnicity and sexual orientation disparities in mental health, sexism, and social support  
707 among women veterans [press release]. US: Educational Publishing Foundation 2019.
- 708 54. Flanders CE, Shuler SA, Desnoyers SA, Vankim NA. Relationships Between Social Support,  
709 Identity, Anxiety, and Depression Among Young Bisexual People of Color. *Journal of*  
710 *Bisexuality*. 2019;19:2.
- 711 55. Jefferson K, Neilands TB, Sevelius J. Transgender women of color: discrimination and  
712 depression symptoms. *Ethn Inequal Health Soc Care*. 2013;6(4):121-36.
- 713 56. Lefevor GT, Janis RA, Franklin A, Stone W-M. Distress and Therapeutic Outcomes Among  
714 Transgender and Gender Nonconforming People of Color. *The Counseling Psychologist*.  
715 2019;47(1):34-58.
- 716 57. Stein GL, Gonzalez LM, Huq N. Cultural stressors and the hopelessness model of depressive  
717 symptoms in Latino adolescents. *Journal of youth and adolescence*. 2012;41(10):1339-49.
- 718 58. Benner AD, Wang Y, Shen Y, Boyle AE, Polk R, Cheng Y-P. Racial/ethnic discrimination  
719 and well-being during adolescence: A meta-analytic review. *American Psychologist*.  
720 2018;73(7):855.

- 721 59. Douglass S, Mirpuri S, English D, Yip T. "They were just making jokes": Ethnic/racial  
722 teasing and discrimination among adolescents. *Cultural diversity & ethnic minority psychology*.  
723 2016;22(1):69-82.
- 724 60. Lui PP. Racial Microaggression, Overt Discrimination, and Distress: (In)Direct Associations  
725 With Psychological Adjustment. *The Counseling Psychologist*. 2020;48(4):551-82.
- 726 61. Forrest-Bank SS, Cuellar MJ. The Mediating Effects of Ethnic Identity on the Relationships  
727 between Racial Microaggression and Psychological Well-Being. *Social Work Research*.  
728 2018;42(1):44-56.
- 729 62. Barlow JN. Restoring Optimal Black Mental Health and Reversing Intergenerational Trauma  
730 in an Era of Black Lives Matter. *Biography*. 2018;41(4):895-908.
- 731 63. Farisi AB, Habibi H, Mariska PN. Cultural Identity of Colonialism: Traumatic Effects of  
732 Slavery and Racism. *Cultura Interpreta*. 2019;9(3):117-24.
- 733 64. Grills CN, Aird EG, Rowe D. Breathe, baby, breathe: Clearing the way for the emotional  
734 emancipation of Black people. *Cultural Studies? Critical Methodologies*. 2016;16(3):333-43.
- 735 65. Gone JP, Hartmann WE, Pomerville A, Wendt DC, Klem SH, Burrage RL. The impact of  
736 historical trauma on health outcomes for indigenous populations in the USA and Canada: A  
737 systematic review. *American Psychologist*. 2019;74(1):20.
- 738 66. Documentation status and psychological distress among New York City community college  
739 students [press release]. US: Educational Publishing Foundation 2020.
- 740 67. Cobb CL, Meca A, Branscombe NR, Schwartz SJ, Xie D, Zea MC, et al. Perceived  
741 discrimination and well-being among unauthorized Hispanic immigrants: The moderating role of  
742 ethnic/racial group identity centrality. *Cultural Diversity and Ethnic Minority Psychology*.  
743 2019;25(2):280-7.

- 744 68. Stafford AM, Bigatti SM, Draucker CB. Cultural stressors experienced by young Latinas  
745 with depressive symptoms living in a tumultuous sociopolitical climate in the United States.  
746 Archives of Psychiatric Nursing. 2019;33(5):36-42.
- 747 69. Cervantes RC, Cardoso JB, Goldbach JT. Examining differences in culturally based stress  
748 among clinical and nonclinical Hispanic adolescents. Cultural Diversity and Ethnic Minority  
749 Psychology. 2015;21(3):458-67.
- 750 70. Cariello AN, Perrin PB, Williams CD, Espinoza GA, Morlett-Paredes A, Moreno OA, et al.  
751 Moderating influence of enculturation on the relations between minority stressors and physical  
752 health via anxiety in Latinx immigrants. Cultural Diversity and Ethnic Minority Psychology.  
753 2019.
- 754 71. Chu J, Maruyama B, Batchelder H, Goldblum P, Bongar B, Wickham RE. Cultural pathways  
755 for suicidal ideation and behaviors. Cultural Diversity and Ethnic Minority Psychology.  
756 2020;26(3):367-77.
- 757 72. Pascoe EA, Smart Richman L. Perceived discrimination and health: a meta-analytic review.  
758 Psychological bulletin. 2009;135(4):531.
- 759 73. Schmitt MT, Branscombe NR, Postmes T, Garcia A. The consequences of perceived  
760 discrimination for psychological well-being: a meta-analytic review. Psychological bulletin.  
761 2014;140(4):921.
- 762 74. Priest N, Truong M, Chong S, Paradies Y, King T, Kavanagh A, et al. Experiences of racial  
763 discrimination and cardiometabolic risk among Australian children. Brain, Behavior, and  
764 Immunity. 2020.
- 765 75. Fisher CB, Wallace SA, Fenton RE. Discrimination distress during adolescence. Journal of  
766 youth and adolescence. 2000;29(6):679-95.

- 767 76. Yip T. The effects of ethnic/racial discrimination and sleep quality on depressive symptoms  
768 and self-esteem trajectories among diverse adolescents. *Journal of youth and adolescence*.  
769 2015;44(2):419-30.
- 770 77. Clark R, Anderson NB, Clark VR, Williams DR. Racism as a stressor for African Americans:  
771 A biopsychosocial model. *American psychologist*. 1999;54(10):805.
- 772 78. Nolen-Hoeksema S, Wisco BE, Lyubomirsky S. Rethinking rumination. *Perspectives on*  
773 *psychological science*. 2008;3(5):400-24.
- 774 79. Adam EK, Heissel JA, Zeiders KH, Richeson JA, Ross EC, Ehrlich KB, et al. Developmental  
775 histories of perceived racial discrimination and diurnal cortisol profiles in adulthood: A 20-year  
776 prospective study. *Psychoneuroendocrinology*. 2015;62:279-91.
- 777 80. Brondolo E, Libby DJ, Denton EG, Thompson S, Beatty DL, Schwartz J, et al. Racism and  
778 ambulatory blood pressure in a community sample. *Psychosom Med*. 2008;70(1):49-56.
- 779 81. Williams J, Gonzalez-Medina D. Infectious diseases and social stigma. *Applied Innovations*  
780 *and Technologies*. 2011;4(1):58-70.
- 781 82. Person B, Sy F, Holton K, Govert B, Liang A, National Center for Infectious Diseases SCOT.  
782 Fear and stigma: the epidemic within the SARS outbreak. *Emerg Infect Dis*. 2004;10(2):358-63.
- 783 83. Crockett KB, Kalichman SC, Kalichman MO, Cruess DG, Katner HP. Experiences of HIV-  
784 related discrimination and consequences for internalised stigma, depression and alcohol use.  
785 *Psychology & health*. 2019;34(7):796-810.
- 786 84. Baral SC, Karki DK, Newell JN. Causes of stigma and discrimination associated with  
787 tuberculosis in Nepal: a qualitative study. *BMC public health*. 2007;7(1):1-10.
- 788 85. Lee S, Chan LY, Chau AM, Kwok KP, Kleinman A. The experience of SARS-related stigma  
789 at Amoy Gardens. *Social science & medicine*. 2005;61(9):2038-46.

- 790 86. Siu JY-m. The SARS-associated stigma of SARS victims in the post-SARS era of Hong  
791 Kong. *Qualitative health research*. 2008;18(6):729-38.
- 792 87. Goodwin R, Haque S, Neto F, Myers LB. Initial psychological responses to Influenza A,  
793 H1N1 ("Swine flu"). *BMC Infectious Diseases*. 2009;9(1):166.
- 794 88. Major B, Quinton WJ, McCoy SK. Antecedents and consequences of attributions to  
795 discrimination: Theoretical and empirical advances. 2002.
- 796 89. Major B, Kaiser CR, McCoy SK. It's not my fault: When and why attributions to prejudice  
797 protect self-esteem. *Personality and Social Psychology Bulletin*. 2003;29(6):772-81.
- 798 90. Balsam KF, Beadnell B, Molina Y. The Daily Heterosexist Experiences Questionnaire:  
799 Measuring minority stress among lesbian, gay, bisexual, and transgender adults. *Measurement*  
800 *and Evaluation in Counseling and Development*. 2013;46(1):3-25.
- 801 91. Balsam KF, Molina Y, Beadnell B, Simoni J, Walters K. Measuring multiple minority stress:  
802 the LGBT People of Color Microaggressions Scale. *Cultural Diversity and Ethnic Minority*  
803 *Psychology*. 2011;17(2):163.
- 804 92. Spitzer RL. Validation and Utility of a Self-report Version of PRIME-MD: The PHQ  
805 Primary Care Study. *JAMA*. 1999;282(18):1737.
- 806 93. Huang FY, Chung H, Kroenke K, Delucchi KL, Spitzer RL. Using the patient health  
807 questionnaire-9 to measure depression among racially and ethnically diverse primary care  
808 patients. *Journal of general internal medicine*. 2006;21(6):547-52.
- 809 94. Kroenke K, Spitzer RL, Williams JBW, Löwe B. The Patient Health Questionnaire Somatic,  
810 Anxiety, and Depressive Symptom Scales: a systematic review. *General Hospital Psychiatry*.  
811 2010;32(4):345-59.

- 812 95. Easton SD, Roh S, Kong J, Lee Y-S. Childhood Sexual Abuse and Depression among  
813 American Indians in Adulthood. *Health & social work*. 2019;44(2):95-103.
- 814 96. Keum BT, Miller MJ, Inkelas KK. Testing the factor structure and measurement invariance  
815 of the PHQ-9 across racially diverse US college students. *Psychol Assess*. 2018;30(8):1096.
- 816 97. Harry ML, Waring SC. The measurement invariance of the Patient Health Questionnaire-9  
817 for American Indian adults. *Journal of affective disorders*. 2019;254:59-68.
- 818 98. Patel JS, Oh Y, Rand KL, Wu W, Cyders MA, Kroenke K, Stewart JC. Measurement  
819 invariance of the patient health questionnaire-9 (PHQ-9) depression screener in US adults across  
820 sex, race/ethnicity, and education level: NHANES 2005–2016. *Depression and anxiety*. 2019  
821 Sep;36(9):813-23.
- 822 99. Spitzer RL, Kroenke K, Williams JB, Löwe B. A brief measure for assessing generalized  
823 anxiety disorder: the GAD-7. *Archives of internal medicine*. 2006;166(10):1092-7.
- 824 100. Löwe B, Decker O, Müller S, Brähler E, Schellberg D, Herzog W, et al. Validation and  
825 standardization of the Generalized Anxiety Disorder Screener (GAD-7) in the general population.  
826 *Medical care*. 2008;266-74.
- 827 101. Dear BF, Titov N, Sunderland M, McMillan D, Anderson T, Lorian C, et al. Psychometric  
828 comparison of the generalized anxiety disorder scale-7 and the Penn State Worry Questionnaire  
829 for measuring response during treatment of generalised anxiety disorder. *Cognitive behaviour*  
830 *therapy*. 2011;40(3):216-27.
- 831 102. Wurster HE, Sarche M, Trucksess C, Morse B, Biringen Z. Parents' adverse childhood  
832 experiences and parent-child emotional availability in an American Indian community: Relations

- 833 with young children's social-emotional development. *Development and Psychopathology*.  
834 2019;1-12.
- 835 103. Saunders HE. Adverse childhood experiences, stress, and emotional availability: an  
836 American Indian context: Colorado State University. Libraries; 2016.
- 837 104. Parkerson HA, Thibodeau MA, Brandt CP, Zvolensky MJ, Asmundson GJG. Cultural-  
838 based biases of the GAD-7. *Journal of Anxiety Disorders*. 2015;31:38-42.
- 839 105. Satorra A, Bentler PM. A scaled difference chi-square test statistic for moment structure  
840 analysis. *Psychometrika*. 2001;66(4):507-14.
- 841 106. R Core Team. R: A language and environment for statistical computing. Vienna, Austria: R  
842 Foundation for Statistical Computing; 2020.
- 843 107. Rosseel Y, Oberski D, Byrnes J, Vanbrabant L, Savalei V, Merkle E, et al. Package 'lavaan'.  
844 2017. p. 2017.
- 845 108. Hu Lt, Bentler PM. Cutoff criteria for fit indexes in covariance structure analysis:  
846 Conventional criteria versus new alternatives. *Structural equation modeling: a multidisciplinary*  
847 *journal*. 1999;6(1):1-55.
- 848 109. MacKinnon DP, Lockwood CM, Williams J. Confidence limits for the indirect effect:  
849 Distribution of the product and resampling methods. *Multivariate behavioral research*.  
850 2004;39(1):99-128.
- 851 110. HHS. HHS POVERTY GUIDELINES FOR 2020 2020 [Available from:  
852 <https://aspe.hhs.gov/poverty-guidelines>.
- 853 111. MacCallum R. Specification searches in covariance structure modeling. 1986;100:107-20.
- 854 112. Little TD, Cunningham WA, Shahar G, Widaman KF. To parcel or not to parcel: Exploring  
855 the question, weighing the merits. *Structural equation modeling*. 2002;9(2):151-73.

- 856 113. Cheung GW, Rensvold RB. Evaluating goodness-of-fit indexes for testing measurement  
857 invariance. *Structural equation modeling*. 2002;9(2):233-55.
- 858 114. MacCallum RC, Browne MW, Sugawara HM. Power analysis and determination of sample  
859 size for covariance structure modeling. *Psychological methods*. 1996;1(2):130.
- 860 115. Raifman MA, Raifman JR. Disparities in the Population at Risk of Severe Illness From  
861 COVID-19 by Race/Ethnicity and Income. *American journal of preventive medicine*.  
862 2020;59(1):137-9.
- 863 116. CDC. Summary Health Statistics: National Health Interview Survey: 2018. Table A-15a  
864 2020 [cited 2020 August 10]. Available from:  
865 [https://ftp.cdc.gov/pub/Health\\_Statistics/NCHS/NHIS/SHS/2018\\_SHS\\_Table\\_A-15.pdf](https://ftp.cdc.gov/pub/Health_Statistics/NCHS/NHIS/SHS/2018_SHS_Table_A-15.pdf).
- 866 117. CDC. National diabetes statistics report, 2020. Atlanta, GA: Centers for Disease Control  
867 and Prevention, US Department of Health and Human Services. 2020.
- 868 118. CDC. HIV surveillance report, 2018 (preliminary). Atlanta: CDC. 2019.
- 869 119. Twice M. Racial and Ethnic Disparities in Heart Disease 2019 [Available from:  
870 [https://www.cdc.gov/nchs/hus/spotlight/HeartDiseaseSpotlight\\_2019\\_0404.pdf](https://www.cdc.gov/nchs/hus/spotlight/HeartDiseaseSpotlight_2019_0404.pdf).
- 871 120. Owen WF, Carmona R, Pomeroy C. Failing another national stress test on health disparities.  
872 *Jama*. 2020.
- 873 121. Akee R. How COVID-19 is impacting indigenous peoples in the U.S. *PBS News Hour*.  
874 2020.
- 875 122. Rodriguez-Lonebear D, Barceló NE, Akee R, Carroll SR. American Indian Reservations  
876 and COVID-19: Correlates of Early Infection Rates in the Pandemic. *Journal of Public Health  
877 Management and Practice*. 2020;26(4):371-7.

- 878 123. Cohen JM, Blasey C, Barr Taylor C, Weiss BJ, Newman MG. Anxiety and Related  
879 Disorders and Concealment in Sexual Minority Young Adults. *Behavior Therapy*.  
880 2016;47(1):91-101.
- 881 124. Ruiz NG, Horowitz JM, Tamir C. Many Black and Asian Americans Say They Have  
882 Experienced Discrimination Amid the COVID-19 Outbreak 2020 [updated July 1, 2020; cited  
883 2020 August 12]. Available from: [https://www.pewsocialtrends.org/2020/07/01/many-black-and-](https://www.pewsocialtrends.org/2020/07/01/many-black-and-asian-americans-say-they-have-experienced-discrimination-amid-the-covid-19-outbreak/)  
884 [asian-americans-say-they-have-experienced-discrimination-amid-the-covid-19-outbreak/](https://www.pewsocialtrends.org/2020/07/01/many-black-and-asian-americans-say-they-have-experienced-discrimination-amid-the-covid-19-outbreak/).
- 885 125. Fitzsimons T. LGBTQ History Month: The early days of America's AIDS crisis 2018  
886 [updated Oct. 15, 2018; cited 2020 August 14]. Available from:  
887 [https://www.nbcnews.com/feature/nbc-out/lgbtq-history-month-early-days-america-s-aids-crisis-](https://www.nbcnews.com/feature/nbc-out/lgbtq-history-month-early-days-america-s-aids-crisis-n919701)  
888 [n919701](https://www.nbcnews.com/feature/nbc-out/lgbtq-history-month-early-days-america-s-aids-crisis-n919701).