

**Knowledge, attitude and practice among Ophthalmic Health Care Personnel (HCP)
towards COVID-19 pandemic in Nepal: A web-based cross-sectional study**

**Zahir Ansari ^{1*}, Babu Dhanendra Chaurasiya ³, Sirjana Adhikari ², Uday Chandra
Prakash ⁴, Bikram Adhikari ², Sahana Khaton ⁵**

¹ B.P. Koirala Institute of Health Sciences, Department of Ophthalmology, Dharan, Nepal

² B.P. Koirala Institute of Health Sciences, School of Public Health and Community
Medicine, Dharan, Nepal

³ Narayani Hospital, Department of Ophthalmology, Birgunj, Nepal

⁴ Nobel Medical College, Department of Ophthalmology, Biratnagar, Nepal

⁵ Khasyauli Primary Health Care Center, Palpa, Nepal

* Corresponding author

zahirzannat@gmail.com(ZA)

Abstract

Background:

Being an added high-risk group, ophthalmic HCP are actively providing emergency eye care services, also enthusiastically participating in prevention and control of the COVID-19 pandemic. Hence, this study aimed to assess the level of knowledge, attitude, and practice (KAP) among ophthalmic HCP towards COVID-19 pandemic.

Methods:

A web-based cross-sectional study was conducted during the period of lockdown among ophthalmic HCP including consultant ophthalmologist, resident, optometrist, ophthalmic assistant, nursing staff, and other paramedics of eye care centers in Nepal. The KAP questionnaire was designed and distributed online. Data were analyzed using the Chi-square test, Pearson correlation, and binary logistic regression. All tests were performed at 95% Confidence Interval (CI) and p-value <0.05 was considered statistically significant.

Results:

Of 694 participants, the majority were male (59.1%) from the age group 31-40 years (41.5%) and tertiary eye center (68.9%). Among ophthalmic HCP, there were 29.8% consultants ophthalmologist, 22.6% residents, 23.3% optometrist, 15% ophthalmic assistant, and 9.2% other ophthalmic paramedics, 11.7% working as front-liners in COVID-19 centers. Findings showed, 98.1% had good knowledge, 59.4% had a positive attitude and only 13.3% had good practice regarding COVID-19. Binary logistic regression analysis demonstrated the age of HCP to be a significant determinant of good knowledge (Crude Odds Ratio (COR)=0.72, 95%CI=0.62-0.82), positive attitude (COR=0.92, 95%CI=0.90-0.94) and good practice (COR=1.16, 95%CI=1.10-1.21). Lower odds of poor practice was seen among junior resident

(COR=0.26, 95% CI=0.14-0.47) and higher odds of poor practice was seen among HCP with job experience of 5-10 years (COR=2.38, 95% CI=1.23-4.60) towards COVID-19 pandemic.

Conclusion:

The majority of ophthalmic HCP have good knowledge, insufficient positive attitude, and inadequate evidence-based practice towards the COVID-19 pandemic in Nepal. Hence, this study conclusively recommends to modify existing guidelines and formulate new policies to improve KAP among ophthalmic HCP to effectively control the spread of COVID-19.

Keywords: Knowledge, attitude, practice, KAP, COVID-19, ophthalmic, HCP, Nepal

Background

Mankind is amid a global pandemic at an unprecedented scale due to coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19). Initially called then “Severe Acute Respiratory Syndrome Coronavirus-2 (SARS-CoV-2)” when it was first detected by the Center for Disease Control (CDC) China, it was, later designated as COVID-19 by the World Health Organization (WHO) on January 7, 2020 [1,2].

Dr. Li Wenliang, a Chinese ophthalmologist was among the first to sound an alarm, possible emergence of a new and unusual type of pneumonia. On February 7, 2020, he died of respiratory failure as a complication of the same disease he had tried to warn the world about. Although labeled as a “whistleblower” initially, he was soon regarded as an international hero for his sincere efforts to make the world alert about a danger lurking ahead [3, 4].

As compared to other critical care specialists, although the ophthalmologists are less involved in lives saving procedures, they may be the first to see and diagnose new emerging diseases [5].

By January 30, WHO had declared COVID-19 as a Public Health Emergency of International Concern (PHEIC) due to its rapid spread to more than two hundred countries [6]. Then on March 11, 2020, the inevitable announcement roared throughout the planet-WHO announced a first global pandemic in over a hundred years [7]. As of mid-June 2020, there have been more than 7 million confirmed cases and 400,000 mortalities across the globe with still no signs of relief on the horizon [8].

Nepal has the most vulnerable geographical location for this global health crisis. Adding to its woes, is the fact that it is a landlocked country between China-the epicenter of the pandemic; and India-currently a hotspot with the 4th largest “cluster” in the world [8]. The vast porous border that Nepal shares with India have added more salt to the wound as a large number of unorganized migration is occurring daily. The regions of both nations around the

border has also currently been tagged as “red zones” as more and more cases are being diagnosed every day [9]. It was evident from the beginning when the first COVID-19 case was diagnosed in Nepal that much of the suffering will be due to imported cases. Many also indicated that it will be impossible to escape from COVID-19 and a great preparation will be required if we were to limit the suffering [10]. Till mid-June 2020, Nepal has more than 6000 confirmed cases and 19 mortalities [8].

Due to various restrictions and “lockdown”, COVID-19 has affected all services including eye care facility. In Nepal, ophthalmic health care personnel are still actively providing emergency and not allowing much-needed services to falter. At the same time, they have also been enthusiastically participating in the prevention and control of the COVID-19.

In Nepal, especially medical colleges and teaching hospitals that are also providing emergent eye care services, have also been converted into special COVID-19 hospitals/centers. As a result, the ophthalmic health care personnel (HCP) have become directly or indirectly involved in the service to COVID-19 patients.

Owing to the pre-requisites and requirements in an ophthalmic examination, it is almost impossible to maintain a “physical distance” or “time restrictions” as recommended by the WHO or the CDC. The distance between the patient and the ophthalmic HCP is as close as 20 centimeter (cm) in most of the circumstances and the time required for a comprehensive ocular assessment also easily surpasses the guidelines. Contact with the patient is inevitable [11].

Although the risk of transmission is low, acute viral conjunctivitis can be a feature of COVID-19 and tear film (ocular secretions) have the potential for viral transmission [12]. Ophthalmic health care personnel are among high risk compared to other health workers. Due to the frequent exposure to suspected or infected patients, HCP are at serious occupational health risk of COVID-19 [13]. Thus, HCP must have updated knowledge on the source,

transmission, symptoms, and preventive measures which will help to impose a positive attitude and good practice to assure safety and protection of not only themselves but also of their patients [14].

Various studies have shown that lack of knowledge and misunderstandings among HCP leads to delayed diagnosis, acceleration of the spread, the establishment of a dangerous “chain of transmission” [15]. This will not only lead to infection in HCP but will make them a potential “super spreader”. Such missed events have already been documented around the globe [13].

A good knowledge, positive attitude, and evidence-based practice against COVID-19 are very important to fight against this pandemic. Hence, during the period of lockdown when social distancing was a must, this web-based study was designed to assess the level of knowledge, attitude, and practice among ophthalmic health personnel towards COVID-19.

We believe the results will enable us to assess the level of knowledge, attitude, and practice (KAP) among ophthalmic health personnel, which is very essential at the moment. With the help of the results of the study, we can ourselves know where we stand-on in this crisis based on KAP. This can help further design essential plans required for training and interventions, subsequently providing optimum eye care services at the time of pandemic without compromising the protection and safety of the ophthalmic health care personnel and patients.

Methods

Study design and duration

A web-based cross-sectional study was conducted from May 20th to June 9th, 2020 during the period of lockdown in Nepal. There was an increasing number of COVID-19 positive cases, as it was not feasible to conduct a population-based study. So, following the norms of the social distancing web-based online data collection method was selected.

Study population, sampling and data collection method

In the present study, ophthalmic health care personnel (HCP) i.e. ophthalmologist consultant, residents, optometrists, ophthalmic assistant and others (nursing staff, optician, orthoptics) who were directly involved or not involved in COVID-19 pandemic prevention and control, in different eye care health service centers of Nepal during this period of COVID-19 era were the study population.

The sample size was calculated using the Yamane formula. The total sample size was estimated to be 694 with a 5% margin of error at a 95% confidence interval. The study population unit was selected using a convenience method of sampling. The survey was started from 20th May and response acceptance was closed on 9th June 2020 after the required sample number achieved. A total of 694 participants were included in the final analysis of this study.

A semi-structured questionnaire was self-designed with the help of a literature review of various studies on COVID-19. The questionnaire was created using the Google-form platform and was distributed via online tools like Emails, Viber, WhatsApp, Facebook/Messenger, Twitter, and Instagram to all participants across the country. Instant online consent was taken from each participant before participating in the survey after a brief introduction to this survey. Pieces of information provided by participants were kept completely confidential and all the data collected in this research were anonymous. All

participants' responses were recorded in Google-forms.

Measure

The questionnaire used in the present study consist of 31 items for assessing Knowledge (10 items), attitude (10 items), and practice (11 items) among ophthalmic health care personnel. The KAP questionnaire was developed based on the study by Ahmed M. Asaad study towards the Middle East Respiratory Syndrome Coronavirus (MERs CoV) [16], the question and answer about COVID-19 in the webpage of WHO [17], COVID-19 ophthalmology practice guidelines by American Academy of Ophthalmology (AAO) and Nepal Ophthalmic society(NOS) [18,19].

Questionnaire pretesting was done by the principal investigator in the presence of a public health expert and an eye specialist, among 10 ophthalmic health care personnel from B.P. Koirala Institute of Health Sciences (BPKIHS), (same sorts of sample that is included in this study) using personal interview method through ZOOM, video-conferencing application. As per results and recommendation questionnaires were further modified to increase its intelligence and validity. Pre-tested data were not included in the final analysis of this study.

Questionnaires were divided into four different sections in Google-form. The first section consists of socio-demographic characteristics of study population i.e. age, gender, level of eye care center (i.e. primary, secondary, tertiary and medical college and teaching hospital), location of eye center according to provincial division, position/designation of HCP working in eye center and their job experience (<5years, 5-10 years and > 10 years), whether eye center having any COVID-19 cases management facility, level of COVID-19 facility (level 1,2,3 or COVID-19 clinic as per MoHP-Ministry of Health and Population) and whether ophthalmic HCP involved in COVID-19 cases management or not (front-liners, second-liners and not involved at all).

Section two consisted of 10 items of questionnaires assessing knowledge of ophthalmic HCP towards COVID-19 pandemic with multiple choices answering options i.e. “Yes”, “No” and “I don’t know”. The correct response (“Yes”) was assigned 1 point while incorrect response (“No” and “I don’t know”) were assigned zero points. Total maximum scores assigned were 10 with cut off level of greater than or equal to 7 for good knowledge, and less than 7 for poor knowledge.

Section three consisted of 10 items of questionnaires to assess the attitude of ophthalmic HCP towards COVID-19 pandemic with multiple-choice options to answer. Responses were recorded on 5 points likert scale as follows- strongly disagree (1 point), disagree (2 points), undecided/neutral (3 points), agree (4 points), and strongly agree (5 points). Total score ranged from 10 to 50 with an overall higher mean score indicates a positive attitude toward COVID-19.

Section four consisted of 11 items of questionnaires to assess the practice of ophthalmic HCP towards COVID-19 pandemic with multiple-choice options to answer (“Yes”, “Sometimes” and “No”). The correct response (“Yes”) was assigned 1 point while incorrect response (“Sometimes” and “No”) were assigned zero points. Total maximum scores were 11, cut off level of greater than equal to 7 for good practice, and less than 7 for poor practice.

Ethics

Ethical approval was obtained from the Nepal Health Research Council (reference no. 2466). The study questionnaire contained a consent portion that stated purpose, nature of the survey, study objectives, volunteer participation, declaration of confidentiality, and anonymity.

Statistical analysis

Responses recorded in Google-form were exported to and cleaned in Microsoft Excel 2019 and later imported to Statistical Package for Social Sciences (version-20.0) for statistical

analysis. The data were summarized in terms of frequency, percentage, mean and standard deviations (SD) and presented in the form of bar diagram-and tables. The confidence interval around the proportion was determined using the Clopper-Pearson method. Chi-square tests were applied to determine the association of knowledge (good vs poor), attitude (positive vs negative), and practice (good vs poor) with socio-demographic characteristics. Pearson correlation was applied to determine the correlation between knowledge, attitude, and practice scores. To find possible determinants of good knowledge and practice, a binary logistic regression analysis was applied. Throughout the study, all tests were two-tailed and carried out at 95% CI and p-value less than 0.05 was considered statistically significant.

Result

Socio-demographic characteristics of participants

Table 1 presents the socio-demographic characteristics of the participants.

Table 1: Socio-Demographic Characteristics

Characteristics (N=694)	n (%) or mean±SD
Age(in years)	34.6±6.9
20-30 years	272 (39.2)
31-40 years	288 (41.5)
41-50 years	122 (17.6)
51-60 years	12 (1.7)
Gender	
Male	410 (59.1)
Female	284 (40.9)
Ophthalmic health care personnel(HCP)	
Consultant	207 (29.8)
Junior resident	157 (22.6)
Optometrist	162 (23.3)
Ophthalmic assistant	104 (15.0)
Others*	64 (9.2)
Province	
Province 1	224 (32.3)
Province 2	119 (17.1)
Bagmati	175 (25.2)
Gandaki	45 (6.5)
Province 5	97 (14.0)
Karnali	15 (2.2)
Sudur Paschim	19 (2.7)
Level of the eye center	
Primary	25 (3.6)
Secondary	62 (8.9)
Tertiary	478 (68.9)

Medical college and teaching hospital	129 (18.6)
Job experience	
< 5 years	490 (70.6)
5-10 years	158 (22.8)
> 10 years	46 (6.6)
COVID-19 center	
Yes	163 (23.5)
No	531 (76.5)
Level of COVID-19 center (N=163)	
Level 1	0
Level 2	4 (2.5)
Level 3	77 (47.2)
COVID-19 clinic	82 (50.3)
Involvement in COVID-19 cases management	
Frontliners	81 (11.7)
Second liners	105 (15.1)
None	508 (73.2)

*n: frequency, %: percentage, SD: Standard Deviation
others* includes nursing staff, optician and orthoptics*

Knowledge, attitude and practice

Figures below showed responses of ophthalmic health care personnel about questionnaires about knowledge, attitude and practice towards COVID-19 pandemic (figure 1, 2 and 3)

Figure 1: Knowledge of participated ophthalmic HCP towards COVID-19 pandemic.

Figure 2: Attitude among participated ophthalmic HCP towards COVID-19 pandemic.

Figure 3: Practice of participated ophthalmic HCP towards COVID-19 pandemic.

In this study, the majority of respondents 98.1% (95% CI: 96.8-99.0) had good knowledge regarding COVID-19. Among all participants, 59.4% (95% CI: 55.6-63.0) participants had a

positive attitude towards COVID-19. To our most surprise, only 13.3% (95% CI: 10.8-16.0) following good practice regarding COVID-19 pandemic as shown in figure 4.

Figure 4: KAP among ophthalmic HCP towards COVID-19

Factors associated with KAP

Table 2 and 3 presents the factors associated with knowledge, attitude and practice among ophthalmic HCP. Knowledge was significantly associated with age (p=0.019), designation HCP (p<0.001), involvement in COVID-19 case management (p<0.001) and association with COVID-19 center (p=0.001). Attitude towards COVID-19 was significantly associated with age (p<0.001), designation HCP (p<0.001), job experience (p=0.005), level of eye center (p<0.001) and involvement in COVID-19 case management (p<0.001). Practice was significantly associated with age (p<0.001), designation HCP (p<0.001), job experience (p=0.029), level of eye center (p<0.001), involvement in COVID-19 case management (p<0.001) and affiliation to COVID-19 center (p=0.001).

Table 2: Association of KAP with different factors

Characteristics N=694	Knowledge*			Attitude**			Practice***		
	Good	Poor	P	Positive	Negative	p	Good	Poor	P
Age									
20-30 years	262(96.3)	10(3.7)		135(49.6)	137(50.4)		57(21)	215(79)	
31-40 years	286(99.3)	2(0.7)	0.019	170(59)	118(41)	<0.001	29(10.1)	259(89.9)	<0.001
>40 years	133(99.3)	1(0.7)		107(79.9)	27(20.1)		6(4.5)	128(95.5)	
Gender									
Male	402(98)	8(2)	0.855	247(60.2)	163(39.8)	0.803	57(13.9)	353(86.1)	0.547
Female	279(98.2)	5(1.8)		165(58.1)	119(41.9)		35(12.3)	249(87.7)	
Designation HCP									
Consultant	206(99.5)	1(0.5)		158(76.3)	49(23.7)		19(9.2)	188(90.8)	
Junior resident	156(99.4)	1(0.6)		93.2(71.3)	63.8(28.7)		44(28)	113(72)	
Optometrist	159.0(99.4)	3.0(0.6)	<0.001	135(83.3)	27(16.7)	<0.001	12(7.4)	150(92.6)	<0.001
Ophthalmic assistant	97(93.3)	7(6.7)		4(3.8)	100(96.2)		10(9.6)	94(90.4)	
Others	61(95.3)	3(4.7)		3(4.7)	61(95.3)		7(10.9)	57(89.1)	
Job experience									
<5 yrs	479(97.8)	11(2.2)		272(55.5)	218(44.5)		74(15.1)	416(84.9)	
5-10 yrs	158(100)	0	-	110(69.6%)	48(30.4%)	0.005	11(7%)	147(93%)	0.029
>10 yrs	44(95.7%)	2(4.3%)		30(65.2%)	16(34.8%)		7(15.2%)	39(84.8%)	

Province									
Province 1	220(98.2)	4(1.8)		98(43.8)	126(56.2)		27(12.1)	197(87.9)	
Province 2	114(95.80)	5(4.2)		81(68.1)	38(31.9)		10(8.4)	109(91.6)	
Bagmati	172(98.3)	3(1.7)		118(67.4)	57(32.6)		41(23.4)	134(76.6)	
Gandaki	44(97.8)	1(1.7)	-	25(55.6)	20(44.4)	<0.001	9(20)	36(80)	<0.001
Province 5	97(100)	0		65(67)	32(33)		5(5.2)	92(94.8)	
Karnali	15(100)	0		10(66.7)	5(33.3)		0	15(100)	
Sudur Paschim	19(100)	0		15(78.9)	4(21.1)		0	19(100)	
Level of eye center									
Primary	23(92)	2(8)		5(20)	20(80)		9(36)	16(64)	
Secondary	62(100)	0		22(35.5)	40(64.5)		9(14.5)	53(85.5)	
Tertiary	470(98.3)	8(1.7)	-	312(65.3)	166(34.7)	<0.001	37(7.7)	441(85.5)	<0.001
Medical college and teaching hospital	126(97.7)	3(2.3)		73(56.6)	56(43.4)		37(28.7)	92(71.3)	
Involvement in COVID-19 case management									
Frontliners	75(92.6)	6(7.4)		36(44.5)	45(55.6)		49(60.5)	32(39.5)	
Second liners	103(98.1)	2(1.9)	<0.001	50(47.6)	55(52.4)	<0.001	19(18.1)	86(81.9)	<0.001
None	503(99)	5(1)		326(64.2)	182(35.8)		24(4.7)	484(95.3)	
COVID-19 center									
Yes	155(95.1)	8(4.9)		92(56.4)	71(43.6)		57(35)	106(65)	
No	526(99.1)	5(0.9)	0.01	320(60.3)	211(39.7)	0.385	35(6.6)	496(93.4)	0.001

n: frequency , %: percentage

**Knowledge: Total maximum scores assigned were 10, cut off level of greater than or equal to 7 for good knowledge, and less than 7 for poor knowledge.*

***Attitude: Total score ranges from 10-50, with an overall higher mean score indicates a positive attitude toward COVID-19.*

*****Practice: Total maximum scores were 11, cut off level of greater than equal to 7 for good practice, and less than 7 for poor practice.*

Note: A p value of less than 0.05 considered significant. Bold values showing significant association.

Binary logistic regression analysis (Table 3) revealed that odds of having poor knowledge and poor attitude decrease by 0.72(0.62-0.82) and 0.92(0.90-0.94) times respectively, and odds of poor practice increase by 1.16 (1.10-1.21) times, with the increment of one year age. The odds of having poor knowledge among front-liners were 8.0 (2.40-27.03) times more compared to those who were not involved. The odds of poor practice among front-liners and second-liners were 0.03 (0.02-0.06) and 0.22 (0.12-0.43) times less, and odds of poor attitude among front-liners and second-liners were 2.24 (1.40-3.60) and 1.97 (1.29-3.01) times more compared to those who were not involved.

The odds of having poor practice and poor attitude among participants with experience of 5-10 years was 0.54 (0.37-0.80) times less and 2.38 (1.23-4.60) times more respectively compared to participants of experience of fewer than five years.

Table 3: Logistic regression analysis for factors associated with knowledge, attitude and practice towards COVID-19

Variables(N=694)	Knowledge		Attitude		Practice	
	COR(95% CI)	P	COR(95% CI)	P	COR(95% CI)	P
Age	0.72(0.62-0.82)	<0.001	0.92(0.90-0.94)	<0.001	1.16(1.10-1.21)	<0.001
Gender						
Male	1.11(0.36-3.43)	0.856	0.92(0.67-1.25)	0.572	0.87(0.55-1.37)	0.547
Female(ref)	1		1		1	
Designation HCP						
Consultant (ref)	1		1		1	
Junior resident	1.32(0.082- 21.277)	0.845	1.30 (0.81-2.07)	0.282	0.26(0.14-.47)	<0.001
Optometrist	1.280(0.079-20.613)	0.862	0.645(0.38-1.09)	0.100	1.26(0.59-2.69)	0.543
Ophthalmic assistant	14.87(1.80-122.51)	0.012	80.61(28.22-230.25)	<0.001	0.95(0.43-2.12)	0.901
Others	10.13(1.04-99.16)	0.047	65.57(19.70- 218.24)	<0.001	0.82(0.33-2.06)	0.823
Job experience						
<5 years (ref)	1		1		1	
5-10 years	0	0.996	0.54(0.37-0.80)	0.002	2.38(1.23-4.60)	0.010

>10 years	1.98(0.43-9.21)	0.384	0.67(0.35-1.25)	0.207	0.99(0.43-2.30)	0.983
Level of the eye center						
Primary	3.65(0.58-23.08)	0.168	5.21(1.84-14.75)	0.002	0.72(0.29-1.76)	0.466
Secondary	0	0.997	2.37(1.27-4.43)	0.007	2.37(1.06-5.29)	0.035
Tertiary	0.72(0.19-2.73)	0.624	0.69(0.47-1.03)	0.070	4.79(2.88-7.97)	<0.001
Medical college and teaching hospital (ref)	1		1		1	
Involvement in COVID-19 case management						
Front-liners	8.0(2.40-27.03)	0.001	2.24(1.40-3.60)	0.001	0.03(.02-0.06)	<0.001
Second-liners	1.95(0.37-10.21)	0.427	1.97(1.29-3.01)	0.002	0.22(0.12-0.43)	<0.001
None(ref)	1		1		1	
COVID-19 center						
Yes	5.43(1.75-16.84)	0.003	1.21(0.85-1.72)	0.293	0.13(0.08-0.21)	<0.001
No (ref)	1		1		1	

COR: Crude Odds Ratio; CI: Confidence Interval

Note: A p value of less than 0.05 is considered significant. Bold value indicates significantly associated factors.

Pearson correlation tests revealed a statistically significant moderate positive correlation between knowledge-attitude ($r=0.609$, $p<0.001$) and weak positive correlation between knowledge-practice ($r=0.300$, $p<0.001$), and attitude-practice ($r=0.124$, $p=0.001$).

Table 3: Correlation between KAP scores

Variables	Correlation coefficient (r)	p- value
Knowledge-attitude	0.609**	<0.001
Knowledge-practice	0.300**	<0.001
Attitude-practice	0.124**	0.001

**** Correlation is significant at the 0.05 level (2-tailed).**

Discussion

To the best of our knowledge, this is the first study that has been conducted aimed to determine the level of knowledge, attitude, and practice among ophthalmic health care personnel in Nepal towards the COVID-19 pandemic. Even we assumed, this might be the first KAP study that has been done among ophthalmic health care personnel even across the world about COVID-19 pandemic.

In the present study, 98.1% have good knowledge towards COVID-19 pandemic in Nepal, our findings were consistent to the studies conducted by Hussain *et al.* [20], Richa *et al.* [21] in Chitwan (Nepal), Saqlain *et al.* [22] in Pakistan, Zhou *et al.* [23] in China and Giao *et al.* [24] in Vietnam which reported good knowledge among 82.2%, 93.2%, 89%, and 88.4% participants respectively towards COVID-19. In contrast to our findings, a study conducted among nurses reported that 56.5% of respondents had sufficient knowledge regarding the transmission, symptoms, and treatment of COVID-19 [14]. This awareness has got more significance in the current scenario when no vaccine has been available till now and various researches are ongoing. So all ophthalmic and non-ophthalmic HCP must be aware of all the updates and should take precautions regarding treatment and prevention of COVID-19 infection [23].

In this study, 1.9% had poor knowledge regarding COVID-19, this mostly accounted because of the incorrect answer of questions regarding the risk of irreversible maculopathy at the higher doses of chloroquine and hydroxychloroquine for short periods (30.8% incorrect responses) and use of FDA issued remdesivir - an emergency authorization for the treatment of suspected or laboratory-confirmed COVID-19 (28.8% incorrect responses). The role of chloroquine and hydroxychloroquine in COVID-19 is still under investigations, many trials are going on all over the world as per WHO [25]. Since these drugs can cause ocular toxicity but its use for small duration has not been extensively studied, hence it is controversial. Also,

remdesivir [26] being a new promising and emerging drug, found effective against COVID-19. Hence, public health practitioners must be updated on the most promising potential therapeutic drugs that are under investigation. These might be reasons behind the incorrect response to these questions.

Hussain *et al.* (70-80%), Richa *et al.* (90.93%), Saqlain *et al.* (60-80%) and Giao *et al.* (more than 80%) reported positive attitude among the higher proportion of participants [20-22,24] compared to present study which reported positive attitude among 59.4%. This might be due to good knowledge which is directly proportionate to a positive attitude towards COVID-19. Similarly, in a KAP study related to Ebola virus disease by Iliyasu *et al.* a negative attitude was related to a lack of knowledge about the use of PPE and the shortage of PPE [27]. At present context in our country, there is both lack of knowledge about the use of PPE as well as a shortage of PPE. Other causes might be lack of specific treatment and vaccine for COVID-19, incompetency of central government, lack of overall scientific policies towards combating COVID-19, lack of preparedness of hospitals of our country, lack of adequate testing for COVID-19, lack of work incentives, insurance policy, job security, provision for families of HCWs, appropriate training, lack of skilled manpower, provision of treatment if tested positive and long and hectic duty hours.

In this study, 13.3% had good practice regarding COVID-19 pandemic which was much lower compared to Hussain *et al.* (more than 80%), Richa *et al.* (83.57%), Saqlain *et al.* (88.7%), and Albarrak *et al.* (more than 80%) [20-22,28]. This might be due to a lack of active participation of ophthalmic HCP in the management of COVID-19 at the time of the pandemic.

Richa *et al.* and Saqlain *et al.* reported a significant association between age and good knowledge [21,22] in agreement to present study but Giao *et al.* failed to find a significant association between knowledge and age [24]. Similar to this study, Richa *et al.* reported a

significant association between age and positive attitude [21], and in contrast Saqlain *et al.* and Albarrak *et al.* failed to find a significant association [22,28].

There was no statistically significant difference of knowledge, attitude, and practice among males and females which was similar to the findings of Saqlain *et al.* and Giao *et al.* [22,24], and was different from Richa *et al.* and Albarrak *et al.* [21,28]

In this study, there was no significant difference of knowledge about COVID-19 according to their position and designation of ophthalmic HCP in accordance with Saqlain *et al.*, and contrast to Richa *et al.*, Giao *et al.*, and Albarrak *et al.* [21-22,24,28]. However, there was a significant difference in attitude as consultants, junior residents and optometrists have positive attitude whereas ophthalmic assistant and other paramedics have a negative attitude towards COVID-19 but positive attitude were found in doctors, nurses and other paramedics in the study done by Richa *et al.*, Giao *et al.*, and Albarrak *et al.* [21,24,28]. This might be due to lack of practical awareness regarding COVID-19 infection, which has no fixed guidelines till now.

Regarding practice, junior residents have overall good practice as compared to other participants which was statistically significant ($p < 0.001$) and as per the study conducted by Saqlain *et al.* [22] but contradictory with the study by Richa *et al.*, Giao *et al.*, and Albarrak *et al.* in which position of HCP is associated with good practice [21,24,28]. As ophthalmic residents from various medical colleges and teaching hospitals, besides providing emergency and essential eye care services are also involved in COVID-19 cases management as front-liners. At this time of global health crisis, they are performing their duties very cautiously and with full responsibility as a role model for other paramedics to combat this pandemic.

No significant association was found regarding knowledge among ophthalmic HCP based on their job experience which was in accordance to findings by Richa *et al.*, Saqlain *et al.* and

Albarrak *et al.*, and Giao *et al.* [21-22,24,28]. Job experience was significantly associated with attitude score in a study by Richa *et al.* [21] which is different from present study and studies by Saqlain *et al.*, Giao *et al.*, and Albarrak *et al.* [22,24,28]. There was no association of job experience with practice in our study but it was found significantly associated in a study done by Richa *et al.* (p=0.013) and Saqlain *et al.* (p=0.012) [21,22]. This might be due to a lack of active involvement in the management of COVID-19 patients.

Similar to present study, Richa *et al.* reported significant correlation of practice scores with knowledge score (r = 0.476, p <0.001) and attitude scores (r =0.238, p <0.001); and Saqlain *et al.* reported significant correlation between knowledge-attitude (r=0.106, p-value = 0.030), knowledge-practice (r=0.142, p=0.016), and attitude-practice (r=0.174, p=0.004) [21,22]. This finding inferred that ophthalmic HCP with a positive attitude are more interested in seeking knowledge and then put knowledge into practice. This correlation could also be explained by the “Reasoned action theory” which stated, “a person’s intention to a specific behavior is a function of their attitude towards that behavior” [29].

Binary logistic regression analysis demonstrated the age of HCP to be a significant determinant of good knowledge (COR=0.72, 95% CI=0.62-0.82), positive attitude (COR=0.92, 95% CI=0.90-0.94) and good practice (COR=1.16, 95% CI=1.10-1.21) which similar to Richa *et al.* and Saqlain *et al.* [21,22]. Odds of having positive attitude was higher among HCP with job experience of 5-10 years (OR=1.838, p=0.002), which was in accordance with the study of Richa *et al.* [21]. Similarly, higher odds of good practice was seen among junior resident (OR=3.846, p<0.001) and HCP with job experience of 5-10 years (OR=0.420, p=0.010) as found in the study by Richa *et al.* and Saqlain *et al.* towards COVID-19 pandemic [21,22].

Regression analysis also indicated that experienced health care professionals have good practice in following guidelines recommendations especially wearing a face mask as it is

evident that the use of personal protective equipment might help reduce the spread of the virus in hospitals and protect others from infection [31]. Patients with SARS-CoV-2 infection may be asymptomatic, and shedding seems to be highest in the earliest stage. Thus, asymptomatic carriers of SARS-CoV-2 may confer a particular risk to ophthalmologists during the examination. Specific factors may place ophthalmologists at increased risk of infection during the examination of patients compared with the risk experienced by clinicians in other disciplines [31].

This study highlighted the less explored area where scarce current literature on COVID-19 was available to identify the current status of ophthalmic HCP knowledge, attitude, and practice; an important aspect in a successful response to an epidemic. The questionnaire was developed by using WHO published materials, guidelines, and recommendations from AAO and NOS, and pre-testing validation which increases the reliability of the current analysis. High response rate as compared to other similar studies and participation from various eye centers across the country which is the representative for ophthalmic HCP. These all constitute the strength of this study. Being an online survey, responses mainly depend upon the honesty and partly affected by recall ability and thus may subject to recall bias, potential sample clustering and statistical errors due to multiple significance testing may limit the generalization (external validity) of the results, non-probability sampling method and those who don't have internet facility, could not get chance to enroll in the study are its limitation. Though the majority of ophthalmic HCP have good knowledge, they are lacking sufficient positive attitude and satisfactory level of evidence-based practice towards the COVID-19 pandemic. Hence, this study can be taken as a reference during this COVID-19 era to modify existing guidelines; formulate new policies and guidelines for eye care service; and guide concerned authorities for effective implementation of initiatives like motivation, incentives, insurance policy, job security and provision for families of HCP, to combat this battle against

COVID-19. This study has also highlighted the need to uplift our preparedness by the provision of sustained supply of PPE while augmenting awareness on infection prevention and training programs for control measures.

Supporting information

S1 data.Questionnaire for participants (DOCX).

S2 dataset (SAV).

Acknowledgment

We would like to acknowledge all the participants of this study who gave their valuable time for the research, our teachers particularly Prof Badri Prasad Badhu, Prof Poonam Lavaju, Dr. B.G. Shrestha, Dr. Sangeeta Shah and Dr. Santosh Chaudhary, and one of our colleague, Dr. Roshan Dhakal who provided support at every step of the research.

Author's contribution

Conceptualization: Zahir Ansari

Data curation: Zahir Ansari and Sirjana Adhikari

Formal analysis: Zahir Ansari, Sirjana Adhikari, Sahana Khatoon and Bikram Adhikari

Investigation: Zahir Ansari, Uday Chandra Prakash and Bkiram Adhikari

Methodology: Zahir Ansari, Uday Chandra Prakash and Bikram Adhikari

Project administration: Sahana Khatoon

Resources: Sahana Khatoon

Supervision: Sahana Khatoon

Validation: Zahir Ansari and Bikram Adhikari

Visualization: Bikram Adhikari

Writing – original draft: Zahir Ansari, BD Chaurasiya and Bikram Adhikari

Writing – review & editing: Zahir Ansari, BD Chaurasiya and Bikram Adhikari

References

1. Huang C, Wang Y, Li X, Ren L, Zhao J, Hu Y, et al. Clinical features of patients infected with 2019 novel coronavirus in Wuhan, China. *Lancet*. 2020;395(10223):497-506.[https://doi.org/10.1016/S0140-6736\(20\)30183-5](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0140-6736(20)30183-5)
2. Chen N, Zhou M, Dong X, Qu J, Gong F, Han Y, et al. Epidemiological and clinical characteristics of 99 cases of coronavirus pneumonia in Wuhan, China: a descriptive study. *Lancet*. 2020; 395(10223):507-513.[https://doi.org/10.1016/S0140-6736\(20\)30211-7](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0140-6736(20)30211-7)
3. Lee KJ. 2020 Feb 10. Coronavirus kills Chinese whistleblower ophthalmologist: American Academy of Ophthalmology announcement. *Clinical Education/News/Headline*.
4. Parrish RK 2nd, Stewart MW, Duncan Powers SL. Ophthalmologists Are More Than Eye Doctors-In Memoriam. *Am J Ophthalmol*. 2020;213:A1-A2.<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ajo.2020.02.014> PMID:32169251.
5. Bacherini D, Biagini I, Lenzetti C, Virgili G, Rizzo S. Trends in Molecular Medicine Science and Society Through the COVID-19 Pandemic from an Ophthalmologist's Perspective. *Trends in Molecular Medicine*. 2020;26(6):529-531.<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.molmed.2020.03.008> PMID:32470381
6. COVID-19 Public Health Emergency of International Concern (PHEIC) Global Research and Innovation Forum. World Health Organization, 12 Feb. 2020[cited April 10 2020].[Internet]. Available from: [https://www.who.int/publications/m/item/covid-19-public-health-emergency-of-international-concern-\(pheic\)](https://www.who.int/publications/m/item/covid-19-public-health-emergency-of-international-concern-(pheic))

[and-innovation-forum](#)

7. World Health Organization. WHO Director-General's opening remarks at the media briefing on COVID-19. WHO Director-General/Speech; 11 March 2020 [cited April 10 2020]. [Internet]. Available from: <https://www.who.int/dg/speeches/detail/who-director-general-s-opening-remarks-at-the-media-briefing-on-covid-19-11-march-2020>
8. Worldometer. Coronavirus Cases. Worldometer 2020 [cited April 10 2020]. [Internet]. Available from: https://www.worldometers.info/coronavirus/?utm_campaign=homeAdvegas1 0%.
9. ACAPS Briefing note - Nepal: COVID-19 & the return of migrants. ACAPS Start Network; 12 June 2020 [cited April 10 2020]. [Internet]. Available from: <https://reliefweb.int/report/nepal/acaps-briefing-note-nepal-covid-19-return-2020>
10. Bastola A, Sah R, Rodriguez-Morales AJ, Lal BK, Jha R, Ojha HC, et al. The first 2019 novel coronavirus case in Nepal. *Infect Dis*. 2020;20((3)):279-280. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S1473-3099\(20\)30067-0](https://doi.org/10.1016/S1473-3099(20)30067-0)
11. Gharebaghi R, Moshirfar M, Desuatsels J, Parvizi M, Daryabari S-H, Heidary F, COVID-19: Preliminary Clinical Findings. *Med Hypothesis Discov Innov Ophthalmol*. 9(2):149-158.
12. Xia J, Tong J, Liu M, Shen Y GD. Evaluation of coronavirus in tears and conjunctival secretions of patients with COVID-19 infection. *J Med Virol* 2020. 2020;92(6):589-594. <https://doi.org/10.1002/jmv.25725> PMID:32100876
13. Hoe Gan W, Wah Lim J, Koh D. Preventing intra-hospital infection and transmission of COVID-19 in health

- [published online ahead of print, 2020 Mar 24]. *Saf Health Work*. 2020;11(2):241-243.<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.shaw.2020.03.001>
14. Nemati M, Ebrahimi B, Nemati F. Assessment of Iranian nurses' knowledge and anxiety toward COVID-19 outbreak in Iran. *Archives of Clinical and Infectious Diseases*. March 2020. <https://doi.org/10.5812/archcid.10>
 15. Omrani AS, Shalhoub S. Middle East respiratory syndrome coronavirus (MERS-CoV): what lessons can we learn. *Infect*. 2015;91(3):188-196.<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jhin.2015.08.002> PMID:26452615
 16. Ahmed MA, Rehab HE, Mahdi AA ME. Knowledge and attitudes towards Middle East respiratory syndrome (MERS-CoV) among health care workers in south-western Saudi Arabia. *East Mediterr Health J*. 2019;25(x):xxx.<https://doi.org/10.26719/emhj.19.079> PMID:32338362
 17. World Health Organization. Question & Answer on coronaviruses (COVID-19). [cited April 10 2020]. [Internet]. Available from: <https://www.who.int/emergencies/diseases/novel-coronavirus-2019/question-and-answers-hub/q-a-detail/coronaviruses>
 18. Nepal Ophthalmic Society. COVID-19 Ophthalmic Practical Guidelines. 2020 April. [cited May 15 2020]. [Internet]. Available from: <http://www.nos.com.np/covid-19/nos-covid-19-practice-guidelines.pdf>
 19. American Academy of Ophthalmology. Important coronavirus updates for ophthalmologists. [cited May 15 2020]. [Internet]. Available from: <https://www.aao.org/headline/alert-important-coronavirus-context>
 20. Asraf H, Garima T, Singh BM, Ram R, Tripti RP. Knowledge, attitudes, and practices towards COVID-19 among Residents: A quick online cross-sectional survey. *Asian Journal Medical Sciences*

2020;11(3).<https://doi.org/10.3126/ajms.v11i3.28485>

21. Richa Nepal, Sapkota K, Adhikari K, Paudel P, Adhikari B, Paudyal N, et al. Knowledge , attitude and practice of COVID-19 among healthcare workers in Chitwan, Nepal.[preprint BMC infectious disease 2020];1-23.
22. Saqlain M, Munir MM, Rehman SU, Gulzar A, Naz S, Ahmed Z, et al. Knowledge, attitude, practice and perception among healthcare professionals regarding COVID-19: A Cross-sectional survey from Pakistan. J Hosp Infect. 2020;105(2):183-187.<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jhin.2020.05.007> PMID:32437822
23. Zhang M, Zhou M, Tang F, Wang Y, Nie H, Zhang L, et al. Knowledge, attitude, and practice regarding COVID-19 among healthcare workers in Henan, China. J Hosp Infect. 2020;105(2):183-187.<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jhin.2020.05.007> PMID:32278701
24. Chi H, City M, Giao H, Thi N, Han N, Khanh T Van, et al. Knowledge and attitude toward COVID-19 among healthcare workers at District 2 Hospital, Ho Chi Minh City. Asian Pac J Trop Med 2020; 13(6): 260-265.
25. World Health Organization. Solidarity-clinical-trial-for-covid-19-treatments 2020[cited May 10 2020]. [Internet]. <https://www.who.int/emergencies/diseases/novel-coronavirus-2019/global-research-on-novel-coronavirus-2019-ncov/solidarity-clinical-trial-for-covid-19-treatments>
26. Amirian ES, Levy JK. Current knowledge about the antivirals remdesivir (GS-5734) and GS-441524 as therapies for coronaviruses. One Health. 2020;100128.
<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.onehlt.2020.100128> PMID:32258351
27. Iliyasu G, Ogoina D, Otu AA, Dayyab FM, Ebenso B, Otokpa D, et al. A Multi-Site Knowledge Attitude and Practice Survey on Ebola Virus Disease in Nigeria. PLoS ONE 2015 10(8): e0135955.<https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0135955>

PMID:26317535

28. Albarrak AI, Mohammed R, Elayan A AI, Fawaz F AI, Masry M AI, Shammari M AI, et al. Middle East Respiratory Syndrome (MERS): Comparing the knowledge, attitude and practices of different health care workers. *Journal of Infection and Public Health*. 2019;617:6-13. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jiph.2019.06.029>
29. Fisher WA, Fisher JD, Rye BJ. Understanding and Promoting AIDS-Preventive Behavior: Insights From the Theory of Reasoned Action. 1995;14(3):255-64. <https://doi.org/10.1037/0278-6133.14.3.255> PMID:7641667
30. Song W, Fan M CBJ, Feng S, Shen C, Xia N. Rational use of face masks in the COVID-19 pandemic. *Lancet*. 2020;2:2019-20.
31. Wong Y, Wong EY, Mehta J, Park KH, Fung AT, Franzco M, et al. Preparedness among Ophthalmologists: Insights Beyond the COVID-19 Pandemic. *Ophthalmology*. 2020;127(5): 569-72. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ophtha.2020.08.011> PMID:32327128







