

The use of an Artificial Neural Network (ANN) in the evaluation of the Extracorporeal Shockwave Lithotripsy (ESWL) as a treatment of choice for urinary lithiasis.

Tsitsiflis Athanasios¹, Kiouvrekis Yiannis^{2,3}, Chasiotis Georgios¹, Perifanos Georgios⁵, Gravas Stavros¹, Stefanidis Ioannis⁴, Tzortzis Vasilios¹, Karatzas Anastasios¹

¹Faculty of Medicine, School of Health Sciences, University of Thessaly, Department of Urology

²University of Nicosia, Nicosia, Cyprus

³University of West Attica, Department of Biomedical Sciences, Athens, Greece

⁴Faculty of Medicine, School of Health Sciences, University of Thessaly, Department of Nephrology

⁵Faculty of Medicine, School of Health Sciences, University of Thessaly, Department of Internal Medicine

To whom correspondence should be addressed:

Anastasios D. Karatzas, MD, PhD, FEBU, FEAPU

Urology Department

Faculty of Medicine, School of Health Sciences, University of Thessaly

Biopolis, 41110, Larissa, Greece

Tel:00302413502988

Fax :00302413500103

Email: karatzas@med.uth.gr

Keywords: artificial neural network; extracorporeal lithotripsy; urinary lithiasis

Purpose: Artificial Neural Networks (ANNs) are simplified computational models simulating the central nervous system. They are widely applied in medicine, since they substantially increase the sensitivity and specificity of the diagnosis, classification and the prognosis of a medical condition. In this study we constructed an artificial neural network to evaluate several parameters of extracorporeal shockwave lithotripsy (ESWL), such as the outcome and safety of the procedure.

Materials and methods: Patients with urinary lithiasis suitable for ESWL treatment were enrolled. An artificial neural network (ANN) was designed and a unique algorithm was executed with the use of the well-known numerical computing environment, MATLAB. Medical data were collected from all patients and 12 nodes were used as inputs (sex, age, B.M.I. (Body Mass Index), stone location, stone size, comorbidity, previous ESWL sessions, analgesia, number of shockwaves, shockwave intensity, presence of a ureteral stent and hydronephrosis). Conventional statistical analysis was also performed.

Results: 716 patients were finally included in our study. Univariate analysis revealed that diabetes and hydronephrosis were positively correlated to the ESWL complications. Regarding efficacy, univariate analysis revealed that stone location, stone size, the number and density of shockwaves delivered and the presence of a stent in the ureter were independent factors of the ESWL outcome. This was further confirmed when adjusted for sex and age in a multivariate analysis. The performance of the ANN (predictive/real values) at the end of the training state reached 98,72%. The four basic ratios (sensitivity, specificity, PPV, NPV) were calculated for both training and evaluation data sets. The performance of the ANN at the end of the evaluation state was 81,43%.

Conclusions: Our ANN achieved high score in predicting the outcome and the side effects of the extracorporeal shockwave lithotripsy treatment for urinary stones. In fact, the accuracy of the network may further improve by using larger sets of data, different architecture in designing the model or using different set of input variables, making ANNs thus, a quite promising instrument for effective, precise and swift medical diagnosis.

Introduction

Numerous multivariate computational programs have been widely used over the past decades in medicine, mainly in the oncology field. The aim is clear, to help diagnose and stage cancers and other medical conditions in various ways, as well as estimate the prognosis of critical diseases. These clinical decision tools are utilised to categorize patients by developing patterns and envisaging outcomes given a set of 'inputs'. These 'inputs' may consist of specific patients' or disease characteristics, making the generated outcome thus more accurate than other analogous statistical procedures. These multivariate programs substantially increase the sensitivity and specificity of the diagnosis, classification and the prognosis of a medical condition, eventually (1).

Artificial Neural Networks (ANNs) are simplified models mimicking the central nervous system. They are networks with highly interconnected neural computing and the capability to react to input signals, learning to adapt to the environment. It is supported that these models offer the most promising integrated approach to constructing truly intelligent computer systems. It has been demonstrated that ANNs can be effectively used as computational processors in a variety of tasks such as speech and visual image recognition, classification, data compression, forecasting, simulation (modeling) and adaptive control. They demonstrate desirable characteristics absent in conventional computing systems, such as high performance when associated with noise or incomplete input standards, high error tolerance, high parallel computing rates, generalization and adaptive learning. A typical network consists of one set sensory units that form the input layer, one or more hidden layers with computational connections and an output layer with calculation nodes (2).

Urinary lithiasis is a common problem worldwide with an increasing trend due to climate change, lifestyle modifications and diet (3). Most patients with stone disease have identifiable risk factors, it is noticeable though that there is a high stone recurrence rate, reaching approximately 50% at 10 years and 75% at 20 years (4). Therapy may be quite problematic sometimes, needing for repeating maneuvers, either non-invasive or invasive, with increasing risk of medical complications. Among the therapeutic alternatives, extracorporeal shockwave lithotripsy (ESWL) is a non-invasive method and represents the first choice for urinary lithiasis under specific

conditions. The outcome of the ESWL depends on several parameters, such as stone location, stone size, stone composition, BMI, etc., making quite this therapeutic option quite challenging (5).

So far, different statistical models have been used to evaluate ESWL as a therapy procedure with inconclusive results. Since ESWL is a non invasive technique, the propability of discintegrating a stone avoiding side effects is the cornerstone of all mathematical or computational methods. In this study we constructed an artificial neural network to evaluate several parameters of ESWL, such as the outcome and safety.

Materials and Methods

The database of the ESWL Department of the University Hospital of Larissa, Greece, was used for constructing the ANN. 716 consecutive patients, 404 males and 312 females, with renal and ureteral stones that were treated by ESWL entered our study. All patients met the lithotripsy criteria, ie, a single stone less or equal than 1.5 cm in the maximal diameter, no anatomical abnormalities and no signs of urinary track infection.

ESWL was performed using the electromagnetic Dornier lithotripter SII (EMSE 220 F-XP) under fluoroscopic or ultrasonographic guidance, as previously described (6). All parameters of each session were recorded for each case, following the standard lithotripsy protocol. Ultrasonography or CT urography (CTU) prior to SWL were used to exclude patients with anatomical abnormalities. Analgesia was applied when needed (fentanyl citrate, 0.05 mg, iv).

Statistical Analysis

Tables 1 and 2 show patients characteristics and statistical analysis. All variables were assumed to be discrete, categorical due to the group of data that was limited per category (not infinite). The chi-square test was used to check categorical variables, with $p < 0.05$ considered as statistical significant (SPSS 25.0).

ANN philosophy

A neural network is a sequence of 'neurons' organized in connecting layers (7). The structure of neural networks are formed by an 'input' layer, one or more 'hidden' layers, and the 'output' layer. The input signal is propagated through the network in the forward direction through layers. These neural networks are commonly referred to as multiple layer perceptrons (MLPs) and have been successfully implemented to solve difficult and varied problems through their education in a supervised way, using algorithms, known as error back-propagation algorithms. These algorithms are based on the error-correction learning rule and can be regarded as a generalization of an equally widespread adaptive algorithm filtering, called least-mean-square (LMS) algorithm. The process of error back-propagation consists of a forward and a

backward passage through the different layers of the network. In the forward passage, an activity pattern (input path) is applied to the sensing connections of the network and its action is transmitted through the layers; eventually an output is produced.

During the forward passage synaptic weights are all fixed. On the other hand, during the backward passage, the synaptic weights are all adjusted according to the error-correction rule. In particular, the real network response is subtracted from the anticipated response (target) to deliver the error signal. Then, this error signal propagates back through the layers to the opposite path of the synaptic nodes (error back propagation). The synaptic weights are adapted in such a way to bring the actual network response closer to the desired response (8, 9).

ANN structure

A high-level programming language and an environment for numerical computation and visualization was developed for a feed forward error back-propagation neural network using MATLAB. Data from 716 patients were divided into two sets: a training set of 549 patients and an evaluation set of 167 patients, intending to maintain equal frequency of outcomes in each set. A group of 12 variables, according to patients' characteristics, defined as input variables (Figure 1).

Variables such as age, B.M.I or stone size contribute to the input layer with their initial values. Other variables as intensity, stone location or analgesia had the value of 1 when the category was present and 0 otherwise. "Sex" variable had the value of 1 for female patients and 0 for male. Due to the values of each variable, the input layer of ANN had 20 neurons in maximum (Table 3). Figure 2 shows how stone location has been labeled with binary values and, as a result, the variable split into 5 neurons in input layer. Each neuron corresponds to one organ in the renal system. For example, if a stone exists in right kidney, we set the value at (1,0,0,0,0). The same method was followed for comorbidity.

After the training process and careful evaluation of the network results, the hidden layer consisted of 20 neurons, giving the best network performance. The output layer consisted of 1 neuron, giving the value of 1 when complications were present and the value of 0 when there were no complications. Transfer function (from layer i to layer j) and training function were the default functions of MATLAB.

All participants were informed and gave their consent. The study was approved by the Ethics Committee of the University of Thessaly.

Results

In all 716 patients efficacy and complications of the ESWL were evaluated in a univariate and multivariate analysis, for all the known parameters that affect the lithotripsy treatment. Univariate analysis revealed that diabetes and hydronephrosis were positively correlated to the ESWL complications, whether previous therapies and analgesia were not found to lead to any side effect. When adjusted for sex and age, multivariate analysis confirmed these results.

Regarding efficacy, univariate analysis revealed that stone location, stone size, the number and density of shockwaves delivered and the presence of a stent in the ureter were independent factors of the ESWL outcome. This was further confirmed when adjusted for sex and age in a multivariate analysis.

Initially, a data set of the statistically significant variables, as shown from the univariate and multivariate analyses, were chosen to built the neural network. 7 variables (stone location, stone size, the number and density of shockwaves delivered, the presence of a stent in the ureter, age and sex) were used as inputs in a subset of patients, giving excellent outcomes in the training of the network, but poor outcomes in the evaluation (Table 4). When all 12 parameters were used though, the outcome was improved in both the training and the evaluation of the neural network (Table 5).

A data set of 12 variables was finally applied to construct the ANN (fig1). The performance of the ANN (predictive/real values) at the end of the training state reached 98,72%. The four basic ratios (sensitivity, specificity, PPV, NPV) were calculated for both training and evaluation data sets (Table 6).

The ANN showed high accuracy in predicting complications (81,43%) in evaluation set with high positive predictive value (83,82%), indicating that prediction of complications with the use of a neural network is very likely and extremely promising. Table 7 presents the weights that were calculated from neurons in hidden layer to output layer. Numbers show the weight of each variable in contributing to the

output. These “contributions” are the weights in the ANN. A higher weight of a variable tells the ANN that it is more important than other features.

The input given the greatest weight by the artificial neural network was the stone location. BMI was in fourth position in terms of significance. Stone size was given negative weight by the ANN, which may be due to the total number of patients in our training set or the linearity of size measurements used as inputs that did not enable the program to locate stones with small size differences.

Discussion

Computational intelligence methods are gaining appreciation more and more nowadays in the medical field. With a variety of paradigms, comprising expert systems, artificial neural networks, fuzzy systems, etc., these approaches are oriented in solving medical problems resistant to conventional computing methods. ANNs in particular, typically examine a relationship between the variables of a data set, which is not clearly understood. With specific variables as inputs and outputs, the network is trained choosing cases from a data set, while others are held to be used for testing the trained network. The trained network's effectiveness then is evaluated by giving it input values from the withheld cases of the data set, which are then compared with the corresponding actual values from the testing cases. A good performance of the ANN indicates that the neural network has indiscriminated the pattern in the training cases, to identify it in cases it has never seen before (10).

Numerous studies nowadays compared the different statistical and neural computing methods, while others merged neural computing into statistical processes (11-14). In most of them, trained neural models have shown superiority as a predicting approach compared to statistical estimation tools. While the latter usually offer concise descriptive outcomes, neural networks make fewer mistakes, uncovering relationships in data sets which conventional statistics fail to identify at all. It is suggested that the backpropagation training method for artificial neural networks is equivalent to maximum likelihood estimation, making eventually the multilayered feedforward neural network a powerful modeling instrument. Furthermore, a two-layer feedforward neural network with an adequate amount of hidden nodes can estimate any continuous function accurately. ANNs are backpropagated neural networks, which typically employ training methods (phases) to minimize errors (15).

In the latter body of evidence, ANNs for the analysis of medical data are increasing attention in the literature. Indeed, the nature of medical conditions and the complexity in differential diagnosis are critical motivators of this concern. Numerous studies

have adopted this method in almost every medical field. In 1991, Baxt created a neural network for diagnosing myocardial infarction (16). Input variables used were clinical symptoms (chest pain), history and laboratory of adults addressed the Emergencies. The diagnostic accuracy was markedly improved. Karabulut and Ibrikçi applied ANNs in the diagnosis of coronary artery disease (CAD), reaching 91.2% accuracy (17), while age, cholesterol or arterial hypertension have been used as data in ANNs to diagnose CAD (18). Neural models have also been employed in other heart diseases, such as heart valve defects (19) and arrhythmias with 95% and 99.2% to 99.8% accuracy, respectively (20). In 1993, McGonigal et al. constructed a neural network model to estimate survival in patients with penetrating trauma (21), improving sensitivity over the TRISS and ASCOT survival prediction tools (22).

Another field with widespread use of ANNs is oncology. They were initially adopted for breast and ovarian cancer in 1994, raising an argument on the suitability of certain data as inputs for ANN analysis, such as demographic, radiological, oncological and biochemical data (23). In radiology, ANNs aim at developing automated decision supporting systems, with extended application in various fields (24).

In urology, ANNs have been applied mostly in oncological diseases, such as prostate cancer (CaP). Snow et al. constructed a neural model for prostate cancer using prostate biopsy results and patient outcomes after prostatectomy. They revealed 87% and 90% accuracy in predicting biopsy results and tumour recurrence, respectively (25). Finne et al. compared a neural network based on %fPSA to conventional statistical analysis (logistic regression) or %fPSA alone, showing that the precision in predicting CaP in 656 patients who had undergone biopsy was higher in the ANN group than that of the logistic regression group ($P < 0.001$) (26). Accordingly, Babaian et al. constructed an ANN to detect prostate cancer in 151 biopsied men. The study showed higher specificity at 92% sensitivity than the %fPSA biomarker (62% vs 11%). Interestingly, they found that, 64% of all biopsies (71 of 114 men without cancer) could have been avoided using their neural network model (27). Another ANN assessed cancer risk in regard to the outcome of prostate biopsies in 928 patients employing serum PSA, %fPSA, age, prostate volume and digital rectal examination (DRE), as inputs. At 90% sensitivity, the neural model performed better than serum PSA alone (28). Additionally, ANN was superior when evaluated in a multicentre study with 1,188 patients (29). In final, several studies addressing neural networks

exist in the literature in different medical fields, such as oncology, radiology and cardiology (30), but they can also be found in interesting conditions, ie., auditory brainstem response (31), sleep classification in infants (32), glaucoma (33), and even interhospital transport mode (34).

Our study is the first attempt in the literature to construct a neural network predicting urinary lithiasis treatment. The initial concept was to feed the network with as many data (inputs) considered statistically significant with the conventional statistical methods, as possible. It is of note that, when univariate and multivariate analyses were used, the significance of their results did not have a positive effect on the network. The outcome in the evaluation arm of the ANN was rather disappointing (59.52% performance). When all 12 parameters were used as inputs though, the performance of the network in the evaluation arm improved dramatically (75%), indicating that the algorithm used for the ANN delivers better with a greater number of inputs. Eventually, the performance of our ANN reached 81.43% in our study population.

Study limitations are the relatively small sample of patients and the lack of knowing the stone composition prior to ESWL. Yet, when a predicting tool regarding the outcome of the ESWL is constructed, only speculations can be made on the stone substances, since there is no established pretreatment method to distinguish between the different types of stones. Thus, this limitation gives strength to our ANN, since it can be used as a prediction method to all stones regardless the unknown stone composition.

In conclusion, the use of a neural network appears to be a powerful modeling tool for the diagnosis and treatment of several medical conditions. Our ANN achieved high score in predicting the outcome and the side effects of the extracorporeal shockwave lithotripsy treatment for urinary stones. In fact, the accuracy of the network may further improve by using larger sets of data, different architecture in designing the model or using different set of input variables, making ANNs thus, a quite promising instrument for effective, precise and swift medical diagnosis.

References

1. Wesolowski M, Suchacz B. Artificial neural networks: theoretical background and pharmaceutical applications: a review. *J AOAC Int.* 2012;95(3):652-68.
2. Krogh A. What are artificial neural networks? *Nat Biotechnol.* 2008;26(2):195-7.
3. Turk C, Petrik A, Sarica K, Seitz C, Skolarikos A, Straub M, et al. EAU Guidelines on Diagnosis and Conservative Management of Urolithiasis. *Eur Urol.* 2016;69(3):468-74.
4. Trinchieri A, Ostini F, Nespoli R, Rovera F, Montanari E, Zanetti G. A prospective study of recurrence rate and risk factors for recurrence after a first renal stone. *J Urol.* 1999;162(1):27-30.
5. Turk C, Petrik A, Sarica K, Seitz C, Skolarikos A, Straub M, et al. EAU Guidelines on Interventional Treatment for Urolithiasis. *Eur Urol.* 2016;69(3):475-82.
6. Karatzas A, Gravas S, Tzortzis V, Aravantinos E, Zachos I, Kalogeras N, et al. Feasibility and efficacy of extracorporeal shock-wave lithotripsy using a new modified lateral position for the treatment of renal stones in obese patients. *Urol Res.* 2012;40(4):355-9.
7. Hassabis D, Kumaran D, Summerfield C, Botvinick M. Neuroscience-Inspired Artificial Intelligence. *Neuron.* 2017;95(2):245-58.
8. Renganathan V. Overview of artificial neural network models in the biomedical domain. *Bratisl Lek Listy.* 2019;120(7):536-40.
9. Schmidhuber J. Deep learning in neural networks: an overview. *Neural Netw.* 2015;61:85-117.
10. Kriegeskorte N, Golan T. Neural network models and deep learning. *Curr Biol.* 2019;29(7):R231-R6.

11. Ecke TH, Hallmann S, Koch S, Ruttloff J, Cammann H, Gerullis H, et al. External validation of an artificial neural network and two nomograms for prostate cancer detection. *ISRN Urol*. 2012;2012:643181.
12. Kawakami S, Numao N, Okubo Y, Koga F, Yamamoto S, Saito K, et al. Development, validation, and head-to-head comparison of logistic regression-based nomograms and artificial neural network models predicting prostate cancer on initial extended biopsy. *Eur Urol*. 2008;54(3):601-11.
13. Stephan C, Kahrs AM, Cammann H, Lein M, Schrader M, Deger S, et al. A [-2]proPSA-based artificial neural network significantly improves differentiation between prostate cancer and benign prostatic diseases. *Prostate*. 2009;69(2):198-207.
14. Lawrentschuk N, Lockwood G, Davies P, Evans A, Sweet J, Toi A, et al. Predicting prostate biopsy outcome: artificial neural networks and polychotomous regression are equivalent models. *Int Urol Nephrol*. 2011;43(1):23-30.
15. Sargent DJ. Comparison of artificial neural networks with other statistical approaches: results from medical data sets. *Cancer*. 2001;91(8 Suppl):1636-42.
16. Baxt WG. Use of an artificial neural network for the diagnosis of myocardial infarction. *Ann Intern Med*. 1991;115(11):843-8.
17. Karabulut EM, Ibrikci T. Effective diagnosis of coronary artery disease using the rotation forest ensemble method. *J Med Syst*. 2012;36(5):3011-8.
18. Atkov OY, Gorokhova SG, Sboev AG, Generozov EV, Muraseyeva EV, Moroshkina SY, et al. Coronary heart disease diagnosis by artificial neural networks including genetic polymorphisms and clinical parameters. *J Cardiol*. 2012;59(2):190-4.
19. Uguz H. A biomedical system based on artificial neural network and principal component analysis for diagnosis of the heart valve diseases. *J Med Syst*. 2012;36(1):61-72.
20. Ozbay Y. A new approach to detection of ECG arrhythmias: complex discrete wavelet transform based complex valued artificial neural network. *J Med Syst*. 2009;33(6):435-45.
21. McGonigal MD, Cole J, Schwab CW, Kauder DR, Rotondo MF, Angood PB. A new approach to probability of survival scoring for trauma quality assurance. *J Trauma*. 1993;34(6):863-8; discussion 8-70.
22. Frankema SP, Steyerberg EW, Edwards MJ, van Vugt AB. Comparison of current injury scales for survival chance estimation: an evaluation comparing the

predictive performance of the ISS, NISS, and AP scores in a Dutch local trauma registration. *J Trauma*. 2005;58(3):596-604.

23. Wilding P, Morgan MA, Grygotis AE, Shoffner MA, Rosato EF. Application of backpropagation neural networks to diagnosis of breast and ovarian cancer. *Cancer Lett*. 1994;77(2-3):145-53.

24. Hosny A, Parmar C, Quackenbush J, Schwartz LH, Aerts H. Artificial intelligence in radiology. *Nat Rev Cancer*. 2018;18(8):500-10.

25. Snow PB, Smith DS, Catalona WJ. Artificial neural networks in the diagnosis and prognosis of prostate cancer: a pilot study. *J Urol*. 1994;152(5 Pt 2):1923-6.

26. Finne P, Finne R, Auvinen A, Juusela H, Aro J, Maattanen L, et al. Predicting the outcome of prostate biopsy in screen-positive men by a multilayer perceptron network. *Urology*. 2000;56(3):418-22.

27. Babaian RJ, Fritsche H, Ayala A, Bhadkamkar V, Johnston DA, Naccarato W, et al. Performance of a neural network in detecting prostate cancer in the prostate-specific antigen reflex range of 2.5 to 4.0 ng/mL. *Urology*. 2000;56(6):1000-6.

28. Stephan C, Jung K, Cammann H, Vogel B, Brux B, Kristiansen G, et al. An artificial neural network considerably improves the diagnostic power of percent free prostate-specific antigen in prostate cancer diagnosis: results of a 5-year investigation. *Int J Cancer*. 2002;99(3):466-73.

29. Stephan C, Cammann H, Semjonow A, Diamandis EP, Wymenga LF, Lein M, et al. Multicenter evaluation of an artificial neural network to increase the prostate cancer detection rate and reduce unnecessary biopsies. *Clin Chem*. 2002;48(8):1279-87.

30. Filippo Amato AL, Eladia María Peña-Méndez, Petr Vaňhara, Aleš Hampl, Havel J. Artificial neural networks in medical diagnosis. *J Appl Biomed*. 2013;11:47-58.

31. Tian J, Juhola M, Gronfors T. Latency estimation of auditory brainstem response by neural networks. *Artif Intell Med*. 1997;10(2):115-28.

32. Koprinska I, Pfurtsheller G, Flotzinger D. Sleep classification in infants by decision tree-based neural networks. *Artif Intell Med*. 1996;8(4):387-401.

33. Henson DB, Spenceley SE, Bull DR. Artificial neural network analysis of noisy visual field data in glaucoma. *Artif Intell Med*. 1997;10(2):99-113.

34. Hosseini-Nezhad SM, Yamashita TS, Bielefeld RA, Krug SE, Pao YH. A neural network approach for the determination of interhospital transport mode. *Comput Biomed Res.* 1995;28(4):319-34.

Patient category	Complications after ESWL	No complications after ESWL	p-value
Sex: Male Female	213 156	191 156	0,470
Age: 30 or younger 31- 45 46 - 60 older than 61	18 76 136 139	29 69 117 132	0,945
B.M.I.: less than 18,5 18,5 - 24,99 25 - 29,99 30 or more	0 104 167 98	3 92 166 86	0,035
Stone location: right kidney left kidney bladder left ureteral right ureteral	131 116 7 49 66	113 121 8 58 47	0,311
Stone size (diameter): 6mm or less 7 - 9 10 - 11 12 -13 14 -15 16 - 20 21- 32	42 89 78 59 44 47 10	31 78 71 67 40 51 9	0,541
Comorbidity: no symptoms 1 symptom 2 or more symptoms	245 68 56	215 71 61	0,533
Previous ESWL sessions: Yes No	262 107	146 201	<0,001
Analgesia: Yes No	16 353	31 316	0,013
Number of shocks: 2500 - 3500 more than 3500	349 22	327 20	0,118

Intensity:			
less than 40%	3	2	0,060
40% - 60%	78	52	
61% - 80%	265	267	
81% - 100%	23	26	
Pig-Tail existance:			0,797
Yes	80	78	
No	289	269	
Hydronephrosis:			<0,001
Yes	74	137	
No	295	210	

Table 1: Characteristics of 716 patients treated with ESWL

Variables	Mean	SD
Age	54,70	14,19
B.M.I.	27,66	4,25
Stone location	2,45	1,48
Stone size (diameter)	11,50	4,45
Number of shocks	3050,54	484,92

Table 2: Statistical analysis of input variables

Variables	No.of neurons /variable	Input values (neurons)
Input variables/neurons:		
Sex	1	male, female
Age	1	positive number
B.M.I	1	positive number
Stone location	5	right kidney, left kidney, bladder, left ureteral, right ureteral
Stone size	1	positive number
Comorbidity	5	anticoagulant, heart issues, diabetes, hypertension, coagulation issues
Previous ESWL	1	Yes or No
Analgesia	1	Yes or No
Number of shocks	1	positive number percentage
Intensity	1	Yes or No
Pig-tail existance	1	Yes or No
Hydronephrosis	1	1: for complications, 0: without complications
Output neuron:	1	

Table 3: ANN input data

	Training set (334 patients)	Evaluation set (84 patients)
Performance	92.81%	59.52%
Specificity	93.41%	55.93%
Sensitivity	92.21%	68%
Positive predictive value	92.3%	80.48%
Negative predictive value	93.33%	39.53%

Table 4: ANN with 7 inputs

	Training set (334 patients)	Evaluation set (84 patients)
Performance	99.1%	75%
Specificity	99.4%	71.18%
Sensitivity	98.8%	84%
Positive predictive value	98.8%	91.3%
Negative predictive value	99.39%	55.26%

Table 5: ANN with 12 inputs

	Training set (549 patients)	Evaluation set (167 patients)
Performance	98.72%	81.43%
Specificity	98.88%	74.02%
Sensitivity	98.56%	87.77%
Positive predictive value	98.52%	83.82%
Negative predictive value	98.92%	79.79%

Table 6: Final ANN

-0,139862992
0,210890851
53,82936324
60,66050598
83,46458426
-67,10492626
-31,31098407
4,681810993
-51,22454481
0,661188773
-41,08985477
49,84592143
-0,416188278
55,87248888
-0,141036303
51,52385436
29,25816254
-19,82752646
-58,5080439
-41,25440371

Table 7: Relative weight values from neurons in hidden layer to the output layer of ANN




Fig.1: ANN nodes & connections




Fig.2: Stone location