Application of Optimal Control to Long Term Dynamics of COVID-19 Disease in South Africa

S. P. Gatyeni[a], F. Chirove[a], C.W. Chukwu[a], Fatmawati[b], F. Nyabadza[a,*]

[a] *Mathematics and Applied Mathematics Department, University of Johannesburg, Auckland Park Kingsway Campus, P O Box 524, 2006, Johannesburg, South Africa*

[b] *Department of Mathematics, Faculty of Science and Technology, Universitas Airlangga, Surabaya 60115, Indonesia*

Abstract

SARS-CoV-2 (COVID-19) belongs to the beta-coronavirus family, these include; the severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus (SARS-CoV) and the Middle East respiratory syndrome coronavirus (MERS-CoV). Since its resurgence in South Africa in March 2020, it has lead to high mortality and thousands of people contracting the virus. In this study, we use a set of five differential equations to analyse the effects on long term dynamics of COVID-19 pandemic with optimal control measures. Mathematical analyses of the model without control were done and the basic reproduction number ($\mathcal{R}_0$) of the COVID-19 for the South African epidemic determined. The model steady states were also determined, and their analyses presented based on $\mathcal{R}_0$. We introduced permissible control measures and formulated an optimal control problem using the Pontraygain Maximum Principle. Our numerical findings suggest that joint implementation of effective mask usage, physical distancing and active screening and testing are effective measures to curtail the spread of the disease on undiagnosed humans. The results obtained in this paper are of public health importance in the control and management of the spread for the novel coronavirus, SARS-CoV-2, in South Africa.

Keywords: COVID-19, Optimal control measures, Constant control, Model fitting, Numerical simulations.

1. Introduction

The recent pandemic, which is commonly known as novel coronavirus (COVID-19), is a lower respiratory infection which originated from Wuhan China in 2019 and it has spread all over the world [1]. The first cases for COVID-19 occurred in early December, 2019 and by January 29, 2020 more than 7000 infected cases had already been reported in China [2]. This virus spreads through coughing, sneezing and most people who fall sick with COVID-19 usually experience mild to moderate symptoms and recover without special treatment [3]. The burden of COVID-19 has been massive worldwide, and governments are finding ways to control and protect the health systems of the countries while safeguarding their economies. There is no vaccine or antivirus drug found yet against this virus and scientists around the world are working on potential treatments and vaccines for the pandemic [4]. The only available mode of minimising the disease burden has been to control its transmission in

*Corresponding author
Email address: fnyabadza@uj.ac.za (F. Nyabadza)

the population using non-pharmaceutical interventions.

Recently, the first case of the COVID-19 disease in South Africa was reported on March 5, 2020 as an imported case from one of the countries with higher number of infections, Italy [5]. Since the increase of new number of cases, the South African government introduced strategies to control the spread of the pandemic, such as social distancing to limit close contact close contact among individuals, contact tracing, testing and screening [6]. On the 26th of March, the South African government enforced a 21-day national lockdown to restrict contact individuals and to delay the spread of the virus. As of the 3rd of August 2020, the number of infected cases in South Africa for all the provinces was 516 862 and 358 037 recoveries [7]. When compared to the 3rd of July 2020, there the number of cases had increased by 192% and recoveries by 315% in a period of four weeks.

Mathematical models have played a major role in increasing the understanding of the underlying biological and psycho-social systems which control the spread of the diseases and how the disease can be managed [8]. Various strategies have to be deployed optimally to manage the spread of COVID-19. It is thus important to consider standard mathematical approaches to determine the optimality of the control strategies. Optimal control is standard method for solving dynamic optimisation problems, where those problems are expressed in continuous time [9]. To formulate optimal control problem, we need a mathematical model of the system that needs to be controlled, a specification of all boundary conditions on states and constraints to be satisfied by states and controls [10].

Since the outbreak of the pandemic, researchers have used mathematical and statistical models to predict and model the extent to which the disease can be contained, or controlled. Nyabadza et al. [11], considered the impact of social distancing on the spread of COVID-19 in South Africa. Their results showed that an increase in social distancing significantly decreases the disease spread. Authors in Masuku et al. [12] used a system of differential equations to quantify the early COVID-19 outbreak transmission in South Africa and exploring vaccine efficacy scenarios. Their findings suggest that early model estimates show that the COVID-19 outbreak in South Africa had a basic reproductive number of 2.95 and a highly efficacious vaccine would have been required to contain COVID-19 in South Africa. Chandra et al. [13], looked at mathematical models with a social distancing parameter for the early estimation of COVID-19 spread in India. Their results proved that mathematical modelling and simulations on social distancing plays an important role in spread estimation. The effect of social distancing was discussed with different social distancing rates and they found that social distancing can reduce spread of COVID-19. A normalised $SEIR$ mathematical model with optimal control techniques was considered in [14] with the aim of establishing vaccination and treatment plans that reduce the spread of an infectious disease. Their numerical findings indicate that one needs to work with caution when treating fixed time problems. It was noted that after stopping vaccination and/or treatment, the percentage of the infected people lightly increases. The study in [15] proposed a generalised $SEIR$ model of COVID-19 to study the behaviour of the disease transmission under five different control strategies. The results from the numerical simulations reflected that quarantining and better medical treatment of infected individuals reduced the critically infected cases, and the transmission risk.

Emerging evidence and predictions on COVID-19 allude to the fact that the infection may become endemic and

may never go away [1]. Any intervention strategies would need to adjust to this new reality. Unlike most of the current models which ignore the vital dynamics, models need to accordingly be adjusted to capture the long term nature of the epidemic. The current model incorporates the vital dynamics to capture the long term dynamics of COVID-19 infection using the South African setting.

The objective of this study is to develop a long term dynamics COVID-19 model for the South African setting incorporating current infection prevention efforts that include screening and testing, the use of masks and physical distancing. Optimal control theory is used to quantify the effectiveness of these strategies.

This paper arranged as follows; in the next section , we give the model description, and the underlying assumptions. Section 3 presents the model properties and analysis without controls. In section 4, the definitions of the permissible optimal controls are given, and proof of the existence of optimal control using Pontryagin's Maximum Principles are also given. Numerical results are presented in section 5 and the last section concludes the paper.

2. Method

2.1. Mathematical Model without Control

In this section, we give a brief model description of the long term transmission dynamics of COVID-19 without control measures. Considering a non-constant population, we describe the model using a set of five compartments of the susceptibles, $S(t)$, who are recruited at a constant rate Λ. These individuals can get infected [16], and move into the exposed compartment $E(t)$. Individuals in this compartment do not show symptoms and cannot transmit the infection. After an average of 5-6 days, however can be up to 14 days, an exposed individual is assumed to become symptomatic and infection [17]. We assume that the exposed individuals become symptomatic at a rate γ. Of those that become symptomatic, a proportion ρ, is assumed to be undiagnosed due to system capacity failure and the non-development of severe disease and move to the compartment $I_u(t)$, while the remainder is diagnosed and move to the compartment $I_d(t)$. We assume that the individuals in $I_u(t)$ undergo active screening and testing and when confirmed positive, they move to the $I_d(t)$ class at a rate σ. The diagnosed and undiagnosed infectious humans recover at a rates ω_1 and ω_2 respectively and move into the recovered compartment $R(t)$. Individuals in all compartments are assumed to have a natural mortality rate μ. The diagnosed and undiagnosed individuals have additional disease induced death rates δ_1 and δ_2 respectively.

The force of infection is defined by

$$\lambda(t) = \frac{\beta(I_u(t) + \eta I_d(t))}{N(t)},$$

where β is the infection rate, and $\eta < 1$ is the modification parameter used to compare the infectiousness of individuals in $I_u(t)$ relative to those $I_d(t)$. Here we assume that the $I_d(t)$ class infectivity is less that that for the $I_u(t)$ since individuals who know their status are more likely to limit contact than those who do not know. The total human population $N(t)$ for the COVID-19 transmission dynamics at any time t is given by

$$N(t) = S(t) + E(t) + I_u(t) + I_a(t) + R(t).$$

The flow diagram of individuals between compartments is shown in Figure 1, below. Considering the above model



Figure 1: Model flow chart depicting the long term dynamics of COVID-19 transmissions.

description, assumptions, model parameters and Figure 1 we have the following system of ordinary differential equations:

$$\left.\begin{aligned}
\frac{dS}{dt} &= \Lambda - (\mu+\lambda)S, \\
\frac{dE}{dt} &= \lambda S - (\mu+\gamma)E, \\
\frac{dI_u}{dt} &= \rho\gamma E - (\mu+\omega_2+\sigma+\delta_2)I_u, \\
\frac{dI_d}{dt} &= (1-\rho)\gamma E + \sigma I_u - (\mu+\omega_1+\delta_1)I_d, \\
\frac{dR}{dt} &= \omega_1 I_d + \omega_2 I_u - \mu R,
\end{aligned}\right\} \tag{1}$$

subject to the initial conditions

$$S(0) = S_0 > 0,\ E(0) = E_0 \geq 0,\ I_u(0) = I_{u0} \geq 0,\ I_d(0) = I_{d0} \geq 0,\ R(0) = R_0 \geq 0 \tag{2}$$

We also assume that the recovered humans do not contribute to COVID-19 disease transmission, thus model systems (1) reduces to

$$\left.\begin{aligned}
\frac{dS}{dt} &= \Lambda - (\mu+\lambda)S, \\
\frac{dE}{dt} &= \lambda S - (\mu+\gamma)E, \\
\frac{dI_u}{dt} &= \rho\gamma E - (\mu+\omega_2+\sigma+\delta_2)I_u, \\
\frac{dI_d}{dt} &= (1-\rho)\gamma E + \sigma I_u - (\mu+\omega_1+\delta_1)I_d.
\end{aligned}\right\} \tag{3}$$

3. Mathematical Analysis

3.1. Positivity of Solutions

Here, we show that the COVID-19 disease model system (3) is well posed and its solutions exists. Thus, we use Theorem 1 to support our claim.

Theorem 1. The solutions $(S(t),\ E(t),\ l_u(t),\ I_d(t))$ of the system (3) are all positive for all $t \geq 0$.

Proof. From the first equation of the system (3), we have that

$$\frac{dS}{dt} \geq -\Big(\mu+\lambda\Big)S,$$

whose solutions gives

$$S(t) \geq S(0)e^{-\left(\mu t+\int_0^t \lambda(\tau)d\tau\right)} > 0,$$

Thus, the solution to $S(t)$ will always be positive for all $t \geq 0$. Also, from the second equation of the system (3), we have that

$$\frac{dE}{dt} \geq -\Big(\mu+\gamma\Big)E,$$

whose solution yields

$$E(t) \geq E_0 e^{-\left(\mu+\gamma\right)t} > 0.$$

Thus, the solution to $E(t)$ will always be positive for all $t \geq 0$. Similarly, the solutions of the remainder of equations (3) is straight forward and are all positive that is; $l_d(t) > 0$, and $I_u(t) > 0$ over the modelling time. □

3.2. Feasible Region

We propose the region Γ in $\mathscr{R}^4_+$ for model equations (3) to be well-posed. We show that these solutions are uniformly bounded. Thus, we have the following theorem

Theorem 2. The solutions of model system (3) are contained in the region $\Gamma \in \mathscr{R}^4_+$, which is given by

$$\Gamma = \left\{\Big(S(t),\ E(t),\ l_u(t),\ I_d(t)\Big) \in \mathscr{R}^4_+ : 0 \leq N(t) \leq \frac{\Lambda}{\mu}\right\},$$

for the initial conditions (2) and attracting in Γ.

Proof. The total change in human population of equation (3) is given by

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{dN}{dt} &= \Lambda - \mu N - \delta I_d, \\ &\leq \Lambda - \mu N. \end{aligned} \tag{4}$$

The solution of (4) yields

$$N(t) = \frac{\Lambda}{\mu} + N(0)e^{-\mu t},$$

where $N(0)$ is a constant representing the the initial condition. Thus, $\limsup_{t\to\infty}(N(t)) \leq \frac{\Lambda}{\mu}$. This result implies that the model describing the human population is epidemiologically well-posed, and the solutions remain in the region Γ. □

3.3. Equilibrium Points

3.3.1. The Disease Free Equilibrium

Assuming that at the disease free equilibrium (DFE) point there are no infectious individuals. For simplicity, we set $Q_0 = (\gamma + \mu)$, $Q_1 = (\omega_2 + \sigma + \delta_2 + \mu)$, $Q_2 = (\omega_1 + \delta_1 + \mu)$ in (3) and setting the right hand size to zero. Thus, we obtain the DFE denoted by

$$E_0^* = \left(\frac{\Lambda}{\mu},\ 0\ ,0\ ,0\right).$$

3.3.2. The Reproduction Number

The basic reproduction number denoted by ($\mathscr{R}_0$) is the average number of secondary cases generated by an infectious individual who introduced in a wholly susceptible population. We calculate the COVID-19 ($\mathscr{R}_0$) for model system (3) using the next generation matrix method presented in detail in [18]. We consider three compartments E, I_u and I_d which contribute to new infections or the secondary cases and construct the column matrices;

$$\mathcal{F} = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{S\beta(I_u + I_d\eta)}{N} \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} \text{ and } \mathcal{V} = \begin{pmatrix} Q_0 E \\ -\gamma\rho E + Q_1 I_u \\ -\gamma\left(1-\rho\right)E - \sigma I_u + Q_2 I_d \end{pmatrix},$$

where, $\mathcal{F}$ represents the rate of generation of new infections in compartments E, I_u and I_d and $\mathcal{V}$ represents the transition in and out of the compartments. We define matrices F and V to the Jacobian matrices for $\mathcal{F}$ and $\mathcal{V}$ respectively evaluated at the DFE. The basic reproduction number is the spectral radius of the product of F and V^{-1} i.e, $\mathcal{R}_0 = \rho(FV^{-1})$ where V^{-1} in the inverse matrix of the matrix V. Computing the the matrices F and V we obtain;

$$F = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \beta & \beta\eta \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad V = \begin{pmatrix} Q_0 & 0 & 0 \\ -\gamma\rho & Q_1 & 0 \\ -\gamma(1-\rho) & -\sigma & Q_2 \end{pmatrix}.$$

The spectral radius is thus the maximum eigenvalue of the second generation matrix and is given by

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{R}_0 &= \mathcal{R}_{01} + \mathcal{R}_{02}, \\ \mathcal{R}_0 &= \frac{\beta\gamma\rho}{Q_0 Q_1} + \frac{\beta\gamma\eta}{Q_0 Q_2}\left((1-\rho) + \frac{\rho\sigma\eta}{Q_1}\right). \end{aligned}$$

The expression $\mathcal{R}_{01}$ represents the number of new COVID-19 infections generated by infectious undiagnosed individuals, I_u. It contains the product of the transmission rate β, the fraction $\left(\frac{\gamma\rho}{Q_0}\right)$ of exposed individuals moving to undiagnosed class I_u and $\left(\frac{1}{Q_1}\right)$ is the average period spend in the I_u class. $\mathcal{R}_{02}$ represents the number of new COVID-19 infection cases generated by diagnosed infectious individuals, I_d. $\beta\eta$ is the product of infectious rate due to diagnosed COVID-19 individuals, $\left(\frac{\gamma(1-\rho)}{Q_0}\right)$ fraction of exposed individuals moving to diagnosed infectious stage and $\left(\frac{1}{Q_2}\right)$ average period spent in the I_d compartment.

It is easy to show that our model satisfy the hypotheses of the Theorem 2 in [18] and hence, the stability of the DFE automatically and summarised as follows:

Theorem 3. The DFE is locally asymptotically stable whenever $\mathscr{R}_0 < 1$ and unstable otherwise.

3.3.3. The Endemic Equilibrium

After some algebraic manipulations, the endemic equilibrium denoted as (EE) is given by $E_1^{**} = (S^{**},\ E^{**},\ I_u^{**}\ I_d^{**})$ where

$$S^{**} = \frac{\Lambda\Phi_0}{\mu\Big(Q_0Q_1Q_2\left(\mathcal{R}_0-1\right)+\Phi_0\Big)}, \quad E^{**} = \frac{\Lambda Q_1Q_2\left(\mathcal{R}_0-1\right)}{Q_0Q_1Q_2\left(\mathcal{R}_0-1\right)+\Phi_0},$$

$$I_d^{**} = \frac{\gamma\Lambda\Big(\rho\sigma+(1-\rho)Q_1\Big)\left(\mathcal{R}_0-1\right)}{Q_0Q_1Q_2\left(\mathcal{R}_0-1\right)+\Phi_0}, \quad I_u^{**} = \frac{\gamma\rho\Lambda Q_2(\mathcal{R}_0-1)}{Q_0Q_1Q_2(\mathcal{R}_0-1)+\Phi_0},$$

with

$$\Phi_0 = \mu\Big(Q_1\left(\gamma(1-\rho)+Q_2\right)+\gamma\rho\left(\sigma+Q_2\right)\Big).$$

We have the following result on the existence of E_1^{**}.

Lemma 1. The EE exist if and only if $\mathcal{R}_0 > 1$.

3.4. Global Stability Analysis of the Equilibria

In this section, we show that the disease-free equilibrium is globally asymptotically stable if $\mathscr{R}_0 < 1$. We also prove that the endemic equilibrium state is globally asymptotically stable if $\mathscr{R}_0 > 1$. First, we consider the case for the global stability of the DFE point.

Theorem 4. The disease-free equilibrium, E_0 of the model system (3) is globally asymptotically stable if $\mathscr{R}_0 < 1$.

Proof. Consider the following Lyapunov function defined by

$$V = E + \nu_1 I_u + \nu_2 I_d,$$

where ν_1 and ν_2 are the positive constants we seek to determine. Finding the derivative of the function V and

upon substitution of equations (3) we have that

$$\begin{aligned}
\dot{V} = & \quad \dot{E} + \nu_1 \dot{I}_u + \nu_2 \dot{I}_d, \\
= & \quad \Big(\lambda S - (\mu+\gamma)E\Big) + \nu_1\Big(\rho\gamma E - (\mu+\omega_2+\delta_2+\sigma)I_u\Big) \\
& +\nu_2\Big((1-\rho)\gamma E + \sigma I_u - (\mu+\omega_1+\delta_1)I_d\Big), \\
= & \quad \frac{\beta(I_d+\eta I_u)S}{N} - Q_0 E + \nu_1\rho\gamma E - \nu_1 Q_1 I_u + \nu_2(1-\rho)\gamma E + \nu_2\sigma I_u - \nu_2 Q_2 I_d, \\
\leq & \quad \beta(I_d+\eta I_u) - \nu_1 Q_1 I_u + \nu_2\sigma I_u - \nu_2 Q_2 I_d + \Big(\nu_1\rho\gamma + \nu_2(1-\rho)\gamma - Q_0\Big)E, \\
= & \quad (\beta - \nu_2 Q_2)I_d + \Big(\beta\eta + \nu_2\sigma - \nu_1 Q_1\Big)I_u + \Big(\nu_1\rho\gamma + \nu_2(1-\rho)\gamma - Q_0\Big)E.
\end{aligned}$$

Assuming that I_u and I_d are constants, we solve for ν_1 and ν_2 which gives

$$\nu_1 = \frac{\beta\sigma + \beta\eta Q_2}{Q_1 Q_2} \quad \text{and} \quad \nu_2 = \frac{\beta}{Q_2}.$$

Substituting for ν_1 and ν_2 we obtain

$$\dot{V} \quad = Q_0(\mathcal{R}_0 - 1)E.$$

We see that $\dot{V} \leq 0$ if $\mathcal{R}_0 < 1$, and $\dot{V} = 0$ if $I_u = I_d = E = 0$. Therefore, the largest compact invariant set in Γ such that $\dot{V} = 0$ when $\mathcal{R}_0 \leq 1$, is the singleton E_0^*. Thus, by LaSalle's Invariance Principle [19, 20], the steady state E_0^* is globally asymptotically stable whenever $\mathcal{R}_0 \leq 1$, □

Theorem 5. If $\mathcal{R}_0 > 1$ the endemic equilibrium of the model equation (3), E_1^{**} is globally asymptotically stable in Γ.

Proof. Given that the endemic equilibrium exists if and only if $\mathcal{R}_0 > 1$. Consider Lyapunov function

$$L = \left(S - S^{**} - S^{**}\ln\frac{S}{S^{**}}\right) + A\left(E - E^{**} - E^{**}\ln\frac{E}{E^{**}}\right) + B\left(I_u - I_u^{**} - I_u^{**}\ln\frac{I_u}{I_u^{**}}\right) + C\left(I_d - I_d^{**} - I_d^{**}\ln\frac{I_d}{I_d^{**}}\right).$$

where A, B and C are constants to be determined. Substitute the expressions for the derivatives of the state variables,

$$\dot{L} = \quad \left(1 - \frac{S^{**}}{S}\right)\dot{S} + A\left(1 - \frac{E^{**}}{S}\right)\dot{E} + B\left(1 - \frac{I_u^{**}}{I_u}\right)\dot{I}_u + C\left(1 - \frac{I_d^{**}}{I_d}\right)\dot{I}_d.$$

At the steady states,

$$\Lambda = (\mu + \lambda^*)S^{**}, \quad Q_0 = \frac{\lambda^* S^{**}}{E^{**}}, \quad Q_1 = \frac{\rho\gamma E^{**}}{I_u^{**}}, \quad Q_2 = \frac{(1-\rho)\gamma E^{**}}{I_d^{**}} + \frac{\sigma I_u^{**}}{I_d^{**}},$$

substitute the following expressions for the derivatives of the state variables and given that $N^* \leq N$, and setting

$w = \dfrac{S}{S^{**}}, \quad x = \dfrac{E}{E^{**}}, \quad y = \dfrac{I_u}{I_u^{**}}, \quad z = \dfrac{I_d}{I_d^{**}}$, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
\dot{L} \leq \quad & -\mu\Big(1-\tfrac{1}{w}\Big)^2 S + \beta I_u^{**} S^{**}\Big(1-yw\Big) + \eta\beta I_d^{**} S^{**}\Big(1-zw\Big) + \\
& A\Big(1-\tfrac{1}{x}\Big)\Big(\beta I_u^{**} S^{**}(yw-x) + \eta\beta I_d^{**} S^{**}(zw-x)\Big) + BE^{**}\rho\gamma\Big(1-\tfrac{1}{y}\Big)\Big(x-y\Big) + \\
& C\Big(1-\tfrac{1}{z}\Big)\Big[E^{**}\gamma\Big(1-\rho\Big)\Big(x-z\Big) + \sigma I_u^{**}\Big(y-z\Big)\Big].
\end{aligned}$$

The derivative of L reduces to

$$\dot{L} = \quad -\mu\Big(1-\tfrac{1}{w}\Big)^2 + G(w,x,y,z),$$

where $G(w,x,y,z)$

$$\begin{aligned}
& = \beta I_u^{**} S^{**} - \beta I_u^{**} S^{**} yw + \eta\beta I_d^{**} S^{**} - \beta\eta I_d^{**} S^{**} zw + \beta I_u^{**} S^{**} A\Big(yw - x - \frac{yw}{x} + 1\Big) + \\
& \eta\beta I_d^{**} S^{**} A\Big(zw - x - \tfrac{zw}{x} + 1\Big) + \rho\gamma E^{**} B\Big(x - y - \tfrac{x}{y} + 1\Big) + \gamma E^{**} C\Big(1-\rho\Big)\Big(x - z - \tfrac{x}{z} + 1\Big) + \\
& \sigma I_u^{**} C\Big(y - z - \tfrac{y}{z} + 1\Big).
\end{aligned}$$

After some algebraic manipulation with $A = 1,\ C = \rho\gamma E^{**}$ and $B = \gamma I_u^{**}$ we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\dot{L} \leq \quad & -H\Big(1-\tfrac{1}{w}\Big)^2 S + \beta I_u^{**} S^{**}\Big(2 - x - \tfrac{yw}{x}\Big) + \eta\beta I_d^{**} S^{**}\Big(2 - x - \tfrac{zw}{x}\Big) \\
& +\gamma\Big(1-\rho\Big)E^{**}\Big(2 - z - \tfrac{x}{z}\Big) + \sigma I_u^{**}(2 - z - \tfrac{y}{z})\Big).
\end{aligned}$$

Given that the arithmetical mean is always greater or equal to the geometric mean the expressions

$$\begin{aligned}
2 - x - \frac{yw}{x} \quad & \leq \quad 0, \quad 2 - x - \frac{zw}{x} \leq 0 \\
2 - z - \frac{x}{z} \quad & \leq \quad 0, \quad 2 - z - \frac{y}{z} \leq 0.
\end{aligned}$$

So $\dot{L} \leq 0$ for all $w,\ y,\ z\ > 0$ and $\dot{L} = 0$ if and only if $S = S^{**},\ E = E^{**},\ I_u = I_u^{**}$ and $I_d = I_d^{**}$. The largest invariant set where $\dot{L} = 0$ is the singleton of $\{E_1^{**}\}$. By Lasalle's invariance principal [20], E_1^{**} is globally asymptotically stable with respect to the invariant set Γ. □

4. Optimal Control Problem

4.1. Mathematical Model with Optimal Control

Here, we introduce optimal control measures to equation (3). We formulate an optimal control problem with controls variables; u_1 is the prevention effort of COVID-19 disease such as mask usage, physical distancing, while the control variable u_2 represents active screening and testing. Introducing the new force of infection

$$\lambda(t) = \beta(1-u_1)\frac{\Big(I_u(t) + \eta I_d(t)\Big)}{N},$$

and the control variables to model equation (3) gives rise to;

$$\left.\begin{aligned}
\frac{dS}{dt} &= \Lambda - (\mu+\lambda)S, \\
\frac{dE}{dt} &= \lambda S - (\mu+\gamma)E, \\
\frac{dI_u}{dt} &= \rho\gamma E - (\mu+\omega_2+\delta_2)I_u - \sigma u_2 I_u, \\
\frac{dI_d}{dt} &= (1-\rho)\gamma E + \sigma u_2 I_u - (\mu+\omega_1+\delta_1)I_d,
\end{aligned}\right\} \tag{5}$$

subject to the initial conditions

$$S(0) = S_0 > 0,\ E(0) = E_0 \geq 0,\ I_u(0) = I_{u0} \geq 0,\ I_d(0) = I_{d0} \geq 0.$$

The objective is to reduce the number of undiagnosed humans with the COVID-19 disease. Hence, we formulate a minimization problems with the following objective function

$$\mathfrak{J}(u_1, u_2) = \int_{t_0}^{T_f} \left(\alpha I_u + \frac{1}{2}\Big(\alpha_1 u_1^2 + \alpha_2 u_2^2\Big)\right) dt, \tag{6}$$

$$\mathfrak{J}(u_1, u_2) = \min_{U} \mathfrak{J}(u_1, u_2), \tag{7}$$

where t_0 is the initial time, T_f is the terminal time, α is the weight associated with reducing the undiagnosed infected human class I_u, $\alpha_1,\ \alpha_2$ are the associated cost weight with the control variables u_1 and u_2 respectively. We define the Hamiltonian function by applying the Pontryagin's Maximum Principle [21] as follows

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{H} = \quad & \mathcal{L}(I_u, u_1, u_2) + \xi_1 \tfrac{dS}{dt} + \xi_2 \tfrac{dE}{dt} + \xi_3 \tfrac{dI_u}{dt} + \xi_4 \tfrac{dI_d}{dt} \\
= \quad & \alpha I_u + \tfrac{1}{2}(\alpha_1 u_1^2 + \alpha_2 u_2^2) + \xi_1\Big(\Lambda - (\mu+\lambda)S\Big) + \xi_2\Big(\lambda S - (\mu+\gamma)E\Big) \\
& + \xi_3\Big(\rho\gamma E - (\mu+\omega_2+\delta_2)I_u - \sigma u_2 I_u\Big) + \xi_4\Big((1-\rho)\gamma E + \sigma u_2 I_u - (\mu+\omega_1+\delta_1)I_d\Big).
\end{aligned}$$

where $\xi_i,\quad i = 1, \cdots, 4$ are the adjoint variables. We now give the theorem for the existence and uniqueness of the optimal control problem as follows;

Theorem 6. [9] There exist an optimal control $u_* = (u_*^*,\ u_2^*) \in U$ such that

$$\mathfrak{J}(u_1^*,\ u_2^*) = \min_{(u_1,\ u_2)\in\cup} \mathfrak{J}(u_1,\ u_2) \tag{8}$$

subject to the optimal control model system (5) with initial conditions (1)

4.2. Optimality of the Model System

Theorem 7. [9] Given that $S^*,\ E^*,\ l_u^*$ and I_d^* are the solutions of the optimal control model system (5) and (6) associated with the optimal control variables $(u_1^*,\ u_2^*)$. Then, there exist an adjoint system which satisfies

$$\left.\begin{aligned}
\frac{d\xi_1(t)}{dt} &= \xi_1(\mu+\lambda) - \xi_2\lambda, \\
\frac{d\xi_2(t)}{dt} &= -\xi_3\rho\gamma - \xi_4(1-\rho)\gamma + \xi_2(\mu+\gamma), \\
\frac{d\xi_3(t)}{dt} &= \xi_1\Psi_0 S - \xi_2\Psi_0 S + \xi_3(\mu+\omega_2+\delta_2) + (\xi_3-\xi_4)\sigma u_2, \\
\frac{d\xi_4(t)}{dt} &= \xi_1\Psi_1 S - \xi_2\Psi_1 S + \xi_4(\mu+\omega_1+\delta_1),
\end{aligned}\right\}, \tag{9}$$

where

$$\Psi_0 = \frac{\beta(1-u_1)}{N} - \frac{\beta(1-u_1)(\eta I_d + I_u)}{N^2}, \text{ and } \Psi_1 = \frac{\beta\eta(1-u_1)}{N} - \frac{\beta(1-u_1)(\eta I_d + I_u)}{N^2},$$

with transversality boundary conditions defined as

$$\xi_i(t_f) = 0, \quad i = 1, \cdots, 4, \tag{10}$$

given by

$$u_i^* = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } \ u_i \le 0, \\ u_i & \text{if } \ 0 < u_i < 1, \\ 1 & \text{if } \ u_i \ge 1. \end{cases}$$

where the permissible control functions u_1^*, and u_2^*, are obtained by setting $\frac{\partial H}{\partial u_i} = 0,\ i = 1, 2$. Thus

$$\left.\begin{aligned}
\frac{\partial \mathcal{H}}{\partial u_1} &= \alpha_1 u_1 + \frac{\xi_1\beta(\eta I_d + I_u)S}{N} - \frac{\xi_2\beta(\eta I_d + I_u)S}{N} = 0, \\
\frac{\partial \mathcal{H}}{\partial u_2} &= \alpha_2 u_2 - \xi_3\sigma I_u + \xi_4\sigma I_u = 0\cdot
\end{aligned}\right\} \tag{11}$$

From equation (11), we solve for u_1^* and u_2^* to obtain their respective permissible control, solutions, which are;

$$\left\{\begin{aligned}
u_1^* &= \frac{\frac{\xi_1\beta(\eta I_d + I_u)S}{N} - \frac{\xi_2\beta(\eta I_d + I_u)S}{N}}{\alpha_1}, \\
u_2^* &= \frac{(\xi_3-\xi_4)\sigma I_u}{\alpha_2}.
\end{aligned}\right. \tag{12}$$

With the upper and lower constraints on the admissible controls u_1^*, and u_2^*, we now have that the optimal controls can be characterised as follows

$$u_1^* = \min\left\{\max\left(0, \frac{\frac{\xi_1\beta(\eta I_d + I_u)S}{N} - \frac{\xi_2\beta(\eta I_d + I_u)S}{N}}{\alpha_1}\right), 1\right\}, \tag{13}$$

$$u_2^* = \min\left\{\max\left(0, \frac{(\xi_3-\xi_4)\sigma I_u}{\alpha_2}\right), 1\right\}. \tag{14}$$



Figure 2: Model fit to data for COVID-19 early growth new cases in South Africa. Optimal parameter values: $\Lambda = 11244,\ \mu = 0.0091,\ \sigma = 0.2070,\ \delta_1 = 0.01500,\ \delta_2 = 0.0089,\ \eta = 0.5663,\ \gamma = 0.5732,\ \rho = 0.9799,\ \omega_1 = 0.1800,\ \omega_2 = 0.0206,\ \beta = 1.3295,\ u_1 = 0.7557,\ u_2 = 0.3728,\ \mathcal{R}_0 = 2.0109.$

4.3. Model Fit with controls and Parameter Estimation

We use the method of least square curve fitting to fit model (3). The method is useful in obtaining the parameters values that are then used in the numerical simulations. Figure (2) shows that model (3) fits well to the COVID-19 new daily cases of South Africa as from the 26th of March up to the 19th of July 2020, with data obtained from [7]. In the simulations, the initial conditions

$$(S(0),\ E(0),\ I_u(0),\ I_d(0),) = (57999900,\ 200,\ 218,\ 400),$$

are used. The curve fitting process generates the following parameters with some of the parameters having been obtained from the cited research.

Table 1: Parameters of (COVID-19) $SEIR$ model for South Africa and their values.

Symbol	Parameter description	Value [Range] day^{-1}	Source
Λ	Recruitment rate	11244 [10000 - 25000]	Estimated in[11]
μ	Mortality rate	0.0091 [0.0050 - 0.010]	[22]
σ	Rate of active testing	0.2070 [0.4000 - 1.000]	Data fit
δ_1	Diagnosed induced death rate	0.1500 [0.0000 - 0.100]	[23]
δ_2	Un-diagnosed induced death rate	0.0089 [0.0000 - 0.100]	Data fit
η	Modification parameter	0.5663 [0.0000 - 1.000]	Data fit
γ	Rate at which exposed become infectious	0.5732 [0.2000 - 0.600]	Data fit
ρ	Proportion of E which becomes undiagnosed	0.9799 [0.0000 - 1.000]	By definition
ω_1	Recovery rate of diagnosed infected humans	0.1800	Data fit
ω_2	Recovery rate of undiagnosed infected humans	0.0206 [0.0000 - 0.100]	[23]
β	Probability that a contact results into infection	1.3295 [1.2100 - 1.500]	Data fit
u_1	Mask usage and physical distancing	0.7557 [0.0000 - 1.000]	Data fit
u_2	Active screening and testing	0.3728 [0.0000 - 1.000]	Data fit

4.4. Sensitivity Analysis

We perform, sensitivity analysis of model (3) using the methodology describe in [24]. In this paper, the objective of sensitivity analysis is to identify critical parameters driving the COVID-19 epidemic. Figure 3(a) shows the effects of parameters β, ρ, σ and γ on exposed E population. γ (rate at which exposed becomes infectious) changes with respect to exposed class over time. It is negatively correlated right after the infection (early epidemic days), then it becomes positively correlated as the infection progresses to its state. The positive sign of its PRCC shows that; if the progression rate increases, then the exposed individuals increases (and vice versa).



(a) (b) (c)

Figure 3: PRCC plots showing the effects of long term dynamics of parameter values on COVID-19 daily new cases in South Africa for (a) parameters β, ρ, σ and γ on E. (b) parameters β, ρ, σ and γ on I_u. (c) parameters β, ρ, σ and γ on I_d.

4.5. Effects of Parameters on the $\mathcal{R}_0$

The effect of parameter on $\mathcal{R}_0$ were analysed using the contour plot. We chose two significant parameters γ and σ and give the contour plot as a function of $\mathcal{R}_0$. Figure 4 shows that an increase in the parameter σ results in a decrease in the numerical value of $\mathcal{R}_0$. This implies that increased screening has the propensity to decrease the spread of COVID-19. It is thus important to increase the rate of screening and testing in order to control the epidemic. On the other hand, the parameter γ does not significantly impact $\mathcal{R}_0$.



Figure 4: Contour plot of $\mathcal{R}_0$ as a function of γ versus σ.

5. Numerical Results

Here, the optimal control strategy is found by the iterative method of the fourth order Runge-Kutta order 4 iterative scheme. The state equations are initially solved by the forward Runge-Kutta method of the fourth order. Then, by using the backward Runge-Kutta method of the fourth order (backward sweep), we solved the co-state equations with the transversality conditions. The permissible controls are updated by using a convex combination of the previous controls and the value from the characterisations of u_1^* and u_2^*. This process is re-iterated and the iteration is ended if the current state, the adjoint, and the control values converge sufficiently following [9]. Also, we perform numerical simulations based on the control variables, to investigate the effects of introducing time dependent controls. We have considered the combination of the following three different scenarios, enumerated as follows: (1) the use of only one permissible control u_1, (2) the use of only one permissible control u_2, and (3) the use of only permissible controls u_1 and u_2. Using the model parameter values in Table 1, the initial conditions as defined in Section 4.3 and the associated weights from Tables 2 to simulate the model with controls and obtain the following results.

Table 2: Costs associated with optimal control variables used for simulations

Symbol	Parameter description	Base line Values (day^{-1})	Source
α	Weight associated with reducing the undiagnosed infected human	1	Estimated
α_1	Weight on the cost of the prevention	0.9	Estimated
α_2	Weight on the cost of the active screening and testing	0.5	Estimated

5.1. Scenario (1)

This scenario shows the long term dynamics of COVID-19 using the control variable u_1, which consist of mask usage and physical distancing to optimise the objective function $\mathfrak{J}$, while setting the control variable u_2 to zero. We observed that in Figure 5(a), that maintaining a strict masks usage and physical distancing have significant influence on the peaks of undiagnosed cases of COVID-19. In the absence of active screening and testing, masks and social distancing has the propensity to minimise the spread of COVID-19 disease in South Africa. Figure 5(b), shows the control profile u_1 for this particular first scenario, where u_1 is is given the maximum of approximately 20 days to drop to its lower bound, translating to a maximum effectiveness of masks usage and social distancing.



(a) (b)

Figure 5: The long term dynamics on COVID-19 using control u_1^* with $u_2^* = 0$ for (a) undiagnosed humans (b) the control profile for u_1^*.

5.2. Scenario (2)

This scenario presents the long term dynamics of COVID-19 using the control variable for screening and testing u_2 to optimise the objective function $\mathfrak{J}$, while u_1 is set to zero. We observed from Figure 6(a), screening and testing reduces the peak of undiagnoseed infectives. Figure 6(b) shows the control profile for u_2, where u_2 should be allowed to run at its highest level during the entire duration of the epidemic. This means that the scaling of screening and testing should always be done at capacity to control COVID-19.



(a) (b)

Figure 6: The long term dynamics on COVID-19 using control u_2^*, with $u_1^* = 0$ for (a) undiagnosed humans (b) the control profile for u_2^*.

5.3. Scenario (3)

In this scenario, we show both control variables u_1 and u_2 being run concurrently. For these scenarios, we observed in Figure 7(a) that the control strategies of wearing masks, physical distancing and as well screening and testing resulted in a significant decline in the number of undiagnosed COVID-19 cases. Thus, this shows to reduce the spread of COVID-19 spread. these controls should be use concurrently. Figure 7(b) shows the control profile for both u_1 and u_2.



(a) (b)

Figure 7: The long term dynamics on COVID-19 using controls u_1^* and u_2^* for (a) undiagnosed humans (b) the control profile for u_1^*. and u_2^*.

6. Conclusions

In this paper, we used a continuous model to study the effects of long term dynamics of COVID-19 pandemic on the South Africa population. The model takes into account the susceptible, exposed, undetected, detected and recovered humans. We carried out mathematical analysis for the model without controls and showed that the steady steady states are stable locally asymptotically stable if the basic COVID-19 reproduction number $\mathcal{R}_0$ is greater than unity and otherwise unstable. Further, we performed the sensitivity analysis of the model equations without control and numerical simulations based on the highly sensitive model parameters on $\mathcal{R}_0$ as shown in Figures 3. On the other hand, we formulate a time dependent optimal control problem by introducing control variables. The model with control system (5) was solved analytical and numerically to predict the possible and necessary controls to contain the spread of the disease. The results on the numerical simulations suggest that; the best strategy is to wear masks and physical distancing u_1, followed by control of testing and screening u_2 to reduce COVID-19 infection and these strategies will be more effective in controlling the rate at which the uninfected humans contract the disease.

While many research papers on COVID-19 have been published to date, the work presented here focuses on the long term dynamics of the infection. Given that new scientific evidence on the virus continues to emerge, the model presented here is certainly neither the ultimate dossier not without culpability. The results should then be treated with circumspection. Some of the major challenges, other than the continuous evolution of scientific evidence, are the none inclusion of some of the dynamics of COVID-19 such as the role played by contaminated surfaces,

asymptomatic infectives and the age dependant disease dynamics. Aspects of stochasticity in the infection rates, the role of social-economic factors in COVID-19 infection spread and the evolution of government policies can be incorporated in the model to improve its usefulness. Also, in [17], it is documented that exposed individuals in compartment E can be contagious. Therefore, the assumption that individuals in compartment E can not transmit COVID-19 can be relaxed. This means that transmission from individuals in compartment E can occur before the onset of symptoms. Such an adjustment requires the inclusion of compartment E in the force of infection. Despite these fallibilities, the model still presents some interesting results on the long term introspections of COVID-19.

Data Availability

The data are sourced from public and official government press release [25, 26].

Conflicts of Interest

No conflict of interest.

Funding Statement

No funding or grant were utilised for this research work.

Acknowledgements

The authors would like to thank the Faculty of Science in the University of Johannesburg for material support towards the inception and completion of this project and the University of Universitas Airlangga Indonesia. Author's will like to appreciate lucrative comments from the anonymous reviewers which can help strengthen the results presented in this research paper.

References

[1] WHO, Coronavirus disease 2019 (covid-19) situation report–62. march 2020 (Retrieved July 10, 2020). doi:https://www.who.int/emergencies/diseases/novel-coronavirus-2019/situation-reports.

[2] Chinese center for disease control and prevention (Retrieved June 30, 2020). doi:http://www.chinacdc.cn/jkzt/crb/zl/szkb_11803/jszl_11809/.

[3] Webmd, Coronavirus transmission overview (Retrieved July 29, 2020). doi:https://www.webmd.com/lung/coronavirus-transmission-overview#1.

[4] Healthline, Scientists around the world are working on a number of vaccines and treatments for covid-19 (Retrieved July 20, 2020). doi:https://www.healthline.com/health-news/heres-exactly-where-were-at-with-vaccines-and-treatments-for-covid-19.

[5] South african government, first case of covid-19 coronavirus reported in sa (Retrieved June 17, 2020). doi:https://www.gov.za/speeches/health-reports-first-case-covid-19-coronavirus-5-mar-2020-0000.

[6] D. M. Act, Regulations to address,prevent and combat the spread of coronavirus covid-19:amendment (Retrieved June 24, 2020). doi:https://www.gov.za/documents/disaster-management-act-regulations-address-prevent-and-combat-spread-coronavirus-covid-19.

[7] Coronavirus covid-19 (2019-ncov) data repository for south africa (Retrieved June 14, 2020). doi:https://github.com/dsfsi/covid19za.

[8] M. Ozair, A. A. Lashari, I. H. Jung, K. O. Okosun, Stability analysis and optimal control of a vector-borne disease with nonlinear incidence, Discrete Dynamics in Nature and Society 2012 (2012).

[9] S. Lenhart, J. T. Workman, Optimal control applied to biological models, CRC press, 2007.

[10] P. D. Roberts, V. M. Becerra, Optimal control of a class of discrete–continuous non-linear systems—decomposition and hierarchical structure, Automatica 37 (11) (2001) 1757–1769.

[11] F. Nyabadza, F. Chirove, W. C. Chukwu, M. V. Visaya, Modelling the potential impact of social distancing on the covid-19 epidemic in south africa, medRxiv (2020).

[12] Z. Mukandavire, F. Nyabadza, N. J. Malunguza, D. F. Cuadros, T. Shiri, G. Musuka, Quantifying early covid-19 outbreak transmission in south africa and exploring vaccine efficacy scenarios, PloS One 15 (7) (2020) e0236003.

[13] S. K. Chandra, A. Singh, M. K. Bajpai, Mathematical model with social distancing parameter for early estimation of covid-19 spread, medRxiv (2020).

[14] M. d. R. de Pinho, F. N. Nogueira, Costs analysis for the application of optimal control to seir normalized models, IFAC-PapersOnLine 51 (27) (2018) 122–127.

[15] N. H. Shah, A. H. Suthar, E. N. Jayswal, Control strategies to curtail transmission of covid-19, International Journal of Mathematics and Mathematical Sciences 2020 (2020).

[16] WHO, World health organization, coronavirus disease 2019 (covid-19) situationreport–73 (Retrieved June 20, 2020). doi:https://www.who.int/docs/default-source/coronaviruse/situation-reports/20200402-sitrep-73-covid-19.pdf?sfvrsn=5ae25bc7_6.

[17] Coronavirus disease 2019 (covid-19), situation report–73 (2020) (Retrieved August 9, 2020)doi:https://www.who.int/docs/default-source/coronaviruse/situation-reports/20200402-sitrep-73-covid-19.pdf?sfvrsn=5ae25bc7_6.

[18] P. Van den Driessche, J. Watmough, Reproduction numbers and sub-threshold endemic equilibria for compartmental models of disease transmission, Mathematical biosciences 180 (1-2) (2002) 29–48.

[19] J. La Salle, S. Lefschetz, R. Alverson, Stability by liapunov's direct method with applications, PhT 15 (10) (1962) 59.

[20] J. P. La Salle, The stability of dynamical system, SIAM (1976).

[21] L. S. Pontryagin, Mathematical theory of optimal processes, Routledge, 2018.

[22] South africa death rate 1950-2020 (Retrieved August 8, 2020). doi:https://www.macrotrends.net/countries/ZAF/south-africa/death-rate.

[23] S. Ullah, M. A. Khan, Modeling the impact of non-pharmaceutical interventions on the dynamics of novel coronavirus with optimal control analysis with a case study, Chaos, Solitons & Fractals (2020) 110075.

[24] S. M. Blower, H. Dowlatabadi, Sensitivity and uncertainty analysis of complex models of disease transmission: an hiv model, as an example, International Statistical Review/Revue Internationale de Statistique (1994) 229–243.

[25] Coronavirus covid-19 (2019-ncov) data repository for south africa (Retrieved August 2, 2020). doi:https://github.com/dsfsi/covid19za.

[26] Newsroom (Retrieved August 5, 2020). doi:https://www.gov.za/newsroom.