A city-level analysis of air pollution, climate and COVID-19 early spread during the Spanish lockdown

Álvaro Briz-Redón[1,¶], Carolina Belenguer-Sapiña[2,¶], Ángel Serrano-Aroca[3,*]

[1]Statistics Office, City Council of Valencia, c/Arquebisbe Mayoral, 2, 46002 Valencia, Valencia, Spain

[2] Department of Analytical Chemistry, Faculty of Chemistry, University of Valencia, c/Doctor Moliner 50, 46100 Burjassot, Valencia, Spain

[3] Centro de Investigación Traslacional San Alberto Magno, Universidad Católica de Valencia San Vicente Mártir, c/Guillem de Castro 94, 46001 Valencia, Valencia, Spain.

[¶] These authors contributed equally

* Corresponding author

Abstract

The COVID-19 outbreak has escalated into the worse pandemic of the present century. The rapid spread of the new SARS-CoV-2 coronavirus has caused devastating health and economic crises all over the world, with Spain being one of the worst affected countries in terms of confirmed COVID-19 cases and deaths per inhabitant. In this situation, the Spanish Government declared the lockdown of the country with the aim of flattening the epidemic curve. The variations of air pollution in terms of fine particulate matter ($PM_{2.5}$) levels in 8 cities of Spain are analyzed here considering the effect of meteorology during the COVID-19 lockdown period (from March 15th to April 12th 2020). The results of the analysis show that the 4-week Spanish lockdown was not long enough to reduce the $PM_{2.5}$ levels in all the cities considered. These reductions were less than those expected, despite the drastically reduced human activity. Furthermore, no associations between COVID-19 accumulated cases and $PM_{2.5}$ exposure or environmental conditions (temperature, precipitation, wind speed, sunlight hours, maximum pressure) were found during the early spread of the pandemic.

Keywords: COVID-19; lockdown; air pollution; fine particulate matter; meteorology; environment

1. Introduction

The global pandemic of the new SARS-CoV-2 coronavirus causing the COVID-19 disease, first reported in Wuhan, Hubei Province, China, in December 2019 (WHO, 2020a) continues to spread in more than 200 countries (WHO, 2020b). Spain confirmed 314,362 COVID-19 cases and 28,503 deaths (ISCIII, n.d.) on 9th August 2020, which is one of the largest mortality rates of this emerging disease. The new SARS-CoV-2 is closely related (88-89% similarity) to two bat-derived severe acute respiratory syndrome-like coronaviruses, bat-SL-CoVZC45, and bat-SL-CoVZXC21 (Lai et al., 2020). In addition to that similarity, the previous SARS-CoV and MERS-CoV human coronavirus were about 79% and 50% similar to SARS-CoV-2, respectively (Jiang et al., 2020; Lu et al., 2020; Ren et al., 2020).

Due to the growing pandemic, governments have been forced to react with lighter or stronger control measures influenced by their cultural backgrounds (Chiu et al., 2020). The Spanish government declared a lockdown on March 14th, 2020 (starting midnight on March 15th). Unprecedented containment and mitigation policies were implemented in an effort to limit the spread of COVID-19. From March 15th to March 29th 2020, hereafter referred to as the *minor lockdown*, people were recommended to work from home, and other measures such as travel restrictions, isolation, and quarantine of patients, cancellation of private and public events, online education, closure of bars and restaurants, or the prohibition of public gatherings, were imposed. During those two weeks, only essential products could be sold in supermarkets and pharmacies, and only a few industrial activities were allowed. From March 30th to April 12th 2020, hereafter referred to as the *major lockdown*, the Spanish Government imposed more severe restrictions for two weeks more due to the national emergency caused by the collapse of the health system. This situation led to one of the major social distancing measures taken so far in the world, in which people were forced to stay at home (except for

very limited purposes) and only essential work such as healthcare and social care sectors, police and armed forces, water and electricity supply was allowed. Besides, important industrial activities such as construction were forbidden. These unprecedented measures gave positive results and flattened the epidemic's curve after a month of lockdown (Tobías, 2020). In short, the pandemic has definitely caused significant behavioral variations in society and could provide a useful insight into how we can make our production methods more sustainable (Sarkis et al., 2020).

The impact of particulate matter (PM) on health is well-known (Manisalidis et al., 2020; Xiao et al., 2018) in terms of its effects on morbidity and mortality. Two sizes of particulate matter are used to analyze air quality; fine particulate matter or $PM_{2.5}$, with a diameter of 2.5 µm or less, and coarse particles or $PM_{10,}$ with a diameter of 10 µm or less. However, the former are more worrying because their small size allows them to penetrate deeper into the human respiratory system via inhalation, which can potentially promote respiratory diseases such as COVID-19 (Becker and Soukup, 1999; Horne et al., 2018; Xie et al., 2019; Xu et al., 2016). Thus, it is noted that the pollution of $PM_{2.5}$ particles impairs the first line of defense of the upper airways called cilia (Zhu et al., 2020) and populations exposed to a high concentration of fine particulate matter are more prone to developing chronic respiratory diseases favorable to infective agents (Mehmood et al., 2020). Long-term exposure to these small particles can originate a chronic inflammatory stimulus, especially in children and unhealthy population(Conticini et al., 2020), while short-term $PM_{2.5}$ exposure may also increase susceptibility to infections (Chen et al., 2020). Indeed, this type of pollution damages human airways, potentially facilitating viral infections, and weakens the immune response, rendering the human body less effective in fighting against the virus-caused diseases (Liu et al., 2019; Mehmood et al., 2020; Sedlmaier et al., 2009). The World Health Organization's

air quality guidelines recommend that $PM_{2.5}$ concentration should not exceed a 10 μg/m^3 annual mean and 25 μg/m^3 24-hour mean (World Health Organization, 2018). Road traffic is the main emission source of atmospheric particulate matter, with the PM components emitted from combustion processes mainly being the fine $PM_{2.5}$ rather than the coarse PM_{10} (Nicolás et al., 2020). Other sources of $PM_{2.5}$ include Sahara dust events, which can significantly contribute to particulate matter concentrations in urban environments (Fenech and Aquilina, 2020; Nicolás et al., 2020), shipping (Viana et al., 2020), secondary inorganic aerosol or biomass burning, among others (Liu et al., 2020). The combustion processes in thermal power stations and other industrial sectors, as well as the transport of anthropogenic aerosols from central Europe to Mediterranean areas, together with certain agricultural activities, must also be taken into account (García et al., 2019).

Spain, which still consumes a considerable amount of fossil fuels, is quite near to the Sahara desert and has approximately 47 million inhabitants. Thus, it is in a high-risk zone of pollution episodes (Lemou et al., 2020). The Mediterranean region has been identified as a crossroads of air masses with many types of aerosols caused by many anthropogenic and natural sources, such as the resuspension of dust from Africa (one of the most important sources), the production of sea salt from the friction effect of the wind on the sea, industrial and urban aerosols, forest fires, and biomass smoke from Eastern Europe (Lemou et al., 2020). The zone is also known for its intensive shipping traffic, near a densely populated and environmentally sensitive area with low atmospheric dispersion (Viana et al., 2020). Some studies (Querol et al., 2014) confirm that the air quality in Spain (period 2001-2012) has a declining trend of atmospheric pollution, primarily driven by emission reductions (Borge et al., 2019). For example, Zaragoza, an inland city, has an average concentration of about 30 μg/m^3 of $PM_{2.5}$ (Chauhan and Singh, 2020), while Valladolid has an average of 15-20 μg/m^3

(García et al., 2019). Furthermore, meteorological changes may also influence air quality trends. Other studies on air pollution have considered weather changes as an influential factor in Europe (Borge et al., 2019). Since Spain lies in a temperate zone (Briz-Redón and Serrano-Aroca, 2020a) with the most diverse range of climates in Europe and is ranked as one of the ten most climatically diverse countries in the world (*Ministerio de Medio Ambiente y Medio Rural y Marino*. AEMET, 2011), it is necessary to take meteorological factors into account when evaluating atmospheric pollutant concentrations.

We have recently demonstrated that the lockdown of Spain was not able to reduce severe air pollution in all its forms considering meteorological factors on the pollutants' levels (Briz-Redón et al., 2020). Thus, the 4-week lockdown had a significant impact on reducing the atmospheric levels of NO_2 in most cities as well as CO, SO_2, and PM_{10} in some cities, but resulted in an increase of O_3 level. In the current paper, we focus on the variations of air pollution in terms of fine particulate matter in several representative Spanish cities during the lockdown using pollution data from the normal (non-lockdown) and minor and major lockdown periods. Meteorological parameters, which play an important role in air pollution transport, deposition, and transformation (Li et al., 2017; Shi et al., 2018; Wang et al., 2019, 2020) have also been taken into account. Several studies in the same research line have shown evidence of significant reductions of severe $PM_{2.5}$ pollution during COVID-19 lockdowns in other countries, such as India (Mahato et al., 2020; Sharma et al., 2020) and Malaysia (Abdullah et al., 2020). However, in other countries such as China, which probably applied one of the strictest lockdown measures, the fine particulate matter in the atmosphere was not significantly reduced (Wang et al., 2020). In addition, it has been reported that fine particulate matter could be associated with the COVID-19 spread (Mehmood et al., 2020). For instance,

COVID-19 daily new cases have been positively related with $PM_{2.5}$ levels in 355 municipalities in the Netherlands (Cole et al., 2020), in Milan (Zoran et al., 2020) and in China (Zhu et al., 2020). Therefore, in this paper we also explore the possible impact of the $PM_{2.5}$ exposure and the effect of environmental conditions (temperature, precipitation, wind speed, sunlight hours, maximum pressure) on the COVID-19 early spread during the Spanish lockdown.

2. Data collection

2.1. $PM_{2.5}$ pollution data

A total of 8 major Spanish cities were considered in this study (see Figure 1).



Figure 1. Locations of the 8 cities considered for the $PM_{2.5}$ pollution analysis. The coordinates are those of the pollution station in each case. The provincial borders are shown in grey.

Table 1 shows that the populations of the selected cities (on 1st January 2019) ranged from 97,260 to 3,266,126 inhabitants (INE, 2019).

City	Province	Population	Station	Longitude	Latitude
Bilbao	Biscay	346,843	Europa	-2.9024	43.2549
Madrid	Madrid	3,266,126	Escuelas Aguirre	-3.6823	40.4217
San Sebastián	Gipuzkoa	187,415	Avenida Tolosa	-2.0109	43.3094
Santiago de Compostela	A Coruña	97,260	San Caetano	-8.5311	42.8878
Valencia	Valencia	794,288	Avenida Francia	-0.3428	39.4575
Valladolid	Valladolid	298,412	La Rubia II	-4.7406	41.6300
Vigo	Pontevedra	295,364	Coia	-8.7421	42.8548
Vitoria	Álava	251,774	Avenida Gasteiz	-2.6807	42.8548

Table 1. Name, province, population (on 1st January 2019), and longitude and latitude of the air quality stations selected for the 8 cities studied.

Table 2 provides a city-level statistical summary of the $PM_{2.5}$ levels in each of the periods considered for the analysis.

City	Normal period	Minor lockdown	Major lockdown

	Mean	1st Q	3rd Q	Mean	1st Q	3rd Q	Mean	1st Q	3rd Q
Bilbao	9.13	6.00	12.50	13.93	11.00	17.00	8.14	6.25	9.75
Madrid	11.51	6.00	14.00	6.60	4.50	8.50	7.00	5.00	9.00
San Sebastián	8.95	6.00	11.00	13.17	10.75	15.50	8.64	7.00	10.00
Santiago de Compostela	12.64	8.20	17.00	15.18	13.00	18.00	9.01	7.23	9.85
Valencia	12.71	6.00	17.00	6.73	6.00	7.50	6.93	5.00	8.75
Valladolid	11.30	6.50	15.00	11.40	8.50	13.00	6.14	5.00	7.00
Vigo	9.11	6.45	12.00	11.93	9.20	13.50	6.21	5.00	6.78
Vitoria	7.33	4.25	10.00	10.87	9.00	13.50	6.36	4.50	7.00

Table 2. City-level statistical summary of $PM_{2.5}$ levels in terms of mean value, 1st quartile (1st Q), and 3rd quartile (3rd Q) at each of the different periods (normal, minor and major lockdown) considered for the analysis

Pollution data was downloaded from several regional and local web pages that provide this official information for each city: Bilbao (Euskadi.eus, 2020); Madrid (Ayuntamiento de Madrid, 2020); San Sebastián (Euskadi.eus, 2020); Santiago de Compostela (Xunta de Galicia, 2020); Valencia (GVA, 2020); Valladolid (Junta de Castilla y León, 2020); Vigo (Xunta de Galicia, 2020) and Vitoria (Euskadi.eus, 2020). $PM_{2.5}$ data was obtained from traffic stations in the cities (see Table 1) whose pollution levels were mainly determined by traffic emissions (UK Department for Environment Food and Rural Affairs, n.d.).

$PM_{2.5}$ (in $\mu g/m^3$) daily levels were collected from each station every day from March 4th to April 14th 2019 and from March 2nd to April 12th 2020 using the sampling method defined by the current Directive 2015/1480 (2015/1480/UE, 2015) instead of the previous (2008/50/CE, 2008), following the gravimetric method of determining $PM_{2.5}$ mass fraction in suspended particulate matter (EN 12341:2014, 2014). The measurements are commonly performed with active samplers operating at 2.3 m^3/h over a sampling period of 24 h. The range of

application of this European Standard is from 1 µg/m^3 (detection method limit) up to approximately 120 µg/m^3.

2.2. Meteorological data

Meteorological data was downloaded from the OpenData platform of the State Meteorological Agency (AEMET) through its API. Eight meteorological stations were selected to obtain the meteorological variables of interest: temperature (ºC), precipitation (mm), wind speed (km/h), sunlight hours (number of hours over the threshold of 120W/m^2) and min and max atmospheric pressure (hPa). Table 3 provides a statistical summary of the meteorological variables considered.

City	Temperature (°C)			Precipitation (mm)			Windspeed (km/h)			Sunlighthours (h)			Maximum pressure (hPa)		
	Mean	**1st Q**	**3rd Q**	**Mean**	**1st Q**	**3rd Q**	**Mean**	**1st Q**	**3rd Q**	**Mean**	**1st Q**	**3rd Q**	**Mean**	**1st Q**	**3rd Q**
Bilbao	12.19	10.20	14.20	3.14	0.00	3.10	3.52	2.20	3.90	4.48	0.88	7.58	1017.14	1012.60	1022.68
Madrid	11.32	9.75	13.25	1.37	0.00	0.55	3.34	1.90	4.20	7.15	3.43	10.98	952.80	949.20	957.85
San Sebastián	11.24	9.30	13.00	3.92	0.00	5.10	4.50	2.80	5.30	5.34	1.40	9.00	990.74	986.30	996.20
Santiago de Compostela	10.35	8.40	12.55	4.20	0.00	3.45	2.95	1.90	3.60	5.91	2.38	10.43	978.55	974.25	983.35
Valencia	14.00	12.60	15.00	1.45	0.00	0.23	4.14	3.10	4.70	7.18	3.88	10.63	1013.71	1009.70	1018.40
Valladolid	10.09	7.95	11.65	1.23	0.00	1.20	2.24	1.40	2.80	7.34	4.28	10.63	935.94	932.03	941.10
Vigo	11.86	10.00	13.50	5.16	0.00	3.90	3.51	2.80	3.90	6.84	2.70	10.60	990.88	986.30	995.45
Vitoria	8.60	6.60	10.40	1.41	0.00	1.05	3.59	2.50	4.20	6.23	3.00	9.80	961.68	957.60	966.95

Table 3. Statistical summary of the meteorological variables considered for the analysis in terms of mean value, 1st quartile (1st Q), and 3rd quartile (3rd Q) during the entire study period.

2.3. COVID-19 data

COVID-19 data was also downloaded from multiple local and regional webpages: Bilbao (Euskadi, 2020), Madrid (Datos Abiertos Comunidad de Madrid, 2020), San Sebastián (Euskadi, 2020), Santiago de Compostela (Galicia, 2020), Valladolid (JCyL, 2020), Vigo (Galicia, 2020), and Vitoria (Euskadi, 2020). Data was collected for the period comprised from March 18th to April 12th 2020, and corresponded to the number of accumulated COVID-19 cases in each city.

3. Method

3.1. Software

The R programming language (Team, 2020) was used to carry out the statistical analysis. The R packages effects (Fox and Weisberg, 2018), ggplot2 (Wickham, 2016), INLA (Lindgren and Rue, 2015; Rue et al., 2009), lubridate (Grolemund and Wickham, 2011), RCurl (Lang and the CRAN team, 2015), sjPlot (Ludecke, 2016) and XML (Lang, 2020) were specifically required for some parts of the study.

3.2. Modeling pollution levels

As weather patterns such as temperature, rain, wind speed, sunlight hours, or atmospheric pressure are known to significantly affect ground-level pollutant concentrations (Venter et al., 2020), to discriminate between the effects of meteorology and lockdown, these variable meteorological factors were considered in the statistical model. Weekend days were also included because of their reduced pollutant levels as a result of less road traffic. The daily $PM_{2.5}$ pollutant levels of the 8 cities were fitted through a statistical model accounting for meteorological conditions, weekend days, and lockdown periods. The $PM_{2.5}$ pollutant levels in a city i on date t were modeled by the regression shown in Eq. (1), including quadratic

terms capable of capturing non-linear relationships between the meteorological variables and the $PM_{2.5}$ level.

$$(PM_{2.5})_{it} = \alpha + \beta_{11}\,\text{Temperature}_{it} + \beta_{12}\,\text{Temperature}^2_{it} + \beta_{21}\,\text{Rain}_{it} + \beta_{22}\,\text{Rain}^2_{it} + \beta_{31}\,\text{Wind}_{it} + \beta_{32}\,\text{Wind}^2_{it} + \beta_{41}\,\text{Sunlight}_{it} + \beta_{42}\,\text{Sunlight}^2_{it} + \beta_{51}\,\text{Max_pressure}_{it} + \beta_{52}\,\text{Max_pressure}^2_{it} + \gamma\,\text{Weekend}_t + \rho_i\,\text{City}_i + (\delta_1 + \delta_{1i})\text{Minor_lockdown}_t + (\delta_2 + \delta_{2i})\text{Major_lockdown}_t \quad (1)$$

where α is the global intercept of the model, β_{kj} (j = 1,2; k = 1,2,3,4,5) measures the effect of the corresponding meteorological covariate (in quadratic form, or not) on the $(PM_{2.5})_{it}$ values, γ measures the effect of weekend days on the $(PM_{2.5})_{it}$ values, ρ_i represents the city-specific effect at normal non-lockdown $PM_{2.5}$ levels, δ_1 and δ_2 indicate the overall minor and major lockdown effect, respectively, on $(PM_{2.5})_{it}$ values, and δ_{1i} and δ_{2i} indicate the city-specific effect of minor and major lockdown, respectively, on the $(PM_{2.5})_{it}$ value. Several bank holidays during the study were also considered as weekend days.

This regression model estimated the variation magnitude of $PM_{2.5}$ due to lockdown in the cities while simultaneously accounting for meteorological and week-day effects.

3.3. Modeling COVID-19 spread

The association between COVID-19 spread and the $PM_{2.5}$ levels and environment was also studied for all the cities included in the study except for the case of Valencia, of which daily COVID-19 data is not available. Hence, the number of accumulated COVID-19 cases in each city was modelled in terms of each of the environmental covariates available (temperature, precipitation, wind speed, sunlight hours, maximum atmospheric pressure, and $PM_{2.5}$ level)

through a Poisson model. Specifically, the number of accumulated COVID-19 cases on date t, y_t, was modelled as follows:

$$y_t = \mathrm{Po}(\mu_t)$$

$$\log(\mu_t) = \alpha + \beta x_t + \delta_t \qquad (2)$$

where α is the global intercept of the model, x_t represents the environmental covariate, β refers to the coefficient that measures the magnitude of the effect of x_t on $\log(\mu_t)$, and δ_t is a temporally-structured effect for day t to control for serial correlation (defined through a first-order random walk). Lagged covariate effects were considered in the model by replacing the term x_t by x_{t-7}, and x_{t-14}, which allows assessing the possible effect of the environmental conditions on the previous two weeks on the total number of cases reported on date t. The model described by Equation (2) was fitted using the Integrated Nested Laplace Approximation (INLA) method (Lindgren and Rue, 2015; Rue et al., 2009).

4. Results and discussion

4.1. Particulate matter measurements

The $PM_{2.5}$ values were collected for the first 28 days of the lockdown and the two weeks before the beginning of the lockdown. The same period of the year 2019 was also studied as a reference. Figure 2 shows the smoothed $PM_{2.5}$ values, through a local regression technique (LOESS) for ease of visualization and comparison (Fox and Weisberg, 2018), measured during the lockdown period (from March 15th to April 12th 2020) and the same period of 2019.



Figure 2. $PM_{2.5}$ levels measured from March 15th to April 12th 2019 (a), March 15th to March 29th 2020 (minor lockdown) and March 30th to April 12th 2020 (major lockdown) (b) in 8 cities. These values were smoothed through LOESS for ease of visualization and comparison.

These measurements show a gradual reduction of $PM_{2.5}$ levels in 2020 with respect to those of 2019, especially during the major lockdown (see Table 2). However, other factors such as meteorological variables must be considered in order to isolate the effect of the lockdown periods.

4.2. Correlation analysis of the meteorological variables

Table 4 shows the correlation results of the meteorological variables considered for the fine particulate matter pollution analysis.

	Temperature	**Precipitation**	**Wind speed**	**Sunlight hours**	**Min Pressure**	**Max Pressure**
Temperature	1.000	-0.139	0.008	0.165	0.359	0.349
Precipitation	-0.139	1.000	0.296	-0.372	-0.009	0.030
Wind speed	0.008	0.296	1.000	-0.185	0.122	0.174
Sunlight hours	0.165	-0.372	-0.185	1.000	-0.043	-0.063

Min Pressure	0.359	-0.009	0.122	-0.043	1.000	0.993
Max Pressure	0.349	0.030	0.174	-0.063	0.993	1.000

Table 4. Correlation results for the meteorological variables considered for the $PM_{2.5}$ pollution analysis.

All the pairwise correlation values between the meteorological variables were moderate to low, ruling out multicollinearity issues, except for atmospheric pressure. Only maximum pressure was therefore considered for the $PM_{2.5}$ pollution analysis because of the high correlation between the maximum and minimum pressure.

4.3. Overall effects

A stepwise algorithm was applied to the regression model represented by Eq. (1) to find the subset of the variables providing the best model in terms of the Akaike information criterion. The overall variables included in this model and the coefficients associated with each one are shown in Table 5.

	Estimate	***p*-value**
(Intercept) (α)	-88.5323	0.0014
Temperature (β_{11})	0.8601	0.0096
Temperature^2 (β_{12})	-0.0297	0.0425
Wind speed (β_{31})	-0.6965	0.0000
Sunlight hours (β_{41})	-0.2959	0.0789
Sunlight hours^2 (β_{42})	0.0525	0.0001
Max Pressure (β_{51})	0.0920	0.0008
Weekend day (γ)	0.8316	0.0205
Minor lockdown day (δ_1)	4.6398	0.0001
Major lockdown day (δ_2)	-1.2126	0.3487

Table 5. Global effects estimated between variables and fine particulate matter analyzed by Eq. (1). The estimated model coefficients are shown along with the associated *p*-values.

First, it is worth noting that the two precipitation-related variables were discarded, suggesting the absence of an association between precipitation and $PM_{2.5}$ values, and also that the maximum pressure and weekend days have a positive association with $PM_{2.5}$ levels, while wind speed has a negative association with pollutant levels. The model also suggests that temperature and the number of sunlight hours have a quadratic relationship with $PM_{2.5}$ levels, which makes interpretation more challenging. Nevertheless, for the range of values attained by temperature and number of sunlight hours during the period under study, the coefficients estimated for the linear and quadratic forms of these two variables indicate that higher values of these two variables are associated with higher $PM_{2.5}$ levels.

The results obtained mostly agree with other studies in the literature. Regarding the positive association of $PM_{2.5}$ with temperature and sunlight hours, dry sunny weather frequently leads to thermal inversion, which prevents the vertical dispersion of pollutants (Mao et al., 2020) and increases their concentration, generating significant smog episodes. Indeed, sunny weather also favors photochemical reactions (Wang et al., 2020; Xu et al., 2020) while dry conditions prolong aerosols and atmospheric loadings (Lemou et al., 2020). Higher wind speed can also reduce $PM_{2.5}$ pollution (Radzka, 2020; Yousefian et al., 2020), while lower wind speeds can be associated with reduced particulate matter in the air because of higher deposition (Xu et al., 2020). High pressure seems to positively affect PM formation, as particulates cannot disperse correctly and tend to concentrate, which contributes to higher atmospheric particulate loads (Czerwinska and Wielgosinski, 2020; Hoque et al., 2020).

4.4. Analysis of coefficients of determination (R^2)

The analysis of the coefficients of determination (R^2) associated with the models is shown in Table 6.

Model	$\boldsymbol{R^2}$
Meteorological	0.2853
Meteorological + Weekend	0.2921
Meteorological + Weekend + City	0.3476
Meteorological + Weekend + City * Lockdown	0.4486

Table 6. Coefficients of determination (R^2) obtained from modeling the $PM_{2.5}$values considering a larger subset of the variables included in the statistical model. The values of the last row are those of the complete model provided by Eq. (1).

From this analysis, it follows that the $PM_{2.5}$ levels seem to be quite dependent on meteorological factors while the inclusion of city-level and lockdown effects is fundamental to improve R^2 values.

4.5. Marginal city-specific effect for the $PM_{2.5}$ pollutant

The results shown in Table 5 suggest that the minor lockdown led to overall increases in $PM_{2.5}$ levels in the cities considered. Nevertheless, the full analysis of the proposed model requires the consideration of the estimated city-specific coefficients, which were omitted in Table 5. In fact, overall city-specific effects, overall period (no lockdown, minor lockdown, or major lockdown) effects and city-specific effects of the city-period interactions were used to estimate the city-specific marginal effects of the $PM_{2.5}$ levels. These results show the $PM_{2.5}$ variations due to the COVID-19 lockdown in each city. These marginal effects and the associated 95% confidence intervals are shown in Figure 3. The point estimates shown in this figure represent the adjusted effect of the combination of city and period under study on

$PM_{2.5}$ pollution levels. Differences between marginal effects are statistically significant when the associated confidence intervals do not overlap. Figure 3 shows the city-specific marginal effects estimated by Eq. (1) for $PM_{2.5}$ levels during minor lockdown, major lockdown, and no lockdown.



Figure 3. City-specific marginal effects estimated by Eq. (1) for $PM_{2.5}$levels during minor lockdown, major lockdown, and no lockdown. Differences between marginal effects are statistically significant when the associated confidence intervals do not overlap.

As can be seen, the differences between $PM_{2.5}$ concentrations during the normal period, minor, and major lockdown were not significantly different in many of the cities. However, there was a rising trend in the minor lockdown $PM_{2.5}$ levels in some cities considering meteorological and week-day effects, especially in Bilbao and San Sebastián. Considering that relative humidity was not included in the linear regression, these results may simply be

an artifact due to high relative humidity episodes that can improve the aqueous-phase oxidation of pollutants such as SO_2 and PM2.5 levels (Wang et al., 2020). Both are coastal cities in the north of Spain, where higher humidity is usual and are more likely to receive atmospheric particles from shipping and sea salt, two of the main sources of the pollutant analyzed. On the other hand, the major lockdown period led to a general reduction in fine particulate matter, although the only statistically significant reductions were in Valencia, from 8.28±1.14 to 2.99±1.44 μg/m^3 and Santiago de Compostela, from 12.41±0.60 to 8.90±1.16 μg/m^3, in terms of marginal effects. The $PM_{2.5}$ reductions can be more clearly related to the significant reduction of transport, industry, and agriculture (Wang et al., 2020). However, it is important to mention that the relationship between traffic and anthropogenic activity with PM sources and particle size is not necessarily unequivocal (Nicolás et al., 2020), so that the variations shown may not always be as clear as might be expected.

Nevertheless, the reduction of this fine particulate matter was not expected to be very high. It is known that these inhalable particles are emitted in large volumes and persist in the air for longer periods, which means they can spread easier (Xiao et al., 2018). In fact, very small variations of large particulate matter (PM_{10}) were also reported in Barcelona during the minor lockdown (Tobías, 2020), which is in agreement with our results. Since a significant part of this fine particulate matter is from natural sources, a reduction in emissions of human origin would need a much longer lockdown to avoid severe $PM_{2.5}$ pollution. Nonetheless, a further reduction of fine particulate matter can be expected in the forthcoming weeks because of the longer-term effects of the restrictions and the lifestyle changes during the lockdown. These improvements would exponentially improve the prognosis of the percentage of COVID-19 patients as previous studies have shown that $PM_{2.5}$ pollution can carry viruses (Horne et al.,

2018; Xie et al., 2019; Xu et al., 2016) and particulate matter can make people more susceptible to the virus (Becker and Soukup, 1999).

4.6. Relationship between COVID-19 spread and $PM_{2.5}$ levels or weather patterns

The relationship between COVID-19 confirmed cases and $PM_{2.5}$ levels or meteorological factors during the Spanish lockdown is shown in Figure 4.



Figure 4. Effects of each environmental covariate on COVID-19 spread estimated for each city under study. Each dot represents the estimation of the coefficient (β parameter in Equation (2)), whereas the segment corresponds to the 95% credible interval associated with the estimation.

Thus, no association is observed between COVID-19 accumulated cases and $PM_{2.5}$ levels or weather patterns (temperature, precipitation, wind speed, sunlight hours, maximum pressure), contrary to what we could expect according to recent results on the effect of particulate matter levels (Cole et al., 2020; Zhu et al., 2020; Zoran et al., 2020). Anyhow, it is worth noting that many controversial results have been reported about the effect of climate on the COVID-19 spread, partly as a consequence of the different statistical methodologies chosen (Briz-Redón and Serrano-Aroca, 2020b). We have used univariate Poisson models accounting for the presence of temporal autocorrelation in the data. The inclusion of such a temporal term allows capturing the epidemic growth and reduces the chances of obtaining spurious or artefactual associations, which can easily arise when correlation coefficients are computed. The fact that many published studies are strongly based on correlation coefficients, together with the existing uncertainty about COVID-19 data, are sufficient reasons for still being cautious about the association between COVID-19 and the environment. Furthermore, the use of multivariate modelsthat allow accounting for several of the factors (environmental or non-environmental) that are possibly implicated in COVID-19 is highly advisable, although we discarded the use of these models in this case due to the length of the time series available.

5. Conclusions

The fine particulate matter level variations during the COVID-19 lockdown were studied at the city-level in Spain. Air pollution in terms of $PM_{2.5}$ levels was analyzed through a regression model to determine pollutant variations during lockdown while considering the influence of some meteorological factors. The results obtained show that the 4-week COVID-19 lockdown was not long enough to reduce $PM_{2.5}$ levels in all the cities. Statistically significant reductions were only found in two cities during the last two weeks of the

lockdown. Finally, no evidence of a relationship between COVID-19 spread and $PM_{2.5}$ levels or weather patterns was found during the lockdown in Spain. These results should be analyzed with caution, while a future in-depth analysis of the long-term effects will be required to obtain further information.

Acknowledgments

The authors are grateful to the *Universitat de València* for the VLC-CAMPUS "*Atracció de Talent*" PhD fellowship (awarded to C.B-S) and the *Fundación Universidad Católica de Valencia San Vicente Mártir* for the financial support through Grants 2019-231-003UCV and 2020-231-001UCV (awarded to Á.S-A).

Conflicts of Interest

The authors declare no conflict of interest.

References

2008/50/CE, 2008. Directive 2008/50/EC of the European Parliament and of the Council of 21st May 2008 on ambient air quality and cleaner air for Europe.

2015/1480/UE, 2015. Directive 2015/1480/UE of the Commission of 28th August 2015 amending several annexes to Directives 2004/107/EC and 2008/50/EC laying down the rules concerning the assessment of ambient air quality.

Abdullah, S., Mansor, A.A., Napi, N.N.L.M., Mansor, W.N.W., Ahmed, A.N., Ismail, M., Ramly, Z.T.A., 2020. Air quality status during 2020 Malaysia Movement Control Order (MCO) due to 2019 novel coronavirus (2019-nCoV) pandemic. Sci. Total Environ. 729, 139022. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2020.139022

Ayuntamiento de Madrid, 2020. Portal de datos abiertos del Ayuntamiento de Madrid [WWW Document]. Bicimad. URL https://datos.madrid.es/portal/site/egob/

Becker, S., Soukup, J.M., 1999. Exposure to urban air particulates alters the macrophage-mediated inflammatory response to respiratory viral infection. J. Toxicol. Environ. Heal. - Part A 57, 445–457. https://doi.org/10.1080/009841099157539

Borge, R., Requia, W.J., Yagüe, C., Jhun, I., Koutrakis, P., 2019. Impact of weather changes on air quality and related mortality in Spain over a 25 year period [1993–2017]. Environ. Int. 133, 105272. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.envint.2019.105272

Briz-Redón, Á., Belenguer-Sapiña, C., Serrano-Aroca, Á., 2020. Changes in air pollution during COVID-19 lockdown in Spain: a multi-city study. J. Environ. Sci. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jes.2020.07.029

Briz-Redón, Á., Serrano-Aroca, Á., 2020a. A spatio-temporal analysis for exploring the effect of temperature on COVID-19 early evolution in Spain. Sci. Total Environ. 728, 138811. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2020.138811

Briz-Redón, Á., Serrano-Aroca, Á., 2020b. The effect of climate on the spread of the COVID-19 pandemic: A review of findings, and statistical and modelling techniques. Prog. Phys. Geogr. Earth Environ. 030913332094630. https://doi.org/10.1177/0309133320946302

Chauhan, A., Singh, R.P., 2020. Decline in PM2.5 concentrations over major cities around the world associated with COVID-19. Environ. Res. 187, 109634. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.envres.2020.109634

Chen, N., Zhou, M., Dong, X., Qu, J., Gong, F., Han, Y., Qiu, Y., Wang, J., Liu, Y., Wei, Y., Xia, J., Yu, T., Zhang, X., Zhang, L., 2020. Epidemiological and clinical characteristics of 99 cases of 2019 novel coronavirus pneumonia in Wuhan, China: a

descriptive study. Lancet 395, 507–513. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0140-6736(20)30211-7

Chiu, A.S.F., Aviso, K.B., Tan, R.R., 2020. On general principles at the sustainability science-policy interface. Resour. Conserv. Recycl. 158, 104828. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.resconrec.2020.104828

Cole, M.A., Ozgen, C., Strobl, E., 2020. Air Pollution Exposure and Covid-19.

Conticini, E., Frediani, B., Caro, D., 2020. Can atmospheric pollution be considered a co-factor in extremely high level of SARS-CoV-2 lethality in Northern Italy? Environ. Pollut. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.envpol.2020.114465

Czerwinska, J., Wielgosinski, G., 2020. The effect of selected meteorological factors on the process of “Polish smog” formation. J. Ecol. Eng. 21, 180–187. https://doi.org/10.12911/22998993/112764

Datos Abiertos Comunidad de Madrid, 2020. Covid 19 -TIA por Municipios y Distritos de Madrid [WWW Document]. URL https://datos.comunidad.madrid/catalogo/dataset/covid19_tia_muni_y_distritos (accessed 8.8.20).

EN 12341:2014, 2014. Ambient air: standard gravimetric measurement method for the determination of PM10 and PM2.5 mass concentration of suspended particulate matter.

Euskadi.eus, 2020. Euskadi.eus - Toda la información, trámites y servicios del Gobierno Vasco [WWW Document]. URL https://www.euskadi.eus/inicio/

Euskadi, 2020. Informes con la actualización de datos sobre la evolución del nuevo coronavirus COVID-19 [WWW Document]. URL https://www.euskadi.eus/boletin-de-datos-sobre-la-evolucion-del-coronavirus/web01-a2korona/es/ (accessed 8.8.20).

Fenech, S., Aquilina, N.J., 2020. Science of the Total Environment Trends in ambient ozone , nitrogen dioxide , and particulate matter concentrations over the Maltese Islands and the corresponding health impacts. Sci. Total Environ. 700, 134527. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2019.134527

Fox, J., Weisberg, S., 2018. An R Companion to Applied Regression. SAGE Publications.

Galicia, 2020. El mapa y la evolución del coronavirus en Galicia [WWW Document]. URL https://afondo.farodevigo.es/galicia/el-mapa-del-coronavirus-en-galicia.html (accessed 8.8.20).

García, M.Á., Sánchez, M.L., de los Ríos, A., Pérez, I.A., Pardo, N., Fernández-Duque, B., 2019. Analysis of PM10 and PM2.5 Concentrations in an Urban Atmosphere in Northern Spain. Arch. Environ. Contam. Toxicol. 76, 331–345. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00244-018-0581-3

Grolemund, G., Wickham, H., 2011. Dates and Times Made Easy with lubridate. J. Stat. Softw. 40, 1–25.

GVA, 2020. Calidad del aire - Generalitat Valenciana [WWW Document]. URL http://www.agroambient.gva.es/es/web/calidad-ambiental/calidad-del-aire

Hoque, M.M., Ashraf, Z., Kabir, H., Sarker, E., 2020. Meteorological Influences on Seasonal Variations of Air Pollutants (SO2, NO2, O3, CO, PM2.5 and PM10) in the Dhaka Megacity. Am. J. Pure Appl. Biosci. 2, 15–23. https://doi.org/10.34104/ajpab.020.15023

Horne, B.D., Joy, E.A., Hofmann, M.G., Gesteland, P.H., Cannon, J.B., Lefler, J.S., Blagev, D.P., Kent Korgenski, E., Torosyan, N., Hansen, G.I., Kartchner, D., Arden Pope, C., 2018. Short-term elevation of fine particulate matter air pollution and acute lower respiratory infection. Am. J. Respir. Crit. Care Med. 198, 759–766.

https://doi.org/10.1164/rccm.201709-1883OC

INE, 2019. Instituto Nacional de Estadí-stica. (National Statistics Institute) [WWW Document]. URL https://www.ine.es/dynt3/inebase/es/index.htm?padre=517&capsel=522

ISCIII, n.d. Situación de COVID-19 o Coronavirus en España. [WWW Document].

JCyL, A. de datos abiertos, 2020. Situación epidemiológica del coronavirus en Castilla y León [WWW Document]. URL https://analisis.datosabiertos.jcyl.es/pages/coronavirus/descarga-de-datasets#situacin-actual (accessed 8.8.20).

Jiang, S., Du, L., Shi, Z., 2020. An emerging coronavirus causing pneumonia outbreak in Wuhan, China: calling for developing therapeutic and prophylactic strategies. Emerg. Microbes Infect. https://doi.org/10.1080/22221751.2020.1723441

Junta de Castilla y León, 2020. ESCO - Consulta de Datos de la Red de Calidad del Aire [WWW Document].

Lai, C.C., Shih, T.P., Ko, W.C., Tang, H.J., Hsueh, P.R., 2020. Severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus 2 (SARS-CoV-2) and coronavirus disease-2019 (COVID-19): The epidemic and the challenges. Int. J. Antimicrob. Agents. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijantimicag.2020.105924

Lang, D.T., 2020. XML: Tools for Parsing and Generating XML Within R and S-Plus.

Lang, D.T., the CRAN team, 2015. RCurl: General Network (HTTP/FTP/...) Client Interface for R. R package version 1.95-4.7.

Lemou, A., Rabhi, L., Merabet, H., Ladji, R., Nicolas, J.B., Bonnaire, N., Mustapha, M.A., Dilmi, R., Sciare, J., Mihalopoulos, N., Yassaa, N., 2020. Chemical characterization of fine particles (PM2.5) at a coastal site in the South Western Mediterranean during the

ChArMex experiment. Environ. Sci. Pollut. Res. 27, 20427–20445. https://doi.org/10.1007/s11356-020-08168-7

Li, X., Ma, Y., Wang, Y., Liu, N., Hong, Y., 2017. Temporal and spatial analyses of particulate matter (PM10 and PM2.5) and its relationship with meteorological parameters over an urban city in northeast China. Atmos. Res. 198, 185–193. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.atmosres.2017.08.023

Lindgren, F., Rue, H., 2015. Bayesian spatial modelling with R-INLA. J. Stat. Softw. 63, 1–25. https://doi.org/10.18637/jss.v063.i19

Liu, B., Sun, X., Zhang, J., Bi, X., Li, Y., Li, L., Dong, H., Xiao, Z., Zhang, Y., Feng, Y., 2020. Characterization and Spatial Source Apportionments of Ambient PM10 and PM2.5 during the Heating Period in Tian ' jin , China. Aerosol Air Qual. Res. 20, 1–13. https://doi.org/10.4209/aaqr.2019.06.0281

Liu, C., Chen, R., Sera, F., Vicedo-Cabrera, A.M., Guo, Y., Tong, S., Coelho, M.S.Z.S., Saldiva, P.H.N., Lavigne, E., Matus, P., Ortega, N.V., Garcia, S.O., Pascal, M., Stafoggia, M., Scortichini, M., Hashizume, M., Honda, Y., Hurtado-Díaz, M., Cruz, J., Nunes, B., Teixeira, J.P., Kim, H., Tobias, A., Íñiguez, C., Forsberg, B., Åström, C., Ragettli, M.S., Guo, Y.L., Chen, B.Y., Bell, M.L., Wright, C.Y., Scovronick, N., Garland, R.M., Milojevic, A., Kyselý, J., Urban, A., Orru, H., Indermitte, E., Jaakkola, J.J.K., Ryti, N.R.I., Katsouyanni, K., Analitis, A., Zanobetti, A., Schwartz, J., Chen, J., Wu, T., Cohen, A., Gasparrini, A., Kan, H., 2019. Ambient particulate air pollution and daily mortality in 652 cities. N. Engl. J. Med. 381, 705–715. https://doi.org/10.1056/NEJMoa1817364

Lu, R., Zhao, X., Li, J., Niu, P., Yang, B., Wu, H., Wang, W., Song, H., Huang, B., Zhu, N., Bi, Y., Ma, X., Zhan, F., Wang, L., Hu, T., Zhou, H., Hu, Z., Zhou, W., Zhao, L.,

Chen, J., Meng, Y., Wang, J., Lin, Y., Yuan, J., Xie, Z., Ma, J., Liu, W.J., Wang, D., Xu, W., Holmes, E.C., Gao, G.F., Wu, G., Chen, W., Shi, W., Tan, W., 2020. Genomic characterisation and epidemiology of 2019 novel coronavirus: implications for virus origins and receptor binding. Lancet 395, 565–574. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0140-6736(20)30251-8

Ludecke, D., 2016. sjPlot: Data visualization for statistics in social science. R package version 2.0.1, https://CRAN.R-project.org/package=sjPlot.

Mahato, S., Pal, S., Ghosh, K.G., 2020. Effect of lockdown amid COVID-19 pandemic on air quality of the megacity Delhi, India. Sci. Total Environ. 730, 139086. https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2020.139086

Manisalidis, I., Stavropoulou, E., Stavropoulos, A., Bezirtzoglou, E., 2020. Environmental and Health Impacts of Air Pollution: A Review. Front. Public Heal. https://doi.org/10.3389/fpubh.2020.00014

Mao, J., Wang, L., Lu, C., Liu, J., Li, M., Tang, G., Ji, D., Zhang, N., Wang, Y., 2020. Meteorological mechanism for a large-scale persistent severe ozone pollution event over eastern China in 2017. J. Environ. Sci. 92, 187–199. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jes.2020.02.019

Mehmood, K., Saifullah, Iqbal, M., Abrar, M.M., 2020. Can exposure to PM2.5 particles increase the incidence of coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19)? Sci. Total Environ. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2020.140441

Ministerio de Medio Ambiente y Medio Rural y Marino. AEMET, 2011. Atlas Climático Ibérico Iberian Climate Atlas Gobierno De España.

Nicolás, J., Lucarelli, F., Galindo, N., Yubero, E., Crespo, J., Calzolai, G., Nava, S., 2020. Impact of traffic flows and meteorological events on the hourly elemental composition

of fine and coarse particles at an urban site. Aerosol Air Qual. Res. 20, 991–1001. https://doi.org/10.4209/aaqr.2019.09.0437

Querol, X., Alastuey, A., Pandolfi, M., Reche, C., Pérez, N., Minguillón, M.C., Moreno, T., Viana, M., Escudero, M., Orio, A., Pallarés, M., Reina, F., 2014. 2001-2012 trends on air quality in Spain. Sci. Total Environ. 490, 957–969. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2014.05.074

Radzka, E., 2020. The Effect of Meteorological Conditions on Air Pollution in Siedlce. J. Ecol. Eng. 21, 97–104. https://doi.org/10.12911/22998993/113074

Ren, L.-L., Wang, Y.-M., Wu, Z.-Q., Xiang, Z.-C., Guo, L., Xu, T., Jiang, Y.-Z., Xiong, Y., Li, Y.-J., Li, X.-W., Li, H., Fan, G.-H., Gu, X.-Y., Xiao, Y., Gao, H., Xu, J.-Y., Yang, F., Wang, X.-M., Wu, C., Chen, L., Liu, Y.-W., Liu, B., Yang, J., Wang, X.-R., Dong, J., Li, L., Huang, C.-L., Zhao, J.-P., Hu, Y., Cheng, Z.-S., Liu, L.-L., Qian, Z.-H., Qin, C., Jin, Q., Cao, B., Wang, J.-W., 2020. Identification of a novel coronavirus causing severe pneumonia in human. Chin. Med. J. (Engl). 1. https://doi.org/10.1097/cm9.0000000000000722

Rue, H., Martino, S., Chopin, N., 2009. Approximate Bayesian inference for latent Gaussian models by using integrated nested Laplace approximations. J. R. Stat. Soc. Ser. B Stat. Methodol. 71, 319–392. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1467-9868.2008.00700.x

Sarkis, J., Cohen, M.J., Dewick, P., Schröder, P., 2020. A Brave New World: Lessons from the COVID-19 Pandemic for Transitioning to Sustainable Supply and Production. Resour. Conserv. Recycl. 104894. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.resconrec.2020.104894

Sedlmaier, N., Hoppenheidt, K., Krist, H., Lehmann, S., Lang, H., Büttner, M., 2009. Generation of avian influenza virus (AIV) contaminated fecal fine particulate matter (PM2.5): Genome and infectivity detection and calculation of immission. Vet.

Microbiol. 139, 156–164. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.vetmic.2009.05.005

Sharma, S., Zhang, M., Anshika, Gao, J., Zhang, H., Kota, S.H., 2020. Effect of restricted emissions during COVID-19 on air quality in India. Sci. Total Environ. 728, 138878. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2020.138878

Shi, C., Yuan, R., Wu, B., Meng, Y., Zhang, Hao, Zhang, Hongqun, Gong, Z., 2018. Meteorological conditions conducive to PM2.5 pollution in winter 2016/2017 in the Western Yangtze River Delta, China. Sci. Total Environ. 642, 1221–1232. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2018.06.137

Team, R.C., 2020. R: A language and environment for statistical computing.

Tobías, A., 2020. Evaluation of the lockdowns for the SARS-CoV-2 epidemic in Italy and Spain after one month follow up. Sci. Total Environ. 138539. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2020.138539

UK Department for Environment Food and Rural Affairs, n.d. Site environment types - Defra, UK.

Venter, Z.S., Aunan, K., Chowdhury, S., Lelieveld, J., 2020. COVID-19 lockdowns cause global air pollution declines with implications for public health risk Authors Affiliations : ORCIDs : Key words : Introduction : medRxiv. https://doi.org/10.1101/2020.04.10.20060673

Viana, M., Rizza, V., Tobías, A., Carr, E., Corbett, J., Sofiev, M., Karanasiou, A., Buonanno, G., Fann, N., 2020. Estimated health impacts from maritime transport in the Mediterranean region and benefits from the use of cleaner fuels. Environ. Int. 138. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.envint.2020.105670

Wang, P., Guo, H., Hu, J., Kota, S.H., Ying, Q., Zhang, H., 2019. Responses of PM 2.5 and O 3 concentrations to changes of meteorology and emissions in China. Sci. Total

Environ. 662, 297–306. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2019.01.227

Wang, Pengfei, Chen, K., Zhu, S., Wang, Peng, Zhang, H., 2020. Severe air pollution events not avoided by reduced anthropogenic activities during COVID-19 outbreak. Resour. Conserv. Recycl. 158, 104814. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.resconrec.2020.104814

WHO, 2020a. Director-General's opening remarks at the media briefing on COVID-19 - 11 March 2020 [WWW Document]. URL https://www.who.int/dg/speeches/detail/who-director-general-s-opening-remarks-at-the-media-briefing-on-covid-19---11-march-2020

WHO, 2020b. Coronavirus disease (COVID-19) Pandemic [WWW Document]. World Heal. Organ. URL https://www.who.int/emergencies/diseases/novel-coronavirus-2019

Wickham, H., 2016. ggplot2: Elegant Graphics for Data Analysis. Springer-Verlag New York.

World Health Organization, 2018. Ambient (outdoor) air pollution [WWW Document]. WHO. URL https://www.who.int/news-room/fact-sheets/detail/ambient-(outdoor)-air-quality-and-health

Xiao, Y., Murray, J., Lenzen, M., 2018. International trade linked with disease burden from airborne particulate pollution. Resour. Conserv. Recycl. 129, 1–11. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.resconrec.2017.10.002

Xie, J., Teng, J., Fan, Y., Xie, R., Shen, A., 2019. The short-term effects of air pollutants on hospitalizations for respiratory disease in Hefei, China. Int. J. Biometeorol. 63, 315–326. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00484-018-01665-y

Xu, Q., Li, X., Wang, S., Wang, C., Huang, F., Gao, Q., Wu, L., Tao, L., Guo, J., Wang, W., Guo, X., 2016. Fine particulate air pollution and hospital emergency room visits

for respiratory disease in urban areas in Beijing, China, in 2013. PLoS One 11, e0153099. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0153099

Xu, Y., Yang, L., Wang, X., Zheng, M., Li, C., Zhang, A., 2020. Risk evaluation of environmentally persistent free radicals in airborne particulate matter and in fl uence of atmospheric factors. Ecotoxicol. Environ. Saf. 196, 110571. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ecoenv.2020.110571

Xunta de Galicia, 2020. Calidade do Aire de Galicia [WWW Document]. URL https://www.meteogalicia.gal/Caire/index.action

Yousefian, F., Faridi, S., Azimi, F., Aghaei, M., Shamsipour, M., 2020. Temporal variations of ambient air pollutants and meteorological influences on their concentrations in Tehran during 2012 – 2017. Sci. Rep. 10, 292. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-019-56578-6

Zhu, Y., Xie, J., Huang, F., Cao, L., 2020. Association between short-term exposure to air pollution and COVID-19 infection: Evidence from China. Sci. Total Environ. 727, 138704. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2020.138704

Zoran, M.A., Savastru, R.S., Savastru, D.M., Tautan, M.N., 2020. Assessing the relationship between surface levels of PM2.5 and PM10 particulate matter impact on COVID-19 in Milan, Italy. Sci. Total Environ. 738, 139825. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2020.139825