Artificial Intelligence-Based Analytics for Diagnosis of Small Bowel Enteropathies and Black Box Feature Detection

Authors: Sana Syed, MD, MS[1,2*]; Lubaina Ehsan, MBBS[1]; Aman Shrivastava, MS[1,3]; Saurav Sengupta, MS[1,3]; Marium Khan, MD[1]; Kamran Kowsari, PhD[4,5]; Shan Guleria, MD[6]; Rasoul Sali, MS[4]; Karan Kant, MS[3]; Sung-Jun Kang, MS[3]; Kamran Sadiq, MD[2]; Najeeha T. Iqbal, PhD[2]; Lin Cheng, MD[7]; Christopher A. Moskaluk, MD, PhD[8]; Paul Kelly, MD, FRCP[9,10]; Beatrice C. Amadi, MD, MMed[9]; S. Asad Ali, MD, MPH[2]; Sean R. Moore, MD, MS[1]; Donald E. Brown, PhD[4*]

Author Affiliations:

[1]Department of Pediatrics, School of Medicine, University of Virginia, Charlottesville, VA, USA

[2]Department of Pediatrics and Child Health, Aga Khan University, Karachi, Pakistan

[3]Data Science Institute, University of Virginia, Charlottesville, VA

[4]Systems and Information Engineering, University of Virginia, Charlottesville, VA, USA

[5]University of California Los Angeles, Los Angeles, CA, USA

[6]School of Medicine, University of Virginia, Charlottesville, VA, USA

[7]Pathology Department, Rush University Medical Center, Chicago, IL, USA

[8]Deparment of Pathology, University of Virginia, Charlottesville, VA, USA

[9]Tropical Gastroenterology and Nutrition group, University of Zambia School of Medicine, Lusaka, Zambia

[10]Blizard Institute, Barts and the London School of Medicine, Queen Mary University of London, London, United Kingdom

*co-corresponding authors

Contact Information for Corresponding Authors:

Sana Syed, MD, MS

Assistant Professor

Division of Gastroenterology, Hepatology & Nutrition

Department of Pediatrics

University of Virginia School of Medicine

Office: Room 2035A, MR-4 Bldg, 409 Lane Rd., Charlottesville, VA 22908

Phone: 434-297-5064

Email: sana.syed@virginia.edu

Donald E. Brown, PhD

W.S. Calcott Professor

Engineering Systems and Environment

Office: Olsson Hall 102C P.O. Box 400747, Charlottesville, Virginia 22904

Phone: 434-982-2074

Email: deb@virginia.edu

Funding Information: Research reported in this manuscript was supported by National Institute of Diabetes and Digestive and Kidney Diseases of the National Institutes of Health under award number K23DK117061-01A1 (Syed), Bill and Melinda Gates Foundation under award numbers OPP1066203 (Ali) and OPP1066118 (Kelly), University of Virginia Center for Engineering in Medicine Grant (Syed and Brown), and University of Virginia THRIV Scholar Career Development Award (Syed). The content is solely the responsibility of the authors and does not necessarily represent the official views of the funding agencies.

Word Count (Manuscript Body): 3,490

Abstract

Objectives: Striking histopathological overlap between distinct but related conditions poses a significant disease diagnostic challenge. There is a major clinical need to develop computational methods enabling clinicians to translate heterogeneous biomedical images into accurate and quantitative diagnostics. This need is particularly salient with small bowel enteropathies; Environmental Enteropathy (EE) and Celiac Disease (CD). We built upon our preliminary analysis by developing an artificial intelligence (AI)-based image analysis platform utilizing deep learning convolutional neural networks (CNNs) for these enteropathies.

Methods: Data for secondary analysis was obtained from three primary studies at different sites. The image analysis platform for EE and CD was developed using convolutional neural networks (CNNs: ResNet and custom Shallow CNN). Gradient-weighted Class Activation Mappings (Grad-CAMs) were used to visualize the models' decision making process. A team of medical experts simultaneously reviewed the stain color normalized images done for bias reduction and Grad-CAM visualizations to confirm structural preservation and biological relevance, respectively.

Results: 461 high-resolution biopsy images from 150 children were acquired. Median age (interquartile range) was 37·5 (19·0 to 121·5) months with a roughly equal sex distribution; 77 males (51·3%). ResNet50 and Shallow CNN demonstrated 98% and 96% case-detection accuracy, respectively, which increased to 98·3% with an ensemble. Grad-CAMs demonstrated models' ability to learn distinct microscopic morphological features.

Conclusion: Our AI-based image analysis platform demonstrated high classification accuracy for small bowel enteropathies which was capable of identifying biologically relevant microscopic features, emulating human pathologist decision making process, performing in the case of

suboptimal computational environment, and being modified for improving disease classification accuracy. Grad-CAMs that were employed illuminated the otherwise 'black box' of deep learning in medicine, allowing for increased physician confidence in adopting these new technologies in clinical practice.

Keywords: Biopsy Image Analysis, Environmental Enteropathy, Global Health, Intestinal Structure, Convolutional Neural Networks

What is known

- Striking histopathological overlap exists between distinct but related conditions which poses a significant disease diagnostic challenge; such as for small bowel enteropathies including Environmental Enteropathy (EE) and Celiac Disease (CD).
- There is a major clinical need to develop computational [including Artificial Intelligence (AI) and deep learning] methods enabling clinicians to translate heterogeneous biomedical images into accurate and quantitative diagnostics.
- A major issue plaguing the use of AI in medicine is the so-called 'black box' of deep learning, an analogy which describes the lack of insight that humans have into how the models arrive at their decision-making

What is new

- AI-based image analysis platform demonstrated high classification accuracy for small bowel enteropathies (EE vs. CD vs. histologically normal controls).
- Gradient-weighted Class Activation Mappings (Grad-CAMs) illuminated the otherwise 'black box' of deep learning in medicine, allowing for increased physician confidence in adopting these new technologies in clinical practice.

52 **Abbreviations**

53 EE: Environmental Enteropathy

54 CD: Celiac Disease

55 AI: Artificial Intelligence

56 CNN: Convolutional Neural Networks

57 Grad-CAMs: Gradient-weighted Class Activation Mappings

58 ResNet: Deep residual network

59 H&E: Hematoxylin and Eosin

60 PK: Pakistan

61 ZA: Zambia

62 UVA: University of Virginia

63 US: United States

64 EEDBI: Environmental Enteropathic Dysfunction Biopsy Initiative

65 IQR: inter-quartile range

66 LAZ/ HAZ: Length/ Height-for-Age Z score

67 ROC: Receiver Operating Curves

68 AUC: Area Under the Curve

69

70

71

72

73

74

75

76

77

Introduction

A major challenge of interpreting clinical biopsy images to diagnose disease is the often striking overlap in histopathology between distinct but related conditions.(1-3) Due to this significant clinical need, computational methods can pave the way for accurate and quantitative diagnostics(4). Computational modeling enhancements in medicine, particularly for image analysis, have shown the potential benefit of artificial intelligence (AI) for disease characterization.(5) There is an increasing interest in the utilization of deep learning,(6, 7) a subset of AI involving iterative optimization strategies based on pixel-by-pixel image evaluation.(8) These AI-based deep learning models, in particular Convolutional Neural Networks (CNNs), have been shown to be effective for medical image analysis.(5) CNNs have demonstrated potential for image feature extraction from diseases relying on radiological and histopathological diagnosis, particularly in the fields of ophthalmology and oncology.(9-13) Deep residual network (ResNet) is a CNN that has repeatedly shown success for image classification as it is optimized to gather fine grain attributes from regions of interest within the image.(14-16) It has also outperformed early deep learning models such as AlexNet(17) and VGG(18) and achieved superior performance on the ImageNet(19, 20) and COCO(21) image recognition benchmarks. While the deep learning models are noteworthy of their own accord, a major issue plaguing the use of AI in medicine is the so-called 'black box' of deep learning, an analogy which describes the lack of insight that humans have into how the models arrive at their decision-making.(22)

An accurate AI-based biopsy image analysis platform may enable efficient detection and differentiation of small bowel enteropathy damaged tissue architecture features which is challenging due to histopathological overlap.(2, 23-25) This will not only enable pathologists to filter and pre-populate scans, improving turn-around time, but also provide insight into previously

104 uncharacterized tissue features unique to complex small bowel enteropathies such as
105 Environmental Enteropathy (EE) and Celiac Disease (CD).
106
107 EE has been linked to poor sanitation and hygiene with dire consequences such as cognitive
108 decline, oral vaccine response, and growth failure.(3) EE assessment and its histopathological
109 differentiation from similar diseases (i.e. CD) is an essential task performed by pathologists.(26)
110 Even though an EE scoring system has been proposed, it will benefit from approaches narrowing
111 down specific cellular parameters important for an accurate EE diagnosis.(27) CD is an immune-
112 mediation condition with sensitivity to gluten leading to small bowel injury. Modified Marsh score
113 system(28, 29) has been used to classify the severity of CD but it does not account for complex
114 disease features, such as the role of goblet or enteroendocrine cells, that can potentially improve
115 diagnostic accuracy.
116
117 We have previously published a histopathological analysis model demonstrating 93.4%
118 classification accuracy for identifying and differentiating between duodenal biopsies from children
119 with EE and CD.(30) We also added a layer of explainability by using deconvolutions but they
120 lacked biopsy regions of interest being specifically highlighted and did not fully explain the deep
121 learning decision making process.(22) Despite increasing utilization of deep learning
122 architectures in medicine, they remain underutilized for improving diagnostic accuracy and
123 differentiation between histologically similar small bowel enteropathies. We now build upon our
124 prior work to address knowledge gaps in the approaches previously reported. We aimed to: 1)
125 optimize datasets by removing unavoidable bias acquired by archival data sourcing from multiple
126 sites by using a hematoxylin and eosin (H&E) color normalization method with structure-
127 preserving capabilities; 2) deploy an enteropathy focused deep learning CNN model with multiple
128 layers modified to gather fine grain attributes from image regions of interest; 3) mimic the
129 interpretation methodology of human pathologists by using a combination of multi-zoom and

130 reduced parameter approaches (shallow deep learning models); and, 4) improve visualization of
131 deep learning classification decision making.
132
133 **Methods**
134
135 **Study Design and Archival Biopsy Image Dataset Acquisition**
136 Archival Specimen Sources
137 Archival data for secondary analyses was sourced from: 1) primary Environmental Enteropathy
138 (EE) studies from Pakistan (PK; Aga Khan University) and Zambia (ZA; University of Zambia
139 School of Medicine, University Teaching Hospital), conducted 2013 to 2015,(31, 32) and 2) the
140 University of Virginia (UVA), United States (US). UVA biopsies were from archival specimens with
141 clinical diagnoses of CD and histologically normal controls (referred to as controls) from
142 participants who had undergone esophagogastroduodenoscopy in the past 25 years (data
143 accessed: 1992 to 2017) as part of an archival controls sub-study, methods reported
144 elsewhere.(33) Biopsies available from each site for this project were archival and had been
145 previously processed per local institutional H&E slide staining, tissue sectioning, and tissue
146 paraffin embedding protocols. Our timeline for secondary data collection and analysis was from
147 November 2017 to December 2019.
148
149 Biopsy Digitization
150 Biopsy slides for our secondary analysis had been previously digitized at high resolutions
151 (average 20,000 by 20,000 pixels) to allow visualization of microscopic cellular features up to 40x
152 (PK, US) and 20x (ZA) magnification. Digitization was done using Olympus VS 120 (Olympus
153 Corporation Inc., Center Valley, Pennsylvania), Aperio Scanscope CS scanners (Leica
154 Biosystems Division of Leica Microsystems Inc., Buffalo Grove, IL, United States), and Leica
155 SCN400 brightfield scanner (Leica Microsystems CMS GmbH, Germany) at PK, ZA, and US,

156 respectively. US archival biopsies were scanned using a prior detailed methods and work from
157 these datasets has been published elsewhere.(2, 30, 32)
158
159 Archival Biopsy Image Data Acquisition
160 For the biopsy images acquired, diagnosis of EE was as previously defined in primary studies. At
161 each site, clinical pathologists made diagnoses based on histological and clinical findings.(31, 32)
162 For the US archival images, duodenal biopsy slides were obtained from the Biorepository and
163 Tissue Research Facility at UVA with disease diagnoses as per clinical pathology reports.
164 Biopsies from participants reported as controls were only included if there was no disease in any
165 other part of the gastrointestinal tract (e.g., eosinophilic esophagitis, inflammatory bowel disease,
166 *H. Pylori* gastritis, post-transplant liver disease etc.) or overall (e.g., patients with solid organ
167 transplant, leukemia etc.).
168
169 **Biopsy Image Analysis Model Design**
170 Dataset Pre-processing
171 *Biopsy Image Patch Creation*
172 High resolution whole slide images are patched for deep learning models to account for
173 computational limitations.(34) Images were split into patches of 1000x1000 and 2000x2000 pixels
174 with an overlap in horizontal and vertical axes of 750 and 1000 pixels, respectively. Patches that
175 contained less than 50% tissue area were discarded and the rest were resized to 256x256 pixels
176 (details noted in supplemental methods Figure S1). Each biopsy whole slide image generated an
177 average of 250 1000x1000 and 40 2000x2000 patches.
178
179 *Sample Size Augmentation, Balancing, and Justification*
180 As there were more biopsy images for CD, EE and control images were up-sampled to balance
181 datasets. Extensive data augmentations were performed for better generalization. As biopsy

182 images exhibit both rotational and axial symmetry, a random combination of rotation (90, 180 or
183 270 degree angle), mirroring, and zoom (between 1x and 1·1x) was applied (Figure S2 in
184 supplement). Deep learning studies have focused on obtaining as much image data as possible
185 without any standard sample size recommendations.(34) There are limited studies focused on EE
186 deep learning models and the sample size of this model is larger compared to our preliminary
187 analysis.(30)
188
189 *Stain Color Normalization*
190 Stain color normalization using structure-preserving method as described by Vahadane et al.(35,
191 36) was used to eliminate bias due to color differences in the biopsies from different sites. It
192 involved empirically selecting a target patch to normalize color across all patches (Figure 1).
193 Three independent pathologists (LC, ZA, RI) completed a blind review of the color normalized
194 biopsy images from different sites to assess the structure-preserving ability of the method.
195
196 <u>Image Analysis Model: Deep Learning Computer-Aided Biopsy Disease Classification System</u>
197 Several deep learning Convolutional Neural Network (CNN) architectures were used to address
198 specific questions as outlined below:
199
200 1. *Need for the identification of microscopic features visible at high magnification (zoom)*
201 *level*: ResNet50 is a widely used deep CNN architecture with 50 layers for image
202 classification requiring identification of microscopic patterns.(14-16) Final decision layers
203 were modified to improve accuracy.(36) To combat data sparsity we used transfer
204 learning, an established methods used to improve training using limited datasets by pre-
205 training the model on the ImageNet dataset.(19, 37) Additional details of our modifications
206 to the ResNet50 architecture are described in the Appendix S1 in supplement.
207

2. *Emulate human pathologist decision making process for visualizing biopsies at multiple levels of magnification (zoom)*: we developed a framework incorporating multiple zoom levels (Figure 2). Biopsy images were segmented into 2000x2000 pixels then further into 1000x1000 pixel patches with an overlap of 750 pixels (horizontal and vertical axes). Two independent ResNet50 models were independently trained and the 1000x1000 and 2000x2000 corresponding patches were paired. Each pair was passed through the respective trained ResNet50 model and features from the last fully connected layer of each model were extracted and concatenated for an overall representation of specific regions of the biopsy images. This concatenated vector was further passed through a set of trainable linear layers for the final classification.

3. *Reduce computational model complexity used for disease classification:* Custom shallow CNN architecture was designed to help reduce the number of parameters the model optimized for disease classification. This Shallow CNN consisted of three convolutional layers (Figure 2; detailed methods in Appendix S2 as part of the Supplement).

4. *Explore methods of improving disease classification by using a combined model:* Ensemble models have been shown to generally improve the accuracy and robustness of classification.(38) We combined Resnet50 and shallow CNN to improve model classification accuracy. We obtained 3 values from the output layer of each CNN architecture which were then passed into a softmax function to obtain prediction probabilities for each patch being EE, CD or control. These predictions were then aggregated to acquire the final and better prediction for each biopsy image.

<u>Visualization of Model Decision-Making</u>

233 CNN activation maps were generated using Gradient-weighted Class Activation Mappings (Grad-
234 CAMs)(39) to visualize the regions of interest utilized by the model for decision making (detailed
235 methods outlined in Appendix S3 in the Supplement). These were reviewed by a team of medical
236 professionals [pathologist specialized in gastroenterology (CM), pediatric gastroenterologist (SS)]
237 enabling corroboration of the model results with incumbent classification. Since human intuition
238 is required to assess if features highlighted can be biologically explained, we used the
239 Environmental Enteropathic Dysfunction Biopsy Initiative (EEDBI) scoring system for EE(27) and
240 the modified Marsh score classification for CD(28, 29) to inform human medical professional
241 intuition (Figure S3 and Table S1 in the Supplement).
242
243 Base Case for Comparison Using Existing Computer Vision Approach
244 An alternative method, CellProfiler,(40) using cellular feature extraction for explainability of the
245 models was also explored (Appendix S4 and Figure S4 in the Supplement). CellProfiler isolated
246 nucleated cells from the biopsy images and classified EE vs. CD vs. controls based on the cellular
247 feature differences, achieving 65% accuracy.
248
249 **Ethical Considerations**
250 The secondary analyses as part of this study were approved by University of Virginia Institutional
251 Review Board. Ethical approval for prior original primary studies was obtained from: 1) the Ethical
252 Review Committee of Aga Khan University, Karachi, PK (informed consent obtained from parents
253 and/ or guardians for EE cases), 2) the Biomedical Research Ethics Committee of the University
254 of Zambia School of Medicine, University Teaching Hospital, Lusaka, ZA (informed consent
255 obtained from caregivers for EE cases), and 3) University of Virginia Institutional Review Board
256 (waiver of consent granted).
257
258 This manuscript has been prepared in accordance with STARD guidelines.(41)

Results

Biopsy Image Dataset

We obtained 461 digitized biopsy images (171 H&E stained duodenal biopsy glass slides) from 150 participants (US: 124; PK: 10; ZA: 16). Our EE data consisted of 29 and 19 biopsy images from 10 PK and 16 ZA participants, respectively. Data from 124 UVA participants; 63 CD, 61 controls, was available for these analyses.

Population Clinical Characteristics

Of the 150 participants, 77 (51·3%) were male. Median (inter-quartile range: IQR) age and LAZ/ HAZ (Length/ Height-for-Age Z score) of the EE participants was 22·2 (IQR: 20·8 to 23·4) months and -2·8 (IQR: -3·6 to -2·3), respectively (Table 1). Participants with EE were overall younger as compared to the US CD and controls (median age: 25·0; IQR: 16·5 to 41·0 months).

Stain Color Normalization Assessment

Our panel of blinded independent pathologists confirmed that medically relevant cell types (lymphocytes, polymorphonuclear neutrophils, epithelial cells, eosinophils, goblet cells, paneth cells, and neuroendocrine cells) were preserved after color normalization. The panel also commented that features used for EE assessment via the published EE scoring system(27) were also visible. Although the granularity of eosinophilic cytoplasm and sharpness of paneth cell globules was less appreciated in post color-normalized images, these features were consistent throughout the biopsy images and were therefore hypothesized to not result in classification bias.

Disease Classification Accuracy and Performance

Patches were used for training the models and the predictions were then aggregated to identify classifications for their parent biopsy images. Modified ResNet50 and Shallow CNN exhibited

285 overall accuracies at the biopsy level of 98% (sensitivity: 93%, specificity: 94%) and 96%
286 (sensitivity: 80%, specificity: 88%), respectively. The accuracy increased to 98·3% (sensitivity:
287 95%, specificity: 96%) with the ensemble. Accuracy of the multi-zoom ResNet50 architecture was
288 98% (sensitivity: 96%, specificity: 97%). Confusion matrices of the models' classification for each
289 disease are shown in Figure 4. Receiver operating curves (ROC) with area under the curve (AUC)
290 were used to assess the certainty of the model classifications. Biopsy level AUC for modified
291 ResNet50, ResNet50 with multi-zoom architecture, and shallow CNN were 0·99, 0·99, and 0·96,
292 respectively (Figure 3). Error analysis and performance statistics for the models are included in
293 Table S2 in the supplement.
294
295 **Grad-CAM Interpretations**
296 Grad-CAMs were obtained for all the models (Figure 5). Modified ResNet50 and ResNet50 Multi-
297 zoom mainly focused on similar areas for classification of EE vs CD vs controls while Shallow
298 CNN focused on distinct, yet medically relevant cellular features (Table S3 in supplemental
299 material for detailed Grad-CAM findings).
300
301 **Discussion**
302 Diagnosis of overlapping histological diseases, such as small bowel enteropathies, lacks
303 computational approaches for histopathological assessment.(30) While scoring systems such as
304 the EE score and modified Marsh score for EE and CD, respectively, have the potential to
305 diagnose and classify the severity of these diseases, there is a need to more accurately define
306 the cellular parameters that play an important role in diagnosis.(27) We developed an AI-based
307 image analysis platform, based on our preliminary results,(30) for the diagnosis of small bowel
308 enteropathies. To the best of our knowledge, similar small bowel enteropathy image analysis
309 platforms that include EE do not exist. We now build upon our prior work to address knowledge
310 gaps in the approaches previously reported. Our modified ResNet50, ResNet50 with multi-zoom,

311 Shallow CNN, and an ensemble model (modified ResNet50 and Shallow CNN) depicted overall
312 >90% classification accuracies. These AI-bases analytics and black box feature detection findings
313 pave the way for standardizing and improving diagnoses for small bowel enteropathies.
314
315 Prior to training our deep learning models, dataset preparation included stain color normalization
316 since with studies obtaining data from multiple sites for further analysis, an important
317 consideration is to optimize datasets to remove site-specific bias.(35, 42) In our case, the biopsy
318 images displayed visible stain color differences and the method we used to counter this bias has
319 previously been proposed by Vahadane et al.(35) Along with stain color normalization, it
320 successfully preserved small bowel architecture as assessed by our team of pathologists.
321
322 Our modified ResNet50 deep learning model trained to classify biopsy images of EE vs CD vs
323 healthy controls was able to identify microscopic features of each disease. This work has been
324 shown to be successful for other medical fields with ResNet providing superior performance for
325 biopsy images.(14-16) This expands upon our preliminary model, as with the use of ResNet50 it
326 provides powerful analytics for images. Further, the input to the previously published model(30)
327 and other similar published studies for other clinical diseases consisted of small patches of whole
328 slide images(15, 34) that represent approximately 2% of the original biopsy image. Such patch
329 inputs do not take into account the fact that tissue features can be better visualized at multiple
330 magnifications. The multi-zoom ResNet50 model employed in this study more closely emulates
331 the process of human pathologists, who visualize biopsies at multiple magnification (zoom) levels
332 for diagnoses.(43) The multi-zoom model's Grad-CAMs focused on biologically similar areas as
333 the model without multi-zoom, suggesting that the multi-zoom architecture does not bias the
334 model significantly.
335

To further build upon our deep learning methods, we incorporated a shallow CNN and a final ensemble of ResNet and shallow CNN. The architecture of the shallow CNN was less complex than that of ResNet, a useful quality if an optimal computational environment is unavailable. A less complex model would also demonstrate utility if model parameters need to be reduced for a first-pass analysis of biopsy images to show proof of concept. Although the shallow CNN Grad-CAMs focused on far too many features for EE to be clinical useful, it focused on distinct and biologically informative features for CD. These Grad-CAM results led us to hypothesize that the shallow CNN would have better utility when combined with a more complex model such as ResNet50. This combination was manifested in the ensemble model, which showed an overall accuracy of 98.3%, higher than either model alone (ResNet50-only accuracy: 98%, Shallow-CNN-only accuracy: 96%).

While these results are noteworthy of their own accord, we further extracted Grad-CAMs to help illuminate the 'black box'(22) of these deep learning models, to improve the insight into how the models arrive at their decision-making and provide the much needed 'explainability' for clinicians and researchers. Grad-CAMs supported the models' use of biologically relevant features for defining each class: EE, CD or controls. The image features visualized via Grad-CAMs, if confirmed via molecular methods such as immunohistochemistry or RNA in situ hybridization, may pave the way for optimizing the EE and modified Marsh (for CD) scoring systems by drawing attention to the parameters pertinent to disease diagnoses.

Our secondary analysis built upon our earlier work by expanding and addressing the limitations. We computationally balanced the datasets and further augmented them to combat our prior issues with imbalanced datasets. In our preliminary work, we also utilized γ correction for stain color normalization without an assessment of the method's structure-preserving ability. We have now utilized a more robust stain color normalization method that preserves structure, confirmed

362 by a team of pathologists. We have also built upon the single model that we reported earlier to
363 create a complex AI-based image analysis platform which utilizes multiple models to ensure a
364 robust computational approach for histopathological image analysis. Lastly, our prior
365 deconvolution-based approach to explaining the decision-making process of the preliminary
366 model left much to be desired. Our current approach using Grad-CAMs to explain the models'
367 decision-making is a significant improvement, one more easily understood by the average medical
368 professional.
369
370 Major strengths of our secondary analysis study include the addition of data from 48 new patients
371 (in addition to the data reported for preliminary results) which increased the data volume for patch-
372 level analysis. We also assessed the validity of our models with a wide variety of performance
373 statistics (accuracy, sensitivity/ specificity, positive predictive value/ negative predictive value,
374 precision, recall, and F1-score), many of which are often missing in the work done for deep
375 learning in medicine. Our stain color normalization method for eliminating bias preserved tissue
376 structure, an important quality for any successful normalization process. Our multi-zoom
377 architecture emulating human pathologist biopsy assessment process, brings us one step closer
378 to automated detection of biopsy disease features. Further, our use of Grad-CAMs for
379 visualization of the models' regions of interest will help both increase the confidence in AI-based
380 decision making and also improve biopsy based small bowel enteropathy diagnosis.
381
382 Despite these strengths, we experienced several limitations. Since the data was obtained for
383 secondary analysis, we were limited in terms of improving data quality due to differences in the
384 scanners used for digitization and staining methods. Due to this we were unable to further modify
385 the EE dataset to account for high classification accuracies. Despite this, we utilized an approach
386 to potentially eliminate stain color differences. We were also limited in our ability to benchmark
387 our findings due to limited literature for the use of deep learning models for small bowel

architecture, especially the use of ResNet vs. custom deep learning approaches. To improve on our current efforts, more robust color normalization methods are underway. Finally, our techniques remain subject to the limitations inherent to tissue-based diagnostic and management approaches in clinical medicine, e.g., patchiness of findings and site specific workflows for tissue acquisition and processing.

Conclusion

Artificial Intelligence-based analytics provide an exciting opportunity for improving small bowel enteropathy diagnostics with histological overlap. Our work suggests that models incorporated in our image analysis platform are capable of identifying biologically relevant microscopic features, emulating human pathologist decision making process, performing in the case of suboptimal computational environment, and being modified for improving disease classification accuracy. This work was improved by structure-preserving stain color normalization of the image inputs along with visualizations of the model outputs, which are imperative for determining the tissue features used for decision making. Further work will advance the clinical use of deep learning models for enteropathies and other histopathology based diseases, improving the effectiveness and efficiency of clinical care in gastroenterology and beyond.

414 **References**

415

416 1. Sullivan PB, Marsh MN, Mirakian R, et al. Chronic diarrhea and malnutrition--histology of
417 the small intestinal lesion. Journal of pediatric gastroenterology and nutrition. 1991;12(2):195-
418 203.
419 2. Syed S, Yeruva S, Herrmann J, et al. Environmental enteropathy in undernourished
420 Pakistani children: clinical and histomorphometric analyses. The American journal of tropical
421 medicine and hygiene. 2018;98(6):1577-84.
422 3. Syed S, Ali A, Duggan C. Environmental enteric dysfunction in children: a review. Journal
423 of pediatric gastroenterology and nutrition. 2016;63(1):6.
424 4. Topol EJ. High-performance medicine: the convergence of human and artificial
425 intelligence. Nature medicine. 2019;25(1):44.
426 5. Sharma G, Carter A. Artificial Intelligence and the Pathologist: Future Frenemies?
427 Archives of pathology & laboratory medicine. 2017;141(5):622-3.
428 6. Patel V, Khan MN, Shrivastava A, et al. Artificial intelligence applied to gastrointestinal
429 diagnostics: a review. Journal of pediatric gastroenterology and nutrition. 2020;70(1):4-11.
430 7. Yang YJ, Bang CS. Application of artificial intelligence in gastroenterology. World J
431 Gastroenterol. 2019;25(14):1666.
432 8. LeCun Y, Bengio Y, Hinton G. Deep learning. nature. 2015;521(7553):436.
433 9. Medeiros FA, Jammal AA, Thompson AC. From Machine to Machine: An OCT-Trained
434 Deep Learning Algorithm for Objective Quantification of Glaucomatous Damage in Fundus
435 Photographs. Ophthalmology. 2019;126(4):513-21.
436 10. Li Z, He Y, Keel S, et al. Efficacy of a Deep Learning System for Detecting Glaucomatous
437 Optic Neuropathy Based on Color Fundus Photographs. Ophthalmology. 2018;125(8):1199-206.

438 11. Zhang Z, Xie Y, Xing F, et al., editors. Mdnet: A semantically and visually interpretable
439 medical image diagnosis network. Proceedings of the IEEE conference on computer vision and
440 pattern recognition; 2017.
441 12. Coudray N, Ocampo PS, Sakellaropoulos T, et al. Classification and mutation prediction
442 from non–small cell lung cancer histopathology images using deep learning. Nature medicine.
443 2018;24(10):1559.
444 13. Beck AH, Sangoi AR, Leung S, et al. Systematic analysis of breast cancer morphology
445 uncovers stromal features associated with survival. Science translational medicine.
446 2011;3(108):108ra13-ra13.
447 14. Bejnordi BE, Veta M, Van Diest PJ, et al. Diagnostic assessment of deep learning
448 algorithms for detection of lymph node metastases in women with breast cancer. Jama.
449 2017;318(22):2199-210.
450 15. Wei JW, Wei JW, Jackson CR, et al. Automated detection of celiac disease on duodenal
451 biopsy slides: A deep learning approach. Journal of pathology informatics. 2019;10.
452 16. Jiang Y, Chen L, Zhang H, et al. Breast cancer histopathological image classification using
453 convolutional neural networks with small SE-ResNet module. PloS one. 2019;14(3).
454 17. Krizhevsky A, Sutskever I, Hinton GE, editors. Imagenet classification with deep
455 convolutional neural networks. Advances in neural information processing systems; 2012.
456 18. Simonyan K, Zisserman A. Very deep convolutional networks for large-scale image
457 recognition. arXiv preprint arXiv:14091556. 2014.
458 19. Deng J, Dong W, Socher R, et al., editors. Imagenet: A large-scale hierarchical image
459 database. 2009 IEEE conference on computer vision and pattern recognition; 2009: Ieee.
460 20. Russakovsky O, Deng J, Su H, et al. Imagenet large scale visual recognition challenge.
461 International journal of computer vision. 2015;115(3):211-52.
462 21. Lin T-Y, Maire M, Belongie S, et al., editors. Microsoft coco: Common objects in context.
463 European conference on computer vision; 2014: Springer.

464 22. Castelvecchi D. Can we open the black box of AI? Nature News. 2016;538(7623):20.
465 23. Ramakrishna BS, Venkataraman S, Mukhopadhya A. Tropical malabsorption. Postgrad
466 Med J. 2006;82(974):779-87.
467 24. Sullivan PB, Marsh MN, Mirakian R, et al. Chronic diarrhea and malnutrition--histology of
468 the small intestinal lesion. J Pediatr Gastroenterol Nutr. 1991;12(2):195-203.
469 25. Pai RK, editor A practical approach to small bowel biopsy interpretation: celiac disease
470 and its mimics. Seminars in diagnostic pathology; 2014: Elsevier.
471 26. Liu T-C, VanBuskirk K, Ali SA, et al. A novel histological index for evaluation of
472 environmental enteric dysfunction identifies geographic-specific features of enteropathy among
473 children with suboptimal growth. PLOS Neglected Tropical Diseases. 2020;14(1):e0007975.
474 27. Liu T-C, VanBuskirk K, Ali SA, et al. A novel histological index for evaluation of
475 environmental enteric dysfunction identifies geographic-specific features of enteropathy among
476 children with suboptimal growth. PLoS neglected tropical diseases. 2020;14(1):e0007975.
477 28. Modified Marsh Classification of histologic findings in celiac disease (Oberhuber) Stanford
478 Medicine [Available from: http://surgpathcriteria.stanford.edu/gi/celiac-disease/marsh.html.
479 29. Oberhuber G. Histopathology of celiac disease. Biomedicine & pharmacotherapy.
480 2000;54(7):368-72.
481 30. Syed S, Al-Boni M, Khan MN, et al. Assessment of Machine Learning Detection of
482 Environmental Enteropathy and Celiac Disease in ChildrenAssessment of Machine Learning
483 Detection of Environmental Enteropathy and Celiac Disease in ChildrenAssessment of Machine
484 Learning Detection of Environmental Enteropathy and Celiac Disease in Children. JAMA Network
485 Open. 2019;2(6):e195822-e.
486 31. Iqbal NT, Sadiq K, Syed S, et al. Promising biomarkers of environmental enteric
487 dysfunction: a prospective cohort study in Pakistani children. Scientific reports. 2018;8(1):2966.
488 32. Amadi B, Besa E, Zyambo K, et al. Impaired barrier function and autoantibody generation
489 in malnutrition enteropathy in Zambia. EBioMedicine. 2017;22:191-9.

490 33. Iqbal NT, Syed S, Sadiq K, et al. Study of Environmental Enteropathy and Malnutrition
491 (SEEM) in Pakistan: protocols for biopsy based biomarker discovery and validation. BMC
492 pediatrics. 2019;19(1):247.
493 34. Iizuka O, Kanavati F, Kato K, et al. Deep Learning Models for Histopathological
494 Classification of Gastric and colonic epithelial tumours. Scientific Reports. 2020;10(1):1-11.
495 35. Vahadane A, Peng T, Sethi A, et al. Structure-preserving color normalization and sparse
496 stain separation for histological images. IEEE transactions on medical imaging. 2016;35(8):1962-
497 71.
498 36. Shrivastava A, Kant K, Sengupta S, et al., editors. Deep Learning for Visual Recognition
499 of Environmental Enteropathy and Celiac Disease. 2019 IEEE EMBS International Conference
500 on Biomedical & Health Informatics (BHI); 2019: IEEE.
501 37. Pan SJ, Yang Q. A survey on transfer learning. IEEE Transactions on knowledge and data
502 engineering. 2009;22(10):1345-59.
503 38. Liu B, Cui Q, Jiang T, et al. A combinational feature selection and ensemble neural
504 network method for classification of gene expression data. BMC bioinformatics. 2004;5(1):136.
505 39. Selvaraju RR, Cogswell M, Das A, et al., editors. Grad-cam: Visual explanations from deep
506 networks via gradient-based localization. Proceedings of the IEEE International Conference on
507 Computer Vision; 2017.
508 40. Yu K, Zhang C, Berry G, et al. Predicting non-small cell lung cancer prognosis by fully
509 automated microscopic pathology image features. Nat Commun. 2016; 7: 12474. Epub
510 2016/08/17. https://doi. org/10.1038/ncomms12474 PMID: 27527408.
511 41. STARD 2015: An Updated List of Essential Items for Reporting Diagnostic Accuracy
512 Studies: Enhancing the QUAlity and Transparency Of health Research; [Available from:
513 https://www.equator-network.org/reporting-guidelines/stard/.

42. Khan AM, Rajpoot N, Treanor D, et al. A nonlinear mapping approach to stain normalization in digital histopathology images using image-specific color deconvolution. IEEE Transactions on Biomedical Engineering. 2014;61(6):1729-38.

43. Brunyé TT, Mercan E, Weaver DL, et al. Accuracy is in the eyes of the pathologist: the visual interpretive process and diagnostic accuracy with digital whole slide images. Journal of biomedical informatics. 2017;66:171-9.

540 **Author Contributions**

541 Concept and design: Syed, Brown, Shrivastava, Sengupta, Kant, Kang, Kelly, Amadi, Ali,
542 Moore.
543 Acquisition, analysis, or interpretation of data: Syed, Ehsan, Khan, Guleria, Shrivastava,
544 Sengupta, Kowsari, Cheng, Moskaluk, Kelly, Ali, Moore, Brown.
545 Drafting of the manuscript: Syed, Ehsan, Shrivastava, Sengupta.
546 Critical revision of the manuscript for important intellectual content: Syed, Guleria, Khan, Iqbal,
547 Moskaluk, Kelly, Ali, Moore, Brown.
548 Statistical analysis: Syed, Shrivastava, Sengupta, Kowsari, Sali, Ehsan, Brown.
549 Obtained funding: Syed, Amadi, Ali, Moore, Brown.
550 Administrative, technical, or material support: Syed, Ehsan, Sadiq, Iqbal, Kelly, Ali.
551 Supervision: Syed, Kelly, Ali, Moore, Brown.
552

553 **Acknowledgements**

554 **Additional Contributions:** We acknowledge the following individuals from Aga Khan
555 University, Karachi, Pakistan, for contributing to this manuscript: Drs. Romana Idrees, MBBS,
556 FCPS, and Zubair Ahmad, MBBS, FCPS, FRCPath, for assessment of stain color normalized
557 biopsy images, Saad Mallick, medical student, for organization of image activation mappings,
558 Dr. Saman Siddiqui, MD, for coordinating with the pathologists at Aga Khan University, and field
559 workers (community health workers, led by Sadaf Jakhro, MSc, and coordinated by Dr. Tauseef
560 Akhund, MBBS), data management unit (Najeeb Rahman, BS) and laboratory staff (Aneeta
561 Hotwani, BS) for contributing to the data collection in this work. Patcharin Pramoonjago, PhD,
562 Biorepository and Tissue Research Facility, University of Virginia, Charlottesville, assisted in
563 data collection for this work. All individuals were compensated for their time.
564

565 **Conflicts of Interest:** None

566 **Figure Legends**

567

568 **Figure 1:** Stain Color Normalization – images in the top row highlight the differences in stain
569 colors before normalization while the bottom row shows images post color normalization which
570 was applied using the specified target image.

571

572 **Figure 2:** Combined figure explaining the methods for development of an image analysis model
573 for diagnosis of small bowel enteropathies and black box feature detection: (A) Digitized
574 duodenal biopsy slides were obtained for secondary analysis; (B and C) dataset was pre-
575 processed by creation of biopsy image patches, sample size augmentation, and stain color
576 normalization [shown in figure 1]; (D) development of the image analysis model with (D1)
577 ResNet50, (D2) ResNet50 Multi-zoom Architecture, and (D3) custom Shallow Convolutional
578 Neural Network (CNN); and, (E) visualization of model decision-making [explained in detail in
579 Figure 4] Frameworks.

580

581 **Figure 3:** Accuracies of the deep learning models. A1, B1, and C1 show patch-level while A2,
582 B2, and C2 show biopsy level accuracies of ResNet50, ResNet50 Multi-zoom Architecture, and
583 Shallow CNN, respectively.

584

585 **Figure 4:** Confusion matrices for patch-level disease classification models (top left: ResNet50;
586 top right: ResNet50 multi-zoom architecture; bottom left: Shallow Convolutional Neural Network
587 (CNN); bottom right: ensemble. The numbers within the matrices represent normalized data in
588 the form of percentages and darker colors indicate higher percentages.

589

590 **Figure 5:** Gradient-weighted Class Activation Mappings for patches trained via modified
591 ResNet50, ResNet50 Multi-zoom, and Shallow CNN are shown. Areas being highlighted are: A:

592 superficial epithelium with high number of intraepithelial lymphocytes (IELs) and mononuclear
593 cells in the lamina propria (LP); B: areas of white slit-like spaces representing artefactual
594 separation of tissue within the LP and ignoring areas of crypt cross sections; C: crypt cross
595 sections; D: areas with cells consistent with mononuclear cellular infiltrate; E: telescoping crypt;
596 F: lymphocytes stacked in a row simulating a linear epithelial architecture; G: superficial
597 epithelium with tall columnar cells and a brush border; H: base of crypts resembling superficial
598 epithelium; I: superficial epithelium with high number of IELs; J: several crypt cross sections
599 rather than 1 to 2 crypts when compared with ReNet50 only; K: superficial epithelium with
600 abundant cytoplasm; L: surface epithelium with IELs and goblet cells; M: inner lumen of crypt
601 cross sections; N: mononuclear cells within the LP; O: surface epithelium with epithelial cells
602 containing abundant cytoplasm and goblet cells. Key: EE: Environmental Enteropathy; CD:
603 Celiac Disease; CNN: Convolutional Neural Network
604
605
606
607
608
609
610
611
612
613
614
615
616
617

Figure 1



Figure 1: Stain Color Normalization – images in the top row highlight the differences in stain colors before normalization while the bottom row shows images post color normalization which was applied using the specified target image.

Figure 2



Figure 2: Combined figure explaining the methods for development of an image analysis model for diagnosis of small bowel enteropathies and black box feature detection: (A) Digitized duodenal biopsy slides were obtained for secondary analysis; (B and C) dataset was pre-processed by creation of biopsy image patches, sample size augmentation, and stain color normalization [shown in figure 1]; (D) development of the image analysis model with (D1) ResNet50, (D2) ResNet50 Multi-zoom Architecture, and (D3) custom Shallow Convolutional Neural Network (CNN); and, (E) visualization of model decision-making [explained in detail in Figure 4] Frameworks.

Figure 3



Figure 3: Accuracies of the deep learning models. A1, B1, and C1 show patch-level while A2, B2, and C2 show biopsy level accuracies of ResNet50, ResNet50 Multi-zoom Architecture, and Shallow CNN, respectively.

Figure 4



Figure 4: Confusion matrices for patch-level disease classification models (top left: ResNet50; top right: ResNet50 multi-zoom architecture; bottom left: Shallow Convolutional Neural Network (CNN); bottom right: ensemble. The numbers within the matrices represent normalized data in the form of percentages and darker colors indicate higher percentages.

Figure 5



Figure 5: Gradient-weighted Class Activation Mappings for patches trained via modified ResNet50, ResNet50 Multi-zoom, and Shallow CNN are shown. Areas being highlighted are: A: superficial epithelium with high number of intraepithelial lymphocytes (IELs) and mononuclear cells in the lamina propria (LP); B: areas of white slit-like spaces representing artefactual separation of tissue within the LP and ignoring areas of crypt cross sections; C: crypt cross sections; D: areas with cells consistent with mononuclear cellular infiltrate; E: telescoping crypt; F: lymphocytes stacked in a row simulating a linear epithelial architecture; G: superficial epithelium with tall columnar cells and a brush border; H: base of crypts resembling superficial epithelium; I: superficial epithelium with high number of IELs; J: several crypt cross sections rather than 1 to 2 crypts when compared with ReNet50 only; K: superficial epithelium with abundant cytoplasm; L: surface epithelium with IELs and goblet cells; M: inner lumen of crypt cross sections; N: mononuclear cells within the LP; O: surface epithelium with epithelial cells containing abundant cytoplasm and goblet cells.

Key: EE: Environmental Enteropathy; CD: Celiac Disease; CNN: Convolutional Neural Network

Tables

Table 1: Population characteristics of the children from which biopsy images were obtained.

Key: PK = Pakistan, Aga Khan University; Zambia = University of Zambia Medical Center, US = United States, University of Virginia; EE = Environmental Enteropathy; LAZ/HAZ = Length/Height-for-Age Z score; IQR = inter-quartile range (written as first quartile to third quartile)

a – For some patients 2-3 biopsy images were available

b – Height of 3 patients was not available for children with celiac disease (Z scores calculated for 60)

	Total Population	**PK**	**Zambia**	**US**	
	n = 150	EE (n = 10)	EE (n = 16)	Celiac (n = 63)[b]	Normal (n = 61)
Biopsy Images[a]	461	29	19	239	174
Age, median (IQR), months	37.5 (19.0 to 121.5)	22.2 (20.8 to 23.4)	16.5 (9.5 to 21.0)	130.0 (85.0 to 176.0)	25.0 (16.5 to 41.0)
Gender; Male, n (%)	77 (51.3)	5 (50.0)	10 (62.5)	29 (46.0)	33 (54.0)
LAZ/ HAZ, median (IQR)	-0.6 (-1.9 to 0.4)	-2.8 (-3.6 to -2.3)	-3.1 (-4.1 to -2.2)	-0.3 (-0.8 to 0.7)	-0.2 (-1.3 to 0.5)

Click here to access/download
Supplemental Data File (doc, pdf, etc.)
AI_Enteropathy_JPGN_Supplement_LE.docx