

Original article

The optimal level of serum vitamin D in apparently healthy Bangladeshi adult volunteer

Dr. Anil Yadav, MBBS, MD, Department of Endocrinology, Bangabandhu Sheikh Mujib Medical University, Dhaka, Bangladesh

Associate professor Dr. Shahjada Selim, MBBS, MD, FACE, FRSM, Department of Endocrinology, Bangabandhu Sheikh Mujib Medical University, Dhaka, Bangladesh

Assistant professor Dr. Tahniyah Haq, MBBS, MD, MRCP, Department of Endocrinology, Bangabandhu Sheikh Mujib Medical University, Dhaka, Bangladesh

Dr. Anil Kumar Shah, MBBS, MD, Department of Endocrinology, Bangabandhu Sheikh Mujib Medical University, Dhaka, Bangladesh

Dr. Md. Shahed Morshed, MBBS, MD, Department of Endocrinology, Bangabandhu Sheikh Mujib Medical University, Dhaka, Bangladesh

Dr. Md. Habibul Ghani, MBBS, MD, Department of Endocrinology, Bangabandhu Sheikh Mujib Medical University, Dhaka, Bangladesh

Dr. Ibrahim Faisal, MBBS, MD, Department of Endocrinology, Bangabandhu Sheikh Mujib Medical University, Dhaka, Bangladesh

Assistant professor Dr. Murshed Ahamed khan, MBBS, MD, Department of Endocrinology, Bangabandhu Sheikh Mujib Medical University, Dhaka, Bangladesh

Dr. Marufa Mustari, MBBS, FCPS, Department of Endocrinology, Bangabandhu Sheikh Mujib Medical University, Dhaka, Bangladesh

Dr. Mostafa Hasan Rajiv, MBBS, MD, Department of Endocrinology, Bangabandhu Sheikh Mujib Medical University, Dhaka, Bangladesh

Professor Dr. M A Hasanat, MBBS, MD, Department of Endocrinology, Bangabandhu Sheikh Mujib Medical University, Dhaka, Bangladesh

Professor Dr. Md. Farid Uddin, MBBS, DEM, MD, FACE, Department of Endocrinology, Bangabandhu Sheikh Mujib Medical University, Dhaka, Bangladesh.

Department of Endocrinology, Bangabandhu Sheikh Mujib Medical University, Dhaka, Bangladesh

Correspondence:

Dr. Anil Yadav

Biratnagar-10, Morang, Province-1, Nepal

Contact no. +9779812395912

Email: cool.dewup@gmail.com

Conflict of Interest: Not any

Total number of pages: 32

Total number of photographs (figures): 05

Total number word counts of abstract: 226

Total number word counts of text excluding abstract and reference: 2487

Source(s) of support: University grants

The optimal level of serum vitamin D in apparently healthy Bangladeshi adult volunteer

Abstract

Introduction: Vitamin D level has profound clinical implications but there is dilemma of optimal vitamin D cut off level among Bangladeshi population as well in many parts of the world. This study aimed to determine the optimal level of vitamin D in relation to intact parathyroid hormone (iPTH) and serum calcium in apparently healthy adult volunteer.

Methods: This observational cross-sectional study was carried out in 130 apparently healthy adult participants of BSMMU. All the subjects were taken their demographic profile and investigated for vitamin D level, iPTH, corrected calcium and phosphate. Serum 25(OH)D was measured by high performance liquid chromatography (HPLC) whereas iPTH, corrected serum calcium and serum phosphate were measured by chemiluminescent method.

Results: The mean 25(OH)D level was found to be 16.78(SD8.47) ng/ml and extensively distinct by age distribution and adequacy of sun exposure. There was substantially inverse correlation between serum iPTH and serum 25(OH)D ($r = - 0.22$, $p = 0.01$). Serum 25(OH)D levels < 27.5 ng/ml were associated with a steep increase in serum iPTH levels. Serum iPTH was stabilized at level 54.5pg/ml by using the quadratic fit with plateau model.

Conclusions: From this study the optimal level of 25(OH)D for apparently healthy adult in Bangladesh is 27.5 ng/ml.

Keywords: Healthy adults; Intact Parathyroid Hormone; Optimal level; Vitamin D.

Introduction

Vitamin D deficiency is currently recognized as a worldwide epidemic and its potential health implications are currently the subject of significant interest and controversy.¹ There is no absolute consensus as to what a normal range for 25(OH)D should be. Many studies used inverse relationship between serum 25(OH)D and iPTH levels to determine normal serum 25(OH)D level. With the maximum efficiency of intestinal calcium absorption and adequate bone mineral density, iPTH concentrations began to plateau at their nadir.^{2,3} Different guidelines has set different cut off of optimal vitamin D status such as the Endocrine Society and many experts recommend > 30 ng/ml^{2,4} and Institute of Medicine > 20 ng/ml.⁵ Bangladeshi patients are being treated following the guidelines of other population which may or may not be appropriate for our population. Thus, this study assesses the optimal range of serum 25(OH)D by testing the dynamic relationship between 25(OH)D and iPTH.

Methods

Study subjects

This Observational cross-sectional study was conducted in Department of Endocrinology, Bangabandhu Sheikh Mujib Medical University (BSMMU), Dhaka. Adult attendants of patients of BSMMU were requested to participate in the study. Participants who were taking or had received vitamin D or calcium supplements within last 120 days of sample collection, or took medication that affected calcium, vitamin D metabolism, and bone, or who had any known disease were excluded from study. The willing participants underwent full clinical assessment, prior to enrollment it was established that all of the participants had normal liver and kidney function. A total 130 apparently healthy adult attendants of patients of BSMMU were recruited during period of January 2018 to July 2019.




Figure-1: Patient recruitment

Anthropometric measurements were performed using same standardized techniques and calibrated digital scale (Seca, Germany), throughout the study. Body mass index (BMI) was calculated, for all subjects wearing light clothing and no shoes, as weight in kilograms (kg) divided by squared height in meters (m). Dietary intake of calcium and vitamin D was collected from 7-day food diaries. Physical activity information was obtained by International physical activity questionnaire (Short last 7 days self-administered format).⁶ Sunlight exposure was evaluated based on sunscreen use and daily average time of sun exposure between 1100 to 1500 hour with the sun exposure >20 % body surface.⁷

A morning fasting 10 ml venous blood sample was collected from each subject. Complete centrifugation of blood sample was done and serum was separated. Serum was collected in tubes and preserved at – 20°C until assay. Serum sample for calcium, albumin, phosphate and iPTH was transported to Department of Biochemistry, BSMMU where as serum sample for 25(OH)D was transported to Centre for Advanced Research in Sciences, Dhaka University. All the samples were analyzed by same method throughout the study period, using kits provided by the same manufacturer.

25(OH)D was measured by High performance liquid chromatography (SIL 20 series prominence HPLC, Shimadzu, Japan). The manufacturer's normal range as reported in the kit was 20 to 100 ng/ml with analytical sensitivity 2.0 pg/ml. The coefficient of variability was 2.6-4.9%. iPTH was measured by solid phase two site chemiluminescent enzyme labeled immunometric assay, Immulite 2000 systems (Siemens, USA),

automated analyzer (Beckman Coulter-AU680, Architect Plus ci8200). The manufacturer's normal range as reported in kit was 12 to 65 pg/ml (1.3 to 6.8 pmol/L) with analytical sensitivity 3.0 pg/ml. The intra- and interassay precision (pg/ml) were 4.2 to 5.7% and 6.3 to 8.8% respectively. Serum calcium, phosphate, albumin, creatinine and alanine transaminase (ALT) were measured by chemiluminescent enzyme labeled immunometric assay using automated analyzer (Beckman Coulter-AU680, Architect Plus ci8200, Siemens, USA).

Serum calcium levels were adjusted for serum albumin using formula: Corrected serum calcium (mg/dl) = Serum Calcium + 0.8 * (40 - serum albumin mg/dl).⁸ Estimated glomerular filtration rate (eGFR) was calculated by CKD-EPI equations.⁹

Data was cross checked daily at the end of the day to find out errors and inconsistency of data and necessary editing, cleaning, coding and tabulation was done manually. All data were processed by SPSS program (version 22.0). Data expressed in frequencies or percentages for qualitative values and mean (\pm SD) for quantitative values. To compare the mean value of subgroups, independent t test, one way ANOVA were used as appropriate. Pearson's correlation test was used to correlate between vitamin D, iPTH and other variables. The association between 25(OH)D and iPTH concentrations was studied both by linear and non-linear regression models. A quadratic model with plateau was fitted to model to see relationship between serum iPTH and serum 25(OH)D levels to objectively identify 25(OH)D level where iPTH reaches a plateau. p value \leq 0.05 was considered statistically significant.

The study protocol was approved through the Institutional Review Board of BSMMU. A written informed consent was obtained from each participant.

Result

The mean (SD) age of the participants was 37.57(12.22) years, ranging from 18 to 78 years.

Majority of participants (45.4%) were between 30 – 39 years while mean 25(OH)D level among various age distribution was found to be higher in 60 years and above 24.31±9.82. There were almost equal percentage of male (51.5%) and female (48.5%) included in the study participant with slightly higher mean 25(OH)D in female. The participants who reside on urban (74.6%) and rural (25.4%) area have mean value of 25(OH)D 16.33±8.44 and 18.08±8.55 respectively. Among occupation group mean value of 25(OH)D was low in student 13.63±7.90 and high among service holder group 18.72±8.17. The mean daily average time of sun exposure between 1100 hours to 1500 hours was 70±88.07 minutes but majority had inadequate sun light exposure. Those who had adequate sun exposure (18.5%) have mean 25(OH)D level 20.32±5.97 whereas inadequate sun exposure (81.5%) had 15.78±8.47. (Table 1)

Table-1: Socio demographic distribution of serum vitamin D among the study population (N=130)

Variables	N (%)	25 (OH)D level	
		Mean ±SD	P value [†]
Age distribution (years) [*]			
18 – 29	30(23.1)	16.58±7.86	

30 – 39	59(45.4)	16.96±7.86	0.005
40 – 59	30(23.1)	13.85±8.83	
60 and above	11(8.5)	24.31±9.82	
Gender **			
Male	76(51.5)	16.27±8.20	0.48
Female	63(48.5)	17.32±8.78	
Residence **			
Urban	97(74.6)	16.33±8.44	0.31
Rural	33(25.4)	18.08±8.55	
Occupation *			
House wife	31(23.8)	16.85±8.80	
Service holder/Business	38(29.2)	18.72±8.17	0.64
Student	34(26.2)	13.63±7.90	
Others	27(20.7)	17.92±8.51	
Socioeconomic * ††			
Lower	73(56.2)	16.63±8.38	0.75
Middle	48(36.9)	17.31±9.18	

Higher	9(6.9)	15.10±5.71	
Sun exposure ** †			
Adequate	24(18.5)	20.32±5.97	0.02
Inadequate	106(81.5)	15.78±8.47	

* One way ANOVA, ** Student independent t test

† p value to assess differences in mean levels of vitamin D across subgroups

p ≤0.05 was consider as significant

N number of sample, % percentage, SD standard deviation

† At least 10 minutes a day in between 1100 to 1500 hour, at least 3 days a week ⁷

†† According to monthly household income

Overall, 63.8% of study participant reported moderate intensity physical activity; while mean 25(OH)D level was 18.86±8.47 among high intensity activity participants. All our study participants were consuming vitamin D enriched food like milk, eggs and fish in different proportion and amount. Almost half of the study participants had normal BMI while mean 25(OH)D was 18.40±7.68 among overweight (23.8%). (Table 2)

Table-2: Distribution of serum vitamin D among the study population by level of activity, diet containing vitamin D and BMI (N=130)

Variables	N (%)	25 (OH)D level	
		Mean ±SD	P value **

Physical activity level *[¶]

Low	17(13.1)	18.55±10.95	0.13
Moderate	83(63.8)	15.66±8.04	
High	30(23.1)	18.86±8.47	

No. of cups of milk consumption/week *

None	48(36.9)	17.83 ±7.89	0.10
1 to 3	74(56.9)	15.47±8.45	
4 to 6	7(5.4)	22.43±10.56	
7 and above	1(0.8)	23.35±0	

No. of Egg consumption/ wk *

None	12(9.2)	19.21±8.37	0.37
1 to 3	24(18.5)	15.00±6.95	
4 to 6	34(26.2)	18.11±8.66	
7 to 10	60(46.2)	16.25±8.90	

No. of fish consumption/week *

None	20(15.4)	17.85±8.04	0.74
1 to 5	7(5.4)	15.23±6.20	

6 to 10	64(49.2)	17.03±8.68	
11 to 15	6(4.6)	19.40±6.65	
15 and above	33(25.4)	15.48±9.16	
BMI category *			
Underweight	3 (2.3)	14.21±3.33	
Normal	54(41.5)	16.40±9.32	0.64
Overweight	31 (23.8)	18.40±7.68	
Obese	42(32.3)	16.25±8.17	

* One way ANOVA

** p value to assess differences in mean levels of vitamin D across subgroups

p ≤0.05 was consider as significant

N number of sample, % percentage, SD standard deviation

¶According to international physical activity questionnaire- short form ⁶

The mean serum 25(OH)D, iPTH, corrected calcium and phosphate were 16.78±8.47, 60.68±23.01, 9.1±0.58 and 3.66±0.57 respectively.

None of the participants were below 5th centiles whereas only 4.6% of the study participants were above 95th centiles as shown in inter quartile group. Similarly, 24.61% of participants were between 0 to 25th, 25.38% between 25 to 50th centiles, 25.38%, 50 to 75th centiles and 24.61 % between 75 to 100th centiles. Similarly figure 2 shows normal distribution of 25(OH)D in the study population.(Table 4)

Table-3: Statistics measures of central tendencies and variability of 25(OH)D of study population (N=130)

	Value
Central tendency	
Mean	16.78
Median	16.90
Mode	2.00
Dispersion	
Minimum	2.00
Maximum	38.96
Standard deviation	8.47
Percentiles	
5 th centile	2.00
10	5.23
20	8.43
30	12.15
40	14.89

50	16.90
60	18.73
70	21.42
80	24.20
90	27.96
95 th centile	31.68

Table-4: Number of participants in inter quartile group (N=130)

Percentiles	N (%)
0 to 25	32(24.61)
25 to 50	33(25.38)
50 to 75	33(25.38)
75 to 100	32(24.61)
Below 5 th	0
Above 95 th	6(4.6)




Figure-2: Distribution of 25(OH)D in the study population.

Serum 25(OH)D correlated positively with age, BMI and phosphate with correlation coefficient of 0.13, 0.23 and 0.002 respectively whereas negatively correlated with adequate sun exposure and iPTH with correlation coefficient of -0.20 and -0.22 respectively. Serum 25(OH)D was found to be significantly correlated with iPTH and adequate sun exposure. (Table 5)

Table-5: Pearson Correlations (r) of 25(OH)D with other investigated variables in study population (N=130)

		25(OH)D	Age	Adequate sun exposure	BMI	iPTH	Calcium	Phosphate
25(OH)D	r	1	0.13	-0.20	0.23	-0.22	-0.01	0.002
	p		0.14	0.02*	0.80	0.01*	0.84	0.98
Age	r		1	-0.15	0.08	-0.12	0.58	-0.15
	p			0.08	0.36	0.15	0.51	0.09
Adequate sun exposure	r			1	-0.14	0.03	0.29	0.179
	p				0.12	0.75	0.001*	0.042*
BMI	r				1	0.17	-0.14	-0.07
	p					0.05*	0.11	0.40
iPTH	r					1	-0.21	-0.12
	p						0.012*	0.14
Calcium	r						1	0.30
	p							0.00*
Phosphate	r							1
	p							

r pearson's correlation coefficient

Correlation is significant at the level 0.05 level (2-tailed)

In the linear regression analysis, one unit increase in the 25(OH)D concentration on the decreased iPTH levels by 0.22units ($p= 0.02$). (Table 6)

Table-6: Simple linear regression showing the predictive association of 25(OH)D with iPTH (N=130)

	R²	B	Beta	Coefficient	p	95 % CI
Vitamin D	0.048	70.46	- 0.22	-0.547	0.012	61.78 – 79.15

R² Coefficient of determinants

CI confidence interval

$p \leq 0.05$ was consider as significant




Figure-3: Correlation between 25(OH)D and iPTH in the study population.

Based on the relationship between 25(OH)D and iPTH concentrations, the plateau for iPTH concentration was reached at 54.5 pg/ml. We observed that 25(OH)D concentrations higher than about 27.5 ng/ml were required to keep iPTH concentrations low. (Figure-4)




Figure-4: Association between serum 25(OH)D and iPTH in quadratic fit model.

The optimal 25(OH)D level was found to be 27.5 ng/ml in this study. Of the total study population, 90% had 25(OH)D below 27.5 ng/ml. According to the Endocrine society classification, 25(OH)D < 30 ng/ml was present in 92.3% and 66.2% of study population

had 25(OH)D level below 20 ng/ml which was the cut off value as per IOM classification.

(Figure 5).




Figure-5: Distribution of the study population by vitamin D status in comparison to the Endocrine society and IOM classification (N=130).

Discussion

This study showed that mean serum 25(OH)D was 16.78 ± 8.47 with the optimal level approximately 27.5 ng/ml, measured by HPLC method, in apparently healthy study population with normal kidney function and normal serum calcium levels. This was based on the level at which serum iPTH plateaus and/or is maximally suppressed. Several studies from sunny countries reported a reference range of serum 25(OH)D 54 – 90 ng/ml to indicate vitamin D sufficiency in the normal subjects.¹⁰

In this study, 90% of study participant had serum 25(OH)D level below 27.5 ng/ml. According to US Endocrine Society we found 92.3% of population had low 25(OH)D with cut off < 30 ng/ml but according to IOM only 66.2 % of study population had low 25(OH)D with cut off < 20 ng/ml. We found a significant inverse relationship between serum 25(OH)D concentration and iPTH ($r = -0.22$ and $p = 0.01$) which was similar with study conducted on women of Jakarta and Kuala Lumpur and half of Israeli population.^{11,12} Another study conducted on female garment worker of Bangladesh,¹³ observed similar significant inverse relationship between 25(OH)D and iPTH ($r = -0.25$, $p < 0.001$). Based on the relationship between serum 25(OH)D and iPTH concentration, this study found that the plateau for the iPTH concentration by quadratic model was reached at 54.5 pg/ml. However, due to heterogeneous and small population the plateau level was not consistent. We observed that 25(OH)D concentrations higher than 27.5 ng/ml were required to keep iPTH concentrations within normal limit. According to the study conducted on female garment workers in Bangladesh,¹³ the plateau for iPTH concentration was reached at 21 ng/l when 25(OH)D reached 15.2 ng/ml, which was not consistent with our study. The reason may be decreased cutaneous vitamin D synthesis

due to inadequate sun exposure. The optimal level of 25(OH)D (27.5 ng/ml) of the participant was almost similar to the Endocrine Society (> 30 ng/ml) based on elevated iPTH that was consistently lowered to a plateau when serum 25(OH)D was at 30ng/ml or higher. However, the IOM defined optimal level of 25(OH)D (>20ng/ml) based on human requirement of vitamin D for the general population in context of its relevance to bone accretion, bone maintenance and bone loss.⁵ Substantial studies found that 25(OH)D concentrations from about 12 to 50 ng/ml (30 to 125 nmol/l) were required to maintain a normal iPTH level.^{10,14} In the Israeli population, serum 25(OH)D levels <20 ng/ml were associated with a steep increase in iPTH levels, which lessen with increasing 25(OH)D levels and reached a plateau at 25(OH)D levels of 30 to 34 ng/ml.¹² It was appraised that iPTH began to increase when serum 25(OH)D level was < 31.56 ng/ml. This point corresponded to a serum iPTH level of 62.5 pg/ml in all cases tested. In French population,¹⁵ iPTH levels began to plateau at their nadir when 25(OH)D levels were between 30 and 40 ng/ml. Healthy individuals in Australia and Riga, serum 25(OH)D of 38 ng/ml was sufficient to avoid rise in iPTH.^{16,17} Our neighbor country India had systematic review on vitamin D level in apparently healthy Indian population of 40 study had shown the overall effect size of serum 25(OH)D levels among Indians as 14.16 ng/ml (confidence interval [CI]: 13.27–15.05). However, they didn't observe the iPTH level.¹⁸ Among young Lebanese people iPTH reached a plateau was maximally suppressed when 25(OH)D was 10 ng/ml or less.¹⁹ Vitamin D status also allies to age and gender^{11,20} A study in Canada found that vitamin D status among the 60–79 age group was higher comparing among the 20 – 30 youth age group.²¹ In our study, also the result showed that mean serum 25(OH)D

concentrations in age 60 and above was 24.31 ± 9.82 which was higher than 18 – 29 and 30 – 39 age group. However, this study revealed 40 – 59 age group had the lowest 13.85 ± 8.83 25(OH)D level. A tenable explanation could be that most of them were working in the indoor setting. As a result, they spent less time outside, hence less sun exposure. In addition, they did not have the adequate habit of drinking milk, eating fish and meat.

Female gender are one of the most frequently reported risk factors for hypovitaminosis D but in contrast we found almost similar mean 25(OH)D level in both male and female 16.27 ± 8.20 and 17.32 ± 8.78 respectively, with level in males being slightly higher.²² A study from the Netherlands by found that, serum 25(OH)D concentrations of males was higher than that of females which was consistent with our result.²³ The reason being, that the males did more outdoor activities and ate more varieties of foods than females. Likewise in Middle-Eastern young population they found mean 25(OH)D levels in overall population was 31 ± 12.48 ng/ml with a lower mean value in men compared with women (29.01 ± 11.23 ng/ml in men versus 33.2 ± 13.4 in women), $p=0.001$.²⁴

Exposure to the sunlight is essential to obtain adequate vitamin D, as it is mainly produced in skin by exposure to UVB radiation from the sunlight.²⁰ Timing and proper skin exposures are also important for vitamin D photosynthesis. In this study, only 18.5 % gave history of proper sun exposure whereas 81.5% study population had inadequate sun exposure as most of them spent a major part of day time in office building as service holder and housewife 29.2% and 23.8% respectively. We presume and agree with the authors who indicated that low intensity of the sun in the morning, shaded sun shines, shadows of tall buildings and trees, upright position of the subjects,

high pollution in the air, covered-up-dressing style as well as the dark skin necessitate the need for prolonged exposure for adequate synthesis of vitamin D in the skin.²⁵

In this study, the intake of selected nutrition D containing food did not show significant correlation with Vitamin D. A similar finding was also found in investigation where authors suggested that about 10% of vitamin D is derived from dietary sources indicating that dietary intake of vitamin D is a relatively poor predictor of overall vitamin D status.^{26,27} Insignificant affiliation between dietary vitamin D intake and serum level of 25(OH)D has been shown in studies performed in Europe^{28,29} Bangladesh currently does not fortify any foods with vitamin D.³⁰

Therefore, we would conclude that there is no universally accepted optimal range or cut-off value for 25(OH)D available to define vitamin D deficiency or insufficiency. Moreover, the demarcation line between vitamin D sufficiency and insufficiency is not clearly defined.¹⁸ The continuing deliberations have raised the concerns regarding normal cutoff value of vitamin D level as well as the need for vitamin D supplementation in Bangladeshi population. Further, studies are needed to establish the normal value for serum 25(OH)D level in Bangladeshi population.

There were a number of limitations in our study. The samples for all types of measurements could not be run in single assay to avoid interassay variation of coefficient. We were unable to correlate with bone mineral density, biochemical bone markers and maximal efficiency of intestinal calcium absorption.

Conclusion

The optimal level of serum 25(OH)D for apparently healthy adult in Bangladesh is 27.5 ng/ml. Study encompassing people from different groups and measuring serum vitamin D round the year, may yield more robust level of optimal serum vitamin D. Large scale study recruiting homogenous Bangladeshi adult population should be carried out

Acknowledgement: Department of Biochemistry, Bangabandhu Sheikh Mujib Medical University, Dhaka and Center for Advance Research in Sciences, Dhaka University, Dhaka, Bangladesh for their support in biochemical analysis. Beximco Pharmaceuticals Limited, Bangladesh for technical support.

References

1. Holick MF. Vitamin D deficiency. *New England Journal of Medicine*. 2007;357(3):266-81. Available from: <https://www.tandfonline.com/doi/full/10.4161/derm.24054>.
2. Bischoff-Ferrari HA, Giovannucci E, Willett WC, Dietrich T, Dawson-Hughes B. Estimation of optimal serum concentrations of 25-hydroxyvitamin D for multiple health outcomes. *The American journal of clinical nutrition*. 2006;84(1):18-28. Available from: <https://academic.oup.com/ajcn/article/84/1/18/4633029>.
3. Holick MF, editor. *Vitamin D deficiency: what a pain it is*. Mayo clinic proceedings; 2003: Mayo Foundation for Medical Education and Research.
4. Holick MF, Binkley NC, Bischoff-Ferrari HA, Gordon CM, Hanley DA, Heaney RP, et al. Evaluation, treatment, and prevention of vitamin D deficiency: an Endocrine Society clinical practice guideline. *The Journal of Clinical Endocrinology & Metabolism*. 2011;96(7):1911-30. Available from: <https://academic.oup.com/jcem/article/96/7/1911/2833671>.
5. Rosen CJ, Abrams SA, Aloia JF, Brannon PM, Clinton SK, Durazo-Arvizu RA, et al. IOM committee members respond to Endocrine Society vitamin D guideline. *The Journal of Clinical Endocrinology & Metabolism*. 2012;97(4):1146-52. Available from: <https://academic.oup.com/jcem/article/97/4/1146/2833210>.
6. Craig CL, Marshall AL, Sjöström M, Bauman AE, Booth ML, Ainsworth BE, et al. International physical activity questionnaire: 12-country reliability and validity. *Medicine & science in sports & exercise*. 2003;35(8):1381-95. Available from: https://journals.lww.com/acsm-msse/Fulltext/2003/08000/International_Physical_Activity_Questionnaire_.20.aspx.
7. Harinarayan CV, Holick MF, Prasad UV, Vani PS, Himabindu G. Vitamin D status and sun exposure in India. *Dermato-endocrinology*. 2013;5(1):130-41. Available from: <https://www.tandfonline.com/doi/full/10.4161/derm.24054>.
8. Gardner DG. *Greenspan's Basic and Clinical Endocrinology*. 2018. Available from: <http://lib.ui.ac.id/detail?id=20469013&lokasi=lokal>.
9. Levey AS, Stevens LA, Schmid CH, Zhang YL, Castro AF, Feldman HI, et al. A new equation to estimate glomerular filtration rate. *Annals of internal medicine*. 2009;150(9):604-12. Available from: <https://annals.org/aim/article-abstract/744469>.
10. Grant WB, Holick MF. Benefits and requirements of vitamin D for optimal health: a review. *Altern Med Rev*. 2005;10(2):94-111. Available from: http://anaturalhealingcenter.com/documents/Thorne/articles/vitamin_d10-2.pdf.
11. Green T, Skeaff CM, Rockell J, Venn B, Lambert A, Todd J, et al. Vitamin D status and its association with parathyroid hormone concentrations in women of child-bearing age living in Jakarta and Kuala Lumpur. *European journal of clinical nutrition*. 2008;62(3):373-8. Available from: <https://www.nature.com/articles/1602696>.
12. Saliba W, Barnett O, Rennert HS, Lavi I, Rennert G. The relationship between serum 25 (OH) D and parathyroid hormone levels. *The American journal of medicine*. 2011;124(12):1165-70. Available from: <https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/abs/pii/S0002934311005766>.
13. Islam MZ, Shamim AA, Kemi V, Nevanlinna A, Akhtaruzzaman M, Laaksonen M, et al. Vitamin D deficiency and low bone status in adult female garment factory workers

in Bangladesh. *British Journal of Nutrition*. 2008;99(6):1322-9. Available from: <https://www.cambridge.org/core/journals/british-journal-of-nutrition/article/vitamin-d-deficiency-and-low-bone-status-in-adult-female-garment-factory-workers-in-bangladesh/45A78DB413C2F514AB9EE63C5FCDC801>.

14. Lamberg-Allardt CJ, Outila TA, Kärkkäinen MU, Rita HJ, Valsta LM. Vitamin D deficiency and bone health in healthy adults in Finland: could this be a concern in other parts of Europe? *Journal of Bone and Mineral Research*. 2001;16(11):2066-73. Available from: <https://asbmr.onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/full/10.1359/jbmr.2001.16.11.2066>.

15. Chapuy M, Schott A, Garnero P, Hans D, Delmas P, Meunier P. Healthy elderly French women living at home have secondary hyperparathyroidism and high bone turnover in winter. EPIDOS Study Group. *The Journal of Clinical Endocrinology & Metabolism*. 1996;81(3):1129-33. Available from: <https://academic.oup.com/jcem/article/81/3/1129/2649649>

16. **Martins JS, Palhares MDO, Teixeira OCM, Gontijo, Ramos M.** Vitamin D status and its association with parathyroid hormone concentration in Brazilians. *Journal of nutrition and metabolism*. 2017.

17. Quaggiotto P, Tran H, Bhanugopan M. Vitamin D deficiency remains prevalent despite increased laboratory testing in New South Wales, Australia. *Singapore medical journal*. 2014;55(5):271. Available from: <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pmc/articles/PMC4291993/>.

18. Selvarajan S, Gunaseelan V, Anandabaskar N, Xavier AS, Srinivasamurthy S, Kamalanathan SK, et al. Systematic review on vitamin D level in apparently healthy Indian population and analysis of its associated factors. *Indian journal of endocrinology and metabolism*. 2017;21(5):765. Available from: <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pmc/articles/PMC5628552/>.

19. Gannagé-Yared MH, Chemali R, Yaacoub N, Halaby G. Hypovitaminosis D in a sunny country: relation to lifestyle and bone markers. *Journal of bone and mineral research*. 2000;15(9):1856-62. Available from: <https://asbmr.onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/full/10.1359/jbmr.2000.15.9.1856>.

20. Holick MF. Optimal vitamin D status for the prevention and treatment of osteoporosis. *Drugs & aging*. 2007;24(12):1017-29. Available from: <https://link.springer.com/article/10.2165/00002512-200724120-00005>.

21. Wat W, Leung J, Tam S, Kung A. Prevalence and impact of vitamin D insufficiency in southern Chinese adults. *Annals of Nutrition and Metabolism*. 2007;51(1):59-64. Available from: <https://www.karger.com/Article/Abstract/100822>.

22. Sayed-Hassan R, Abazid N, Alourfi Z. Relationship between 25-hydroxyvitamin D concentrations, serum calcium, and parathyroid hormone in apparently healthy Syrian people. *Archives of osteoporosis*. 2014;9(1):176. Available from: <https://link.springer.com/article/10.1007/s11657-014-0176-1>.

23. Kuchuk NO, Pluijm SM, van Schoor NM, Looman CW, Smit JH, Lips P. Relationships of serum 25-hydroxyvitamin D to bone mineral density and serum parathyroid hormone and markers of bone turnover in older persons. *The Journal of*

- Clinical Endocrinology & Metabolism. 2009;94(4):1244-50. Available from: <https://academic.oup.com/jcem/article/94/4/1244/2596345>.
24. Gannagé-Yared M-H, Chedid R, Khalife S, Azzi E, Zoghbi F, Halaby G. Vitamin D in relation to metabolic risk factors, insulin sensitivity and adiponectin in a young Middle-Eastern population. *European Journal of Endocrinology*. 2009;160(6):965-71. Available from: <https://eje.bioscientifica.com/view/journals/eje/160/6/965.xml>.
25. Islam MZ, Akhtaruzzaman M, Lamberg-Allardt C. Hypovitaminosis D is common in both veiled and nonveiled Bangladeshi women. *Asia Pacific journal of clinical nutrition*. 2006;15(1):81. Available from: <http://apjcn.nhri.org.tw/server/APJCN/15/1/81.pdf>.
26. Norris JM. Can the sunshine vitamin shed light on type 1 diabetes? *The Lancet*. 2001;358(9292):1476-8. Available from: <https://www.thelancet.com/journals/lancet/article/PIIS0140673601065709/fulltext>.
27. Holick MF, Biancuzzo RM, Chen TC, Klein EK, Young A, Bibuld D, et al. Vitamin D2 is as effective as vitamin D3 in maintaining circulating concentrations of 25-hydroxyvitamin D. *The Journal of Clinical Endocrinology & Metabolism*. 2008;93(3):677-81. Available from: <https://academic.oup.com/jcem/article/93/3/677/2598025>.
28. Thuesen B, Husemoen L, Fenger M, Jakobsen J, Schwarz P, Toft U, et al. Determinants of vitamin D status in a general population of Danish adults. *Bone*. 2012;50(3):605-10. Available from: <https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/abs/pii/S8756328211014001>.
29. Van der Wielen RP, De Groot L, Van Staveren W, Löwik M, Van den Berg H, Haller J, et al. Serum vitamin D concentrations among elderly people in Europe. *The Lancet*. 1995;346(8969):207-10. Available from: <https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/abs/pii/S0960076015300455>.
30. Micka AE. Vitamin D status among Bangladeshi women of reproductive age: University of Massachusetts Amherst Amherst, MA; 2009.



বঙ্গবন্ধু শেখ মুজিব মেডিক্যাল বিশ্ববিদ্যালয়
Bangabandhu Sheikh Mujib Medical University

Office of the Registrar

রেজিস্ট্রার অফিস

Date: 26-6-2018

No. BSMMU/2018/ 6572


Dr. Anil Yadav
MD(Phase-B) Resident
Department of Endocrinology and Metabolism
Bangabandhu Sheikh Mujib Medical University
Shahbag, Dhaka- 1000.

Sub: Institutional Review Board (I.R.B) Clearance.

With reference to your application on the above mentioned subject, this is to inform you that your Research Proposal entitled "**The optimal level of serum vitamin D in apparently healthy adult volunteer**" has been reviewed and approved by the Institutional Review Board (IRB) of Bangabandhu Sheikh Mujib Medical University in its 162th meeting held on 23 June 2018.

You are requested to follow the Institutional Review Board (I.R.B) guidelines.

Expected Examination date January 2020.


(Dr. Ferdous Alam)
Member Secretary
Institutional Review Board
BSMMU, Shahbag, Dhaka.