medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2020.07.27.20162693; this version posted July 29, 2020. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. All rights reserved. No reuse allowed without permission.

Seroprevalence of anti-SARS-CoV-2 IgG antibodies in Kenyan blood donors

Running Title: SARS-CoV-2 Sero-surveillance of blood donors in Kenya

Sophie Uyoga PhD[1*§], Ifedayo M.O. Adetifa FWACP PhD[1,2*], Henry K. Karanja MSc[1*], James Nyagwange PhD[1*], James Tuju PhD[1], Perpetual Wanjiku BSc[1], Rashid Aman[3] PhD, Mercy Mwangangi MSc[3], Patrick Amoth MMed[3], Kadondi Kasera MSc[3], Wangari Ng'ang'a MSc[4], Charles Rombo BSc[5], Christine Yegon BSc[5], Khamisi Kithi BSc[5], Elizabeth Odhiambo BSc[5], Thomas Rotich BSc[5], Irene Orgut BSc[5], Sammy Kihara Dip.[5], Mark Otiende MSc[1], Christian Bottomley PhD[2], Zonia N. Mupe[1] BSc, Eunice W. Kagucia PhD[1], Katherine E Gallagher PhD[1,2], Anthony Etyang PhD [1], Shirine Voller MSc[1,2], John N. Gitonga Dip.[1], Daisy Mugo BSc[1], Charles N. Agoti PhD[1], Edward Otieno BSc[1], Leonard Ndwiga MSc[1], Teresa Lambe PhD[6], Daniel Wright MSc[6], Edwine Barasa PhD[1], Benjamin Tsofa PhD[1], Philip Bejon PhD[1,6], Lynette I. Ochola-Oyier PhD[1], Ambrose Agweyu PhD[1†], J. Anthony G. Scott FMedSci [1,2†], George M. Warimwe PhD[1,6†]

[1] KEMRI-Wellcome Trust Research Programme, Kilifi, Kenya

[2] Department of Infectious Diseases Epidemiology, London School of Hygiene and Tropical Medicine, Keppel Street, London, United Kingdom

[3] Ministry of Health, Government of Kenya, Nairobi, Kenya

[4] Presidential Policy & Strategy Unit, The Presidency, Government of Kenya

[5] Kenya National Blood Transfusion Services, Ministry of Health, Nairobi, Kenya

[6] Nuffield Department of Medicine, Oxford University, United Kingdom

*These authors contributed equally to this work

†These authors contributed equally to this work

[§]Corresponding author

NOTE: This preprint reports new research that has not been certified by peer review and should not be used to guide clinical practice.

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2020.07.27.20162693; this version posted July 29, 2020. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. All rights reserved. No reuse allowed without permission.

Dr. Sophie Uyoga
Epidemiology and Demography Department, KEMRI-Wellcome Trust Research Programme, Kilifi, Kenya. SUyoga@kemri-wellcome.org

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2020.07.27.20162693; this version posted July 29, 2020. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. All rights reserved. No reuse allowed without permission.

Abstract

Background

There are no data on SARS-CoV-2 seroprevalence in Africa though the COVID-19 epidemic curve and reported mortality differ from patterns seen elsewhere. We estimated the anti-SARS-CoV-2 antibody prevalence among blood donors in Kenya.

Methods

We measured anti-SARS-CoV-2 spike IgG prevalence by ELISA on residual blood donor samples obtained between April 30 and June 16, 2020. Assay sensitivity and specificity were 83% (95% CI 59-96%) and 99.0% (95% CI 98.1-99.5%), respectively. National seroprevalence was estimated using Bayesian multilevel regression and post-stratification to account for non-random sampling with respect to age, sex and region, adjusted for assay performance.

Results

Complete data were available for 3098 of 3174 donors, aged 15-64 years. By comparison with the Kenyan population, the sample over- represented males (82% versus 49%), adults aged 25-34 years (40% versus 27%) and residents of coastal Counties (49% versus 9%). Crude overall seroprevalence was 5.6% (174/3098). Population-weighted, test- adjusted national seroprevalence was 5.2% (95% CI 3.7–7.1%). Seroprevalence was highest in the 3 largest urban Counties - Mombasa (9.3% [95% CI 6.4-13.2%)], Nairobi (8.5% [95% CI 4.9-13.5%]) and Kisumu (6.5% [95% CI 3.3-11.2%]).

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2020.07.27.20162693; this version posted July 29, 2020. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. All rights reserved. No reuse allowed without permission.

Conclusions

We estimate that 1 in 20 adults in Kenya had SARS-CoV-2 antibodies during the study period. By the median date of our survey, only 2093 COVID-19 cases and 71 deaths had been reported through the national screening system. This contrasts, by several orders of magnitude, with the numbers of cases and deaths reported in parts of Europe and America when seroprevalence was similar.

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2020.07.27.20162693; this version posted July 29, 2020. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. All rights reserved. No reuse allowed without permission.

Background

Africa accounts for 17% of the global population,[1] but has reported about 5% of global COVID-19 cases and 2.5% of global COVID-19 deaths to date.[2] This disparity has been variously attributed to limited capacity for diagnosis, timely implementation of stringent containment measures, a younger population structure[3] and a predominance of asymptomatic and mild infections.[4] The first case of COVID-19 in Kenya was detected on March 12, 2020. Within one week the government instituted several containment measures to limit the spread of the virus and the number of fatalities.[5] While the number of new cases continues to rise (14,168 cases and 250 deaths as at 21st July 2020)[6], the rate is notably slower than in Wuhan, China or Europe.

The proportion of COVID-19 cases that are asymptomatic varies by setting.[7] However, in countries like Kenya, with a young population, it is likely to be high.[3] In addition, testing algorithms have ascertained only a fraction of the symptomatic cases in most countries.[8] Good public health planning requires information on the potential burden of the pandemic on the healthcare system and the collateral effects of any mitigation measures. In countries affected early, serological surveillance was used to define cumulative incidence. For example, at the release of lockdown in Wuhan, 9.6% of staff resuming work were found to have anti-SARS-CoV-2 antibodies.[9] At the end of the epidemic wave in Spain, seropositivity was 5.0% in a random population sample of 60,897.[10] At the tail of the epidemic curve in Geneva, seroprevalence rose over three weeks from 4.8% to 10.9%.[11] Currently, we do not have any estimates of SARS-CoV-2 seroprevalence in Africa.

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2020.07.27.20162693; this version posted July 29, 2020. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. All rights reserved. No reuse allowed without permission.

Several studies conducted early in the epidemic curve have found seroprevalence substantially below 5%, which emphasises the need for a highly specific antibody assays.[12] IgG and IgM antibodies rise almost simultaneously within the first 1-3 weeks after symptom onset and 90% of cases are seropositive by 14 days.[12] IgG responses are weaker in pauci-symptomatic or asymptomatic cases and may wane rapidly.[13] A wide range of antibody assays have been developed principally against the spike and nucleocapsid proteins of SARS-CoV-2. To facilitate comparisons across studies, the World Health Organisation (WHO) convened a network to share a panel of test sera and evaluate inter-laboratory variation.[14]

Physical distancing and movement restrictions imposed as part of the COVID-19 response make random population sampling impracticable and therefore several countries have monitored seroprevalence in convenience samples from blood transfusion donors[15-17] or expectant mothers attending ante-natal clinics.[18] Here we report the results of a pragmatic national serological survey using residual blood samples from transfusion donors across Kenya.

Methods

Setting, Participants

This study was carried out at the KEMRI-Wellcome Trust Research Programme (KWTRP) in Kilifi, Kenya in collaboration with the Kenya National Blood Transfusion Service (KNBTS). The KWTRP is the government designated SARS-CoV-2 testing laboratory for the Coastal Region of Kenya.

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2020.07.27.20162693; this version posted July 29, 2020. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. All rights reserved. No reuse allowed without permission.

Anonymised residual donor serum samples used for screening of transfusion transmissible infections were collected at the KNBTS regional centres in 4 sites (Mombasa, Nairobi, Eldoret and Kisumu). The KNTBS guidelines[19] define eligible blood donors as individuals aged 16-65 years, weighing ≥50kg, with haemoglobin of 12.5g/dl, a normal blood pressure (systolic 120–129 mmHg and diastolic BP of 80–89 mmHg), a pulse rate of 60-100 beats per minute and without any history of illness in the past 6 months. KNBTS generally relies on voluntary non-remunerated blood donors (VNRD) recruited at public blood drives typically located in high schools, colleges and universities. Since September 2019, because of reduced funding, KNBTS has depended increasingly on family replacement donors (FRD) who provide units of blood in compensation for those received by sick relatives.

Antibody testing using a SARS-CoV-2 Spike Protein ELISA: Assay validation, selection and defining a threshold for seropositivity

We adapted the Krammer Enzyme linked Immunosorbent assay (ELISA) as follows[20]: Nunc MaxiSorp™ flat-bottom 96-well plates (ThermoFisherScientific) were coated with 2µg/ml of whole trimeric spike protein or spike receptor binding domain (RBD) at 37°C for 1h, washed 3 times in wash buffer (0.1% Tween 20 in 1X phosphate buffered saline) and blocked with Blocker™ Casein (ThermoFisherScientific) for 1h at room temperature. Heat-inactivated serum or plasma samples were diluted 1:800 in Blocker™ Casein, added to the spike or RBD-coated plates and incubated for 2h at room temperature. After a further three washes 100µl horseradish peroxidase (HRP) conjugated goat anti-human IgG antibody (KPL-SeraCare) diluted 1:10,000 in wash buffer was added to the plates, incubated for 1h at room temperature, washed 3 times

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2020.07.27.20162693; this version posted July 29, 2020. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. All rights reserved. No reuse allowed without permission.

and o-phenylenediamine dihydrochloride (OPD) substrate (Sigma) added for colour development for 10 min. Plates were read on an Infinite® 200 PRO microplate reader (TECAN) at 492 nm and optical density (OD) values for each sample acquired for analysis. The CR3022 monoclonal antibody (mAb) was used as positive control, while a pool of sera from 50 adults sampled pre-COVID-19 pandemic was used as negative control (see article supplement).

We explored different approaches to define seropositivity using well-characterised populations from before and during the COVID-19 pandemic (Table 1, Table S1, Supplementary text). For both the RBD and spike ELISA specificity was higher when using OD ratios (sample OD/negative control OD) rather than the raw sample OD plus 3 standard deviations. By OD ratio, each ELISA correctly classified 901 of the 910 pre-pandemic samples as seronegative and 15 of the 18 known reverse transcriptase polymerase chain reaction (RT-PCR) positive samples as seropositive (Tables 1, S1). However, the spike ELISA detected more seropositive individuals among those who were investigated for SARS-CoV-2 during the pandemic but were RT-PCR negative (Figure S2, panels A & B). Based on these data, we defined anti-SARS-CoV-2 IgG seropositivity as an OD ratio >2 and selected the spike ELISA for this study; the sensitivity and specificity of this threshold was 83% (95% CI: 59-96%) and 99.0% (95% CI 98.1-99.5%), respectively (Table 1, Figure S3 panels A & B).

Data Analysis

We tabulated seropositive results by age, sex and residence (county or region). Because the survey was a non-random sample of the Kenyan population, we also calculated standardised prevalence estimates using population data from the Kenya

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2020.07.27.20162693; this version posted July 29, 2020. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. All rights reserved. No reuse allowed without permission.

2019 census. We used 2 methods of standardisation; 1) direct standardisation on the observed prevalence and population weights in 80 region-age-sex strata, and 2) multilevel regression and post-stratification (MLRP) adjusted for the sensitivity and specificity of the assay.[21 22] To predict stratum prevalence for MLRP we fitted a Bayesian logistic regression model that included sex as a fixed effect and age and region as random effects.[23] The Bayesian analysis (see Supplement) was done using the rjags package in R version 3.6.1.[24] We used vague or weakly informative priors for all parameters except the sensitivity (83.0%) and specificity (99.0%) which took bounds from previous studies (62.0%-92.0% and 98.6%-99.8%, respectively).[21].

We plotted sample distribution and seroprevalence over time to illustrate potential time-trends and tabulated the distribution and seroprevalence across different donor groups (FRDs vs VNRDs) to explore potential biases.

Ethical Considerations

This study was approved by the Scientific and Ethics Review Unit (SERU) of the Kenya Medical Research Institute (Protocol SSC 3426). Before the blood draw, donors gave individual consent the use of their samples for research.

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2020.07.27.20162693; this version posted July 29, 2020. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. All rights reserved. No reuse allowed without permission.

Results

General characteristics of the study population

A total of 3,174 samples were collected between April 30 and June 16, 2020, from individuals aged 15-66 years at four regional centres; approximately half of the samples were drawn in Mombasa, and the remainder were evenly distributed between Nairobi, Kisumu and Eldoret (Figure 1). We excluded 18 duplicate samples, 56 records missing data on age or collection date and 2 records from individuals aged ≥65 years, leaving a total of 3098 (Figure 1).

Compared to the population structure from the 2019 Kenya Population and Housing Census, our participants were predominantly male (82.0% vs 49.3%), had more persons aged 25-34 years (40.1% vs 27.3%) and more residents of coastal Counties (49.2% versus 9.1%, Table 2). In addition, the 15-64-year-old category sampled in our study comprises only 57.1% (27,150,165) of the total population (47,564,296) of Kenya in 2019. Those aged <15 years and ≥65 years make up 39.0% and 3.9% of the total population, respectively.

Anti-SARS-CoV-2 IgG antibody prevalence in study population

Overall, 174 of 3098 samples were positive for SARS-CoV-2 anti-Spike IgG giving a crude seroprevalence of 5.6% (95% CI 4.8–6.5%). Crude seroprevalence varied by age (p=0.046), ranging between 3.4-7.0% among adults 15-54 years; all 71 donors aged 55-64 years were seronegative (Table 3). Crude seroprevalence did not vary significantly by sex (p=0.50) but did by region, ranging from 1.9% in the Rift Valley region to 10.0% in the Western region (p=0.002, Table 3).

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2020.07.27.20162693; this version posted July 29, 2020. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. All rights reserved. No reuse allowed without permission.

The Bayesian population-weighted and test-adjusted seroprevalence for Kenya was 5.2% (95% CI 3.7-7.1%, Table 3) and the posterior sensitivity and specificity estimates were 82.5% (95% CI 69.6-91.2%) and 99.2 (95% CI 98.7-99.6%), respectively. Seroprevalence was higher (5.1-6.2%) in the younger age groups (15-44 years) and then declined in the subsequent age groups (45-64 years) but was similar for both sexes. Seroprevalence was highest for Mombasa, Nairobi and the Western region though the number of observations for the Western region was small. The directly-standardised seroprevalence estimates are presented in Table S2 and the directly-standardised national seroprevalence (5.4%) did not differ substantially from the Bayesian population-weighted, test-adjusted estimate. Seroprevalence was also calculated for Counties that had at least 120 donors sampled. The three largest urban Counties of Mombasa, Nairobi, and Kisumu had SARS-CoV-2 seroprevalence of 9.3% (95% CI 6.4-13.2%), 8.5% (95% CI 4.9-13.5%) and 6.5% (95% CI 3.3-11.2%), respectively (Table S3).

The frequency of blood donor sampling and crude seroprevalence estimates increased with time over the 7-week study period (Figure 2). The median sample date was May 30, 2020 while the mid-point of the study was May 24, 2020. We did not adjust for sample date because the period of sampling varied for residents of different counties (Figure 2C) instead we show the variation in crude prevalence over time (Figure 2A).

The VNRDs were 7.6% (236/3098) of donors while the remainder were FRDs. The two groups did not differ significantly by age (p=0.15) or sex (p=0.51, Table S4). Crude seroprevalence was 8.5% (20/236) for VNRDs and 5.4% (154/2862) for FRDs.

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2020.07.27.20162693; this version posted July 29, 2020. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. All rights reserved. No reuse allowed without permission.

However, the median sample date for VNRDs (June 14, 2020) was two weeks later than the median sample date for FRDs (May 14, 2020).

Discussion

In this anti-SARS-CoV-2 IgG seroprevalence study of blood donors in Kenya, the crude prevalence was 5.6% and the population-weighted test-adjusted seroprevalence was 5.2%. To the best of our knowledge, this is the first published report of SARS-CoV-2 seroepidemiology in Africa.

Surprisingly, population exposure across the country is considerably higher than previously thought. As expected, seroprevalence was highest in the three urban counties; Mombasa (9.3%), Nairobi (8.5%) and Kisumu (6.5%). Consistent with other studies, seroprevalence did not vary significantly by sex;[25] it peaked in 35-44-year-olds and was lowest for those ≥45 years, which is also consistent with other studies reporting lower seroprevalence in older adults[10 11] Antibody responses are related to the severity of disease[25] and asymptomatic infections, which elicit the least detectable immune responses, are more common in younger populations[4 26]. In older populations, antibody responses may be modified by pre-existing HCoV-elicited immunity[27 28] and seroprevalence may also be reduced by lower risk of exposure (‘shielding’ at home).

The prevalence of SARS-CoV-2 antibodies in our study is comparable to estimates from large population-based serosurveys in China, Switzerland, Spain and the USA after the initial epidemic peak.[10 11 29 30] Our results are also comparable to other surveys

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2020.07.27.20162693; this version posted July 29, 2020. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. All rights reserved. No reuse allowed without permission.

of blood donors in Brazil[16], Italy[15], and many parts of England[17]. Kenya has an estimated population of 53 million in 2020 and 57% of the population is aged 15-64 years. A seroprevalence of 5.2% would therefore suggest approximately 1.6 million infections among 15-64-year olds in Kenya. By the median date of our survey, May 30, 2020, only 2093 cases (of which approximately 90% were asymptomatic) and 71 deaths of all ages had been reported through the national screening system.[31] The period of sample collection overlapped with the period of government-mandated restrictions; physical distancing measures were first implemented from 20th March 2020.

Anti-SARS-Cov-2 antibody surveys can be used to monitor the spread of the pandemic across the population and to inform mathematical models that predict the course of the epidemic.[32] Like many other countries, Kenya began easing restriction measures from July 2020 including re-opening of domestic flights which may increase transmission. We intend to monitor SARS-CoV-2 spread by continuous sampling of blood donors throughout the course of the epidemic in Kenya to inform the national response.

Serological surveys can also be used to estimate the state of population immunity. However, the low seroprevalence observed in countries that have experienced a substantial first wave of the epidemic implies that relying on herd immunity is unlikely to terminate the pandemic. At the same time, these observations have provoked a lively debate on alternative mechanisms of immunity to SARS-CoV-2 including cell-mediated immunity[33-35] and the role of pre-existing HCoV-elicited immunity[27, 28] as well as the function and duration of antibody responses following

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2020.07.27.20162693; this version posted July 29, 2020. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. All rights reserved. No reuse allowed without permission.

infection[13 36-38]. Despite our work showing HCoVs circulate in Kenya[39], we did not identify evidence of cross-reactive antibodies to endemic coronaviruses in the validation of our assay. Other reports suggest that anti-spike antibody responses may be insensitive as a correlate of exposure to the virus or as a correlate of immunity to the diseases.[40]

A key strength of this study is the rigorous validation that included samples from the target population as well as reference plasma from the UK NIBSC as part of a WHO coordinated effort on SARS-CoV-2 seroepidemiology. In addition, we adopted a conservative seropositivity threshold to prioritise specificity over sensitivity. This is relevant in settings like ours, where seroprevalence is under 10% and a similar approach has been implemented by others.[10]

Although blood donors are not representative of the Kenyan population as a whole, we adjusted for bias in the sample structure by standardisation against the age, sex, and regional distribution of the Kenyan population. A substantial proportion (43%) of the population of Kenya are outside the age-range (15-64 years), sampled in this study. The small proportion of older adults in Kenya may be one reason why so few COVID deaths have been observed and the large proportion of children <15 years may explain why so few cases have been observed despite significant evidence of transmission in the population.[3]

Blood donors may also be unrepresentative of the general population because their risk of exposure differs. This bias could work two ways; volunteers are excluded from giving blood if they have been ill during the last two weeks so the sample may

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2020.07.27.20162693; this version posted July 29, 2020. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. All rights reserved. No reuse allowed without permission.

underestimate the population SARS-CoV-2 antibody prevalence; on the other hand, people who are shielding at home are unlikely to be captured in our sample leading to an overestimate of seroprevalence. Our exploration of the two distinct populations of blood donors, FRDs and VNRDs, suggests variation in the seroprevalence by donor group but, of note, 92% (2862/3098) of our sample came from the group with lower seroprevalence.

Conclusions

Our study provides the first national and regional estimates of population exposure to SARS-CoV-2 in an African country. The highest prevalence was seen in the 3 urban counties. The results suggest about 1 in 20 people aged 15-64 years have been exposed to SARS-CoV-2 which is in sharp contrast with the very small numbers of COVID-19 cases and deaths reported during the same period.

Acknowledgements

This project was funded by the Wellcome Trust (220991/Z/20/Z; 203077/Z/16/Z). Sophie Uyoga and Charles N. Agoti are funded by DELTAS Africa Initiative [DEL-15-003], Isabella Ochola-Oyier is funded by a Wellcome Trust Intermediate Fellowship (107568/Z/15/Z), Ambrose Agweyu is funded by a DFID/MRC/NIHR/Wellcome Trust Joint Global Health Trials Award (MR/R006083/1), J. Anthony G Scott is funded by a Wellcome Trust Senior Research Fellowship (214320) and the NIHR Health Protection Research Unit in Immunisation, Ifedayo Adetifa is funded by an MRC/DFID African Research Leader Fellowship (MR/S005293/1) and by the NIHR-MPRU at UCL (grant 2268427 LSHTM). GMW

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2020.07.27.20162693; this version posted July 29, 2020. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. All rights reserved. No reuse allowed without permission.

is supported by a fellowship from the Oak Foundation. Charles N. Agoti is funded by the DELTAS Africa Initiative [DEL-15-003], and the Department for International Development and Wellcome (220985/Z/20/Z). We thank Prof Florian Krammer for providing the plasmids used to generate the RBD, spike protein, and CR3022 mAb used in this work. Development of SARS-CoV-2 reagents was partially supported by the NIAID Centres of Excellence for Influenza Research and Surveillance (CEIRS) contract HHSN272201400008C. The COVID-19 convalescent plasma panel (NIBSC 20/118) and research reagent for SARS-CoV-2 Ab (NIBSC 20/130) were obtained from the National Institute for Biological Standards and Control, UK. We thank the blood donors and KNBTS staff who supported this work. We also thank the WHO SOLIDARITY II network for sharing of protocols and for facilitating the development and distribution of control reagents.

This paper has been published with the permission of the Director, Kenya Medical Research Institute.

References

1. United Nations DoEaSA, Population Division,. World Urbanization Prospects: The 2018 Revision, custom data acquired via website https://population.un.org/wup/DataQuery/ 2018

2. Africa Centres for Diseases Control and Prevention. Coronavirus Disease 2019 (COVID-19). https://africacdc.org/covid-19/. Accessed 21 July 2020 2020

3. Dowd JB, Andriano L, Brazel DM, et al. Demographic science aids in understanding the spread and fatality rates of COVID-19. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* 2020;117(18):9696-98. doi: 10.1073/pnas.2004911117 [published Online First: 2020/04/18]

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2020.07.27.20162693; this version posted July 29, 2020. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. All rights reserved. No reuse allowed without permission.

4. Diop BZ, Ngom M, Biyong CP, et al. *BMJ Glob Health* 2020;5(5):e002699. doi: 10.1136/bmjgh-2020-002699

5. Ministry of Health K. Press Statement on the update of the coronavirus in the country and response measures. https://www.health.go.ke/wp-content/uploads/2020/03/Coronavirus-Press-Statement-March-17-2020.pdf 2020

6. Ministry of Health K. COVID-19 Situation Reports (SITREP). https://www.health.go.ke/#1591180376422-52af4c1e-256b 2020

7. Byambasuren O, Cardona M, Bell K, et al. Estimating the extent of asymptomatic COVID-19 and its potential for community transmission: systematic review and meta-analysis. *medRxiv* 2020:2020.05.10.20097543. doi: 10.1101/2020.05.10.20097543

8. Oran DP, Topol EJ. Prevalence of Asymptomatic SARS-CoV-2 Infection: A Narrative Review. *Ann Intern Med* 2020 doi: 10.7326/M20-3012 [published Online First: 2020/06/04]

9. Wu X, Fu B, Chen L, et al. Serological tests facilitate identification of asymptomatic SARS-CoV-2 infection in Wuhan, China. *J Med Virol* 2020 doi: 10.1002/jmv.25904 [published Online First: 2020/04/21]

10. Pollán M, Pérez-Gómez B, Pastor-Barriuso R, et al. Prevalence of SARS-CoV-2 in Spain (ENE-COVID): a nationwide, population-based seroepidemiological study. *Lancet* 2020 doi: 10.1016/s0140-6736(20)31483-5 [published Online First: 2020/07/10]

11. Stringhini S, Wisniak A, Piumatti G, et al. Seroprevalence of anti-SARS-CoV-2 IgG antibodies in Geneva, Switzerland (SEROCoV-POP): a population-based study. *Lancet* 2020 doi: 10.1016/S0140-6736(20)31304-0 [published Online First: 2020/06/15]

12. Deeks JJ, Dinnes J, Takwoingi Y, et al. Antibody tests for identification of current and past infection with SARS□CoV□2. *Cochrane Database of Systematic Reviews* 2020(6) doi: 10.1002/14651858.CD013652

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2020.07.27.20162693; this version posted July 29, 2020. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. All rights reserved. No reuse allowed without permission.

13. Long Q-X, Tang X-J, Shi Q-L, et al. Clinical and immunological assessment of asymptomatic SARS-CoV-2 infections. *Nature Medicine* 2020 doi: 10.1038/s41591-020-0965-6

14. World Health Organisation. "Solidarity II" global serologic study for COVID-19. https://www.who.int/emergencies/diseases/novel-coronavirus-2019/global-research-on-novel-coronavirus-2019-ncov/solidarity-2-global-serologic-study-for-covid-19. 2020

15. Valenti L, Bergna A, Pelusi S, et al. SARS-CoV-2 seroprevalence trends in healthy blood donors during the COVID-19 Milan outbreak. *medRxiv* 2020:2020.05.11.20098442. doi: 10.1101/2020.05.11.20098442

16. Amorim Filho L, Szwarcwald CL, Mateos SOG, et al. Seroprevalence of anti-SARS-CoV-2 among blood donors in Rio de Janeiro, Brazil. *Rev Saude Publica* 2020;54:69. doi: 10.11606/s1518-8787.2020054002643 [published Online First: 2020/07/09]

17. Public Health England. Sero-prevalence epidemiology, England. https://assets.publishing.service.gov.uk/government/uploads/system/uploads/attachment_data/file/899301/Weekly_COVID19_Surveillance_Report_week_28.pdf in Weekly Coronavirus Disease 2019 (COVID-19) Surveillance Report 2020 Week 28 2020

18. Dustin D. Flannery, Sigrid Gouma, Miren B. Dhudasia, et al. SARS-CoV-2 Seroprevalence Among Parturient Women, 09 May 2020, PREPRINT (Version 1) available at Research Square [+https://doi.org/10.21203/rs.3.rs-27402/v1+]. 2020

19. Ministry of Health K. Policy Guidelines on Blood Transfusion in Kenya. The National Blood Transfusion Service of Kenya. https://nbtskenya.or.ke/wp-content/uploads/2019/02/Policy-Guidelines-on-Blood-Transfusion-in-Kenya.pdf. Accessed 20 July 2020 November 2001

20. Amanat F, Stadlbauer D, Strohmeier S, et al. A serological assay to detect SARS-CoV-2 seroconversion in humans. *Nature Medicine* 2020 doi: 10.1038/s41591-020-0913-5

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2020.07.27.20162693; this version posted July 29, 2020. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. All rights reserved. No reuse allowed without permission.

21. Gelman A, Carpenter C. Bayesian analysis of tests with unknown specificity and sensitivity. . *Journal of the Royal Statistical Society C, Applied Statistics 2020 In press Applied Statistics* *http://wwwstatcolumbiaedu/~gelman/research/unpublished/specificitypdf* 2020

22. Gelman A, Little T. Poststratification into many categories using hierarchical logistic regression. . *Survey Methodology* 1997;23:127-35.

23. Gelman A, Hill J. Data Analysis Using Regression and Multilevel/Hierarchical Models. New York, United States: Cambridge University Press 2007.

24. Plummer M. rjags: Bayesian Graphical Models using MCMC. R package version 4-10. https://CRAN.R-project.org/package=rjags 2019

25. Huang AT, Garcia-Carreras B, Hitchings MDT, et al. A systematic review of antibody mediated immunity to coronaviruses: antibody kinetics, correlates of protection, and association of antibody responses with severity of disease. *medRxiv* 2020:2020.04.14.20065771. doi: 10.1101/2020.04.14.20065771

26. Yang R, Gui X, Xiong Y. Comparison of Clinical Characteristics of Patients with Asymptomatic vs Symptomatic Coronavirus Disease 2019 in Wuhan, China. *JAMA Network Open* 2020;3(5):e2010182-e82. doi: 10.1001/jamanetworkopen.2020.10182

27. Sette A, Crotty S. Pre-existing immunity to SARS-CoV-2: the knowns and unknowns. *Nat Rev Immunol* 2020 doi: 10.1038/s41577-020-0389-z [published Online First: 2020/07/09]

28. Ng KW, Faulkner N, Cornish G, et al. Pre-existing and de novo humoral immunity to SARS-CoV-2 in humans. *bioRxiv* 2020:2020.05.14.095414. doi: 10.1101/2020.05.14.095414

29. Xu X, Sun J, Nie S, et al. Seroprevalence of immunoglobulin M and G antibodies against SARS-CoV-2 in China. *Nat Med* 2020 doi: 10.1038/s41591-020-0949-6 [published Online First: 2020/06/07]

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2020.07.27.20162693; this version posted July 29, 2020. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. All rights reserved. No reuse allowed without permission.

30. Havers FP, Reed C, Lim T, et al. Seroprevalence of Antibodies to SARS-CoV-2 in 10 Sites in the United States, March 23-May 12, 2020. *JAMA Internal Medicine* 2020 doi: 10.1001/jamainternmed.2020.4130

31. Ministry of Health K. COVID-19 Outbreak in Kenya. Daily Situation Report - 77 https://www.health.go.ke/wp-content/uploads/2020/06/Kenya-COVID-19-SITREP-077-02-Jun-2020.pdf. Accessed 21 July 2020 2020

32. Clark A, Jit M, Warren-Gash C, et al. Global, regional, and national estimates of the population at increased risk of severe COVID-19 due to underlying health conditions in 2020: a modelling study. *Lancet Glob Health* 2020 doi: 10.1016/S2214-109X(20)30264-3 [published Online First: 2020/06/20]

33. Grifoni A, Weiskopf D, Ramirez SI, et al. Targets of T Cell Responses to SARS-CoV-2 Coronavirus in Humans with COVID-19 Disease and Unexposed Individuals. *Cell* 2020;181(7):1489-501 e15. doi: 10.1016/j.cell.2020.05.015 [published Online First: 2020/05/31]

34. Le Bert N, Tan AT, Kunasegaran K, et al. SARS-CoV-2-specific T cell immunity in cases of COVID-19 and SARS, and uninfected controls. *Nature* 2020 doi: 10.1038/s41586-020-2550-z [published Online First: 2020/07/16]

35. Sekine T, Perez-Potti A, Rivera-Ballesteros O, et al. Robust T cell immunity in convalescent individuals with asymptomatic or mild COVID-19. *bioRxiv* 2020 doi: 10.1101/2020.06.29.174888

36. Ibarrondo FJ, Fulcher JA, Goodman-Meza D, et al. Rapid Decay of Anti–SARS-CoV-2 Antibodies in Persons with Mild Covid-19. *New England Journal of Medicine* 2020 doi: 10.1056/NEJMc2025179

37. Wajnberg A, Amanat F, Firpo A, et al. SARS-CoV-2 infection induces robust, neutralizing antibody responses that are stable for at least three months. *medRxiv* 2020:2020.07.14.20151126. doi: 10.1101/2020.07.14.20151126

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2020.07.27.20162693; this version posted July 29, 2020. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. All rights reserved. No reuse allowed without permission.

38. Grandjean L, Saso A, Ortiz A, et al. Humoral Response Dynamics Following Infection with SARS-CoV-2. *medRxiv* 2020:2020.07.16.20155663. doi: 10.1101/2020.07.16.20155663

39. Otieno GP, Murunga N, Agoti CN, et al. Surveillance of endemic human coronaviruses (HCoV-NL63, OC43 and 229E) associated with pneumonia in Kilifi, Kenya [version 1; peer review: awaiting peer review]. Wellcome Open Res 2020, 5:150 (https://doi.org/10.12688/wellcomeopenres.16037.1). 2020

40. Okba NMA, Muller MA, Li W, et al. Severe Acute Respiratory Syndrome Coronavirus 2-Specific Antibody Responses in Coronavirus Disease Patients. *Emerg Infect Dis* 2020;26(7):1478-88. doi: 10.3201/eid2607.200841 [published Online First: 2020/04/09]

Table 1. Results for SARS-CoV-2 IgG ELISA validation using sample OD/negative control OD ratio >2 threshold

Test population/samples	N	RBD +ve	Spike +ve	Both +ve
Pre-pandemic panel (gold standard negatives)				
Adults, Kilifi cross-sectional survey, 2018	**200**	5	2	0
Adults, coastal Kenya blood donors, 2018	**500**	2	5	0
Children, Kilifi cross-sectional survey, 2018	**200**	2	2	1
Children, HCoV (OC43, NL63) convalescent plasma, 2011-13	**9**	0	0	0
NIBSC UK adults pooled plasma	**1**	0	0	0
Pandemic panel (gold standard positives)				
NIBSC UK COVID-19 convalescent plasma	**5**	5	5	5
SARS-CoV-2 PCR positive cohort	**13**	10	10	10
Unknowns				
SARS-CoV-2 PCR negative adults (Kenya)	**542**	48	64	44

OD- Optical Density
SD- Standard Deviation
NIBSC UK- National Institute of Biological Standards and Control, United Kingdom
HCoV- Human coronaviruses; convalescent plasma from 4 children admitted with HCoV NL63 infection, and 5 with HCoV OC43 infection.[39]

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2020.07.27.20162693; this version posted July 29, 2020. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. All rights reserved. No reuse allowed without permission.

Table 2. General characteristics of the study population compared to the general population of Kenya

		Blood transfusion samples		Kenya National Census 2019	
		N	%	N	%
Age	15-24 years	808	26.1	9,733,174	35.8
	25-34 years	1,242	40.1	7,424,967	27.3
	35-44 years	714	23.0	4,909,191	18.1
	45-54 years	263	8.5	3,094,771	11.4
	55-64 years	71	2.3	1,988,062	7.3
Sex	Male	2540	82.0	13,388,243	49.3
	Female	558	18.0	13,761,922	50.7
Regions	Central	105	3.4	3,452,213	12.7
	Mombasa	550	17.8	792,072	2.9
	Other Coast	973	31.4	1,671,097	6.2
	Eastern / N. Eastern	242	7.8	5,176,080	19.1
	Nairobi	235	7.6	3,002,314	11.1
	Nyanza	442	14.3	3,363,813	12.4
	Rift Valley	481	15.5	7,035,581	25.9
	Western	70	2.3	2,656,995	9.8
Total	Kenya 15-64 years	3098		27,150,165	

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2020.07.27.20162693; this version posted July 29, 2020. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. All rights reserved. No reuse allowed without permission.

Table 3. Crude, population-weighted, and test performance-adjusted SARS-CoV-2 anti-spike protein IgG seroprevalence by participant characteristics and Regions

	All samples	Seropositive samples	Crude seroprevalence %	(95% CI)	Kenya population (2019 Census)	Bayesian population-weighted seroprevalence* %	(95% CI)	Bayesian population-weighted, test-adjusted seroprevalence* %	(95% CI)
Age									
15 - 24 years	808	49	6.1	4.5 - 7.9	9,733,174	5.1	3.7 - 6.9	5.3	3.5 - 7.8
25 - 34years	1242	66	5.3	4.1 - 6.7	7,424,967	4.9	3.6 - 6.4	5.1	3.5 - 7.3
35 - 44 years	714	50	7.0	5.2 - 9.1	4,909,191	5.9	4.3 - 8.1	6.2	4.1 - 9.3
45 - 54 years	263	9	3.4	1.6 - 6.4	3,094,771	3.8	1.9 - 6.0	3.9	1.5 - 6.7
55 - 64 years	71	0	0		1,988,062	3.4	0.7 - 6.2	3.7	0.7 - 7.0
Sex									
Male	2540	146	5.7	4.9 - 6.7	13,388,243	4.4	2.9 - 6.2	4.5	2.6 - 7.2
Female	558	28	5.0	3.4 – 7.2	13,761,922	5.5	4.4 - 6.8	5.8	4.3 - 7.8
Regions									
Central	105	7	6.7	2.7 - 13.2	3,452,213	5.6	2.9 - 10.0	5.9	2.6 - 11.6
Mombasa	550	51	9.3	7.0 - 12.0	792,072	8.3	6.1 - 10.9	9.2	6.4 - 12.9
Other Coast	973	39	4.0	2.9 - 5.4	1,671,097	3.7	2.6 - 5.1	3.7	2.2 - 5.6
Eastern / N. Eastern	242	11	4.5	2.3 - 8.0	5,176,080	4.3	2.5 - 7.0	4.4	2.0 - 7.8
Nairobi	235	21	8.9	5.6 - 13.3	3,002,314	7.6	4.9 - 11.2	8.4	4.9 - 13.2
Nyanza	442	30	6.8	4.6 - 9.5	3,363,813	6.0	4.2 - 8.4	6.3	3.9 - 9.6
Rift Valley	481	8	1.7	0.7 - 3.3	7,035,581	2.1	1.1 - 3.6	1.9	0.7 - 3.8
Western	70	7	10.0	4.1 - 19.5	2,656,995	7.0	3.5 - 13.1	7.7	3.3 - 15.5
Total	3,098	174	5.6	4.8 - 6.5	27,150,165	4.9	3.9 - 6.2	5.2	3.7 - 7.1

*Re-weighted prevalence estimates based on demographic data from the 2019 Kenya Population and Housing Census.

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2020.07.27.20162693; this version posted July 29, 2020. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. All rights reserved. No reuse allowed without permission.

Table 1. Results for SARS-CoV-2 IgG ELISA validation using sample OD/negative control OD ratio >2 threshold

Test population/samples	N	RBD +ve	Spike +ve	Both +ve
Pre-pandemic panel (gold standard negatives)				
Adults, Kilifi cross-sectional survey, 2018	**200**	5	2	0
Adults, coastal Kenya blood donors, 2018	**500**	2	5	0
Children, Kilifi cross-sectional survey, 2018	**200**	2	2	1
Children, HCoV (OC43, NL63) convalescent plasma, 2011-13	**9**	0	0	0
NIBSC UK adults pooled plasma	**1**	0	0	0
Pandemic panel (gold standard positives)				
NIBSC UK COVID-19 convalescent plasma	**5**	5	5	5
SARS-CoV-2 PCR positive cohort	**13**	10	10	10
Unknowns				
SARS-CoV-2 PCR negative adults (Kenya)	**542**	48	64	44

OD- Optical Density
SD- Standard Deviation
NIBSC UK- National Institute of Biological Standards and Control, United Kingdom
HCoV- Human coronaviruses; convalescent plasma from 4 children admitted with HCoV NL63 infection, and 5 with HCoV OC43 infection.[39]

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2020.07.27.20162693; this version posted July 29, 2020. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. All rights reserved. No reuse allowed without permission.

Table 2. General characteristics of the study population compared to the general population of Kenya

		Blood transfusion samples		Kenya National Census 2019	
		N	%	N	%
Age	15-24 years	808	26.1	9,733,174	35.8
	25-34 years	1,242	40.1	7,424,967	27.3
	35-44 years	714	23.0	4,909,191	18.1
	45-54 years	263	8.5	3,094,771	11.4
	55-64 years	71	2.3	1,988,062	7.3
Sex	Male	2540	82.0	13,388,243	49.3
	Female	558	18.0	13,761,922	50.7
Regions	Central	105	3.4	3,452,213	12.7
	Mombasa	550	17.8	792,072	2.9
	Other Coast	973	31.4	1,671,097	6.2
	Eastern / N. Eastern	242	7.8	5,176,080	19.1
	Nairobi	235	7.6	3,002,314	11.1
	Nyanza	442	14.3	3,363,813	12.4
	Rift Valley	481	15.5	7,035,581	25.9
	Western	70	2.3	2,656,995	9.8
Total	Kenya 15-64 years	3098		27,150,165	

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2020.07.27.20162693; this version posted July 29, 2020. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. All rights reserved. No reuse allowed without permission.

Table 3. Crude, population-weighted, and test performance-adjusted SARS-CoV-2 anti-spike protein IgG seroprevalence by participant characteristics and Regions

	All samples	Seropositive samples	Crude seroprevalence %	(95% CI)	Kenya population (2019 Census)	Bayesian population-weighted seroprevalence* %	(95% CI)	Bayesian population-weighted, test-adjusted seroprevalence* %	(95% CI)
Age									
15 - 24 years	808	49	6.1	4.5 - 7.9	9,733,174	5.1	3.7 - 6.9	5.3	3.5 - 7.8
25 - 34years	1242	66	5.3	4.1 - 6.7	7,424,967	4.9	3.6 - 6.4	5.1	3.5 - 7.3
35 - 44 years	714	50	7.0	5.2 - 9.1	4,909,191	5.9	4.3 - 8.1	6.2	4.1 - 9.3
45 - 54 years	263	9	3.4	1.6 - 6.4	3,094,771	3.8	1.9 - 6.0	3.9	1.5 - 6.7
55 - 64 years	71	0	0		1,988,062	3.4	0.7 - 6.2	3.7	0.7 - 7.0
Sex									
Male	2540	146	5.7	4.9 - 6.7	13,388,243	4.4	2.9 - 6.2	4.5	2.6 - 7.2
Female	558	28	5.0	3.4 – 7.2	13,761,922	5.5	4.4 - 6.8	5.8	4.3 - 7.8
Regions									
Central	105	7	6.7	2.7 - 13.2	3,452,213	5.6	2.9 - 10.0	5.9	2.6 - 11.6
Mombasa	550	51	9.3	7.0 - 12.0	792,072	8.3	6.1 - 10.9	9.2	6.4 - 12.9
Other Coast	973	39	4.0	2.9 - 5.4	1,671,097	3.7	2.6 - 5.1	3.7	2.2 - 5.6
Eastern / N. Eastern	242	11	4.5	2.3 - 8.0	5,176,080	4.3	2.5 - 7.0	4.4	2.0 - 7.8
Nairobi	235	21	8.9	5.6 - 13.3	3,002,314	7.6	4.9 - 11.2	8.4	4.9 - 13.2
Nyanza	442	30	6.8	4.6 - 9.5	3,363,813	6.0	4.2 - 8.4	6.3	3.9 - 9.6
Rift Valley	481	8	1.7	0.7 - 3.3	7,035,581	2.1	1.1 - 3.6	1.9	0.7 - 3.8
Western	70	7	10.0	4.1 - 19.5	2,656,995	7.0	3.5 - 13.1	7.7	3.3 - 15.5
Total	3,098	174	5.6	4.8 - 6.5	27,150,165	4.9	3.9 - 6.2	5.2	3.7 - 7.1

*Re-weighted prevalence estimates based on demographic data from the 2019 Kenya Population and Housing Census.

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2020.07.27.20162693; this version posted July 29, 2020. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. All rights reserved. No reuse allowed without permission.

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2020.07.27.20162693; this version posted July 29, 2020. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. All rights reserved. No reuse allowed without permission.

Figure 1. Participant Flow Diagram for SARS-CoV-2 Seroprevalence study of Blood Donors in Kenya



medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2020.07.27.20162693; this version posted July 29, 2020. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. All rights reserved. No reuse allowed without permission.

Figure 2. Timeline of sampling for SARS-CoV-2 seroprevalence in blood donors in Kenya. Against the timeline of the sampling period panel A shows the weekly crude seroprevalence, panel B shows the daily frequency of samples collected and panel C shows the temporal distribution of samples by region.