

1 Artificial intelligence analytics applied to body mass
2 index global burden of disease worldwide cohort data
3 derives a multiple regression formula with population
4 attributable fraction risk factor coefficients testable by all
5 nine Bradford Hill causality criteria

6
7 David K Cundiff, MD (0000-0002-3206-9665), independent researcher,^{1 3} Chunyi Wu, PhD
8 (0000-0002-2186-3433), Research Epidemiologist/Statistician^{2 3}

9 ¹ Long Beach, California, USA

10 ² Area Specialist Lead in Epidemiology and Statistics, Michigan Medicine, Ann Arbor,
11 Michigan, USA

12 ³ Volunteer collaborators with the Institute of Health Metrics and Evaluation, Seattle,
13 Washington, USA

14 Correspondence to: David K Cundiff davidkcundiff@gmail.com Phone: 1-562-438-880

15 Word count: abstract=349, text=3164

16

17 **Research in context**

18

19 **Evidence before this study**

20

21 Comparative risk assessment (CRA) systematic literature review-based methodology has been
22 used in worldwide global burden of disease (GBD) analysis to determine population attributable
23 fraction(s) (PAF(s)) for one or more risk factors for various health outcomes. So far, CRA has
24 not been applied to derive PAFs for dietary and other risk factors for worldwide BMI.

25 Artificial intelligence (AI) analytics has not yet been applied to worldwide GBD data as an
26 alternative to the CRA methodology for determining risk factor PAFs for health outcomes.

27 **Added value of this study** □

28 A multiple regression derived BMI formula (BMI formula) including PAFs of 20 dietary risk
29 factors, physical activity, childhood severe underweight, and sex satisfied all nine Bradford Hill
30 causality criteria. The BMI formula also plausibly predicted the long-term BMI outcomes related
31 to various dietary and physical activity scenarios. All the BMI formula's 24 risk factor PAFs
32 were consistent in sign (+ or -) with the preponderance of previously published studies on those
33 risk factors related to BMI.

34

35 **Implications of all the available evidence**

36 The AI analytics methodology of GBD data modeling of BMI and associated risk factors infers
37 causality of the BMI formula estimates with BMI worldwide and BMIs of subsets. This
38 methodology may enable multiple regression formulas for risk factors of health outcomes for a
39 range of non-communicable diseases—testable by Bradford Hill causality criteria.

40 **Summary**

41 **Background:** Artificial intelligence (AI) analytics have not been applied to global burden of
42 disease (GBD) risk factor data to study population health. The comparative risk assessment
43 (CRA) systematic literature review-based methodology for population attributable fractions
44 (PAFs in percent's) calculations has not been utilised for quantifying dietary and other risk
45 factors for body mass index kg/M^2 (BMI).

46 **Methods:** Institute of Health Metrics and Evaluation (IHME) staff and volunteer collaborators
47 analysed over 12,000 GBD risk factor surveys of people from 195 countries and synthesized the
48 data into representative mean cohort BMI and risk factor values. We formatted IHME GBD data
49 relevant to BMI and associated risk factors. We empirically explored the univariate and multiple
50 regression correlations of BMI risk factors with worldwide BMI to derive a BMI multiple
51 regression formula (BMI formula). Main outcome measures included the performances of the
52 BMI formula when tested with all nine Bradford Hill causality criteria each scored on a 0-5
53 scale: 0=negative to 5=very strong support.

54 **Findings:** The BMI formula derived, with all foods in kilocalories/day (kcal/day), BMI formula
55 risk factor coefficients were adjusted to equate with their PAFs. BMI increasing foods had “+”
56 signs and BMI decreasing foods “-“ signs. Total BMI formula PAF=80.96%. BMI
57 formula=(0.37%*processed meat + 4.23%*red meat + 0.02%*fish + 2.24%*milk +
58 5.67%*poultry + 1.77%*eggs + 0.34%*alcohol + 0.99%*sugary beverages + 0.04%*corn +
59 0.72%*potatoes + 8.48%*saturated fatty acids + 3.89%*polyunsaturated fatty acids +
60 0.27%*trans fatty acids - 2.99%*fruit - 4.07%*vegetables - 0.37%*nuts and seeds -
61 0.45%*whole grains - 1.49%*legumes - 8.62%*rice - 0.10%*sweet potatoes - 7.45% physical
62 activity (METs/week) - 20.38%*child underweight + 6.02%*sex (male=1, female=2))*0.05012

63 + 21.77. BMI formula versus BMI: $r=0.907$, 95% CI: 0.903 to 0.911, $p<0.0001$. Bradford Hill
64 causality criteria test scores (0-5): (1) strength=5, (2) experimentation=5, (3) consistency=5, (4)
65 dose-response=5, (5) temporality=5, (6) analogy=4, (7), plausibility=5, (8) specificity=5, and (9)
66 coherence=5. Total score=44/45.

67 **Interpretation:** Nine Bradford Hill causality criteria strongly supported a causal relationship
68 between the BMI formula derived and mean BMIs of worldwide cohorts. The artificial
69 intelligence methodology introduced could inform individual, clinical, and public health
70 strategies regarding overweight/obesity prevention/treatment and other health outcomes.

71 **Funding:** None

72

73 **Introduction**

74 Comparable risk assessment (CRA) methodology by the World Health Organization and the
75 Institute of Health Metrics and Evaluation (IHME) is defined as, “the systematic evaluation of
76 the changes in population health which result from modifying the population distribution of
77 exposure to a risk factor or a group of risk factors.”^{1,2} While CRA methodologies have used
78 body mass index kg/M² (BMI) in risk factor—health outcome pairs for numerous health
79 outcomes (e.g., BMI-ischemic heart disease, BMI-colon cancer, BMI-type 2 diabetes, etc.), CRA
80 has not been attempted to quantify the largely nutritional risk factors related to BMI itself.
81 Influential Stanford University meta researcher, Dr. John Ioannidis provided a likely reason for
82 this in an editorial in the *BMJ* titled, “Implausible results in human nutrition research.” He said,
83 “definitive solutions won’t come from another million observational papers or small randomized
84 trials.”³ Dr. Ioannidis called for radical reform of nutritional epidemiology methodologies used
85 to influence food/agricultural policies and to produce dietary guidelines for clinicians and the
86 public.⁴

87
88 According to IBM Cloud Education, “At its simplest form, artificial intelligence (AI) is a
89 field, which combines computer science and robust datasets, to enable problem-
90 solving.”⁵ AI will be used instead of the CRA methodology in this paper.

91
92 Satisfying Bradford Hill causality criteria is considered validating in epidemiological research.⁶
93 We used Bradford Hill causality criteria to test the BMI formula. We hypothesized that AI
94 analytics with GBD big data would be able to quantify the determinants of worldwide cohort
95 BMIs and thereby to better our understanding the dietary and other risk factors driving the

96 obesity epidemic. We hope this paper answers Dr. Ioannidis' call for innovation in
97 epidemiology, particularly nutritional epidemiology.
98

99 **Methods**

100 As volunteer collaborators with the IHME we received raw GBD ecological data (≈ 1.4
101 Gigabytes) on mean BMIs of male and female cohorts 15-49 years old and 50-69 years old from
102 each year 1990-2017 from 195 countries and 365 subnational locations ($n=1120$ cohorts). We
103 also utilised GBD data on exposures to 32 risk factors and covariates potentially related to BMI.
104
105 Food risk factors came from surveys of individuals as g/day. IHME dietary covariate data
106 originally came from Food and Agriculture Organisation data on animal and plant food
107 commodities available percapita in countries worldwide—as opposed to consumption data from
108 participant interviews.⁷ Supplementary Table 1 lists the relevant GBD risk factors, covariates,
109 and other available variables with definitions of those risk factor exposures.⁸
110
111 GBD worldwide citations of over 12,000 surveys constituting ecological data inputs for this
112 analysis are available from IHME.⁷ The main characteristics of IHME GBD data sources for
113 BMI, the protocol for the GBD study, and all risk factor values have been published by IHME
114 GBD data researchers and discussed elsewhere.⁹⁻¹² These included detailed descriptions of
115 categories of input data, potentially important biases, and methodologies of analysis. We did not
116 clean or pre-process any of the GBD data. GBD cohort risk factor and BMI data from the IHME
117 had no missing records. The updated 2019 raw data with variables we used for this analysis may
118 be obtained by volunteer researchers collaborating with IHME.¹³
119
120 To maximally utilise the available data, we averaged the values for ages 15-49 years old together
121 with 50-69 years old for BMI and for each risk factor exposure for each male and female cohort

122 for each year. Finally, for each male and female cohort, data from all 28 years (1990-2017) on
123 mean BMI and on each of the risk factor exposures were averaged using the computer software
124 program R.

125
126 To weigh the country and subnational data according to population, internet searches (mostly
127 Wikipedia) yielded the most recent population estimates for countries and subnational states,
128 provinces, and regions. World population data from the World Bank and the Organisation for
129 Economic Co-operation and Development could not be used because they did not include all 195
130 countries or any subnational data.

131
132 Using the above-described formatted dataset of risk factors, covariates, and BMIs, a software
133 program in R generated a population-weighted analysis dataset. Each male or female cohort in
134 the population-weighted analysis dataset represented approximately 1 million people (range: <
135 100,000 to 1.5 million). The analysis dataset had n=7846 cohorts (rows of data), half male and
136 half female, representative of over seven billion people.

137
138 Supplementary Table 2 details how we converted omega-3 fatty acid g/day to fish g/day using
139 data on the omega-3 fatty acid content of frequently eaten fish from the National Institutes of
140 Health Office of Dietary Supplements (USA).¹⁴ As shown in Supplementary Table 3, we
141 converted all of the animal and plant food data, including alcohol and sugary beverage
142 consumption, from g/day to kcal/day. For the g to kcal conversions, we used the Nutritionix track
143 app,¹⁵ which tracks types and quantities of foods consumed. Saturated fatty acids (SFA) risk
144 factor (0-1 portion of the entire diet) was not available with GBD data from 2017, so GBD SFA

145 risk factor data from 2016 was used. Polyunsaturated fatty acid (PUFA) and trans fatty acid
146 (TFA) GBD risk factor data from 2017 (0-1 portion of the entire diet) were also utilised. These
147 fatty acid data were converted to kcal/day by multiplying the fatty acid 0-1 portion of the entire
148 diet by the kcal/day available for each cohort.

149

150 **Statistical methods**

151 To determine the strengths of the risk factor correlations with mean BMIs of population
152 weighted worldwide cohorts (7846 cohorts) or subgroups of cohorts (e.g., continents, socio-
153 demographic quartiles, etc.), we utilised Pearson correlation coefficients: r , 95% confidence
154 intervals (95% CIs), and p values.

155

156 In this first attempt ever to use AI analytics with GBD data, we determined our methodology for
157 deriving a BMI formula as we proceeded by experimenting with strategies to optimise the
158 functioning of candidate BMI formulas. We

159

- 160 1. included as many as possible of the available dietary variables,
- 161 2. combined dietary variables if appropriate, and
- 162 3. included physical activity and other plausibly informative variables.

163

164 Appendix 1 explains the use of Bradford Hill causality criteria to assess whether the risk factors
165 in the BMI formula derived accurately modeled the worldwide mean BMI. Briefly, we tested the
166 BMI formula output with the Bradford Hill causality criteria (1) strength, (2) experimentation,
167 (3) consistency, (4) dose response, (5) temporality, (6) analogy, (7) plausibility, (8) specificity,

168 and (9) coherence. For each criterion, we used a 0-5 scale to assess the magnitude of support of
169 the BMI formula output being causally related to the BMIs worldwide (0=no support of causality
170 to 5=very strong support of causality, total possible points=45.

171
172 In determining the variables to include and exclude in worldwide BMI formula, we set the
173 statistical threshold for a variable to enter and to remain in the formula at $p < 0.25$. We used SAS
174 and SAS Studio statistical software 9.4 (SAS Institute, Cary, NC) for the data analysis.

175

176

177 **Results**

178 Table 1 shows the basic statistics and univariate correlations of mean cohort BMI worldwide
179 with mean dietary and other risk factor values. Whole grains, legumes, rice, and sweet potatoes
180 negatively correlated with BMI. We designated them, “BMI decreasing foods.” The six animal
181 foods (processed meat, red meat, fish, milk, poultry, and eggs), alcohol, sugary beverages, corn
182 availability, potato availability, added SFA, added PUFA, and added TFA all positively
183 correlated with BMI. We designated them, “BMI increasing foods.”

184
185 In Supplementary Table 4, which shows BMI risk factor to risk factor correlations, corn
186 availability (kcal/day percapita, a covariate) correlated moderately strongly with sugary
187 beverages ($r=0.419$, 95% CI 0.400 to 0.437, $p<0.0001$), suggesting that high fructose corn syrup
188 may have accounted for the unexpected positive correlation of corn with BMI.

189
190 The strong positive correlations of fruits, vegetables, and nuts and seeds with BMI (Table 1)
191 were unexpected. These findings suggested likely multicollinearity of fruits, vegetables, and nuts
192 and seeds with BMI increasing foods (when an independent variable is highly correlated with
193 one or more known or unknown other independent variables). Supplementary Table 4 shows that
194 fruits, vegetables and nuts and seeds strongly positively correlated with 10 of the 13 dietary
195 variables that positively correlated with BMI (six animal-based foods, alcohol, SFA, PUFA, and
196 TFA). Fruits, vegetables and nuts and seeds negatively correlated with the four other plant-based
197 foods (whole grains, legumes, rice, and sweet potatoes), except fruits was not significantly
198 correlated with whole grains. Together, these findings strongly suggested that multicollinearities
199 accounted for the positive correlations of fruits, vegetables, and nuts and seeds with BMI.

200
201 Supplementary Table 5 shows the Excel spreadsheet calculations, which were coordinated with
202 SAS multiple regressions involved in the derivation of the worldwide BMI formula. Appendix 2
203 detailed the steps in the multiple regression formula derivation of BMI (dependent variable)
204 versus BMI risk factors (independent variables). As seen in Supplementary Table 5 and
205 described in Appendix 2, the BMI formula versus BMI variance ($R^2=0.8096$) * 100 determined
206 the total PAF (80.96%) accounted for by the BMI formula. The 23 risk factor coefficients could
207 then be equated to the PAFs of those individual risk factors. The resulting BMI formula is shown
208 below (all foods in kcal/day):

209
210 BMI formula = (0.37% * processed meat + 4.23% * red meat + 0.020% * fish +
211 2.24% * milk + 5.67% * poultry + 1.77% * eggs + 0.338% * alcohol + 0.99% *
212 sugary beverages + 0.04% * corn + 0.72% * potatoes + 8.48% * saturated fatty
213 acids + 3.89% * polyunsaturated fatty acids + 0.27% * trans fatty acids - 2.99% *
214 fruit - 4.07% * vegetables - 0.37% * nuts and seeds - 0.45 * whole grains - 1.49%
215 * legumes - 8.62% * rice - 0.10% * sweet potatoes - 7.45% * physical activity
216 (METs/week) - 20.38% * child underweight (≥ 2 SD below mean BMI) + 6.02% *
217 sex (male=1, female=2)) * 0.05012 + 21.77.

218

219

220 **BMI formula output analysed by Bradford Hill causality criteria**

221 As mentioned in the methods and detailed in Appendix 1, the nine Bradford Hill causality
222 criteria tested the functionality of the BMI formula:

- 223 1. Strength score=5 for $r > 0.500$, $p < 0.0001$ —The BMI formula's output regressed with
224 mean BMI of worldwide cohorts gave $r = 0.907$ (95% CI: 0.903 to 0.911) $p < 0.0001$,
225 $R^2 = 0.8096$, total percent weight=80.96%.
- 226 2. Experiment score=5 for all 20 bootstrap trial BMI formulas with $r > 0.500$, $p < 0.0001$ —
227 Table 2 shows bootstrapping the BMI formula related to mean worldwide BMI with 20
228 trials (repeated sampling from the worldwide analysis dataset with replacements¹⁶). Each
229 trial had 100 randomly selected cohorts to generate a unique BMI formula. As Table 2
230 shows, the mean values for BMI and the risk factor PAFs were all quite close to the mean
231 values for BMI and BMI risk factors in the worldwide BMI formula (last column).
- 232 3. Consistency score=5 for the mean of absolute differences of BMI formula outputs and
233 mean BMI $< 0.300 \text{ kg/M}^2$ —In Table 3, we used 37 subsets of worldwide BMI and risk
234 factor data to test the consistency of BMI formula outputs, utilising the 20 bootstrap trials
235 (#2 Experiment) to generate 80% confidence intervals for each subset. Table 3 shows the
236 average absolute difference between the 37 subgroups mean BMIs and BMI formula
237 outputs averaged 0.252 kg/M^2 .
- 238 4. Dose-response (Biological gradient) score=5 for the mean of absolute differences of BMI
239 formula outputs and mean BMI for the dose-response quartiles $< 0.300 \text{ kg/M}^2$ —Table 3
240 also shows that the mean of the BMI absolute differences between the BMI formula
241 estimates and mean BMIs in the four dose-response quartiles averaged 0.220 kg/M^2 .

242 **5.** Temporality score=5 for the BMI trend formula versus BMI trend $r > 0.500$,
243 $p < 0.0001$ —Table 4 shows the mean trends the r values and the R^2 values for each of
244 the 22 risk factors. As is shown in Supplementary Table 6 and detailed in Appendix
245 1, a multiple regression analysis with the worldwide BMI trend 1990-2007
246 (dependent variable) versus 22 of the 23 risk factor mean trends and R^2 values from
247 Table 4 (independent variables excluding sex) generated a BMI trend formula (All
248 foods are in Kcal/day.):

249
250 BMI trend formula= $-0.00 * \text{processed meat} + 2.52 * \text{red meat} - 0.15 * \text{fish} +$
251 $0.22 * \text{milk} + 5.46 * \text{poultry} + 1.89 * \text{eggs} + 0.07 * \text{alcohol} + 0.12 * \text{sugary}$
252 $\text{beverages} - 0.02 * \text{corn} + 0.49 * \text{potatoes} - 0.01 * \text{saturated fatty acids} + 1.01 *$
253 $\text{polyunsaturated fatty acids} - 0.01 * \text{trans fatty acids} + 3.89 * \text{fruit} + 5.92 *$
254 $\text{vegetables} + 0.11 * \text{nuts and seeds} + 0.05 * \text{whole grains} - 0.88 * \text{legumes} + 3.28$
255 $* \text{rice} + 3.09 * \text{sweet potatoes} + 4.91 * \text{physical activity (METs/week)} - 0.93 *$
256 $\text{child underweight} (\geq 2 \text{ SD below mean BMI}).$

257
258 As with the BMI formula, the coefficients of the BMI risk factor trends formula were
259 equated to their PAFs. BMI trend formula $r = 0.592$ (H24 in Supplementary Table 6),
260 95% CI: 0.577 to 0.606, $p < 0.0001$, and total PAF=35.02%.

261 **6.** Analogy score=4—The three metabolic risk factors correlated with BMI to test
262 analogy were systolic blood pressure (SBP), low density lipoprotein cholesterol
263 (LDL-C), and fasting plasma glucose (FPG).

264 • BMI correlated with SBP: $r = 0.102$, 95% CI: 0.080 to 0.124, $p < 0.0001$.

265 • BMI correlated with FPG: $r = 0.558$, 95% CI: 0.542 to 0.573, $p < 0.0001$.

266 • BMI correlated with LDL-C: $r=0.756$, 95% CI: 0.746 to 0.765, $p<0.0001$.

267 Since BMI versus SBP $r<0.500$, Bradford Hill causality score=4.

268 7. Plausibility: Score=5—Based on systematic medical literature reviews, physical
269 activity inversely correlated with BMI,¹⁷ and BMI directly correlated with intakes
270 of sugary beverages,¹⁸ alcohol,¹⁹ and animal foods.²⁰ The relationship of adult
271 BMI with early childhood severe underweight has not been reported worldwide.
272 Since people in poor countries with high infant/childhood malnutrition have fewer
273 resources to obtain animal foods, fatty acids, and alcohol and more need for
274 physical exercise than in developed countries, it is highly plausible that childhood
275 severe underweight negatively correlated with lower BMI in adulthood.

276 8. Specificity: Score=5 for the BMI formula being unique—the BMI formula was
277 specific to worldwide BMI and would have been different from risk factor
278 formulas modeling SBP, FPG, LDL-C, or any health outcome.

279 9. Coherence: Score=5—As evidenced by the near perfect score 39/40 on the first eight
280 criteria, the BMI formula accurately modeled worldwide mean BMI—total causality
281 criteria score: 44/45.

282
283 Table 5 shows BMI formula estimates for various patterns of diet and/or other BMI formula risk
284 factors. For instance, increasing physical activity by adding a run for 1 hour/day at six miles/hour
285 on average along with decreasing BMI increasing foods by isocalorically shifting 25% of BMI
286 increasing foods (Kcal/day) to BMI decreasing foods was projected to reduce the mean cohort
287 BMI from 26.66 kg/M² to mean BMI=22.26 kg/M².

288

289

290 **Discussion**

291 This paper's analysis dataset formatted from GBD worldwide data used with the BMI
292 formula enabled quantifying 23 risk factor PAFs for worldwide mean BMI for cohorts
293 of 15-69-year-old people. This quantitatively rigorous methodology satisfied all nine
294 Bradford Hill criteria for showing the BMI formula derived accurately modeled BMI.

295
296 The BMI formula PAFs are quite consistent with a 20-year-long observational study with
297 120,877 US male and female adults reported by Mozaffarian, et. al. They measured weight
298 change per four-year period per 1.00 increased serving per day of various foods. It found the
299 following foods associated with increased weights over four years: potato chips (0.77 kg),
300 potatoes (0.58 kg), sugar-sweetened beverages (0.45 kg), unprocessed red meats (0.43 kg), and
301 processed meats (0.42 kg). With the same methodology, the following foods associated with
302 decreased weights: vegetables (-0.10 kg), whole grains (-0.17 kg), fruits (-0.22 kg), nuts (-0.26
303 kg), and yogurt (-0.37 kg) ($p \leq 0.005$ for each comparison).²¹

304
305 According to the International Potato Center, potato availability (a covariate), which positively
306 correlated with BMI, included $\geq 50\%$ highly processed potato products worldwide.²² This likely
307 partially accounted for the positive correlation of potatoes with BMI. Higher intakes of ultra-
308 processed foods overall have been associated with overweight/obesity.²³ Ultra-processed food
309 intakes have increased dramatically in developed countries in recent decades and are now
310 increasing in middle-income countries.²⁴

311

312 With the results of this paper consistent with and adding to previous findings, we should consider
313 including the quantification of BMI risk factors demonstrated here into US Department of Health
314 and Human Services (USDHHS)/ US Department of Agriculture (USDA) health policy
315 discussions and clinical nutrition guideline deliberations. For instance, the Supplemental
316 Nutrition Assistance Program (SNAP—formerly Food Stamps) spent an estimated 22.6% of its
317 \$73 billion/year budget in 2019²⁵ on payments to low-income Americans for “sweetened
318 beverages, prepared desserts, salty snacks, candy, and sugar.”²⁶ Additionally, the USDA has
319 subsidised crops that go primarily for animal feed or that are processed into sugars while not
320 subsidising fruits and vegetables.²⁷ While the USDA recognises the relatively low intake of fruits
321 and vegetables in the USA and sponsors a publicity campaign to increase fruits and vegetables
322 consumption,²⁸ USDA expenditures should promote reduced prices of BMI decreasing foods and
323 increased prices of BMI increasing foods.

324
325 A review of the literature on food costs relative to nutrient quality found that the median costs of
326 fruits and vegetables (€0.82/100 kcal) were quite high relative meat/eggs/fish (€0.64/100 kcal),
327 fresh dairy (€0.32/100 kcal), nuts (€0.25/100 kcal), and starches (€0.14/100 kcal).²⁹ The
328 economics of food, including the high cost of fruits and vegetables relative to animal foods,
329 starchy potatoes, and sugary beverages, may contribute to overweight and obesity in the USA
330 and in other affluent countries.

331
332 Following a low-carbohydrate, high-fat diet has been demonstrated to cause modest short term
333 weight loss in obese people,³⁰ However, the BMI formula projects that the long-term effect of a

334 low-carb, high fat diet would be a mean cohort BMI of 29.05 kg/M² 80% CI: 27.92 to 29.54
335 kg/M² (Table 5).

336

337 Limitations

338 The GBD data on animal foods, plant foods, alcohol, and fatty acids were not comprehensive and
339 comprised only 1191.4 Kcal/day on average worldwide. Subnational data were available on only
340 four countries. Because the data formatting and statistical methodology were new, this was
341 necessarily a post hoc analysis and no pre-analysis protocol or Bradford Hill causality criteria
342 scoring system was possible. As detailed in the Foresight Report on obesity,³¹ obesity is affected
343 by a complex system of interacting factors besides diet, physical activity, and childhood feeding
344 patterns, and sex. So genes,³² gut microbiome,³³ ultra-processing of food,^{34,35} and other
345 influences on BMI were outside of the purview of this analysis.

346

347 Generalisability

348 Over 70% of the cohorts in analysis dataset had low to moderate BMI levels (BMI < 23 kg/M²),
349 so the results could be further refined for relatively high SDI countries. For results more
350 applicable to high BMI countries, BMI formulas might also be derived from (1) the four
351 countries that have subnational data (UK, USA, Mexico, and Japan n=730 cohorts, mean
352 BMI=24.85 kg/M²) (2) the cohorts with mean BMI ≥ 23 kg/M² (n=2256 cohorts, mean
353 BMI=24.84 kg/M²), or (3) high SDI countries (n=1926 cohorts, mean BMI=24.49 kg/M²).

354 **Conclusions**

355 Nine Bradford Hill causality criteria strongly supported that the BMI formula derived with 23
356 risk factors in proportion to their PAFs accurately modeled worldwide cohort mean BMI. While
357 this study dealt only with dietary and other available risk factors for BMI (overweight/obesity),
358 the AI methodology introduced could easily apply to estimating PAFs of multiple dietary and
359 other risk factors that pertain to dozens of non-communicable disease health outcomes, for which
360 the IHME have GBD data.

361

362 **Table 1. BMI risk factor basic statistics** □ (n=7846)

BMI versus BMI risk factors worldwide n=7846 □	Mean	SD	Min	Max	r	95% CI low	95% CI high	p	R ²
BMI kg/M ²	21.77	2.290	17.95	29.39					
Processed meat Kcal/day	5.334	9.720	0.20	68.77	0.594	0.579	0.608	<.0001	0.3527
Red meat Kcal/day	50.27	45.13	3.21	235.95	0.656	0.643	0.668	<.0001	0.4304
Fish Kcal/day	9.985	36.52	0.40	370.36	0.102	0.080	0.124	<.0001	0.0104
Milk Kcal/day	25.04	27.05	1.06	146.8	0.676	0.664	0.688	<.0001	0.4573
Poultry Kcal/day available	44.32	50.08	1.06	411.9	0.809	0.801	0.816	<.0001	0.6539
Eggs Kcal/day available	19.36	14.71	0.79	69.64	0.684	0.672	0.695	<.0001	0.4672
Alcohol Kcal/day	81.03	57.33	4.25	429.8	0.146	0.124	0.168	<.0001	0.0213
Sugary beverages Kcal/day	298.4	152.4	72.91	1472	0.131	0.109	0.152	<.0001	0.0170
Corn Kcal/day available	34.72	48.28	0.16	305.2	0.076	0.054	0.098	<.0001	0.0058
Potatoes Kcal/day available	84.04	74.60	3.07	533.9	0.210	0.188	0.231	<.0001	0.0439
Saturated fatty acids Kcal/day	190.6	63.94	70.79	481.1	0.703	0.692	0.714	<.0001	0.4946
PUFAs Kcal/day	81.10	73.44	2.93	381.3	0.730	0.720	0.740	<.0001	0.5330
Trans fatty acids Kcal/day	13.23	13.65	1.99	77.76	0.475	0.458	0.492	<.0001	0.2256
Fruits Kcal/day	40.21	22.50	3.58	161.4	0.616	0.602	0.630	<.0001	0.3798
Vegetables Kcal/day	79.76	43.12	9.48	304.2	0.511	0.495	0.527	<.0001	0.2612
Nuts and seeds Kcal/day	8.414	8.357	0.05	103.0	0.474	0.457	0.491	<.0001	0.2248
Whole grains Kcal/day	55.65	30.93	1.14	235.1	-0.203	-0.224	-0.182	<.0001	0.0412
Legumes Kcal/day	51.74	32.23	0.51	194.7	-0.384	-0.402	-0.364	<.0001	0.1471
Rice Kcal/day available	141.9	116.3	1.42	461.8	-0.557	-0.572	-0.542	<.0001	0.3104
Sweet potatoes Kcal/day available	22.76	35.95	0.02	364.7	-0.148	-0.169	-0.126	<.0001	0.0218
Physical activity METs	4714	1368	1609	7669	-0.443	-0.461	-0.425	<.0001	0.1964
Child underweight by >2SD	0.1862	0.1707	0.0039	0.5300	-0.794	-0.802	-0.786	<.0001	0.6306
Sex male 1 and female 2	1.50	0.500	1.00	2.00	0.124	0.102	0.145	<.0001	0.0153
Total Kcal/day available	2574	418	1579	3898	0.840	0.834	0.847	<.0001	0.7059
Stop breast feeding <6 months	0.1193	0.0555	0.0159	0.2400	0.799	0.791	0.807	<.0001	0.6383
Sodium g/d	4.45	2.34	1.33	9.21	-0.017	-0.039	0.005	0.1268	0.0000
Calcium g/d	0.301	0.179	0.081	1.04	0.765	0.756	0.774	<.0001	0.5850
Dietary fiber g/d	9.21	3.15	2.72	22.68	0.309	0.289	0.329	<.0001	0.0960
Fasting plasma glucose mmol/L	4.30	0.350	3.32	5.58	0.557	0.542	0.572	<.0001	0.3110
LDL cholesterol mmol/L	2.35	0.400	1.27	3.25	0.756	0.746	0.765	<.0001	0.5710
Systolic BP mm Hg	133.9	4.320	123.4	147.9	0.102	0.080	0.124	<.0001	0.0100
Socio-demographic index (0-1)	0.543	0.174	0.112	0.900	0.733	0.722	0.743	<.0001	0.5370

363
364 □ See Supplementary Table 1 for definitions

365 **Table 2. Bootstrap validation experiment: 20 BMI formulas each with 100 random cohorts**

Worldwide BMI and BMI risk factors	RCT1	RCT2	RCT3	RCT4	RCT5	RCT6	RCT7	RCT8	RCT9	RCT 10	RCT 11	RCT 12	RCT 13
BMI kg/M ²	21.71	22.04	21.68	21.92	21.57	21.42	21.79	22.18	21.75	21.85	21.49	21.88	21.71
Processed meat	0.33	0.32	0.34	0.34	0.28	0.31	0.32	0.39	0.57	0.36	0.21	0.26	0.28
Red meat	3.95	3.73	4.92	3.47	3.80	3.70	3.45	4.89	5.61	3.69	3.56	3.20	3.16
Fish	0.03	0.02	0.03	0.01	0.64	0.03	0.03	0.03	0.04	0.02	0.02	0.03	0.49
Milk	2.13	2.29	2.40	2.19	2.04	1.95	1.89	2.39	2.66	2.14	1.68	1.83	1.43
Poultry	6.62	6.24	6.60	4.82	5.36	4.33	5.92	6.72	5.41	4.84	4.48	6.55	4.15
Eggs	1.81	1.68	1.84	1.45	2.17	1.70	1.57	1.85	2.10	1.65	1.59	1.46	1.39
Alcohol	0.09	0.74	0.03	0.28	0.05	0.04	0.77	0.59	1.65	0.38	0.04	0.00	0.01
Sugary beverages	1.90	2.25	6.36	0.78	4.79	2.44	0.43	3.96	0.30	1.55	2.04	3.43	2.90
Corn	0.04	0.00	0.09	0.01	0.26	0.02	0.14	0.27	0.00	0.01	0.32	0.28	0.32
Potatoes	0.23	0.10	0.60	0.67	0.69	0.15	0.11	1.77	1.26	0.68	1.39	0.02	1.34
SFA	8.56	7.14	8.55	6.96	9.12	9.81	7.44	8.52	8.80	7.75	6.66	7.10	5.97
PUFA	4.30	3.97	4.31	3.16	4.09	3.59	4.09	4.12	3.96	3.66	2.84	3.30	2.84
TFA	0.33	0.29	0.23	0.23	0.26	0.14	0.29	0.30	0.38	0.26	0.19	0.35	0.14
Fruits	3.18	2.55	3.99	3.25	3.88	2.39	1.95	3.38	2.44	2.00	2.31	2.82	2.65
Vegetables	3.22	3.39	4.70	5.83	3.67	2.95	4.38	3.79	5.06	4.04	5.51	4.46	1.87
Nuts and seeds	0.22	0.32	0.51	0.30	0.55	0.24	0.36	0.59	0.60	0.25	0.07	0.13	0.13
Whole grains	0.14	0.40	0.24	0.85	0.26	0.68	0.18	0.01	0.12	0.29	1.32	0.53	0.17
Legumes	2.86	1.41	0.71	1.38	1.44	1.82	1.44	1.06	1.65	1.48	1.12	1.71	1.33
Rice	7.24	6.91	7.76	9.80	10.26	8.25	6.35	9.19	5.84	4.31	12.17	8.20	7.82
Sweet potatoes	0.05	0.29	0.12	0.20	0.04	0.07	0.22	0.12	0.17	0.17	0.02	0.30	0.05
Physical activity	6.65	10.11	3.69	9.29	0.66	5.71	14.65	2.63	3.81	10.17	7.80	7.37	6.38
Child underweight	8.86	8.41	5.17	5.47	9.68	21.13	23.33	15.63	20.69	27.27	22.28	15.50	23.20
Sex M=1, F=2	21.20	19.02	13.78	18.92	15.67	9.49	1.65	7.23	5.06	4.36	4.29	10.32	9.07
Total % weights	83.94	81.57	76.96	79.67	79.66	80.95	80.97	79.45	78.17	81.35	81.91	79.14	77.11

Worldwide BMI and BMI risk factors	RCT 14	RCT 15	RCT 16	RCT 17	RCT 18	RCT 19	RCT 20	Mean RCTs 1-20	SD	80% CI low	80% CI high	World mean n=7846
BMI kg/M ²	21.79	21.65	21.81	21.81	22.01	21.76	21.79	21.78	0.13	21.49	22.04	21.77
Processed meat	0.30	0.53	0.37	0.41	0.28	0.31	0.55	0.36	0.09	0.26	0.55	0.37
Red meat	2.65	4.77	3.57	4.55	2.47	3.49	5.71	3.95	0.84	2.65	5.61	4.23
Fish	0.02	0.02	0.01	0.03	0.02	0.56	0.70	0.12	0.23	0.01	0.64	0.02
Milk	1.84	2.29	1.79	2.51	1.58	1.80	2.50	2.10	0.33	1.58	2.51	2.24
Poultry	4.55	4.91	4.87	5.66	4.66	4.52	6.87	5.43	0.87	4.33	6.72	5.67
Eggs	1.47	1.51	1.33	2.01	1.32	1.61	2.08	1.69	0.25	1.33	2.10	1.77
Alcohol	0.01	2.10	0.66	0.67	0.01	0.19	1.32	0.46	0.56	0.01	1.65	0.34
Sugary beverages	2.58	1.31	0.79	1.11	0.99	0.61	0.29	1.90	1.55	0.30	4.79	0.99
Corn	0.37	0.00	0.54	0.11	0.22	0.35	0.00	0.15	0.16	0.00	0.37	0.04
Potatoes	0.49	0.06	1.49	0.26	0.16	1.71	0.21	0.68	0.56	0.06	1.71	0.72
SFA	6.28	7.85	6.26	9.46	5.28	5.56	10.62	7.77	1.41	5.56	9.81	8.48
PUFA	3.09	3.93	3.53	4.87	2.99	2.81	5.02	3.73	0.62	2.84	4.87	3.89
TFA	0.36	0.29	0.46	0.31	0.34	0.28	0.29	0.28	0.07	0.14	0.38	0.27
Fruits	2.93	3.55	3.77	2.45	2.58	2.01	2.41	2.88	0.61	2.00	3.88	2.99
Vegetables	2.89	3.97	2.99	2.68	3.26	4.29	1.73	3.88	1.15	1.87	5.51	4.08
Nuts and seeds	0.32	0.39	0.38	0.32	0.26	0.27	0.33	0.33	0.14	0.13	0.59	0.37
Whole grains	0.35	0.74	0.06	0.01	0.11	0.36	0.27	0.39	0.33	0.01	0.85	0.45
Legumes	0.68	1.18	0.55	2.30	0.95	0.78	1.61	1.39	0.52	0.68	2.30	1.49
Rice	10.38	6.31	11.98	3.53	5.90	10.30	9.13	8.26	2.25	4.31	11.98	8.62
Sweet potatoes	0.12	0.11	0.03	0.18	0.12	0.10	0.18	0.13	0.08	0.03	0.29	0.10
Physical activity	8.54	8.15	7.04	8.47	12.49	7.95	5.06	7.48	3.10	2.63	12.49	7.45
Child underweight	19.16	19.70	22.65	22.31	28.86	24.16	12.46	18.18	6.77	5.47	27.27	20.38
Sex M=1, F=2	10.56	6.31	5.80	7.13	6.59	6.62	10.47	9.21	5.20	4.29	19.02	6.02
Total % weights	79.92	80.01	74.68	81.34	81.42	80.63	79.83	80.74	2.17	76.96	81.91	80.96

366

367

368 **Table 3. Consistency measured with 37 subgroups of worldwide GBD data**

Subsets of worldwide data on risk factors related to BMI	Final BMI formula n	Mean BMI	BMI formula output	Absolute differences	RCT 1-20 Mean	80% CI low	80% CI high	BMI output out of 80% CI
SDI quartile 1	1926	24.486	24.496	0.010	24.455	24.138	24.738	
SDI quartile 2	2096	21.827	22.158	0.331	22.185	21.900	22.523	1
SDI quartile 3	2220	20.401	19.931	0.471	19.976	19.584	20.338	1
SDI quartile 4	1604	20.306	20.546	0.240	20.554	20.329	20.903	1
Africa	1682	21.666	21.429	0.237	21.447	21.121	21.772	
Asia	4188	20.478	20.492	0.014	20.515	20.180	20.780	
Europe	880	24.313	24.604	0.292	24.557	24.356	24.730	1
North America	558	25.912	25.494	0.418	25.477	25.016	25.810	1
Oceania	54	24.433	25.205	0.772	25.033	24.721	25.430	1
South America	468	23.463	24.128	0.665	24.222	23.788	24.594	1
Four countries with subnational data	730	24.848	24.795	0.052	24.775	24.296	25.110	
Quartile 1 BMI increasing foods	1967	24.089	24.365	0.275	24.362	24.120	24.584	1
Q2	1834	21.962	21.961	0.000	21.943	21.639	22.290	
Q3	2050	20.607	20.167	0.440	20.188	19.885	20.462	1
Q4	1995	20.494	20.682	0.188	20.738	20.446	21.066	
Quartile 1 BMI decreasing foods	1475	20.612	20.273	0.339	20.312	19.955	20.650	
Q2	2275	21.125	21.049	0.076	21.056	20.731	21.345	
Q3	2171	22.405	22.377	0.028	22.410	22.117	22.772	
Q4	1925	22.695	23.084	0.389	23.067	22.846	23.411	
Quartile 1 physical activity	2371	20.480	20.311	0.169	20.314	20.062	20.555	
Q2	1573	21.663	21.881	0.217	21.912	21.658	22.230	
Q3	1998	21.325	21.324	0.001	21.343	21.026	21.727	
Q4	1904	23.923	23.963	0.039	23.974	23.553	24.369	
Male	3923	21.485	21.420	0.065	21.388	21.138	21.566	
Female	3923	22.051	22.120	0.068	22.180	21.858	22.501	
Bootstrap trial 1	100	21.715	21.741	0.025	21.755	21.503	22.013	
Bootstrap trial 2	100	22.040	21.986	0.055	22.011	21.724	22.254	
Bootstrap trial 3	100	21.676	21.744	0.068	21.769	21.479	22.019	
Bootstrap trial 4	100	21.926	21.944	0.018	21.954	21.659	22.206	
UK	66	24.994	25.245	0.250	25.155	24.739	25.497	
USA	376	26.017	25.809	0.208	25.684	25.177	26.352	
Japan	158	21.892	22.888	0.996	22.898	22.254	23.327	1
Mexico	130	24.985	23.953	1.032	24.222	23.679	24.977	1
Quartile 1 Dose-response: BMI formula versus BMI	1928	24.763	24.949	0.186	24.936	24.617	25.230	
Q2	1469	22.568	22.480	0.088	22.525	22.187	22.805	
Q3	2647	20.872	21.069	0.197	21.069	20.884	21.325	1
Q4	1802	19.227	18.818	0.409	18.859	18.443	19.220	1
Mean of BMI - BMI formula absolute val				0.252				
Mean of BMI-BMI formula dose response				0.220				
Total out of 80% CI range								13

369
370

371 **Table 4. Trends of BMI and the BMI risk factors (1990-2017)**

BMI trend versus risk factor trends, n=7846 cohorts	Mean	SD	Min	Max	R	95% CI	95% CI	P	R²
BMI trend	0.076	0.031	-0.018	0.216					
Processed meat Kcal/day trend	-0.051	0.156	-0.812	1.680	-0.009	-0.031	0.013	0.44	0.000
Red meat Kcal/day trend	0.556	1.084	-3.291	4.731	0.410	0.392	0.428	<.0001	0.168
Fish Kcal/day trend	-0.224	0.953	-0.130	9.790	-0.158	-0.180	-0.137	<.0001	0.025
Milk Kcal/day trend	0.190	0.299	-2.018	2.503	0.206	0.185	0.227	<.0001	0.043
Poultry Kcal/day trend	1.146	1.042	-0.871	7.655	0.420	0.402	0.439	<.0001	0.177
Eggs Kcal/day trend	0.300	0.492	-0.877	1.275	0.484	0.467	0.501	<.0001	0.234
Alcohol Kcal/day trend	0.031	0.164	-0.914	1.993	0.289	0.268	0.309	<.0001	0.083
Sugary beverages Kcal/day trend	0.289	1.139	-5.401	14.001	0.125	0.103	0.147	<.0001	0.016
Corn Kcal/day available trend	-0.028	0.606	-4.355	2.809	0.141	0.119	0.162	<.0001	0.020
Potatoes Kcal/day available trend	0.246	1.837	-12.59	11.031	0.272	0.251	0.292	<.0001	0.074
SFA Kcal/day trend	0.210	0.925	-3.660	2.095	-0.050	-0.072	-0.028	<.0001	0.002
PUFA Kcal/day trend	0.996	0.959	-3.026	5.473	0.286	0.266	0.306	<.0001	0.082
TFA kcal/day trend	-0.210	0.446	-2.681	0.013	-0.066	-0.088	-0.044	<.0001	0.004
Fruits Kcal/day trend	0.623	0.604	-2.078	4.104	0.481	0.464	0.498	<.0001	0.232
Vegetables Kcal/day trend	1.399	1.614	-7.961	8.465	0.396	0.377	0.415	<.0001	0.157
Nuts and seeds Kcal/day trend	0.276	0.265	-0.338	3.124	0.123	0.101	0.144	<.0001	0.015
Whole grains Kcal/day trend	0.173	0.586	-2.604	8.463	0.104	0.082	0.126	<.0001	0.011
Legumes Kcal/day trend	0.370	0.979	-3.240	5.401	-0.298	-0.318	-0.277	<.0001	0.089
Rice Kcal/day available trend	-0.787	1.292	-5.051	3.496	-0.393	-0.412	-0.374	<.0001	0.155
Sweet potatoes Kcal/day available trend	-0.460	1.339	-4.818	3.905	-0.499	-0.516	-0.482	<.0001	0.249
Physical activity METs trend	4.724	6.826	-33.86	28.629	0.379	0.360	0.398	<.0001	0.144
Child underweight >2SD trend	-0.004	0.004	-0.014	0.001	0.045	0.023	0.067	<.0001	0.002

372

373 **Table 5. BMI formula predictions with different diet or other risk factor scenarios β**

Risk factor scenario	BMI kg/M²	BMI kg/M² predicted	80 % CI low	80 % CI high
World (n=7846 cohorts mean 1990-2017)	21.77	21.77	21.33	22.13
World with all children severely underweight		16.90	15.79	18.05
World with no children severely underweight		22.88	22.35	23.38
USA	26.67	26.08	25.30	26.43
USA 25% kcal shifted to BMI decreasing foods[†]		23.41	22.31	23.93
USA physical activity mean plus 1 hour/day run		24.94	24.05	25.67
USA physical activity mean + 1 hour run and 25% shift to BMI decreasing foods[†]		22.26	21.63	23.00
USA no red or processed meat		25.36	24.67	25.72
USA no sugary beverages		26.03	25.17	26.34
USA vegetarian		24.13	23.44	24.47
USA vegan		23.47	22.67	23.97
EAT-Lancet diet		22.86	21.67	23.53
Low Carb Mediterranean Diet		29.05	27.92	29.54

374 β BMI formula estimates based on 28 years of following dietary and risk factor patterns

375 [†] Kcal/day 13 BMI increasing foods isocalorically shifted to the 7 BMI decreasing foods in the

376 BMI formula, distributed proportionally.

377

378

379 Supplementary Table 1. Definitions of IHME GBD risk factors and covariates related to BMI

Variables	Definition
Alcohol	Any alcohol consumption (g/day)
Body-mass index	Body mass index (BMI) (kg/m ²)—the dependent variable of interest
Child underweight	Proportion of children – 3 SD to – 2 SD of the WHO 2006 standard weight-for-age curve (0-1)
Corn	Corn availability percapita (g/day), a covariate
Discontinued breast feeding	Proportion of children aged 6-23 months who do not receive any breast milk
Eggs	Eggs availability percapita (g/day) a covariate
Fasting plasma glucose	Fasting plasma glucose (mmol/L)
Fish	This variable expressed in g/day was derived by determining the weight of fish in g corresponding to 1 g of omega-3 fatty acids (eicosapentaenoic acid and docosahexaenoic acid) by averaging the fish g per 1 g of omega-3 fatty acids 20 species of fish= 117.04 g/day fish/1 g/day omega-3 fatty acids (Supplementary Table 2)
Fruits	Consumption of fruits (includes fresh, frozen, cooked, canned, or dried fruit but excludes fruit juices and salted or pickled fruits) (g/day)
Kilocalories available /day	The mean number of kilocalories percapita available per day to people in each location (kcal/day available), a covariate
LDL cholesterol	Serum low-density lipoprotein cholesterol (mmol/L)
Legumes	Consumption of beans, lentils, pulses (g/day)
Milk	Consumption of milk including non-fat, low-fat, and full-fat milk but excluding soy milk and other plant derivatives (g/day)
Nuts and seeds	Consumption of nuts and seeds (g/day)
Physical activity	Average weekly physical activity at work, home, transport-related and recreational measured by MET min per week. Less than 3000 METs per week constitutes low physical activity.
Poultry	Poultry availability percapita (g/day), a covariate
Potatoes	Potatoes availability percapita (g/day), a covariate
Processed meat	Consumption of any processed meat (includes meat preserved by smoking, curing, salting, or addition of chemical preservatives, including bacon, salami, sausages, or deli or luncheon meats like ham, turkey, and pastrami) (g/day)
Red meat	Consumption of red meat (includes beef, pork, lamb, and goat but excludes poultry, fish, eggs, and all processed meats) (g/day)
Rice	Rice availability percapita (g/day), a covariate
Seafood omega-3 fatty acids	Seafood omega-3 fatty acids (eicosapentaenoic acid and docosahexaenoic acid) in tablet or fish form (g/day)
Socio-demographic index	SDI is a composite indicator of development status that was originally constructed for GBD 2015, and is derived from components that correlate strongly with health outcomes. It is the geometric mean for indices of the total fertility rate among women younger than 25 years, mean education for those aged 15 years or older, and lag-distributed income per capita. The resulting metric ranges from 0 to 1, with higher values corresponding to higher levels of development.
Sugar-sweetened beverages	Consumption of any beverage with ≥50 calories of sugar per one-cup serving, including carbonated beverages, sodas, energy drinks, fruit drinks but excluding 100% fruit and vegetable juices (g/day)
Sweet potatoes	Sweet potato availability percapita (g/day), a covariate
Systolic blood pressure	Systolic blood pressure (mm Hg)
Total sugar	Total sugar availability percapita (g/day), a covariate

Vegetables	Consumption of frozen, cooked, canned, or dried vegetables (including legumes but excluding salted or pickled, juices, nuts and seeds, and starchy vegetables such as potatoes or corn) (g/day)
Whole grains	Consumption of whole grains (bran, germ, and endosperm in their natural proportions) from breakfast cereals, bread, rice, pasta, biscuits, muffins, tortillas, pancakes, and others (g/day)

380

381

382 **Supplementary Table 2. Omega-3 Fatty Acid g to fish g calculation¶**

Fish	DHA g/3 ounce fish	EPA g/3 ounce fish	Omega-3 Fatty Acids (DHA + EPA) g/3 ounce fish mean	Fish 3 ounces = 85.02 g	Fish (g) per omega-3 Fatty Acids (g)=columns E/F
Salmon Atlantic farmed	1.24	0.59			
Salmon Atlantic wild	1.22	0.35			
Herring Atlantic	0.94	0.77			
Sardines canned in tomato sauce drained	0.74	0.45			
Mackerel Atlantic	0.59	0.43			
Salmon pink canned drained	0.63	0.28			
Trout rainbow wild	0.44	0.40			
Oysters eastern wild	0.23	0.30			
Sea bass	0.47	0.18			
Shrimp	0.12	0.12			
Lobster	0.07	0.10			
Tuna light canned in water drained	0.17	0.02			
Tilapia	0.11				
Scallops	0.09	0.06			
Cod Pacific	0.1	0.04			
Tuna yellowfin	0.09	0.01			
Mean DHA and EPA Omega-3 Fatty Acids g/3 ounce fish	0.4531	0.2733			
Calculations total Omega-3 FA g to fish g			0.7264	85.02	117.043

383 ¶ Data on omega-3 fatty acid content of varieties of fish came from the National Institutes of
 384 Health Office of Dietary Supplements (USA)

385
 386
 387

388 **Supplementary Table 3. Calculations of kcal/day from g/day of animal and plant foods¶**

Foods	Food sub-categories	kcal/serving	g/serving	kcal/g
Milk (2% fat)		122	244	0.5
Fish		218	170	1.28
Eggs		72	50	1.44
Poultry		187	85	2.91
Red meat		247	85	2.91
Processed meat				
	Salami	222	59	3.76
	Pastrami	104	71	1.46
	Ring baloney	86	28	3.07
	Pepperoni	94	100	0.94
Average processed meat		126.5	64.5	1.96
Fruits		97	162	0.60
Vegetables		59	91	0.65
Legumes		249	179	1.39
Nuts		172	28	6.14
Seeds				
	Flax seeds	55	10	5.5
	Chia seeds	58	12	4.83
	Fennel seeds	34.5	10	3.45
	Hemp seeds	55.3	10	5.53
Average of seeds		50.7	10.5	4.83
Average of nuts and seeds		111.4	19.25	5.78
Corn		99	103	0.96
Potatoes		161	173	0.93
Sweet potatoes		115	151	0.76
Rice		205	158	1.3
Whole grains		120	52	2.31

389 ¶ Source: NutritionIX app

390

391

392

393 **Supplementary Table 4. Pearson correlations between BMI formula risk factors from**
 394 **Table 1**

Correlations between BMI risk factors (r)	Red meat	Fish	Milk	Poultry	Eggs	Alcohol	Sugary beverages	Corn	Potatoes	SFA	PUFA
Processed meat KC/d	0.647	0.286	0.756	0.662	0.569	0.576	-0.108	-0.050	0.159	0.624	0.699
Red meat KC/d	1.000	0.118	0.676	0.664	0.784	0.466	0.046	-0.143	0.123	0.704	0.694
Fish KC/d	0.118	1.000	0.109	0.163	0.393	0.294	-0.163	-0.018	-0.058	0.038	0.163
Milk KC/d	0.676	0.109	1.000	0.673	0.525	0.385	0.072	-0.107	0.202	0.766	0.680
Poultry KC/d	0.664	0.163	0.673	1.000	0.628	0.351	0.142	0.011	0.102	0.701	0.837
Eggs KC/d	0.784	0.393	0.525	0.628	1.000	0.341	0.021	-0.099	0.030	0.595	0.631
Alcohol KC/d	0.466	0.294	0.385	0.351	0.341	1.000	-0.090	-0.107	-0.011	0.287	0.379
Sugary beverages KC/d	0.046	-0.163	0.072	0.142	0.021	-0.090	1.000	0.419	-0.025	0.045	0.113
Corn KC/d	-0.143	-0.018	-0.107	0.011	-0.099	-0.107	0.419	1.000	0.158	-0.133	-0.034
Potatoes KC/d	0.123	-0.058	0.202	0.102	0.030	-0.011	-0.025	0.158	1.000	0.211	0.086
SFA KC/d	0.704	0.038	0.766	0.701	0.595	0.287	0.045	-0.133	0.211	1.000	0.635
PUFAs KC/d	0.694	0.163	0.680	0.837	0.631	0.379	0.113	-0.034	0.086	0.635	1.000
TFA KC/d	0.187	-0.008	0.428	0.446	0.203	0.152	0.198	0.269	-0.091	0.233	0.433
Fruits KC/d	0.371	0.098	0.490	0.606	0.366	0.058	0.198	0.102	0.243	0.470	0.589
Vegetables KC/d	0.382	0.272	0.349	0.345	0.513	0.191	-0.167	-0.203	-0.047	0.224	0.385
Nuts and seeds KC/d	0.386	0.072	0.579	0.504	0.333	0.232	-0.146	-0.112	0.187	0.471	0.527
Whole grains KC/d	-0.112	0.091	-0.254	-0.023	-0.077	0.066	0.204	0.428	-0.058	-0.165	-0.063
Legumes KC/d	-0.404	0.061	-0.194	-0.220	-0.416	0.140	0.197	0.269	0.019	-0.389	-0.145
Rice KC/d	-0.351	-0.070	-0.565	-0.389	-0.271	-0.129	-0.148	-0.250	-0.377	-0.378	-0.368
Sweet potatoes KC/d	0.005	-0.074	-0.395	-0.240	0.049	-0.063	-0.218	-0.028	0.239	-0.130	-0.234
Physical activity METs	-0.068	-0.163	-0.430	-0.396	-0.114	0.105	-0.103	0.028	0.022	-0.309	-0.431
Child underweight	-0.736	-0.189	-0.403	-0.618	-0.803	-0.131	-0.065	-0.083	-0.215	-0.584	-0.622
Correlations between BMI risk factors (r)	TFA	Fruits	Vegetables	Nuts-seeds	Whole grains	Legumes	Rice	Sweet potatoes	Physical activity	Child underweight	Sex m/f
Processed meat KC/d	0.463	0.379	0.290	0.504	-0.023	-0.197	-0.391	-0.194	-0.212	-0.411	-0.086
Red meat KC/d	0.187	0.371	0.382	0.386	-0.112	-0.404	-0.351	0.005	-0.068	-0.736	-0.230
Fish KC/d	-0.008	0.098	0.272	0.072	0.091	0.061	-0.070	-0.074	-0.163	-0.189	-0.023
Milk KC/d	0.428	0.490	0.349	0.579	-0.254	-0.194	-0.565	-0.395	-0.430	-0.403	-0.018
Poultry KC/d	0.446	0.606	0.345	0.504	-0.023	-0.220	-0.389	-0.240	-0.396	-0.618	0.000
Eggs KC/d	0.203	0.366	0.513	0.333	-0.077	-0.416	-0.271	0.049	-0.114	-0.803	0.000
Alcohol KC/d	0.152	0.058	0.191	0.232	0.066	0.140	-0.129	-0.063	0.105	-0.131	-0.432
Sugary beverages KC/d	0.198	0.198	-0.167	-0.146	0.204	0.197	-0.148	-0.218	-0.103	-0.065	-0.311
Corn KC/d	0.269	0.102	-0.203	-0.112	0.428	0.269	-0.250	-0.028	0.028	-0.083	0.000
Potatoes KC/d	-0.091	0.243	-0.047	0.187	-0.058	0.019	-0.377	0.239	0.022	-0.215	0.000
SFA KC/d	0.233	0.470	0.224	0.471	-0.165	-0.389	-0.378	-0.130	-0.309	-0.584	0.007
PUFAs KC/d	0.433	0.589	0.385	0.527	-0.063	-0.145	-0.368	-0.234	-0.431	-0.622	-0.013
TFA KC/d	1.000	0.387	0.284	0.312	0.087	0.044	-0.261	-0.322	-0.412	-0.121	0.096
Fruits KC/d	0.387	1.000	0.386	0.579	0.012	-0.028	-0.319	-0.096	-0.391	-0.493	0.116
Vegetables KC/d	0.284	0.386	1.000	0.547	-0.349	-0.157	-0.230	-0.095	-0.208	-0.453	-0.066
Nuts and seeds KC/d	0.312	0.579	0.547	1.000	-0.211	-0.023	-0.352	-0.074	-0.302	-0.293	-0.030
Whole grains KC/d	0.087	0.012	-0.349	-0.211	1.000	0.100	0.548	-0.039	0.268	0.089	-0.064
Legumes KC/d	0.044	-0.028	-0.157	-0.023	0.100	1.000	-0.050	-0.054	-0.068	0.506	-0.142
Rice KC/d	-0.261	-0.319	-0.230	-0.352	0.548	-0.050	1.000	0.070	0.386	0.374	0.000
Sweet potatoes KC/d	-0.322	-0.096	-0.095	-0.074	-0.039	-0.054	0.070	1.000	0.327	-0.167	0.000
Physical activity	-0.412	-0.391	-0.208	-0.302	0.268	-0.068	0.386	0.327	1.000	0.135	-0.257
Child underweight	-0.121	-0.493	-0.453	-0.293	0.089	0.506	0.374	-0.167	0.135	1.000	-0.017

395 **Red: Fruits, vegetables, and nuts and seeds correlated positively with BMI increasing foods**

396 **Blue: Fruits, vegetables, and nuts and seeds correlated positively with themselves**

397 **Green: Fruits, vegetables, and nuts and seeds correlated negatively with BMI decreasing foods**

398
399
400

401 **Supplementary Table 5. Derivation of the BMI formula**

402

		Column A		Column B		Column C		Column D		Column E		Column F
Row		BMI risk factors		Mean		BMI versus risk factor R ²		Adjust for fatty acids		Multiple regression parameter estimates		Column B * Column C * Column D * Column E
1	+	Processed meat	*	5.334	*	0.3527	*	1	*	0.0034	=	0.0064
2	+	Red meat	*	50.27	*	0.4304	*	1	*	0.0034	=	0.0736
3	+	Fish	*	9.985	*	0.0104	*	1	*	0.0034	=	0.0004
4	+	Milk	*	25.04	*	0.4573	*	1	*	0.0034	=	0.0389
5	+	Poultry available	*	44.32	*	0.6539	*	1	*	0.0034	=	0.0985
6	+	Eggs available	*	19.36	*	0.4672	*	1	*	0.0034	=	0.0308
7	+	Alcohol	*	81.03	*	0.0213	*	1	*	0.0034	=	0.0059
8	+	Sugary beverages	*	298.36	*	0.0170	*	1	*	0.0034	=	0.0173
9	+	Corn available	*	34.72	*	0.0058	*	1	*	0.0034	=	0.0007
10	+	Potatoes available	*	84.04	*	0.0439	*	1	*	0.0034	=	0.0125
11	+	SFA	*	190.58	*	0.4946	*	0.46	*	0.0034	=	0.1474
12	+	PUFAs	*	81.096	*	0.5330	*	0.46	*	0.0034	=	0.0676
13	+	TFA	*	13.23	*	0.2256	*	0.46	*	0.0034	=	0.0047
14	+	Fruits	*	40.21	*	0.3798	*	1	*	0.0034	=	0.0519
15	+	Vegetables	*	79.76	*	0.2612	*	1	*	0.0034	=	0.0708
16	+	Nuts and seeds	*	8.414	*	0.2248	*	1	*	0.0034	=	0.0064
17	-	Whole grains	*	55.65	*	0.0412	*	1	*	0.0034	=	0.0078
18	-	Legumes	*	51.74	*	0.1471	*	1	*	0.0034	=	0.0259
19	-	Rice available	*	141.86	*	0.3104	*	1	*	0.0034	=	0.1497
20	-	Sweet potatoes available	*	22.76	*	0.0218	*	1	*	0.0034	=	0.0017
21	-	Physical activity METs							*	0.12949	=	0.1295
23	-	Child underweight by >2SD							*	0.35420	=	0.3542
22	+	Sex male 1 and female 2							*	0.10455	=	0.1046
24		Sum of parameter estimates										1.4071
25		R ² of BMI formula										0.8585
26		R ² of BMI formula / Sum of parameter estimates										0.6101
27		F1-F23 * F27 * 100 to convert to percent weights										61.01

403 **Supplementary Table 5. Derivation of the BMI formula continued**

Row		Column G		Column H		Column I		Column J
		BMI risk factors		Un-adjusted Percent weights		BMI risk factors with fruits, vegetables, and nuts and seeds with negative signs		Percent weights (J1- J23) * 0.94299 (J27)
1	+	Processed meat	*	0.3903	+	Processed meat	*	0.37
2	+	Red meat	*	4.4882	+	Red meat	*	4.23
3	+	Fish	*	0.0216	+	Fish	*	0.02
4	+	Milk	*	2.3753	+	Milk	*	2.24
5	+	Poultry available	*	6.0115	+	Poultry available	*	5.67
6	+	Eggs available	*	1.8764	+	Eggs available	*	1.77
7	+	Alcohol	*	0.3585	+	Alcohol	*	0.34
8	+	Sugary beverages	*	1.0532	+	Sugary beverages	*	0.99
9	+	Corn available	*	0.0416	+	Corn available	*	0.04
10	+	Potatoes available	*	0.7654	+	Potatoes available	*	0.72
11	+	SFA	*	8.9947	+	SFA	*	8.48
12	+	PUFAs	*	4.1245	+	PUFAs	*	3.89
13	+	TFA	*	0.2848	+	TFA	*	0.27
14	+	Fruits	*	3.1683	-	Fruits	*	2.99
15	+	Vegetables	*	4.3224	-	Vegetables	*	4.08
16	+	Nuts and seeds	*	0.3923	-	Nuts and seeds	*	0.37
17	-	Whole grains	*	0.4751	-	Whole grains	*	0.45
18	-	Legumes	*	1.5789	-	Legumes	*	1.49
19	-	Rice available	*	9.1354	-	Rice available	*	8.61
20	-	Sweet potatoes available	*	0.1029	-	Sweet potatoes available	*	0.10
21	-	Physical activity METs	*	7.9004	-	Physical activity METs	*	7.45
22	-	Child underweight by >2SD	*	21.6105	-	Child underweight by >2SD	*	20.38
23	+	Sex male 1 and female 2	*	6.3788	+	Sex male 1 and female 2	*	6.02
24		Sum of parameter estimates		85.8512		Sum of parameter estimates		80.96
25		r of unadjusted BMI formula		0.9266		r of adjusted BMI formula		0.8998
26		R ² of unadjusted BMI formula		0.8585		R ² of adjusted BMI formula		0.8096
27						Sum percent weights (J24) / unadjusted sum percent weights (H24)		0.9430
28		H26 * 100 to convert to total percent weights=H24		85.8512		J26 * 100 to convert to percent weights=J24		80.9560

404

405

406 **Supplementary Table 6. BMI trend versus risk factor trends formula derivation (all**
 407 **variables standardized)**

		Column A		Column B		Column C		Column D		Column E		Column F
Row		BMI trend and risk factor trends (change/year)		Mean		BMI trend v RF trends R ²		Adjustment for fatty acids		Multiple regression parameter estimates		Column B * Column C * Column D * Column E
		BMI kg/M ² trend		0.07603								
1	-	Processed meat KC/d trend	*	0.0513	*	0.0001	*	1.00	*	1.1427	=	0.0000
2	+	Red meat KC/d trend	*	0.5556	*	0.1682	*	1.00	*	1.1427	=	0.1068
3	-	Fish KC/d trend	*	0.2244	*	0.0251	*	1.00	*	1.1427	=	0.0064
4	+	Milk KC/d trend	*	0.1901	*	0.0425	*	1.00	*	1.1427	=	0.0092
5	+	Poultry KC/d trend	*	1.1457	*	0.1768	*	1.00	*	1.1427	=	0.2315
6	+	Eggs KC/d trend	*	0.2996	*	0.2340	*	1.00	*	1.1427	=	0.0801
7	+	Alcohol KC/d trend	*	0.0307	*	0.0833	*	1.00	*	1.1427	=	0.0029
8	+	Sugary beverages KC/d trend	*	0.2890	*	0.0156	*	1.00	*	1.1427	=	0.0051
9	-	Corn KC/d trend	*	0.0284	*	0.0198	*	1.00	*	1.1427	=	0.0006
10	+	Potatoes KC/d trend	*	0.2461	*	0.0740	*	1.00	*	1.1427	=	0.0208
11	-	SFA KC/d trend	*	0.2101	*	0.0025	*	0.46	*	1.1427	=	0.0003
12	+	PUFA KC/d trend	*	0.9963	*	0.0820	*	0.46	*	1.1427	=	0.0429
13	+	TFA trend	*	0.2096	*	0.0044	*	0.46	*	1.1427	=	0.0005
14	+	Fruits KC/d trend	*	0.6234	*	0.2317	*	1.00	*	1.1427	=	0.1651
15	+	Vegetables KC/d trend	*	1.3992	*	0.1570	*	1.00	*	1.1427	=	0.2511
16	+	Nuts and seeds KC/d trend	*	0.2762	*	0.0150	*	1.00	*	1.1427	=	0.0047
17	+	Whole grains KC/d trend	*	0.1729	*	0.0108	*	1.00	*	1.1427	=	0.0021
18	-	Legumes KC/d trend	*	0.3696	*	0.0886	*	1.00	*	1.1427	=	0.0374
19	+	Rice KC/d trend	*	0.7869	*	0.0886	*	1.00	*	1.1427	=	0.1389
20	+	Sweet potatoes KC/d trend	*	0.4603	*	0.0886	*	1.00	*	1.1427	=	0.1311
21	+	Physical activity METs trend	*						*	0.20825	=	0.20825
22	-	Child underweight >2SD trend	*						*	0.03926	=	0.03926
23		Sum										1.48519

408
409

410 **Supplementary Table 6. BMI trend versus risk factor trends formula derivation continued**

Row		Column G BMI trend and risk factor trends		Column H Preliminary risk factor coefficients (Column F repeated)		Column I BMI trend and risk factor trends		Column J Column H: H27 * (H1-H22) = BMI trend formula percent weights
	+	BMI kg/M ² trend		0.07603	+	BMI kg/M ² trend		0.0760
1	-	Processed meat KC/d trend	*	0.00000	-	Processed meat KC/d trend	*	0.00
2	+	Red meat KC/d trend	*	0.10681	+	Red meat KC/d trend	*	2.52
3	-	Fish KC/d trend	*	0.00644	-	Fish KC/d trend	*	0.15
4	+	Milk KC/d trend	*	0.00923	+	Milk KC/d trend	*	0.22
5	+	Poultry KC/d trend	*	0.23146	+	Poultry KC/d trend	*	5.46
6	+	Eggs KC/d trend	*	0.08011	+	Eggs KC/d trend	*	1.89
7	+	Alcohol KC/d trend	*	0.00292	+	Alcohol KC/d trend	*	0.07
8	+	Sugary beverages KC/d trend	*	0.00514	+	Sugary beverages KC/d trend	*	0.12
9	-	Corn KC/d trend	*	0.00064	-	Corn KC/d trend	*	0.02
10	+	Potatoes KC/d trend	*	0.02082	+	Potatoes KC/d trend	*	0.49
11	-	SFA KC/d trend	*	0.00027	-	SFA KC/d trend	*	0.01
12	+	PUFA KC/d trend	*	0.04291	+	PUFA KC/d trend	*	1.01
13	+	TFA trend	*	0.00048	+	TFA trend	*	0.01
14	+	Fruits KC/d trend	*	0.16508	+	Fruits KC/d trend	*	3.89
15	+	Vegetables KC/d trend	*	0.25107	+	Vegetables KC/d trend	*	5.92
16	+	Nuts and seeds KC/d trend	*	0.00474	+	Nuts and seeds KC/d trend	*	0.11
17	+	Whole grains KC/d trend	*	0.00213	+	Whole grains KC/d trend	*	0.05
18	-	Legumes KC/d trend	*	0.03741	-	Legumes KC/d trend	*	0.88
19	+	Rice KC/d trend	*	0.13891	+	Rice KC/d trend	*	3.28
20	+	Sweet potatoes KC/d trend	*	0.13109	+	Sweet potatoes KC/d trend	*	3.09
21	+	Physical activity METs trend	*	0.20825	+	Physical activity METs trend	*	4.91
22	-	Child underweight >2SD trend	*	0.03926	-	Child underweight >2SD trend	*	0.93
23		Sum		1.48519		Total percent weights		35.02
24		BMI trend formula r		0.59178				
25		BMI trend formula R ²		0.35020				
26		BMI trend formula R ² (H25)/sum (H23)		0.23579				
27		H26 * 100 for percent weights		23.57947				

411
412
413

414 **Article Information**

415 **Corresponding Author:** David K. Cundiff, Independent researcher, davidkcundiff@gmail.com

416• **Authors' contributions:** DKC acts as guarantor; conceived and designed the study, acquired
417 and analysed the data, interpreted the study findings, drafted the manuscript, critically reviewed
418 and edited the manuscript and tables, and approved the final version for publication.

419

420 CW designed software programs in R to format and population weight the data,
421 aided with the SAS statistical analysis, critically reviewed the manuscript, and
422 approved the final version for publication.

423

424• **Conflict of Interest Disclosures:** None reported. Both authors have completed the ICMJE
425 uniform disclosure form at www.icmje.org/coi_disclosure.pdf and declare: no support from any
426 organisation for the submitted work; no financial relationships with any organisations that might
427 have an interest in the submitted work in the previous three years; no other relationships or
428 activities that could appear to have influenced the submitted work.

429

430• **Funding/Support:** This research received no specific grant from any funding agency in the
431 public, commercial or not-for-profit sectors. The Bill and Melinda Gates Foundation funded the
432 acquisition of the data for this analysis by the IHME. The data were provided to the authors as
433 volunteer collaborators with IHME.

434•

435

436 **Role of the Funder/Sponsor:** While IHME GBD faculty and staff by virtue of the Bill and
437 Melinda Gates Foundation grants provided the raw data for this analysis, they did not vet the
438 analysis or sponsor the manuscript.

439
440 **Additional Contributors:** Martin Sebera, from the Department of Kinesiology, Faculty of
441 Sports Studies Masaryk University, Czech Republic, critiqued statistical aspects of the
442 manuscript and provided useful input. Pavel Grasgruber, from Masaryk University, Czech
443 Republic, provided suggestions after reviewing the manuscript. We thank Scott Glenn and Brent
444 Bell from IHME who supplied us with the GBD risk factor exposure data for the risk factors and
445 for BMI data.

446
447 **Data sharing statement:** The raw, unformatted data used in this analysis is now out of date. The
448 2019 GBD data on all the variables in this analysis may be obtained from the IHME by volunteer
449 collaborating researchers. The formatted database, SAS codes and Excel spreadsheets on which
450 this analysis is based are posted on the Mendeley data repository:

451 <https://data.mendeley.com/datasets/g6b39zxck4/6>

452
453 **STROBE checklist:** This report follows the STrengthening the Reporting of OBservational
454 studies in Epidemiology (STROBE) guidelines for reporting global health estimates.³⁶

455

456

457

458

459 **References**

- 460 1. Murray C, Lopez A, Rodgers A, et al. The World Health Report 2002: Reducing Risks,
461 Promoting Healthy Life. World Health Organization.
462 https://apps.who.int/iris/bitstream/handle/10665/42510/WHR_2002.pdf
- 463 2. Murray CJL, Aravkin AY, Zheng P, et al. Global burden of 87 risk factors in 204
464 countries and territories, 1990-2019: a systematic analysis for the Global Burden of Disease
465 Study 2019. *The Lancet*. 2020;396(10258):1223-1249. doi:10.1016/S0140-6736(20)30752-2
- 466 3. Ioannidis JPA. Implausible results in human nutrition research. *BMJ : British Medical*
467 *Journal*. 2013;347doi:10.1136/bmj.f6698
- 468 4. Ioannidis JA. The challenge of reforming nutritional epidemiologic research. *JAMA*.
469 2018;320(10):969-970. doi:10.1001/jama.2018.11025
- 470 5. Artificial Intelligence (AI). IBM Cloud Education. Accessed August 7, 2021,
471 <https://www.ibm.com/cloud/learn/what-is-artificial-intelligence>
- 472 6. Hill AB. THE ENVIRONMENT AND DISEASE: ASSOCIATION OR CAUSATION?
473 *Proc R Soc Med*. 1965;58(5):295-300.
- 474 7. Global Burden of Disease Study 2017 (GBD 2017) Data Input Sources Tool. Institute of
475 Health Metrics and Evaluation. Updated 2019. Accessed August 10, 2019,
476 <http://ghdx.healthdata.org/gbd-2017/data-input-sources>
- 477 8. GBD 2017 Risk Factor Collaborators. Global, regional, and national comparative risk
478 assessment of 84 behavioural, environmental and occupational, and metabolic risks or clusters of
479 risks for 195 countries and territories, 1990–2017: a systematic analysis for the Global Burden of
480 Disease Study 2017: Table of risk factor definitions. *The Lancet*. 2018;392(10159):1923-94.
- 481 9. PROTOCOL FOR THE GLOBAL BURDEN OF DISEASES, INJURIES, AND RISK
482 FACTORS STUDY (GBD) Version 3.0; Issue 26. Institute for Health Metrics and Evaluation.
483 Updated February 2018. Accessed August 2, 2019,
484 http://www.healthdata.org/sites/default/files/files/Projects/GBD/GBD_Protocol.pdf
- 485 10. Naghavi M, Abajobir AA, Abbafati C, et al. Global, regional, and national age-sex
486 specific mortality for 264 causes of death, 1980–2016: a systematic analysis for the Global
487 Burden of Disease Study 2016. *The Lancet*. 2017;390 (10100):1151-1210.
- 488 11. Flaxman AD, Lee YY, Vos T, et al. *An Integrative Metaregression Framework for*
489 *Descriptive Epidemiology*. University of Washington Press; 2015.
490 <http://www.guilles.website/wp-content/uploads/2017/11/Metaregression-AFlaxman.pdf>
- 491 12. GBD 2017 Risk Factor Collaborators. Global, regional, and national comparative risk
492 assessment of 84 behavioural, environmental and occupational, and metabolic risks or clusters of
493 risks for 195 countries and territories, 1990–2017: a systematic analysis for the Global Burden of
494 Disease Study 2017. . *The Lancet*. 2018;392(10159):1923-94.
- 495 13. Call for Collaborators. . Institute for Health Metrics and Evaluation at the University of
496 Washington. Updated 2019. Accessed April 25, 2019, [http://www.healthdata.org/gbd/call-for-](http://www.healthdata.org/gbd/call-for-collaborators)
497 [collaborators](http://www.healthdata.org/gbd/call-for-collaborators)
- 498 14. Omega 3 Fatty Acids: Fact Sheet for Health Professionals (The Office of Dietary
499 Supplements) (2018).
- 500 15. Nutritionix Track App. Syndigo LLC Updated 2019. Accessed April 25, 2019,
501 <https://www.nutritionix.com/>

- 502 16. Bloom JOJ. Bootstrap confidence intervals (Class 24, 18.05). MIT. Accessed July 25,
503 2021, [https://ocw.mit.edu/courses/mathematics/18-05-introduction-to-probability-and-statistics-](https://ocw.mit.edu/courses/mathematics/18-05-introduction-to-probability-and-statistics-spring-2014/readings/MIT18_05S14_Reading24.pdf)
504 [spring-2014/readings/MIT18_05S14_Reading24.pdf](https://ocw.mit.edu/courses/mathematics/18-05-introduction-to-probability-and-statistics-spring-2014/readings/MIT18_05S14_Reading24.pdf)
- 505 17. Ruotsalainen H, Kyngäs H, Tammelin T, Kääriäinen M. Systematic review of physical
506 activity and exercise interventions on body mass indices, subsequent physical activity and
507 psychological symptoms in overweight and obese adolescents. *Journal of Advanced Nursing*.
508 2015;71(11):2461-2477. doi:10.1111/jan.12696
- 509 18. Te Morenga L, Mallard S, Mann J. Dietary sugars and body weight: systematic review
510 and meta-analyses of randomised controlled trials and cohort studies. *BMJ* 2013;346:e7492.
511 doi:10.1136/bmj.e7492
- 512 19. Traversy G, Chaput J-P. Alcohol Consumption and Obesity: An Update. *Curr Obes Rep*.
513 2015;4(1):122-130. doi:10.1007/s13679-014-0129-4
- 514 20. Turner-McGrievy G, Mandes T, Crimarco A. A plant-based diet for overweight and
515 obesity prevention and treatment. *J Geriatr Cardiol*. 2017;14(5):369-374.
516 doi:10.11909/j.issn.1671-5411.2017.05.002
- 517 21. Mozaffarian D, Hao T, Rimm EB, Willett WC, Hu FB. Changes in diet and lifestyle and
518 long-term weight gain in women and men. *N Engl J Med*. Jun 23 2011;364(25):2392-404.
519 doi:10.1056/NEJMoa1014296
- 520 22. POTATO PROCESSING AND USES. International Potato Center. Updated 2020.
521 Accessed May 28, 2020, <https://cipotato.org/potato/potato-processing-uses/>
- 522 23. Rauber F, Steele EM, Louzada MLdC, Millett C, Monteiro CA. Ultra-processed food
523 consumption and indicators of obesity in the United Kingdom population (2008-2016). *PLoS*
524 *ONE*. 2020;15(5):e0232676.
- 525 24. Monteiro CA, Moubarac J-C, Cannon G, Ng SW, Popkin B. Ultra-processed products are
526 becoming dominant in the global food system. *Obes Rev*. 2013;doi:10.1111/obr.12107
- 527 25. United States Department of Agriculture FY 2019 BUDGET SUMMARY (US Office of
528 Budget and Program Analysis) (2019).
- 529 26. Why is Government Subsidizing Junk Food?--22.6 percent of SNAP dollars are spent on
530 unhealthy food. Foundation for Economic Education. Updated February 25, 2017. Accessed
531 August 11, 2019, <https://fee.org/articles/why-is-government-subsidizing-junk-food/>
- 532 27. Wakamo B. We Subsidize the Wrong Kind of Agriculture. Inequality.org. Updated JUNE
533 21, 2018. <https://inequality.org/great-divide/subsidize-wrong-kind-agriculture/>
- 534 28. Fruits and Veggies Now Chock Full of Marketing Power (2017).
- 535 29. Darmon N, Drewnowski A. Contribution of food prices and diet cost to socioeconomic
536 disparities in diet quality and health: a systematic review and analysis. *Nutrition reviews*.
537 2015;73(10):643-660. doi:10.1093/nutrit/nuv027
- 538 30. Ebbeling CB, Feldman HA, Klein GL, et al. Effects of a low carbohydrate diet on energy
539 expenditure during weight loss maintenance: randomized trial. *BMJ*. Nov 14 2018;363:k4583.
- 540 31. FORESIGHT--Tackling Obesities: Future Choices --Follow Up Actions. Government
541 Office for Science (UK). Updated 2019. Accessed August 5, 2019,
542 [https://assets.publishing.service.gov.uk/government/uploads/system/uploads/attachment_data/file](https://assets.publishing.service.gov.uk/government/uploads/system/uploads/attachment_data/file/295885/07-1526-obesity-action-plan.pdf)
543 [/295885/07-1526-obesity-action-plan.pdf](https://assets.publishing.service.gov.uk/government/uploads/system/uploads/attachment_data/file/295885/07-1526-obesity-action-plan.pdf)
- 544 32. Mărginean CO, Mărginean C, Meliț LE. New Insights Regarding Genetic Aspects of
545 Childhood Obesity: A Minireview. *Front Pediatr*. 2018;6:271-271.
546 doi:10.3389/fped.2018.00271

- 547 33. Castaner O, Goday A, Park Y-M, et al. The Gut Microbiome Profile in Obesity: A
548 Systematic Review. *Int J Endocrinol*. 2018;2018:4095789-4095789. doi:10.1155/2018/4095789
549 34. Monteiro CA, Moubarac J-C, Levy RB, Canella DS, Louzada MLdC, Cannon G.
550 Household availability of ultra-processed foods and obesity in nineteen European countries.
551 *Public Health Nutrition*. 2017;21(1):18-26. doi:10.1017/S1368980017001379
552 35. Monteiro CA, Cannon G, Levy RB, et al. Ultra-processed foods: what they are and how
553 to identify them. *Public Health Nutrition*. 2019;22(5):936-941.
554 doi:10.1017/S1368980018003762
555 36. Stevens GA, Alkema L, Black PRE, et al. Guidelines for Accurate and Transparent
556 Health Estimates Reporting: the GATHER statement. *The Lancet*. 2016;388(10062):e19-e23.
557