The Impact of COVID-19 on Medical Practice: A Nationwide Survey of Dermatologists and Healthcare Providers

Mohammed Shanshal[1], **Hayder Saad Ahmed**[2], **Hayder Asfoor**[3], **Raad Ibrahim Salih**[2], **Shehab Ahmed Ali**[4], **Yusif k. Aldabouni** [1]

[1] Baghdad Teaching Hospital, Department of Dermatology and Venereology, [2] University of Tikrit, College of Medicine, Department of Dermatology and Venereology, [3] University of Kerbala, College of Medicine, Department of Medicine, [4] Al- iskandria Hospital, Department of Dermatology and Venereology

Corresponding author:

Mohammed Shanshal, MBChB, FABMS – Dermatology
Baghdad Teaching Hospital, Department of Dermatology and Venereology
Email: **doctor.dermatology30@yahoo.com**
ORCID Id: https://orcid.org/0000-0003-2877-5919

Ethical approval:
Arab Board of Health Specialization, MOH Iraq

Word count: 2227
Abstract word count: 222
Number of figures: 6
Number of references: 15
Conflicts of interest: None
Funding sources: None
Patient privacy and ethical use of images:
The patients in this manuscript have given written informed consent for publication of their case details, including the use of images.

key words:
PPE-related dermatoses, COVID-19 pandemic, COVID-19 and dermatology

Abstract

Background: The COVID-19 pandemic has dramatically changed medical practice worldwide. It posed a significant impact on different health services, including dermatology.

Methods and objectives: Two online surveys were conducted to determine the prevalence of personal protective equipment-related skin complications (200 healthcare providers were included) and to demonstrate the outbreak's impact on dermatology practice (100 dermatologists were included).

Results: In the first survey, the response rate was 72.46%. PPE- related dermatoses were reported by 147 (73%) participants, including frictional dermatitis (51.9%), mechanical acne (33.1%), contact dermatitis (29.9%), nonspecific rash (17.5%), urticaria (9.1%) and skin infections (3.2%).

The response rate of the second survey was 64%. COVID-19 emerging cutaneous manifestations were recognized by 20% of dermatologists, including maculopapular rash (41.67%), urticaria (37.50%), chilblain (25%) and vasculitis (16.67). Telemedicine was provided by 73% of the dermatologists. The relapse rates of psoriasis, atopic dermatitis, rosacea, vitiligo and alopecia areata were noticeably increased as observed by 62%, 50%, 20%, and 4% of dermatologists,

respectively. Most dermatologists (89%) reported minimal use of immunosuppressive drugs amid the pandemic.

Conclusions: This article highlights the pivotal role of dermatologists in the leading edge during the current health crisis and how they adapt to these unfamiliar circumstances to meet the challenges. It documents the emergence of PPE-related dermatoses among healthcare providers and the impact of COVID-19 on different aspects of dermatology practice.

Introduction

An ongoing outbreak of coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19) caused by SARS-CoV-2, started in December 2019. It was first identified in the Chinese city of Wuhan. The virus then propagated and began to hit other regions around the world until it was declared a global pandemic by the World Health Organization (WHO) on March 11, 2020 **(1)**.

The emerging COVID-19 virus is phylogenetically linked to viruses that cause severe acute respiratory syndrome (SARS) and Middle East respiratory syndrome (MERS). COVID-19 causes variable degrees of illness, ranging from fever, cough, dyspnea, fatigue, and diarrhea to critical cases of severe acute respiratory distress syndrome **(2)**.

The COVID-19 pandemic has brought the world to a standstill and imposed significant challenges to all healthcare workers, including dermatologists. Telemedicine has gained particular importance, where many of the dermatological consultations were transitioned into teledermatology services. Due to the current outbreak, there is an ongoing emergence of personal protective equipment (PPE)- related dermatoses and hygiene-related hand dermatitis, particularly among healthcare providers. In addition, there is a

significant risk of other infectious disease outbreaks, like measles, due to a shortage of vaccination programs imposed by COVID-19 lockdown.

Objectives and methods

Two online surveys were conducted for data collection. The first survey involved 5-item and included 276 randomly selected healthcare providers working in the emergency departments, inpatient wards, and outpatient clinics of the major hospitals in Iraq. Of the healthcare providers, 200 (72.46%) completed the questionnaire. The survey explored the frequency of PPE-related dermatoses among healthcare providers working during the current pandemic. The participants were asked about their gender, age, and any skin manifestations related to PPE use.

A different questionnaire included 156 randomly selected dermatologists practicing amid the COVID-19 era. Of the practicing dermatologists, 100 (64%) completed the questionnaire. It involved 12-item and investigated the sequelae of the current outbreak on different aspects of dermatology practice, including the provided medical services, methods of infection control, disease epidemiology, and the currently applied medical and surgical management plans.

Results and discussion

Impact of COVID-19 on medical services

The first confirmed case of SARS-CoV-2 infection in Iraq was announced on February 22, 2020. Since then, the spread of COVID-19 has increased exponentially. By July 1, about 50,000 confirmed cases and 2,000 deaths were reported in Iraq.

The COVID-19 pandemic has targeted every single aspect of medical practice, including dermatology. As Baghdad became the epicenter of the COVID-19 pandemic in mid-March, most dermatology clinics were closed or continued to operate at a minimal capacity. The aim was to provide essential medical care for emergency cases like SJS-TEN, erythroderma, acute angioedema, and lesions worrisome for skin cancer.

With the acute shortage of PPE, medical practitioners were clenching their teeth with every patient, wondering if they were already exposed to the virus. COVID-19 patients may present with a wide range of dermatological manifestations with or without typical respiratory signs **(3) (4)**.

Of the 100 dermatologists involved in the survey, 20% reported diagnosing one or more COVID-19 related skin manifestations. The most commonly diagnosed

cutaneous manifestations in decreasing frequency were erythematous maculopapular rash (41.67%), urticaria (37.50%), chilblain (25%), and vasculitis (16.67%) (**Figure 1**).

Impact of COVID-19 on infection control

The dermatology team tried to reduce face-to-face consultations in public hospitals and private practice clinics and limit them to emergency cases. Most non-emergent presentations like melasma, acne, vitiligo, and stable psoriasis have been diverted to telemedicine platforms to avoid unjustified risk of infection spread. At least 20 dermatologists have been reported to contract the virus during their medical practice.

The survey revealed that 72% of practicing dermatologists were providing teledermatology consultations through phone and/or online social network applications during the outbreak. Since the diagnosis in dermatology is primarily dependent on visual characteristics, dermatologists have always been leaders in providing telemedicine services even before the crisis, which led to greater success with this experience.

In order to decrease the risk of infection spread while attending dermatology clinics, several measures were implemented including stressing commitment to

social distancing and wearing a mask, the pre-examination measurement of the patient's temperature and exclusion of the respiratory symptoms at the respiratory triage unit at the hospital entrance, in addition to the meticulous equipment disinfection (**Figure 2**).

Impact of COVID-19 on skin disease epidemiology

➢ Hand dermatitis

Hand dermatitis was already an issue among healthcare workers. Due to frequent handwashing, prolonged use of gloves and disinfectant overuse, there has been a dramatic increase in the incidence of hand dermatitis among healthcare personnel and the general population (**Figure 3**).

In this survey, hand dermatitis was reported in 83% of healthcare providers working during the current pandemic. Another study reported a comparable result of gloves-related hand dermatitis (88.5%) among healthcare providers working in Hubei Province hospitals amid the outbreak **(5)**. Smith DR et al. conducted a pre-outbreak epidemiological study in mainland China where the reported frequency of hand dermatitis across hospital departments ranged from 14.3% to 23.8%, far from those recorded during the COVID-19 outbreak **(6)**.

Several measures can be used to decrease the incidence of hand dermatitis, including the use of mild soaps (syndets), which are equally effective in preventing the viral spread, and frequent use of moisturizers and physical barriers, especially after handwashing to protect the skin and decrease irritation. Established hand dermatitis should be treated using emollients and/or topical steroids depending on the severity of the lesions.

- **Personal protective equipment (PPE)-related dermatoses**

Prolonged contact with PPE such as masks, protective gowns, face shields, and goggles has led to unprecedented emergence of PPE-related dermatoses among healthcare providers working amidst the current pandemic. Skin complications related to PPE are due to mechanical friction, long-term occlusion, maceration, and allergic contact reactions.

Of the 200 healthcare providers involved in the survey, 147 (73.5%) reported PPE-related dermatoses. Of these, 111 (75.5%) occurred in women and 36 (24.9%) in men. The median age was 31 years (range 24-47 years; standard deviation 4.94 years).

The frequency of PPE-related dermatosis recorded in this survey was as follows: pressure injuries (51.9%), acne (33.1%), contact dermatitis (29.9%), nonspecific

rash and itching (17.5%), urticaria (9.1%) and skin infections (3.2%) **(Figure 4)** and **(Figure 5)**. Folliculitis can occur at the site of skin friction, and the inflamed follicles may become infected with bacteria, especially Staphylococcus. Friction caused by surgical masks can also trigger herpes simplex virus reactivation.

Kaihui Hu et al. explored the frequency of PPE-related cutaneous complications among healthcare workers in the Hubei Province during the COVID-19 era. Adverse skin reactions associated with prolonged wearing of PPE, including N95 mask, latex glove and protective clothing, were 95.1%, 88.5% and 60.7%, respectively **(5)**.

Foo et al. studied the prevalence of PPE-related dermatosis among healthcare workers in Singapore during the SARS outbreak. The study revealed that 35.5% of the staff who used masks regularly reported acne (59.6%), facial itch (51.4%), and rash (35.8%) while 21.4% who used gloves regularly reported adverse skin reactions, including dry skin (73.4%), itching (56.3%), and rash (37.5%) **(7)**. Bhoyrul B et al. analyzed incident case reports of non-glove PPE-related dermatoses from UK dermatologists between 1993 and 2013; 15.5% of the affected patients were health and social workers **(8)**.

General measures to decrease PPE-related skin damage include wearing appropriate PPE, avoiding prolonged use whenever possible, and working in a cooler environment. Dressing can be applied to the skin under maximum tension to redistribute pressure and decrease friction. Frequent application of moisturizers is advisable to protect and repair the skin's barrier, and appropriate cleansing is essential to prevent secondary infections **(9)**.

Mild pressure injuries with intact epidermis usually heal spontaneously without intervention. Small bullae can be kept intact, while a larger one can be aspirated to relieve the associated pressure. Eczematous lesions are treated with moisturizers and/or topical steroids, depending on the severity of the lesions. Antihistamine can be used for marked associated pruritus. For resistant cases, a patch test may be required to identify the implicated allergen. Mask-related frictional acne can be treated in a similar way to acne vulgaris, using topical benzoyl peroxide, retinoid, and antimicrobials **(10)**.

- **Chronic skin disease relapse**

At present, it is enigmatic how COVID-19 may influence those with chronic skin conditions like psoriasis and how it may affect these individuals' treatment. The psychological impact of the crisis, reluctance of patients to attend their clinic appointment, limited use of immunosuppressive medications, and shortage of drugs leads to a perceptible increase in the rate of relapse and exacerbation of several chronic skin diseases. The relapse rates of psoriasis, atopic dermatitis, rosacea, vitiligo and alopecia areata were noticeably increased, as observed by 62%, 50%, 20%, and 4% of dermatologists, respectively.

Patients should be strongly advised against stopping their medications without consulting their physicians. The importance of supporting patients with chronic dermatologic conditions during the current crisis cannot be overemphasized.

- **Preventable infectious diseases**

The WHO expressed great concern about the dissemination of previously controllable infectious diseases like measles. Derangement of immunization services caused by the ongoing COVID-19 pandemic is likely further to decrease measles vaccination coverage by an additional 20%, leaving the most susceptible children at risk of a highly infectious disease outbreak **(11)**. At least 14 new cases of measles were recorded recently; hence, health authorities are called to

emphasize the importance of identifying and reaching children with missed vaccine doses to avoid an inevitable outbreak.

Impact of COVID-19 on dermatologic treatments

Several expert's opinions recommended restricting the use of immunosuppressive agents during the current pandemic **(12) (13)**. The majority of dermatologists in the survey (89%) reported reduced use of non-biologic immunosuppressants and restricted their prescription to selected cases, particularly when alternative options are exhausted and only after exclusion of COVID-19 symptoms. In this condition, the dose should be kept to the lowest acceptable level that still adequately controls the disease.

Because of the uncertainty of whether biologics may place patients at a higher risk of COVID-19 or more dramatic disease course, the initiation of biologic therapy in the current crisis is unadvisable. Alternative therapeutic approaches can be considered, especially in vulnerable patients **(14)**. Patients already receiving scheduled biological therapy, who do not exhibit respiratory symptoms or test positive for COVID-19, are allowed to continue their treatment while underscoring the importance of COVID-19 preventive measures, like social distancing and self-quarantining **(15)**.

Impact of COVID-19 on surgical dermatology

The majority of non-essential dermatological procedures and biopsies were postponed to reduce the risk of COVID-19 infection spread. Skin surgery was limited to a few undeferrable biopsies and high-risk skin cancer removal when the risk of delay exceeded the risk of exposure to the virus **(Figure 6)**. Therefore, certain hospitals began to apply specific internal protocols to protect their patients and staff, including testing patients for COVID-19 before their scheduled surgeries and procedures, providing full PPE to all operating staff and solicitous intraoperative environment sterilization and waste disposal.

Working on frontlines

Baghdad has been hit particularly hard by COVID-19, where almost half of the confirmed cases were recorded. The dermatology staff felt the call of duty and were actively involved in the respiratory triage at the emergency department, where they continued to help patients and support their fellow physicians.

Nineteen percent of dermatologists involved in the survey reported working in the respiratory triage or other COVID-19 related units.

Conclusion

The COVID-19 related preventive measures are associated with an upsurge in occupational skin diseases related to excessive handwashing, disinfectant overuse, and prolonged utilization of personal protective equipment. The pandemic's psychological impact, the fear of attending hospitals, and the shortage of medications has led to an increase in the relapse rate of common chronic skin diseases like psoriasis and atopic dermatitis. The dermatological management plans were overshadowed by the current public health crisis, where dermatologists continued to collaborate with their physician fellows in the battle against COVID-19 infection.

Figure legends:

Figure 1: **COVID-19 related cutaneous manifestations**

(A) The approximate frequency of diagnosed COVID-19 related skin manifestations reported by dermatologists. **(B)** 25 years old male with erythematous maculopapular eruption involving the extremities **(C)** 12 years old girl with generalized urticarial eruption.

Figure 2: **COVID-19 pre-examination triage steps**

Flowchart represents the pre-examination triage to decrease the risk of infection spread while attending the dermatology clinic and utilizing teledermatology for initial +/- follow-up assessment.

Figure 3: **Hygiene-related hand dermatitis**

(A) (B) Eczematous contact dermatitis **(C)** Papular contact dermatitis **(D)** Post-inflammatory hyperpigmentation following severe disinfectants-related dermatitis

Figure 4: COVID-19 and PPE-related dermatosis

(A) The percentage of health care providers reporting PPE-related dermatosis. **(B)** The approximate reported frequency of PPE-related dermatosis.

Figure 5: **PPE-related dermatosis among healthcare provides working in COVID-19 era**

(A) and **(B)** gown-related contact dermatitis and urticaria

Figure 6: COVID-19 and surgical dermatology

A dramatic decrease in the number of skin biopsies at Baghdad Teaching Hospital in response to the increasing numbers of COVID-19 patients.

Acknowledgement

Special thanks to doctor (Jhan Adwer Darzi) for his great effort in proofreading and manuscript editing, we also thank doctor (Mohammad Fawzi Al Sultan) for his contribution in the case collection.

References

1- Bi Q, Wu Y, Mei S, Ye C, Zou X, Zhang Z, Liu X, Wei L, Truelove SA, Zhang T, Gao W. Epidemiology and transmission of COVID-19 in 391 cases and 1286 of their close contacts in Shenzhen, China: a retrospective cohort study. The Lancet Infectious Diseases. 2020 Apr 27.

2- Guan WJ, Ni ZY, Hu Y, Liang WH, Ou CQ, He JX, Liu L, Shan H, Lei CL, Hui DS, Du B. Clinical characteristics of coronavirus disease 2019 in China. New England journal of medicine. 2020 Apr 30;382(18):1708-20.

3- Madigan LM, Micheletti RG, Shinkai K. How Dermatologists Can Learn and Contribute at the Leading Edge of the COVID-19 Global Pandemic. JAMA dermatology. 2020 Apr 30.

4- Bai Y, Yao L, Wei T, Tian F, Jin DY, Chen L, Wang M. Presumed asymptomatic carrier transmission of COVID-19. Jama. 2020 Apr 14;323(14):1406-7.

5- Hu K, Fan J, Li X, Gou X, Li X, Zhou X. The adverse skin reactions of health care workers using personal protective equipment for COVID-19. Medicine. 2020 Jun 12;99(24):e20603.

6- Smith DR, Wei N, Zhao L, Wang RS. Hand dermatitis among nurses in a newly developing region of Mainland China. International journal of nursing studies. 2005 Jan 1;42(1):13-9.

7- Foo CC, Goon AT, Leow YH, Goh CL. Adverse skin reactions to personal protective equipment against severe acute respiratory syndrome–a descriptive study in Singapore. Contact dermatitis. 2006 Nov;55(5):291-4.

8- Bhoyrul B, Lecamwasam K, Wilkinson M, Latheef F, Stocks SJ, Agius R, Carder M. A review of non-glove personal protective equipment-related occupational dermatoses reported to EPIDERM between 1993 and 2013. Contact dermatitis. 2019 Apr;80(4):217-21.

9-Zhou NY, Yang L, Dong LY, Li Y, An XJ, Yang J, Yang L, Huang CZ, Tao J. Prevention and Treatment of Skin Damage Caused by Personal Protective Equipment: Experience of the First-Line Clinicians Treating 2019-nCoV Infection. International Journal of Dermatology and Venereology. 2020 Apr 13.

10- Gheisari M, Araghi F, Moravvej H, Tabary M, Dadkhahfar S. Skin Reactions to Non-glove Personal Protective Equipment: An Emerging Issue in the COVID-19 Pandemic. Journal of the European Academy of Dermatology and Venereology. 2020 Apr 17.

11- UNICEF Iraq, UNICEF and WHO sound the alarm on the health dangers of children in Iraq missing routine immunization during the Covid-19 pandemic; 26 April 2020. Available from: https://www.unicef.org/iraq/press-releases/unicef-and-who%C2%A0sound-alarm-%C2%A0health-dangers-children-iraq-missing-routine

12- Conforti C, Giuffrida R, Dianzani C, Di Meo N, Zalaudek I. COVID-19 and psoriasis: is it time to limit treatment with immunosuppressants? A call for action. Dermatologic Therapy. 2020 Mar 11.

13- Shah P, Zampella JG. Use of systemic immunomodulatory therapies during the coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19) pandemic. Journal of the American Academy of Dermatology. 2020 Jun 1;82(6):e203-4.

14- American Academy of Dermatology (AAD). Guidance on the use of biologic agents during COVID-19 outbreak, 18 March, 2020. Available from:

https://assets.ctfassets.net/1ny4yoiyrqia/PicgNuD0IpYd9MSOwab47/023ce3cf6eb82cb304b4ad4a8ef50d56/Biologics_and_COVID-19.pdf

15- Brownstone ND, Thibodeaux QG, Reddy VD, Myers BA, Chan SY, Bhutani T, Liao W. Novel coronavirus disease (COVID-19) and biologic therapy in psoriasis: infection risk and patient counseling in uncertain times. Dermatology and therapy. 2020 Apr 16:1-1.

reported COVID-19 related skin manifestations




Pre-examination triage at the hospital's entrance
Exclusion of:
Fever
Respiratory symptoms
Recent history of positive COVID-19 test
History of contact with confirmed+/- suspected COVID-19 case
+VE
-VE
Referral to respiratory triage unit for further assessment and exclusion of COVID-19
The patient can attend the dermatology clinic
emphasis on social distancing and wearing a mask
Follow-up
Possible use of teledermatology

KYLIE


26.5
73.5
A
health care provides reported PPE dermatosis
health care providers do not report PPE-dermatosis


the reported frequency of PPE-related dermatosis
nonspecific rash
17.50%
Urticaria
9.10%
skin infection
3.20%
Acne
33.10%
pressue injuries,frictional dermatitis
51.90%
Contact dermatitis
29.90%
0.00%
10.00%
20.00%
30.00%
40.00%
50.00%
60.00%
B
the reported frequency of PPE-related dermatosis


biopsies per month
50
40
30
20
10
0
39
42
19
9
13
1
January
February
March
April
May
June
Biopsy per month


COVID-19 New cases per month
50000
40000
30000
20000
10000
0
0
13
617
1373
4176
39223
January
February
March
April
May
June
COVID-19 New cases per month