Excess deaths in Spain during the first year of the COVID–19 pandemic outbreak from age/sex–adjusted death rates

José María Martín-Olalla*

Universidad de Sevilla. Facultad de Física. Departamento de Física de la Materia Condensada. ES41012 Sevilla. Spain

(Dated: 20210618.1932+0200)

The Eurostat records of weekly deaths disaggregated by AGEGRP5 (five–year bin age groups) and sex group in Spain have been analyzed to build the age/sex–specific weekly death rates and the age/sex–adjusted weekly death rate and to infer the predicted death rate, the excess death rate and the excess deaths during the first year (52–week) of the pandemic. Adjusted rates were computed extending the last available population structure back in time to assess the death rates that should have been observed in the past with the present population structure.
Age/sex–adjusted, 52–week death rate had not been as high as the observed rate 10.67×10^{-3} in the past 13 years.
Poisson regression predicted a death rate of 8.81×10^{-3} which makes an excess of 1.86×10^{-3} ($P - \text{score} = 21.2\,\%$ and $z - \text{score} = 11.9$) with an unbiased standard deviation of the residuals equal to 156×10^{-6}. This translates into 88 242 excess deaths (46 695 males and 41 532 females) with an unbiased standard deviation of the residuals equal to 7396 deaths.
COVID–19 deaths (73 516) accounts for 83 % of the total excess.

Keywords: mortality excess; z-score; p-score; Eurostat; INE; ONS; Instituto de Salud Carlos III; life expectancy; demography; standard population

CONTENTS

1. Introduction 2

2. Methods 2
   2.1. Data sets 2
   2.2. Modelling 2

3. Results 3

4. Discussion 6

5. Conclusions 8

6. Conflict of interest statement 8

7. Data availability 8

References 8

Acknowledgments 8

* olalla@us.es; Twitter: @MartinOlalla_JM;

BY NC ND

Typeset by REVTEX

1. INTRODUCTION

The illness designated covid–19 caused by the severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus 2 (SARS-CoV-2) caught worldwide attention since its identification in late 2019(Zhu *et al.*, 2020). Spain is one of the European countries most impacted by the disease during the spring of 2020(García-Basteiro *et al.*, 2020). Confirmed covid–19 cases climbed up to several hundred thousands —some few thousands per one million population— and confirmed covid–19 deaths to some 70 000 or 1500 deaths per one million population. A myriad of societal measures ranging from a lock–down to a mandatory use of masks were enforced since the outbreak of the pandemic.

Total excess deaths or crude excess deaths or all–cause excess deaths is a key quantity to understand the impact of a pandemic(Aaron *et al.*, 2020). Many efforts and resources are dedicated to provided fast and accurate numbers of this societal quantity (see Karlinsky and Kobak (2021)). While the observed deaths during a window of time can be accurately known from official records in modern societies the determination of a predicted or reference value from which excesses are computed is a matter of retrospective statistical analysis. In this paper I analyze Spanish official records of weekly mortality and population dated back from the year 2000. The manuscript is devoted the accumuated mortality in the first 52 weeks (364 days or one year) after the pandemic onset.

Mortality is characteristically different for males and females and for age groups. Therefore it is of most importance assess age–specific, sex–specific death rates whose trends highlight societal issues: either improvement of public health care which leads to smaller specific rates or, events like wars or outbreaks which lead to greater specific rates. Total excesses will then be derived for averaged and adjusted specific death rates for the structure of the Spanish population in 2021.

2. METHODS

2.1. Data sets

The file `demo_r_mweek3.tsv` released by Eurostat with information provided by the Spanish Instituto Nacional de Estadística (INE) lists weekly deaths in Spain since the year 2000 disaggregated by statistical region (all levels), sex (males, females, both), and age group (five year bins until the group 90 years old and above). Week can be traced by an ordinal in the record and following the ISO week date the calendar week can be identified for each record. Notice that the week calendar consists 52–week (364–day) years and 53–week (371–day) years. Calendar weeks are numbered in ordinals with week 01 always containing the first Thursday of the Gregorian year. The file was downloaded on Jun 18th, 2021 and includes the last major revision by INE of the 2020 weekly deaths, released on Jun 17th, 2021. However, it should be noted that 2020 and 2021 weekly deaths are still flagged as "provisional" in the file.

Observed death scales to population. The table `31304` released by INE lists resident population values in Spain for January 1st and July 1st since the year 1971 until July 1st, 2020. The data set is disaggregated by statistical region (NUTS3), sex and age group (one year bin until the group 85 years old and above).

Two steps were taken in order to harmonized both sets. First, weekly deaths for the age group 85–89 and the age group 90 or elder were summed up to yield the age group 85 or elder. Second, population numbers for January 1st and July 1st were assigned to their corresponding weeks. Population numbers for every week since 2000 were computed by interpolation. The last available numbers (July 1st, 2020) were extended into 2021 for the lack of a better quantity.

With these, 52–week, all–cause deaths were computed. This quantity accumulates the deaths observed on a given week and on the preceding 51 weeks, for a total of 364 days or one year in the context of this manuscript. Usually the interval for week n starts in week $n+1$ of the preceding year, unless $n = 52$ or 53. For a regular year following a 53–week year the interval starts in the week $n+2$ of the preceding year.

The 52–week accumulated values were then scaled by the population at the given week to get the 52–week accumulated death rate.

In addition to that confirmed COVID–19 cases and deaths are numbered in Spain by the Centro Nacional de Epidemiología (CNE), equivalent to the CDC after reports from local authorities. The dataset is disaggregated by sex, NUTS3 region and 10–year age groups.

2.2. Modelling

The pre–pandemic period in Spain ended on Sunday March 1st, 2020 at the end of the 1000th week of the 21st century. One year (364 days) later it came Sunday February 28th 2021 at the end of week 08 2021 or the 1052nd week in the 21st century.

To account for the seasonality of human mortality 52–week accumulated death rates at week 08 on every year since 2001 were computed from the data set. A bivariate generalized Poisson regression with a linear rate served to infer the predicted 52–week death rate for the week 08 in the year 2021. The predictors of the regression were the ordinal week numbers. The responses were the observed 52–week death rates. The regression was restricted to the eight years prior the pandemic onset: from 2013 to 2020. The unbiased standard deviation of the residuals was recorded.

The bivariate regression was performed for every age group and every sex since age and sex are the major variables impacting mortality. Age–specific and sex–specific mortality rates are the basic quantities to assess statistical measures like the life expectancy which are also object of interest in this context(Trias-Llimos *et al.*, 2020).

The age–specific, sex–specific analysis was summarized in two complementary ways.

First the weight–averaged sex–specific sum of age–specific values for 2021 were computed as:

$$P_i = \sum_j p_{ij} \frac{n_{ij}}{N_i}. \tag{1}$$

where p_{ij} are age–specific, sex–specific quantities for sex i and age group j; n_{ij} are the last available population number for the age group and sex and N_i is the population number for sex i. The ratio n_{ij}/N_i weights p_{ij} according to the shares of population of the age group within the sex group. In Eq (1) p_{ij} is, as an example, the age–specific, sex–specific death rate predicted value for the year 2021. Notice that p_{ij} are weigth–averaged by the population numbers of the year which p_{ij} refers to.

Likewise age/sex weight–averaged values can be computed as:

$$P = \sum_{i,j} p_{ij} \frac{n_{ij}}{N}. \tag{2}$$

where N is the last available population number in Spain. The age–specific, sex–specific quantity is weight–averaged according to the shares of a age and sex group in the whole population.

As an alternative the age–adjusted, sex–specific weekly death rate defined as:

$$D_i = \sum_j d_{ij} \frac{n_{ij}}{N_i}. \tag{3}$$

where the age–specific, sex–specific weekly death rates d_{ij} are weighted accordingly. Likewise the age/sex–adjusted death rate was computed as

$$D = \sum_{ij} d_{ij} \frac{n_{ij}}{N}. \tag{4}$$

Notice that while the rate d_{ij} depends on the week ordinal, therefore they refer to different years across the cataloghe. However the population numbers n_{ij} and N are those given by the last available records of population. Therefore adjusted weekly death rates propagate a mixture or composition of sex group and age group back and forth in time so that D or D_i only tracks the changes in the specific mortality. Compared to that, the unspecific death rates —total deaths scaled by total population— track changes in specific mortality and in population composition.

Usually in age–adjusted analysis the weights are given by a standard invariant population structure, often distant in time. As an example the European Standard Population(Eurostat Task Force, 2013) is widely used in Europe. However the purpose of this manuscript is not a comparison of the Spanish mortality data to a standard. Instead the main purpose is the internal comparison of mortality data throughout the 21st century. Therefore I opted to extend the population structure in 2021 back in time to compute the death rate that should have been observed in Spain during 2021 if the age–specific, sex–specific death rates for 2021 had been those observed in the preceding years. It is in this way age/sex–adjusted excesses are meaningful in 2021 only.

Weight–averaged excesses and age/sex–adjusted excesses differ conceptually an order of operations. For the weight–averaged excesses, the predicted values and excesses of observed age–specific sex–specific death rates are first computed. Then, they are are weight–averaged (see Eq. (1)). On the contrary, for age–adjusted excesses the order is reversed: first the weight–average of observed death rates (see Eq. (3)) are computed, then the modelling yields adjusted predictions and excesses.

3. RESULTS

Figure 1 shows the age–specific, sex–specific, all–cause, 52–week death rate in Spain since the year 2001. Blueish lines and circles refer to the male distribution; orange lines and squares refer to the female distribution. Notice that



FIG. 1 Age–specific, sex–specific 52–week death rate normal scores. Data points show values at week 08 on every year since 2001 (blue circles, males; orange squares, females). They are joined by weekly values in the remaining weeks of the year (thin light line). Solid dark line displays the results of the Poisson regression for the eight years prior to the pandemic. The normal scores were computed as $(x - E)/s$ where E is the sample average and s the sample standard deviation of the age–specific, sex–unspecific dataset.

sex–unspecific sample average and sample standard deviation were removed from the dataset so that the vertical axes span equally through different age groups even though observed death rates are vividly different.

Death rates in Figure 1 show mostly a decreasing trend with increasing time as a result of enhancement in societal and public health issues. For an age group male death rate is larger than female death rate and decreased faster in the preceding years. Some age groups, notably the younger age groups, but also some older groups like the 65–69 age group, show a stalling in the past few years.

Pondering every aspect of this distribution I choose to infer the expected value of death rate in week 08 of the year 2021 from a generalized Poisson regression that included the eight years prior to the pandemic. Regression results are shown in Figure 1 by a darker line generally deviating from data points in the first records of the dataset.

Generally speaking the observed death rate in 2021 shows an excursion for male population elder than 30 and female population elder than 40.

Table 1 lists the results of the regression. Each line shows an age–specific, sex–specific analysis which includes the last available population number. First the predicted rate and the excess death rate for the year 2021 are listed.

The three scores show the magnitude of the excursion: the $P-$score, the ratio of the excess to the predicted value, given in percent. Then the $z-$score or normal score, the ratio of the excess to the unbiased sample standard deviation of the residuals. Finally the number of years since we observed a death rate larger than the one observed in 2021. This score is not related to the regression.

The last group of columns shows the excess deaths and the COVID–19 death toll reported by the CNE. Notice that the CNE reports death in 10 year bin age groups. The last column displays the undercount as the ratio of excess death rate to COVID–19 deaths.

		52–week death rates		52–week scores			52–week deaths		
	Population	2021 all–cause predicted rate	2021 all–cause excess	$P-$score	$z-$score	Years back	Excess deaths	COVID–19 deaths	COVID–19 undercount
Age group 00–04									
Male	1 011 482	632×10^{-6}	-153×10^{-6}	−24.3	−7.0	1	−155		
Female	955 779	492×10^{-6}	-109×10^{-6}	−22.2	−4.0	1	−104		
Age group 05–09									
Male	1 192 142	66.7×10^{-6}	-20.6×10^{-6}	−30.8	−4.1	1	−25	7	
Female	1 120 512	57.1×10^{-6}	-3.54×10^{-6}	−6.2	−0.8	1	−4	2	
Age group 10–14									
Male	1 309 815	77.4×10^{-6}	11.1×10^{-6}	14.4	1.6	6	15		
Female	1 227 471	69.6×10^{-6}	-2.01×10^{-6}	−2.9	−0.2	2	−2		
Age group 15–19									
Male	1 250 706	203×10^{-6}	-16.6×10^{-6}	−8.2	−1.6	1	−21	7	
Female	1 169 576	104×10^{-6}	-23.4×10^{-9}	0.0	0.0	2	0	9	
Age group 20–24									
Male	1 216 918	316×10^{-6}	19.3×10^{-6}	6.1	1.2	2	24		
Female	1 156 904	144×10^{-6}	-13.9×10^{-6}	−9.6	−1.0	2	−16		
Age group 25–29									
Male	1 286 142	424×10^{-6}	-15.5×10^{-6}	−3.7	−0.8	1	−20	47	0.08
Female	1 252 677	167×10^{-6}	13.9×10^{-6}	8.3	0.9	4	17	36	0.04
Age group 30–34									
Male	1 380 441	513×10^{-6}	67.3×10^{-6}	13.1	5.7	10	93		
Female	1 382 211	228×10^{-6}	36.0×10^{-6}	15.8	3.3	8	50		
Age group 35–39									
Male	1 622 728	697×10^{-6}	48.9×10^{-6}	7.0	1.1	8	79	114	1.51
Female	1 638 773	368×10^{-6}	44.4×10^{-6}	12.1	2.2	7	73	76	1.61
Age group 40–44									
Male	1 971 463	942×10^{-6}	209×10^{-6}	22.2	6.3	6	412		
Female	1 948 860	577×10^{-6}	69.7×10^{-6}	12.1	5.9	5	136		
Age group 45–49									
Male	1 969 083	1.75×10^{-3}	217×10^{-6}	12.4	6.2	3	428	468	1.80
Female	1 936 183	1.02×10^{-3}	81.9×10^{-6}	8.0	4.6	3	159	248	1.19
Age group 50–54									
Male	1 838 221	3.43×10^{-3}	334×10^{-6}	9.7	10.6	4	614		
Female	1 845 222	1.76×10^{-3}	118×10^{-6}	6.7	3.1	3	217		
Age group 55–59									
Male	1 672 762	5.72×10^{-3}	683×10^{-6}	11.9	9.1	7	1143	1749	1.00
Female	1 725 209	2.81×10^{-3}	300×10^{-6}	10.7	4.7	>	518	771	0.95
Age group 60–64									
Male	1 440 180	8.95×10^{-3}	1.70×10^{-3}	19.0	17.9	12	2444		
Female	1 527 042	4.10×10^{-3}	669×10^{-6}	16.3	13.9	19	1021		
Age group 65–69									
Male	1 173 099	13.9×10^{-3}	2.58×10^{-3}	18.6	13.9	13	3022	4796	1.14
Female	1 287 127	5.97×10^{-3}	1.09×10^{-3}	18.3	10.7	15	1406	1880	1.29
Age group 70–74									
Male	1 025 639	21.1×10^{-3}	4.65×10^{-3}	22.1	15.2	11	4768		
Female	1 192 560	9.28×10^{-3}	2.12×10^{-3}	22.8	16.4	11	2523		
Age group 75–79									
Male	785 232	32.3×10^{-3}	10.2×10^{-3}	32	9.7	11	8018	10 450	1.22
Female	983 312	16.6×10^{-3}	4.62×10^{-3}	28	8.7	9	4543	5270	1.34
Age group 80–84									
Male	525 651	63.8×10^{-3}	13.9×10^{-3}	21.7	15.9	13	7287		
Female	766 577	38.2×10^{-3}	8.24×10^{-3}	21.5	11.7	12	6314		
Age group 85 and elder									
Male	535 051	142×10^{-3}	34.4×10^{-3}	24.3	10.6	16	18 404	22 711	1.13
Female	1 028 823	119×10^{-3}	23.9×10^{-3}	20.0	7.1	16	24 567	24 875	1.24
Age/sex–adjusted	47 351 567	8.81×10^{-3}	1.86×10^{-3}	21.2	11.9	13	88 242	73 516	1.20
Male	23 206 752	9.07×10^{-3}	2.01×10^{-3}	22.2	16.0	12	46 695	40 349	1.16
Female	24 144 815	8.56×10^{-3}	1.72×10^{-3}	20.1	9.1	14	41 532	33 167	1.25
Age/sex–averaged	47 351 567	8.81×10^{-3}	1.86×10^{-3}	21.1	n.a.	n.a.	88 171	73 516	1.20
Male	23 206 752	9.07×10^{-3}	2.01×10^{-3}	22.2	n.a.	n.a.	46 661	40 349	1.16
Female	24 144 815	8.56×10^{-3}	1.72×10^{-3}	20.1	n.a.	n.a.	41 510	33 167	1.25

TABLE 1 Age/sex–specific, all–cause mortality data in Spain for the first year of the pandemic. Each row list an age group and a sex group. First column lists the last available data of Spanish resident population. Then the 52–week death rates: first, the predicted death rate for 2021, then the excess death rate in the year 2020. Thereafter three scores: the $P-$score which is the ratio of the excess to the predicted value, given as a percent; then the $z-$score, which is the ratio of the excess to the unbiased sample standard deviation of the residuals; last, the number of years since the observed death rate was larger than the one observed in the year 2021. The last three columns list the 52–week total excess deaths, the COVID–19 52–week deaths and the ratio of the former to the latter. Notice that COVID–19 deaths are available only in 10–year bins. The last two groups of rows list the age/sex–adjusted (see Eq. (3) and Eq. (4)) and the age/sex–averaged (see Eq. (1) and Eq. (2)) results. Notice that both differ in one count per one thousand counts.



FIG. 2 Bottom: all–cause, age/sex–adjusted weekly per capita death rate and COVID–19 weekly death rate (blue) in Spain since 2000. Top: Cumulative 52–week, all–cause, age/sex–adjusted death rate (dark orange) and cumulative 52–week age/sex–adjusted, non COVID–19 death rate (blue). Dots display 52–week values at the week 08 of every year in the 21st century. The dashed lines around the 52–week death rate is centered at death rate predicted by the Poisson regression and is one unbiased sample standard deviation of the residuals prior to the pandemic onset in width. For the sake of comparison the unspecific, 52–week is shown in light gray. The 52–week cumulative death rate predicted by the Poisson model is converted into a weekly average to shade the weekly death rate accordingly. Net shaded area represents the 52–week accumulated death rate. Color depth for shaded areas is given by the $z-$score.

In addition to age–specific analysis, Table 1 also shows the age/sex–adjusted and the age/sex–averaged analysis in the last two couples of rows. Notice the both analyses lead to results differing only in one count per thousand.

In order to provide a better visualization of the regression method Figure 2 shows the age/sex–adjusted, all–cause weekly death rate (bottom) and the age/sex–adjusted all–cause 52–week cumulative death rate (top, dark orange). For the sake of comparison the unspecific (all–age, all–sex) 52–week death rate is shown in light gray. Notice that the unspecific death rate and the adjusted death rate match to each other at the end of the record as per construction. When they differ the adjusted death rate displays the death rate that should have been observed in the year 2021 had the age–specific, sex–specific death rates been those of the previous weeks/years. The age–unspecific, sex–unspecific death rate displays the actual observed death rate.

Circles in figure 2 display the observed values in week 08 for the years. Dashed lines display the predicted value of the Poisson regression plus and minus one unbiased sample standard deviation of the residuals ($s = 156 \times 10^{-6}$ or 7396 deaths if population numbers are used).

The blue line displays the age/sex–adjusted, non COVID–19 death rate which is obtained after removing the COVID–19 weekly deaths reported by the CNE, shown in the bottom of the figure. The triangle signals the expected, age/sex–adjusted, all–cause death rate for week 08 of 2021 which is 8.81×10^{-3}, compared to the observed 10.67×10^{-3} rate.

Weekly deaths are shaded by the expected death rate obtained by regression. Therefore the net shaded areas display the net excess death rate. Color depth is given by the normal score of the excess.

4. DISCUSSION

The goal of computing excess deaths is the goal of determining the predicted or reference value from which excess is computed. One comes with the other. To accomplish that, one requires on the first hand a record of previous observations to infer a prediction; the longer the record, the merrier. When it became evident that mortality record



FIG. 3 Predicted value in 2021 of the bivariate Poisson regression —predictor is week ordinal, response is age/sex–adjusted 52–week death rate on calendar week 08— if the starting year of the regression is that of the horizontal axis and ends in 2020. For the year 2020 the observation at that year is shown, for the year 2019 the average of the last two observations is displayed. Vertical error bars signal the unbiased sample standard deviation of the residuals. The horizontal dashed line displays the value listed in Tab. 1.

would be under close public scrutiny in 2020 Eurostat requested to national statistical offices to provide, if possible, weekly records of mortality starting in the year 2000. On the other hand causality tends to challenge this idea: the older the observation the less impact may have in the future prediction.

Age/sex–adjusted, 52–week is an appropriate quantity for curating the prediction. It retains the properties of age–specific analyses (see Figure 1): a faster decrease in the earlier years of the record, and a stall in the last ten years or so.

The most radical choice for the prediction value is the observation in the previous year. That suffices for many practical purposes. In Spain the 2020 age/sex–adjusted death rate was 8.70×10^{-3}. In 2021 the observed value was 10.67×10^{-3} which makes an excess of 93 500 deaths. If two years come into play one may consider the averages of the last two observations 8.85×10^{-3}. When three years are available a bivariate Poisson regression can be an option.

Figure 3 shows the predicted value in 2021 (left axis) and the corresponding excess death (right axis) if the Poisson regression started at the year noted in the horizontal axis. The horizontal dashed line signals the result listed in Tab. 1 which included eight years, starting in 2013 and ending in 2020. Vertical error bars signal the unbiased sample standard deviation of the residuals which in the region of interest —when the record stars in the year 2013— is 156×10^{-6} or 7396 deaths if the population is considered. The standard deviation does not speak about the quality of the regression but points out the natural variability of the response over the preceding years. When the last ten/eight years are used in the regression the prediction climbs close to 95 000 excess deaths.

Overextending the range of observations back in time pulls the prediction down and increases the excess deaths. This comes from faster rates of age–specific, sex–specific mortality in the beginning of the 21st century which would predict smaller death rates in 2021. Shrinking too much the range of observations leads to the same result.

Sex–specific, age–adjusted regressions yielded excess death rates equal to 1.72×10^{-3} (females) and 2.01×10^{-3} (males) or 41 532 (females) and 46 695 (males). Sex–specific excess death rates differ by a factor 1.17 while the sex–specific predicted values only differ by a factor 1.06. This is an evidence of a larger impact of the pandemic in the male population.

Finally, the vast majority of the excess deaths (73 516 deaths or 83 % of excess deaths) were previously identified as COVID–19 by the local authorities reporting to CNE. The remaining portion (14 726 deaths) is smaller than the number of deaths(Pastor-Barriuso *et al.*, 2020) ($\sim$ 20 000) that devastated care facilities and nursing homes during the first couple of weeks of the pandemic and were not identified as COVID–19 cases due to the lack of testing capabilities

and the overwhelming stress of the public health institutions at the time. Therefore non COVID–19 deaths should exhibit a negative excess in 2021.

5. CONCLUSIONS

A total of 505 490 people died in Spain from March 2nd, 2020 to February 28th, 2021 the first year (52 weeks) after the pandemic outbreak. This translates into a death rate equal to 10.67×10^{-3}.

Age/sex–adjusted, 52–week death were built from age–specific (5–year bin) and sex–specific death rates.

Age/sex–adjusted, 52–week death rates had been smaller than 10.67×10^{-3} for the past continued 13 years.

A bivariate generalized Poisson regression for the last eight years of age/sex–adjusted, 52–week death rates predicted 8.81×10^{-3} in the period of time with an unbiased standard deviation of the residuals equal to 156×10^{-6} or 7396 deaths.

This results in an excess death rate equal to 1.86×10^{-3} which translates into 88 242 excess death of which 73 516 deaths (83 %) were previously identified as COVID–19 deaths and the remaining 14 726 (16.7 %) were undercounts.

6. CONFLICT OF INTEREST STATEMENT

The author declares no conflict of interest.

7. DATA AVAILABILITY

Eurostat disseminates the file `demo_r_mweek3.tsv` at the url https://ec.europa.eu/eurostat/estat-navtree-portlet-BulkDownloadListing?sort=1&file=data%2Fdemo_r_mweek3.tsv.gz as of June 2021.

INE disseminates the resident population in Spain at the url https://www.ine.es/jaxiT3/Tabla.htm?t=31304

CNE disseminates the official records of the COVID–19 disease at https://cnecovid.isciii.es/covid19/#documentaci%C3%B3n-y-datos.

REFERENCES

Aaron, Janine, John Mullbauer, Charlie Giattino, and Hannah Ritchie (2020), "A pandemic primer on excess mortality statistics and their comparability across countries," .

Eurostat Task Force, (2013), *2013 edition Revision of the European Standard Population Report of Eurostat's task force*, Tech. Rep.

García-Basteiro, Alberto, Carlos Alvarez-Dardet, Alex Arenas, Rafael Bengoa, Carme Borrell, Margarita Del Val, Manuel Franco, Montse Gea-Sánchez, Juan Jesús Gestal Otero, Beatriz González López Valcárcel, Ildefonso Hernández, Joan Carles March, José M Martin-Moreno, Clara Menéndez, Sergio Minué, Carles Muntaner, Miquel Porta, Daniel Prieto-Alhambra, Carmen Vives-Cases, and Helena Legido-Quigley (2020), "The need for an independent evaluation of the COVID-19 response in Spain," The Lancet **0** (0), 10.1016/S0140-6736(20)31713-X.

Karlinsky, Ariel, and Dmitry Kobak (2021), "The World Mortality Dataset: Tracking excess mortality across countries during the COVID-19 pandemic." medRxiv : the preprint server for health sciences , 2021.01.27.21250604.

Pastor-Barriuso, Roberto, Beatriz Pérez-Gómez, Miguel A Hernán, Mayte Pérez-Olmeda, Raquel Yotti, Jesús Oteo-Iglesias, Jose L Sanmartín, Inmaculada León-Gómez, Aurora Fernández-García, Pablo Fernández-Navarro, Israel Cruz, Mariano Martín, Concepción Delgado-Sanz, Nerea Fernández de Larrea, Jose León Paniagua, Juan F Muñoz-Montalvo, Faustino Blanco, Amparo Larrauri, and Marina Pollán (2020), "Infection fatality risk for SARS-CoV-2 in community dwelling population of Spain: nationwide seroepidemiological study," BMJ , m4509.

Trias-Llimos, Sergi, Tim Riffe, and Usama Bilal (2020), "Monitoring life expectancy levels during the COVID-19 pandemic: Example of the unequal impact of the first wave on Spanish regions," PLoS ONE **15** (11 November), 10.1371/journal.pone.0241952.

Zhu, Na, Dingyu Zhang, Wenling Wang, Xingwang Li, Bo Yang, Jingdong Song, Xiang Zhao, Baoying Huang, Weifeng Shi, Roujian Lu, Peihua Niu, Faxian Zhan, Xuejun Ma, Dayan Wang, Wenbo Xu, Guizhen Wu, George F. Gao, and Wenjie Tan (2020), "A novel coronavirus from patients with pneumonia in China, 2019," New England Journal of Medicine **382** (8), 727–733.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

In the loving memory of those who died during the first year of the pandemic.

JMMO hearthfully thanks Eurostat, the Instituto Nacional de Estadística and the Instituto de Salud Carlos III for making publicly available the datasets.

This work was performed using free software running on `xubuntu 20.04.1LTS`. Data bases have been imported into `GNU octave-5.2.0` (https://www.gnu.org/software/octave/). Pictures were developed thanks to `gnuplot-5.4.1` (http://www.gnuplot.info/). The manuscript was typeset in `GNU emacs-26.3` (https://www.gnu.org/software/emacs/) assisted by AUCTEX(https://www.gnu.org/software/auctex/). Data in pictures and in tables have been exported directly from `octave`. `R-studio-3.6.3` `https://www.rstudio.com/` took care of the generalized Poisson regressions.

This project started on April 18, 2021.