

24 **ABSTRACT**

25 **Objectives:** To determine the relationship between the emergence of COVID-19 and neonatal
26 intensive care unit (NICU) family presence as well as how NICU design affects these changes.

27 **Study Design:** A cross-sectional survey from April 21 to 30, 2020. We queried sites regarding
28 NICU demographics, NICU restrictions on parental presence, and changes in ancillary staff
29 availability.

30 **Results:** Globally, 277 facilities responded to the survey. NICU policies preserving 24/7 parental
31 presence decreased (83% to 53%, $p<0.001$) and of preserving full parental participation in
32 rounds fell (71% to 32%, $p<0.001$). Single family room design NICUs best preserved 24/7
33 parental presence after the emergence of COVID-19 (single family room 65%, hybrid-design
34 57%, open bay design 45%, $p=.018$). In all, 120 (43%) NICUs reported reductions in therapy
35 services, lactation medicine, and/or social work support.

36 **Conclusions:** Hospital restrictions have significantly limited parental presence for NICU
37 admitted infants, although single family room design may attenuate this effect.

38

39

40

41

42

43

44

45

46

47 **Background**

48 Approximately 8.4% of United States newborns are admitted into neonatal intensive care
49 units (NICUs) every year [1]. For extremely premature infants or those with major congenital
50 anomalies, these admissions can last several months. Extended intimate contact of a newborn
51 with its parents, both mother and father, has been shown to have physiological and psychological
52 benefits to both newborn and parents [2]. This contact, often referred to as skin-to-skin or
53 kangaroo care, provides many familiar sensory inputs such as the mother's voice, taste, and
54 smell that cannot be replicated by others and improves physiological stability to the preterm
55 infant [3]. This contact establishes a lasting bond that promotes both neurodevelopment in the
56 infant [4] and enhanced mental health in the mother [3]. In addition to causing significant
57 anxiety, acute stress, and post-traumatic stress for parents, admission to the NICU signifies a
58 disturbance in the maternal-infant bond, which can be detrimental to the development of the
59 newborn [4]. The introduction of family centered care and allowing 24-hour parental presence,
60 especially in the setting of single-family rooms, may help increase parental presence, ameliorate
61 some of these effects [5] and improve developmental outcomes [6].

62 In response to the emergence of Corona Virus Disease 2019 (COVID-19), the Centers for
63 Disease Control and Prevention (CDC) issued a sequential series of recommendations. These
64 included closure of elementary, middle and high schools on 3/12/2020 [7], screening of visitors
65 entering health care facilities on 3/20/2020 [8], and implementation of social distancing on
66 4/4/2020 which included working from home when possible [9]. While severe illness appears to
67 be most prevalent in adults, transmission by asymptomatic adults and children may be
68 widespread [10, 11]. Multiple media reports have documented prohibition of visitation for

69 hospitalized adults, including when in extremis, in attempts to limit disease transmission [12,
70 13].

71 The tension between protection of infants and caregivers from serious infection and the
72 desire to maximize the developmental outcome of newborns forces consideration of several
73 options for restricted interaction, none of them optimal. While neonatal COVID-19 disease
74 appears relatively uncommon, the risk-benefit calculation for restricting NICU access remains
75 unclear. Further, no published data exist regarding the timing of implementing hospital and
76 NICU entry restrictions or the extent of those restrictions after the emergence of COVID-19. We
77 surveyed hospital sites globally to better understand the timeline and rigidity of hospital and
78 NICU entry restrictions. Additionally we queried sites regarding new limitations in ancillary
79 personnel such as therapy services and lactation consultants. We hypothesized that the
80 availability of a large number of private (“single-family”) rooms in a NICU would decrease the
81 likelihood that the most restrictive parental presence policies would be considered necessary,
82 when compared to NICUs in which most or all of the beds were in a multi-bed rooms.

83 **Methods**

84 We performed a cross-sectional survey of global NICUs, with a focus on the United
85 States, to determine hospital and NICU entry policies prior to and during the COVID-19
86 pandemic. The 21-item survey was built of both closed and open response items requesting
87 information on policies both before and during the spread of COVID-19 (Supplemental Figure
88 1). In particular, we requested information regarding location, type of hospital, NICU design,
89 hospital and NICU entry policies, parental presence, and reductions in support staff. The survey
90 was distributed through multiple venues from April 21 through April 30, 2020. These included
91 an e-mail to the American Academy of Pediatrics (AAP) Section of Neonatal-Perinatal Medicine

92 listserv of NICU medical and quality improvement directors, MEDNAX practice medical
93 directors, and to the MEDNAX Neonatology Forum.. In parallel, we released the survey on
94 Twitter, LinkedIn, Facebook, and group chats of neonatologists and neonatal nurse practitioners.
95 In all venues we requested a response from the NICU medical or nursing director.

96 We included only those responses with at least completion of the first two sections of the
97 survey (NICU demographics and visitation practices) and excluded multiple responses from the
98 same NICU. To evaluate duplicate responses, we examined NICU zip code and baseline
99 demographics. We determined *a priori* to include the first fully completed survey from any
100 NICU or, in the case of multiple partially completed surveys, the first submitted response. While
101 the stated preference was for NICU medical or nursing directors to respond to the survey, due to
102 the methods of distribution this could not be controlled for.

103 The primary outcomes of interest were the presence of policies allowing 24-hour parental
104 presence in the NICU and allowing full parental participation in NICU rounds. Secondary
105 outcomes of interest included screening policies related to hospital entry and the impact of
106 COVID-19 on NICU staffing availability. The study was approved by the Methodist Healthcare
107 Institutional Review Board, San Antonio, TX.

108 *Data Analysis*

109 Two main approaches were used to analyze the data: sample descriptive statistics and
110 comparisons of policies from before the spread of COVID-19 to after the start of the spread. For
111 descriptive statistics, we used counts and percentages, presented in tables and figures. For policy
112 comparisons, given the survey responses were all categorical, we used a series of McNemar's
113 tests for all pre-post comparisons and Chi-square tests for comparisons between NICU designs.

114 To adjust for the multiple hypothesis tests, we used a false discovery rate adjustment throughout
115 this manuscript [14]. Analyses were performed in SPSS version 26 and R version 3.6.2.

116 **Results**

117 A total of 339 responses were received. Of these, 56 were excluded for incompleteness of at
118 least the first two survey pages and 6 excluded for duplicate NICU responses, leaving 277
119 surveys for analysis. Respondents most frequently learned of the survey through AAP and
120 MEDNAX email requests (83%) and responding NICUs were largely in the United States (91%)
121 with Texas, California, and Florida NICUs comprising 33% of the total cohort (Table 1,
122 Supplemental Figure 2a). The characteristics of the responding NICUs are described in Table 1.

123 Across the United States, the timeline for implementing state-wide stay at home orders
124 did not begin until the second half of March [15]. However, hospital and NICU entry policies
125 began to change in the first week of January with a rapid increase in hospitals adopting policy
126 changes throughout March, the majority prior to the issuance of CDC guidance (Supplemental
127 Figure 3). At the state level, the mean date for changing hospital entry policies varied
128 significantly. Hospitals in 4 states averaged implementation of hospital restrictions as early as
129 February and 3 states as late as April (Supplemental Figure 2b). The first international NICU
130 restricted entry in January, followed by 21 units in March, and 5 in April. Overall, 184 (66%)
131 NICUs reported that their new policies during the COVID-19 pandemic were broadly more
132 restrictive than the customary policies implemented during the winter influenza / respiratory
133 syncytial virus season.

134 Changes in overall hospital entry screening policies became widespread during the
135 COVID-19 pandemic (Table 2). These included significant increases in physical temperature

136 checks as well as screening questions regarding travel history, fever, and illness for hospital
137 entry.

138 Hospitals implemented parallel changes affecting NICU entry after the emergence of
139 COVID-19 (Table 2). NICUs broadly implemented screening questions for travel history
140 (76.5%), fever and illness (94.9%) as well as physical temperature checks (72.2%). The number
141 of NICUs allowing 24-hour parental presence in the NICU decreased significantly (83% to 53%,
142 $p < 0.001$). While full team and parental participation in NICU rounds predominated prior to the
143 COVID-19 pandemic, this became significantly less common during the pandemic (71.1% vs.
144 32.1%, $p < 0.001$, Table 2). The number of states with over 80% of responding NICUs allowing
145 full team and parental participation in NICU rounds fell from 20 (50%, Supplemental Figure 2c)
146 to 3 (8%, Supplemental Figure 2d).

147 Within the 130 NICUs that restricted parental presence during the COVID-19 pandemic,
148 we found a variety of policy measures described (Supplemental Figure 4). This included 7
149 NICUs (5%) excluding all parental presence, 2 (2%) of which would not allow parents to enter
150 even with their infant in extremis. Most NICUs restricting parental presence only allowed one
151 parent at the bedside at any time (85%) and a minority (25%) required families to choose a single
152 parent to be allowed into the NICU for the entire hospital stay.

153 We examined the influence of NICU design (all open bay, single family room, or hybrid)
154 on NICU entry and NICU rounding policies. All NICU design types implemented significant
155 increases in routine screening measures after the COVID-19 outbreak and had significant
156 decreases in 24-hour parental presence, but these restrictions were less in single family room
157 NICUs (84% to 64%, absolute difference 20%, $p = .009$) compared to hybrid (91% to 57%,
158 absolute difference 34% $p < 0.001$) and open bay units (78% to 45%, absolute difference 33%, p

159 < 0.001, Table 3). While 24-hour unrestricted parental presence was not significantly different
160 between all NICU designs prior to the COVID-19 pandemic, we found significant differences
161 during the pandemic (single family room 65%, hybrid-design 57%, open bay design 45%, $p =$
162 .018).

163 With respect to parental presence during rounds, prior to the emergence of COVID-19,
164 we found significant differences in NICUs allowing full parental presence in rounds based on
165 NICU design (SFR 83%, hybrid NICUs 73%, open bay NICUs 63%, $p = 0.013$). However, all
166 NICU design types imposed a significant restriction in parental participation in NICU rounds
167 during the pandemic (Table 3). After the emergence of COVID-19 we found no significant
168 differences in full parental participation in NICU rounds across design types (SFR 37%, hybrid
169 34%, open bay 28%, $p = 0.6$).

170 NICUs reported significant changes in staffing or outcome measures temporally
171 associated with the COVID-19 pandemic. These included 28% of sites reducing nursing staff
172 hours, 28% reducing physical (PT), occupational (OT), and/or speech therapy (ST) and 23%
173 delaying non-urgent procedures (most commonly gastrostomy tubes). We also found 21% of
174 NICUs reported decreased lactation consultant visits, 16% restricted donor milk utilization, and
175 8% reported changes in breastfeeding rates. In all, 120 (43%) NICUs reported reductions in
176 therapy services, lactation medicine, and/or social work support. Social services and lactation
177 medicine offered supplemental telehealth support in 109 (41%) NICUs.

178 **Discussion**

179 Prohibitions on family presence for critically ill or dying adults as a result of COVID-19
180 pandemic have been widely reported by the media. Little is known however regarding how
181 COVID-19 has impacted family presence for minors, including the most vulnerable in our

182 population – those admitted to NICUs. These data represent the first report documenting the
183 widespread, rapid, and profound restrictions in hospital and NICU visitation practices secondary
184 to the COVID-19 pandemic. Policy changes began in early January and hospitals rapidly adopted
185 entry limitations throughout March 2020. The result has been a significant shift in family
186 presence for sick infants and of the way parents experience the first phases of their newborn’s
187 lives in the NICU environment. An additional secondary effect of COVID-19 has been delays in
188 elective procedures and the reduction of staff in many NICUs including therapy services,
189 lactation support, and social services -- all important for optimal outcomes. Ultimately, as health
190 care systems attempt to prevent the spread of coronavirus, new policies have led to families
191 interacting very differently with their infants in the NICU — or not at all. These rapidly
192 instituted changes may carry with them the risk of secondary unintended consequences [16].

193 In this cross-sectional survey of 277 NICUs we found widespread implementation of
194 hospital and NICU screening measures, including decreases in NICUs allowing 24-hour parental
195 presence (83% to 53%). Single family room NICU design may attenuate some
196 restrictions. Private rooms make certain infection control measures easier by providing physical
197 distancing, physical barriers, and separate air supplies [17, 18]. At baseline we found no
198 differences in 24-hour parental presence among various NICU designs. However, we found more
199 NICUs with single-family room design able to maintain 24-hour parental presence and
200 interaction with babies and caregivers than open bay units (64% vs 45%). This clinically
201 meaningful preservation of parental presence occurred at a time when many other limitations
202 were imposed, including limiting parental participation in NICU rounds.

203 We find that restrictions in NICU parental presence have been widely adopted and that
204 the ability for parents to participate in aspects of shared decision making such as family centered

205 rounds have diminished significantly. Family-centered rounds (FCRs) are multidisciplinary
206 rounds that occur at the patient's bedside, in the presence of patients and family members, and
207 integrate patient and parent perspectives and preferences into clinical decision-making. Prior to
208 the COVID-19 pandemic, 71% of NICUs allowed full team and parental participation in NICU
209 rounds, falling to 32% during the pandemic. Family-centered care has been recommended by
210 both the Institute of Medicine [19] and AAP [20] as key to improving the quality and safety of
211 health care. Recommendations include conducting attending rounds in patients' rooms with
212 family members present and ensuring that decisions on care plans for patients should be made
213 only after such rounds, to incorporate family involvement in decision making. Identified benefits
214 of FCR include improved patient satisfaction, communication, discharge planning, medical
215 education, and patient safety [21]. It has also been suggested that family involvement in care can
216 decrease family stress while improving patient's outcomes [22, 23]. The abrupt restrictions in
217 parental presence and family participation in rounds necessarily also disrupts the ability to
218 provide family centered care. The impact of these changes on parental stress, patient safety, and
219 patient outcomes require further investigation.

220 Prior infectious outbreaks, such as Severe Acute Respiratory Syndrome (SARS), resulted
221 in increased mental health disorders, including post-traumatic stress disorder, anxiety, and
222 depression [24]. Data from Wuhan, China demonstrates a similar increase in psychopathology,
223 with some reports suggesting that women may have an increased burden of mental health
224 disorders in the current pandemic [25, 26]. Pregnancy has known associations with significant
225 emotional distress under normal circumstances, and women's mental health in the perinatal
226 period is significantly affected by access to social support and resources [27, 28]. This raises the
227 concern that pregnant and postpartum women may be particularly vulnerable to the psychosocial

228 hardships caused by the pandemic. Studies have also suggested that higher maternal stress may
229 lead to reductions in warm, contingent caregiving (i.e., caregiver responsiveness), which in turn
230 can affect infant neurodevelopment [29, 30]. The described restrictions on parental presence for
231 ill newborns may further magnify the existing stressors associated with the perinatal period and
232 NICU admission.

233 An important component of the care for ill newborns, especially those born prematurely,
234 is the developmental team, whose members include disciplines such as OT, PT and ST with
235 involvement from social work, and lactation consultants [31, 32]. Overall, 43% of responding
236 NICUs reported decreases in at least one area of these support services. While some sites offered
237 telehealth options for these services, the efficacy of telehealth in this setting is unknown. In
238 addition to direct patient care, the developmental team plays an important role in educating
239 parents on key techniques to implement on a daily basis both in the hospital and post-discharge
240 [33, 34]. While possibly implemented in an effort to minimize the spread of COVID-19 to
241 vulnerable patients, diminished social work and developmental care services to premature infants
242 may have long-term adverse consequences.

243 Strengths of this study include responses from a large number of hospitals and NICUs
244 across the United States and around the world. These data are sufficient to provide a granular
245 understanding of the timeline by which hospitals and facilities implemented restrictions and how
246 access became significantly limited even in areas of the hospital with minimal disease burden
247 from COVID-19 such as the NICU. Further, many facilities provided data regarding limitations
248 in support services, providing indications of the secondary effects the COVID-19 pandemic has
249 quickly caused. Limitations include those inherent to survey studies including inability to verify
250 responses, incomplete responses, and inability to ask follow-up questions of respondents. In

251 addition, despite our stated intention to receive responses from NICU medical or nursing
252 directors, the identity of the respondent could not be controlled for. We cannot rule out further
253 evolution of hospital policies after the completion of the survey window. Lastly, due to the
254 distribution platforms used for this survey, a denominator and thus response rate could not be
255 determined.

256 **Discussion**

257 In summary, we report dramatic and rapid changes in hospital and NICU entry policies
258 related to COVID-19. These changes have significantly affected parental presence for NICU
259 admitted neonates, although single family room design may partially attenuate this effect. In
260 addition to these restrictions, limitations in support staff as well as delays in elective procedures
261 for NICU admitted infants are common. In total, the rapid implementation of these sweeping
262 changes may have substantial impact on parental and family well-being and may lead to
263 detrimental effects on neonatal health outcomes. Further investigation regarding the short- and
264 long-term impact of these policy changes is urgently needed.

265 **Acknowledgements:**

266 We thank Dr. Veeral Tolia for his critical review of this manuscript

267

268

269

270

271

272

273

274 **References**

275

276 1. United States Department of Health and Human Services (US DHHS), Centers for
277 Disease Control and Prevention (CDC), National Center for Health Statistics (NCHS),
278 Division of Vital Statistics, Natality public-use data 2016-2018, on CDC WONDER
279 Online Database, September 2019. Accessed at [http://wonder.cdc.gov/natality-expanded-](http://wonder.cdc.gov/natality-expanded-current.html)
280 [current.html](http://wonder.cdc.gov/natality-expanded-current.html) on Apr 22, 2020 1:57:01 PM

281 2. Baley J; Committee on Fetus and Newborn. Skin-to-Skin care for term and preterm
282 infants in the Neonatal ICU. *Pediatrics* 2015; 136:596-9.

283 3. Conde-Agudelo A, Díaz-Rossello JL. Kangaroo mother care to reduce morbidity and
284 mortality in low birthweight infants. *Cochrane Database of Syst Rev.* 2016.

285 doi:10.1002/14651858.cd002771.pub4

286 4. Welch MG, Firestein MR, Austin J, et al. Family Nurture Intervention in the Neonatal
287 Intensive Care Unit improves social relatedness, attention, and neurodevelopment of
288 preterm infants at 18 months in a randomized controlled trial. *J Child Psychol Psychiatry.*
289 2015;56(11):1202-1211. doi:10.1111/jcpp.12405

290 5. Lee L, Carter M, Stevenson S, & Harrison H. Improving family-centered care practices in
291 the NICU. *Neonatal Newk.* 2014; 33(3): 125–132.

292 6. Gooding JS, Cooper LG, Blaine AI, Franck LS, Howse JL, Berns SD. Family Support
293 and Family-Centered Care in the Neonatal Intensive Care Unit: Origins, Advances,
294 Impact. *Semin Perinatol.* 2011;35(1):20-28. doi:10.1053/j.semperi.2010.10.004

295 7. Interim Guidance for Childcare Programs and K-12 Schools. Centers for Disease Control
296 and Prevention. <https://www.cdc.gov/coronavirus/2019-ncov/community/schools->

- 297 childcare/guidance-for-schools.html. Published March 19, 2020. Accessed April 29,
298 2020.
- 299 8. Steps Healthcare Facilities Can Take Now to Prepare for COVID-19. Centers for Disease
300 Control and Prevention. [https://www.cdc.gov/coronavirus/2019-ncov/hcp/steps-to-](https://www.cdc.gov/coronavirus/2019-ncov/hcp/steps-to-prepare.html)
301 [prepare.html](https://www.cdc.gov/coronavirus/2019-ncov/hcp/steps-to-prepare.html). Published March 20, 2020. Accessed April 29, 2020.
- 302 9. Social Distancing, Quarantine, and Isolation. Centers for Disease Control and Prevention.
303 <https://www.cdc.gov/coronavirus/2019-ncov/prevent-getting-sick/social-distancing.html>.
304 Published April 4, 2020. Accessed April 29, 2020.
- 305 10. Huang L, Zhang X, Zhang X, et al. Rapid asymptomatic transmission of COVID-19
306 during the incubation period demonstrating strong infectivity in a cluster of youngsters
307 aged 16-23 years outside Wuhan and characteristics of young patients with COVID-19: A
308 prospective contact-tracing study. *J Infect.* 2020;80(6). doi:10.1016/j.jinf.2020.03.006
- 309 11. Ye F, Xu S, Rong Z, et al. Delivery of infection from asymptomatic carriers of COVID-
310 19 in a familial cluster. *Int J of Infect Dis.* 2020;94:133-138.
311 doi:10.1016/j.ijid.2020.03.042
- 312 12. Dunn A. Fact check: Are coronavirus patients dying alone in hospitals? USA Today.
313 [https://www.usatoday.com/story/news/factcheck/2020/04/09/fact-check-coronavirus-](https://www.usatoday.com/story/news/factcheck/2020/04/09/fact-check-coronavirus-patients-dying-alone-hospitals/5114282002/)
314 [patients-dying-alone-hospitals/5114282002/](https://www.usatoday.com/story/news/factcheck/2020/04/09/fact-check-coronavirus-patients-dying-alone-hospitals/5114282002/). Published April 9, 2020. Accessed May 14,
315 2020.
- 316 13. Hafner K. 'A Heart-Wrenching Thing': Hospital Bans on Visits Devastate Families. The
317 New York Times. [https://www.nytimes.com/2020/03/29/health/coronavirus-hospital-](https://www.nytimes.com/2020/03/29/health/coronavirus-hospital-visit-ban.html)
318 [visit-ban.html](https://www.nytimes.com/2020/03/29/health/coronavirus-hospital-visit-ban.html). Published March 29, 2020. Accessed May 14, 2020.

- 319 14. Benjamini Y, & Hochberg Y. Controlling the false discovery rate: a practical and
320 powerful approach to multiple testing. *J R Stat Soc Series B Stat Methodol.* 1995; 57(1):
321 289-300.
- 322 15. Kates, J., 2020. *Stay-At-Home Orders To Fight COVID-19 In The United States: The*
323 *Risks Of A Scattershot Approach.* [online] KFF. Available at:
324 <<https://www.kff.org/coronavirus-policy-watch/stay-at-home-orders-to-fight-covid19/>>
325 [Accessed 20 May 2020].
- 326 16. Virani AK, Puls HT, Mitsos R, et al. Ethics Rounds: Benefits and Risks of Visitor
327 Restrictions for Hospitalized Children During the COVID Pandemic. *Pediatrics.* 2020;
328 doi:10.1542/peds.2020-000786
- 329 17. Taylor E, Card AJ, Piatkowski M. Single-Occupancy Patient Rooms: A Systematic
330 Review of the Literature Since 2006. *HERD.* 2018 Jan; 11(1): 85-100
- 331 18. O’Callaghan N, Dee A, Philip RK. Evidence-based design for neonatal units: a
332 systematic review. *Matern Health Neonatol Perinatol.* 2019;5(1). doi:10.1186/s40748-
333 019-0101-0
- 334 19. Institute of Medicine. *Crossing the Quality Chasm: A New Health System for the 21st*
335 *Century.* Washington, DC: National Academy Press; 2001.
- 336 20. Committee on Hospital Care and Institute for Patient and Family Centered Care. Patient
337 and Family centered care and the pediatrician’s role. *Pediatrics.* 2012; 129 (2): 394-404
- 338 21. Mittal V. Family-Centered Rounds. *Pediatr Clin North Am.* 2014;61(4):663-670.
339 doi:10.1016/j.pcl.2014.04.003

- 340 22. Melnyk BM, Feinstein NF. Reducing Hospital Expenditures With the COPE (Creating
341 Opportunities for Parent Empowerment) Program for Parents and Premature
342 Infants. *Nurs Admin Q*. 2009;33(1):32-37. doi:10.1097/01.naq.0000343346.47795.13
- 343 23. Davidson JE, Powers K, Hedayat KM, et al. Clinical practice guidelines for support of
344 the family in the patient-centered intensive care unit: American College of Critical Care
345 Medicine Task Force 2004–2005. *Crit Care Med*. 2007;35(2):605-622.
346 doi:10.1097/01.ccm.0000254067.14607.eb
- 347 24. Ko, CH, Yen CF, Yen JY, & Yang MJ. Psychosocial impact among the public of the
348 severe acute respiratory syndrome epidemic in Taiwan. *Psychiatry Clin Neurosci*. 2006;
349 60: 397-403.
- 350 25. Liu N, Zhang F, Wei C, et al. Prevalence and predictors of PTSS during COVID-19
351 outbreak in China hardest-hit areas: Gender differences matter. *Psychiatry Res*.
352 2020;287:112921. doi:10.1016/j.psychres.2020.112921
- 353 26. Cao W, Fang Z, Hou G, et al. The psychological impact of the COVID-19 epidemic on
354 college students in China. *Psychiatry Res*. 2020;287:112934.
355 doi:10.1016/j.psychres.2020.112934
- 356 27. Franks, W.L.M., Crozier, K.E. & Penhale, B.L.M. Women's mental health during
357 pregnancy: A participatory qualitative study. *Women Birth* 2017 Aug; 30(4) e179-187.
- 358 28. Katz, J., Crean, H.F., Cerulli, C. & Poleshuck, E.L. Material Hardship and Mental Health
359 Symptoms Among a Predominantly Low Income Sample of Pregnant Women Seeking
360 Prenatal Care. *Matern Child Health J* 22, 1360-1367 (2018).
- 361 29. Rallis S, Skouteris H, McCabe M, Milgrom J. A prospective examination of depression,
362 anxiety and stress throughout pregnancy. *Women Birth*.

- 363 <https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S1871519214000821>. Published
364 September 16, 2014.
- 365 30. Ursache A, Merz EC, Melvin S, Meyer J, Noble KG. Socioeconomic status, hair cortisol
366 and internalizing symptoms in parents and children. *Psychoneuroendocrinology*.
367 2017;78:142-150. doi:10.1016/j.psyneuen.2017.01.020
- 368 31. Barbosa, V. Teamwork in the Neonatal Intensive Care Unit. *Phys Occup Ther Pediatr*.
369 2013;33(1): 5–26. <https://doi.org/10.3109/01942638.2012.729556>
- 370 32. Macho, P. Individualized Developmental Care in the NICU: A Concept Analysis. *Adv*
371 *Neonatal Care*. 2017;17(3):162–174. <https://doi.org/10.1097/ANC.0000000000000374>
- 372 33. Ross K, Heiny E, Conner S, Spener P, Pineda R. Occupational therapy, physical therapy
373 and speech-language pathology in the neonatal intensive care unit: Patterns of therapy
374 usage in a level IV NICU. *Res Dev Disabil*. 2017;64:108-117.
375 doi:10.1016/j.ridd.2017.03.009
- 376 34. Nery PB, Snider L, Camelo JS, et al. The Role of Rehabilitation Specialists in Canadian
377 NICUs: A 21st Century Perspective. *Phys Occup Ther Pediatr*. 2018;39(1):33-47.
378 doi:10.1080/01942638.2018.1490846

379
380
381
382

383 **Figure Legends:**

384 **Supplemental Figure 1:** COVID Visitation Questionnaire

385 **Supplemental Figure 1 Legend:** The questionnaire was entered into REDCap with branching
386 logic incorporated as appropriate

387 **Supplemental Figure 2:** Geographical Distribution of Responding NICUs, Entry Policy
388 Changes, and Level of Parental Participation in Rounds

389 **Supplemental Figure 2 Legend:** Responses were received from NICUs in 40 out of 50 US
390 States, with 3 states having more than 15 NICUs respond to the survey (Panel A). The average
391 date of changing hospital entry policies varied by state, with the majority averaging policy
392 change between March 16 and 31 (Panel B). Prior to the onset of the COVID-19 pandemic,
393 permitting full parental participation in NICU rounds was commonplace (Panel C). However,
394 during the pandemic very few states had a majority of NICUs allowing full parental participation
395 in rounds.

396 **Supplemental Figure 3:** The Cumulative Count of Changes in Hospital Entry Policies by
397 Calendar Date

398 **Supplemental Figure 3 Legend:** Very few hospitals altered their entry dates in January or
399 February, 2020. However this rapidly changed in March with most NICUs changing their policy
400 prior to the issuance of specific guidance from the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention
401 (CDC) on March 20, 2020.

402 **Supplemental Figure 4:** Flow Diagram of Restrictions to Parental Presence in the NICU After
403 the Emergence of Covid-19

404 **Supplemental Figure 4 Legend:** Overall, 130 of 277 NICUs (47%) had restrictions to NICU
405 parental in the NICU during the Covid-19 pandemic. Of these, most allowed only a single parent

406 at the bedside and 33 NICUs required families to choose a single parent for the entire hospital
407 stay. Prohibition of parental presence in the NICU was rare but occurred at 7 sites (3%).

408

409

410

411

412

413

414

415

416

417

418

419

420

421

422

423

424

425

426

427

428

Table 1 – Demographics of Responding NICUs

NICU Characteristic	n = 277
NICU Level	No (%)
Level 1 (n, %)	2 (1)
Level 2 (n, %)	19 (7)
Level 3 (n, %)	157 (57)
Level 4 (n, %)	99 (36)
NICU Design	
Open (>= 90% of beds in rooms of 4+ beds, n, %)	134 (48)
Hybrid (n, %)	67 (24)
Single Family Room (>= 90% of beds in SFR, n, %)	76 (27)
# of NICU beds (median, IQR)	34 (20, 55)
Type of Unit	
NICU, Outborn only (n, %)	21 (8)
NICU, Inborn only (n, %)	62 (22)
NICU, Inborn and Outborn (n, %)	194 (70)
Located Within the United States (%)	251 (91)
Most represented States	
Texas (n, %)	41 (15)
California (n, %)	30 (11)
Florida (n, %)	22 (8)
New York (n, %)	13 (5)
Pennsylvania (n, %)	10 (4)
Most represented non-US countries	
Saudi Arabia	6 (2)
United Kingdom	4 (1)
Spain	4 (1)
Canada	3 (1)
France, India	2 (1)
Where did you hear about the survey?	
American Academy of Pediatrics e-mail	104 (38)
MEDNAX e-mail	126 (45)
Twitter	32 (12)
Facebook	2 (1)
Other social media	2 (1)
Other	11 (4)

429
430
431

Table 2 - Hospital and NICU Policy Changes During the COVID-19 Pandemic

	Pre-COVID	During COVID	P-value*
	n = 277	n = 277	
	No. (%)	No. (%)	
Hospital Entry Policies			
Screening questions for travel history	25 (9)	220 (79)	< 0.001
Screening questions for fever and illness	91 (33)	268 (97)	< 0.001
Screening temperature check	11 (4)	226 (82)	< 0.001
NICU Entry Policies			
Screening questions for travel history	78 (28)	212 (77)	< 0.001
Screening questions for fever and illness	214 (77)	263 (95)	< 0.001
Screening temperature check	66 (24)	200 (72)	< 0.001
Any restrictions on parental visitation	48 (17)	130 (47)	< 0.001
NICU Rounding Policy			
Full team and parental participation	197 (71)	89 (32)	< 0.001
Limited team and parental participation	33 (12)	114 (41)	< 0.001
No formal procedure or other procedure	47 (17)	74 (27)	< 0.001

432
433
434
435
436
437

* False discovery rate adjusted p-value

Table 3: The Effect of NICU Design on the Changes in NICU Entry and Rounding Policies

	Single Family Room			Hybrid Design			Open Bay Design		
	n = 76			n = 67			n = 134		
	Pre-COVID	During COVID		Pre-COVID	During COVID		Pre-COVID	During COVID	
	n (%)	n (%)		n (%)	n (%)		n (%)	n (%)	
NICU Entry Policies									
Screening questions for travel history	23 (30)	58 (76)	***	18 (27)	52 (78)	***	37 (28)	102 (76)	***
Screening questions for fever and illness?	61 (80)	73 (96)	**	50 (75)	64 (96)	**	103 (77)	126 (94)	***
Screening temperature check	18 (24)	55 (72)	***	17 (25)	48 (72)	***	31 (23)	97 (72)	***
Parents always welcome (24/7)	64 (84)	49 (64)	**	61 (91)	38 (57)	***	104 (78)	60 (45)	***
NICU Rounding Policy									
Full team and parent participation	63 (83)	28 (37)	***	49 (73)	23 (34)	***	85 (63)	38 (28)	***
Limited team and parent participation	2 (3)	32 (42)	***	10 (15)	26 (39)	**	21 (16)	56 (42)	***
No formal procedure or other procedure	11 (14)	16 (21)		8 (12)	18 (27)	**	28 (21)	40 (30)	**

Note: *** p < .001, ** p < .01, * p < .05. NICU = Neonatal Intensive Care Unit. False discovery rate adjusted p-values.

Supplementary Index

Supplemental Figure 1: Covid Visitation Questionnaire

Supplemental Figure 2: Geographical Distribution of Responding NICUs, Entry Policy Changes, and Level of Parental Participation in Rounds

Supplemental Figure 3: The Cumulative Count of Changes in Hospital Entry Policies by Calendar Date

Supplemental Figure 4: Flow Diagram of Restrictions to Parental Presence in the NICU After the Emergence of Covid-19

Supplemental Figure 1: COVID Visitation Questionnaire

NICU Demographics

- 1) Level: (1-4)
 - 1
 - 2
 - 3
 - 4
- 2) Design:
 - "Open" - 90% or more of beds in rooms of 4 or more beds
 - "Hybrid" –11-89% of beds in single patient rooms
 - "SFR" - 90% or more of beds in single patient rooms
- 3) # of NICU beds _____
- 4) Are you in the United States?
 - Yes
 - i. State
 - ii. zip code
 - No
 - i. City
 - ii. Country
- 5) Which description accurately describes your unit?
 - NICU, out born only (typically a children's hospital with no delivery service in-house)
 - NICU, inborn only
 - NICU with both inborn and transported admissions
- 6) Where did you find out about the survey? American Academy of Pediatrics e-mail
 - American Academy of Pediatrics e-mail
 - Mednax e-mail
 - Twitter
 - Facebook
 - Other social media
 - Other
 - i. Where did you hear about the survey? _____

Visiting Policies

- 1) When did your hospital/NICU change its visiting policy?
- 2) What was your **Pre-COVID** NICU visiting policy?
 - Screening question(s) for travel history?
 - i. Yes
 - ii. No
 - Screening question (s) for fever or illness?
 - i. Yes
 - ii. No
 - Screening temperature check?
 - i. Yes
 - ii. No

- Parents and visitors always welcome (24/7)?
 - i. Yes
 - ii. No
 - Parents always welcome; some restrictions (number, time of day) on other visitors?
 - i. Yes
 - ii. No
 - Restrictions on parent visitation (e.g. limited visiting hours)
 - i. Yes
 - ii. No
 - If you have additional visiting policies please briefly explain _____
 - What was your Pre-COVID NICU rounding policy
 - i. Full team and parent participation at the bedside
 - ii. Full team and parent participation away from the bedside
 - iii. Limited team and parent participation (e.g., nurse, RT; parent not present except under special circumstances)
 - iv. No formal daily rounding procedure
 - v. other
- 3) What was your **Pre-COVID** hospital-wide visiting policy?
- Screening question(s) for travel history?
 - i. Yes
 - ii. No
 - Screening question(s) for fever or illness?
 - i. Yes
 - ii. No
 - Screening temperature check?
 - i. Yes
 - ii. No
 - Parents /spouses and visitors always welcome?
 - i. Yes
 - ii. No
 - Parents /spouses always welcome; some restrictions (number, time of day) on other visitors?
 - i. Yes
 - ii. No
 - Restrictions (limited visiting hours) on immediate family visitation (e.g. spouse, parent)
 - i. Yes
 - ii. No
- 4) What is your **current COVID** NICU visiting policy?
- Screening question(s) for travel history?
 - i. Yes
 - ii. No
 - Screening question(s) for fever or illness?
 - i. Yes
 - ii. No

- ☐ Screening temperature check?
 - i. Yes
 - ii. No
- ☐ Do you have any restrictions on parent visitation? (e.g. limited visiting hours)
 - i. Yes (e.g. limited visiting hours)
 - ii. No (24/7 visitation)
- ☐ Are parents completely restricted from the bedside?
 - i. Yes
 - ii. No
- ☐ If a patient is in extremis, are parents allowed at the bedside?
 - i. Yes
 - ii. No
- ☐ Is only one parent allowed at the bedside at a time?
 - i. Yes
 - ii. No
- ☐ Are you restricting to just one chosen parent allowed to visit the entire hospital stay? (i.e. one parent cannot physically visit at all?)
 - i. Yes
 - ii. No
- ☐ Can parents switch off visitation within the same day?
 - i. Yes
 - ii. No
- ☐ Can parents switch off visitation only across different days?
 - i. Yes
 - ii. No
- ☐ Are both parents allowed at the bedside simultaneously?
 - i. Yes
 - ii. No

- ☐ Are there restrictions on the number of hours per day at the bedside?
 - i. Yes
 - ii. No
- ☐ How many hours a day are parents allowed at the bedside? _____
- ☐ What is your current COVID NICU rounding policy?
 - i. Full team and parent participation at the bedside
 - ii. Full team and parent participation away from the bedside
 - iii. Limited team and parent participation (e.g., nurse, RT; parent not present except under special circumstances)
 - iv. No formal daily rounding procedure
 - v. Other

- ☐ Do you have additional visiting policies we haven't asked about, please briefly describe. _____

11) What is your **current COVID** hospital-wide visiting policy?

- ☐ Screening question(s) for travel history?
 - i. Yes
 - ii. No
- ☐ Screening question(s) for fever or illness?
 - i. Yes
 - ii. No
- ☐ Screening temperature check?
 - i. Yes
 - ii. No
- ☐ Parents /spouses and visitors always welcome? (24/7)
 - i. Yes
 - ii. No
- ☐ Parents /spouses always welcome; some restrictions (number, time of day) on other visitors?
 - i. Yes
 - ii. No
- ☐ Restrictions (limited visiting hours) on immediate family visitation (e.g. spouse, parent)
 - i. Yes
 - ii. No

Flu Season Visitation Policy

12. Did you have a flu/RSV season policy that differed from your regular NICU visiting policy?
- a. Yes
 - i. the same as the COVID visitation policy?
 - 1. Yes
 - 2. No
 - ii. is the flu/RSV policy less restrictive than the COVID visitation policy?
 - 1. Yes
 - 2. No
 - b. No

Have you seen any detectable change in any outcome measures listed below?

If yes, **Outcome Measures**

please check yes. If data is available, please insert. If no, or unsure at this point, select no change.

Outcomes Measure	Yes	No	Supporting Data
Parental satisfaction scores (Q4 2019 vs. Q1-2 of 2020)			
Are parents making more use of their allotted/restricted visiting time? (i.e. are they visiting more days than prior to the COVID visiting changes).			
Staff turnover			
How many staff have resigned or reduced hours since March 1 2020?			
RN vs. MD vs. NP vs. RT?			
Nosocomial infection (Q4 2019 vs Q1-2 of 2020)			
Breast Feeding rates ?			
Have you tightening the criteria around donor breast milk?			
Have you had a decrease in ventilator days? (i.e. in an effort to free up ventilators for adults)			
Has your length of stay decreased?			
Have your PPD scores for mothers increased (Edinburgh scale) ?			
Have infants experienced a delays in non-urgent procedures? (i.e G-tube placement etc.)			
Do you have less ancillary services available (less OT/PT/Speech) January 2020 vs. March 2020?			
Do you have less Lactation visits January 2020 vs. March 2020?			
Do you have less Social work visits January 2020 vs. March 2020?			
Are social work/lactation providing Televisiting/support for families?			


Short Answer:

- 12) Assuming policies in your unit are more restrictive than in non-pandemic times, have you increased your support staff to account for more phone calls and updates for families?
- 13) How have you implemented CDC social distancing guidelines for parents/caregivers that are allowed into the UNIT?
- 14) How have you implemented CDC social distancing guidelines for healthcare workers?
- 15) How have your changed your practice around HCW that also service adult patients (i.e. radiology, RTs, phlebotomy).
- 16) Suggestions for the future if you had to do it again

Supplemental Figure 1 Legend: The questionnaire was entered into REDCap with branching logic incorporated as appropriate


Supplemental Figure 2: Geographical Distribution of Responding NICUs, Entry Policy Changes, and Level of Parental Participation in Rounds

Changes, and Level of Parental Participation in Rounds




Supplemental Figure 2 Legend: Responses were received from NICUs in 40 out of 50 US States, with 3 states having more than 15 NICUs respond to the survey (Panel A). The average date of changing hospital entry policies varied by state, with the majority averaging policy change between March 16 and 31 (Panel B). Prior to the onset of the COVID-19 pandemic, permitting full parental participation in NICU rounds was commonplace (Panel C). However, during the pandemic very few states had a majority of NICUs allowing full parental participation in rounds.

Supplemental Figure 3: The Cumulative Count of Changes in Hospital Entry Policies by Calendar Date



Supplemental Figure 3 Legend: Very few hospitals altered their entry dates in January or February, 2020. However this rapidly changed in March with most NICUs changing their policy prior to the issuance of specific guidance from the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC) on March 20, 2020.

Supplemental Figure 4: Flow Diagram of Restrictions to Parental Presence in the NICU After the Emergence of Covid-19



Supplemental Figure 4 Legend: Overall, 130 of 277 NICUs (47%) had restrictions to NICU parental in the NICU during the Covid-19 pandemic. Of these, most allowed only a single parent at the bedside and 33 NICUs required families to choose a single parent for the entire hospital stay. Prohibition of parental presence in the NICU was rare but occurred at 7 sites (3%).