

How does childhood maltreatment influence cardiovascular disease? A sequential causal mediation analysis

Soares. Mechanisms of child maltreatment and CVD

Ana G Soares^{1,2}, Laura D Howe^{1,2}, Jon Heron¹, Gemma Hammerton^{1,2}, Janet Rich-Edwards³,
Maria C Magnus^{1,2,4}, Sarah L Halligan^{5,6}, Abigail Fraser^{1,2}

1 Population Health Sciences, Bristol Medical School, University of Bristol, Bristol, United Kingdom

2 MRC Integrated Epidemiology Unit at the University of Bristol, Bristol, United Kingdom

3 Department of Medicine, Harvard Medical School and Brigham and Women's Hospital and Department of Epidemiology, Harvard Chan School of Public Health, Boston, MA

4 Centre for Fertility and Health, Norwegian Institute of Public Health, Oslo, Norway

5 Department of Psychology, University of Bath, Bath, United Kingdom

6 Department of Psychiatry and Mental Health, University of Cape Town, South Africa

Correspondence to:

Dr Ana Goncalves Soares

Population Health Sciences, Bristol Medical School, University of Bristol

Oakfield House, Oakfield Grove

Bristol, United Kingdom

BS8 2BN

Telephone: +44(0) 117 331 0192

Email: analuiza.soares@bristol.ac.uk

Abstract: 243 words

Word count: 3,565

1 **ABSTRACT**

2

3 **Background:** Childhood maltreatment has been consistently associated with cardiovascular
4 disease (CVD). However, the mechanisms of this relationship are not yet fully understood. We
5 explored the relative contribution of anxiety/depression, smoking, body mass index (BMI) and
6 inflammation (C-reactive protein, CRP) to the association between childhood maltreatment and
7 CVD in men and women aged 40-69 years in the United Kingdom (UK).

8 **Methods:** We used data from 40,596 men and 59,511 women from UK Biobank. To estimate
9 the indirect effects of childhood maltreatment (physical, sexual and emotional abuse, and
10 emotional and physical neglect) on incident CVD via each of the mediators, we applied a
11 sequential mediation approach.

12 **Results:** All forms of maltreatment were associated with increased CVD risk (hazard ratios
13 (HR) ranging from 1.09 to 1.27). Together anxiety/depression, smoking, BMI and CRP
14 mediated 26%-90% of the association between childhood maltreatment and CVD, and the
15 contribution of these mediators differed by type of maltreatment and sex. Anxiety/depression
16 mediated the largest proportion of the association of sexual abuse, emotional abuse and
17 emotional neglect with CVD (accounting for 16%-43% of the total effect), especially in
18 women. In men, BMI contributed the most to the indirect effect of associations of physical
19 abuse and physical neglect with CVD; in women anxiety/depression and BMI had similar
20 contributions.

21 **Conclusions:** These findings add to the understanding of how childhood maltreatment affects
22 CVD risk and identify modifiable mediating factors which could potentially reduce the
23 burden of CVD in people exposed to maltreatment in early life.

24 **Keywords:** childhood maltreatment, cardiovascular disease, intermediate confounding,
25 sequential mediation analysis, UK Biobank

1 **Introduction**

2 There is a robust association between childhood maltreatment and cardiovascular
3 disease (CVD) risk in later life ⁽¹⁾, but the mechanisms underlying this relationship are not fully
4 understood ⁽²⁾. Three main pathways are commonly postulated: behavioural (e.g. smoking,
5 unhealthy diet/overeating and physical inactivity), mental health (e.g. mood, anxiety and
6 depressive disorders) and biological factors (alterations in the immune, metabolic,
7 neuroendocrine, and autonomic nervous systems) ⁽²⁻⁴⁾. However, few studies have explicitly
8 tested and/or quantified these mechanisms with comprehensive mediation models ^(2, 5), and it
9 is not clear to what extent the association between childhood maltreatment and CVD acts
10 through these proposed pathways or via other mechanisms.

11 Most studies have assessed childhood adversities as a summary score ^(5, 6), and to the
12 best of our knowledge, no study has explored whether the mechanisms of this association differ
13 according to the type of maltreatment suffered. Moreover, there is some evidence of sex
14 differences in the association between childhood maltreatment and CVD, though with
15 inconsistent patterns ^(1, 7, 8). The few studies that explored potential sex-specific mechanisms
16 found similar results in men and women ^(3, 7).

17 In this study, we used data from UK Biobank and applied sequential causal mediation
18 analysis ⁽⁹⁾ using g-computation ⁽¹⁰⁾ to estimate the relative contribution of anxiety/depression,
19 smoking, body mass index (BMI) and inflammation to the association between childhood
20 maltreatment and CVD. This approach allows us to estimate the combined and individual
21 contributions of each mediator, based on a causal order, whilst handling intermediate
22 confounding. We also explored possible differences by sex and by type of maltreatment.

23

24 **Methods**

1 UK Biobank recruited 502,524 participants aged 40-69 years between 2006 and 2010 ⁽¹¹⁾.
2 In 2016, participants who provided an email address (n=339,092) were invited to complete an
3 online mental health questionnaire, which included questions about their experiences of
4 maltreatment in childhood ⁽¹²⁾. In total, 158,835 participants responded the online questionnaire
5 (47% of those emailed) ⁽¹²⁾, and 157,311 responded to at least one question about childhood
6 maltreatment. We excluded participants with CVD at baseline, when information of mediators
7 was collected (details in supplementary material). We also excluded those with incomplete data
8 on mediators and confounders. 40,596 men and 59,511 women were included in the analyses
9 (eFigure 1). The majority (91.3%) of the eligible sample had complete data on all mediators
10 and confounders, and after accounting for covariates, missingness was not associated with the
11 outcome or the exposures, apart from a lower likelihood of reporting physical neglect in those
12 with complete data (eTable 1). In this scenario, complete case analysis is unlikely to introduce
13 bias ⁽¹³⁾.

14 Childhood maltreatment was assessed using the Childhood Trauma Screener ⁽¹⁴⁾, and
15 classified into: physical, sexual and emotional abuse, and emotional and physical neglect. CVD
16 incident cases were extracted from hospital and death registers and coded according to the
17 International Classification of Diseases (ICD-10 codes: I00-I99). We considered
18 anxiety/depression, smoking, BMI and inflammation, indexed by C-reactive protein (CRP), all
19 assessed at baseline, as potential mediators.

20 Information on age, year of birth, ethnicity, maternal smoking around birth, number of
21 siblings, and family history of CVD was obtained at recruitment and used as baseline
22 confounders in our analysis. As UK Biobank lacks information on childhood socioeconomic
23 position (SEP), we used maternal smoking, number of siblings, and family history of CVD as
24 proxies, considering their socioeconomic patterns ⁽¹⁵⁾.

1 Given the long lag time between exposure to childhood maltreatment and the
2 emergence and/or assessment of the potential mediators, other factors, such as adult
3 socioeconomic position ⁽¹⁶⁾, might be affected by childhood maltreatment but also confound
4 the association between potential mediators and CVD (i.e. intermediate confounding). We
5 considered Townsend deprivation index and educational attainment as intermediate
6 confounders.

7 Further details on how the exposures, mediators and confounders were assessed and
8 categorised are presented in supplementary material.

9

10 *Statistical analysis*

11 All analyses were conducted using Stata version 15.1 (Statacorp, College Station, TX).
12 We used Cox proportional hazards models to estimate hazard ratios (HR) and 95% confidence
13 intervals (95% CIs) for the associations between childhood maltreatment and CVD and
14 between the mediators and CVD. Contributions to risk were censored at the first CVD event,
15 death for any reason or end of follow-up, on 31 March 2017. The time scale for the Cox model
16 was calendar year. We used linear regression to assess the associations of childhood
17 maltreatment with both BMI and CRP, and logistic regression to assess the associations with
18 anxiety/depression and smoking. Analyses were performed unadjusted and adjusted for
19 potential confounders; baseline confounders were used for exposure-outcome and exposure-
20 mediator associations, and baseline and intermediate confounders were used for mediator-
21 outcome associations.

22

23 *Mediation analysis*

24 The proposed causal diagram for the relationships between childhood maltreatment and
25 CVD is shown in Figure 1. We assumed that childhood maltreatment leads to

1 anxiety/depression⁽¹⁷⁾, which influences smoking⁽¹⁸⁾, then adiposity⁽¹⁹⁾, and results in changes
2 in inflammatory markers^(20, 21). Adult SEP, which is affected by childhood maltreatment⁽¹⁶⁾,
3 might also confound the association between childhood maltreatment and behavioural, mental
4 health and biological factors⁽¹⁵⁾, and therefore was considered an intermediate confounder.

5 To estimate direct and indirect effects, the following assumptions regarding
6 confounding are needed: a) no unmeasured confounding for the exposure-outcome
7 relationship, b) no unmeasured confounding for the mediator-outcome relationship, c) no
8 unmeasured confounding for the exposure-mediator relationship, and d) no effects of the
9 exposure that confounds the mediator-outcome relationship⁽⁹⁾. To decompose the effect of
10 each type of childhood maltreatment on CVD risk into natural indirect effect (NIE, i.e. acting
11 through the mediators) and natural direct effect (NDE, i.e. not acting through the mediators),
12 we used parametric g-computation using Monte Carlo simulations, performed using the Stata
13 command *gformula*⁽¹⁰⁾. This approach, which uses counterfactual definitions of direct and
14 indirect effects, can handle intermediate confounding, and therefore there is no need to make
15 assumption d, which is needed when using standard methods for mediation⁽¹⁰⁾. We considered
16 the natural direct effect (NDE) and natural indirect effect (NIE) as decompositions of the total
17 causal effect (TCE); *gformula* implements logistic regression for time-to-event outcomes and
18 therefore estimates correspond to log odds ratios (ORs). We exponentiated the results and
19 present ORs.

20 As we were interested in the combined contribution of the set of mediators, but also of
21 each individual mediator, we performed sequential mediation analysis^(9, 22). This approach
22 allows for mediation analysis using multiple related mediators, based on the assumption of a
23 causal ordering of the mediators. We estimated four models (Figure 2). In Model 1, we
24 estimated the NIE through anxiety/depression; this includes pathways that act through
25 anxiety/depression and any of its effects (descendants) but does not include those that act solely

1 through smoking, BMI and/or CRP (or other pathways). In Model 2, the NIE through both
2 anxiety/depression and smoking was estimated, which includes their causal descendants, but
3 does not include any other pathways, including paths that act only via BMI and/or CRP; the
4 difference between the proportions mediated in Model 2 and Model 1 corresponds to the
5 proportion mediated by smoking beyond anxiety/depression alone. In Model 3, the NIE
6 through anxiety/depression, smoking and BMI was estimated, which includes their causal
7 descendants, but does not include the paths that act solely through CRP; the difference between
8 the proportions mediated in Model 3 and Model 2 corresponds to the mediating effect of BMI
9 beyond the effect of both anxiety/depression and smoking. Finally, in Model 4, the NIE of all
10 potential mediators was estimated, and the difference between the proportion mediated in
11 Model 4 and the proportion mediated in Model 3 corresponds to the mediating effect of CRP
12 beyond anxiety/depression, smoking and BMI. Exposure-mediator interactions were included
13 sequentially (i.e. interaction between maltreatment and anxiety/depression was included in
14 Model 1, interactions between maltreatment and anxiety/depression and between maltreatment
15 and smoking were included in Model 2, and so on). We did not include mediator-mediator
16 interactions, as these would hinder comparability across models ⁽⁹⁾. Bootstrapping with 1,000
17 replications was used to calculate 95% CIs. The number of Monte Carlo simulations was
18 equivalent to the sample size of each model. The proportion mediated was calculated as

19
$$\frac{OR_{NDE} (OR_{NIE}-1)}{(OR_{NDE} \times OR_{NIE}-1)} \times 100.$$

20 All analyses were performed stratified by sex, given previously reported sex differences
21 in the association between childhood maltreatment and CVD and the scarcity of studies
22 exploring sex differences in the mechanisms of this association. We compared the NDE and
23 NIE between men and women by examining the point estimates and their 95% confidence
24 intervals.

25

1 *Sensitivity analysis*

2 Sequential mediation analysis requires a causal ordering of the mediators ⁽⁹⁾. However, the
3 temporal and causal order is arguable for this set of mediators. Observational studies suggest a
4 bidirectional relationship between depression and BMI ⁽²³⁾, and Mendelian randomization
5 studies (i.e. using genetic variants as instrumental variables) support a causal role for higher
6 BMI on the likelihood of depression, which may also be bidirectional ^(24, 25). There is evidence
7 of a causal effect of smoking on BMI ^(26, 27), but also of BMI on smoking ^(28, 29). Furthermore,
8 smoking is a risk factor for depression, and the genetic liability for depression increases lifetime
9 smoking ⁽³⁰⁾.

10 As the order in which mediators are included in the statistical models could potentially
11 influence results, we investigated in sensitivity analysis the robustness of findings to the order
12 of inclusion. We first estimated the NIE through BMI, then added anxiety/depression, then
13 smoking, and finally CRP. The conceptualized causal diagram for these relationships is shown
14 in eFigure 2, and the simplified causal diagram illustrating the estimated paths in each model
15 is presented in eFigure 3.

16

17 **Results**

18 Characteristics of participants without CVD at baseline who were included and
19 excluded (due to missing data on any of exposures/confounders/mediators) from analyses are
20 shown in eTable 2. Included participants were more likely to be female and White/British. Men
21 who were included in the analyses were slightly older, whilst women were younger. The
22 participants included were also more likely to experience less deprivation, to have higher
23 education, fewer siblings, lower prevalence of maternal smoking, current smoking and
24 anxiety/depression, and higher frequency of alcohol intake than those not included in the
25 analyses. Additionally, participants included were more likely to have lower BMI, CRP,

1 systolic and diastolic blood pressures, use of blood pressure medication, and lower rates of
2 CVD than those not included.

3 Both physical abuse and emotional neglect were the most prevalent types of childhood
4 maltreatment in men (20.8%), and emotional neglect was the most prevalent in women (22.3%)
5 (eFigure 4). The prevalence of all types of maltreatment was higher in women, except for
6 physical abuse, which was higher in men. The incidence rate of CVD was 20.9 per 1,000
7 person-years (95% CI 20.4; 21.4) in men and 14.0 per 1,000 person-years (95% CI 13.7; 14.3)
8 in women.

9 After adjusting for baseline confounders, all types of childhood maltreatment were
10 associated with higher risk of incident CVD in both men and women, with HR varying from
11 1.09 to 1.27 (eTable 3). Associations were overall similar for all types of maltreatment but
12 were slightly stronger for emotional abuse, especially in women. There was little evidence of
13 sex differences, except for a stronger association between emotional neglect and CVD in
14 women.

15 Childhood maltreatment was associated with higher BMI and CRP and with higher
16 odds of smoking and anxiety/depression in both sexes (eTable 4). The exceptions were for the
17 associations between emotional neglect and BMI and between physical neglect and smoking
18 in men. There was some evidence of stronger associations in women between childhood
19 maltreatment and potential mediators. All mediators were associated with higher risk of
20 incident CVD (eTable 5). The associations did not differ by sex, except for the association
21 between anxiety/depression and CVD, which was stronger in women.

22 Tables 1 and 2 show the TCE, NDE and NIE of the associations between childhood
23 maltreatment and CVD in men and women, respectively, as well as the proportion of the total
24 effect explained by the mediators individually and in combination. Anxiety/depression,
25 smoking, BMI and CRP mediated 26% to 90% of the associations between childhood

1 maltreatment and CVD. Overall, these mediators explained a greater proportion of the
2 associations in women; for example, the four mediators together explained 90% of the
3 association between sexual abuse and CVD in women, compared to 34% in men.

4 The contribution of the mediators to the association between childhood maltreatment
5 and CVD varied by type of maltreatment and sex. For sexual abuse, emotional abuse and
6 emotional neglect, anxiety/depression explained the highest proportion of the indirect effect,
7 accounting for 16%-43% of the total effect, with higher proportions observed in women
8 (Tables 1 and 2, Figure 3). For physical abuse and physical neglect, BMI contributed the most
9 to the indirect effect in men, and in women both anxiety/depression and BMI had similar
10 contributions.

11

12 *Sensitivity analysis*

13 When we first included BMI, then anxiety/depression, then smoking, and finally CRP,
14 the proportion explained by each mediator changed only slightly (eTables 6 and 7, eFigure 5)
15 and the conclusions regarding the main mediators of the associations between childhood
16 maltreatment and CVD did not change.

17

18 **Discussion**

19 This study assessed the role of anxiety/depression, smoking, BMI and inflammation in the
20 relationship between childhood maltreatment and incident CVD in men and women aged 40-
21 69 years in the UK using a sequential mediation approach. We showed that together these
22 factors mediated 26%-90% of the associations between childhood maltreatment and CVD. A
23 higher proportion of the association between childhood maltreatment and CVD was explained
24 by this set of mediators in women than in men, and anxiety/depression seemed to have a
25 particularly important role for women.

1 Longitudinal studies have shown that mental health has an important mediating effect in
2 the association between childhood adversity and CVD alongside traditional CVD risk factors,
3 such as smoking, unhealthy diet, obesity and physical inactivity ^(3, 5, 7, 31). Similarly, we found
4 that mental health explained more of the association of sexual abuse, emotional abuse and
5 emotional neglect with CVD than BMI, smoking and inflammation, particularly in women.
6 Most previous studies assessed the mediating role of mental health independently of other
7 health behaviours, without taking the relationships between them into account, including a
8 recent study using UK Biobank that showed that depressive symptoms mediated 56% of the
9 association between a summary score of childhood maltreatment and CVD in men and women
10 combined ⁽⁵⁾. A USA longitudinal study of young adults (mean age 30y) used path analysis to
11 consider depressive symptoms along with other factors, and found that depressive symptoms
12 did not mediate the effect of childhood adversities on CVD risk factors ⁽³²⁾. In our study, the
13 importance of anxiety/depression as a mediator of the association between childhood
14 maltreatment and CVD was consistent even when the order of the mediators was changed.

15 Women have a greater burden of childhood maltreatment ⁽³³⁾, as well as anxiety and
16 depression ⁽³⁴⁾, and depression has been linked to CVD in both men and women ^(35, 36), including
17 in prospective studies ^(37, 38). The association between depression and CVD has been shown to
18 be stronger in women in some ⁽³⁹⁾ but not all studies ⁽⁴⁰⁾. Several mechanisms may link
19 anxiety/depression to CVD; alterations in the autonomic nervous system, platelet receptors and
20 function, coagulopathic factors, proinflammatory cytokines, endothelial function,
21 neurohormonal factors, genetic linkages, and adverse health behaviours ⁽³⁵⁾. However, causal
22 evidence is still limited. A recent study using Mendelian randomization suggests that
23 depression and CVD comorbidity arises largely from shared environmental factors, and that
24 triglycerides and inflammation are likely to be causally related to depression ⁽⁴¹⁾.

1 BMI was also an important mediator in the relationship between childhood maltreatment
2 and CVD. It seemed to be a major explanatory factor for the relationship of physical abuse and
3 neglect with CVD in men, and its contribution to the association of physical abuse and neglect
4 with CVD in women was comparable to that of anxiety/depression. Childhood maltreatment
5 has been robustly associated with higher BMI and risk of obesity in adults ^(42, 43), and there is
6 strong evidence of a causal association between higher BMI and CVD ⁽⁴⁴⁾. Sex differences in
7 the association between BMI and CVD are not consistent ^(42, 45, 46), and we found that the
8 contribution of BMI to the association between childhood maltreatment and CVD differed in
9 men and women.

10 Evidence suggests that childhood maltreatment is associated with inflammation ⁽⁴⁷⁻⁴⁹⁾, and
11 that inflammation mediates the association between childhood abuse and hypertension ⁽⁵⁰⁾. In
12 women, inflammation explained more of the indirect effect than smoking. Although CRP is
13 not causally related to CVD ⁽⁵¹⁾, its production is stimulated by IL-6, which likely has a causal
14 effect on CVD ⁽⁵²⁾. CRP is affected by both BMI ^(48, 53) and smoking ⁽²⁰⁾, and these might have
15 already captured most of the effect of CRP, which was entered last into the models. This might
16 also explain the relatively small additional contribution of smoking; its indirect effect might
17 have already been captured by anxiety/depression ⁽¹⁸⁾, especially in women ⁽⁵⁴⁾. Smoking and
18 BMI have bidirectional effects ^(28, 29), but they might also reflect two separate coping
19 mechanisms, and individual differences might influence whether individuals are more likely to
20 adopt one over the other. Eating disorders, for example, are more common in women, and
21 differences by type of maltreatment and sex have been shown in the association between
22 childhood maltreatment and eating disorders ⁽⁵⁵⁾. Smoking, on the other hand, is more prevalent
23 in men ⁽⁵⁶⁾, and sex differences in the association between childhood maltreatment and smoking
24 have been observed ⁽⁵⁷⁾.

25

1 *Strengths and limitations*

2 As most large longitudinal studies, we used self-reported retrospective measures of
3 childhood maltreatment, which precludes robust measurements of severity and timing of the
4 exposures reported and might be affected by recall bias and/or measurement error ^(58, 59).
5 Although there is poor agreement between retrospective and prospective measures of childhood
6 adversities ⁽⁵⁸⁾, retrospective measures have been shown to be valid in population studies,
7 though they might underestimate the association with objectively measured outcomes, such as
8 CVD ⁽⁶⁰⁾. Information on exposure to childhood maltreatment was assessed in a questionnaire
9 completed after information on mediators was collected (mediators were assessed at baseline).
10 However, childhood maltreatment, by definition, related to experiences in early life. The report
11 of childhood maltreatment could plausibly be affected by concurrent mental health, such that
12 individuals who develop depression or report increased psychological distress are more likely
13 to report an adverse childhood experience ⁽⁶¹⁾. Therefore, results found for anxiety/depression
14 could be overestimated, which would, consequently, underestimate the additional contribution
15 of the other mediators. That said, it is unlikely that smoking status, BMI and CRP levels
16 influence the report of childhood maltreatment (independently of mental health), hence reverse
17 causality with these factors is improbable. Nonetheless, comparing and contrasting evidence
18 from studies with both prospective and retrospective measures of childhood maltreatment is
19 recommended.

20 Measurement error or misclassification of the mediators, particularly smoking, also cannot
21 be ruled out. Ideally, we would have used better data on smoking, such as number of pack-
22 years of smoking, but there was a high proportion of missing data (32%), due to missing on
23 age at which participant started and stopped smoking. Random measurement error or
24 misclassification on the mediators would lead to underestimation of the indirect effect and,
25 therefore, overestimation of the direct effect of childhood maltreatment on CVD ⁽⁶²⁾.

1 Previous studies have shown that childhood maltreatment is associated with poor adult
2 socioeconomic outcomes⁽¹⁶⁾, and that adult SEP mediates up to 27% of the association between
3 childhood adversities and health risks, such as depressive disorder, smoking, binge drinking,
4 obesity and sub-optimal health⁽⁶³⁾. Therefore, adult SEP should be considered when trying to
5 understand the behavioural, mental health and biological mechanisms through which childhood
6 maltreatment affects CVD, as we were able to do here. Yet bias due to unmeasured
7 confounding cannot be ruled out. We were also able to decompose the total effect into direct
8 and indirect effects even in the presence of exposure-mediator interactions, a limitation of
9 traditional mediation methods^(10, 64). Another strength is that the large sample size enabled us
10 to explore mediating pathways of the associations between different types of childhood
11 maltreatment and CVD, as well as by sex.

12 The main limitation of this study is the use of a sub-sample of UK Biobank, which itself
13 includes less than 6% of the individuals aged 40-69 years who were invited to enter the cohort,
14 and is not representative of the UK population⁽⁶⁵⁾. This can induce collider bias, which, in this
15 case, would lead to negatively biased associations⁽⁶⁶⁾; therefore, the associations observed here
16 are likely to be underestimated.

17 Causal mediation analysis relies on several strong assumptions about no confounding
18 between exposure-outcome, exposure-mediator and mediator-outcome associations. We
19 adjusted for several measured confounders in the associations between exposures, mediators
20 and outcome, but bias due to unmeasured confounding in these relationships cannot be ruled
21 out. Although we believe the causal ordering of the mediators used in the analyses is sensible,
22 some associations are likely to be bi-directional. However, results from sensitivity analysis
23 changing the sequential order of the mediators did not substantially differ from the main results.

1 We did not include diet and physical activity. However, we believe that much of the indirect
2 effect of these factors on the total effect of childhood maltreatment on CVD is likely to be via
3 BMI and/or mental health.

4

5 *Conclusions*

6 Anxiety/depression, smoking, BMI and inflammation mediated part of the effect of
7 childhood maltreatment on CVD, varying from 26%-90%, and the contribution of these
8 mediators differed by type of maltreatment and sex. These findings contribute to understanding
9 of how childhood maltreatment might affect CVD and highlight the potential to reduce CVD
10 burden by targeting modifiable mediating factors.

11

12 *Funding*

13 ALGS, GH, LDH and AF work in a Unit that receives support from the University of
14 Bristol and UK Medical Research Council (MC_UU_00011/6). AF and ALGS are funded by
15 UK Medical Research Council fellowship to AF (MR/M009351/1). GH is funded by a Sir
16 Henry Wellcome Postdoctoral Fellowship (209138/Z/17/Z). LDH is funded by a UK Medical
17 Research Council fellowship (MR/M020894/1). MCM works at the Centre for Fertility and
18 Health supported by the Research Council of Norway through its Centres of Excellence funding
19 scheme, project number 262700.

20 The study funders had no role in the study design, collection, analysis, and
21 interpretation of data or report writing. The corresponding author had full access to the data
22 and the final responsibility to submit for publication.

23

24 *Conflicts of interest*

25 The authors report no conflict of interest.

1

2 *Ethics approval*

3 UK Biobank received ethical approval from the National Health Service National
4 Research Ethics Service (11/ NW/0382).

5

6 **Acknowledgments**

7 This research has been conducted using the UK Biobank Resource (application 19278).
8 We are grateful to all participants who took part in this study.

9

References

1. Basu A, McLaughlin KA, Misra S, Koenen KC. Childhood maltreatment and health impact: The examples of cardiovascular disease and type 2 diabetes mellitus in adults. *Clin Psychol-Sci Pr.* 2017;24(2):125-39.
2. Suglia SF, Koenen KC, Boynton-Jarrett R, Chan PS, Clark CJ, Danese A, et al. Childhood and adolescent adversity and cardiometabolic outcomes: A scientific statement from the American Heart Association. *Circulation.* 2018;137(5):e15-e28.
3. Dong MX, Giles WH, Felitti VJ, Dube SR, Williams JE, Chapman DP, et al. Insights into causal pathways for ischemic heart disease - Adverse childhood experiences study. *Circulation.* 2004;110(13):1761-6.
4. Rich-Edwards JW, Mason S, Rexrode K, Spiegelman D, Hibert E, Kawachi I, et al. Physical and sexual abuse in childhood as predictors of early-onset cardiovascular events in women. *Circulation.* 2012;126(8):920-7.
5. Ho FK, Celis-Morales C, Gray SR, Petermann-Rocha F, Lyall D, Mackay D, et al. Child maltreatment and cardiovascular disease: quantifying mediation pathways using UK Biobank. *BMC Med.* 2020;18(1):143.
6. Lacey RE, Minnis H. Practitioner Review: Twenty years of research with adverse childhood experience scores - Advantages, disadvantages and applications to practice. *J Child Psychol Psychiatry.* 2019.
7. Garad Y, Maximova K, MacKinnon N, McGrath JJ, Kozyrskyj AL, Colman I. Sex-specific differences in the association between childhood adversity and cardiovascular disease in adulthood: Evidence from a national cohort study. *Canadian Journal of Cardiology.* 2017;33(8):1013-9.

8. Soares ALG, Hammerton G, Howe LD, Rich-Edwards J, Halligan S, Fraser A. Sex differences in the association between childhood maltreatment and cardiovascular disease in the UK Biobank. *Heart* (British Cardiac Society). 2020.
9. VanderWeele TJ, Vansteelandt S. Mediation analysis with multiple mediators. *Epidemiol Methods*. 2014;2(1):95-115.
10. Daniel RM, De Stavola BL, Cousens SN. gformula: Estimating causal effects in the presence of time-varying confounding or mediation using the g-computation formula. *Stata J*. 2011;11(4):479-517.
11. Sudlow C, Gallacher J, Allen N, Beral V, Burton P, Danesh J, et al. UK biobank: an open access resource for identifying the causes of a wide range of complex diseases of middle and old age. *PLoS Med*. 2015;12(3):e1001779.
12. Littlejohns TJ, Sudlow C, Allen NE, Collins R. UK Biobank: opportunities for cardiovascular research. *Eur Heart J*. 2017.
13. Hughes RA, Heron J, Sterne JAC, Tilling K. Accounting for missing data in statistical analyses: multiple imputation is not always the answer. *International journal of epidemiology*. 2019;48(4):1294-304.
14. Grabe HJ, Schulz A, Schmidt CO, Appel K, Driessen M, Wingenfeld K, et al. A brief instrument for the assessment of childhood abuse and neglect: the Childhood Trauma Screener (CTS). *Psychiat Prax*. 2012;39(3):109-15.
15. Power C, Graham H, Due P, Hallqvist J, Joung I, Kuh D, et al. The contribution of childhood and adult socioeconomic position to adult obesity and smoking behaviour: an international comparison. *International journal of epidemiology*. 2005;34(2):335-44.
16. Pereira SMP, Li L, Power C. Child maltreatment and adult living standards at 50 years. *Pediatrics*. 2017;139(1).

17. Mandelli L, Petrelli C, Serretti A. The role of specific early trauma in adult depression: A meta-analysis of published literature. *Childhood trauma and adult depression. Eur Psychiatry.* 2015;30(6):665-80.
18. Walsh EG, Cawthon SW. The mediating role of depressive symptoms in the relationship between adverse childhood experiences and smoking. *Addict Behav.* 2014;39(10):1471-6.
19. Piirtola M, Jelenkovic A, Latvala A, Sund R, Honda C, Inui F, et al. Association of current and former smoking with body mass index: A study of smoking discordant twin pairs from 21 twin cohorts. *Plos One.* 2018;13(7):e0200140.
20. Tibuakuu M, Kamimura D, Kianoush S, DeFilippis AP, Al Rifai M, Reynolds LM, et al. The association between cigarette smoking and inflammation: The Genetic Epidemiology Network of Arteriopathy (GENOA) study. *Plos One.* 2017;12(9).
21. Timpson NJ, Nordestgaard BG, Harbord RM, Zacho J, Frayling TM, Tybjaerg-Hansen A, et al. C-reactive protein levels and body mass index: elucidating direction of causation through reciprocal Mendelian randomization. *Int J Obesity.* 2011;35(2):300-8.
22. Aitken Z, Simpson JA, Gurrin L, Bentley R, Kavanagh AM. Do material, psychosocial and behavioural factors mediate the relationship between disability acquisition and mental health? A sequential causal mediation analysis. *International journal of epidemiology.* 2018.
23. Luppino FS, de Wit LM, Bouvy PF, Stijnen T, Cuijpers P, Penninx BWJH, et al. Overweight, obesity, and depression A systematic review and meta-analysis of longitudinal studies. *Arch Gen Psychiat.* 2010;67(3):220-9.
24. Mulugeta A, Zhou A, Vimalaswaran KS, Dickson C, Hypponen E. Depression increases the genetic susceptibility to high body mass index: Evidence from UK Biobank. *Depression and anxiety.* 2019.

25. Tyrrell J, Mulugeta A, Wood AR, Zhou A, Beaumont RN, Tuke MA, et al. Using genetics to understand the causal influence of higher BMI on depression. *International journal of epidemiology*. 2019;48(3):834-48.
26. Asvold BO, Bjorngaard JH, Carslake D, Gabrielsen ME, Skorpen F, Smith GD, et al. Causal associations of tobacco smoking with cardiovascular risk factors: a Mendelian randomization analysis of the HUNT Study in Norway. *International journal of epidemiology*. 2014;43(5):1458-70.
27. Winslow UC, Rode L, Nordestgaard BG. High tobacco consumption lowers body weight: a Mendelian randomization study of the Copenhagen General Population Study. *International journal of epidemiology*. 2015;44(2):540-50.
28. Carreras-Torres R, Johansson M, Haycock PC, Relton CL, Smith GD, Brennan P, et al. Role of obesity in smoking behaviour: Mendelian randomisation study in UK Biobank. *Bmj-Brit Med J*. 2018;361.
29. Taylor AE, Richmond RC, Palviainen T, Loukola A, Wootton RE, Kaprio J, et al. The effect of body mass index on smoking behaviour and nicotine metabolism: a Mendelian randomization study. *Hum Mol Genet*. 2019;28(8):1322-30.
30. Wootton RE, Richmond RC, Stuijzand BG, Lawn RB, Sallis HM, Taylor GMJ, et al. Evidence for causal effects of lifetime smoking on risk for depression and schizophrenia: a Mendelian randomisation study. *Psychol Med*. 2019:1-9.
31. Chartier MJ, Walker JR, Naimark B. Health risk behaviors and mental health problems as mediators of the relationship between childhood abuse and adult health. *American journal of public health*. 2009;99(5):847-54.
32. Doom JR, Mason SM, Suglia SF, Clark CJ. Pathways between childhood/adolescent adversity, adolescent socioeconomic status, and long-term cardiovascular disease risk in young adulthood. *Social science & medicine (1982)*. 2017;188:166-75.

33. Gilbert R, Widom CS, Browne K, Fergusson D, Webb E, Janson S. Burden and consequences of child maltreatment in high-income countries. *Lancet* (London, England). 2009;373(9657):68-81.
34. Kuehner C. Why is depression more common among women than among men? *Lancet Psychiat*. 2017;4(2):146-58.
35. Hare DL, Toukhsati SR, Johansson P, Jaarsma T. Depression and cardiovascular disease: a clinical review. *European Heart Journal*. 2014;35(21):1365-U13.
36. Nicholson A, Kuper H, Hemingway H. Depression as an aetiologic and prognostic factor in coronary heart disease: a meta-analysis of 6362 events among 146 538 participants in 54 observational studies. *European Heart Journal*. 2006;27(23):2763-74.
37. Case SM, Sawhney M, Stewart JC. Atypical depression and double depression predict new-onset cardiovascular disease in US adults. *Depression and anxiety*. 2018;35(1):10-7.
38. O'Neil A, Fisher AJ, Kibbey KJ, Jacka FN, Kotowicz MA, Williams LJ, et al. Depression is a risk factor for incident coronary heart disease in women: An 18-year longitudinal study. *Journal of affective disorders*. 2016;196:117-24.
39. Shah AJ, Ghasemzadeh N, Zaragoza-Macias E, Patel R, Eapen DJ, Neeland IJ, et al. Sex and age differences in the association of depression with obstructive coronary artery disease and adverse cardiovascular events. *J Am Heart Assoc*. 2014;3(3):e000741.
40. Xiang X, An R. Depression and onset of cardiovascular disease in the US middle-aged and older adults. *Aging Ment Health*. 2015;19(12):1084-92.
41. Khandaker GM, Zuber V, Rees JMB, Carvalho L, Mason AM, Foley CN, et al. Shared mechanisms between coronary heart disease and depression: findings from a large UK general population-based cohort. *Mol Psychiatry*. 2019.
42. Hemmingsson E, Johansson K, Reynisdottir S. Effects of childhood abuse on adult obesity: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Obes Rev*. 2014;15(11):882-93.

43. Hughes K, Bellis MA, Hardcastle KA, Sethi D, Butchart A, Mikton C, et al. The effect of multiple adverse childhood experiences on health: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Lancet Public Health*. 2017;2(8):e356-e66.
44. Riaz H, Khan MS, Siddiqi TJ, Usman MS, Shah N, Goyal A, et al. Association between obesity and cardiovascular outcomes: a systematic review and meta-analysis of Mendelian randomization studies. *Jama Netw Open*. 2018;1(7).
45. Khan SS, Ning H, Wilkins JT, Allen N, Carnethon M, Berry JD, et al. Association of body mass index with lifetime risk of cardiovascular disease and compression of morbidity. *JAMA Cardiol*. 2018;3(4):280-7.
46. Wilson PWF, D'Agostino RB, Sullivan L, Parise H, Kannel WB. Overweight and obesity as determinants of cardiovascular risk - The Framingham experience. *Arch Intern Med*. 2002;162(16):1867-72.
47. Osborn M, Widom CS. Do documented records and retrospective reports of childhood maltreatment similarly predict chronic inflammation? *Psychological Medicine*. 2019:1-10.
48. Taylor SE, Lehman BJ, Kiefe CI, Seeman TE. Relationship of early life stress and psychological functioning to adult C-reactive protein in the coronary artery risk development in young adults study. *Biological psychiatry*. 2006;60(8):819-24.
49. Bertone-Johnson ER, Whitcomb BW, Missmer SA, Karlson EW, Rich-Edwards JW. Inflammation and early-life abuse in women. *American journal of preventive medicine*. 2012;43(6):611-20.
50. Petrov ME, Davis MC, Belyea MJ, Zautra AJ. Linking childhood abuse and hypertension: sleep disturbance and inflammation as mediators. *Journal of behavioral medicine*. 2016;39(4):716-26.

51. Wensley F, Gao P, Burgess S, Kaptoge S, Di Angelantonio E, Shah T, et al. Association between C reactive protein and coronary heart disease: mendelian randomisation analysis based on individual participant data. *Brit Med J*. 2011;342.
52. Rosa M, Chignon A, Li Z, Boulanger MC, Arsenault BJ, Bosse Y, et al. A Mendelian randomization study of IL6 signaling in cardiovascular diseases, immune-related disorders and longevity. *NPJ Genom Med*. 2019;4:23.
53. Palmos AB, Watson S, Hughes T, Finkelmeier A, McAllister-Williams RH, Ferrier N, et al. Associations between childhood maltreatment and inflammatory markers. *BJPsych Open*. 2019;5(1):e3.
54. Strine TW, Edwards VJ, Dube SR, Wagenfeld M, Dhingra S, Prehn AW, et al. The mediating sex-specific effect of psychological distress on the relationship between adverse childhood experiences and current smoking among adults. *Subst Abuse Treat Pr*. 2012;7.
55. Afifi TO, Sareen J, Fortier J, Taillieu T, Turner S, Cheung K, et al. Child maltreatment and eating disorders among men and women in adulthood: Results from a nationally representative United States sample. *Int J Eat Disorder*. 2017;50(11):1281-96.
56. Gowing LR, Ali RL, Allsop S, Marsden J, Turf EE, West R, et al. Global statistics on addictive behaviours: 2014 status report. *Addiction (Abingdon, England)*. 2015;110(6):904-19.
57. Fuller-Thomson E, Filippelli J, Lue-Crisostomo CA. Gender-specific association between childhood adversities and smoking in adulthood: findings from a population-based study. *Public Health*. 2013;127(5):449-60.
58. Baldwin JR, Reuben A, Newbury JB, Danese A. Agreement between prospective and retrospective measures of childhood maltreatment: A systematic review and meta-analysis. *Jama Psychiat*. 2019;76(6):584-93.

59. Fergusson DM, Horwood LJ, Woodward LJ. The stability of child abuse reports: a longitudinal study of the reporting behaviour of young adults. *Psychol Med.* 2000;30(3):529-44.
60. Reuben A, Moffitt TE, Caspi A, Belsky DW, Harrington H, Schroeder F, et al. Lest we forget: comparing retrospective and prospective assessments of adverse childhood experiences in the prediction of adult health. *J Child Psychol Psyc.* 2016;57(10):1103-12.
61. Colman I, Kingsbury M, Garad Y, Zeng Y, Naicker K, Patten S, et al. Consistency in adult reporting of adverse childhood experiences. *Psychol Med.* 2016;46(3):543-9.
62. VanderWeele TJ, Valeri L, Ogburn EL. The role of measurement error and misclassification in mediation analysis: mediation and measurement error. *Epidemiology.* 2012;23(4):561-4.
63. Font SA, Maguire-Jack K. Pathways from childhood abuse and other adversities to adult health risks: The role of adult socioeconomic conditions. *Child abuse & neglect.* 2016;51:390-9.
64. VanderWeele TJa. *Explanation in causal inference : methods for mediation and interaction*: New York, NY : Oxford University Press, [2015]; 2015.
65. Fry A, Littlejohns TJ, Sudlow C, Doherty N, Adamska L, Sprosen T, et al. Comparison of sociodemographic and health-related characteristics of UK Biobank participants with those of the general population. *American journal of epidemiology.* 2017;186(9):1026-34.
66. Munafò MR, Tilling K, Taylor AE, Evans DM, Davey Smith G. Collider scope: when selection bias can substantially influence observed associations. *International journal of epidemiology.* 2018;47(1):226-35.

Figure legends

Figure 1. Assumed causal diagram of the association between childhood maltreatment and cardiovascular disease (CVD)

Figure 2. Simplified causal diagrams illustrating estimated paths in Models 1-4; the natural direct effect (NDE) is illustrated by the grey lines and the natural indirect effect (NIE) by the black lines.

Figure 3. Proportion mediated by mental health, smoking, body mass index and inflammation in men and women in the association between childhood maltreatment and cardiovascular disease.

Table 1. Estimated odds ratios for the association between childhood maltreatment and cardiovascular disease in men

CVD	Maltreatment	Mediators	TCE OR (95% CI)	NDE OR (95% CI)	NIE OR (95% CI)	Proportion mediated	Additional contribution
Any	Physical	Anxiety/ depression	1.13 (1.06; 1.21)	1.12 (1.05; 1.19)	1.01 (1.00; 1.02)	10.2	-
CVD	abuse	+ Smoking	1.13 (1.06; 1.21)	1.11 (1.04; 1.18)	1.02 (1.01; 1.03)	18.2	8.0
		+ BMI	1.13 (1.06; 1.21)	1.08 (1.01; 1.15)	1.05 (1.03; 1.06)	37.7	19.5
		+ CRP	1.13 (1.06; 1.21)	1.08 (1.01; 1.15)	1.05 (1.03; 1.06)	39.6	1.9
	Sexual abuse	Anxiety/ depression	1.17 (1.04; 1.30)	1.13 (1.02; 1.26)	1.03 (1.01; 1.06)	21.0	-
		+ Smoking	1.17 (1.04; 1.30)	1.13 (1.01; 1.27)	1.03 (1.00; 1.07)	22.8	1.8
		+ BMI	1.17 (1.04; 1.30)	1.12 (0.99; 1.25)	1.05 (1.01; 1.08)	31.3	8.5
		+ CRP	1.17 (1.04; 1.30)	1.10 (0.99; 1.24)	1.05 (1.01; 1.09)	34.0	2.6
	Emotional abuse	Anxiety/ depression	1.19 (1.10; 1.29)	1.16 (1.07; 1.26)	1.03 (1.00; 1.05)	16.5	-
		+ Smoking	1.19 (1.10; 1.29)	1.15 (1.06; 1.25)	1.04 (1.01; 1.06)	21.2	4.7
		+ BMI	1.19 (1.10; 1.29)	1.13 (1.04; 1.23)	1.05 (1.02; 1.08)	31.7	10.5
		+ CRP	1.19 (1.10; 1.29)	1.13 (1.04; 1.22)	1.05 (1.03; 1.08)	32.5	0.8
		Anxiety/ depression	1.10 (1.03; 1.17)	1.09 (1.02; 1.16)	1.01 (1.00; 1.03)	15.7	-

Emotional	+ Smoking	1.10 (1.03; 1.17)	1.08 (1.02; 1.15)	1.02 (1.00; 1.04)	20.8	5.1
neglect	+ BMI	1.10 (1.03; 1.17)	1.08 (1.01; 1.15)	1.02 (1.01; 1.04)	24.7	3.9
	+ CRP	1.10 (1.03; 1.17)	1.07 (1.00; 1.14)	1.02 (1.01; 1.04)	26.1	1.5
Physical	Anxiety/ depression	1.18 (1.10; 1.27)	1.17 (1.09; 1.26)	1.01 (1.00; 1.02)	6.2	-
neglect	+ Smoking	1.18 (1.10; 1.27)	1.18 (1.09; 1.26)	1.01 (1.00; 1.02)	4.9	-1.2
	+ BMI	1.18 (1.10; 1.27)	1.14 (1.06; 1.22)	1.04 (1.03; 1.06)	26.1	21.2
	+ CRP	1.18 (1.10; 1.27)	1.13 (1.05; 1.21)	1.04 (1.03; 1.06)	27.0	0.9

BMI: body mass index; CRP: C-reactive protein; NDE: natural direct effect; NIE: natural indirect effect; TCE: total causal effect

Adjusted for age, year of birth, ethnicity, maternal smoking, number of siblings and family history of cardiovascular disease as baseline confounders, and for education and Townsend deprivation index as intermediate confounders

Table 2. Estimated odds ratios for the association between childhood maltreatment and cardiovascular disease in women

CVD	Maltreatment	Mediators	TCE OR (95% CI)	NDE OR (95% CI)	NIE OR (95% CI)	Proportion mediated	Additional contribution
Any	Physical	Anxiety/ depression	1.16 (1.08; 1.25)	1.12 (1.05; 1.2)	1.04 (1.02; 1.06)	26.9	-
CVD	abuse	+ Smoking	1.16 (1.08; 1.25)	1.12 (1.04; 1.21)	1.04 (1.02; 1.06)	28.4	1.5
		+ BMI	1.16 (1.08; 1.25)	1.08 (1.00; 1.16)	1.08 (1.05; 1.10)	50.8	22.4
		+ CRP	1.16 (1.08; 1.25)	1.07 (1.00; 1.16)	1.08 (1.05; 1.10)	52.5	1.6
	Sexual abuse	Anxiety/ depression	1.09 (1.00; 1.18)	1.05 (0.96; 1.15)	1.04 (1.02; 1.06)	42.8	-
		+ Smoking	1.09 (1.00; 1.18)	1.05 (0.96; 1.15)	1.04 (1.01; 1.07)	46.8	4.0
		+ BMI	1.09 (1.00; 1.18)	1.02 (0.93; 1.12)	1.07 (1.04; 1.10)	79.0	32.2
		+ CRP	1.09 (1.00; 1.18)	1.01 (0.92; 1.10)	1.07 (1.04; 1.10)	89.8	10.9
	Emotional abuse	Anxiety/ depression	1.27 (1.19; 1.35)	1.21 (1.13; 1.30)	1.05 (1.03; 1.08)	23.8	-
		+ Smoking	1.27 (1.19; 1.35)	1.22 (1.14; 1.30)	1.05 (1.02; 1.07)	21.2	-2.6
		+ BMI	1.27 (1.19; 1.35)	1.19 (1.11; 1.27)	1.07 (1.04; 1.10)	30.5	9.3
		+ CRP	1.27 (1.19; 1.35)	1.18 (1.10; 1.27)	1.07 (1.04; 1.09)	30.4	-0.1
			Anxiety/ depression	1.20 (1.13; 1.28)	1.14 (1.07; 1.22)	1.06 (1.03; 1.08)	31.1

Emotional	+ Smoking	1.20 (1.13; 1.28)	1.14 (1.07; 1.21)	1.06 (1.04; 1.08)	32.6	1.5
neglect	+ BMI	1.20 (1.13; 1.28)	1.13 (1.05; 1.20)	1.07 (1.04; 1.09)	38.2	5.7
	+ CRP	1.20 (1.13; 1.28)	1.12 (1.05; 1.19)	1.07 (1.04; 1.09)	39.7	1.4
Physical	Anxiety/ depression	1.19 (1.11; 1.28)	1.17 (1.09; 1.25)	1.02 (1.01; 1.03)	14.2	-
neglect	+ Smoking	1.19 (1.11; 1.28)	1.17 (1.09; 1.25)	1.02 (1.01; 1.04)	14.7	0.6
	+ BMI	1.19 (1.11; 1.28)	1.14 (1.06; 1.22)	1.05 (1.03; 1.06)	28.1	13.4
	+ CRP	1.19 (1.11; 1.28)	1.13 (1.05; 1.21)	1.05 (1.03; 1.06)	30.1	1.9

BMI: body mass index; CRP: C-reactive protein; NDE: natural direct effect; NIE: natural indirect effect; TCE: total causal effect

Adjusted for age, year of birth, ethnicity, maternal smoking, number of siblings and family history of cardiovascular disease as baseline confounders, and for education and Townsend deprivation index as intermediate confounders




Figure 1. Assumed causal diagram of the association between childhood maltreatment and cardiovascular disease (CVD)

BMI: body mass index; CVD: cardiovascular disease; SEP: socioeconomic position




Figure 2. Simplified causal diagrams illustrating estimated paths in Models 1-4; the natural direct effect (NDE) is illustrated by the grey lines and the natural indirect effect (NIE) by the black lines.




Figure 3. Proportion mediated by mental health, smoking, body mass index and inflammation in men and women in the association between childhood maltreatment and cardiovascular disease.