

# Long-term PM<sub>2.5</sub> exposure and depressive symptoms in China: a quasi-experimental study

Tao Xue<sup>1\*</sup>, Tianjia Guan<sup>2</sup>, Yixuan Zheng<sup>3</sup>, Guannan Geng<sup>4</sup>, Qiang Zhang<sup>5</sup>, Yao Yao<sup>6</sup> and Tong Zhu<sup>7\*</sup>

1. Institute of Reproductive and Child Health / Ministry of Health Key Laboratory of Reproductive Health and Department of Epidemiology and Biostatistics, School of Public Health, Peking University, Beijing, China, 100191
2. School of Public Health, Chinese Academy of Medical Sciences and Peking Union Medical College, Beijing, China, 100730
3. Center for Regional Air Quality Simulation and Control, Chinese Academy for Environmental Planning, Beijing, China, 100012
4. School of Environment, Tsinghua University, Beijing China, 100086
5. Ministry of Education Key Laboratory for Earth System Modelling, Department of Earth System Science, Tsinghua University, Beijing China, 100086
6. Center for Healthy Aging and Development Studies, Raissun Institute for Advanced Studies, National School of Development, Peking University, Beijing, China
7. BIC-ESAT and SKL-ESPC, College of Environmental Science and Engineering, Peking University, Beijing, China, 100871

\* Corresponding authors: Tong Zhu ([tzhu@pku.edu.cn](mailto:tzhu@pku.edu.cn)) and Tao Xue ([txue@hsc.pku.edu.cn](mailto:txue@hsc.pku.edu.cn))

## 1 **Abstract**

### 2 **Background**

3 Air pollutants, particularly fine particulate matters (PM<sub>2.5</sub>) have been associated with mental  
4 disorder such as depression. Clean air policy (CAP, *i.e.*, a series of emission-control actions)  
5 has been shown to reduce the public health burden of air pollutions. There were few studies  
6 on the health effects of CAP on mental health, particularly, in low-income and middle-  
7 income countries (LMICs). We investigated the association between a stringent CAP and  
8 depressive symptoms among general adults in China.

### 9 **Methods**

10 We used three waves (2011, 2013 and 2015) of the China Health and Retirement  
11 Longitudinal Study (CHARLS), a prospective nationwide cohort of the middle-aged and  
12 older population in China. We assessed exposure to PM<sub>2.5</sub> through a satellite-retrieved  
13 dataset. We implemented a difference-in-differences (DID) approach, under the quasi-  
14 experimental framework of the temporal contrast between 2011 (before the CAP) and 2015  
15 (after the CAP), to evaluate the effect of CAP on depressive symptoms. The association was  
16 further explored using a mixed-effects model of the three waves. To increase the  
17 interpretability, the estimated impact of PM<sub>2.5</sub> was compared to that of aging, an established  
18 risk factor for depression.

### 19 **Findings**

20 Our analysis included 15,954 participants. In the DID model, we found a 10- $\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$  reduction  
21 of PM<sub>2.5</sub> concentration was associated with a 4.14% (95% CI: 0.41–8.00%) decrement in the  
22 depressive score. The estimate was similar to that from the mixed-effects model (3.63% [95%  
23 CI, 2.00–5.27%]). We also found improved air quality during 2011–2015 offset the negative  
24 impact from 5-years' aging.

### 25 **Interpretation**

26 The findings suggest that implementing CAP may improve mental wellbeing of adults in  
27 China and other LMICs.

### 28 **Funding**

29 National Natural Science Foundation and Ministry of Science and Technology of China, and  
30 Energy Foundation.

31

## 32 **Introduction**

33 Mental disorders have contributed to a large proportion of the global burden of diseases by  
34 causing either non-fatal (e.g., disability) or fatal outcomes (e.g., suicide). Mental illness is the  
35 leading cause of years lived with disability (YLD), and the related disease burden increased  
36 by 37.6% from 1990 to 2010.<sup>1</sup> The increased burden of mental illness may be caused by both  
37 demographic dynamics, and changes in relevant risk factors, such as inactive lifestyles and  
38 environmental pollutants. For instance, 37.5% of the increase in depression-associated YLDs  
39 during 1990-2010 were attributable to population growth and ageing<sup>2</sup>. Recent  
40 epidemiological evidence suggests that air pollution may adversely affect mental health,<sup>3-10</sup>  
41 and thus increase the disability burden.<sup>11</sup> Due to the ubiquitous and prolonged exposure to  
42 ambient pollutants, particularly in low-income and middle-income countries (LMICs), air  
43 quality can be a major contributor to mental illness, such as depression.<sup>12</sup> In addition, some  
44 LMICs, such as China, started to implement a series of emission-control policies, which are  
45 usually known as the clean air policy (CAP). However, few studies examined the effects of  
46 CAP on mental health, particularly in LMICs.

47 To address severe air pollution issues and protect public health, the State Council of China  
48 promulgated the toughest-ever air pollution control on September 10, 2013,<sup>13-16</sup> including  
49 optimization of the industrial structure, improvements in end-of-pipe control, and  
50 reductions in residential usage of unclean fuels. The policy is officially named as Air  
51 Pollution Prevention and Control Action Plan. It is comparable with similar policies in  
52 developed countries (e.g., United States), and is thus referred as CAP for short, hereafter.  
53 The long-term concentrations of fine particulate matter less than 2.5  $\mu\text{m}$  in diameter ( $\text{PM}_{2.5}$ ),  
54 the major air pollutant in China, decreased rapidly nationwide from 67.4  $\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$  in 2013 to  
55 45.5  $\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$  in 2017.<sup>15</sup> This dramatic change in air quality provides an opportunity to study  
56 the mental effect of  $\text{PM}_{2.5}$  under a quasi-experimental scenario. A quasi-experiment can  
57 result in a sharp contrast of air pollution levels during a relatively short period, which is  
58 advantageous to indicate causal by controlling unmeasured and omitted confounders.<sup>17,18</sup>  
59 In this study, we evaluated the association between long-term  $\text{PM}_{2.5}$  exposure and depressive  
60 symptoms, under the quasi-experimental framework of the temporal contrast between 2011  
61 (before the actions) and 2015 (after the actions). We made use of the China Health and  
62 Retirement Longitudinal Study (CHARLS), which repeatedly measured the depressive score  
63 (CES-D-10, 10-item of Center for Epidemiologic Studies Depression Scale) of a  
64 representative sample of middle-aged and older Chinese adults in 2011, 2013, and 2015.<sup>19</sup>  
65 Due to the overlap between the CHARLS study period and the duration of China's clean air  
66 actions, we were able to associate the reduction of concentrations of  $\text{PM}_{2.5}$  to depressive  
67 score changes at the individual level, and to quantify the impact of improved air quality on

68 adult mental health. Because the CES-D-10 is not clinically indicative, interpreting the impact  
69 of air quality is difficult. To increase interpretability, we further compared the effect of PM<sub>2.5</sub>  
70 concentrations to that of human aging, an established risk factor for poor mental health.<sup>1,2,20</sup>

## 71 **Methods**

### 72 **Study population**

73 The studied adults were obtained from the China Health and Retirement Longitudinal Study  
74 (CHARLS).<sup>19,21</sup> CHARLS is an ongoing nationwide longitudinal survey on the health and  
75 socioeconomic status of middle-aged and older Chinese adults. Using a four-stage and well-  
76 established sample design, CHARLS researchers recruited a representative sample of  
77 ~20,000 Chinese adults from ~150 county-level units in 2011 (Figure 1). Subsequently, well-  
78 trained fieldworkers regularly visited the respondents every 2 years (Figure S1), and thus  
79 surveyed a national-scale cohort of Chinese adults. In each survey wave, all subjects were  
80 visited by well-trained interviewers in a face-to-face computer-assisted personal interview,  
81 which gathered data on demographic characteristics, behavioral risk factors, the residential  
82 city, and housing conditions. Details of the study design and the purpose of the CHARLS are  
83 provided elsewhere.<sup>19</sup> All procedures involving human subjects/patients were approved by  
84 the Ethics Review Committee of Peking University (IRB00001052-11015). CHARLS has  
85 supported studies on mental health risk factors<sup>22</sup> and the effects of air pollution on other  
86 outcomes.<sup>23</sup> This study utilized the open-accessed CHARLS data, which is publicly available  
87 from the website: <http://opendata.pku.edu.cn/>. All analyses in this study adhered to the data  
88 usage guidelines.

89 This study was based on currently available data from CHARLS surveys in 2011, 2013, and  
90 2015, which involved a sample of 24,805 individual adults. To conduct a longitudinal  
91 analysis, we focused on the valid records of older adults ( $\geq 40$  years old), who were visited at  
92 least twice. A total of 16,151 adults remained after excluding subjects who were only observed  
93 once. We further excluded the adults without age data (which was derived from the time of  
94 the survey and the birthdate) and adults  $<40$  years old at survey time. Finally, this study  
95 involved 41,031 observations of 15,954 individual adults distributed across 447 communities  
96 in 126 cities and counties (Figure 1). The data cleaning diagram is displayed in Figure S1.

### 97 **Environmental exposure**

98 The ambient exposure assessment was based on the PM<sub>2.5</sub> Hindcast Database for China  
99 (2000–2016) introduced in our previous work.<sup>24</sup> The database can be accessed from the  
100 website: <http://www.meicmodel.org/dataset-phd.html>. Due to the lack of nationwide

101 monitoring data on PM<sub>2.5</sub> concentrations in China before 2013, historical PM<sub>2.5</sub>  
102 concentrations were estimated from satellite remote-sensing measurements and outputs  
103 from a chemical transport model (CTM) of air pollution emission inventories. Satellite  
104 sensors, such as the Moderate Resolution Imaging Spectroradiometer, retrieve the column  
105 concentrations of aerosols from the earth's surface to the top of the atmosphere by  
106 measuring electromagnetic signals. Satellite-based annual estimates of PM<sub>2.5</sub> have been  
107 applied in many health-related studies at the national and global scales.<sup>13,25</sup> The CTM  
108 simulations were based on the multi-resolution emission inventory for China  
109 (<http://www.meicmodel.org/index.html>). The results provide a complete characterization of  
110 the spatiotemporal variations in PM<sub>2.5</sub> concentrations and have been applied to support  
111 studies on health risk assessments and relevant policy analyses in China.<sup>16,26</sup> In a previous  
112 study,<sup>24</sup> we developed a machine-learning model to bring the satellite measurements and  
113 CTM simulations together by relating them to the nationwide monitoring concentrations of  
114 PM<sub>2.5</sub> from 2013 to 2016, and then applied the model to hindcast the PM<sub>2.5</sub> values before  
115 2013. The estimator was in good agreement with the *in-situ* observations on monthly ( $R^2 =$   
116  $0.71$ ) and yearly scales ( $R^2 = 0.77$ ) and has been utilized in other epidemiological studies.  
117 Please refer to our previous study for more details on the PM<sub>2.5</sub> estimator.<sup>24</sup>

118 The original PM<sub>2.5</sub> data have a spatial resolution of  $0.1^\circ \times 0.1^\circ$  and daily concentrations  
119 across the mainland of China, during 2000–2016. For consistency, exposure assessments  
120 before and after 2013 were based on the estimated PM<sub>2.5</sub> concentrations. The subjects in the  
121 CHARLS could only be geo-coded to each participant's regionalization code due to  
122 confidential reason. Therefore, we first pooled the PM<sub>2.5</sub> data into city-level averages by  
123 matching the pixels of a regular grid with a map of China's prefectures (Figure 1), and further  
124 calculated the monthly averages. We utilized the PM<sub>2.5</sub> concentration averages during the 12  
125 months preceding the surveyed months as the exposure values (Figure S1).

126 We also obtained gridded estimates of temperature with an original resolution of  $0.1^\circ \times 0.1^\circ$   
127 by fusing the satellite measurements of land surface temperatures, *in-situ* observations, and  
128 simulations from a weather-forecast research model.<sup>3</sup> The three types of temperature values  
129 were assembled by day using a universal kriging approach. Random cross-validations  
130 indicated that the fused estimates were in good agreement with the monitored values ( $R^2 =$   
131  $0.96$ ). Details of the temperature data assembly are documented in our previous study.<sup>3</sup> City-  
132 level monthly averages for temperature data were also calculated for each record before the  
133 regression analyses.

### 134 **Measurement of depressive symptoms**

135 The mental health status of the subjects was measured using the 10-item Center for  
136 Epidemiologic Studies Depression scale (CES-D-10). The validity of the CES-D-10 has been  
137 examined among Chinese adults.<sup>27</sup> Each question measures the frequency of a specific type  
138 of negative mood (e.g., fearful or depressed) using a score of 0 (rarely or none), 1 (some  
139 days), 2 (occasionally), or 3 (most of the time). The CES-D-10 questions were included into  
140 the standard CHARLS questionnaires, which were collected during a computer-assisted  
141 interview by fieldworkers. We calculated the sum of all question-specific scores as the  
142 depressive score (CES-D-10) to indicate the general status of depressive symptoms for each  
143 subject. The depressive score ranges from 0 to 30, with a higher score indicating a higher  
144 severity of depressive symptoms.

### 145 **Statistical analyses**

146 We applied multiple methods to evaluate the effect of CAP and to derive the association  
147 between PM<sub>2.5</sub> and CES-D-10. Relationships of the methods and their different properties are  
148 presented in Figure S1.

149 First, we utilized the difference-in-difference (DID) approach, the typical model in quasi-  
150 experiments. The conventional DID model was designed for binary exposure (as illustrated  
151 in the preliminary analysis, Figure S2). Because PM<sub>2.5</sub> is a continuous variable, a regression  
152 analysis of the association between changes in PM<sub>2.5</sub> and CES-D-10 score was performed in  
153 our DID analysis. More details on the DID model are documented in Supplemental text (S1).

154 Next, to make full use of the data, we conducted a longitudinal analysis of the repeated  
155 measurements on CES-D-10 score and PM<sub>2.5</sub> exposure using a mixed-effects model.  
156 Therefore, the modelling results were referred as longitudinal associations hereafter. The  
157 aim of the longitudinal analysis was to clarify the impact of PM<sub>2.5</sub> exposure by comparing it  
158 with the impact of other risk factors.

159 Additionally, the fixed-effects model is a generalized version of the DID method that can  
160 incorporate more than two repeated measurements.<sup>28</sup> It is also identical to the random-  
161 effects model, except for modelling subject-specific effects with a batch of dummy variables  
162 instead of random terms (Figure S1). A longitudinal regression with fixed effects is  
163 considered less biased, but also less efficient, than a comparable regression with random  
164 effects.<sup>29</sup> The fixed-effects model was utilized to examine the robustness of the longitudinal  
165 associations.

166 Finally, the hypothesis test on whether CAP affected depression was relied on the DID  
167 model, because it directly mimics the scenarios with and without CAP (Figure S2), and thus

168 is the canonical method to evaluate the effect of the policy. For the quantitative association  
169 between PM<sub>2.5</sub> and CES-D-10 score, we relied on the mixed-effects model due to its efficacy  
170 and reliability. The overall conclusion was relied on the consistency between estimates from  
171 different models, by examining whether (1) the direction of association or its significance  
172 level was changed between models and (2) the estimated confidential intervals were  
173 overlapped with each other.

## 174 **Longitudinal association analyses**

175 The mixed-effects model was specified as follows:

$$176 \text{Log}(\text{score}_{i,j}) = \beta_0 + \beta_1 \text{PM}_{2.5,i,j} + \beta_2 \text{age}_{i,j} + \beta_3 (\text{PM}_{2.5,i,j} \times \text{age}_{i,j}) + \mathbf{z}_{i,j} \boldsymbol{\gamma} + \text{city}_i + \eta(\text{community}_i) + \\ 177 \lambda(i), \dots (1)$$

178 where  $i$  denotes the subject index;  $j$  denotes the visit index;  $\beta_0$  denotes the intercept;  $\beta_{1-3}$   
179 denote the regression coefficients for PM<sub>2.5</sub>, age, and their interaction term, respectively;  $\mathbf{z}_{i,j}$   
180 denotes a set of adjusted covariates and  $\boldsymbol{\gamma}$  denotes the corresponding coefficients;  $\text{city}_i$   
181 denotes a fixed effect to control the unmeasured city-specific risk factors of depression, such  
182 as traditional culture <sup>30</sup>; and  $\lambda$  and  $\eta$  denote two random slopes to model the correlations  
183 between records from the same subject or the same community, respectively. The adjusted  
184 covariates ( $\mathbf{z}_{i,j}$ ) included (1) annual temperature; (2) demographic characteristics  
185 (urban/rural residency, sex, education level, and marriage status); (3) lifestyle risk factors  
186 (smoking and drinking); (4) housing conditions (cooking energy type, building type,  
187 residential rent payment, presence of an in-house telephone, and indoor temperature  
188 maintenance). The regression incorporating all the above covariates was termed the fully  
189 adjusted model. The model might be too complex to produce a stable estimator, and a large  
190 fraction of the regressed samples involved the imputed missing values, which increased the  
191 uncertainty of the model. To examine whether the estimated associations were sensitive to  
192 these limitations, besides the full adjustment, we also applied a standard adjustment, which  
193 involved only the first three sets of covariates, demographic characteristics, and lifestyle risk  
194 factors. The association was also evaluated by ER, similar to the DID model.

## 195 **Sensitivity analyses**

196 In sensitivity analyses of the longitudinal model, we explored how the estimated association  
197 between PM<sub>2.5</sub> concentration and the CES-D-10 score varied with (1) sub-regions of the study  
198 domain (Figure 1), (2) demographic sub-groups, and (3) exposure levels. Considering the  
199 balanced sample sizes (Figure 1), we divided the study domain into three sub-regions:  
200 Midwest (n = 13,886), North (n = 11,488) and Southeast (n = 15,657) China. We utilized

201 interaction analyses to explore the modifications on the effect of PM<sub>2.5</sub> concentrations by a  
202 sub-region indicator or demographic characteristic (e.g., sex), and replaced the linear term of  
203 PM<sub>2.5</sub> concentration in the regression model with a penalized spline term to examine the  
204 linearity of the effect. We also conducted parallel analyses for the association between age  
205 and the CES-D-10 score to compare the effect of PM<sub>2.5</sub> concentration to that of population  
206 aging. Finally, we also utilized a bootstrap method to evaluate how the lack of geographic  
207 addresses impacted the estimated association. To protect confidentiality, CHARLS only  
208 released the city-level geographic information, which could introduce exposure  
209 measurement errors into the association estimation. We applied a bootstrap method to  
210 incorporate uncertainty embedded in the data-generation procedure into the model  
211 estimation. Details of the bootstrap method were documented in supplemental text (S2).

## 212 **Assessment of impact of PM<sub>2.5</sub>**

213 To illustrate the impact of the changes in air quality on depression, we conducted a post-hoc  
214 analysis based on the 9,123 adults who participated in all three CHARLS waves. We first  
215 calculated the change in one risk factor ( $\Delta x_i = x_{i, 2011} - x_{i, 2015}$ ;  $x = \text{PM}_{2.5}$ , age, or  $z$ ) from 2011 to  
216 2015, and quantified its impact on CES-D-10 score as  $[\exp(\Delta x_i \beta_x) - 1] \times 100\%$ . We compared  
217 the impact of the risk factors in the association model with standard adjustment, and focused  
218 on the combined impact of PM<sub>2.5</sub> reduction and population aging in a group of adults.

219 All statistical analyses were performed using R (version 3.3.2; R Foundation for Statistical  
220 Computing, Vienna, Austria). The linear mixed-effects and fixed-effects models were  
221 inferred using the *lme4* package<sup>31</sup> and the *plm* package<sup>32</sup>, respectively. Imputation was  
222 performed using the *mice* package<sup>33</sup>. Inverse probability weights were calculated using the  
223 *ipw* package. Penalized spline functions were parameterized using the *mgcv* package<sup>34</sup>, and  
224 inference of the nonlinear mixed-effects models was done using the *gamm4* package<sup>35</sup>. The  
225 relevant R codes are documented in the Supplementary materials.

## 226 **Role of the funding source**

227 The funding source of the study had no role in the study design, data collection, data analysis,  
228 data interpretation, or drafting of the manuscript. The corresponding authors had full access  
229 to all study data and are responsible for the decision to submit for publication.

## 230 **Results**

### 231 **Descriptive summary**

232 This study involved 15,954 adults, and each was visited an average of 2.6 times. In 2011, the  
233 mean age of the adults was 58.4 years (standard deviation: 9.4 years). In the 2011, 2013, or  
234 2015 CHARLS wave, the mean CES-D-10 score was 8.3, 7.8 or 8.1, and the corresponding  
235 concentration of PM<sub>2.5</sub> was 61.6, 60.3 or 53.1 µg/m<sup>3</sup>, respectively. A summary of the  
236 demographic information of the adults in our study is presented in Table 1. The longitudinal  
237 variables, including environmental exposures, are summarized in Table 2. More detailed  
238 descriptions on the studied adults are documented in supplemental text (S3).

### 239 **Effect of clean air policy on depression**

240 A DID model of the 10,725 adults who participated in CHARLS 2011 and 2015 showed that  
241 the PM<sub>2.5</sub> reduction after CAP was associated with decreased risk of depression. Figure 2  
242 presents the estimated effect of PM<sub>2.5</sub> on the CES-D-10 score, with the adjusted covariates of  
243 the DID models. The results of the different models were statistically comparable,  
244 considering uncertainties. The estimates consistently suggested that long-term exposure to  
245 PM<sub>2.5</sub> was significantly related to the CES-D-10 score. Based on the estimated ER from the  
246 standard model (Figure 2), a 10 µg/m<sup>3</sup> reduction in PM<sub>2.5</sub> concentration was associated with  
247 a 4.14% (95% confidence interval [CI]: 0.41–8.00%) decrease in the CES-D-10 score. In  
248 sensitivity analyses of DID model, the results were not significantly changed if we changed  
249 the settings to control for the effect of clustering of the samples, or did not weigh the samples  
250 according to the probability of the reduction in PM<sub>2.5</sub> (Figure S3). However, the estimated  
251 effects in the unweighted models were slightly weaker than the weighted results. For  
252 instance, after removing the inverse probability weights, the standard DID model yielded an  
253 ER of 2.89% (95% [CI]: -0.59%, 6.48%). Because the samples were not optimally  
254 randomized (Figure S4), the unweighted estimates (Figure S3) could be slightly biased. To  
255 improve the interpretation of the DID model, we also conducted a preliminary analysis based  
256 on a binary PM<sub>2.5</sub> variable, which is documented in supplemental text (S4).

### 257 **Longitudinal association between PM<sub>2.5</sub> and depression score**

258 Based on the 41,031 samples from the three CHARLS waves, we quantified the association  
259 between PM<sub>2.5</sub> and CES-D-10 score using a longitudinal model (Figure 2). Although the  
260 point-estimates from the longitudinal models were slightly lower than those from the DID  
261 models, the two types of models reported comparable effects of PM<sub>2.5</sub> on the CES-D-10 score.  
262 Additionally, because the longitudinal models incorporated more samples, they had  
263 narrower CIs than the DID models. Adjusting for confounders, except age, did not  
264 significantly change the longitudinal results. As the studied population ages, a model that  
265 does not control for the effect of aging could yield a biased result. According to the model  
266 with standard adjustments, a 10 µg/m<sup>3</sup> change in PM<sub>2.5</sub> concentration was positive

267 associated with a 3.63% (95% CI: 2.00–5.27%) change in the CES-D-10 score (Figure 2 and  
268 Table S2). The results of the longitudinal models are listed in Table S2.

269 In sensitivity analyses, we showed that the association between PM<sub>2.5</sub> concentration and the  
270 CES-D-10 score did not vary significantly between sub-populations (Figure S5). The sub-  
271 region analysis, which displayed a weak association in the north but strong associations in  
272 the Midwest and southeast (P = 0.04; likelihood ratio test). The weak association may have  
273 been caused by the small sample size in the north (Table 1) or a higher fraction of natural  
274 particles (e.g., dust in the northwest), which are known to be less toxic than anthropogenic  
275 particles. The nonlinear analysis showed an approximately linear exposure-response  
276 function of PM<sub>2.5</sub> concentration without a no-effect threshold (Figure 3a), which was  
277 consistent with estimates from the other models (Table S2). Additionally, we examined the  
278 interaction between PM<sub>2.5</sub> concentration and age, and found that the effect of PM<sub>2.5</sub>  
279 concentration was weaker in older adults (Table S2). Furthermore, the estimated effect of  
280 PM<sub>2.5</sub> was not affected by use of random- or fixed-effects models (Table S3). However, the  
281 mixed-effects model, which could be more efficient, but also more biased, than the fixed-  
282 effects model<sup>29</sup> was used only to enhance the interpretability of the estimates. Finally, the  
283 bootstrap analysis (Figure S6) indicated the measurement error caused by using city-level  
284 PM<sub>2.5</sub> didn't significantly change the estimated association. Within a city, where air quality  
285 was affected by the same emission-control policies, the temporal trends in PM<sub>2.5</sub> could be  
286 similar at different locations. We only ignored 11.75% of total variance in PM<sub>2.5</sub> reductions by  
287 using the city-level values (Table S5). Therefore, the measurement error might not  
288 undermine our main findings. However, according to the bootstrap analysis, the estimated  
289 association became slightly weaker (*i.e.*, a smaller point-estimate with a larger variance) after  
290 correcting for the measurement error, which suggested the effect of PM<sub>2.5</sub> might be  
291 underestimated.

## 292 **Evaluating the impact of PM<sub>2.5</sub>**

293 A comparison between the effect of PM<sub>2.5</sub> concentration and that of age showed that they  
294 were statistically comparable (Figure 3b). Based on the standard model, the effect of 1 year  
295 was of equivalent magnitude to the effect of a PM<sub>2.5</sub> concentration increase of 2.1 µg/m<sup>3</sup> (95%  
296 CI: 1.1–4.2 µg/m<sup>3</sup>). More details on the association between aging and the CES-D-10 score  
297 are documented in supplemental text (S5).

298 The impact of a risk factor on the CES-D-10 score is determined by its effect magnitude and  
299 temporal changes. Based on the 9,123 adults who participated in all three waves of the  
300 CHARLS, we quantified the impacts of air quality improvement and aging on their mental

301 health status from 2011 to 2015 (Figure 4). According to the results, aging and PM<sub>2.5</sub>  
302 reduction were the major drivers of changes in CES-D-10 score. Using the CES-D-10 score  
303 obtained before implementation of the CAP (by CHARLS 2011) as the reference, we found  
304 that a decreased concentration of PM<sub>2.5</sub> resulted in a relative reduction in the score of 0.27%  
305 (95% CI: 0.15–0.40%) and 2.87% (95% CI: 1.61–4.21%) in 2013 and 2015, respectively; in  
306 contrast, aging resulted in a relative increase of 1.53% (95% CI: 0.87–2.13%) and 3.08%  
307 (95% CI: 1.74–4.31%), respectively (Figure 4). Analysis of the combined impact of age and  
308 PM<sub>2.5</sub> (including their interactions), which together resulted in a small reduction in the CES-  
309 D-10 score of 0.25% (95% CI: -0.78–1.30%) from 2011 to 2015, showed that the benefit of  
310 reducing the PM<sub>2.5</sub> concentration offset the negative effect of aging. Because the impact  
311 evaluation was based on a fixed population, we quantified the impact of individual-level  
312 aging, which was faster than the aging of the population (Figure S1).

## 313 **Discussion**

314 Based on the CHARLS surveys, we found a robust exposure-response function between air  
315 pollution and depression, during a quasi-experimental scenario under CAP. Our results also  
316 indicate that the effect of PM<sub>2.5</sub> concentration reduction was comparable with that of aging.  
317 Although aging partially offset the benefits from reducing PM<sub>2.5</sub> concentrations, the rapidly  
318 improved air quality since 2013 could still bring a net positive impact.

319 An increasing number of studies have reported on the association between air pollution and  
320 mental disorders, including depression,<sup>8-10,36-42</sup> cognitive functions,<sup>6,7,43</sup> and other indicators  
321 of mental health.<sup>5,44-49</sup> For instance, Fan et al. (2020) conducted a meta-analysis of 637,297  
322 subjects and reported that a 10 µg/m<sup>3</sup> increase in PM<sub>2.5</sub> concentration is potentially  
323 associated with a 12% (95% CI: -3–29%) ER of depression<sup>50</sup>. They also reported that the  
324 pooled estimate was sensitive to a cross-sectional study<sup>51</sup> (which reported an extremely large  
325 ER as 1,818%, 95%CI: 189-12,669%), and was changed to 12% (95% CI: 2–23%) after  
326 exclusion. Many of these studies examined the association between air pollution and mental  
327 illness among residents in China.<sup>3,6,7,39-41,45,47,49</sup> However, most of them were cross-sectional  
328 studies<sup>39-41,45,49</sup>, which contributed to the low confidence level in the meta-analysis and led to  
329 an inclusive association between PM<sub>2.5</sub> exposure and depression. Comparing to them, our  
330 quasi-experiment is advantageous in controlling for potential confounders, and thus  
331 enriches the high-quality evidences. Detailed discussions on the comparison are documented  
332 in a supplemental text (S6).

333 The central government carried out an action plan of air pollution control and prevention  
334 from 2013 to 2017 to tackle severe air pollution in China.<sup>15</sup> Based on a chemical-transport

335 model analysis,<sup>16</sup> the CAP respectively led to PM<sub>2.5</sub> concentration reductions from 89.5  
336 µg/m<sup>3</sup> in 2013 to 58.0 µg/m<sup>3</sup> in 2017, and thus has been show to protect the public health by  
337 reducing cardiorespiratory mortalities associated with PM<sub>2.5</sub>.<sup>15</sup> The present study showed  
338 that the CAP can also bring benefits to adult mental health.

339 The present study also examined the effect of aging on depression and compared it to the  
340 effect of PM<sub>2.5</sub> concentration. Such an analysis improved the interpretability of the  
341 association between PM<sub>2.5</sub> concentration and the depression and has policy implications. The  
342 Chinese population is expected to age rapidly because of a low fertility rate. According to the  
343 world population projection for the total population of China (Figure S7)  
344 (<http://dataexplorer.wittgensteincentre.org/wcde-v2/>), the mean age of all Chinese adults  
345 ≥ 40 years old will increase from 55 years in 2010 to 62–65 years in 2050. Based on our  
346 model (Table S2), population aging could offset the benefits from a 14.9–21.3 µg/m<sup>3</sup>  
347 reduction in PM<sub>2.5</sub> concentration. Assuming that air quality meets the current national  
348 standard (annual mean PM<sub>2.5</sub> < 35 µg/m<sup>3</sup>) in 2050, the population-weighted concentration  
349 of PM<sub>2.5</sub> would decrease by 26 µg/m<sup>3</sup> (from 61 µg/m<sup>3</sup> in 2010 to 35 µg/m<sup>3</sup> in 2017).  
350 Therefore, the positive impact of PM<sub>2.5</sub> concentration reduction on depression is comparable  
351 to the negative impact of population aging. This rough analysis suggests that the older  
352 population requires more stringent air quality standards to protect their mental health.  
353 However, notably, the estimated association between age and depression not only reflects  
354 the underlying physiological effects (e.g., increased oxidative stress) but also the  
355 socioeconomic effects (e.g., decreased income) attributable to aging, and thus it may not be  
356 representative of the future population. Therefore, exactly quantifying the combined impacts  
357 of a change in air quality and population aging is beyond the capacity of this study. Further  
358 prospective studies of the effects of changes in societal and cultural factors on the mental  
359 health of an aging population, and air quality, are warranted.

360 This study was subjected to the following limitations. First, exposure to ambient PM<sub>2.5</sub> was  
361 assessed at the city-level due to the lack of specific addresses, which resulted in  
362 misclassification of exposure by ignoring the within-city variation in PM<sub>2.5</sub> concentrations.  
363 Although such an ignorance might not change the direction of the association between PM<sub>2.5</sub>  
364 and depression, it still introduced bias into point-estimate of the association and amplified  
365 its uncertainty range (Figure S6). The misclassification might be also caused by falsely  
366 specifying the exposure time-window, as well. Because detailed survey dates were  
367 unavailable in the open-access CHARLS data, the long-term exposure to PM<sub>2.5</sub> was evaluated  
368 using annual means based on monthly scale time-series. Additionally, uncertainties in the  
369 PM<sub>2.5</sub> concentration hindcast estimator are another source of exposure misclassification,  
370 which usually leads to an underestimated association. To derive an unbiased association

371 between PM<sub>2.5</sub> and depression, advanced assessment techniques, such as personal monitors,  
372 should utilized in future studies to reduce exposure misclassifications. Second, the  
373 modifiable area unit problem (*e.g.*, ecological fallacy) due to the city-level aggregation, might  
374 also cause bias. Although the subjects screened by the community-based fieldworkers were  
375 less likely to change their residential city during the study period, exposure levels of some  
376 individuals (*e.g.*, the residents near city boundaries) might be different from the mean level.  
377 Urban and rural residents might have different degrees of modifiable-area-unit problem, but  
378 their effect estimates were similar (Figure S5), which suggests a weak impact on our results  
379 from the issue. Third, although this study controlled for temporally invariant confounders  
380 (*e.g.*, bullying victimization and childhood sexual abuse) and a few longitudinal covariates  
381 (including marriage, aging, and alcohol drinking), it may have missed some risk factors for  
382 depression. Although the estimates are unlikely to be confounded by some of them (*e.g.*,  
383 drug abuse), failure to adjust for all potential confounders could have biased our results.  
384 Fourth, this study utilized PM<sub>2.5</sub> mass concentration as a general indicator of ambient air  
385 quality, which may have underestimated the complexities of air pollution toxicity. For  
386 instance, PM<sub>2.5</sub> is a mixture of particles of different chemical species and sizes, and between-  
387 component variations in toxicity have been shown for PM<sub>2.5</sub> (Han and Zhu, 2015, Han et al.,  
388 2016). Additionally, the estimated association between PM<sub>2.5</sub> and depression might not be  
389 attributable to only itself, but also to other air pollutants, such as ozone,<sup>52</sup> that co-vary with  
390 PM<sub>2.5</sub>. Therefore, further studies on the effects on mental health of other air pollutants are  
391 warranted. Finally, some CHARLS subjects dropped out of the study for various reasons,  
392 which may have biased the results.<sup>53</sup> Also, the limited information on missing data from the  
393 publicly available CHARLS datasets hampered the derivation of sampling weights for the  
394 statistical models, reducing the national representativeness of the findings. Although the  
395 analysed samples were comparable to the baseline representative population recruited in  
396 CHARLS 2011, there were still slightly differences in some aspects, such as the geographic  
397 distribution (Figure S9). Given the potential heterogeneity in the association between PM<sub>2.5</sub>  
398 and depression (Figure S5), the estimates from a less representative sample might be biased  
399 away from the average effect of target population. Given the above limitations, the causality  
400 of our findings should be interpreted cautiously.

401 In conclusion, we found a robust association between an increase in PM<sub>2.5</sub> concentration and  
402 depression risk in a nationwide sample of adults in China. Due to CAP, the exposure  
403 concentrations of PM<sub>2.5</sub> were decreased rapidly, which subsequently improved mental health.  
404 This study not only enriched the epidemiological evidence on the adverse effects of air  
405 pollution on mental health, but also indicated implementing CAP could improve mental  
406 wellbeing of adults.



408 **Contributor:**

409 T.X. & T.Z. designed this study; T.X., Y.Z., G.G. & Q.Z. prepared and analysed the data; T.X., T.G. &  
410 T.Z. drafted the manuscript; Y.Y. & T.X. designed and performed the bootstrap analysis; all co-authors  
411 revised the manuscript together.

412 **Declaration of interests:**

413 The authors declare no competing interests.

414 **Acknowledgements**

415 Thanks to the China Center for Economic Research, National School of Development, Peking  
416 University for providing the CHARLS data. This work was supported by National Natural Science  
417 Foundation of China (41701591, 81571130100, 81903392, and 41421064), Ministry of Science and  
418 Technology of China (2015CB553401 and 2018YFC2000400) and Energy Foundation (G-1811-  
419 28843). The funders had no role in study design, data collection and analysis, decision to publish or  
420 preparation of the manuscript.

421 **Date sharing statement**

422 This study is based on publicly-available datasets. The health data can be accessed from the website:  
423 <http://opendata.pku.edu.cn/>, and the environmental data can be accessed from the websites:  
424 <http://www.meicmodel.org/dataset-phd.html>.

425 *Editor note: The Lancet Group takes a neutral position with respect to territorial claims in published*  
426 *maps and institutional affiliations.*


## References

1. Ferrari AJ, Norman RE, Freedman G, et al. The Burden Attributable to Mental and Substance Use Disorders as Risk Factors for Suicide: Findings from the Global Burden of Disease Study 2010. *Plos One* 2014; **9**(4).
2. Ferrari AJ, Charlson FJ, Norman RE, et al. Burden of depressive disorders by country, sex, age, and year: findings from the global burden of disease study 2010. 2013; **10**(11): e1001547.
3. Xue T, Zhu T, Zheng YX, Zhang Q. Declines in mental health associated with air pollution and temperature variability in China. *Nat Commun* 2019; **10**.
4. Chen H, Kwong JC, Copes R, et al. Living near major roads and the incidence of dementia, Parkinson's disease, and multiple sclerosis: a population-based cohort study. *Lancet* 2017; **389**(10070): 718-26.
5. Zheng SQ, Wang JH, Sun C, Zhang XN, Kahn ME. Air pollution lowers Chinese urbanites' expressed happiness on social media. *Nat Hum Behav* 2019; **3**(3): 237-43.
6. Zhang X, Chen X, Zhang XB. The impact of exposure to air pollution on cognitive performance. *P Natl Acad Sci USA* 2018; **115**(37): 9193-7.
7. Li Z, Chen L, Li M, Cohen J. Prenatal exposure to sand and dust storms and children's cognitive function in China: a quasi-experimental study. *The Lancet Planetary Health* 2018; **2**(5): e214-e22.
8. Pun VC, Manjourides J, Suh H. Association of Ambient Air Pollution with Depressive and Anxiety Symptoms in Older Adults: Results from the NSHAP Study. *Environ Health Persp* 2017; **125**(3): 342-8.
9. Generaal E, Hoogendijk EO, Stam M, et al. Neighbourhood characteristics and prevalence and severity of depression: pooled analysis of eight Dutch cohort studies. *Br J Psychiatry* 2019: 1-8.
10. Roberts S, Arseneault L, Barratt B, et al. Exploration of NO<sub>2</sub> and PM<sub>2.5</sub> air pollution and mental health problems using high-resolution data in London-based children from a UK longitudinal cohort study. *Psychiat Res* 2019; **272**: 8-17.
11. Lv Y, Zhou J, Kraus VB, et al. Long-term exposure to PM<sub>2.5</sub> and incidence of disability in activities of daily living among oldest old. *Environ Pollut* 2020; **259**: 113910.
12. Braithwaite I, Zhang S, Kirkbride JB, Osborn DPJ, Hayes JF. Air Pollution (Particulate Matter) Exposure and Associations with Depression, Anxiety, Bipolar, Psychosis and Suicide Risk: A Systematic Review and Meta-Analysis. *Environ Health Perspect* 2019; **127**(12): 126002.
13. Zheng YX, Xue T, Zhang Q, et al. Air quality improvements and health benefits from China's clean air action since 2013. *Environ Res Lett* 2017; **12**(11).
14. Huang J, Pan X, Guo X, Li G. Health impact of China's Air Pollution Prevention and Control Action Plan: an analysis of national air quality monitoring and mortality data. *The Lancet Planetary Health* 2018; **2**(7): e313-e23.
15. Xue T, Liu J, Zhang Q, et al. Rapid improvement of PM<sub>2.5</sub> pollution and associated health benefits in China during 2013–2017. *SCIENCE CHINA Earth Sciences* 2019; **62**(12):1847-56.
16. Cheng J, Su JP, Cui T, et al. Dominant role of emission reduction in PM<sub>2.5</sub> air quality improvement in Beijing during 2013-2017: a model-based decomposition analysis. *Atmos Chem Phys* 2019; **19**(9): 6125-46.
17. Rich DQ, Kipen HM, Huang W, et al. Association between changes in air pollution levels during the Beijing Olympics and biomarkers of inflammation and thrombosis in healthy young adults. *Jama* 2012; **307**(19): 2068-78.
18. Chen Y, Ebenstein A, Greenstone M, Li H. Evidence on the impact of sustained exposure to air pollution on life expectancy from China's Huai River policy. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences* 2013; **110**(32): 12936-41.
19. Zhao YH, Hu YS, Smith JP, Strauss J, Yang GH. Cohort Profile: The China Health and Retirement Longitudinal Study (CHARLS). *Int J Epidemiol* 2014; **43**(1): 61-8.


20. Zhao K-X, Huang C-Q, Xiao Q, et al. Age and risk for depression among the elderly: a meta-analysis of the published literature. *CNS spectrums* 2012; **17**(3): 142-54.
21. Zhao Y, Strauss J, Yang G, et al. China health and retirement longitudinal study–2011–2012 national baseline users’ guide. *Beijing: National School of Development, Peking University* 2013: 1-56.
22. Hu YY, Li P, Martikainen P. Rural-urban disparities in age trajectories of depression caseness in later life: The China Health and Retirement Longitudinal Study. *Plos One* 2019; **14**(4).
23. Liu C, Yang CY, Zhao YH, et al. Associations between long-term exposure to ambient particulate air pollution and type 2 diabetes prevalence, blood glucose and glycosylated hemoglobin levels in China. *Environ Int* 2016; **92-93**: 416-21.
24. Xue T, Zheng YX, Tong D, et al. Spatiotemporal continuous estimates of PM<sub>2.5</sub> concentrations in China, 2000-2016: A machine learning method with inputs from satellites, chemical transport model, and ground observations. *Environ Int* 2019; **123**: 345-57.
25. Goyal N, Karra M, Canning D. Early-life exposure to ambient fine particulate air pollution and infant mortality: pooled evidence from 43 low-and middle-income countries. *Int J Epidemiol* 2019.
26. Zhang Q, Jiang XJ, Tong D, et al. Transboundary health impacts of transported global air pollution and international trade. *Nature* 2017; **543**(7647): 705-+.
27. Boey KW. Cross-validation of a short form of the CES-D in Chinese elderly. *Int J Geriatr Psych* 1999; **14**(8): 608-17.
28. Deschenes O, Meng KC. Quasi-experimental methods in environmental economics: and challenges—Opportunities. *Handbook of Environmental Economics* 2018; **4**: 285.
29. Gunasekara FI, Richardson K, Carter K, Blakely T. Fixed effects analysis of repeated measures data. *Int J Epidemiol* 2014; **43**(1): 264-9.
30. Ng CH. The stigma of mental illness in Asian cultures. *Australian & New Zealand Journal of Psychiatry* 1997; **31**(3): 382-90.
31. Bates D, Mächler M, Bolker B, Walker S. Fitting linear mixed-effects models using lme4. *arXiv preprint arXiv:14065823* 2014.
32. Croissant Y, Millo G. Panel Data Econometrics in R: The plm Package. *Journal of Statistical Software* 2008; **27**(2): 1-43.
33. Buuren Sv, Groothuis-Oudshoorn K. mice: Multivariate imputation by chained equations in R. *Journal of statistical software* 2010: 1-68.
34. Wood SN. Fast stable restricted maximum likelihood and marginal likelihood estimation of semiparametric generalized linear models. *J R Stat Soc B* 2011; **73**: 3-36.
35. Wood S, Scheipl F. gamm4: Generalized additive mixed models using mgcv and lme4. URL <http://CRAN.R-project.org/package=gamm4> 2014.
36. Lin HL, Guo YF, Kowal P, et al. Exposure to air pollution and tobacco smoking and their combined effects on depression in six low- and middle-income countries. *Brit J Psychiat* 2017; **211**(3): 157.
37. Vert C, Sanchez-Benavides G, Martinez D, et al. Effect of long-term exposure to air pollution on anxiety and depression in adults: A cross-sectional study. *Int J Hyg Envir Heal* 2017; **220**(6): 1074-80.
38. Zijlema WL, Wolf K, Emeny R, et al. The association of air pollution and depressed mood in 70,928 individuals from four European cohorts. *Int J Hyg Envir Heal* 2016; **219**(2): 212-9.
39. Tian T, Chen YH, Zhu J, Liu PL. Effect of Air Pollution and Rural-Urban Difference on Mental Health of the Elderly in China. *Iran J Public Health* 2015; **44**(8): 1084-94.
40. Wang RY, Xue DS, Liu Y, Liu PH, Chen HS. The Relationship between Air Pollution and Depression in China: Is Neighbourhood Social Capital Protective? *Int J Env Res Pub He* 2018; **15**(6).
41. Wang RY, Liu Y, Xue DS, Yao Y, Liu PH, Helbich M. Cross-sectional associations between long-term exposure to particulate matter and depression in China: The mediating effects of sunlight, physical activity, and neighborly reciprocity. *J Affect Disorders* 2019; **249**: 8-14.

42. Pun VC, Manjourides J, Suh HH. Close proximity to roadway and urbanicity associated with mental ill-health in older adults. *Sci Total Environ* 2019; **658**: 854-60.
43. Tallon LA, Manjourides J, Pun VC, Salhi C, Suh H. Cognitive impacts of ambient air pollution in the National Social Health and Aging Project (NSHAP) cohort. *Environ Int* 2017; **104**: 102-9.
44. Oudin A, Braback L, Astrom DO, Stromgren M, Forsberg B. Association between neighbourhood air pollution concentrations and dispensed medication for psychiatric disorders in a large longitudinal cohort of Swedish children and adolescents. *Bmj Open* 2016; **6**(6).
45. Sui GY, Liu GC, Jia LQ, Wang L, Yang GL. The association between ambient air pollution exposure and mental health status in Chinese female college students: a cross-sectional study. *Environ Sci Pollut R* 2018; **25**(28): 28517-24.
46. Shin J, Park JY, Choi J. Long-term exposure to ambient air pollutants and mental health status: A nationwide population-based cross-sectional study. *Plos One* 2018; **13**(4).
47. Zhang X, Zhang XB, Chen X. Happiness in the air: How does a dirty sky affect mental health and subjective well-being? *J Environ Econ Manag* 2017; **85**: 81-94.
48. Klompaker JO, Hoek G, Bloemasma LD, et al. Associations of combined exposures to surrounding green, air pollution and traffic noise on mental health. *Environ Int* 2019; **129**: 525-37.
49. Sun X, Yang WT, Sun T, Wang YP. Negative Emotion under Haze: An Investigation Based on the Microblog and Weather Records of Tianjin, China. *Int J Env Res Pub He* 2019; **16**(1).
50. Fan S-J, Heinrich J, Bloom MS, et al. Ambient air pollution and depression: A systematic review with meta-analysis up to 2019. 2020; **701**: 134721.
51. Vert C, Sánchez-Benavides G, Martínez D, et al. Effect of long-term exposure to air pollution on anxiety and depression in adults: A cross-sectional study. 2017; **220**(6): 1074-80.
52. Zhao T, Markevych I, Romanos M, Nowak D, Heinrich J. Ambient ozone exposure and mental health: A systematic review of epidemiological studies. *Environ Res* 2018; **165**: 459-72.
53. Chen X, Smith J, Strauss J, Wang Y, Zhao Y. China Health and Retirement Longitudinal Study (CHARLS); *Encyclopedia of Gerontology and Population* 2019; Springer, Cham. [https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-319-69892-2\\_333-1](https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-319-69892-2_333-1).


## Figure Legends




**Figure 1. Map of study region with long-term averages of PM<sub>2.5</sub> concentrations (2010–2015).**



**Figure 2. Estimated associations between the depression score and  $PM_{2.5}$  concentration.** In the difference-in-difference (DID) analysis, the model adjusted for age incorporated age at 2011 as a covariate; the standard adjustment also involved the fixed variables of urban/rural residence, sex, and education, as well as the longitudinal variables of ambient temperature, marriage status, smoking, and drinking. The full adjustment also considered longitudinal changes in cooking energy type, building type, residential rent payment, presence of an in-house telephone, and indoor temperature maintenance. The longitudinal models adjusted for the constant variables in a similar manner as in the DID models; however, adjustment of the longitudinal variables was based on the values recorded in each survey wave, rather than their between-wave changes therein.



**Figure 3. Nonlinear effects of (a) PM<sub>2.5</sub> concentration and (b) age on the CES-D-10 score.** Dashed lines, pointwise 95% confidence intervals. The histograms show the distribution of PM<sub>2.5</sub> exposure and age.



**Figure 4. Impacts of reducing PM<sub>2.5</sub> concentration, aging, and changes in other factors on the CES-D-10 score.** The changes in risk factors ( $\Delta x$ ) were evaluated using a fixed group of subjects who participated in all three China Health and Retirement Longitudinal Study (CHARLS) waves; the corresponding coefficients were estimated from the longitudinal model with standard adjustment (Figure 2) and presented in Table S3; for each variable, the value in 2011 was acted the reference to evaluate the impact attributable to its temporal changes.

## Tables

**Table 1. Constant variables of the studied subjects.**

Variable	Subgroup	Number of subjects (percentage of the total)	
		All subjects	Subjects with three measurements
Total number of subjects		15,954 (100%)	9,123 (100%)
Education	Below elementary	6,133 (38.44%)	3,786 (41.50%)
	Elementary and middle	6,099 (38.23%)	4,220 (46.26%)
	Above middle	1,712 (10.73%)	1,117 (12.24%)
	Unknown	2,010 (12.60%)	0
Sex	Female	8,331 (52.22%)	4,702 (51.54%)
	Male	7,621 (47.77%)	4,421 (48.46%)
	Unknown	2 (0.01%)	0
Place of residence	Rural	9,765 (61.21%)	5,748 (63.01%)
	Urban	6,189 (38.79%)	3,375 (36.99%)
Region	Midwest	5,367 (33.64%)	3,152 (34.55%)
	North	4,451 (27.90%)	2,586 (28.34%)
	Southeast	6,136 (38.46%)	3,385 (37.10%)

**Table 2. Longitudinal variables of the studied subjects.**

		Total	2011 CHARLS*	2013 CHARLS	2015 CHARLS
Variable		Mean (standard deviation)			
Depression score		8.1 (6.2)	8.3 (6.3)	7.8 (5.8)	8.1 (6.4)
PM <sub>2.5</sub> concentration (µg/m <sup>3</sup> )		58.2 (19.8)	61.6 (18.9)	60.3 (22.2)	53.1 (16.7)
Temperature (°C)		14.0 (5.3)	13.6 (5.2)	13.9 (5.6)	14.4 (5.0)
Age (years)		60.5 (9.3)	59.2 (9.2)	60.3 (9.4)	61.9 (9.2)
Variable	Subgroup	Number of visits (percentage of the total)			
Total number of visits		41,031 (100%)	12,658 (100%)	14,352 (100%)	14,021 (100%)
Married	No	6727 (16.39%)	1964 (15.52%)	2276 (15.86%)	2487 (17.74%)
	Yes	34303 (83.60%)	10694 (84.48%)	12076 (84.14%)	11533 (82.26%)

	Unknown	1 (0.00%)	0	0	1 (0.01%)
Smoking	No	28422 (69.27%)	8797 (69.50%)	9970 (69.47%)	9655 (68.86%)
	Yes	12608 (30.73%)	3861 (30.50%)	4381 (30.53%)	4366 (31.14%)
	Unknown	1 (0.00%)	0	1 (0.01%)	0
Drinking	Frequent	10944 (26.67%)	3238 (25.58%)	3934 (27.41%)	3772 (26.90%)
	Rare	3312 (8.07%)	977 (7.72%)	1140 (7.94%)	1195 (8.52%)
	Never	26758 (65.21%)	8443 (66.70%)	9266 (64.56%)	9049 (64.54%)
	Unknown	17 (0.04%)	0	12 (0.08%)	5 (0.04%)
Cooking energy type	Clean	21257 (51.81%)	5548 (43.83%)	7686 (53.55%)	8023 (57.22%)
	Unclean	19292 (47.02%)	6965 (55.02%)	6512 (45.37%)	5815 (41.47%)
	Unknown	482 (1.17%)	145 (1.15%)	154 (1.07%)	183 (1.31%)
Building type	One story	23683 (57.72%)	7884 (62.28%)	8684 (60.51%)	7115 (50.75%)
	Multi-storey	17159 (41.82%)	4722 (37.30%)	5614 (39.12%)	6823 (48.66%)
	Unknown	189 (0.46%)	52 (0.41%)	54 (0.38%)	83 (0.59%)
Rent payment for residence	No	39353 (95.91%)	12289 (97.08%)	13734 (95.69%)	13330 (95.07%)
	Yes	1200 (2.92%)	285 (2.25%)	457 (3.18%)	458 (3.27%)
	Unknown	478 (1.16%)	84 (0.66%)	161 (1.12%)	233 (1.66%)
In-house telephone	No	24798 (60.44%)	6350 (50.17%)	8482 (59.10%)	9966 (71.08%)
	Yes	16122 (39.29%)	6262 (49.47%)	5823 (40.57%)	4037 (28.79%)
	Unknown	111 (0.27%)	46 (0.36%)	47 (0.33%)	18 (0.13%)

	n				
Indoor temperatur e maintenanc e	Very hot	412 (1.00%)	254 (2.01%)	74 (0.52%)	84 (0.60%)
	Hot	3573 (8.71%)	1345 (10.63%)	1134 (7.90%)	1094 (7.80%)
	Bearable	34561 (84.23%)	10491 (82.88%)	12189 (84.93%)	11881 (84.74%)
	Cold	1342 (3.27%)	436 (3.44%)	516 (3.60%)	390 (2.78%)
	Very cold	102 (0.25%)	63 (0.50%)	23 (0.16%)	16 (0.11%)
	Unknow n	1041 (2.54%)	69 (0.55%)	416 (2.90%)	556 (3.97%)

\* CHARLS, China Health and Retirement Longitudinal Study

