Association between long-term $PM_{2.5}$ exposure and depression among Chinese adults in the context of population aging: a quasi-experimental study

Tao Xue[1], Tianjia Guan[2], Yixuan Zheng[3], Guannan Geng[3], Qiang Zhang[3], and Tong Zhu[4,*]

[1] Institute of Reproductive and Child Health / Ministry of Health Key Laboratory of Reproductive Health and Department of Epidemiology and Biostatistics, School of Public Health, Peking University, Beijing, China, 100191

[2] School of Public Health, Chinese Academy of Medical Sciences and Peking Union Medical College, Beijing, China, 100730

[3] Department of Earth System Science, Tsinghua University, Beijing, China, 100084

[4] BIC-ESAT and SKL-ESPC, College of Environmental Science and Engineering, Peking University, Beijing, China, 100871

* Corresponding authors: Tong Zhu (Room 501, College of Environmental Science and Engineering, Peking University, Beijing 100871, China; Telephone: 010-62754789; E-mail: tzhu@pku.edu.cn).

The authors declare no competing interests.

Abstract

Background: Recent studies suggest an association between mental disorders such as depression and air pollution. However, few studies examined the association between air pollution reduction and mental health improvement. Since 2013, China has carried out a series of clean air actions that have rapidly improved air quality, and provided a quasi-experimental scenario to examine the association.

Method: Based on nationwide surveys of Chinese adults from 2011 to 2015, we evaluated the association between long-term $PM_{2.5}$ exposure and a widely-used depression score (C-ESD score), using a mixed-effects model with multivariate adjustment. The association between $PM_{2.5}$ reduction and the score change was further explored using a difference-in-difference analysis of the temporal contrast between 2011 (before the actions) and 2015 (after the actions). To increase interpretability of the association, the estimated impact of $PM_{2.5}$ levels was compared to that of aging, a well-known risk factor for depression.

Results: A 10-μg/m^3 increase in $PM_{2.5}$ concentration was associated with a 3.63% (95% confidence interval [CI]: 2.00–5.27%) increase in the C-ESD score (a higher score indicates larger probability of depression). Aging of 1 year was associated with a score increase of 0.76% (0.45-1.07%), equivalent to the effect of a 2.1-μg/m^3 (95% CI: 1.1–4.2 μg/m^3) increase in $PM_{2.5}$ concentration. Difference-in-difference analysis confirmed the significant association between $PM_{2.5}$ reduction and the score reduction. We also found improved air quality during 2011-2015 offset the negative impact from 5-years' aging.

Conclusions: This study added the epidemiological evidence on the association between depression and long-term exposure to $PM_{2.5}$. Our findings also suggested the mental health benefits from China's recent efforts to reduce air pollution.

Keywords: Air quality policy; $PM_{2.5}$ pollution; Depression; Mental health; Quasi-experiment

Main

Mental disorders have contributed to a large proportion of the global burden of diseases by causing either non-fatal (e.g., disability) or fatal outcomes (e.g., suicide). Mental illness is the leading cause of years lived with disability (YLD), and the related disease burden increased by 37.6% from 1990 to 2010 (Ferrari et al. 2014). The increased burden of mental illness may be caused by both demographic dynamics, including population growth and aging (Ferrari et al. 2014), and changes in relevant risk factors, such as physical activity and social stress. Recent epidemiological evidence suggests that air pollution may adversely affect mental health (H Chen et al. 2017; Generaal et al. 2019; Li et al. 2018; Pun et al. 2017; Roberts et al. 2019; Xue et al. 2019b; Zhang et al. 2018; Zheng et al. 2019). Due to the ubiquitous and prolonged exposure to ambient pollutants, air quality can be a major contributor to mental illness, such as depression. However, the level of long-term exposure to air pollution usually varies slowly; thus, for an individual, its impact on mental health cannot be easily observed due to the long timespan needed to observe any changes, which impedes establishment of a longitudinal study (*e.g.*, a cohort study) to examine the association between air quality and mental disorders.

Since 2013, air quality in China has improved rapidly due to a series of clean air actions (Cheng et al. 2019; Huang et al. 2018; Xue et al. 2019a; Zheng et al. 2017), including optimization of the industrial structure, improvements in end-of-pipe control, and reductions in residential usage of unclean fuels. The long-term concentrations of fine particulate matter less than 2.5 μm in diameter ($PM_{2.5}$), the major air pollutant in China, decreased significantly nationwide from 2013 to 2017. The population-weighted concentration of $PM_{2.5}$ decreased rapidly from 67.4 μg/m^3 in 2013 to 45.5 μg/m^3 in 2017 (Xue et al. 2019a). This dramatic change in air quality provides an opportunity to study the mental effect of $PM_{2.5}$ under a quasi-experimental scenario. A quasi-experiment can result in a shape contrast of air pollution levels during a relatively short period, which is advantageous to control confounders (Chen et al. 2013; Rich et al. 2012).

In this study, we made use of the China Health and Retirement Longitudinal Study (CHARLS), which repeatedly measured the depression score (C-ESD score) of a representative sample of Chinese adults in 2011, 2013, and 2015 using a standard questionnaire (Zhao et al. 2014). Due to the overlap between the CHARLS study period and the duration of China's clean air actions, we were able to associate the reduced concentrations of $PM_{2.5}$ to changes in the C-ESD score at the individual level, and to quantify the impact of improved air quality on adult metal health. Because the C-ESD is not clinically indicative, interpreting the impact of air quality is difficult. To increase interpretability, we further compared the effect of $PM_{2.5}$ concentrations to that of population aging, a well-known risk factor for poor mental health (Ferrari et al. 2014).

Methods

Study population

The studied adults were obtained from the open-access CHARLS database (Zhao et al. 2013; Zhao et al. 2014). CHARLS is an ongoing nationwide longitudinal survey on the health and socioeconomic status of older Chinese adults. Using a four-stage and well-established sample design, CHARLS researchers surveyed a representative sample of ~20,000 Chinese adults from ~150 county-level units in 2011 (Figure 1). Subsequently, researchers performing the CHARLS regularly visited the respondents every 2 years (Figure S1), and thus surveyed a national-scale cohort of Chinese adults. In each survey wave, all subjects were visited by well-trained interviewers in a face-to-face computer-assisted personal interview, which gathered data on demographic characteristics, behavioral risk factors, the residential city, and housing conditions. The mental health status of the subjects was measured using the 10-question Center for Epidemiologic Studies Depression scale (CESD-10). The validity of the CESD-10 has been examined among Chinese adults (Boey 1999). Each question measures the frequency of a specific type of negative mood (e.g., fearful or depressed) using a score of 0 (rarely or none), 1 (some days), 2 (occasionally), or 3 (most of the time). We calculated the sum of all question-specific scores as the C-ESD score (0–30) to indicate the general depression status of each subject. Details of the study design and the purpose of the CHALRS are provided elsewhere (Zhao et al. 2014). The CHARLS was approved by the Ethics Review Committee of Peking University (IRB00001052–11015). CHARLS has supported studies on mental health risk factors (Hu et al. 2019) and the effects of air pollution on other outcomes (Liu et al. 2016). This study utilized the open-accessed CHARLS data, which is publicly available from the website: http://opendata.pku.edu.cn/. All analyses in this study adhered to the data usage guidelines.

This study was based on currently available data from CHARLS surveys in 2011, 2013, and 2015, which involved a sample of 24,805 individual adults. To conduct a longitudinal analysis, we focused on the valid records of older adults (≥ 40 years old), who were visited at least twice. A total of 16,151 adults remained after excluding subjects who were only observed once. We further excluded the adults without age data (which was derived from the time of the survey and the birthdate) and adults <40 years old at survey time. Finally, this study involved 41,031 observations of 15,954 individual adults distributed across 447 communities in 126 cities (Figure 1).

Environmental exposure

The ambient exposure assessment was based on the $PM_{2.5}$ Hindcast Database for China (2000–2016) introduced in our previous work (Xue et al. 2019a). The database can be accessed from the website:

http://www.meicmodel.org/dataset-phd.html. Due to the lack of nationwide monitoring data on $PM_{2.5}$ concentrations in China before 2013, historical $PM_{2.5}$ concentrations were estimated from satellite remote-sensing measurements and outputs from a chemical transport model (CTM) of air pollution emission inventories. Satellite sensors, such as the Moderate Resolution Imaging Spectroradiometer, retrieve the column concentrations of aerosols from the earth's surface to the top of the atmosphere by measuring electromagnetic signals. Satellite-based annual estimates of $PM_{2.5}$ have been applied in many health-related studies at the national and global scales (Goyal et al. 2019; Zheng et al. 2017). The CTM simulations were based on the multi-resolution emission inventory for China (http://www.meicmodel.org/index.html). The results provide a complete characterization of the spatiotemporal variations in $PM_{2.5}$ concentrations and have been applied to support studies on health risk assessments and relevant policy analyses in China (Cheng et al. 2019; Q Zhang et al. 2017). In a previous study (Xue et al. 2019a), we developed a machine-learning model to bring the satellite measurements and CTM simulations together by relating them to the nationwide monitoring concentrations of $PM_{2.5}$ from 2013 to 2016, and then applied the model to hindcast the $PM_{2.5}$ values before 2013. The estimator was in good agreement with the *in situ* observations on monthly (R^2 = 0.71) and yearly scales (R^2 = 0.77) and has been utilized in other epidemiological studies. Please refer to our previous study for more details on the $PM_{2.5}$ estimator (Xue et al. 2019a).

The original $PM_{2.5}$ data have a spatial resolution of 0.1° × 0.1° and daily concentrations across the mainland of China, during 2000–2016. For consistency, exposure assessments before and after 2013 were based on the estimated $PM_{2.5}$ concentrations. The subjects in the open-access CHARLS data could only be geo-coded at the city level for confidentiality reasons, and detailed survey dates were not available. Therefore, we first pooled the $PM_{2.5}$ data into city-level averages by matching the pixels of a regular grid with a map of China's prefectures (Figure 1), and further calculated the monthly averages. We utilized the $PM_{2.5}$ concentration averages during the 12 months preceding the surveyed months as the exposure values (Figure S1).

We also obtained gridded estimates of temperature with an original resolution of 0.1° × 0.1° by fusing the satellite measurements of land surface temperatures, *in situ* observations, and simulations from a weather-forecast research model (Xue et al. 2019b). The three types of temperature values were assembled by day using a universal kriging approach. Random cross-validations indicated that the fused estimates were in good agreement with the monitored values (R^2 = 0.96). Details of the temperature data assembly are documented in our previous study (Xue et

126 al. 2019b). City-level monthly averages for temperature data were also calculated for each record
127 before the regression analyses.

128 **Difference-in-difference analyses**

129 In quasi-experiments, the difference-in-difference (DD) approach has been typically utilized to
130 evaluate the impact of policy interventions (Deschenes and Meng 2018), including in studies of the
131 effect of air pollutants on health (Pope III et al. 2009; Xue et al. 2019b; Xue et al. 2019b). We utilized
132 the DD model to examine the association between $PM_{2.5}$ concentration reductions on the C-ESD
133 score change.

134 The reductions in $PM_{2.5}$ from 2011 to 2015 were driven by the clean air actions (Zhang et al. 2019);
135 the magnitude of the changes can be interpreted in the context of different emission-control
136 measures, and might be unrelated to conventional risk factors for depression, such as aging. In
137 conventional DD analysis of a binary exposure, subjects residing in areas subject to more stringent
138 policies (which resulted in a larger $PM_{2.5}$ reduction) were included in the treatment group, and living
139 in areas subject to less stringent policies were the controls (Figure S2). The between-group
140 difference in the change in C-ESD score from 2011 (i.e., before intervention) to 2015 (i.e., after
141 intervention) could be taken as a proxy of the effect of policy. Because $PM_{2.5}$ is a continuous
142 variable, a regression analysis of the association between changes in $PM_{2.5}$ and C-ESD score was
143 performed. The DD analysis requires treatments and controls to be randomly assigned. Therefore,
144 we utilized the inverse probability of changes in $PM_{2.5}$ as the regression weights. Because the
145 subjects were clustered by household and sampling site (*i.e.*, community), we also incorporated the
146 corresponding random effects into the regression model. DD analysis is advantageous by controlling
147 for some unmeasured confounders (*e.g.*, aging) by the study design itself (Deschenes and Meng
148 2018). Because the CHARLS 2013 samples were partially influenced by the air quality intervention
149 (Figure S1), the DD model included only samples from the 2011 and 2015 waves. Because the
150 distribution of the absolute changes in C-ESD score was skewed and leptokurtic, we modeled the
151 relative changes. To do this, we added 1 to the original C-ESD score and calculated the changes on a
152 logarithmic scale such that the skewness of the distribution was reduced (skewness = 0.03), as was
153 the leptokurtosis (kurtosis = 0.65). We calculated the excess risk (ER) for each 10 $\mu g/m^3$ change in
154 $PM_{2.5}$ concentration using the following equation: $ER = [\exp(10\beta) - 1] \times 100\%$, where β denotes the
155 estimated regression coefficient.

156 In sensitivity analyses of the DD model, we first examined whether our results were altered by
157 adjustment for covariates. The covariates included constant characteristics (i.e., urban/rural

158 residency, sex, educational level, and age in 2011) and changes in longitudinal variables (i.e.,
159 ambient temperature, marriage status, smoking, drinking, cooking energy type, building type,
160 residential rent payment, presence of an in-house telephone, and indoor temperature
161 maintenance). The missing covariate values were first imputed using the chained-equation approach
162 (Buuren and Groothuis-Oudshoorn 2010). Next, we presented the results of the re-estimated DD
163 models without regression weights, and evaluated the importance of randomly assigning the
164 samples across different levels of exposure change. Additionally, we removed the random effects to
165 control for spatial clustering of the samples from the original DD models or changed them through
166 replacing the community term by the city term, and recalculated the effect estimates. Finally, since
167 the DD model presumed the outcome variable processed in the same pattern between treatments
168 and controls, we examined whether the C-ESD score variations during the pre-treatment period
169 (2011-2013) were associated to the treatment-control assignment.

170 **Longitudinal association analyses**

171 To make full use of the data, we applied a mixed-effects model to evaluate the association between
172 the C-ESD score and long-term $PM_{2.5}$ exposure, including a few risk factors for depression, such as
173 age. The aim of the longitudinal analysis was to clarify the impact of $PM_{2.5}$ exposure by comparing it
174 with the impact of other risk factors.

175 The mixed-effects model was specified as follows:

176 $$\mathrm{Log}(\mathrm{score}_{i,j}) = \beta_0 + \beta_1 \mathrm{PM}_{2.5,i,j} + \beta_2 \mathrm{age}_{,i,j} + \beta_3(\mathrm{PM}_{2.5,i,j} \times \mathrm{age}_{i,j}) + \mathbf{z}_{i,j}\boldsymbol{\gamma} + \mathrm{city}_i + \eta(\mathrm{community}_i) + \lambda(i), \quad \ldots\ldots (1)$$

177 where i denotes the subject index; j denotes the visit index; β_0 denotes the intercept; $\beta_{1\text{-}3}$ denote the
178 regression coefficients for $PM_{2.5}$, age, and their interaction term, respectively; $\mathbf{z}_{i,j}$ denotes a set of
179 adjusted covariates and $\boldsymbol{\gamma}$ denotes the corresponding coefficients; city_i denotes a fixed effect to
180 control the unmeasured city-specific risk factors of depression, such as traditional culture (Ng 1997);
181 and λ and η denote two random slopes to model the correlations between records from the same
182 subject or the same community, respectively. The adjusted covariates ($\mathbf{z}_{i,j}$) included (1) annual
183 temperature; (2) demographic characteristics (urban/rural residency, sex, education level, and
184 marriage status); (3) lifestyle risk factors (smoking and drinking); (4) housing conditions (cooking
185 energy type, building type, residential rent payment, presence of an in-house telephone, and indoor
186 temperature maintenance). The regression incorporating all the above covariates was termed the
187 fully adjusted model. The model might be too complex to produce a stable estimator, and a large
188 fraction of the regressed samples involved the imputed missing values, which increased the
189 uncertainty of the model. To examine whether the estimated associations were sensitive to these

limitations, besides the full adjustment, we also applied a standard adjustment, which involved only the first three sets of covariates, demographic characteristics, and lifestyle risk factors. The association was also evaluated by ER, similar to the DD model.

In sensitivity analyses of the longitudinal model, we explored how the estimated association between $PM_{2.5}$ concentration and the C-ESD score varied with (1) sub-regions of the study domain (Figure 1), (2) demographic sub-groups, and (3) exposure levels. Considering the balanced sample sizes (Figure 1), we divided the study domain into three sub-regions: midwest (n = 13,886), north (n = 11,488) and southeast (n = 15,657). We utilized interaction analyses to explore the modifications on the effect of $PM_{2.5}$ concentrations by a sub-region indicator or demographic characteristic (e.g., sex), and replaced the linear term of $PM_{2.5}$ concentration in the regression model with a penalized spline term to examine the linearity of the effect. We also conducted parallel analyses for the association between age and the C-ESD score to compare the effect of $PM_{2.5}$ concentration to that of population aging. Finally, we re-fitted the standard model using subject-specific fixed effects instead of the random effects in equation (1). The fixed-effects model is a generalized version of the DD method that can incorporate more than two repeated measurements (Deschenes and Meng 2018). A longitudinal regression with fixed effects is considered less biased, but also less efficient, than a comparable regression with random effects (Gunasekara et al. 2014). The refitted model was utilized to examine whether the estimated association was sensitive to the different approaches used to model subject-specific effects.

To illustrate the impact of the changes in air quality on depression, we conducted a post-hoc analysis based on the 9,123 adults who participated in all three CHARLS waves. We first calculated the change in one risk factor ($\Delta x_i = x_{i,\,2011} - x_{i,\,2015}$; x = $PM_{2.5}$, age, or z) from 2011 to 2015, and quantified its impact on C-ESD score as $[\exp(\Delta x_i \beta_x) - 1] \times 100\%$. We compared the impact of the risk factors in the association model with standard adjustment, and focused on the combined impact of $PM_{2.5}$ reduction and population aging in a group of adults.

All statistical analyses were performed using R (version 3.3.2; R Foundation for Statistical Computing, Vienna, Austria). The linear mixed-effects and fixed-effects models were inferred using the *lme4* package (Bates et al. 2014) and the *plm* package (Croissant and Millo 2008), respectively. Imputation was performed using the *mice* package (Buuren and Groothuis-Oudshoorn 2010). Inverse probability weights were calculated using the *ipw* package. Penalized spline functions were parameterized using the *mgcv* package (Wood 2011), and inference of the nonlinear mixed-effects models was done using the *gamm4* package (Wood and Scheipl 2014). The relevant R codes are documented in the Supplementary materials.

Results

Descriptive summary

This study involved 15,954 adults, and each was visited an average of 2.6 times. In 2011, the mean age of the adults was 58.4 years (standard deviation: 9.4 years). Because of the different response rates (X Chen et al. 2017), more rural adults (61.21%) were screened than urban adults (38.79%). There were slightly more female (52.22%) than male subjects (47.77%) due to differences in longevity. A summary of the demographic information of the adults in our study is presented in Table 1.

The longitudinal variables, including population characteristics and environmental exposure, are summarized in Table 1. Although the mean C-ESD score in the 2013 (score = 7.8) or 2015 CHARLS (8.1) was smaller than that in the 2011 CHARLS (8.3), the comparison is not indicative of the trend in depression, given the between-wave difference in the surveyed population. The long-term mean $PM_{2.5}$ concentration for 2015 CHARLS subjects was 53.1 μg/m^3, which was considerably lower than the value for the 2013 (60.3 μg/m^3) or 2011 subjects (61.6 μg/m^3). The changes in $PM_{2.5}$ concentrations were within our expectations and were attributed to the clean air actions in China. However, there were many other potential depression risk factors that changed in opposite directions during the study period. For example, we observed an increase in the fraction of unmarried adults from 15.52% in 2011 to 15.86% in 2013, and then to 17.74% in 2015. Marriage results in mental health benefits (Stack and Eshleman 1998); thus, this trend increased the C-ESD score. Living conditions, such as in-house bathing facilities and the ability to maintain the indoor temperature, have improved during the study period, which may have reduced the C-ESD score. Additional information on the longitudinal variables can be found in Table 2. Because of the complexity of the drivers of depression, the lack of similarity in the trends of C-ESD score and $PM_{2.5}$ should not be interpreted as evidence against our hypothesis.

Associating $PM_{2.5}$ reductions with depression risk changes using difference-in-difference models

Among the 10,725 adults who participated in CHARLS 2011 and 2015, 741 had an increased concentration of $PM_{2.5}$ after the clean air actions. To illustrate the DD methodology, we conducted a preliminary analysis of binary $PM_{2.5}$ exposure (Figure S2), and set those subjects as controls (i.e., were not affected by the policy) and the others as the treatments. From 2011 to 2015, the mean C-ESD score of the controls increased by 3.7%, but that of the treatment group decreased by 0.9%. The between-group difference in the C-ESD score change was significant (P = 0.026), likely due to the intervention. In contrast, between-group difference before the completely implying the actions (2011-2013) was not statistically significant (P = 0.48; Table S1). The results (Table S1) suggest that the treatments and controls had a similar pattern of C-ESD score before the intervention.

257 Figure 2 presents the estimated effect of $PM_{2.5}$ on the C-ESD score, with the adjusted covariates of
258 the DD models. The results of the different models were statistically comparable, considering
259 uncertainties. The estimates consistently suggested that long-term exposure to $PM_{2.5}$ was
260 significantly related to the C-ESD score. Based on the estimated ER from the standard model (Figure
261 2), a 10 μg/m^3 increase in $PM_{2.5}$ concentration was associated with a 4.14% (95% confidence interval
262 [CI]: 0.41–8.00%) increase in the C-ESD score. In sensitivity analyses, the results were not
263 significantly changed if we changed the settings to control for the effect of clustering of the samples,
264 or did not weigh the samples according to the probability of the reduction in $PM_{2.5}$ (Figure S3).
265 However, the estimated effects in the unweighted models were slightly weaker than the weighted
266 results. For instance, after removing the inverse probability weights, the standard DD model yielded
267 an ER of 2.89% (95% [CI]: -0.59%, 6.48%). Because the samples were not optimally randomized
268 (Figure S4), the unweighted estimates (Figure S3) could be slightly biased.
269

Estimating the exposure-response association between $PM_{2.5}$ with depression risk using longitudinal models

Based on the 41,031 samples from the three CHARLS waves, we quantified the association between $PM_{2.5}$ and C-ESD score using a longitudinal model (Figure 2). Although the point-estimates from the longitudinal models were slightly lower than those from the DD models, the two types of models reported comparable effects of $PM_{2.5}$ on the C-ESD score. Additionally, because the longitudinal models incorporated more samples, they had narrower CIs than the DD models. Adjusting for confounders, except age, did not significantly change the longitudinal results. As the studied population ages, a model that does not control for the effect of aging could yield a biased result. According to the model with standard adjustments, a 10 $\mu g/m^3$ increase in $PM_{2.5}$ concentration was associated with a 3.63% (95% CI: 2.00–5.27%) increase in the C-ESD score. The results of the longitudinal models are listed in Table S2.

In sensitivity analyses, we showed that the association between $PM_{2.5}$ concentration and the C-ESD score did not vary significantly between sub-populations (Figure S5). The sub-region analysis, which displayed a weak association in the north but strong associations in the midwest and southeast ($P = 0.04$; likelihood ratio test). The weak association may have been caused by the small sample size in the north (Table 1) or a higher fraction of natural particles (e.g., dust in the northwest), which are known to be less toxic than anthropogenic particles. The nonlinear analysis showed an approximately linear exposure-response function of $PM_{2.5}$ concentration without a no-effect threshold (Figure 3a), which was consistent with estimates from the other models (Table S2). Additionally, we examined the interaction between $PM_{2.5}$ concentration and age, and found that the effect of $PM_{2.5}$ concentration was weaker in older adults (Table S2). Finally, the estimated effect of $PM_{2.5}$ was not affected by use of random- or fixed-effects models (Table S3). However, the mixed-effects model, which could be more efficient, but also more biased, than the fixed-effects model (Gunasekara et al. 2014) was used only to enhance the interpretability of the estimates.

Evaluating the impact of $PM_{2.5}$

This study also examined the associations between age and the C-ESD score, so as to better interpret the mental health effects of $PM_{2.5}$ concentrations. The standard model indicated that the C-ESD score increased by 0.76% (95% CI: 0.45–1.07%) for an adult who aged by 1 year (Table S2). The association was robust, given different sets of adjusted covariates (Table S2). Subgroup analyses (Figure S5) suggested that the effect of age was not considerably modified by sub-region or sub-population indicators. The nonlinear analysis (Figure 4b) showed a sublinear exposure-response function for age, suggesting a weak marginal effect for adults older than ~70 years. Additionally, considering the collinearity between age and the temporal trend, we re-estimated the effect within

each CHARLS wave, based on the cross-sectional comparison of C-ESD score among adults of different ages. The results of the cross-sectional analysis (Table S4) were consistent with the estimates from the longitudinal models (Table S2).

A comparison between the effect of $PM_{2.5}$ concentration and that of age showed that they were statistically comparable (Figure 3b). Based on the standard model, the effect of 1 year was of equivalent magnitude to the effect of a $PM_{2.5}$ concentration increase of 2.1 μg/m^3 (95% CI: 1.1–4.2 μg/m^3).

The impact of a risk factor on the C-ESD score is determined by its effect magnitude and temporal changes. Based on the 9,123 adults who participated in all three waves of the CHARLS, we quantified the impacts of air quality improvement and aging on their mental health status from 2011 to 2015 (Figure 4). According to the results, aging and $PM_{2.5}$ reduction were the major drivers of changes in C-ESD score. Using the C-ESD score obtained before implementation of the clean air actions (by CHARLS 2011) as the reference, we found that a decreased concentration of $PM_{2.5}$ resulted in a relative reduction in the score of 0.27% (95% CI: 0.15–0.40%) and 2.87% (95% CI: 1.61–4.21%) in 2013 and 2015, respectively; in contrast, aging resulted in a relative increase of 1.53% (95% CI: 0.87–2.13%) and 3.08% (95% CI: 1.74–4.31%), respectively. Analysis of the combined impact of age and $PM_{2.5}$ (including their interactions), which together resulted in a small reduction in the C-ESD score of 0.25% (95% CI: -0.78–1.30%) from 2011 to 2015, showed that the benefit of reducing the $PM_{2.5}$ concentration offset the negative effect of aging. Because the impact evaluation was based on a fixed population, we quantified the impact of individual-level aging, which was faster than the aging of the population (Figure S1).

Discussion

Based on the CHARLS surveys, we conducted a longitudinal study of Chinese adults to associate mental health with long-term exposure to ambient $PM_{2.5}$ during a quasi-experimental scenario, in which air quality was rapidly improved by a series of stringent emission-control policies. We found a robust exposure-response function between air pollution and a commonly used indicator of depression, which was estimated to increase by 3.63% (95% CI: 2.00–5.27%) after a 10 μg/m^3 increase in long-term $PM_{2.5}$ concentration. Our results also indicate that the effect of $PM_{2.5}$ concentration reduction was comparable with that of aging. Although aging partially offset the benefits from reducing $PM_{2.5}$ concentrations, the rapidly improved air quality since 2013 could still bring a net positive impact.

An increasing number of studies have reported on the association between air pollution and mental disorders, including depression (Generaal et al. 2019; Lin et al. 2017; Pun et al. 2017; Pun et al. 2019;

337 Roberts et al. 2019; Tian et al. 2015; Vert et al. 2017; Wang et al. 2018; Wang et al. 2019; Zijlema et
338 al. 2016), cognitive functions (Li et al. 2018; Tallon et al. 2017; Zhang et al. 2018), and other
339 indicators of mental health (Klompmaker et al. 2019; Oudin et al. 2016; Shin et al. 2018; Sui et al.
340 2018; Sun et al. 2019; X Zhang et al. 2017; Zheng et al. 2019). For instance, Gu et al. (2019)
341 conducted a meta-analysis of 684,859 subjects and reported that a 10 μg/m^3 increase in $PM_{2.5}$
342 concentration is strongly associated with a 19% (95% CI: 7–33%) ER of depression, and weakly
343 associated with a 5% ER of suicide (95% CI: −1–11%) (Gu et al. 2019). Many of these studies
344 examined the association between air pollution and mental illness among residents in China (Li et al.
345 2018; Sui et al. 2018; Sun et al. 2019; Tian et al. 2015; Wang et al. 2018; Wang et al. 2019; Xue et al.
346 2019b; X Zhang et al. 2017; Zhang et al. 2018). However, most of them were cross-sectional studies
347 (Sui et al. 2018; Sun et al. 2019; Tian et al. 2015; Wang et al. 2018; Wang et al. 2019). Mental health
348 can be affected by a number of complex factors, and comprehensively controlling these factors is
349 critical to minimize bias. However, this may be difficult to achieve in a cross-sectional study. For
350 example, Tian et al. conducted a cross-sectional study of 6,630 older adults (≥60 years old) from the
351 2013 CHARLS to associate the C-ESD score with sulfur dioxide emissions, and reported a U-shaped
352 exposure-response function (Tian et al. 2015), which is biologically implausible and may have been
353 caused by a failure to adjust for potential confounding factors. In the DD models and longitudinal
354 models, the C-ESD score of a subject was compared to another observation of the same subject.
355 Thus, the intra-individual comparison controlled for many unmeasured mental health risk factors
356 (e.g., genetics), which varied only inter-individually. Therefore, our longitudinal study is more
357 powerful than the previous cross-sectional analysis (Tian et al. 2015).
358 The quasi-experimental design based on the policy-driven rapid changes in air quality also added
359 power to this study. The intervention exerted a marked effect on $PM_{2.5}$ exposure, which may have
360 had a significant effect on the health outcomes. For instance, Pun et al. (2017) conducted a
361 longitudinal study of the two waves of the National Social Life, Health and Aging project conducted
362 in the United States (US), and examined the effect of $PM_{2.5}$ on depression based on an exposure
363 contrast of 2.3 μg/m^3 from measurements separated by 5 years (annual $PM_{2.5}$ concentration = 11.1
364 and 8.8 μg/m^3 for the 2005–2006 and 2010–2011 waves, respectively). Compared to the US study,
365 the mean difference of $PM_{2.5}$ in our study is 8.5 μg/m^3 during a 5-year period (Table 2), and may have
366 an easily-distinguishable impact on health.
367 The analysis of the interaction between age and $PM_{2.5}$ suggested that the $PM_{2.5}$-depression
368 association is weaker among older adults (Table S2). Although few similar depression findings have
369 been reported, the relative risk of $PM_{2.5}$ is assumed to decline with age for the exposure-response

370 functions of other outcomes, such as mortality (Burnett et al. 2014). Because the risks factors other
371 than air pollution increase with age, such an effect-modification is feasible.
372 The central government carried out an action plan of air pollution control and prevention from 2013
373 to 2017 to tackle severe air pollution in China (Xue et al. 2019a). Guided by the plan, provincial
374 governments committed to a targeted reduction in annual concentration of particulate matter over
375 5 years and drew up emission-control pathways towards the targets. For example, the Beijing
376 government planned to reduce the annual concentration of $PM_{2.5}$ to 60 μg/m^3 by 2017 and enacted
377 a series of clean air actions, including (1) coal-fired boiler control, (2) promotion of clean fuels in the
378 residential sector, (3) optimization of the industrial structure, (4) fugitive dust control, (5) vehicle-
379 emission control, (6) improved end-of-pipe control, and (7) integrated treatment of volatile organic
380 compounds. Based on a chemical-transport model analysis (Cheng et al. 2019), these seven
381 measures respectively led to $PM_{2.5}$ concentration reductions of 5.9, 5.3, 3.2, 2.3, 1.9, 1.8, and 0.2
382 μg/m^3, which resulted in a considerable change in the mean monitored $PM_{2.5}$ concentration from
383 89.5 μg/m^3 in 2013 to 58.0 μg/m^3 in 2017. The clean air actions were expected to protect the public
384 health by reducing cardiorespiratory mortalities associated with $PM_{2.5}$ exposure (Xue et al. 2019a).
385 The present study showed that these actions can also bring benefits to adult mental health, which
386 further reduces the disease burden by decreasing the YLDs attributable to mental disorders.
387 The present study also examined the effect of aging on depression and compared it to the effect of
388 $PM_{2.5}$ concentration. Such an analysis improved the interpretability of the association between $PM_{2.5}$
389 concentration and the depression and has policy implications. The Chinese population is expected to
390 age rapidly because of a low fertility rate. According to the world population projection for the total
391 population of China (Figure S6) (http://dataexplorer.wittgensteincentre.org/wcde-v2/), the mean
392 age of all Chinese adults ≥ 40 years old will increase from 55 years in 2010 to 62–65 years in 2050.
393 Based on our model (Table S2), population aging could offset the benefits from a 14.9–21.3 μg/m^3
394 reduction in $PM_{2.5}$ concentration. Assuming that air quality meets the current national standard
395 (annual mean $PM_{2.5}$ < 35 μg/m^3) in 2050, the population-weighted concentration of $PM_{2.5}$ would
396 decrease by 26 μg/m^3 (from 61 μg/m^3 in 2010 to 35 μg/m^3 in 2017). Therefore, the positive impact of
397 $PM_{2.5}$ concentration reduction on depression is comparable to the negative impact of population
398 aging. This rough analysis suggests that the older population requires more stringent air quality
399 standards to protect their mental health. However, notably, the estimated association between age
400 and depression not only reflects the underlying physiological effects (e.g., increased oxidative stress)
401 but also the socioeconomic effects (e.g., decreased income) attributable to aging, and thus it may
402 not be representative of the future population. Therefore, exactly quantifying the combined impacts
403 of a change in air quality and population aging is beyond the capacity of this study. Further

404 prospective studies of the effects of changes in societal and cultural factors on the mental health of
405 an aging population, and air quality, are warranted.
406 This study was subjected to the following limitations. First, exposure to ambient $PM_{2.5}$ was assessed
407 at the city-level due to the lack of specific addresses, which resulted in misclassification of exposure
408 by ignoring the within-city variation in $PM_{2.5}$ concentrations. The misclassification might also be
409 caused by falsely specifying the exposure time-window. Because detailed survey dates were
410 unavailable in the open-access CHARLS data, the long-term exposure to $PM_{2.5}$ was evaluated using
411 annual means based on monthly scale time-series. Additionally, uncertainties in the $PM_{2.5}$
412 concentration hindcast estimator are another source of exposure misclassification, which usually
413 leads to an underestimated association. Second, although this study controlled for temporally
414 invariant confounders (e.g., bullying victimization and childhood sexual abuse) and a few
415 longitudinal covariates (including marriage, aging, and alcohol drinking), it may have missed some
416 risk factors for depression. Although the estimates are unlikely to be confounded by some of them
417 (e.g., drug abuse), failure to adjust for all potential confounders could have biased our results. Third,
418 this study utilized $PM_{2.5}$ mass concentration as a general indicator of ambient air quality, which may
419 have underestimated the complexities of air pollution toxicity. For instance, $PM_{2.5}$ is a mixture of
420 particles of different chemical species and sizes, and between-component variations in toxicity have
421 been shown for $PM_{2.5}$ (Han and Zhu, 2015, Han et al., 2016). Additionally, the estimated association
422 between $PM_{2.5}$ and depression might not be attributable to only itself, but also to other air
423 pollutants, such as ozone (Zhao et al. 2018), that co-vary with $PM_{2.5}$. Therefore, further studies on
424 the effects on mental health of other air pollutants are warranted. Finally, some CHARLS subjects
425 dropped out of the study for various reasons, which may have biased the results (Chen et al. 2017).
426 Also, the limited information on missing data from the publicly available CHARLS datasets hampered
427 the derivation of sampling weights for the statistical models, reducing the national
428 representativeness of the findings. Given the above limitations, the causality of our findings should
429 be interpreted cautiously.
430
431 **Conclusions**
432 Based on longitudinal measurements from 2011 to 2015 of a nationwide representative sample of
433 15,954 subjects, we found a robust association between an increase in $PM_{2.5}$ concentration and
434 depression risk among the older in China. Due to clean air actions, the exposure concentrations of
435 $PM_{2.5}$ decreased rapidly from 61.1 $\mu g/m^3$ to 53.3 $\mu g/m^3$, which improved mental health, and had a
436 stronger impact than the negative effect from 5 years of aging. This study not only enriched the
437 epidemiological evidence on the adverse effects of air pollution on mental health, but also indicated
438 the mental health benefits from the clean air policies in China.

Declarations

Ethics approval and consent to participate

The CHARLS and its data usage was approved by the Ethics Review Committee of Peking University (IRB00001052–11015).

Consent for publication

Not applicable

Availability of data and materials

The data that support the findings of this study are available from China Center for Economic Research, Peking University but restrictions apply to the availability of these data, which were used under license for the current study, and so are not publicly available. Data are however available from the authors upon reasonable request and with permission of China Center for Economic Research, Peking University.

Competing interests

The authors declare that they have no competing interests.

Funding

National Natural Science Foundation of China (41701591, 81571130100, and 41421064) and Ministry of Science and Technology of China (2015CB553401).

Authors' contributions

T.X. & T.Z. designed this study; T.X., Y.Z., G.G. & Q.Z. prepared and analysed the data; T.X., T.G. & T.Z. drafted the manuscript; all co-authors revised the manuscript together.

Acknowledgements

Thanks to the China Center for Economic Research, National School of Development, Peking University for providing the CHARLS data. This work was supported by National Natural Science Foundation of China (41701591, 81571130100, and 41421064) and Ministry of Science and Technology of China (2015CB553401). The funders had no role in study design, data collection and analysis, decision to publish or preparation of the manuscript.

References

Bates D, Mächler M, Bolker B, Walker S. 2014. Fitting linear mixed-effects models using lme4. arXiv preprint arXiv:14065823.

Boey KW. 1999. Cross-validation of a short form of the ces-d in chinese elderly. Int J Geriatr Psych 14:608-617.

Burnett RT, Pope III CA, Ezzati M, Olives C, Lim SS, Mehta S, et al. 2014. An integrated risk function for estimating the global burden of disease attributable to ambient fine particulate matter exposure. Environ Health Persp 122:397-403.

Buuren Sv, Groothuis-Oudshoorn K. 2010. Mice: Multivariate imputation by chained equations in r. Journal of statistical software:1-68.

Chen H, Kwong JC, Copes R, Tu K, Villeneuve PJ, van Donkelaar A, et al. 2017. Living near major roads and the incidence of dementia, parkinson's disease, and multiple sclerosis: A population-based cohort study. Lancet 389:718-726.

Chen X, Smith J, Strauss J, Wang Y, Zhao Y. 2017. China health and retirement longitudinal study (charls).

Chen Y, Ebenstein A, Greenstone M, Li H. 2013. Evidence on the impact of sustained exposure to air pollution on life expectancy from china’s huai river policy. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences 110:12936-12941.

Cheng J, Su JP, Cui T, Li X, Dong X, Sun F, et al. 2019. Dominant role of emission reduction in pm2.5 air quality improvement in beijing during 2013-2017: A model-based decomposition analysis. Atmos Chem Phys 19:6125-6146.

Croissant Y, Millo G. 2008. Panel data econometrics in r: The plm package. Journal of Statistical Software 27:1-43.

Deschenes O, Meng KC. 2018. Quasi-experimental methods in environmental economics: And challenges opportunities. Handbook of Environmental Economics 4:285.

Ferrari AJ, Norman RE, Freedman G, Baxter AJ, Pirkis JE, Harris MG, et al. 2014. The burden attributable to mental and substance use disorders as risk factors for suicide: Findings from the global burden of disease study 2010. Plos One 9.

Generaal E, Hoogendijk EO, Stam M, Henke CE, Rutters F, Oosterman M, et al. 2019. Neighbourhood characteristics and prevalence and severity of depression: Pooled analysis of eight dutch cohort studies. Br J Psychiatry:1-8.

Goyal N, Karra M, Canning D. 2019. Early-life exposure to ambient fine particulate air pollution and infant mortality: Pooled evidence from 43 low-and middle-income countries. Int J Epidemiol.

Gu X, Liu Q, Deng F, Wang X, Lin H, Guo X, et al. 2019. Association between particulate matter air pollution and risk of depression and suicide: Systematic review and meta-analysis. The British Journal of Psychiatry:1-12.

Gunasekara FI, Richardson K, Carter K, Blakely T. 2014. Fixed effects analysis of repeated measures data. Int J Epidemiol 43:264-269.

Hu YY, Li P, Martikainen P. 2019. Rural-urban disparities in age trajectories of depression caseness in later life: The china health and retirement longitudinal study. Plos One 14.

Huang J, Pan X, Guo X, Li G. 2018. Health impact of china's air pollution prevention and control action plan: An analysis of national air quality monitoring and mortality data. The Lancet Planetary Health 2:e313-e323.

Klompmaker JO, Hoek G, Bloemsma LD, Wijga AH, van den Brink C, Brunekreef B, et al. 2019. Associations of combined exposures to surrounding green, air pollution and traffic noise on mental health. Environ Int 129:525-537.

Li Z, Chen L, Li M, Cohen J. 2018. Prenatal exposure to sand and dust storms and children's cognitive function in china: A quasi-experimental study. The Lancet Planetary Health 2:e214-e222.

Lin HL, Guo YF, Kowal P, Airhihenbuwa CO, Di Q, Zheng Y, et al. 2017. Exposure to air pollution and tobacco smoking and their combined effects on depression in six low- and middle-income countries. Brit J Psychiat 211:157-+.
Liu C, Yang CY, Zhao YH, Ma ZW, Bi J, Liu Y, et al. 2016. Associations between long-term exposure to ambient particulate air pollution and type 2 diabetes prevalence, blood glucose and glycosylated hemoglobin levels in china. Environ Int 92-93:416-421.
Ng CH. 1997. The stigma of mental illness in asian cultures. Australian & New Zealand Journal of Psychiatry 31:382-390.
Oudin A, Braback L, Astrom DO, Stromgren M, Forsberg B. 2016. Association between neighbourhood air pollution concentrations and dispensed medication for psychiatric disorders in a large longitudinal cohort of swedish children and adolescents. Bmj Open 6.
Pope III CA, Ezzati M, Dockery DW. 2009. Fine-particulate air pollution and life expectancy in the united states. New England Journal of Medicine 360:376-386.
Pun VC, Manjourides J, Suh H. 2017. Association of ambient air pollution with depressive and anxiety symptoms in older adults: Results from the nshap study. Environ Health Persp 125:342-348.
Pun VC, Manjourides J, Suh HH. 2019. Close proximity to roadway and urbanicity associated with mental ill-health in older adults. Sci Total Environ 658:854-860.
Rich DQ, Kipen HM, Huang W, Wang G, Wang Y, Zhu P, et al. 2012. Association between changes in air pollution levels during the beijing olympics and biomarkers of inflammation and thrombosis in healthy young adults. Jama 307:2068-2078.
Roberts S, Arseneault L, Barratt B, Beevers S, Danese A, Odgers CL, et al. 2019. Exploration of no2 and pm2.5 air pollution and mental health problems using high-resolution data in london-based children from a uk longitudinal cohort study. Psychiat Res 272:8-17.
Shin J, Park JY, Choi J. 2018. Long-term exposure to ambient air pollutants and mental health status: A nationwide population-based cross-sectional study. Plos One 13.
Stack S, Eshleman JR. 1998. Marital status and happiness: A 17-nation study. Journal of Marriage and the Family:527-536.
Sui GY, Liu GC, Jia LQ, Wang L, Yang GL. 2018. The association between ambient air pollution exposure and mental health status in chinese female college students: A cross-sectional study. Environ Sci Pollut R 25:28517-28524.
Sun X, Yang WT, Sun T, Wang YP. 2019. Negative emotion under haze: An investigation based on the microblog and weather records of tianjin, china. Int J Env Res Pub He 16.
Tallon LA, Manjourides J, Pun VC, Salhi C, Suh H. 2017. Cognitive impacts of ambient air pollution in the national social health and aging project (nshap) cohort. Environ Int 104:102-109.
Tian T, Chen YH, Zhu J, Liu PL. 2015. Effect of air pollution and rural-urban difference on mental health of the elderly in china. Iran J Public Health 44:1084-1094.
Vert C, Sanchez-Benavides G, Martinez D, Gotsens X, Gramunt N, Cirach M, et al. 2017. Effect of long-term exposure to air pollution on anxiety and depression in adults: A cross-sectional study. Int J Hyg Envir Heal 220:1074-1080.
Wang RY, Xue DS, Liu Y, Liu PH, Chen HS. 2018. The relationship between air pollution and depression in china: Is neighbourhood social capital protective? Int J Env Res Pub He 15.
Wang RY, Liu Y, Xue DS, Yao Y, Liu PH, Helbich M. 2019. Cross-sectional associations between long-term exposure to particulate matter and depression in china: The mediating effects of sunlight, physical activity, and neighborly reciprocity. J Affect Disorders 249:8-14.
Wood S, Scheipl F. 2014. Gamm4: Generalized additive mixed models using mgcv and lme4. URL http://CRAN R-project org/package= gamm4.
Wood SN. 2011. Fast stable restricted maximum likelihood and marginal likelihood estimation of semiparametric generalized linear models. J R Stat Soc B 73:3-36.
Xue T, Liu J, Zhang Q, Geng G, Zheng Y, Tong D, et al. 2019a. Rapid improvement of pm 2.5 pollution and associated health benefits in china during 2013–2017. SCIENCE CHINA Earth Sciences.

Xue T, Zheng YX, Tong D, Zheng B, Li X, Zhu T, et al. 2019a. Spatiotemporal continuous estimates of pm2.5 concentrations in china, 2000-2016: A machine learning method with inputs from satellites, chemical transport model, and ground observations. Environ Int 123:345-357.
Xue T, Zhu T, Zheng Y, Liu J, Li X, Zhang Q. 2019b. Change in the number of pm2.5-attributed deaths in china from 2000 to 2010: Comparison between estimations from census-based epidemiology and pre-established exposure-response functions. Environ Int 129:430-437.
Xue T, Zhu T, Zheng YX, Zhang Q. 2019b. Declines in mental health associated with air pollution and temperature variability in china. Nat Commun 10.
Zhang Q, Jiang XJ, Tong D, Davis SJ, Zhao HY, Geng GN, et al. 2017. Transboundary health impacts of transported global air pollution and international trade. Nature 543:705-+.
Zhang Q, Zheng Y, Tong D, Shao M, Wang S, Zhang Y, et al. 2019. Drivers of improved pm2. 5 air quality in china from 2013 to 2017. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences 116:24463-24469.
Zhang X, Zhang XB, Chen X. 2017. Happiness in the air: How does a dirty sky affect mental health and subjective well-being? J Environ Econ Manag 85:81-94.
Zhang X, Chen X, Zhang XB. 2018. The impact of exposure to air pollution on cognitive performance. P Natl Acad Sci USA 115:9193-9197.
Zhao T, Markevych I, Romanos M, Nowak D, Heinrich J. 2018. Ambient ozone exposure and mental health: A systematic review of epidemiological studies. Environ Res 165:459-472.
Zhao Y, Strauss J, Yang G, Giles J, Hu P, Hu Y, et al. 2013. China health and retirement longitudinal study–2011–2012 national baseline users' guide. Beijing: National School of Development, Peking University:1-56.
Zhao YH, Hu YS, Smith JP, Strauss J, Yang GH. 2014. Cohort profile: The china health and retirement longitudinal study (charls). Int J Epidemiol 43:61-68.
Zheng SQ, Wang JH, Sun C, Zhang XN, Kahn ME. 2019. Air pollution lowers chinese urbanites' expressed happiness on social media. Nat Hum Behav 3:237-243.
Zheng YX, Xue T, Zhang Q, Geng GN, Tong D, Li X, et al. 2017. Air quality improvements and health benefits from china's clean air action since 2013. Environ Res Lett 12.
Zijlema WL, Wolf K, Emeny R, Ladwig KH, Peters A, Kongsgard H, et al. 2016. The association of air pollution and depressed mood in 70,928 individuals from four european cohorts. Int J Hyg Envir Heal 219:212-219.

Figure Legends



Figure 1. Map of study region with long-term averages of $PM_{2.5}$ concentrations (2010–2015).



Figure 2. Estimated associations between the depression score and $PM_{2.5}$ concentration. In the difference-in-difference (DD) analysis, the model adjusted for age incorporated age at 2011 as a covariate; the standard adjustment also involved the fixed variables of urban/rural residence, sex, and education, as well as the longitudinal variables of ambient temperature, marriage status, smoking, and drinking. The full adjustment also considered longitudinal changes in cooking energy type, building type, residential rent payment, presence of an in-house telephone, and indoor temperature maintenance. The longitudinal models adjusted for the constant variables in a similar manner as in the DD models; however, adjustment of the longitudinal variables was based on the values recorded in each survey wave, rather than their between-wave changes therein.

(a)



(b)



Figure 3. Nonlinear effects of (a) $PM_{2.5}$ concentration and (b) age on the C-ESD score. Dashed lines, pointwise 95% confidence intervals. The histograms show the distribution of $PM_{2.5}$ exposure and age.



Figure 4. Impacts of reducing $PM_{2.5}$ concentration, aging, and changes in other factors on the C-ESD score. The changes in risk factors (Δx) were evaluated using a fixed group of subjects who participated in all three China Health and Retirement Longitudinal Study (CHARLS) waves; the corresponding coefficients were estimated from the longitudinal model with standard adjustment (Figure 2) and presented in Table S3.

Tables

Table 1. Constant variables of the studied subjects.

Variable	Subgroup	Number of subjects (percentage of the total)	
		All subjects	Subjects with three measurements
Total number of subjects		15,954 (100%)	9,123 (100%)
Education	Below elementary	6,133 (38.44%)	3,786 (41.50%)
	Elementary and middle	6,099 (38.23%)	4,220 (46.26%)
	Above middle	1,712 (10.73%)	1,117 (12.24%)
	Unknown	2,010 (12.60%)	0
Sex	Female	8,331 (52.22%)	4,702 (51.54%)
	Male	7,621 (47.77%)	4,421 (48.46%)
	Unknown	2 (0.01%)	0
Place of residence	Rural	9,765 (61.21%)	5,748 (63.01%)
	Urban	6,189 (38.79%)	3,375 (36.99%)
Region	Midwest	5,367 (33.64%)	3,152 (0.35%)
	North	4,451 (27.90%)	2,586 (0.28%)
	Southeast	6,136 (38.46%)	3,385 (0.37%)

Table 2. Longitudinal variables of the studied subjects.

		Total	2011 CHARLS*	2013 CHARLS	2015 CHARLS
Variable		Mean (standard deviation)			
Depression score		8.1 (6.2)	8.3 (6.3)	7.8 (5.8)	8.1 (6.4)
$PM_{2.5}$ concentration ($\mu g/m^3$)		58.2 (19.8)	61.6 (18.9)	60.3 (22.2)	53.1 (16.7)
Temperature (°C)		14.0 (5.3)	13.6 (5.2)	13.9 (5.6)	14.4 (5.0)
Age (years)		60.5 (9.3)	59.2 (9.2)	60.3 (9.4)	61.9 (9.2)
Variable	Subgroup	Number of visits (percentage of the total)			
Total number of visits		41,031 (100%)	12,658 (100%)	14,352 (100%)	14,021 (100%)
Married	No	6727 (16.39%)	1964 (15.52%)	2276 (15.86%)	2487 (17.74%)
	Yes	34303 (83.60%)	10694 (84.48%)	12076 (84.14%)	11533 (82.26%)
	Unknown	1 (0.00%)	0	0	1 (0.01%)

Smoking	No	28422 (69.27%)	8797 (69.50%)	9970 (69.47%)	9655 (68.86%)
	Yes	12608 (30.73%)	3861 (30.50%)	4381 (30.53%)	4366 (31.14%)
	Unknown	1 (0.00%)	0	1 (0.01%)	0
Drinking	Frequent	10944 (26.67%)	3238 (25.58%)	3934 (27.41%)	3772 (26.90%)
	Rare	3312 (8.07%)	977 (7.72%)	1140 (7.94%)	1195 (8.52%)
	Never	26758 (65.21%)	8443 (66.70%)	9266 (64.56%)	9049 (64.54%)
	Unknown	17 (0.04%)	0	12 (0.08%)	5 (0.04%)
Cooking energy type	Clean	21257 (51.81%)	5548 (43.83%)	7686 (53.55%)	8023 (57.22%)
	Unclean	19292 (47.02%)	6965 (55.02%)	6512 (45.37%)	5815 (41.47%)
	Unknown	482 (1.17%)	145 (1.15%)	154 (1.07%)	183 (1.31%)
Building type	One story	23683 (57.72%)	7884 (62.28%)	8684 (60.51%)	7115 (50.75%)
	Multi-storey	17159 (41.82%)	4722 (37.30%)	5614 (39.12%)	6823 (48.66%)
	Unknown	189 (0.46%)	52 (0.41%)	54 (0.38%)	83 (0.59%)
Rent payment for residence	No	39353 (95.91%)	12289 (97.08%)	13734 (95.69%)	13330 (95.07%)
	Yes	1200 (2.92%)	285 (2.25%)	457 (3.18%)	458 (3.27%)
	Unknown	478 (1.16%)	84 (0.66%)	161 (1.12%)	233 (1.66%)
In-house telephone	No	24798 (60.44%)	6350 (50.17%)	8482 (59.10%)	9966 (71.08%)
	Yes	16122 (39.29%)	6262 (49.47%)	5823 (40.57%)	4037 (28.79%)
	Unknown	111 (0.27%)	46 (0.36%)	47 (0.33%)	18 (0.13%)
Indoor temperature maintenance	Very hot	412 (1.00%)	254 (2.01%)	74 (0.52%)	84 (0.60%)
	Hot	3573 (8.71%)	1345 (10.63%)	1134 (7.90%)	1094 (7.80%)
	Bearable	34561 (84.23%)	10491 (82.88%)	12189 (84.93%)	11881 (84.74%)
	Cold	1342 (3.27%)	436 (3.44%)	516 (3.60%)	390 (2.78%)
	Very cold	102 (0.25%)	63 (0.50%)	23 (0.16%)	16 (0.11%)
	Unknown	1041 (2.54%)	69 (0.55%)	416 (2.90%)	556 (3.97%)

* CHARLS, China Health and Retirement Longitudinal Study