Characterisation of alcohol polygenic risk scores in the context of mental health outcomes: Within-individual and intergenerational analyses in the Avon Longitudinal Study of Parents and Children

Kayleigh E Easey[1,2], Robyn E Wootton[1,2], Hannah M Sallis[1,2,3,4], Elis Haan[1,3], Laura Schellhas[1,3], Marcus R Munafò[1,3], Nicholas Timpson[1,2], Luisa Zuccolo[1,2]

1. MRC Integrative Epidemiology Unit at the University of Bristol, Bristol, UK
2. Department of Population Health Sciences, Bristol Medical School, University of Bristol, UK
3. School of Psychological Science, University of Bristol, Bristol, UK
4. Centre for Academic Mental Health, Bristol Medical School, University of Bristol, UK

Word count: 3621

Abstract

Background: Heavy alcohol consumption often co-occurs with mental health problems; this could be due to confounding, shared biological mechanisms, or causal effects. Polygenic risk scores (PRS) for alcohol use can be used to explore this association at critical life stages. *Design:* We characterised a PRS reliably associated with patterns of adult alcohol consumption by 1) validating whether it predicts own alcohol use at different life-stages (pregnancy, adolescence) of interest for mental health impact. Additionally, we explored associations of alcohol PRS on mental health phenotypes 2) within-individuals and 3) intergenerationally. We used data from the Avon Longitudinal Study of Parents and Children (ALSPAC) (*n*=960 to 7841). Additional substance abuse behaviours and mental health/behavioural outcomes were also investigated (alcohol phenotypes *n*=22*;* health phenotypes *n*=91). *Findings:* Maternal alcohol PRS was associated with consumption phenotypes during pregnancy (strongest signal: alcohol frequency at 18 weeks' gestation: *β*=0.041, 95% CI=1.02 to 1.8), $p=1.01\times10^{-5}$, adjusted R^2=1.16%) but offspring alcohol PRS did not predict offspring alcohol consumption in adolescence. We found evidence for an association of maternal PRS with own perinatal depression (OR=1.10, 95% CI=0.02 to 0.06, *p*=0.02) and decreased offspring intellectual ability (*β*=-0.209, 95% CI -0.38 to 0.04, *p*=0.016). *Conclusions*: This characterisation reveals the alcohol PRS as a valid proxy for maternal alcohol use in pregnancy in future aetiological studies. Offspring PRS was not associated with drinking in adolescence. Consistently with results from different study designs, we found evidence that maternal alcohol PRS are associated with both prenatal depression and decreased offspring intellectual ability.

Keywords: alcohol, mental health, polygenic risk score, ALSPAC

Introduction

Alcohol use frequently co-occurs with mental health problems (Jané-Llopis & Matytsina, 2006; Mamluk et al., 2020; Skogen et al., 2014), but we do not yet fully understand the nature and extent of these associations. Previous research has implicated two critical life stages. First, during adolescence and early adulthood, when individuals often experience the onset of mental health problems (Kessler et al., 2007) and engage in more risky drinking behaviours (Heron et al., 2012; Marshall, 2014). Second, during pregnancy, as worse mental health outcomes are observed in offspring prenatally exposed to alcohol (Easey, Dyer, Timpson, & Munafò, 2019; Easey, Timpson, & Munafò, 2020; Mamluk et al., 2017). One way to explore the association between alcohol use and mental health outcomes, is using polygenic risk scores (PRS). PRS comprised of genetic variants associated with alcohol use, can act as a proxy for alcohol consumption, and reduce bias from confounding compared with observational evidence (Davey Smith et al., 2007). When using alcohol PRS to explore the association with mental health phenotypes, we can look both within-individuals and intergenerationally, to further understand these critical time points.

Genetic variants have been identified that are associated with increased alcohol consumption in adults (Liu et al., 2019), but to date there have been no genome-wide association studies (GWAS) of alcohol consumption in pregnant women or adolescents. We cannot simply assume that the same genetic variants will be associated with alcohol consumption throughout the life course. For example, maternal metabolism is altered during pregnancy to meet the physiological demands on the mother, as well as to promote healthy development of the fetus (Burd, Blair, & Dropps, 2012; Hadden & McLaughlin, 2009; Shankar, Ronis, & Badger, 2007). Furthermore, patterns of drinking during adolescence have been shown to differ compared to adult drinking (Casswell, Pledger, & Pratap, 2002). Therefore, in order to conduct PRS analyses at these critical time points, we must first validate the genetic variants identified in the general population for use in pregnant women and adolescents. For

example, the *ADH$_1$B* gene (involved in metabolizing ethanol and linked with alcohol dependence in adults (Bierut et al., 2012) has been shown to also associate with alcohol consumption before and during pregnancy (Zuccolo et al., 2009).

In this paper, our first aim was to validate all genome-wide significant variants associated with alcohol consumption in the most recent GWAS (Liu et al., 2019) for use during pregnancy and adolescence. In the second stage of our analysis, we used these PRS for alcohol consumption to investigate the association between alcohol consumption and mental health. We did this in two ways: first, we explored if maternal and offspring own alcohol PRS were associated with own mental health (within-individual) using a targeted phenome wide association study (PheWAS) design (aim 2). We then examined the intergenerational association between maternal alcohol PRS and offspring alcohol and mental health phenotypes (aim 3). If associations between maternal alcohol PRS and offspring phenotypes are due to shared genetic contributions to both exposure and outcome variables, we might expect weaker associations for maternal alcohol PRS and offspring phenotypes, compared to own alcohol PRS and mental health phenotypes (in either mothers or offspring). This is because offspring share 50% of their variegated genotype with their mother, and we would therefore expect the observed effects to be roughly half the size of those in the mother's analyses in the presence of a causal intergeneration effect. The availability of data measuring the same constructs in early life (pre-alcohol use around ages 7-10 years) and adolescence and early adulthood when alcohol use is likely to commence (13-24 years), enabled us to perform a negative control analysis. In early life where associations were unlikely to be mediated by child's own alcohol initiation and use. This study used longitudinal data on multiple generations (mother and offspring) and at different timepoints available from the Avon Longitudinal Study of Parents and Children (ALSPAC).

Methods

Study population

The Avon Longitudinal Study of Parents and Children (ALSPAC) is an ongoing population-based study, which recruited pregnant women residing in Avon, UK, with expected dates of delivery between April 1, 1991, and December 31, 1992. The core sample consisted of 14,541 pregnant women, of which 14,062 were live births and alive at 1 year of age. Participants have been regularly followed up through clinic visits and questionnaires (see supplementary Methods). Detailed information about ALSPAC is available on the study website which includes a fully searchable data dictionary of available data (**http://www.bris.ac.uk/alspac/researchers/data-access/data-dictionary**). The phases of enrolment are described in more detail in the cohort profile paper and its update (Boyd et al., 2013; Fraser et al., 2013; Northstone et al., 2019).

Genotyping and quality control

Children from the ALSPAC cohort were genotyped using the Illumina HumanHap550 quad chip genotyping platforms. Mothers from ALSPAC were genotyped using the Illumina human 660W-quad array at Centre National de G*énotypage* (CNG). For further detail on this please see Supplementary Methods, and the methods previously described by Paternoster and colleagues, and Taylor and colleagues (Paternoster et al., 2011; Taylor et al., 2018).

Alcohol polygenic risk score

A recent large scale GWAS (Liu et al., 2019) used 941,280 individuals and identified 99 SNPs related to the number of alcoholic drinks consumed per week using a threshold of $5x10^{-8}$, explaining 2.5% of the variance in drinks per week. A total of 34 cohorts were meta-analysed and drinks per week was broadly defined as the average number of alcoholic drinks (aggregated across all alcohol types) consumed per week (see Supplementary Methods). We

calculated a PRS for alcohol use based on these 99 conditionally independent genome-wide significant SNPs (Liu et al., 2019) (see Table S1), weights were based on the effect estimates reported in the original publication. The PRS for alcohol use were calculated both for the mothers and offspring using PLINK V1.9. 86 variants and 7921 mothers and 7977 offspring remained after pruning and quality control checks within ALSPAC. The ALSPAC cohort was included within the original GWAS by Liu and colleagues, accounting for 8,913 participants out of a total sample size of 941,280. Previous studies have suggested any bias introduced by this level of overlap would be minimal (Burgess, Davies, & Thompson, 2016). However, as a sensitivity analysis we also ran the main analyses using summary statistics from GSCAN that excluded both ALSPAC and 23andMe.

Phenotyping

Targeted phenotypes were selected for available alcohol use phenotypes (*n* = 22*)* and mental health/behavioural phenotypes (*n* = 91) within ALSPAC. For maternal phenotypes (*n* = 30; see Fig. 1), variables were recorded during pregnancy (8 to 32 weeks gestation). For the child's phenotypes (*n* = 61; see Fig. 2), primary outcome variables were selected as close to age 18 years as possible and negative control variables were selected as close to age 7 years as possible, before alcohol initiation was likely to have occurred. Further details of variable selection are given in Supplementary Methods.

[Insert Fig. 1 and 2 about here]

Statistical analysis

Using Stata version 15.1 (StataCorp, 2017), linear and logistic regression analyses were used to investigate whether own alcohol PRS were associated with 1) alcohol consumption for mothers during pregnancy and in adolescence for offspring, and 2) mental health phenotypes (for mothers during pregnancy, and for children both pre-alcohol use around ages 7-10 years,

and post-alcohol use around ages 13-24 years). In addition, similar analyses were used to investigate whether maternal alcohol PRS was associated with 3) offspring alcohol and mental health phenotypes (to test for possible intergenerational effects). All analyses were performed separately in children and mothers. Analyses were adjusted for sex (in the children only), age (at questionnaire completion or clinic attendance), and the first 10 ancestry-informative principal components.

Sensitivity analyses were conducted using Bonferroni-corrected p-values (see Supplementary Methods). For Bonferroni correction, we used an α value of 0.05 / number of tests within each analysis. However, Bonferroni correction is a very conservative test, and it was not unexpected that our sample size might not be sufficient to provide statistical evidence of effects when testing multiple highly correlated traits (therefore not independent tests). Bonferroni correction is more stringent and too conservative in the presence of correlated traits such as the phenotypes used in the current study. Due to the conservative nature of Bonferroni correction when tests are not independent, permutation tests were also conducted accounting for any degree of correlation between the variables in each test.

Three separate Miami plots show associations for 1) validating alcohol PRS at different life-stages (in pregnancy and adolescence), and associations of alcohol PRS and offspring substance use/mental health phenotypes 2) within individuals and 3) intergenerationally (Fig. 3-5). These figures indicate the direction of association by multiplying the $-\log_{10} p$ by -1 for results of a negative β: $\mathrm{sgn}(\beta)(-\log_{10} p)$.

Follow up analyses were conducted to investigate associations of maternal alcohol PRS with of maternal lifetime drinking (i.e., outside of pregnancy), including maternal alcohol consumption frequency when offspring were aged 4 and 8 years, number of occasions mothers binge drank, and maternal AUDIT risk.

As a further sensitivity analysis, we recreated the PRS using summary statistics excluding both ALSPAC and 23andMe and repeated our main analyses on this PRS. This did not substantially change our findings, see Supplementary Tables 10- 12.

Results

Sample sizes ranged between 2,540 and 7269 for analyses of maternal alcohol PRS and maternal phenotypes, between 1,035 and 7,841 for offspring alcohol PRS and offspring phenotypes, and between 960 and 7,727 for intergenerational analyses of maternal alcohol PRS and offspring phenotypes, see Supplementary Tables for sample sizes for individual analyses.

Of the mothers who responded to the questionnaire concerning alcohol use at 18 weeks gestation, 2% reported never consuming alcohol during this pregnancy, while 98% reported that they had consumed alcohol and 18% reported binge drinking within pregnancy.

Aim 1) Alcohol use PRS and alcohol phenotypes. Maternal alcohol PRS previously identified in the general population were shown to be associated with maternal alcohol use during pregnancy (see Fig. 3). Each SD increase in genetic score for alcohol consumption was associated with a 0.04 unit increase in the number of drinks consumed each week at 18 weeks gestation (95% CI 0.02 to 0.06, $p = 1.01 \times 10^{-5}$), 0.03 unit increase in the number of days mothers binge drank at 18 weeks gestation (95% CI 0.01 to 0.05, $p = 9.19 \times 10^{-4}$), 0.04 unit increase in the number of days mothers binge drank at 32 weeks gestation (95% CI 0.02 to 0.06, $p = 2.37 \times 10^{-4}$) and 0.25 unit increase in the in the number of drinks consumed each week at 32 weeks gestation (95% CI 0.04 to 0.36, $p = 1.70 \times 10^{-5}$) (Fig. 3). There was little evidence that offspring PRS for alcohol use was associated with any of the offspring alcohol measures at ages 13 or 18 years (Fig. 4).

[Insert Fig. 3 about here]

Aim 2) Alcohol use PRS and mental health phenotypes (during pregnancy, age ~7 and age ~18). Maternal alcohol PRS was associated with increased maternal depression at 32 weeks gestation (OR = 1.09, 95% CI = 1.02 to 1.18, *p* = 0.022), see Table S4. There was no clear evidence of association between maternal alcohol PRS and any of the other mental health phenotypes (Fig. 3). There was little evidence that offspring alcohol PRS were associated with any of the child mental health phenotypes (see Table S5).

[Insert Fig. 4 about here]

Aim 3) Intergenerational. Maternal alcohol PRS and offspring alcohol and mental health phenotypes (age ~7 and age ~ 18). Maternal alcohol PRS were associated with increased offspring AUDIT total score (β = 0.184, 95% CI = 0.02, to 0.35, *p* = 0.028) (Table S3, and Fig. 5). Of the measured offspring phenotypes, maternal alcohol PRS were associated with decreased scores in intellectual ability at age 13 (β = -0.209, 95% CI = -0.38 to -0.04, *p* = 0.016, see Tables S3 and S6). Maternal alcohol PRS showed little evidence of association with any of the other offspring outcomes (see Table S6).

[Insert Fig. 5 about here]

Follow up analyses of measures of maternal drinking outside of pregnancy showed each SD increase in maternal alcohol PRS was associated with a 0.03 unit increase in the number of alcoholic units consumed daily when offspring were age 4 (95% CI 0.01 to 0.05, $p = 8.9 \times 10^{-4}$), and 0.05 at age 8 (95% CI 0.02 to 0.08, $p = 8.9 \times 10^{-4}$), as well as a 0.07 unit increase in the

number of days mothers binge drank when offspring were age 5 (95% CI 0.04 to 0.10 p = 5.5×10^{-6}). Maternal alcohol PRS was also associated with increased AUDIT total score when offspring were age 18 (β = 0.03, 95% CI = 0.01 to 0.05, p = 0.006).*Sensitivity analyses.* After permutation analyses, the strength of evidence persisted for maternal alcohol PRS associating with maternal depression at 32 weeks gestation: *p* = 0.016. Whereas the intergenerational association between maternal PRS and offspring phenotypes was attenuated (see Tables S7 to S9).

Discussion

We have shown that PRS for alcohol consumption reliably associates with consumption in pregnancy, but not in adolescence/young adulthood. This suggests that alcohol PRS derived in the general population is associated with consumption patterns during pregnancy and can be applied in future epidemiological analysis focused on this timing for exposure. Although we couldn't find conclusive statistical evidence for an effect of the alcohol PRS in predicting consumption in adolescence, the possibility of a false negative remains, due to limited statistical power. Other reasons for this finding include a true difference in genetic susceptibility for earlier compared with later drinking behaviour (the PRS having been discovered in much older aged cohorts (Liu et al., 2019)). For example, in adolescents and younger adults, drinking patterns are not yet fully established and may be more subject to social influences (Maggs & Schulenberg, 2004). Previous studies have shown that alcohol use often increases over time from adolescence to adulthood (Casswell et al., 2002; Paavola, Vartiainen, & Haukkala, 2004). We also found that maternal alcohol PRS was associated with maternal alcohol use phenotypes outside of pregnancy, replicating recent results from heterogeneous populations, and explaining up to 3.5% of variance in alcohol use (Barr et al., 2019; Chang et al., 2019) (Barr et al., 2019; Chang et al., 2019). However, much of the previous research using the drinks per week PRS (Liu et al., 2019) in a general sample of adults, has focused on alcohol

use disorders as opposed to general (non-problematic) drinking. The current study adds to this literature by further validating the SNPs from Liu and colleagues as expected in a general adult sample.We subsequently investigated associations between both maternal and offspring PRS for increased alcohol consumption, and multiple mental health outcomes. In within-individual analyses we found evidence of association between maternal PRS and increased maternal depression at 32 weeks gestation. Alcohol abuse is shown to have high comorbidity with mental health problems (Burns & Teesson, 2002; Kessler et al., 1997), and these results may suggest a potential causal effect of alcohol consumption on maternal depression, or a shared biological contribution to both alcohol consumption and mental health. Mendelian randomization studies have previously identified a causal role of genetic liability for major depression and alcohol dependence (Polimanti et al., 2019; Zhou et al., 2017). Our finding is in line with this literature, and it extends it to lower non-pathological alcohol use levels in a general population of pregnant women.

The offspring alcohol PRS was also not shown to be associated with any of the mental health outcomes in the offspring subpopulation, at ages ~7 and ~18 years. The outcomes at age 7 provided a negative control, because the offspring are unlikely to have started consuming alcohol themselves. Therefore, any associations are likely to be due to horizontal pleiotropy or maternal drinking, rather than the offspring's own alcohol use. However, as the offspring alcohol PRS did not predict offspring alcohol consumption, we cannot make inferences about the association between alcohol use and mental health. The sample sizes for offspring phenotypes were also relatively small as they were mostly collected around age 18, when the cohort has experienced significant attrition. Larger sample sizes would increase statistical power and potentially identify weaker associations.

We also investigated the effect of maternal alcohol PRS on offspring phenotypes to elucidate potential intergenerational associations. Within the intergenerational analyses, maternal alcohol PRS were associated with offspring increased risk of hazardous alcohol use

(AUDIT), but no other alcohol measures. The association with hazardous use could be due to genetic nurture, with offspring being more exposed to a parent engaging in high levels of alcohol use. The lack of association with other outcomes could again be due to the younger age of the adolescents having not yet fully established their drinking behaviour, or the smaller sample size and consequently reduced statistical power. Maternal alcohol PRS was also associated with decreased scores for intellectual ability. This could be either due to a causal intrauterine effect, offspring's own alcohol use (which is unlikely as we did not find an association with offspring alcohol use), or pleiotropic effects. As we had already shown maternal PRS to be a measure of increased maternal alcohol use, this would suggest that offspring of mothers with increased levels of alcohol PRS would have likely been exposed to greater amounts of prenatal alcohol exposure (PAE). Permutation testing attenuated the strength of evidence in support of the intergenerational associations for offspring cognitive outcomes.

We chose to use PRS comprising only genome-wide significant SNPs, because we valued specificity over predictive value for our current research question. We aimed to identify possible causal consequences of alcohol consumption that could be followed up in downstream Mendelian randomisation analyses. Therefore, selecting genome-wide significant SNPs reduced the likelihood of pleiotropic associations and ensured the PRS was more specific to alcohol consumption. However, we acknowledge that this strategy does not maximise predictive value of the PRS, however, it does improve specificity (for the alcohol phenotype) as well as minimising pleiotropy. This decision was guided by the downstream application of the PRS in the context of causal inference – in particular its employment in Mendelian randomization (MR) analyses. Future investigation to formally investigate and detect pleiotropy and which SNPs within the PRS this may be due to, could be investigated in future studies using 2-sample MR. Key strengths of this study are the validation of the alcohol PRS in pregnant women, and its novel, proof-of-principle application to intergenerational analyses. However, several limitations should also be considered when interpreting these results. First, the small sample sizes reduced

our power to detect true associations. As PRS typically only explain a small proportion of phenotypic variance, even a moderately large sample as the ALSPAC study is not sufficient on its own to rule out moderate or small effect sizes in this context. Our results therefore warrant replication. Future follow-up studies should therefore use cohorts with larger sample sizes to address how alcohol PRS may influence mental health trajectories within the two generations of pregnant mothers and their offspring. Second, we did not conduct longitudinal analyses. Third, there was modest sample overlap between ALSPAC and the GWAS in which the SNPs for alcohol consumption were identified (13); the GWAS included 8913 participants from ALSPAC out of a total sample size of 941,280. However, thiswas unlikely to introduce substantial bias as the size of this overlap compared to the total included in the GWAS is minimal. As suggested by Burgess and colleagues (Burgess et al., 2016), we ran a sensitivity analysis to assess bias due to sample overlap. This showed no evidence of bias, with the estimates for all analyses largely unchanged. Previous studies have used similar sensitivity analyses when faced with sample overlap, and typically also find no evidence of bias when sample overlap is modest (Linden et al., 2020).

The current methods and data do not allow us to draw firm conclusions regarding the mechanisms underpinning the observed associations, and this was never the study's intention. Taken together with prior evidence from different study designs, which are robust to different biases, our intergenerational results point to a causal effect of mothers' alcohol PRS on offspring outcomes. In particular, this is consistent with a body of literature demonstrating adverse effects of PAE on offspring cognition (Mamluk et al., 2020). However, increased post-natal maternal alcohol use may also influence offspring outcomes, for example via how the mother interacts with or parents her child or environmental pathways (Lieb et al., 2000). In contrast, the likelihood that these findings could be due to horizontal pleiotropy, or shared genetic liability between increased alcohol use and cognition is low. This is because on the one hand, we did not observe any associations between child's own alcohol PRS and child

cognition, and on the other, the offspring outcomes where there was evidence of an association with maternal PRS occurred before the offspring were likely to have begun consuming alcohol.

Our findings validate the alcohol PRS as a reliable predictor for maternal alcohol consumption during pregnancy, but caution that the same PRS might not be a suitable proxy for consumption in adolescence. The additional analyses we carried out as part of our within-individual and intergenerational analyses (aims 2 and 3) replicated well-known epidemiological associations (e.g., of maternal alcohol use in pregnancy and lower offspring cognition), extending the known association of alcohol abuse and depression (Grant & Harford, 1995) to lower levels of drinking, and within pregnancy. Characterising alcohol PRS in the context of alcohol and mental health outcomes in this richly characterised multigenerational cohort should therefore be viewed as more than simply a proof-of-principle approach. Nevertheless, our results should be interpreted in the context of the study's limitations, and in particular that this approach alone cannot fully disentangle if associations are evidencing causal pathways or are due to pleiotropy. Follow-up analyses should be conducted within larger cohorts and focused on causal inference, for example, detailed MR analyses. .

Declarations of interest

None.

Acknowledgments

We are extremely grateful to all the families who took part in this study, the midwives for their help in recruiting them, and the whole ALSPAC team, which includes interviewers, computer and laboratory technicians, clerical workers, research scientists, volunteers, managers, receptionists and nurses. This work was supported by the UK Medical Research Council and the Wellcome Trust (Grant ref: 102215/2/13/2) and the University of Bristol provide which provide core support for ALSPAC. This work was performed in the UK Medical Research Council Integrative Epidemiology Unit, jointly funded by the University of Bristol and the UK MRC, in which MRM leads one of the programmes (MC_UU_00011/7). The MRC also funded KEE's PhD studentship. LZ was supported by a UK Medical Research Council fellowship (grant number G0902144). This research was also supported by the NIHR Bristol Biomedical Research Centre at University Hospitals Bristol NHS Foundation Trust and the University of Bristol. The views expressed in this publication are those of the authors and not necessarily those of the NHS, the National Institute for Health Research or the Department of Health and Social Care. NJT is a Wellcome Trust Investigator (202802/Z/16/Z), is the PI of the Avon Longitudinal Study of Parents and Children (MRC & WT 102215/2/13/2), is supported by the University of Bristol NIHR Biomedical Research Centre (BRC-1215-20011), the MRC Integrative Epidemiology Unit and works within the CRUK Integrative Cancer Epidemiology Programme (C18281/A19169). LS and EH are funded by CAPICE (Childhood and Adolescence Psychopathology: unravelling the complex etiology by a large Interdisciplinary Collaboration in Europe) project, funded by the European Union's Horizon 2020 research and innovation programme, Marie Sklodowska Curie Actions – MSCA-ITN-2016 – Innovative Training Networks under grant agreement number 721567. The UK Medical Research Council also funded the

most recent collection of alcohol information in the ALSPAC participants (grant number MR/L033306/1. A comprehensive list of grants funding is available on the ALSPAC website (http://www.bristol.ac.uk/alspac/external/documents/grant-acknowledgements.pdf). GWAS data was generated by Sample Logistics and Genotyping Facilities at Wellcome Sanger Institute and LabCorp (Laboratory Corporation of America) using support from 23andMe. This publication is the work of the authors and they will serve as guarantors for the contents of this paper.

References

Angold, A., Costello, E. J., Messer, S. C., Pickles, A., Winder, F., & Silver, D. (1995). Development of a short questionnaire for use in epidemiological studies of depression in children and adolescents. *Int. J. Methods. Psychiatr. Res., 5*, 237-249.

Barr, P. B., Kuo, S. I., Aliev, F., Latvala, A., Viken, R., Rose, R. J., . . . Dick, D. M. (2019). Polygenic risk for alcohol misuse is moderated by romantic partnerships. *Addiction, 114*(10), 1753-1762. doi:10.1111/add.14712

Bierut, L. J., Goate, A. M., Breslau, N., Johnson, E. O., Bertelsen, S., Fox, L., . . . Edenberg, H. J. (2012). ADH1B is associated with alcohol dependence and alcohol consumption in populations of European and African ancestry. *Mol Psychiatry, 17*(4), 445-450. doi:10.1038/mp.2011.124

Boyd, A., Golding, J., Macleod, J., Lawlor, D. A., Fraser, A., Henderson, J., . . . Davey Smith, G. (2013). Cohort Profile: the 'children of the 90s'--the index offspring of the Avon Longitudinal Study of Parents and Children. *Int J Epidemiol, 42*(1), 111-127. doi:10.1093/ije/dys064

Burd, L., Blair, J., & Dropps, K. (2012). Prenatal alcohol exposure, blood alcohol concentrations and alcohol elimination rates for the mother, fetus and newborn. *J Perinatol, 32*(9), 652-659. doi:10.1038/jp.2012.57

Burgess, S., Davies, N. M., & Thompson, S. G. (2016). Bias due to participant overlap in two-sample Mendelian randomization. *Genet Epidemiol, 40*(7), 597-608. doi:10.1002/gepi.21998

Burns, L., & Teesson, M. (2002). Alcohol use disorders comorbid with anxiety, depression and drug use disorders. Findings from the Australian National Survey of Mental Health and Well Being. *Drug Alcohol Depend, 68*(3), 299-307.

Casswell, S., Pledger, M., & Pratap, S. (2002). Trajectories of drinking from 18 to 26 years: identification and prediction. *Addiction, 97*(11), 1427-1437. doi:10.1046/j.1360-0443.2002.00220.x

Chang, L. H., Whitfield, J. B., Liu, M., Medland, S. E., Hickie, I. B., Martin, N. G., . . . Consortium, G. (2019). Associations between polygenic risk for tobacco and alcohol use and liability to tobacco and alcohol use, and psychiatric disorders in an independent sample of 13,999 Australian adults. *Drug Alcohol Depend, 205*, 107704. doi:10.1016/j.drugalcdep.2019.107704

Cox, J. L., Chapman, G., Murray, D., & Jones, P. (1996). Validation of the Edinburgh Postnatal Depression Scale (EPDS) in non-postnatal women. *J Affect Disord, 39*(3), 185-189.

Davey Smith, G., Lawlor, D. A., Harbord, R., Timpson, N., Day, I., & Ebrahim, S. (2007). Clustered environments and randomized genes: a fundamental distinction between conventional and genetic epidemiology. *PLoS Med, 4*(12), e352. doi:10.1371/journal.pmed.0040352

Easey, K. E., Dyer, M. L., Timpson, N. J., & Munafò, M. R. (2019). Prenatal alcohol exposure and offspring mental health: A systematic review. *Drug Alcohol Depend, 197*, 344-353. doi:10.1016/j.drugalcdep.2019.01.007

Easey, K. E., Timpson, N. J., & Munafò, M. R. (2020). Association of Prenatal Alcohol Exposure and Offspring Depression: A Negative Control Analysis of Maternal and Partner Consumption. *Alcohol Clin Exp Res, 44*(5), 1132-1140. doi:10.1111/acer.14324

Fraser, A., Macdonald-Wallis, C., Tilling, K., Boyd, A., Golding, J., Davey Smith, G., . . . Lawlor, D. A. (2013). Cohort Profile: the Avon Longitudinal Study of Parents and Children: ALSPAC mothers cohort. *Int J Epidemiol, 42*(1), 97-110. doi:10.1093/ije/dys066

Goodman, R. (1997). The Strengths and Difficulties Questionnaire: a research note. *J Child Psychol Psychiatry, 38*(5), 581-586.

Grant, B. F., & Harford, T. C. (1995). Comorbidity between DSM-IV alcohol use disorders and major depression: results of a national survey. *Drug Alcohol Depend, 39*(3), 197-206. doi:10.1016/0376-8716(95)01160-4

Hadden, D. R., & McLaughlin, C. (2009). Normal and abnormal maternal metabolism during pregnancy. *Semin Fetal Neonatal Med, 14*(2), 66-71. doi:10.1016/j.siny.2008.09.004

Heron, J., Macleod, J., Munafò, M. R., Melotti, R., Lewis, G., Tilling, K., & Hickman, M. (2012). Patterns of alcohol use in early adolescence predict problem use at age 16. *Alcohol Alcohol, 47*(2), 169-177. doi:10.1093/alcalc/agr156

Jané-Llopis, E., & Matytsina, I. (2006). Mental health and alcohol, drugs and tobacco: a review of the comorbidity between mental disorders and the use of alcohol, tobacco and illicit drugs. *Drug Alcohol Rev, 25*(6), 515-536. doi:10.1080/09595230600944461

Kessler, R. C., Amminger, G. P., Aguilar-Gaxiola, S., Alonso, J., Lee, S., & Ustün, T. B. (2007). Age of onset of mental disorders: a review of recent literature. *Curr Opin Psychiatry, 20*(4), 359-364. doi:10.1097/YCO.0b013e32816ebc8c

Kessler, R. C., Crum, R. M., Warner, L. A., Nelson, C. B., Schulenberg, J., & Anthony, J. C. (1997). Lifetime co-occurrence of DSM-III-R alcohol abuse and dependence with other psychiatric disorders in the National Comorbidity Survey. *Arch Gen Psychiatry, 54*(4), 313-321.

Lewis, G., Pelosi, A. J., Araya, R., & Dunn, G. (1992). Measuring psychiatric disorder in the community: a standardized assessment for use by lay interviewers. *Psychol Med, 22*(2), 465-486.

Lieb, R., Wittchen, H. U., Höfler, M., Fuetsch, M., Stein, M. B., & Merikangas, K. R. (2000). Parental psychopathology, parenting styles, and the risk of social phobia in offspring: a prospective-longitudinal community study. *Arch Gen Psychiatry, 57*(9), 859-866.

Linden, A. B., Clarke, R., Hopewell, J. C., Guo, Y., Whiteley, W. N. F., Lin, K., . . . Parish, S. (2020). Genetic associations of adult height with risk of cardioembolic and other subtypes of ischaemic stroke: a Mendelian randomisation study in multiple ancestries. *medRxiv*.

Liu, M., Jiang, Y., Wedow, R., Li, Y., Brazel, D. M., Chen, F., . . . Psychiatry, H. A.-I. (2019). Association studies of up to 1.2 million individuals yield new insights into the genetic etiology of tobacco and alcohol use. *Nat Genet*. doi:10.1038/s41588-018-0307-5

Mamluk, L., Edwards, H. B., Savović, J., Leach, V., Jones, T., Moore, T. H. M., . . . Zuccolo, L. (2017). Low alcohol consumption and pregnancy and childhood outcomes: time to change guidelines indicating apparently 'safe' levels of alcohol during pregnancy? A systematic review and meta-analyses. *BMJ Open, 7*(7).

Mamluk, L., Jones, T., Ijaz, S., Edwards, H. B., Savović, J., Leach, V., . . . Zuccolo, L. (2020). Evidence of detrimental effects of prenatal alcohol exposure on offspring birthweight and neurodevelopment from a systematic review of quasi-experimental studies. *Int J Epidemiol*. doi:10.1093/ije/dyz272

Marshall, E. J. (2014). Adolescent alcohol use: risks and consequences. *Alcohol Alcohol, 49*(2), 160-164. doi:10.1093/alcalc/agt180

Northstone, K., Lewcock, M., Groom, A., Boyd, A., Macleod, J., Timpson, N., & Wells, N. (2019). The Avon Longitudinal Study of Parents and Children (ALSPAC): an update on the enrolled sample of index children in 2019. *Wellcome Open Res, 4*, 51. doi:10.12688/wellcomeopenres.15132.1

Paternoster, L., Evans, D. M., Nohr, E. A., Holst, C., Gaborieau, V., Brennan, P., . . . Sørensen, T. I. (2011). Genome-wide population-based association study of extremely overweight young adults--the GOYA study. *PLoS One, 6*(9), e24303. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0024303

Polimanti, R., Peterson, R. E., Ong, J. S., MacGregor, S., Edwards, A. C., Clarke, T. K., . . . Consortium, M. D. D. W. G. o. t. P. G. (2019). Evidence of causal effect of major depression on alcohol dependence: findings from the psychiatric genomics consortium. *Psychol Med, 49*(7), 1218-1226. doi:10.1017/S0033291719000667

Shankar, K., Ronis, M. J., & Badger, T. M. (2007). Effects of pregnancy and nutritional status on alcohol metabolism. *Alcohol Res Health, 30*(1), 55-59.

Skogen, J. C., Sivertsen, B., Lundervold, A. J., Stormark, K. M., Jakobsen, R., & Hysing, M. (2014). Alcohol and drug use among adolescents: and the co-occurrence of mental health problems. Ung@hordaland, a population-based study. *BMJ Open, 4*(9), e005357. doi:10.1136/bmjopen-2014-005357

StataCorp. (2017). Stata Statistical Software: Release 15. College Station, TX: StataCorp LLC. In.

Taylor, A. E., Jones, H. J., Sallis, H., Euesden, J., Stergiakouli, E., Davies, N. M., . . . Tilling, K. (2018). Exploring the association of genetic factors with participation in the Avon Longitudinal Study of Parents and Children. *Int J Epidemiol, 47*(4), 1207-1216. doi:10.1093/ije/dyy060

Woerner, W., Fleitlich-Bilyk, B., Martinussen, R., Fletcher, J., Cucchiaro, G., Dalgalarrondo, P., . . . Tannock, R. (2004). The Strengths and Difficulties Questionnaire overseas: evaluations and applications of the SDQ beyond Europe. *Eur Child Adolesc Psychiatry, 13 Suppl 2*, II47-54. doi:10.1007/s00787-004-2008-0

Yung, A. R., Nelson, B., Baker, K., Buckby, J. A., Baksheev, G., & Cosgrave, E. M. (2009). Psychotic-like experiences in a community sample of adolescents: implications for the continuum model of psychosis and prediction of schizophrenia. *Aust N Z J Psychiatry, 43*(2), 118-128. doi:10.1080/00048670802607188

Zhou, H., Polimanti, R., Yang, B. Z., Wang, Q., Han, S., Sherva, R., . . . Gelernter, J. (2017). Genetic Risk Variants Associated With Comorbid Alcohol Dependence and Major Depression. *JAMA Psychiatry, 74*(12), 1234-1241. doi:10.1001/jamapsychiatry.2017.3275

Zuccolo, L., Fitz-Simon, N., Gray, R., Ring, S. M., Sayal, K., Smith, G. D., & Lewis, S. J. (2009). A non-synonymous variant in ADH1B is strongly associated with prenatal alcohol use in a European sample of pregnant women. *Hum Mol Genet, 18*(22), 4457-4466. doi:10.1093/hmg/ddp388



Fig. 1: Phenotypes included in PheWAS for mothers during pregnancy



Fig. 2: Phenotypes included in PheWAS for children and adolescents



Fig. 3: Associations between maternal alcohol PRS and maternal alcohol exposures and mental health phenotypes. Each datapoint represents an individual phenotype, colour coded by phenotypic category. Y axis: sgn(β)(-$\log_{10} p$)



Fig. 4: Associations between offspring alcohol PRS and offspring alcohol exposures, mental health phenotypes at pre-drinking age and drinking initiation age. Each datapoint represents an individual phenotype, colour coded by phenotypic category. Y axis: sgn(β)(-$\log_{10} p$).



Fig. 5: Associations between maternal alcohol PRS and offspring alcohol exposures and mental health phenotypes at pre-drinking age and drinking initiation age (intergenerational). Each datapoint represents an individual phenotype, colour coded by phenotypic category. Y axis: sgn(β)(-$\log_{10} p$)