

1 Racial segregation, testing sites access, and COVID-19 2 incidence rate in Massachusetts, USA

3
4 Tao Hu^{1,2}, Han Yue^{3,*}, Changzhen Wang⁴, Bing She^{5,**}, Xinyue Ye⁶, Regina
5 Liu⁷, Xinyan Zhu^{8,9}, Shuming Bao¹⁰

6
7 1. Center for Geographic Analysis, Harvard University, Cambridge, MA 02138, USA.

8 taohu@g.harvard.edu

9 2. Geocomputation Center for Social Science, Wuhan University, Hubei 430079, China

10 3. Center of Geoinformatics for Public Security, School of Geography and Remote Sensing, Guangzhou
11 University, Guangzhou 510006, China. hanyue.geo@gmail.com

12 4. Department of Geography and Anthropology, Louisiana State University, Baton Rouge, LA, USA.

13 wchang8@lsu.edu

14 5. University of Michigan, Ann Arbor, MI 48106, USA. coolnanjizhou@gmail.com

15 6. Department of Landscape Architecture and Urban Planning, Texas A&M University, College Station TX
16 77840, USA. xinyue.ye@tamu.edu

17 7. Department of Biology, Mercer University, Macon GA 31207, USA. Regina.liu@live.mercer.edu

18 8. State Key Laboratory of Information Engineering in Surveying, Mapping and Remote Sensing, Wuhan
19 University, Hubei 430079, China

20 9. Collaborative Innovation Center of Geospatial Technology, Wuhan University, Hubei 430079, China.

21 geozy@263.net

22 10. China Data Institute, Ann Arbor, MI, 48108, USA. sbao@umich.edu

23

24 * Correspondence: hanyue.geo@gmail.com

25

26 A B S T R A C T

27

28 The U.S. has merely 4% of the world population but 25% of the world's COVID-19 cases.
29 Massachusetts has been in the leading position of total cases since the outbreak in the U.S.
30 Racial residential segregation is a fundamental cause of racial disparities in health. Moreover,
31 disparities of access to health care have a large impact on COVID-19 cases. Thus, this study
32 estimates racial segregation and disparities in testing sites access and employs economic,
33 demographic, and transportation variables at the city/town level in Massachusetts. Spatial
34 regression models are applied to evaluate the relationships between COVID-19 incidence rate
35 and related variables. This is the first study to apply spatial analysis methods across
36 neighborhoods in the U.S. to examine the COVID-19 incidence rate. The findings are: 1)
37 residential segregations of Hispanic and Non-Hispanic Black/African Americans have a
38 significantly positive association with COVID-19 incidence rate, indicating the higher
39 susceptibility of COVID-19 infections among minority; 2) The Black has the shortest drive time
40 to testing sites, followed by Hispanic, Asian, and Whites. The drive time to testing sites is
41 significantly negatively associated with the COVID-19 incidence rate, implying the importance of

42 testing location being accessed by all populations; 3) Poverty rate and road density are
43 significant explanatory variables. Importantly, overcrowding represented by more than one
44 person per room is a significant variable found to be positively associated with COVID-19
45 incidence rate, suggesting the effectiveness of social distancing for reducing infection; 4)
46 Different from previous studies, elderly population rate is not statistically significant with
47 incidence rate because the elderly population in Massachusetts is less distributed in the hot
48 spot regions of COVID-19 infections. The findings in this study provide useful insights for
49 policymakers to propose new strategies to contain the COVID-19 transmissions in
50 Massachusetts.

51
52 **Keywords:** COVID-19 incidence rate, racial segregation, access to testing site, spatial
53 regression
54

55 **1. Introduction**

56
57 The COVID-19 pandemic has severely impacted the socioeconomic activities worldwide
58 since its outbreak in January. As of July 3, there have been 10,719,946 confirmed cases
59 globally, including 517,337 deaths. The United States is the leading country with 2,671,220
60 confirmed cases and 127,858 deaths (WHO, 2020). Since the beginning of April, the US has
61 become the COVID-19 pandemic center and the number of cases is still increasing. Social
62 distancing is one of the most effective ways to reduce COVID-19 infection, but due to residential
63 segregation, the separation of people based on income and/or race, some people from ethnic
64 minority groups cannot practice social distancing. Hence, they are often found in overcrowded
65 urban housing areas and make physical distancing and self-isolation difficult, thus leading to the
66 increased risk for the spread of COVID-19 (Bhala, 2020). In addition, socioeconomic inequities
67 frequently impact health and healthcare access, resulting in a higher burden of disease and
68 mortality in vulnerable social groups (Daniel, 2020). Therefore, it is necessary to integrate
69 social-economic information and disease statistics to help analyze and understand the spread of
70 COVID-19.

71 Many research findings have highlighted the racial disparities in COVID-19 infections.
72 Across the country, deaths caused by COVID-19 are disproportionately high among African
73 Americans (Dorn, 2020), while Chicago and New York City reported greater COVID-19 mortality
74 among Latinos (Hooper, 2020). To utilize more detailed information on the racial and
75 socioeconomic disparities, Matthew and Julia (2020) used 2018 Behavioral Risk Factor
76 Surveillance System (BRFSS) to estimate the proportion of adults that have at least one CDC
77 criteria for risk of severe illness from COVID-19. The analysis is categorized by age group, race,
78 and household income. Results show that people who are Black, American Indian, or live in low-
79 income households are more likely to have conditions associated with increased risk of illness
80 from COVID-19 compared to those who are white or have a higher income, respectively.
81 Anyane-Yebo, et al. (2020) investigated the racial disparity of infection and deaths caused by
82 COVID-19 in the US and assessed the rates of COVID-19 infection and death by race and
83 ethnicity with information obtained from the Department of Health websites of sixteen states.

84 They stated Black patients had higher rates of infection and death from COVID-19, which are
85 consistent with the findings from some other individual states. Cato and Aneesah (2020)
86 presented the overview of racial and ethnic distribution of COVID-19 confirmed cases and
87 fatalities in the state of Connecticut to demonstrate the unique challenges among Black and
88 Brown communities. At the metropolitan level, Yu et al. (2020) examined the growth rate of both
89 COVID-19 confirmed cases and deaths in the first 30-day period of the outbreak in the 100
90 largest metropolitan cities and observed that the growth curve was particularly steep in counties
91 that are located in cities with high economic disparity and residential segregation of Blacks and
92 Hispanics.

93 One fundamental cause of racial disparities in health is racial residential segregation,
94 which presents the physical isolation of one racial group from others (Williams and Collins,
95 2001). This segregation can affect health through concentrated poverty, the quality of the
96 neighborhood environment, and the individual socioeconomic attainment of minorities (Dolores
97 and Locher, 2003). Health experts believe that person-to-person and community transmission
98 are the most common ways to spread the COVID-19. Thus, neighborhoods with concentrated
99 poverty and over-occupancy of housing units are at a higher risk of COVID-19 infection.
100 Moreover, minorities under the poverty line are more likely to work in industries that have
101 remained open during non-essential business closures (Raifman, 2020). Thus, they have
102 greater exposure to COVID-19 and contribute to the transmission of COVID-19 as well. Given
103 the influence of racial residential segregation on the socioeconomics of neighborhoods, it is
104 necessary to conduct a systematic investigation of whether segregation has a direct impact on
105 the spreading of COVID-19.

106 In addition, adequate access to affordable testing sites and hospitals is critically
107 important to identify potential carriers of COVID-19. Since it is the best way to provide evidence-
108 based decisions to slow down the disease, the World Health Organization (2020) has called on
109 countries to 'test, test, test' coronavirus. Thus, appropriate measures, such as isolation and
110 hospitalization, can be taken to contain the pandemic. Many states, with the support of the
111 federal government, have expanded access to COVID-19 related health care services either by
112 setting up more mobile testing sites and increasing testing providers or providing telehealth to
113 cover as many people as possible. However, these testing sites are more likely to be distributed
114 in the well-off suburbs of white-dominant neighborhoods rather than in low-income minority
115 neighborhoods (Williams and Cooper, 2020). Such disparities in health care access are
116 becoming worse among socioeconomically disadvantaged groups due to the lack of health
117 insurance, access to transportation, and individual awareness of the disease severity. There
118 has been an estimated 25% of the black population accounting for 41% of COVID-19 cases in
119 Boston (Jonas, 2020). Also, the African American and Hispanic communities are reported to be
120 at higher risk of infection and death from COVID-19. Hence, a reliable and accurate measure of
121 access to testing sites could help us understand which areas and what demographic groups
122 suffer inadequate access and what testing strategies may be adopted to mitigate the COVID-19
123 pandemic.

124 According to previous studies, socio-demographic and economic, and environmental
125 features are also important factors in affecting the spread of COVID-19 disease. For example, in
126 the analysis conducted by Mollalo et al. (2020), they considered income inequality, median
127 household income, the percentage of nurse practitioners, and the percentage of the black

128 female population when modeling the COVID-19 incidence at the US county level. The results
129 demonstrated that areas with a high incidence of COVID-19 usually have high-income inequality
130 and median household income (Mollalo, 2020). Liu (2020) collected the number of laboratory-
131 confirmed COVID-19 cases in 312 cities in China, and a series of sociodemographic variables
132 such as distance to the epicenter, the total length of built urban metro lines, urban area,
133 population density, the annual quantity of wastewater discharged, and residential garbage
134 connected and transported, per capita public recreational green space, the daily highest
135 temperature, and the capital city. Based on these data, a study of the impacts of COVID-19
136 transmission was conducted from the urban perspective. The statistically significant results
137 revealed that residential garbage connected and transported, and the annual quantity of
138 wastewater discharged could increase the confirmed infection number of COVID-19 (Liu, 2020).

139 To explore regional patterns and inform local policymakers about the efficient allocation
140 of resources and personnel to effectively mitigate the spread of Covid-19, making inferences at
141 finer scales, such as the sub-county, census tract, or block group, may produce more accurate
142 results than coarse levels, such as the county or above. In Chicago, more than 50% of COVID-
143 19 cases and nearly 70% of COVID-19 deaths involve black individuals. These deaths are
144 concentrated in just 5 neighborhoods in the city's South Side. Thus, it is critical to quantify the
145 interaction between the various factors and disease statistics at a finer scale. Analyzing regional
146 patterns and increasing their awareness of the COVID-19 dangers and preventative measures,
147 will benefit the most affected communities (Daniel, 2020). Quantifying disparities in risk is
148 important for allocating resources to prevent, identify, and treat COVID-19-related severe illness
149 and limit diverging outcomes for vulnerable subgroups.

150 The research aims to identify the impact of racial segregations and testing sites
151 accessibility on the COVID-19 incidence rate in cities/towns of Massachusetts. To the authors'
152 knowledge, it is the first study to employ spatial analysis methods on neighborhoods' COVID-19
153 data in the US. The objectives are: (1) evaluating the minority racial segregation, such as
154 Hispanics, Non-Hispanic Black Americans, and Non-Hispanic Asians and its socioeconomic
155 characteristics in Massachusetts; (2) accessing the spatial accessibilities to testing sites across
156 different sociodemographic groups in the study area; (3) investigating whether neighborhoods
157 with higher COVID-19 incidence rate are positively associated with highly segregated areas for
158 minority ethnics; (4) exploring whether testing sites is well distributed for the COVID-19 testing;
159 and (5) examining the association between socioeconomic and COVID-19 incidence rate.

160

161 **2. Study area and data**


162 *2.1 Study Area*

163 Massachusetts has an estimated population of 6,547,785 million as of 2019 according to
164 the US Census Bureau. Currently, Massachusetts is the fifteenth-most populous U.S. state with
165 small fluctuation in recent years. For the racial constitutions in Massachusetts, 80% of the
166 population are White alone, 9% are Black or African American alone, 7.2% are Asia alone, and
167 other racial populations are 3.9%. 63.4% of the population are in the age group of 18 and 64,
168 while 17% are in the age group of 65 and over (US Census Bureau, 2020). There are 357

169 cities/towns in Massachusetts and 4 of them are undefined areas according to the base map
170 provided by the US Census Bureau. Massachusetts is composed of Eastern, Central, and
171 Western regions. Eastern Massachusetts is more urban than Western Massachusetts. Boston is
172 the largest city, at the inmost point of Massachusetts Bay. Worcester County is usually
173 considered to be in central Massachusetts. The center of the population of Massachusetts is in
174 Middlesex County, in the town of Natick.

175 As of May 20, Massachusetts has 88,970 confirmed cases leading in the 5th place in the
176 US and 6,066 deaths ranking as the 3rd place. For the total confirmed cases, 29.6% are White,
177 19.1% are Hispanic, and 9.4% are Black/African American. In terms of the reported
178 hospitalization, the percentage of Non-Hispanic White is up to 48.1%, Hispanic and
179 Black/African Americans are 13.3% and 11.6% respectively. These numbers suggest the White
180 population being infected with COVID-19, has a higher rate of hospitalization than that of
181 Hispanic and Black/African American. Thus, analysis of the racial and health care access
182 disparities is needed in Massachusetts.

183 On March 10, Massachusetts declared a state of emergency, taking steps to limit the
184 spread of COVID-19. The Department of Public Health (2020a) provides not only daily and
185 cumulative reports on Massachusetts COVID-19 cases, testing, and hospitalizations but also
186 weekly and biweekly reports including nursing facility data, cases by city/town, residents subject
187 to COVID-19 quarantine, and data from State facilities. The city/town COVID-19 incidence rate
188 (cases per 100,000 people) data is recorded from April 14. Massachusetts government (2020a)
189 also publishes testing site locations in the state and we geocode the location to latitude and
190 longitude. Figure 1 demonstrates the spatial distribution of the COVID-19 incidence rate and
191 testing sites as of May 20, 2020. There is an extremely uneven distribution pattern: cities/towns
192 with high incidence rates were aggregated in the Greater Boston area. In the central and west of
193 Massachusetts, a few places are the hotspot of high incidence rates.



194
195
196
197

Figure1 City/town-level accumulated COVID-19 incidence rate (confirmed cases/per 100,000 people) and testing sites in Massachusetts as of May 20, 2020.

198 2.2 Data Preparation

199 This study aims to investigate the relations between COVID-19 incidence rate and racial
200 residential segregation, access to testing sites, and other socio-demographic and economic
201 variables. These data are gathered from different sources. The city/town COVID-19 incidence
202 rate data are collected from the Department of Public Health in Massachusetts. The racial
203 residential segregation and disparities in testing site accessibilities are compiled from racial
204 population data in cities/towns and sub-unit, census block groups. There have been many
205 studies involving various socioeconomic and demographic factors that may impact the COVID-
206 19 incidence rate, including the elderly population rate, poverty rate, overcrowding rate,
207 household income, and so on. We collect such variables both from the subcounty and census
208 block group (CBG) 2018 American Community Survey (ACS) provided by the US Census
209 Bureau (https://www2.census.gov/geo/tiger/TIGER_DP/2018ACS/).

210 This study estimates minorities' residential segregations, including Hispanic, Non-
211 Hispanic Black or African Americans, and Non-Hispanic Asian. To simplify the name of each
212 race, we use Black and Asian to present Non-Hispanic Black or African American and Non-
213 Hispanic Asian in the rest of the paper. Residential segregation can be discussed in five distinct
214 dimensions: centralization, concentration, clustering, unevenness, and isolation (Massey and
215 Denton, 1988). This study applies the isolation index to associate segregation and health
216 outcomes. The isolation index can effectively reveal the racial differential sizes (Chang, 2006).

217 Also, it is different from the racial percentage, which is hard to tell the racial distribution
218 disparities. The higher of the racial isolation index indicates the same racial population has a
219 higher chance to live as neighbors. It is a vital variable to study COVID-19 transmission patterns.
220 Thus, this research applies the isolation index to estimate the segregation of each race. The
221 isolation index works as follows. Assuming city/town j consists of n census block groups, the
222 isolation index for a race within j can be presented as:
223

$$R_j = \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{b_i}{b_{total}} \times \frac{b_i}{T_i} \quad (1)$$

224
225 where i is the i -th census block group in the city/town j ; b_i is the race population in i ; b_{total} is the
226 total race population in j , and T_i is the total population in i . The isolation index ranges from 0 to 1.
227 0 indicates no segregation and 1 indicates the greatest segregation. The index presents the
228 chance of having the same race live as neighbors.

229 Access to testing sites is measured by proximity, a popular method in geographic
230 information systems (GIS), and the most influential component in health-related studies. That is,
231 the shortest travel times to the nearest testing sites and hospitals respectively. Both the testing
232 site providers and primary care physicians in the hospitals are the first contact with probable
233 COVID-19 patients. One issue is people may not go to the closest site for testing whether they
234 are infected COVID-19 due to various reasons, such as long waiting time and no available
235 providers. They may divert to the secondary choice of traveling a longer distance. Another issue
236 might be the omission of spatial accessibility accounting for both supply and demand. Due to
237 the unknown capacity of testing sites and hospitals for COVID-19, such two travel times are still
238 acceptable to estimate access to COVID-19 related health care services. We use the
239 population-weighted centroids of 357 cities/towns calibrated from the 2010 census block group
240 level data to represent the location of the population in Massachusetts. Compared to the
241 geographic centroids, the population-weighted centroids have better accuracy, particularly in
242 rural or peripheral suburban areas (Wang, 2015: 78), thus are commonly used. The location
243 information of testing sites is first downloaded from the Massachusetts Government website
244 (2020b) and then geocoded by Google Maps. We also geocode the location of hospitals
245 extracted from COVID-19 Weekly Public Health Report (Massachusetts Government Website,
246 2020a). There are 228 testing sites and 76 hospitals in Massachusetts. Note that there might be
247 some people crossing the state's border to neighboring states or some other people outside of
248 the state coming for testing. We don't consider these two cases. The travel times to testing sites
249 and hospitals are estimated through Google Maps Distance Matrix API as it represents the
250 dynamic traffic conditions and routing rules (Wang and Xu, 2011), which are not captured by the
251 simplified Euclidean or road network-based distance accounting for the speed limits. In addition,
252 we calculate the weighted average travel times based on the population across four
253 demographic groups.

254 Overall, the data used in this study are in four categories: racial segregation,
255 accessibility, demographics, economics, and transportation. In terms of demographic, elderly
256 population rate (percent of 65 years and over), overcrowding rate (percent of more than 1
257 occupants per room), and education attainment are estimated. The economic variables include
258 poverty rate, household income, and income inequality. Transportation includes road density

259 and public transit rate (percentage of workers 16 years and over who go to work by public
 260 transportation (excluding taxicab)). Table 1 presents the name, description, and source of the
 261 estimated index and variables described above.

262
 263 Table 1. Definition, sources, and summary statistics of explanatory variables used in this study.

Category	Variable Name	Definition	Source	Mean	Std. Dev.	Min	Max
Race segregation	(1) Segregation of Hispanic	Isolation index of Hispanic	American Community Survey 2018	0.87	0.12	0	1
	(2) Segregation of Non-Hispanic Black/African American	Isolation index of Black/African American		0.06	0.07	0	0.53
	(3) Segregation of Non-Hispanic Asian	Isolation index of Asian		0.06	0.07	0	0.42
Accessibility	(4) Travel time to testing sites	The drive time from the population-weighted centroid of each city/town to the nearest testing sites (minute)	Google Maps Distance Matrix API	12.67	9.27	1	57
	(5) Travel time to hospitals	The drive time from the population-weighted centroid of each city/town to the nearest hospitals (minute)		15.68	8.60	1	61
Demographic	(6) Percent of 65 years and over	Percentage of the population over 65 years old (%)	American Community Survey 2018	18.22	5.83	7.50	43.07
	(7) Percent of more than 1 occupants per room	Percentage of occupied housing units with more than 1 occupants per room (%)		1.18	1.24	0	9.28

	(8) Education attainment	Percentage of population (25 years and over) with education level no less than bachelor's degree (%)		42.94	16.12	11.31	84.73
Economic	(9) Poverty rate	Percentage of population with income in the past 12 months below poverty level (%)		7.37	4.76	0.54	33.23
	(10) Household income	Median household income in the past 12 months (in 2017 inflation-adjusted dollars) (dollar)		85700.6	28662.39	0	204018
	(11) Income inequality	Gini index of household income		0.43	0.05	0.31	0.57
Transportation	(12) Public transit	Percentage of workers 16 years and over who go to work by public transportation (excluding taxicab) (%)		4.13	5.96	0	33.64
	(13) Road density	The total length of primary and secondary roads for each city/town calculated/area of the corresponding city/town (per km)	US Census Bureau TIGER/Line	2.87	2.31	0	13.73

264

265 3. Methodology

266 In this study, we first use Moran's I index to test the spatial autocorrelation pattern of the
 267 city/town-level COVID-19 incidence rate in Massachusetts. Then, two classical spatial
 268 autoregressive models, including the spatial lag model (SLM) and the spatial error model (SEM),
 269 are adopted to determine the factors that influence the COVID-19 incidence rate.

270 3.1 Spatial autocorrelation test

271 Compared with geographical events that are far apart from each other, neighboring
272 geographical events tend to share similar conditions, which makes them more closely related to
273 each other than distant events (Tobler, 1970). This phenomenon is referred to as spatial
274 autocorrelation or spatial dependency, which indicates whether the distribution of data depends
275 on geographical locations (Cliff & Ord, 1973). If data at adjacent locations are similar to each
276 other, the spatial autocorrelation effect is regarded as positive. If data at adjacent locations differ
277 greatly from each other, the spatial autocorrelation effect is negative. If the distribution of data is
278 irrelevant to geographical locations, there is no obvious spatial autocorrelation effect. A
279 commonly used indicator to identify the spatial autocorrelation effect is Moran's I index (Moran,
280 1948), which is formulated as:

281

$$282 \quad x = \frac{n \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{j=1}^n w_{ij} (x_i - \bar{x})(x_j - \bar{x})}{\left(\sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{j=1}^n w_{ij} \right) \sum_{i=1}^n (x_i - \bar{x})^2} \quad (2)$$

283

284 where x_i and x_j mean the attribute of i -th and j -th spatial unit (the COVID-19 incidence rate of a
285 city/town in this study), \bar{x} indicates the average of all the attributes, n means the number of
286 spatial units, and w_{ij} is a member of the spatial weight matrix W which represents the spatial
287 relationship between spatial unit i and j . In this research, we adopted the frequently-used rook
288 method to construct the weight matrix, i.e., if spatial unit i and j share a common border,
289 then $w_{ij} = 1$, otherwise, $w_{ij} = 0$ (Anselin, 1988).

290 The value of Moran's I index ranges between -1 and 1: value less than 0 means a
291 negative spatial autocorrelation effect, and the smaller the value is, the stronger the negative
292 spatial autocorrelation effect is; a value greater than 0 indicates a positive spatial
293 autocorrelation effect, and the larger the value is, the stronger the positive spatial
294 autocorrelation effect is; and a value equals to 0 means no spatial autocorrelation effect. In
295 addition to this, the Z-value can be applied to assess the statistical significance of the Moran's I
296 index, i.e., if the Z-value is larger than 1.96 or smaller than -1.96, then there is a spatial
297 autocorrelation effect with a confidence level of 95%.

298 3.2 Spatial regression model

299 Spatial data usually presents a certain degree of positive spatial autocorrelation, i.e.,
300 attributes of adjacent geographical events tend to be more similar than attributes of
301 geographical events that are further away from each other (Anselin & Bera, 1998). The
302 existence of spatial autocorrelation effect violates the basic independent identical distribution
303 (IID) assumption of classical regression models, i.e., observations are independent of one
304 another. The significance of estimates will be overestimated if this effect is not taken into
305 account (Clifford & Richardson, 1985). In this study, we applied two common spatial regression
306 models to tackle the spatial autocorrelation effect, including the spatial lag model (SLM) and the
307 spatial error model (SEM). The SLM and SEM account for the spatial autocorrelation effect in
308 different ways: in the SLM, the dependent variable at a location is influenced by dependent

309 variables of neighboring locations. While in the SEM, the spatial autocorrelation effect is
310 represented through the remainder terms, i.e., the error at a location is affected by errors from
311 neighboring locations.

312 The SLM can be formulated in terms of COVID-19 incidence rate:

313

$$Y_i = \beta_0 + \sum_j \beta_j X_{ij} + \rho W Y_i + \varepsilon_i, \quad (3)$$

314

315 where i denotes a city/town, Y_i indicates the dependent variable (COVID-19 incidence rate) of
316 the i -th city/town, X_{ij} means the j -th explanatory variable of city/town i , β^* are unknown
317 parameters to be estimated which measure the association between COVID-19 incidence rate
318 and covariates *ceteris paribus*, $W Y_i$ is the spatial lag variable in which W is a spatial weight
319 matrix, ρ is the spatial autoregressive coefficient that indicates the spatial dependence of the
320 explained variable, and ε_i is a random error term.

321 The SEM is formulated as:

322

$$Y_i = \beta_0 + \sum_j \beta_j X_{ij} + (I - \lambda W)^{-1} u_i, \quad (4)$$

323

324 where I is an identity matrix, λ is the spatial autoregressive coefficient that represents the spatial
325 dependence of residuals, and u_i is a normally distributed error term. The remaining symbols
326 have the same meaning as those in Formula 2.

327 As shown in Table1, the covariates have different units of measurement and large
328 disparities in magnitude, we standardized these variables to have a mean of 0 and a variance of
329 1. This could make the parameter estimates independent of units and easy to compare.

330 4. Results


331 4.1 Exploratory Analysis

332

333 The COVID-19 incidence rate varies across different socio-demographic groups at the
334 city/town level. As shown in Table 2, the Black population has the lowest mean value of 2.75%
335 ranging from 0 to 43.95%, followed by Asian and Hispanic populations with mean values of 3.6%
336 and 4.83%. The white population has the highest mean value of 91.06% ranging from 39.27% to
337 100%, reflecting the dominance of white people in Massachusetts. These four groups
338 experience very similar COVID-19 incidence rates across the first three quantiles and then the
339 rate differences enlarge in the fourth quantile. Using the first quantile as a reference, Figure 2
340 shows the variation of the COVID-19 incidence rate ratio of each quantile with that of the first
341 quantile. All four groups exhibit a smooth increase with minor differences and then the ratio
342 dramatically moves up to a range of 9 to 16. Due to the large amount of confirmed COVID-19
343 cases in Boston city, we recalculate the COVID-19 incidence rate in the fourth quantile outside
344 of Boston city in Table 2 and Figure 3. The rate ratios of Asian and White are consistent with


345 those in the entire study area while Black and Hispanic groups exhibit a small increase in
346 incidence rate, which are aligned with the concentrated segregation indices of Black and
347 Hispanic in Boston city in Figure 2.

348 Racial residential segregation is estimated by the isolation index described in Section 2.
349 Figure 2 illustrates the segregations of the minorities in Massachusetts, including Hispanic,
350 Black, and Asian. Higher values indicate higher possibilities that people of the same race are
351 living as neighbors. As shown in Figure 2, Hispanic and Black in Boston have higher
352 segregation indexes than Asian. Meanwhile, Asians are more likely to live together at Middlesex
353 and Norfolk. Middlesex County is amongst the top 25 counties with the highest household
354 income and the 25 most populated counties.




355
356

(a) Hispanic residential segregation in Massachusetts cities/towns



357
358

(b) Black residential segregation in Massachusetts cities/towns



359
360

(c) Asian residential segregation in Massachusetts cities/towns

361
362

Figure 2. Racial segregation index of Hispanic, Non-Hispanic Black, and Non-Hispanic Asian

363
364
365
366
367
368
369
370
371
372
373
374

To quantify the health disparities across different racial groups, the weighted travel times to testing sites and hospitals are illustrated in the last two columns of Table 2. The Black group has the lowest weighted travel time of 5.69 minutes to the testing sites, followed by the Hispanic, Asian, and White groups. Access to hospitals tells a similar story with a minor difference. The Hispanic group surpasses the Black with the lowest travel time of 8.88 minutes. Compared with the other three groups, the White group has the longest travel times of 7.82 and 11.21 minutes to testing sites and hospitals respectively, which is consistent with the lowest COVID-19 incidence rate in more concentrated quantiles. In addition, the travel times to testing sites and hospitals are both in acceptable ranges less than 15 minutes across each group. However, the travel times to testing sites is almost 3 minutes less than the travel times to hospitals, which revealed the condition of accessible health care services related to COVID-19 in Massachusetts.


375

Table 2. COVID-19 incidence rate and health access across racial population in city/town level

Race	Percentage of population (%)			COVID-19 incidence rate (Confirmed cases per 100,000)					Weighted travel times (min)	
	Mean	Min	Max	Q1	Q2	Q3	Q4	Q4*	Testing site	Hospital
Black	2.75	0.00	43.95	1.35	4.19	8.12	21.74	23.55	5.69	9.22
Hispanic	4.83	0.00	79.07	1.48	4.37	7.78	22.73	23.72	5.92	8.88

Asian	3.60	0.00	29.90	1.83	4.51	8.41	19.95	20.34	6.19	9.96
White	91.06	39.27	100.0	1.95	4.33	7.91	17.74	17.57	7.82	11.21

376 Note Q4* represents the fourth quantile of COVID-19 incidence rate outside of Boston city



377
378 Figure 3. Variation of COVID-19 incidence rate across racial population in city/town, MA. The
379 COVID-19 incidence rate ratio represents the ratio of the COVID-19 incidence rate in each
380 quantile compared to the first quantile.

381 4.2 Results of spatial regression analysis

382 We conducted a Moran's I test to examine the spatial effects. The corresponding result
383 implies that the spatial distribution of city/town-level COVID-19 incidence rate offers strong
384 evidence of spatial autocorrelation with Moran's I equals to 0.436, which is positive and
385 significantly different from expected Moran's I of -0.003 (p -value<0.001, z-score=13.196). The
386 positive sign implies the existence of spatial adjacency effect. The COVID-19 incidence rate of a
387 city/town affects that of other nearby cities/towns, partially because adjacent cities/towns share
388 similar attributes. The p -value is considerably lower than 1%, indicating that the existence of
389 spatial autocorrelation in the COVID-19 incidence rate is statistically significant.

390 After correlation analysis (correlation coefficients<0.6), among the 12 candidate
391 explanatory variables, 9 variables were selected to be included in the final models. These
392 variables are the percentage of elderly people (65 years and over), percent of more than 1
393 occupants per room, poverty rate, income inequality, road density, and test site accessibility.
394 Table 3 shows the results of the two spatial regression models. For comparison, the classic
395 OLS model was also calibrated, and results were listed.

396

Table 3. Results of regression models in town/city level

Variable	OLS	SLM	SEM
ρ (spatial lag coefficient)	-	0.433***(0.050)	-
λ (spatial error coefficient)	-	-	0.521***(0.059)
Constant	728.148***(23.496)	413.507***(42.601)	718.326***(43.502)
Segregation of Hispanic	242.532***(36.383)	211.309***(32.708)	215.677***(34.596)
Segregation of Non-Hispanic Black	112.717***(29.986)	75.039***(26.837)	47.236*(27.690)
Segregation of Non-Hispanic Asian	-27.229(32.422)	-37.502(28.965)	-47.194(31.288)
Test site accessibility	-64.349**(29.140)	-37.318(26.405)	-75.207**(37.491)
Percent of 65 years and over	-0.359(28.820)	12.8947(25.741)	33.510(32.452)
Percent of more than 1 occupants per room	155.081***(30.420)	153.784***(27.250)	157.385***(27.722)
Poverty rate	-93.610***(32.056)	-39.326(29.119)	-66.217**(31.659)
Income inequality	-29.444(29.006)	-32.067(25.936)	-17.368(27.376)
Road density	180.444***(37.660)	112.369***(35.468)	226.89***(41.298)
Log-likelihood	-2621.59	-2596.979	-2594.24
AIC	5263.18	5213.96	5210.48
R^2	0.579	0.654	0.657
Moran's I of residuals	0.436***(z-score: 13.196)	0.032(z-score: 1.017)	0.002(z-score:0.140)

397

398

399

400

401

Note:* Significant at 0.1; ** significant at 0.05 level; *** significant at 0.01 level. Standard errors are in parentheses. The diagnostic test demonstrated that the multicollinearity condition number is 4.587, which indicated that there was no serious multicollinearity.

402 Three metrics were used to compare the performances of the OLS, SLM, and SEM: log-
403 likelihood at convergence (log-likelihood), Akaike information criterion (AIC), and R^2 .
404 For log-likelihood and R^2 , a higher value means better performance; for AIC, a lower value
405 represents better performance. The results of the model performances suggested the following.
406 First, two spatial models could better fit the observations than OLS could: the AIC of the OLS
407 (5263.18) was much larger than in the SLM (5213.96) and SEM (5210.48), while the log-
408 likelihood and R^2 of the OLS (-2621.59, 0.579) were smaller than those of the SLM(-
409 2596.979,0.654) and SEM (-2594.24,0.657). Second, the performance of the SEM was slightly
410 better than that of the SLM: the log-likelihood and R^2 of the SEM were larger than those of the
411 SLM, while the AIC of the SEM was smaller than that of the SLM. The highest R^2 is achieved by
412 SEM (0.656657) that explains 65.67% of the total variations of COVID-19 incidence rates.

413 A tenet of regression is that residuals should be independent of each other, that is, they
414 should be randomly distributed in space. Furthermore, the degree to which residuals are
415 autocorrelated in space is another important indicator to judge the performance of regression
416 models. The results showed that the residuals of the OLS revealed a strong positive
417 autocorrelation, while the residual autocorrelations of the SLM and SEM were eliminated, and
418 the residual autocorrelation of the SEM was eliminated more thoroughly than that of SLM (the z-
419 score of SEM (0.140) is smaller than that of SLM (1.017).

420 According to the above-mentioned results, by incorporating spatial dependence, the two
421 spatial regression models improve the performance of OLS in modeling the COVID-19
422 incidence rate in Massachusetts. Additionally, the SEM outperformed the SLM, which means
423 that the spatial spillover effect in the data is mainly reflected in the residuals. Therefore, the
424 SEM specification is a more appropriate choice in this research to account for the spatial
425 autocorrelation effect.

426 The results of the three models demonstrate that segregations of Hispanic and Black are
427 significantly positively associated with the COVID-19 incidence rate, while segregation of Asian
428 had a negative and nonsignificant influence on the COVID-19 incidence rate. As to the results of
429 SEM, a one-point increase in the segregation of Hispanic and segregation of Black is
430 respectively associated with a 215.677-point, a 47.236-point increase in the COVID-19
431 incidence rate, while a one-point increase in the segregation of Asian is associated with a
432 47.194 decrease in the COVID-19 incidence rate. The accessibility of the test site had a
433 negative impact on the COVID-19 incidence rate, and this impact was statistically significant in
434 the results of OLS and SEM. For every one-point increase in test site accessibility, the COVID-
435 19 incidence rate decreases by 75.207, as the results of SEM showed.

436 Rate of the population older than 65 was negatively associated with the COVID-19
437 incidence rate, as demonstrated by the result of OLS, but the results of two spatial regression
438 models showed that this relationship was positive. As to the rate of households with more than 1
439 occupants per room, the results of the three models were all significantly positive. Specifically,
440 the result of SEM indicated that a one-point increase in the rate of households with more than 1
441 occupants per room is associated with a 157.385-point increase in COVID-19 incidence rate.
442 With a parameter estimate of -66.217 (SEM), the rate of the population below the poverty level
443 had a significantly negative influence on the COVID-19 incidence rate. The association between
444 this variable and the COVID-19 incidence rate was also negative in OLS and SLM, although the
445 relationship had no statistical significance in the result of SLM. The results of the three models

446 demonstrated that income inequality had a nonsignificant and negative impact on the COVID-19
447 incidence rate. Road density had a strong positive influence on the COVID-19 incidence rate,
448 and the influence was statistically significant, as demonstrated by the results of three models. A
449 one-point increase in road density is associated with a 226.89-point increase in COVID-19
450 incidence rate, according to the result of SEM.

451 **5. Discussion**


452 Racial/ethnic segregation is regarded as a fundamental cause of disparities in diseases
453 (Williams and Collins, 2001). This study investigates the association between racial segregation
454 and the COVID-19 incidence rate in Massachusetts, particularly the minority groups, such as
455 Hispanic, Black/African American, and Asian. We find higher Hispanic and Black/African
456 American segregations are more likely to be associated with a higher incidence rate. The areas
457 where many black people reside are in poor areas characterized by high housing densities
458 (Yancy, 2020). As revealed in the regression model, a higher percentage of more than 1
459 occupants per room and a higher poverty rate are significantly associated with the incidence
460 rate as well. The higher observed incidence and severity in minority groups may be also
461 associated with socioeconomic, cultural, or lifestyle factors, genetic predisposition, or
462 pathophysiological differences in susceptibility or response to infection (Khunti, 2020). Bhala
463 (2020) also proposed the important role of culture, including multigenerational households, and
464 variation in social interactions have a role in the increased risk of COVID-19. Moreover,
465 minorities also contribute to heightened exposure to COVID-19. They are more likely to work in
466 industries that have remained open during non-essential business closures (Raifman, 2020).

467 The racial disparities in medical care treatments and outcomes are pervasive (Smedley
468 et al., 2002). In Massachusetts, Black and Hispanic residents were less likely to be insured than
469 white residents and they were more likely to report fair or poor health than whites (Zhu et al.,
470 2010). Severe disease increases the chance of being infected by COVID-19. For example, Yang
471 et al. (2020) conducted a meta-analysis of eight studies including 46,248 patients with
472 laboratory-confirmed cases of COVID-19. It indicated that those with the most severe disease
473 were more likely to have hypertension, respiratory disease, and cardiovascular disease.
474 Moreover, other studies found obesity and smoking were associated with increased risks
475 (Huang, 2020; Wang 2020). In Italy, higher risks have also been reported in men than in women,
476 which could be partly due to their higher smoking rates and subsequent comorbidities
477 (Livingston, 2020). The pandemic presents a window of opportunity for achieving greater equity
478 in the health care of all vulnerable populations (Hooper, 2020).

479 The access to testing sites shows Black population has the shortest drive time of 5.69
480 minutes to testing sites, followed by Hispanic, Asian, and Whites, which is consistent with those
481 to hospitals in travel times of 9.22, 8.88, 9.96, and 11.21 minutes. All travel times to two kinds of
482 sites for COVID-19 testing are acceptable. Such findings show some discrepancies addressed
483 in previous studies. Yancy (2020) demonstrated that African Americans have higher rates of
484 COVID-19 infection and death. This case is not found in Massachusetts as it has a lower Black
485 population of 9% and 9.4% COVID-19 confirmed cases while the White is dominant with 80%
486 population and 29.6 % confirmed cases. Another research stated COVID-19 testing centers are
487 more likely to be established in the well-off suburbs of white dominates than in low-income black

488 dominant neighborhoods (Williams and Copper, 2020). In fact, most testing sites and hospitals
489 of Massachusetts are distributed in neighborhoods dominated by minorities as they tend to live
490 for more job opportunities and accessible public transportation. The longer travel time of the
491 White population to access testing sites and hospitals may be attributable to their preference of
492 living far from cities for spacious houses. In addition, good access to testing sites might not be
493 the only factor to influence the COVID-19 incidence rate but it is an important component to
494 identify the possible carriers of COVID-19. Note that we assume car-driving is the only transport
495 mode. The omission of other transport modes, such as public transit in well-developed regions
496 might bias the estimation of travel times for testing.


497 Previous studies demonstrated that people over 65 are at the highest risk (Centers for
498 Disease Control and Prevention, 2020), however, our study suggested that the relationship
499 between COVID-19 incidence rate and the proportion of elderly people had no statistical
500 significance. This may be attributable to the geographical distribution of the senior citizens in
501 Massachusetts. As shown in Figure 4, cities/towns with high percentages of the elderly
502 population are mainly located in the west and eastern coastal areas, which are far from the
503 Greater Boston area.



504
505 Figure 4. Geographical distribution of percentage of population 65 years and over.
506

507 Results of SEM suggested a strongly significant and positive relationship between
508 COVID-19 incidence rate and percent of occupied housing units with more than 1 occupants per
509 room. Quarantines are often one of the first responses against new infectious diseases.
510 However, it is estimated that 44% of secondary cases were infected during the index cases'
511 presymptomatic stage, in settings with substantial household clustering, and quarantine outside
512 the home (He, 2020). Several people sharing the same room in households with lower income

513 may increase the chance for virus transmission. This study validated this hypothesis by showing
514 that a higher percentage of more than 1 occupants per room and higher poverty rates are
515 significantly associated with the incidence rate. The results of SEM also suggested a strongly
516 significant and negative impact of the poverty rate on the COVID-19 incidence rate in
517 Massachusetts. At first glance, this result is counterintuitive, since those in poverty are generally
518 at risk of losing their health insurance coverage, which makes them vulnerable in the face of
519 emergent epidemics like COVID-19. However, most cities/towns with high poverty rates are
520 located in peripheral areas, which are remote from high COVID-19 incidence regions, as can be
521 seen from Figure 5. Road density is significantly positively associated with COVID-19 incidence
522 rate, this may be because cities/towns with higher road densities usually have more frequent
523 human activities, which could provide conditions for disease transmission.



524
525 Figure 5. Geographical distribution of poverty rate.
526

527 6. Conclusions

528 The geographic disparity of the COVID-19 incidence rate has been recognized in
529 previous studies (Hu et al., 2020; Liu et al., 2020; Yang et al., 2020). However, most research
530 was conducted at or above the county level, which prevents us from observing how the COVID-
531 19 incidence rate interacts with the various factors at a finer spatial scale. This study analyzed
532 how the COVID-19 incidence rate was associated with racial and health accessibility disparities,
533 after controlling for the possible influences of a set of demographics, economic, and
534 transportation factors. The study was conducted at the city/town level in the State of
535 Massachusetts, which is one of the states hit hardest by the pandemic.

536 The classic OLS regression model is unable to deal with the spatial autocorrelation
537 effect. Therefore, we conducted a further spatial regression analysis based on two models, i.e.,
538 SLM and SEM, to obtain more robust estimation of the significances and directions of the
539 influences of racial and health accessibility disparities, demographic, economic and
540 transportation characteristics on COVID-19 incidence rate. Results suggest that residential
541 segregations of Hispanic and Non-Hispanic Black/African Americans are associated with an
542 increased risk of COVID-19 infection. Similarly, road density and the percent of more than 1
543 occupants per room have statistically significant and positive impacts on the COVID-19
544 incidence rate. However, test site accessibility and poverty rate are related to a decreased risk
545 of COVID-19 infection.

546 The empirical findings shown in this paper could provide helpful insight and guidance for
547 policymakers to develop strategies to contain the COVID-19 transmissions in Massachusetts.
548 Firstly, political action is needed to resolve long-standing societal inequalities, addressing the
549 injustices of public health, and tackling the COVID-19 pandemic and its sequence (Bhala,
550 2020). Public health is complicated and social reengineering is complex, but a change of this
551 magnitude does not happen without a new resolve (Yancy, 2020). Additionally, as road density
552 and the overcrowding are found to have so much influence on the pandemic transmission, then
553 the social distancing or stay-at-home policies should be rigorously followed. As the condition of
554 COVID-19 is still going on and evolving quickly in the United States, it is important to explore the
555 spatial patterns in small units, such as neighborhoods. The research framework and
556 implications of this study can also provide a reference for other areas to help understand the
557 local transmission of COVID-19 and minimize the damage of the terrible disease.

558 **References**

- 559 Acevedo-Garcia, D., & Lochner, K. A. (2003). Residential segregation and health.
560 Neighborhoods and health, 265-87.
- 561 Anselin, L., 1988a. Spatial econometrics: Methods and models. Kluwer Academic Publishers,
562 Dordrecht.
- 563 Anselin, L., & Bera., A. K., 1998b. Spatial dependence in linear regression models with an
564 introduction to spatial econometrics. In A. Ullah & D. E. Giles (Eds.), Handbook of
565 applied economic statistics (pp. 237–289). New York, NY: Marcel Dekker.
- 566 Anyane-Yeboah, A., Sato, T., & Sakuraba, A., 2020. Racial Disparities in COVID-19 Deaths
567 Reveal Harsh Truths About Structural Inequality in America. Journal of Internal
568 Medicine.
- 569 Bhala, N., Curry, G., Martineau, A. R., Agyemang, C., & Bhopal, R., 2020. Sharpening the
570 global focus on ethnicity and race in the time of COVID-19. The Lancet.
- 571 Centers for Disease Control and Prevention, 2020. National Vital Statistics System. Provisional
572 Death Counts for Coronavirus Disease (COVID-19). Retrieved from.
573 <https://www.cdc.gov/nchs/nvss/vsrr/COVID-19/>.
- 574 Cliff, A. D., & Ord, J. K., 1973. Spatial autocorrelation. Pion, London.
575 <https://doi.org/10.1177%2F030913259501900205>.
- 576 Clifford, P., Richardson, S. Testing the association between two spatial processes. Statistics
577 and Decisions., 1985, 2, 155–160.

- 578 Clifford, P., & Richardson, S., 1985. Testing the association between two spatial processes.
579 *Statistics & Decisions*, 2(Suppl.), 155–160.
- 580 Dobin, D., & Dobin, A. (2020). Racial/ethnic and socioeconomic disparities of Covid-19 attacks
581 rates in Suffolk County communities. arXiv preprint arXiv:2004.12175.
- 582 Hooper, M.W., Nápoles, A.M., Pérez-Stable, E., 2020. COVID-19 and RacialEthnic Disparities.
583 <https://doi.org/10.1001/jama.2020.8598>.
- 584 Hu, T., Guan, W.W., Zhu, X., Shao, Y., Liu, L., Du, J., ... Bao, S., 2020. Building an Open
585 Resources Repository for COVID-19 Research. <http://dx.doi.org/10.2139/ssrn.3587704>.
- 586 Huang R., Zhu L., Xue L., Liu, L., Yan, X., Wang, J., ... Wu, C., 2020. Clinical findings of
587 patients with coronavirus disease 2019 in Jiangsu Province, China: a retrospective,
588 multi-center study. <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pntd.0008280>
- 589 Jonas, M., 2020. Blacks account for high share of Boston COVID-19 cases.
590 Retrieved from CommonWeath. [https://commonwealthmagazine.org/health/blacks-](https://commonwealthmagazine.org/health/blacks-account-for-high-share-of-boston-covid-19-cases/)
591 [account-for-high-share-of-boston-covid-19-cases/](https://commonwealthmagazine.org/health/blacks-account-for-high-share-of-boston-covid-19-cases/).
- 592 Khunti, K., Singh, A. K., Pareek, M., & Hanif, W., 2020. Is ethnicity linked to incidence or
593 outcomes of covid-19?. <https://doi.org/10.1136/bmj.m1548>
- 594 Laurencin, C. T., & McClinton, A., 2020. The COVID-19 pandemic: a call to action to identify
595 and address racial and ethnic disparities. *Journal of Racial and Ethnic Health Disparities*,
596 1-5.
- 597 Liu, L., 2020. Emerging study on the transmission of the Novel Coronavirus (COVID-19) from
598 urban perspective: Evidence from China. *Cities*.
599 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cities.2020.102759>.
- 600 Liu, Q., Sha, D., Liu, W., Houser, P., Zhang, L., Hou, R., ... & Yang, C., 2020. Spatiotemporal
601 Patterns of COVID-19 Impact on Human Activities and Environment in Mainland China
602 Using Nighttime Light and Air Quality Data. *Remote Sensing*, 12(10), 1576.
- 603 Livingston E., & Bucher K., 2020. Coronavirus Disease 2019 (COVID-19) in Italy. *JAMA*
604 <https://doi.org/10.1001/jama.2020.4344>.
- 605 Massachusetts government website. 2020(a). MA COVID-19 Testing Sites. Retrieved from:
606 <https://www.mass.gov/info-details/covid-19-response-reporting>.
- 607 Massachusetts government website. 2020(b). MA COVID-19 Testing Sites. Retrieved from:
608 <https://www.mass.gov/doc/ma-covid-19-testing-sites/download>.
- 609 Massey, D. S., & Denton, N. A., 1988. The dimensions of residential segregation. *Social forces*
610 67(2), 281-315.
- 611 Mollalo, A., Vahedi, B., Rivera, K. M., 2020. GIS-based spatial modeling of COVID-19 incidence
612 rate in the continental United States. *Sci Total Environ*
613 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2020.138884>.
- 614 Moran, P. A., 1948. The interpretation of statistical maps. *Journal of the Royal Statistical*
615 *Society. Series B (Methodological)* 10 (2), 243-251.
- 616 Raifman, M. A., & Raifman, J. R., 2020. Disparities in the population at risk of severe illness
617 from covid-19 by race/ethnicity and income. *American journal of preventive medicine*
618 <https://dx.doi.org/10.1016%2Fj.amepre.2020.04.003>.
- 619 Thebault, R., Tran, A. B., Williams, V., 2020. The coronavirus is infecting and killing black
620 Americans at an alarmingly high rate. *The Washington Post*. Retrieved from:
621 [https://www.washingtonpost.com/nation/2020/04/07/coronavirus-is-infecting-killing-black-](https://www.washingtonpost.com/nation/2020/04/07/coronavirus-is-infecting-killing-black-americans-an-alarmingly-high-rate-post-analysis-shows/?arc404=true)
622 [americans-an-alarmingly-high-rate-post-analysis-shows/?arc404=true](https://www.washingtonpost.com/nation/2020/04/07/coronavirus-is-infecting-killing-black-americans-an-alarmingly-high-rate-post-analysis-shows/?arc404=true).

- 623 Tobler, W. R., 1970. A computer movie simulating urban growth in the Detroit region. *Economic*
624 *Geography* 46, 234–240.
- 625 US Census Bureau. Quick Facts Massachusetts. Retrieved from.
626 <https://www.census.gov/quickfacts/fact/table/MA/PST040219>.
- 627 Wang D., Hu B., Chang, H., Zhu, F., Liu, X., Zhang, J., ...Peng, Z., 2020. Clinical characteristics
628 of 138 hospitalized patients with 2019 Novel Coronavirus–Infected pneumonia in Wuhan,
629 China. *JAMA* 323(11), 1061-1069. <https://doi.org/10.1001/jama.2020.1585>.
- 630 Wang, F., 2015. Quantitative methods and socio-economic applications in GIS, Second edition.
631 ed. CRC Press.
- 632 Wang, F., Xu, Y., 2011. Estimating O–D travel time matrix by Google Maps API: implementation,
633 advantages, and implications. *Annals of GIS* 17, 199-209.
- 634 World Health Organization (WHO)., 2020a. WHO Coronavirus Disease (COVID-19) Dashboard.
635 Retrieved from. <https://covid19.who.int/>.
- 636 World Health Organization (WHO)., 2020b. WHO Director-General's opening remarks at the
637 media briefing on COVID-19. Retrieved from.
638 <https://www.who.int/dg/speeches/detail/who-director-general-s-opening-remarks-at-the-media-briefing-on-covid-19---16-march-2020>.
- 639
- 640 Williams, D.R., Collins, C., 2001. Racial residential segregation: a fundamental cause of racial
641 disparities in health. *Public Health Representative* 116, 404–416.
- 642 Williams, D. R., & Cooper, L. A., (2020). COVID-19 and Health Equity—A New Kind of “Herd
643 Immunity”. *JAMA* <https://doi.org/10.1001/jama.2020.8051>.
- 644 Xi, H., Lau, E. H., Wu, P., Deng, X., Wang, J., Hao, X., ...Leung, G. M., 2020. Temporal
645 dynamics in viral shedding and transmissibility of COVID-19. *Nature medicine* 26, 672-
646 675.
- 647 Yancy, C. W., 2020. COVID-19 and African Americans. *JAMA*
648 <https://doi.org/10.1001/jama.2020.6548>.
- 649 Yang, C., Sha, D., Liu, Q., Li, Y., Lan, H., Guan, W. W., ... & Wang, Z., 2020. Taking the pulse
650 of COVID-19: A spatiotemporal perspective. arXiv preprint arXiv:2005.04224.
- 651 Yang, J., Zheng, Y., Gou, X., Pu, K., Chen, Z., Guo, Q., ... Zhou, Y., 2020. Prevalence of
652 comorbidities in the novel Wuhan coronavirus (COVID-19) infection: a systematic review
653 and meta-analysis. *International journal of infectious diseases*.
654 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijid.2020.03.017>.
- 655 Zhu, J., Brawarsky, P., Lipsitz, S., Huskamp, H., Haas, J., 2010. Massachusetts Health Reform
656 and Disparities in Coverage, Access and Health Status.
657 <https://dx.doi.org/10.1007%2Fs11606-010-1482-y>.