

Title: Impact of opioid agonists on mental health in substitution treatment for opioid use disorder: A systematic review and Bayesian network meta-analysis of randomized clinical trials

Running: Mental health in substitution treatment

Authors: Ehsan Moazen-Zadeh, MD^{1,2}, Kimia Ziafat, BSc^{1,3}, Kiana Yazdani, MSc^{1,4}, Mostafa Mamdouh, MD^{1,5}, James Wong, MSc¹, Amirhossein Modabbernia, MD⁶, Peter Blanken, PhD⁷, Uwe Verthein, PhD⁸, Christian G. Schütz, MD¹, Kerry Jang, PhD¹, Shahin Akhondzadeh, PhD⁹, R. Michael Krausz, MD¹

Author Affiliations:

¹Institute of Mental Health, Department of Psychiatry, Faculty of Medicine, University of British Columbia, BC, Canada;

²Addiction Institute of Mount Sinai, Department of Psychiatry, Icahn School of Medicine at Mount Sinai, NY, USA;

³Faculty of Science, University of British Columbia, BC, Canada;

⁴Arthritis Research Canada, Faculty of Medicine, University of British Columbia, BC, Canada;

⁵Department of Psychiatry, Tanta University, Al Gharbiyah Governorate, Egypt;

⁶Department of Psychiatry and Seaver Autism Center, Icahn School of Medicine at Mount Sinai, NY, USA;

⁷Parnassia Addiction Research Centre (PARC), Brijder Addiction Treatment, Parnassia Groep, Netherlands;

⁸Centre for Interdisciplinary Addiction Research of Hamburg University, University Hospital Hamburg-Eppendorf, Department of Psychiatry, Hamburg, Germany;

⁹Psychiatric Research Center, Roozbeh Hospital, Tehran University of Medical Sciences, Tehran, Iran.

Correspondence to: Ehsan Moazen-Zadeh, MD MSc, Addiction Institute of Mount Sinai, Department of Psychiatry, Icahn School of Medicine at Mount Sinai, 1470 Madison Avenue, NY, NY 10029. Tel: 646 881-0850; Email: Ehsan.moazen-zadeh@mssm.edu

Abstract

Objective: There is a dearth of high-quality systematic evidence on the impact of opioid substitution medications on mental health. We compared mental health outcomes between opioid medications and placebo/waitlist, and between different opioids.

Methods: This systematic review and meta-analysis of randomized clinical trials (RCTs) was pre-registered at PROSPERO (CRD42018109375). Embase, MEDLINE, PsychInfo, CINAHL Complete, and Web of Science Core Collection were searched from inception to May 2020. RCTs were included if they compared opioid agonists with each other or with a placebo/waitlist in substitution treatment of patients with opioid use disorder, and reported at least one mental health outcome on a span of more than 1-month post baseline. Studies with psychiatric care, adjunct psychotropic medications, or unbalanced psychosocial services were excluded. Primary outcomes were comparison of depressive symptoms and overall mental health between opioids and placebo/waitlist. Random effects model was used for all the meta-analysis.

Results: Nineteen studies were included in the narrative synthesis and 15 in the quantitative synthesis. Hydromorphone, diacetylmorphine (DAM), methadone, slow-release oral morphine, buprenorphine, and placebo/waitlist were among the included interventions. Based on network meta-analysis for primary outcomes, buprenorphine (SMD (CI95%)= -0.61 (-1.20, -0.11)), DAM (-1.40 (-2.70, -0.23)), and methadone (-1.20 (-2.30, -0.11)) were superior to waitlist/placebo on overall mental health. Further direct pairwise meta-analysis indicated that overall mental health improved more in DAM compared to methadone (-0.23 (-0.34, -0.13)).

Conclusions: It appears that opioid medications improve mental health independent of psychosocial services. Potential contribution of other factors needs to be further investigated.

Keywords: Opioid, buprenorphine, methadone, mental health, depression

Introduction

In recent years, there has been a significant increase in illicit opioid use and opioid-related fatalities worldwide with illicit opioids accounting for the greatest harm to the health of users among all other substances of abuse (1). In the US, 64.3% of adults with opioid use disorder suffer from a current comorbid mental illness (2). Rates of comorbid mental disorders among patients seeking opioid substitution treatment are 20-80% in Europe and approximately 80% in Ontario, Canada (3,4). Despite of these high rates of comorbidity, only around 1/4 of adults with concurrent opioid use disorder and acute mental illness receive treatment for both problems (2). Furthermore, the array of psychosocial interventions studied in treatment of opioid use disorder have produced mixed results in terms of improving mental health status (3,5). The results of using anti-depressants for treatment of patients with comorbid major depression opioid use disorder are inconsistent (3).

Understanding the dynamics of potential factors that contribute to the improvement of mental health in patients with opioid use disorder is essential for the development of novel effective interventions. Opioid agonist medications are the mainstay and first line of treatments in opioid use disorder and have a long history in the treatment of mood disorders even before anti-depressants became available on the market (6,7). Recent clinical studies demonstrated the efficacy of buprenorphine in improving depression and risk of suicide in patients with refractory major depression (8), and several lines of evidence suggest involvement of the endogenous opioid system in mood and anxiety disorders (9,10). Based on our literature search, there have been only two systematic reviews on mental health-related outcomes in opioid substitution treatment (11,12). While both studies reported improved mental health outcomes in opioid substitution treatment, the scope their review was limited and they were unable to distinguish between effects of opioid agonists on mental health from the potential effects of adjunctive psychosocial interventions because they lacked any comparison between treatment arms.

In order to obtain greater clarity of the potential role of opioid medications in improving mental health in patients with opioid use disorder, we conducted a comparative assessment of mental health outcomes between opioid agonist medications and control conditions (i.e. placebo or waitlist), in clinical trials of opioid substitution treatment. The aggregated results of direct comparisons of mental health outcomes between different opioid agonists are also provided.

Materials and methods

Protocol

The PRISMA guidelines and the latest version of Cochrane Handbook were employed throughout the whole conduct and reporting of the study (13). All methods (<https://www.crd.york.ac.uk/prospero/>, CRD42018109375) were predefined and registered before initiation of the screening phase.

Research question and inclusion/exclusion criteria

The primary question was whether mental health outcomes improve in active substitution treatment with opioid agonists more than neutral control conditions such as placebo/waitlist independent of psychosocial interventions in patients with opioid use disorder. The secondary question was that whether mental health outcomes differentially improve during treatment with diverse opioid agonists in patients with opioid use disorder. Randomized clinical trials were included if they compared any opioid agonists with each other or with a placebo/waitlist in substitution treatment of patients with opioid use disorder and reported at least one mental health outcome using a validated measurement tool on a span of more than 1 month post-baseline. Studies were excluded if patients received comprehensive psychiatric care or were randomized to adjunctive interventions such as focused psychotherapy or adjunctive psychotropic medications. Ancillary routine counselling was acceptable if it was available to all the participants in a study and was provided in the same way across all treatment arms.

Search strategy

The following general combination of search terms and Boolean operators were used: [names of all known opioid substitution medications separated by OR] AND [terms related to clinical trials separated by OR] AND [terms related to mental health separated by OR]. The exact search strategy used to search each database is presented in Supplementary Table 1. On September 10, 2018, a comprehensive list of databases were searched including: EBM Reviews - Cochrane Central Register of Controlled Trials, Embase, MEDLINE(R) and Epub Ahead of Print, In-Process & Other Non-Indexed Citations and Daily, PsychInfo, CINAHL Complete, Web of Science Core Collection, LILACS, OpenGrey, Google Scholar first 200 citations, clinicaltrials.gov and clinicaltrialsregister.eu for the completed/terminated trials registered in the recent 5 years. Finally, a hand search of reference lists from included trials as well as major systematic

reviews of substitution treatments was performed to find additional full-texts. Experts in the field were also consulted. In May 2019 and May 2020, we updated our search to find any relevant newly published studies by searching the literature post September 2018 and asking experts in the field.

Study selection

Four authors worked in parallel, two by two, to screen all the retrieved citations after they were calibrated for the specific inclusion/exclusion criteria using a sample of 20 challenging citations selected by the lead investigator and the senior investigator. These citations were assessed by all four authors and discussed with the lead investigator and senior investigator to reach a consensus regarding any disparities in assessment results. Then, the retrieved citations from the systematic search stage were equally distributed in half among the two pairs of authors. In a two stage process, authors first screened the titles/abstracts, and then screened the full-text of citations. At each stage, discrepancies in the results were resolved between the screeners after reaching a consensus with both the lead investigator and senior investigator. Conference abstracts, thesis reports, and registries of clinical trials were also included if enough data could be collected, either through the reports themselves or by contacting the authors.

Data extraction

Four authors carried out data extraction on a primary sample of 4 studies, where results were compared, discussed, and calibrated amongst them and the lead investigator. Afterwards, the 4 authors worked in parallel pairs to extract data from all studies. Final results were compared, and in cases of discrepancy, a consensus was reached after discussion with the lead investigator and senior investigator. Relevant data were extracted from the included studies using excel sheets with predefined columns including those mentioned in Table 1 as well as details of analytical methods and reported statistics. For each mental health outcome, we recorded: primary or secondary, scale of measurement, baseline and follow-up values, timeline of measurements, and any summary measures reported plus statistical tests that were used.

Risk of bias assessment

Four authors conducted a risk of bias assessment on a primary sample of 5 studies in which results were compared, discussed, and calibrated amongst them and the lead investigator. This was followed by the two pairs of authors working in parallel and assessing the risk of bias in all studies. Final results were

compared, and in cases of discrepancy, a consensus was reached after discussion with the lead investigator and senior investigator. The recently released comprehensive Cochran Risk of Bias Tool version 2 (RoB2), which evaluates each outcome for 6 domains of potential bias as well overall risk of bias, was used for assessment of single studies (14). In cases of similarity among the assessment results for the different outcomes of a single study, a single assessment result was reported for the whole study.

Outcomes, synthesis strategy, and measures

Primary outcomes included standardized mean difference in score changes from baseline to endpoint between opioid agonists and placebo/waitlist for depressive symptoms and overall mental health symptomatology. Secondary outcomes included standardized mean difference in score changes among different opioid agonists for any measures of mental health. Narrative synthesis was carried out by putting together studies on direct comparison of each specific pair of medications, e.g. methadone and buprenorphine. Characteristics of those studies as well as the reported mental health outcomes were used for this synthesis. Quantitative synthesis was carried out in two stages; assessing primary outcomes using network meta-analysis, and direct pairwise meta-analysis for secondary outcomes.

For the quantitative synthesis only data from the following measures of mental health were combined: depression subscale of Symptom Checklist-90 (SCL-90) as well as shorter versions, Beck Depression Inventory (BDI), or Self Rating Depression Scale (15,16); total scores/global severity index from SCL-90 as well as shorter versions, Brief Symptom Inventory (BSI), or Kessler psychological distress scale (K10) (17-19); composite scores of psychiatric status on Addiction Severity Index (ASI) or European ASI (20); and mental health quality of life measured by Short Form Health Survey-36 (SF36) or Lancashire Quality of Life Profile (LQOLP) (21,22). Validity, reliability, and level of correlation of similar measures are discussed elsewhere (15-22).

Statistical analysis

All the analyses were pre-planned. Data from injecting, inhaling, and oral administration of medications or from different doses of a single medication were combined for the studies that included more than one administration route or dosing.

For the network meta-analysis (NMA), standardized mean differences (SMD) and standard errors (SE) that were estimated for each single study on each included mental health outcome were used as the input. An online free version of R package GeMTC for Bayesian NMA (<https://gemtc.drugis.org/>) by Markov Chain Monte Carlo was used with all the codes accessible from the website. Contrast-based NMA was conducted. Random effects method was used rather than fixed effects in order to account for the heterogeneity among studies and potential inconsistencies throughout the network; however, results from both methods were presented. Normal likelihood and identity link function were assumed. Number of chains were set at 4, burn-in iterations at 5000, inference iterations at 20000, and thinning factor at 10. Convergence diagnostics were used to check for the effect of starting values and proper mixing of chains. Leverage-residual deviance plots were used to decide if the final model was appropriate and measures of model fit such as Deviance Information Criterion (DIC) were reported. Node-splitting analysis of consistency was not applicable as there was no closed loop in the model network. Rank probabilities plot and effect estimates were used to compare the medications with placebo/waitlist. The quality of evidence for the network meta-analysis was also assessed using guidelines defined by Salanti et al. (23), based on the GRADE guidelines for assessment of quality of evidence and overall rating of confidence in the estimates (24).

For the direct pairwise meta-analysis, pooled estimates of SMDs were provided alongside SEs. Comprehensive Meta-analysis v2.0 was utilized for this purpose, using random effects model. Measures of heterogeneity were reported including Q statistic for assessing the significance of heterogeneity, the I^2 to evaluate the proportion of total variability attributable to heterogeneity, and Tau^2 for the extent of heterogeneity. Funnel plots were presented if there were enough studies to make the assessment of small study effects, including publication bias, feasible. Symmetry of funnel plots does not eliminate possibility of publication bias, but asymmetry of plots warrants further scrutiny. By definition, publication bias refers to a systematic bias in the probability of studies getting published based on the significance and direction of their results. Sensitivity analysis was considered if there were more than one study with low risk of bias on a specific outcome. Pooled estimates for these low risk studies would be compared with the combination of low-risk/high-risk studies.

Results

Study Selection and overview

After duplicate removal, 2983 citations were screened (Figure 1). Twenty-two studies initially met inclusion criteria, but only 19 were included in this review because sufficient data were not received from authors of three studies (25-27). Furthermore, only 15 studies were included in quantitative synthesis as outcome from two studies were not reported with adequate details (28-31), and similar measures to the ones used in studies by Haight et al. (32), and Strain et al. (52,53), were not available from other studies in order to be included for quantitative synthesis.

Table 1 represents study characteristics and any mental health outcome reported in 19 included studies. Table 2 represents risk of bias assessment for the 19 included studies. The results of meta-analysis are reported in Table 3 as well as Figures 2-5.

Risk of Bias

Except for one study with Low Risk of bias in all the RoB2 indicated domains (33,34), all studies had Some Concerns or High Risk of bias in multiple assessment domains, which resulted in an overall High Risk of bias for those studies (Table 2). The Randomization Process domain had the highest frequency of Low Risk studies, while the two domains concerning Deviations from Intended Interventions had the highest frequency of High Risk studies (Table 2). In this review, small study effects was not assessed due to the low number of studies in each pairwise comparison according to Cochrane's recommendation of the availability of around 10 trials on each pairwise comparison for a meaningful interpretation of small study effects in particular publication bias (13,35).

Narrative synthesis

Hydromorphone-Diacetylmorphine

Only one study was included comparing injectable HDM with injectable DAM in patients with severe opioid use disorder and poor physical/psychosocial conditions, most of whom had a history of relapse after previous methadone treatments (Table 1) (33,34). Oral methadone and counselling services were

available to all participants. No significant difference was reported in improvement of mental health outcomes, i.e. depressive symptoms and overall mental health changes were similar between the two treatments after 6 months of therapy (Table 1).

Diacetylmorphine-Methadone

Six studies compared injectable heroin (DAM) with oral methadone, while in 2 of those studies inhalable heroin and injectable methadone were provided as well (Table 1) (36-39). In all studies patients were provided with psychosocial care, and those in the heroin treatment had access to optional oral methadone. Most patients had a history of relapse after prior methadone treatments and were polydrug users. Patients in 4 studies had poor physical/psychosocial health conditions or high levels of serious comorbid medical conditions like HIV and HCV (36,40-44). Duration of studies varied from 6 months to 12 months. While 2 studies mainly reported no significant differences between the two treatments on various mental health scales (36,37,40,41), 4 studies reported superiority of DAM on some scales, particularly overall mental health (Table 1) (36,41,44,45).

Dihydrocodeine-Methadone

Only one study was included comparing oral dihydrocodeine and oral methadone in patients with opioid dependence (Table 1) (28). Majority of patients were using other comorbid substances at baseline. Prevalence of serious comorbid conditions was low in the sample. No significant difference was reported in the improvement of psychological health after 36 months of therapy (Table 1).

Slow-release oral morphine (SROM) -Methadone

Two studies compared SROM with oral methadone in patients with opioid dependence. Polysubstance dependence was an exclusion criteria in one study (46,47), while cocaine use and HBV/HCV was reported for half of the sample at baseline in the other study (48,49). Standardized psychosocial counseling was provided in one study (46), while it was not reported by the other study (48). After 14-22 weeks of treatment, SROM was superior to methadone on almost all measures of mental health including depressive symptoms, anxiety symptoms, overall mental health, and different subscales of SCL-27 (Table 1). Assessment of heterogeneity was not feasible as there were insufficient data for meta-analysis on the overlapping outcomes between the two studies.

Methadone dose 0 -Methadone dose 20/50

One included study compared 3 different doses of oral methadone, i.e. 0mg, 20mg, and 50mg, in patients with intravenous opioid dependence, who did not have chronic medical illness or major psychiatric comorbidities (Table 1) (29). Counselling services were available to all participants. No significant difference was reported in the improvement of depressive symptoms among the 3 different dosing groups after 20 weeks of therapy.

Methadone-Buprenorphine

Four studies compared oral methadone with oral buprenorphine in patients with opioid dependence who had to have no other substance dependence in two studies (50,51), and had moderate to high prevalence of using other substances in the other two studies (52-55). In three studies, patients also had to have no history of disulfiram, antipsychotic, or anti-convulsant use, or history of major mental illness, including schizophrenia (50,52,54). During the 3-6months of therapy, there was no significant difference between methadone and buprenorphine in an array of mental health outcomes, while two studies reported superiority of methadone in improving depressive, obsessive compulsive, and phobic anxiety symptoms (50), as well as psychological problems in the past 30 days measured at baseline and follow-up visits (52). One study reported superiority of buprenorphine to methadone in improving depressive symptoms (Table 1) (51).

Buprenorphine-Waitlist/Placebo

Four studies compared oral buprenorphine, depot buprenorphine, and buprenorphine/naloxone with either placebo (2 studies) or waitlist (2 studies) (Table 1) in patients with opioid use disorder, who were explicitly substitution treatment-free in the 1-3 months prior to beginning the study. In one study (56), all patients were polysubstance dependent, while in the other 3 studies patients with other substance use disorders or major psychiatric comorbidities were excluded and prevalence of other substance use was reported at baseline (32,57,58). Weekly individual drug counselling appears to be the only psychosocial care provided in one of the studies (32). No significant difference was reported between buprenorphine and placebo, during the 12-24 weeks of treatment, in improving overall mental health, number of anxiety/depression episodes in the past month, or incidence of suicide ideation/attempt. Buprenorphine was superior to waitlist during the 12 weeks of treatment in all mental health outcomes, including but not limited to overall mental health, mental health quality of life, anxiety, and depressive symptoms (Table 1).

Quantitative synthesis

Network Meta-analysis

Based on the availability of data, NMA was applied for depressive symptoms and overall mental health symptomatology outcomes. For depression, 7 RCTs were included. As represented by the thickness of the connecting lines in the geometry of the network in Table 3, there was 1 study for HDM-DAM, 3 studies for methadone-DAM, 2 studies for methadone-buprenorphine, and 1 study for buprenorphine-waitlist. As represented by the size of the nodes, methadone has the most number of participants followed by DAM, buprenorphine, HDM, and waitlist respectively. Both fixed and random effects models had an acceptable level of convergence based on trace and density plots, as well as Potential Scale Reduction Factor (PSRF) values equal to 1.00, but the model fit improved substantially from the fixed effects model (DIC=78.2) to the random effects model (DIC=14.2). Although effect estimates (Table 3) showed higher point estimate effect sizes for all medications compared with waitlist/placebo for reducing depressive symptoms, the effect sizes were statistically significant only for DAM and buprenorphine in the fixed-effects model, and not significant for any of the medications in the random effects model. For overall mental health, 10 RCTs were included. As represented by the thickness of the connecting lines in the geometry of the network in Table 3, there was 1 study for HDM-DAM, 1 study for SROM-methadone, 4 studies for methadone-DAM, 1 study for methadone-buprenorphine, and 4 studies for buprenorphine-waitlist/placebo. As represented by the size of the nodes in the geometry of the network in Table 4, buprenorphine has the most number of participants followed by methadone, DAM, SROM, waitlist/placebo, and HDM. Both fixed and random effects models had an acceptable level of convergence based on trace and density plots, as well as PSRF values equal to 1.00, and the model fit was comparable between the fixed effects model (DIC=20.9) and the random effects model (DIC=20.2). The effect estimates (Table 3) showed significant effects for all medications in improving overall mental health symptomatology compared to waitlist/placebo in the fixed effects model, and a significant effect for buprenorphine, diacetylmorphine, and methadone in the random effects model, where the highest point estimate effect size was for diacetylmorphine followed by methadone and buprenorphine. Finally, based on GRADE guidelines, for all pairwise comparisons between substitution medications and placebo/waitlist, confidence in effect estimates of depressive symptoms and overall mental health was either low or very low (Table 3).

Direct Pairwise Meta-analysis

In the direct pairwise comparisons, meta-analysis was applied for depression (Figure 2), overall mental health symptomatology (Figure 3), ASI psychiatric section (Figure 4), and mental health quality of life (Figure 5). For depression, there was a trend towards higher effect of diacetylmorphine compared with

methadone based on the results of two studies with low level of between study variance (τ^2) and inconsistency (I^2) (Figure 2b), and significantly higher effect of buprenorphine compared with waitlist based on the results of one study (Figure 2d). Comparison of buprenorphine and methadone using three studies with high level of between-study variance and inconsistency (Figure 2c), and DAM with HDM using one study (Figure 2a) did not show any significant difference. For overall mental health symptomatology, DAM was significantly more effective than methadone based on the results of four studies with zero variance and inconsistency (Figure 3b), and buprenorphine was significantly more effective than placebo/waitlist based on the results of three studies with a moderate level of between study variance but high inconsistency (Figure 3e). Comparisons of DAM with HDM (Figure 3a), buprenorphine with methadone (Figure 3d), and SROM with methadone (Figure 3c), with one study for each comparison, did not show any significant difference. For ASI psychiatric section, DAM was significantly more effective than methadone based on the results of four studies with low variance and moderate inconsistency (Figure 4b). Comparisons of DAM with HDM (Figure 4a), and buprenorphine with waitlist (Figure 4c), with one study for each comparison, did not show any significant difference. For quality of life mental health section, buprenorphine was significantly more effective than waitlist based on the results of one study (Figure 5c). Comparisons of DAM with methadone using two studies with zero variance and inconsistency (Figure 5a), and SROM with methadone using one study (Figure 5b) did not show any significant difference. Sensitivity analysis was not applicable as only 1 study had an overall low risk of bias.

Discussion

From the 19 studies included in this review, 15 studies were used in the analyses out of which 14 had high overall risk of bias. Network meta-analysis showed that buprenorphine, DAM, and methadone were superior to waitlist/placebo in improving overall mental health symptomatology. No treatment was superior to placebo in improving depressive symptoms according to the network meta-analysis. Direct pairwise meta-analyses showed that overall mental health symptomatology improved more in DAM than methadone, and the same was true for psychiatric status. Depressive symptoms improved more in Buprenorphine than waitlist or placebo, and the same was true for overall mental health symptomatology as well as mental health quality of life. The results of all other direct pairwise comparisons were not significant.

Previous systematic reviews did not report comparative outcomes and instead focused on longitudinal changes in measures of mental health (11,12). Feelemyer et al. (12), only included cohort studies from specific countries while Fingleton et al. (11), included both cohorts and clinical trials from any country. Both studies reported improved mental health for most medications, but in many of the included studies, medication treatment was provided in conjunction with psychosocial interventions, which makes it hard to conclude that the effects seen were solely attributable to the medications. In our study, we were able to provide an improved picture of potential impact of opioid substitution treatments on mental health by crossing-out the effects of psychosocial interventions as much as possible. The importance of our findings is several folds; beside the robust literature published on superiority of drug use outcomes and retention in treatment for substitution programs compared with abstinence-based methods like detoxification, superiority of opioid agonists to placebo/waitlist on mental health outcomes further emphasizes opioid medication continuation/compliance as an essential part of the treatment approach. Beyond that, higher improvement of mental health during treatment with some opioid agonists over the others in this review, e.g. DAM compared to methadone, alongside their previously reported superior effectiveness on drug use outcomes, implies their potential added benefits for treatment of patients with more severe opioid use disorder or with higher comorbidities. This is in line with suggestions from previous original research (59). Last but not least, the current state of available evidence on mental health outcomes in RTCs of substitution treatment, implies the necessity of more attention to the psychiatric assessments of these patients, and integration of addiction psychiatry services to the current treatment systems as well as training of addiction physicians for assessment of psychiatric comorbidities (60).

Previous comprehensive reviews rated risk of bias as medium or low for some of the studies included in this review, using an older version of Cochrane risk of bias tool and focusing on retention in treatment and adverse events as the outcomes of interest (61). In this review, we assessed mental health as a subjective outcome using the extensively-revised and more comprehensive new version of Cochrane risk of bias tool, which explains lower ratings for risk of bias in our study compared to previous reviews. It would be inherently very hard to completely eliminate some of the sources of bias in future studies, such as blinding for comparison of medications with physiologically different effects, e.g. buprenorphine and methadone, because an experienced patient recognizes differential medication effects. Meanwhile some major considerations are well applicable to most of the future studies. These include but are not limited to planning and reporting analysis methods in advance, dealing with missing data properly and applying intention to treat analysis, clarity in reporting mental health outcomes, and documenting and reporting quantity and quality of ancillary services.

This review was subject to some limitations. First, many of the RCTs of opioid substitution treatment that would be otherwise eligible did not measure or report any mental health outcomes to be included in this review. In this regard, our findings should be interpreted with caution. Second, in most studies the exact quantity and quality of utilized psychosocial services were not reported. Meanwhile, we should note that all these trials were randomized, with baseline characteristics similar among the trial arms in each study, and any routine psychosocial service was similarly available to all participants. Third, except for one study, all the included studies had a high risk of bias. Fourth, we could not formally assess the publication bias due to insufficient number of studies for each pairwise comparison. Meanwhile, in the majority of the included studies, mental health outcomes were among the secondary outcomes, and consequently less prone to influence the publication status. Fifth, we did not investigate the dose-response relationship between opioid medications and mental health outcomes which needs to be further investigated at the individual patients-level data in future RCTs.

Overall, our findings show that mental health improves in substitution treatment with major opioid agonists independent of psychosocial services, and this improvement is greater in DAM substitution treatment than methadone. Future studies may further explore the role opioid dosage and treatment duration on mental health outcomes at the individual patients level data. Recommendations for future research mainly concern design and conduct of clinical trials of substitution treatment rather than further reviews.

Funding: This research received no specific grant from any funding agency, commercial or not-for-profit sectors.

Data Availability: All the data-sets supporting the findings of this study are available from the corresponding author, EMZ, upon reasonable request.

Declaration of interest: EMZ, KZ, KY, MM, JW, AM, KJ, CS, SA, and RMK declare no competing interests. PB received grants from The Dutch Ministry of Health, Welfare and Sports (VWS), during the conduct of the study. UV received speaker's honoraria from Mundipharma GmbH and travelling expenses from Camurus GmbH and Mundipharma GmbH.

Author contributions: EMZ had full access to all of the data in the study and takes responsibility for the integrity of the data and the accuracy of the data analysis. EMZ contributed to the conceptualization, designed the study, coordinated the study, carried out the statistical analysis, provided the first draft, and acted as co-senior reviewer in cases of disagreement. KZ, KY, MM, and JW carried out screening, data extraction, and quality assessment. They also revised the manuscript for important intellectual content. AM contributed to the design, provided methodological guidance, and revised the manuscript for important intellectual content. PB and UV provided essential data for some of the studies included in this review. PB, UV, CS, KJ, SA, and MK provided consultation during the study, contributed to the interpretation of results and revised the manuscript for important intellectual content. MK conceptualized the study, contributed to the design, helped with coordination of the study, and acted as co-senior reviewer in cases of disagreement.

References

- 1_ United Nations Office on Drugs and Crime. World Drug Report 2019: Booklet 2. Vienna: United Nations Publication, 2019. https://wdr.unodc.org/wdr2019/prelaunch/WDR19_Booklet_2_DRUG_DEMAND.pdf (Accessed on June 01, 2020)
- 2_ Jones CM, McCance-Katz EF. Co-occurring substance use and mental disorders among adults with opioid use disorder. *Drug Alcohol Depend* 2019; **197**: 78-82.
- 3_ Torrens M, Mestre-Pinto JI, Domingo-Salvany A. Comorbidity of substance use and mental disorders in Europe. Luxembourg: Publications office of the European Union, 2015. <http://www.emcdda.europa.eu/system/files/publications/1988/TDXD15019ENN.pdf> (Accessed on June 01, 2020)
- 4_ Rosic T, Naji L, Bawor M, Dennis BB, Plater C, Marsh DC, et al. The impact of comorbid psychiatric disorders on methadone maintenance treatment in opioid use disorder: a prospective cohort study. *Neuropsychiatr Dis Treat* 2017; **13**: 1399-408.
- 5_ Dugosh K, Abraham A, Seymour B, McLoyd K, Chalk M, Festinger D. A systematic review on the use of psychosocial interventions in conjunction with medications for the treatment of opioid addiction. *J Addict Med* 2016; **10**: 93-103.
- 6_ Stoll AL, Rueter S. Treatment augmentation with opiates in severe and refractory major depression. *Am J Psychiatry* 1999; **156**: 2017.
- 7_ Nyhuis PW, Gastpar M, Scherbaum N. Opiate treatment in depression refractory to antidepressants and electroconvulsive therapy. *J Clin Psychopharmacol* 2008; **28**: 593-5.
- 8_ Serafini G, Adavastro G, Canepa G, De Berardis D, Valchera A, Pompili M, et al. The efficacy of buprenorphine in major depression, treatment-resistant depression and suicidal behavior: a systematic review. *Int J Mol Sci* 2018; **19**: E2410.
- 9_ Peciña M, Karp JF, Mathew S, Todtenkopf MS, Ehrich EW, Zubietta JK. Endogenous opioid system dysregulation in depression: implications for new therapeutic approaches. *Mol Psychiatry* 2019; **24**: 576-87.

- 10_ Lutz PE, Kieffer BL. Opioid receptors: distinct roles in mood disorders. *Trends Neurosci* 2013; **36**: 195-206.
- 11_ Fingleton N, Matheson C, Jaffray M. Changes in mental health during opiate replacement therapy: A systematic review. *Drugs: education, prevention and policy* 2015; **22**: 1-18.
- 12_ Feelemyer JP, Des Jarlais DC, Arasteh K, Phillips BW, Hagan H. Changes in quality of life (WHOQOL-BREF) and addiction severity index (ASI) among participants in opioid substitution treatment (OST) in low and middle income countries: An international systematic review. *Drug Alcohol Depend* 2014; **134**: 251-8.
- 13_ Higgins JPT, Thomas J, Chandler J, Cumpston M, Li T, Page MJ, eds. *Cochrane Handbook for Systematic Reviews of Interventions*. 2nd Edition. Chichester (UK): John Wiley & Sons, 2019.
- 14_ Sterne JA, Savović J, Page MJ, Elbers RG, Blencowe NS, Boutron I, et al. RoB 2: a revised tool for assessing risk of bias in randomised trials. *BMJ* 2019; **366**: 14898.
- 15_ Müller JM, Postert C, Beyer T, Furniss T, Achtergarde S. Comparison of eleven short versions of the Symptom Checklist 90-Revised (SCL-90-R) for use in the assessment of general psychopathology. *J Psychopathol Behav Assess* 2010; **32**: 246-54.
- 16_ Schaefer A, Brown J, Watson CG, Plemel D, DeMotts J, Howard MT, et al. Comparison of the validities of the Beck, Zung, and MMPI Depression Scales. *J Consult Clin Psychol* 1985; **53**: 415-8.
- 17_ Derogatis LR, Savitz KL. The SCL-90-R, Brief Symptom Inventory, and Matching Clinical Rating Scales. In: Maruish ME. *The use of psychological testing for treatment planning and outcomes assessment*. Mahwah: Lawrence Erlbaum Associates Publishers 1999: 679-724.
- 18_ Prinz U, Nutzinger DO, Schulz H, Petermann F, Braukhaus C, Andreas S. Comparative psychometric analyses of the SCL-90-R and its short versions in patients with affective disorders. *BMC Psychiatry* 2013; **13**: 104.

- 19_ Kessler RC, Andrews G, Colpe LJ, Hiripi E, Mroczek DK, Normand SL, et al. Short screening scales to monitor population prevalences and trends in non-specific psychological distress. *Psychol Med* 2002; **32**: 959-76.
- 20_ Mäkelä K. Studies of the reliability and validity of the Addiction Severity Index. *Addiction* 2004; **99**: 398-410.
- 21_ Bobes J, Garcia-Portilla P, Saiz PA, Bascaran T, Bousoño M. Quality of life measures in schizophrenia. *Eur Psychiatry* 2005; **20**: S313-317.
- 22_ Hewitt J. Critical evaluation of the use of research tools in evaluating quality of life for people with schizophrenia. *Int J Ment Health Nurs* 2007; **16**: 2-14.
- 23_ Salanti G, Del Giovane C, Chaimani A, Caldwell DM, Higgins JP. Evaluating the quality of evidence from a network meta-analysis. *PloS One* 2014; **9**: e99682.
- 24_ Guyatt G, Oxman AD, Sultan S, Brozek J, Glasziou P, Alonso-Coello P, et al. GRADE guidelines: 11. Making an overall rating of confidence in effect estimates for a single outcome and for all outcomes. *J Clin Epidemiol* 2013; **66**: 151-7.
- 25_ Fischer G, Ortner R, Rohrmeister K, Jagsch R, Baewert A, Langer M, et al. Methadone versus buprenorphine in pregnant addicts: a double-blind, double-dummy comparison study. *Addiction* 2006; **101**: 275-81.
- 26_ Nikoo M, Moazen-Zadeh E, Nikoo N, Javidanbardan S, Kazemi A, Choi F, et al. Comparing opium tincture and methadone for medication-assisted treatment of patients with opioid use disorder: Protocol for a multicenter parallel group noninferiority double-blind randomized controlled trial. *Int J Methods Psychiatr Res* 2019; **28**: e1768.
- 27_ Amass L, Kamien JB, Branstetter SA, Mikulich SK. A controlled comparison of the buprenorphine-naloxone tablet and methadone for opioid maintenance treatment: interim results. In: Harris LS. *Problems of Drug Dependence 1999: Proceedings of the 61st annual scientific meeting, the College on Problems of Drug Dependence, Inc. NIDA Res Monogr* 1999; **180**: 161.

- 28_ Robertson JR, Raab GM, Bruce M, McKenzie JS, Storkey HR, Salter A. Addressing the efficacy of dihydrocodeine versus methadone as an alternative maintenance treatment for opiate dependence: a randomized controlled trial. *Addiction* 2006; **101**: 1752-9.
- 29_ Strain EC, Stitzer ML, Liebson IA, Bigelow GE. Methadone dose and treatment outcome. *Drug Alcohol Depend* 1993a; **33**: 105-17.
- 30_ Strain EC, Stitzer ML, Liebson IA, Bigelow GE. Dose-response effects of methadone in the treatment of opioid dependence. *Ann Intern Med* 1993b; **119**: 23-7.
- 31_ Strain EC, Stitzer ML, Liebson IA, Bigelow GE. Useful predictors of outcome in methadone-treated patients: Results from a controlled clinical trial with three doses of methadone. *Journal of Maintenance in the Addictions* 1998; **1**: 15-28.
- 32_ Haight BR, Learned SM, Laffont CM, Fudala PJ, Zhao Y, Garofalo AS, et al. Efficacy and safety of a monthly buprenorphine depot injection for opioid use disorder: a multicentre, randomised, double-blind, placebo-controlled, phase 3 trial. *Lancet* 2019; **393**: 778-90.
- 33_ Oviedo-Joekes E, Guh D, Brissette S, Marchand K, MacDonald S, Lock K, et al. Hydromorphone compared with diacetylmorphine for long-term opioid dependence: a randomized clinical trial. *JAMA Psychiatry* 2016; **73**: 447-55.
- 34_ Oviedo-Joekes E, Marchand K, Lock K, MacDonald S, Guh D, Schechter MT. The SALOME study: recruitment experiences in a clinical trial offering injectable diacetylmorphine and hydromorphone for opioid dependency. *Subst Abuse Treat Prev Policy* 2015; **10**: 3.
- 35_ Higgins JP, Green S, eds. *Cochrane handbook for systematic reviews of interventions*. Chichester (UK): John Wiley & Sons, 2008.
- 36_ Van den Brink W, Hendriks VM, Blanken P, Koeter MW, van Zwieten BJ, Van Ree JM. Medical prescription of heroin to treatment resistant heroin addicts: two randomised controlled trials. *BMJ* 2003; **327**: 310.

- 37_ Metrebian N, Groshkova T, Hellier J, Charles V, Martin A, Forzisi L, et al. Drug use, health and social outcomes of hard-to-treat heroin addicts receiving supervised injectable opiate treatment: secondary outcomes from the Randomized Injectable Opioid Treatment Trial (RIOTT). *Addiction* 2015; **110**: 479-90.
- 38_ Strang J, Metrebian N, Lintzeris N, Potts L, Carnwath T, Mayet S, et al. Supervised injectable heroin or injectable methadone versus optimised oral methadone as treatment for chronic heroin addicts in England after persistent failure in orthodox treatment (RIOTT): a randomised trial. *Lancet* 2010; **375**: 1885-95.
- 39_ Lintzeris N, Strang J, Metrebian N, Byford S, Hallam C, Lee S, et al. Methodology for the Randomised Injecting Opioid Treatment Trial (RIOTT): evaluating injectable methadone and injectable heroin treatment versus optimised oral methadone treatment in the UK. *Harm Reduct J* 2006; **3**: 28.
- 40_ March JC, Oviedo-Joekes E, Perea-Milla E, Carrasco F. Controlled trial of prescribed heroin in the treatment of opioid addiction. *J Subst Abuse Treat* 2006; **31**: 203-11.
- 41_ Reimer J, Verthein U, Karow A, Schäfer I, Naber D, Haasen C. Physical and mental health in severe opioid-dependent patients within a randomized controlled maintenance treatment trial. *Addiction* 2011; **106**: 1647-55.
- 42_ Karow A, Reimer J, Schäfer I, Krausz M, Haasen C, Verthein U. Quality of life under maintenance treatment with heroin versus methadone in patients with opioid dependence. *Drug Alcohol Depend* 2010; **112**: 209-15.
- 43_ Haasen C, Verthein U, Degkwitz P, Berger J, Krausz M, Naber D. Heroin-assisted treatment for opioid dependence: randomised controlled trial. *Br J Psychiatry* 2007; **191**: 55-62.
- 44_ Oviedo-Joekes E, Brissette S, Marsh DC, Lauzon P, Guh D, Anis A, et al. Diacetylmorphine versus methadone for the treatment of opioid addiction. *N Engl J Med* 2009; **361**: 777-86.

- 45_ Demaret I, Quertemont E, Litran G, Magoga C, Deblire C, Dubois N, et al. Efficacy of heroin-assisted treatment in Belgium: a randomised controlled trial. *Eur Addict Res* 2015; **21**: 179-87.
- 46_ Eder H, Jagsch R, Kraigher D, Primorac A, Ebner N, Fischer G. Comparative study of the effectiveness of slow-release morphine and methadone for opioid maintenance therapy. *Addiction* 2005; **100**: 1101-9.
- 47_ Winklbaur B, Jagsch R, Ebner N, Thau K, Fischer G. Quality of life in patients receiving opioid maintenance therapy. A comparative study of slow-release morphine versus methadone treatment. *Eur Addict Res* 2008; **14**: 99-105.
- 48_ Verthein U, Beck T, Haasen C, Reimer J. Mental symptoms and drug use in maintenance treatment with slow-release oral morphine compared to methadone: results of a randomized crossover study. *Eur Addict Res* 2015; **21**: 97-104.
- 49_ Beck T, Haasen C, Verthein U, Walcher S, Schuler C, Backmund M, et al. Maintenance treatment for opioid dependence with slow-release oral morphine: a randomized cross-over, non-inferiority study versus methadone. *Addiction* 2014; **109**: 617-26.
- 50_ Pani PP, Maremmani I, Pirastu R, Tagliamonte A, Gessa GL. Buprenorphine: a controlled clinical trial in the treatment of opioid dependence. *Drug Alcohol Depend* 2000; **60**: 39-50.
- 51_ Neri S, Bruno CM, Pulvirenti D, Malaguarnera M, Italiano C, Mauceri B, et al. Randomized clinical trial to compare the effects of methadone and buprenorphine on the immune system in drug abusers. *Psychopharmacology* 2005; **179**: 700-4.
- 52_ Strain EC, Stitzer ML, Liebson IA, Bigelow GE. Buprenorphine versus methadone in the treatment of opioid dependence: self-reports, urinalysis, and addiction severity index. *J Clin Psychopharmacol* 1996; **16**: 58-67.
- 53_ Strain EC, Stitzer ML, Liebson IA, Bigelow GE. Comparison of buprenorphine and methadone in the treatment of opioid dependence. *Am J Psychiatry* 1994; **151**: 1025-30.

- 54_ Dean AJ, Bell J, Christie MJ, Mattick RP. Depressive symptoms during buprenorphine vs. methadone maintenance: findings from a randomised, controlled trial in opioid dependence. *Eur Psychiatry* 2004; **19**: 510-3.
- 55_ Mattick RP, Ali R, White JM, O'Brien S, Wolk S, Danz C. Buprenorphine versus methadone maintenance therapy: a randomized double-blind trial with 405 opioid-dependent patients. *Addiction* 2003; **98**: 441-52.
- 56_ Krook AL, Brørs O, Dahlberg J, Grouff K, Magnus P, Røysamb E, et al. A placebo-controlled study of high dose buprenorphine in opiate dependents waiting for medication-assisted rehabilitation in Oslo, Norway. *Addiction* 2002; **97**: 533-42.
- 57_ Dunlop AJ, Brown AL, Oldmeadow C, Harris A, Gill A, Sadler C, et al. Effectiveness and cost-effectiveness of unsupervised buprenorphine-naloxone for the treatment of heroin dependence in a randomized waitlist controlled trial. *Drug Alcohol Depend* 2017; **174**: 181-91.
- 58_ Streck JM, Ochalek TA, Badger GJ, Sigmon SC. Interim buprenorphine treatment during delays to comprehensive treatment: Changes in psychiatric symptoms. *Exp Clin Psychopharmacol* 2018; **26**: 403-9.
- 59_ Schafer I, Eiroa-Orosa FJ, Verthein U, Dilg C, Hassen C, Reimer J. Effects of psychiatric comorbidity on treatment outcome in patients undergoing diamorphine or methadone maintenance treatment. *Psychopathology* 2010; **43**: 88-95.
- 60_ Levin FR, Bisaga A, Sullivan MA, Williams AR, Cates-Wessel K. A review of a national training initiative to increase provider use of MAT to address the opioid epidemic. *Am J Addict* 2016; **25**: 603-9.
- 61_ Ferri M, Davoli M, Perucci CA. Heroin maintenance for chronic heroin-dependent individuals. *Cochrane Database Syst Rev* 2011; **12**: CD003410.

Table 1 Summary of studies included in the systematic review of mental health outcomes in opioid substitution treatment

Study	Inclusion Criteria	Other Drug Use	Concurrent Conditions	Age, mean	Male Sex, %	Main Interventions	Ancillary Services	Duration	Scales and mental health outcomes	Results
Oviedo-Joekes et al. (2016) ³³ Oviedo-Joekes et al. (2015) ³⁴	Severe opioid use disorder (DSM-V)	Crack cocaine	Patients had to be in poor physical health or psychosocial functioning	HDM: 45.17; DAM: 43.50	HDM: 67%; DAM= 71.6%	DAM: injectable/ average 454.0 mg daily plus 23.64 mg methadone daily HDM: injectable/ after conversion to equivalent doses of DAM/ average 212.6 mg daily plus 24.58 mg methadone daily	Addiction counselors, social workers, and allied health professionals	6 months	_SCL-90/ depressive symptoms _SCL-90/ overall mental health _EuroASI/ psychiatric status _MAP/ psychological health	_NS _NS _NS _NS
Van den Brink et al. (2003) ³⁶	Opioid dependence (DSM-IV)	Cocaine; Amphetamines	HIV; Patients had poor physical or mental health or poor social functioning	Heroin (injecting-inhaling): 39.2-40.0; Methadone (arm1-arm2): 38.0-39.6	Heroin (injecting-inhaling): 78.6-82.9%; Methadone (arm1-arm2): 79.1-81.6%	Heroin: injecting-inhaling/ average 540 mg of heroin and 57 mg of methadone daily Methadone: oral methadone arms combined/ average 67-71 mg daily	Standard medical and psychosocial services	12 months	_SCL-90/ depressive symptoms _SCL-90/ overall mental health _EuroASI/ psychiatric status	_NS _Favors Heroin _NS
March et al. (2006) ⁴⁰	Opiate dependence (ICD-10)	No specific information	HIV; HCV; HBV	DAM: 37.0; Methadone: 37.3	DAM: 83.9%; Methadone: 96.8%	DAM: intravenous/ average 274.5 mg daily with 42.6 mg daily oral methadone Methadone: oral/ average 105 mg daily	Legal problem solving and arranging invalidity benefit, housing, and other social resources, as well as psychiatric, psychotherapeutic, and medical treatments for concomitant disease	9 months	_SCL-90/ overall mental health _ASI/ psychiatric status _SF-12/ mental health quality of life	_NS _NS _NS
Reimer et al., (2011) ⁴¹ Karow et al. (2010) ⁴² Hassen et al. (2007) ⁴³	Opioid dependence (ICD-10)	Alcohol; Cocaine; Benzodiazepines	HIV; HCV; HBV	Heroin: 36.2; Methadone: 36.6	Heroin: 80%; Methado: 79.8%	Heroin: injectable/ average 442 mg daily with an additional 8 mg daily oral methadone Methadone: oral/ average 99 mg daily	Psychosocial care including case management, and either integrated case management and motivational interviews or drug counseling and psychoeducation	12 months	_SCL-90/ overall mental health _EuroASI/ psychiatric status _GAF/ psychosocial functioning _QOL/ vitality _QOL/ psychosocial aspect _QOL/ affective aspect	_Favors Heroin _NS _Favors Heroin _NS _NS _NS
Oviedo-Joekes et al. (2009) ⁴⁴	Opioid dependence (DSM-IV)	Cocaine powder; Crack cocaine	HIV; HCV	DAM: 39.7; Methadone: 39.3	DAM: 63.5%; Methadone: 58.6%	DAM: injectable/ average 392.3 mg daily when prescribed alone (30 patients received 365.5 mg daily with methadone 34.0 mg)	A range of psychosocial and primary care services	12 months	_EuroASI/ psychiatric status	_Favors DAM

						Methadone: oral/ average 96.0 mg daily				
Demaret et al. (2015) ⁴⁵	Opioid dependence for the past 5 years	Alcohol; Cocaine; Benzodiazepines	Poor physical or mental health was an inclusion criteria	DAM: 43; Methadone: 42	DAM: 83%; Methadone: 92%	DAM: injecting-inhaling/ up to 1000 mg daily but average 573 mg daily with methadone 20 mg daily Methadone: oral/ average 77 mg daily	Psychosocial services	12 months	_SCL-90/ depressive symptoms _SCL-90/ overall mental health	_Favors DAM _Favors DAM
Metrebian et al. (2014) ³⁷ Strang et al. (2010) ³⁸ Lintzeris et al. (2006) ³⁹	Opioid dependence (DSM-IV)	Alcohol; Crack cocaine; Illicit benzodiazepines Alcohol dependence or regular abuse of benzodiazepines was exclusion criteria	Patients were excluded if they had significant and active medical or psychiatric conditions.	Heroin: 37.5; Methadone (injecting-oral): 37-37.2	Heroin: 86%; Methadone: 67%	Heroin: injecting/ average of 399 mg daily plus 42 mg oral methadone Methadone: injecting average 128mg daily plus 31 mg supplementary oral, or optimized oral alone mean 107mg daily	Monthly reviews with a study medical officer, psychologist for individual CBT-based therapy, other ancillary services	6 months	_SF-36/ overall mental health quality of life	_NS
Eder et al. (2005) ⁴⁶ Winklbaaur et al. (2008) ⁴⁷	Opioid dependence (DSM-IV)	Multi-substance dependence was exclusion criteria	No specific information.	SROM: 29.5; Methadone: 27.9	SROM: 84.4%; Methadone: 90.6%	SROM: oral/average 680mg daily Methadone: oral/average 85mg daily	Standardized 30-minute psychosocial counseling sessions on a twice-weekly basis	14 weeks, (7 weeks before cross-over)	_BDI/ depressive symptoms _STAI/ anxiety _LQOLP/ mental health quality of life	_Favors Morphine _Favors Morphine _NS
Verthein et al. (2014) ⁴⁸ Beck et al. (2014) ⁴⁹	Opioid dependence (ICD-10 or DSM-IV)	Cocaine	HIV; Syphilis; HBV; HCV	Total ITT sample: 38.1	Total ITT sample: 81.5%	SROM: oral/average 791 mg daily Methadone: oral/ average 103 mg daily	No information.	22 weeks (11 weeks before cross-over)	_SCL-27/ overall mental health _SCL-27/ depressive symptoms _SCL-27/ dysthymic symptoms _SCL-27/ vegetative symptoms _SCL-27/ agoraphobic symptoms _SCL-27/ sociophobic symptoms _SCL-27/ symptoms of mistrust	_Favors Morphine _Favors Morphine _Favors Morphine _Favors Morphine _Favors Morphine _Favors Morphine
Robertson et al. (2006) ²⁸	Opioid dependence (local procedures)	Alcohol; Cocaine or crack; Amphetamines or MDMA;	HIV; HBV; HCV	80% of dihydrocodeine and 90% of methadone group were 16-35 y	Dihydrocodeine: 72%; Methadone: 71%	Dihydrocodeine: oral/ equivalent dose to methadone (30mg dihydrocodeine equal to 2.5mg methadone) Methadone: 40-150mg daily	No information.	36 months	_MAP/ psychological health	_NS

		Cannabis; Benzodiaze- pines								
Strain et al. (1993a) ²⁹ Strain et al. (1993b) ³⁰ Strain et al. (1998) ³¹	Intravenous opioid dependence (Documented previous treatment or legal involvement, a positive urine sample, and physical examination)	Alcohol; Cocaine; Marijuana; sedatives-hypnotics	Patients were excluded if they had chronic medical or major mental illnesses.	0mg: 33.4; 20mg: 33.1; 50mg: 34.6	0mg: 72%; 20mg: 67%; 50mg: 70%	Methadone 0 mg daily Methadone 20 mg daily Methadone 50mg daily Initially stabilized at 25mg, then titrated to target dose. Target stable doses from week 6-20 (15 weeks).	Individual counselor, weekly group therapy focused on relapse prevention, and on-site medical services	20 weeks	_BDI/ depressive symptoms	_NS
Pani et al. (2000) ⁵⁰	Opioid dependence (DSM-IV)	Current diagnosis of alcohol or hypnotic-sedative dependence was exclusion criteria	Patients were excluded if they were currently using antiepileptics, disulfiram or neuroleptics.	Buprenorphine: 28; Methadone: 28	Buprenorphine: 92.1%; Methadone: 79.4%	Buprenorphine: oral/ 8 mg daily plus placebo Methadone: oral/ 60mg daily plus placebo	Weekly individual counselling session on addiction, health, psychological, relational, and legal-related issues	6 months	_GAF/ psychosocial functioning _SCL-90/ depressive symptoms _SCL-90/ overall mental health _SCL-90/ somatization _SCL-90/ obsessive-compulsive symptoms _SCL-90/ interpersonal sensitivity _SCL-90/ anxiety symptoms _SCL-90/ anger-hostility _SCL-90/ phobic anxiety _SCL-90/ paranoia _SCL-90/ psychoticism	_NS _Favors Methadone _NS _NS _Favors Methadone _NS _NS _NS _NS _Favors Methadone _NS
Strain et al. (1996) ⁵² Strain et al. (1994) ⁵³	Opioid dependence (DSM-III-R)	Alcohol; Cocaine; Sedative-hypnotics	Patients were excluded if they had chronic medical or major mental illnesses.	Buprenorphine: 32.2; Methadone: 32.8	Buprenorphine: 68%; Methadone: 74%	Buprenorphine: oral-sublingual/ average 8.9 mg daily Methadone: average 54mg daily	Individual counselling, and weekly group therapy, focused on relapse prevention; on-site medical services	16 weeks	_ASI/ days with psychological problems in the past 30 days	_Favors Methadone

Dean et al. (2004) ⁵⁴ Mattick et al. (2003) ⁵⁵	Opioid dependence (DSM-IV)	Alcohol; Cocaine; Amphetamine; Cannabis; Tobacco; Tranquilizers	Patients were excluded if they were using disulfiram, anticonvulsant, or antipsychotic medications.	Buprenorphine: 29.2; Methadone: 29.8	Buprenorphine: 63%; Methadone: 61%	Buprenorphine: oral/ mean 8.6 mg daily Methadone: oral/ mean 50.1 mg daily	No information.	13 weeks	_SCL-90/ overall mental health _BDI/ depressive symptoms _Depression episodes _Anxiety episodes	_NS _NS _NS _NS
Neri et al. (2005) ⁵¹	Opioid dependence (DSM-IV)	Codependence of alcohol, amphetamines, cannabinoids and benzodiazepines was exclusion criteria	No specific information.	Buprenorphine: 24; Methadone: 27	Buprenorphine: 87%; Methadone: 90%	Methadone: oral/medium 100 mg daily Buprenorphine: sublingual/ mean 30.40 mg daily	No information.	12 months	_SRDS/ depressive symptoms	_Favors Buprenorphine
Krook et al. (2002) ⁵⁶	Opioid dependence (DSM-IV)	All patients were polysubstance dependent. Most commonly used substances: benzodiazepines and cannabis	No specific information.	Buprenorphine: 38; Placebo: 38	Buprenorphine: 65.5%; Placebo: 67%	Buprenorphine: sublingual/16 mg daily Placebo: sublingual	No additional psychosocial treatment or support was provided.	12 weeks	_SCL-5/ overall mental health _Anxiety episodes _Depression episodes	_NS _NS _NS
Dunlop et al. (2017) ⁵⁷	Opioid dependence (DSM-IV)	Alcohol; Amphetamine; Tobacco Dependence on alcohol, benzodiazepines, amphetamines, cocaine was exclusion criteria	Participants were ineligible if they had concurrent major medical or psychiatric conditions where immediate opioid agonist treatment and/or other treatments were clinically indicated.	Buprenorphine/naloxone: 36.1; Waitlist: 37.7	Buprenorphine/naloxone: 60%; Waitlist: 52%	Buprenorphine-Naloxone: oral/ average 21.0-22.7 mg daily Waitlist: access to methadone or buprenorphine maintenance treatment on request	No specific additional services provided.	12 weeks	_K10/ overall mental health _SF-12/ overall mental health quality of life	_Favors Buprenorphine _Favors Buprenorphine
Streck et al. (2018) ⁵⁸	Opioid use disorder (DSM-V)	Cocaine Dependence on sedative-hypnotics or alcohol was exclusion criteria	Participants had to be clear of unstable psychiatric or medical illness that could interfere with consent or participation.	Buprenorphine: 33.6; Waitlist: 35.7	Buprenorphine: 60%; Waitlist: 56%	Buprenorphine: sublingual/ self-administered daily Waitlist: no medication	No psychological counselling or social support provided.	12 weeks	_BAI/ anxiety _BDI-II/ depression _BSI/ depression _BSI/ overall mental health	_Favors Buprenorphine _Favors Buprenorphine _Favors Buprenorphine _Favors Buprenorphine

									_BSI/ interpersonal sensitivity _BSI/ anxiety _BSI/ Obsessive-compulsive symptoms _BSI/ paranoid ideation _ASI/ psychiatric status	_Favors Buprenorphine _Favors Buprenorphine _Favors Buprenorphine _Favors Buprenorphine _Favors Buprenorphine
Haight et al. (2019) ³²	Moderate or severe opioid use disorder (DSM-V)	Alcohol; Cocaine; Amphetamine/metamphetamine; Cannabinoids; Benzodiazepines; Tobacco	Back pain; HCV; Depression; Anxiety; Insomnia	Buprenorphine (300/100-300/300): 39.3 -40.4; Placebo: 39.2	Buprenorphine: 67.08%; Placebo: 65%	RBP300/100: injection/300 mg month 1 and month 2; 100 mg monthly afterward. RBP300/300: injection/600 mg monthly. Placebo1: injection/ matched RBP300/100. Placebo2: injection/ matched RBP300/300.	Loperamide and non-opioid medications to alleviate opioid withdrawal symptoms; weekly individual drug counselling	24 weeks	_C-SSRS/ suicide ideation _C-SSRS/ non-specific suicidal thoughts _C-SSRS/ suicidal behavior _C-SSRS/ suicide attempts	_NS _NS _NS _NS

ASI/EuroASI, Addiction Severity Index/European version; BAI, Beck Anxiety Inventory; BDI, Beck Depression Inventory; BSI, Brief Symptom Inventory; C-SSRS, Columbia Suicide Severity Rating Scale; DAM, Diacetylmorphine; DSM-IV/V, Diagnostic and Statistical Manual of Mental Disorders version IV/V; GAF, Global Assessment of Functioning; HBV, Hepatitis B Virus; HCV, Hepatitis C Virus; HDM, Hydromorphone; HIV, Human Immunodeficiency Virus; ICD-10, International Classification of Diseases version 10; K10, Kessler psychological distress scale; LQOLP, Lancashire Quality of Life Profile; MAP, Maudsley Addiction Profile; NS, not significant; QOL, Quality of Life; SCL-90/27/5, Symptom Checklist 90/27/5; SD, Standard Deviation; SF-12/36, Short Form survey for quality of life 12/36 item; SRDS, Self-Rating Depression Scale; SROM, Slow-Release Oral Morphine; STAI, State-Trait Anxiety Inventory



Table 2 Risk of Bias Assessment for mental health outcomes in clinical trials of opioid substitution treatment

Medication	Study	Domain						Overall
		Randomization Process	Deviations from Intended Interventions (Effect of Assignment to Intervention)	Deviations from Intended Interventions (Effect of Adhering to Intervention)	Missing Outcome Data	Measurement of the Outcome	Selection of the Reported Results	
DAM-HDM	Oviedo-Joekes et al. (2016) ³³	Low Risk	Low Risk	Low Risk	Low Risk	Low Risk	Low Risk	Low Risk
	Oviedo-Joekes et al. (2015) ³⁴							
DAM-Methadone	Van den Brink et al. (2003) ³⁶	Low Risk	Some Concerns	High Risk	High Risk	High Risk	Some Concerns	High Risk
	March et al. (2006) ⁴⁰	Low Risk	Low Risk	High Risk	High Risk	Low Risk	Some Concerns	High Risk
	Reimer et al., (2011) ⁴¹ Karow et al. (2010) ⁴² Hassen et al. (2007) ⁴³	Low Risk	High Risk	High Risk	Low Risk	High Risk	Some Concerns	High Risk
	Oviedo-Joekes et al. (2009) ⁴⁴	Some Concerns	High Risk	High Risk	High Risk	Low Risk	Some Concerns	High Risk
	Demaret et al. (2015) ⁴⁵	Low Risk	High Risk	High Risk	Low Risk	High Risk	Some Concerns	High Risk
	Metrebian et al. (2014) ³⁷ Strang et al. (2010) ³⁸ Lintzeris et al. (2006) ³⁹	Low Risk	High Risk	High Risk	High Risk	High Risk	Low Risk	High Risk
	Eder et al. (2005) ⁴⁶	Low Risk	High Risk	Low Risk	High Risk	Low Risk	Some Concerns	High Risk
	Winklbaaur et al. (2008) ⁴⁷							
SROM-Methadone	Verthein et al. (2014) ⁴⁸	Some Concerns	Low Risk	Low Risk	Some Concerns	High Risk	Some Concerns	High Risk
	Beck et al. (2014) ⁴⁹							

DHC-Methadone	Robertson et al. (2006) ²⁸	Some Concerns	High Risk	High Risk	High Risk	High Risk	Some Concerns	High Risk
Methadone Dose 50/20- Dose 0	Strain et al. (1993a) ²⁹ Strain et al. (1993b) ³⁰ Strain et al. (1998) ³¹	Some Concerns	High Risk	High Risk	High Risk	Low Risk	Some Concerns	High Risk
Buprenorphine-Methadone	Pani et al. (2000) ⁵⁰	Some Concerns	High Risk	High Risk	High Risk	Low Risk	Some Concerns	High Risk
	Strain et al. (1996) ⁵²	Some Concerns	High Risk	High Risk	High Risk	Low Risk	Some Concerns	High Risk
	Strain et al. (1994) ⁵³							
	Dean et al. (2004) ⁵⁴	Low Risk	Low Risk	High Risk	High Risk	Low Risk	Low Risk	High Risk
	Mattick et al. (2003) ⁵⁵ Neri et al. (2005) ⁵¹	Some Concerns	High Risk	High Risk	High Risk	High Risk	Some Concerns	High Risk
Buprenorphine- Waitlist/Placebo	Krook et al. (2002) ⁵⁶	Low Risk	Some Concerns	High Risk	Low Risk	High Risk	Some Concerns	High Risk
	Dunlop et al. (2017) ⁵⁷	Low Risk	High Risk	High Risk	High Risk	High Risk	Low Risk	High Risk
	Streck et al. (2018) ⁵⁸	Some Concerns	Some Concerns	High Risk	Low Risk	High Risk	Low Risk	High Risk
	Haight et al. (2019) ³²	Low Risk	Low Risk	High Risk	Low Risk	Low Risk	Low Risk	High Risk

DAM, Diacetylmorphine; HDM, Hydromorphone; SROM, Slow-Release Oral Morphine

Table 3 Summary of findings from network meta-analysis and GRADE assessment for mental health outcomes in opioid substitution treatment

Outcome and geometry of the network	Pairwise comparison with waitlist or waitlist/placebo as the reference	Random effects model, SMD (95% CI)	Fixed effects model, SMD (95% CI)	Certainty of evidence	Interpretation of findings
<p>Depressive symptoms (7 RCTs, 962 participants)</p> 	HDM (Indirect evidence only)	-0.23 (-7.90, 7.50)	-0.70 (-1.40, 0.04)	Very Low Study limitations, indirectness, imprecision	Insufficient evidence
	DAM (Indirect evidence only)	-0.36 (-6.70, 5.80)	-0.86 (-1.50, -0.17)	Very Low Study limitations, indirectness, imprecision	Insufficient evidence
	Methadone (Indirect evidence only)	-0.036 (-5.20, 5.20)	-0.65 (-1.30, 0.011)	Very Low Study limitations, indirectness, imprecision	Insufficient evidence
	Buprenorphine (Direct evidence only)	-0.98 (-5.70, 3.50)	-0.95 (-1.50, -0.37)	Low Study limitations, imprecision	Insufficient evidence
<p>Overall mental health symptomatology (10 RCTs, 1947 participants)</p> 	HDM (Indirect evidence only)	-1.20 (-2.80, 0.19)	-1.20 (-1.90, -0.42)	Very Low Study limitations, indirectness, imprecision	Probably Superior
	DAM (Indirect evidence only)	-1.40 (-2.70, -0.23)	-1.30 (-2.0, -0.63)	Low or Very Low Study limitations, indirectness	Probably Superior
	Methadone (Indirect evidence only)	-1.20 (-2.30, -0.11)	-1.10 (-1.80, -0.40)	Low or Very Low Study limitations, indirectness	Probably Superior
	SROM (Indirect evidence only)	-1.30 (-2.80, 0.030)	-1.20 (-2.00, -0.49)	Very Low Study limitations, indirectness, imprecision	Probably Superior
	Buprenorphine (Direct evidence only)	-0.61 (-1.20, -0.11)	-0.54 (-0.83, -0.26)	Low Study limitations, inconsistency	Probably Superior

CI, Confidence Interval; DAM, Diacetylmorphine; HDM, Hydromorphone; SDM, Standardized Mean Difference; SROM, Slow-Release Oral Morphine




Fig. 1 PRISMA flow diagram of studies assessed for systematic review of clinical trials of opioid substitution treatment




Fig. 2 Meta-analysis of depressive symptoms in clinical trials of opioid substitution treatment




Fig. 3 Meta-analysis of overall mental health symptomatology in clinical trials of opioid substitution treatment







Fig. 4 Meta-analysis of addiction severity index in clinical trials of opioid substitution treatment



medRxiv preprint doi: <https://doi.org/10.1101/2020.07.04.20146506>; this version posted July 7, 2020. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. It is made available under a [CC-BY-NC 4.0 International license](https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc/4.0/).





Fig. 5 Meta-analysis of mental health quality of life in clinical trials of opioid substitution treatment