Structural Barriers to Green and Blue Spaces: A Scoping Review Protocol

Rachel M. Nejade[1], Daniel M. Grace[2], Leigh R. Bowman[1*]

1. Department of Infectious Disease Epidemiology, School of Public Health, Imperial College London

2. National Health Service

*Corresponding Author

leigh.bowman@gmail.com

Word Count: 1323

Abstract

Background

Emerging evidence has demonstrated that nature-based interventions (NBIs) can improve mental and physical health. Considering that the global burden of poor mental health continues to rise, such interventions could be a cost-effective means to improve mental health, as well as reconnect individuals with the natural world, and thus aid efforts. However, the effectiveness of NBIs as a prescriptive intervention is, in part, a function of access to blue and green spaces. Accordingly, this scoping review will explore how structural inequalities influence the effectiveness of nature-based interventions as treatment options for mental and physical ill health.

Methods

A scoping review will be conducted to identify the barriers and facilitators associated with the utilisation of green and blue spaces. The review will follow the PRISMA-ScR guidelines, in addition to the associated Cochrane guidelines for scoping reviews. A literature search will be performed across five databases, and articles will be selected based on key inclusion/ exclusion criteria. All data will be extracted to a pre-defined charting table. The primary and secondary outcomes will be mental and physical health respectively.

Discussion

This review will better inform relevant stakeholders of the potential enablers and barriers of nature-based interventions, and thereby improve provision and implementation of NBIs as public health initiatives.

Ethics and Dissemination

All data rely on secondary, publicly available data sources; therefore no ethical clearance is required.

Upon completion, the results of this study will be disseminated via the Imperial College London
Community and published in an open access, peer-reviewed journal.

Article Summary

Strengths and Limitations of this Study

• This scoping review protocol is the first to focus on the accessibility to green and blue spaces
in the context of mental and physical health.
• This protocol and subsequent review benefit from increased transparency, a systematised
strategy (PRISMA-ScR), and a reduction in the risk of bias, through publication in an open
access journal.
• This review will also capture grey literature - studies published outside peer-reviewed
journals.
• Due to the broad nature of the review, the research may unearth more questions than
solutions.

Key words: green prescriptions, blue prescribing, nature-based interventions, mental health, physical health,
public health, health promotion, alternative interventions.

Registration Number
Open Science Framework: 10.17605/OSF.IO/8J5Q3

Background

In 2017, 792 million people worldwide lived with a mental health disorder (1), defined as "syndromes characterized by clinically significant disturbance in an individual's cognition, emotional regulation, or behaviour that reflects a dysfunction in the psychological, biological, or developmental processes that underlie mental and behavioural functioning" (ICD-11, 2020) (2). This growing prevalence of poor mental health has both direct and indirect costs, and in financial terms, is estimated at between £70-100 billion annually for the United Kingdom (UK) alone (3).

A growing body of evidence suggests that nature-based interventions (NBIs) could be a cost-effective solution to improve mental health outcomes, while also addressing the increasing demand from patients for less intrusive treatment options (4). Indeed, contact with nature has been shown to reduce stress and improve self-reported emotions, as well as increase attention capacity, happiness, and vitality (5, 6, 7). It has also been shown to improve physiological outcomes such as longevity and self-reported health (8).

Over time, NBIs have been referred to as 'green care', 'ecotherapy', 'social prescribing' or 'green prescribing', demonstrating the lack of consensus on terminology in this field (6). 'Blue prescribing' is also increasingly considered a part of NBIs, since water environments (e.g. river, coastlines) are as much a part of nature as green spaces (e.g. parks, public open spaces) (9).

A recent technical report by Robinson (2018) defines green prescribing as a "non-medical intervention designed to improve physical and mental health through exposure to and interaction with natural environments", by prescribing various monitorable activities such as nature walks, physical exercise, care farming or horticulture (10). Bragg and Atkins (2016) have offered definitions for a range of NBI activities in relation to green spaces, which was made available in the Appendix (Annex A) (5). Although not necessarily included within Robinson's (2018) definition, blue prescribing remains very similar in theory, where the activities prescribed refer instead to physical activities such as swimming (11).

Rationale

Given the positive if limited evidence base, NBIs are now prescribed to patients in New Zealand and Scotland as a means to improve mental health. While this is a positive step, NBIs rely nonetheless on patients being able to access green and blue spaces (10). Yet, environmental (i.e. quality, land management) and socio-structural barriers (i.e. socio-economic status, public transport infrastructure etc.) to green and blue spaces limit the accessibility of NBIs in rural and urban areas (12). Consequently, a scoping review will be conducted to assess how the barriers to the utilisation of green and blue spaces influence the effectiveness of nature-based interventions.

Objectives

This review will be based around the following three objectives:

1. To locate and review the evidence base for green and blue interventions for mental and
physical health outcomes in the existing literature.

2. To identify the enablers and barriers to green and blue spaces in relation to nature-based
interventions for mental and physical health.

3. To understand how these enablers and barriers impact the effectiveness of green and blue
spaces on mental and physical health outcomes.

Methods

Study Design

A scoping review is the most appropriate methodology for this research, due to the capacity
to answer broad questions and summarise findings from a heterogeneous body of knowledge. This
scoping review will draw on existing literature to describe the evidence base for nature-based
interventions in mental and physical health, and highlight potential barriers and facilitators
associated with the utilisation of green and blue spaces, which may translate into NBI effectiveness.

This review will be conducted in accordance with the Preferred Reporting Items for
Systematic Reviews and Meta-Analyses Extension for Scoping Reviews (PRISMA – ScR)
guidelines, with consideration given to the associated Cochrane guidelines for scoping reviews.

Search Strategy

The systematic search strategy was developed by three researchers and will cover terms
related to nature-based interventions including 'green' and 'blue' interventions, mental and physical
health outcomes, determinants of health, and of green and blue spaces utilisation. The primary
outcome will be mental health (determined using a range of quantitative indicators); the secondary
outcome will focus on physical health using a range of parameters. The full list of all the key words
used for the literature search can be found in Figure 1. The terminology in the literature search
reflects the varied positions held by health professionals on the definition of nature-based
interventions and the lack of consensus surrounding their application. This systematic search
strategy will include free text and subject heading terms, adapted to each of the databases.

> **Green care**: 'green care', 'green prescriptions', 'nature-based interventions', 'ecotherapy', 'green skills', 'green social care', 'green health', 'outdoor therapy', 'nature therapy', 'nature-based therapy', 'green therapy', 'social prescribing', 'green exercise', 'green spaces', 'green interventions'
>
> **Blue care**: 'blue care', 'blue prescriptions', 'blue prescribing', 'nature-based interventions', 'blue therapy', 'blue activities', 'blue exercise', 'blue spaces', 'blue interventions', 'blue skills'
>
> **Primary health outcome (mental health)**: 'mental health', 'wellbeing', 'life-satisfaction', 'stress', 'anxiety', 'depression', 'formal diagnoses, 'mood state', 'emotion', 'happiness', 'self-esteem', 'diagnosis', 'questionnaire', 'loneliness', 'PHQ-9', 'PHQ-2', 'PC-PTSD', 'GAD-7', 'GAD-2', 'HDRS', 'EQ-5D', 'SF-36', 'GHQ'
>
> **Secondary health outcome (physical health)**: 'physical health', 'bmi', 'waist-circumference ratio', 'cardiovascular health', 'blood pressure', 'heart rate', 'cholesterol', 'cortisol', 'pulse rate', 'MRI results', 'physiological health'
>
> **Social determinants of health**: 'age', 'income', 'sex', 'ethnicity', 'social-economic status', 'social gradient', 'deprivation', 'geography', 'employment', 'social determinants'
>
> **Determinants of green/blue spaces utilisation**: 'quality', 'accessibility', 'access', 'crime', 'safety' 'biodiversity', 'individual will', 'motivation', 'pollution', 'temperature', 'weather', 'distance', 'infrastructure', 'public transport'

Figure 1: Key terms for the literature search

Studies will be reviewed across the following five databases: PubMed, The Cochrane Library, OVID (including: Embase, PsycINFO, Global Health, MEDLINE, Health Management Information Consortium (HMIC), Transport Database), Web of Science and Scopus. A search of reference lists from included articles will be performed. The search will also be extended to grey literature using Google Scholar, governmental and institutional websites (i.e. Public Health England (PHE), National Health Service (NHS)). Mendeley and Covidence software will be used to store, organise, and manage all references. The full strategy search from PubMed was added in the Appendix (Annex B) to aid transparency and reproducibility of the results. Search strategies will be adapted for other databases.

Study Selection Criteria

RN will run all searches, with the support of DG and LB. First stage screening will comprise a review of titles and abstracts in accordance with the inclusion and exclusion criteria. Selected studies will undergo a second stage of screening against the same inclusion/ exclusion criteria by reviewing the full text. Consensus among the three researchers will be reached where a study is

deemed to sit on the border between inclusion and exclusion. Duplicates will be removed from the

search at both screening stages.

The inclusion criteria for this scoping review are intentionally broad since this is an emerging

field, and the authors anticipate few studies, both narrow and broad in scope. To be included, studies

must can be either human-centric or review articles with reference to green or blue spaces and a

health perspective. Considering the contemporary topic of this scoping review, only studies from

1980 onwards will be included. Studies must also be published in either French of English due to the

absence of additional translational capacity.

Excluded studies will be those that do not fit the inclusion criteria and only report on either

NBI exposure or health outcomes alone. Studies will also be excluded if they were conducted before

1980. This should ensure that all relevant studies to the research question are included and analysed

accordingly, as well as a number of literature types described in Table 1 below.

Inclusion Criteria	Exclusion Criteria
▪ All studies and reviews that report both any NBI and physical or mental health outcome of interest, including: · Peer-reviewed articles (i.e. original research and review articles) · Conference proceedings, dissertations/theses and abstracts published in peer-reviewed journals · Grey literature: Google Scholar and governmental and institutional websites (i.e. NHS, PHE), local health authority publications ▪ Published from year 1980 onwards ▪ Any study designs ▪ Language: English and French	▪ All studies that report only NBI exposure without physical or mental health outcomes. ▪ Literature and study designs including · Books and book chapters · Book reviews · Websites and newspaper articles · Social Media content · Commentaries and opinion papers · Data not in public domain · Unpublished data · Protocols ▪ Published before 1980 ▪ Published in any other language than English or French.

Table 1: Inclusion and exclusion criteria for the scoping review.

Data extraction and analysis

Data extraction (or charting) is a common method used in scoping reviews to extract relevant

information from the included sources of evidence. It will be conducted by one researcher using a

standardised data extraction form, adapted for this scoping review. A preliminary charting template

used to address the research questions and objectives is presented in Table 2. Data extraction will be

reviewed by the research group to ensure the cohesion and acceptability of the charting process.

Study (authors and date)	*Study Type*	*Setting*	*Population*	*Intervention (exposure)*	*Main outcomes (and measurements)*	*Findings*

Table 2: data extraction form (for original studies)

Thematic content analysis will be performed to group findings in categories sharing

similarities. This will be performed by one researcher and reviewed by the research group. Any

amendments to this scoping review protocol will be documented with reference to saved searches

and analysis.

Patient and Public Involvement

Patients, nor the public, will be involved at any stage during this research.

Discussion

167 This review will provide the necessary evidence to inform public health policy, urban

168 planning and primary care on the structural barriers to accessing green and blue spaces. In so doing,

169 key stakeholders will be better informed to develop new policy to mitigate any barriers highlighted

170 by this forthcoming review.

Declarations

Consent for publication Not Applicable.

Availability of data and materials This protocol has been registered at the following: Open Science

Framework: 10.17605/OSF.IO/8J5Q3. All data are available within the manuscript.

Competing interests The authors declare that they have no competing interests.

Funding This scoping review received no specific grant from any funding agency in the public, commercial

or not-for-profit sectors.

Author contributions LB, DG and RN collaborated in conceiving this scoping review, in developing the aims

and objectives, search strategy and in selecting which methods to use to conduct this review.

Acknowledgements The authors would like to thank the School of Public Health at ICL for their continued

support.

References

1. Hannah Ritchie and Max Roser (2020) - "Mental Health". Published online at OurWorldInData.org. Accessed from: https://ourworldindata.org/mental-health.

2. ICD-11 for Mortality and Morbidity Statistics (ICD-11 MMS). World Health Organization (WHO). Accessed from: https://icd.who.int/browse11/l-m/en (2018, accessed May 27, 2020).

3. Department of Health. (2014). Annual Report of the Chief Medical Officer 2013: Public Mental Health Priorities: Investing in the Evidence. Accessed from: https://www.gov.uk/government/publications/chief-medical-officer-cmo-annual-report-public-mental-health.

4. Robinson J, Breed M. Green Prescriptions and Their Co-Benefits: Integrative Strategies for Public and Environmental Health. Challenges. Epub ahead of print 2019. Accessed from https://doi.org/10.3390/challe10010009.

5. Ulrich RS. Aesthetic and Affective Response to Natural Environment. In: Behavior and the Natural Environment. 1983. Epub ahead of print 1983. Accessed from: https://doi.org/10.1007/978-1-4613-3539-9_4.

6. Bragg R, Atkins G. A review of nature-based interventions for mental health care (NECR204). 2016. Accessed from: http://publications.naturalengland.org.uk/publication/4513819616346112.

7. Bragg, R. and Leck, C. (2017). Good practice in social prescribing for mental health: The role of nature-based interventions. Natural England Commissioned Reports (NECR228). Accessed from: http://publications.naturalengland.org.uk/file/5863897012109312.

8. Bertram C, Rehdanz K. The role of urban green space for human well-being. Ecol Econ 2015; 120: 139–152. Accessed from: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ecolecon.2015.10.013.

9. Van Tulleken C, Tipton M, Massey H, et al. Open water swimming as a treatment for major depressive disorder. BMJ Case Rep. Epub ahead of print 2018. DOI: 10.1136/bcr-2018-225007.

10. Robinson JM. Nature-based interventions for physical and mental health conditions. 2018. Accessed from: https://www.researchgate.net/publication/331175674_Nature-based_interventions_for_physical_and_mental_health_conditions

11. Barton J, Bragg R, Wood C, et al. Green Exercise: Linking Nature, Health and Well-being. Taylor & Francis, https://books.google.co.uk/books?id=75ukDAAAQBAJ (2016).

12. Public Health England. Local action on health inequalities: Improving access to green spaces. Heal Equity Evid Rev 8. Accessed from: https://assets.publishing.service.gov.uk/government/uploads/system/uploads/attachment_data/file/357411/Review8_Green_spaces_health_inequalities.pdf.

216 **Appendices**

217 *Annex A: Types of nature-based interventions in the UK, adapted from Bragg and Atkins (2016)(6).*

Nature-Based Intervention(s)	Definition
Social and Therapeutic Horticulture (STH), Horticultural Therapy (HT) and food growing as an intervention	Wellbeing is developed through STH when individuals actively participate in gardening activities or by doing something (i.e. growing food). HT was an add-on to therapy for many years and its use increased since the 1980s, when numerous garden projects offering both STH and HT were developed in the UK. Food growing as a treatment intervention is another alternative.
Care Farming	Care farming (or social farming) is the therapeutic use of agricultural landscapes and farming practices. Health, social and educational care are provided by using components of the whole of part of the care farms, within a supervised, structured programme of farming-related activities.
Environmental conservation (as an intervention)	Facilitated environmental conservation work delivers health, wellbeing and social benefits for vulnerable groups. As commissioned programmes, these are considered a form of NBI. Their facilitated and structured activities are designed for: 1) the conservation and management of natural places; and 2) for the health and wellbeing of participants.
Animal-Assisted Interventions (AAI)	AAI utilises animals in the rehabilitation or social care of humans. AAI includes: 1) AAA - activities with animals and having a therapeutic effect and 2) the more formal Animal Assisted Therapy (AAT) - a goal-directed intervention animals are the most important part of the treatment process being directed, documented and evaluated by professionals.
Green exercise (as a treatment intervention)	Green exercise therapy as a treatment option refer to individuals actively participating in green exercise activities (i.e. walking), which are facilitated and led by an instructor. Example: 'Walking for Health' in the UK (12).
Ecotherapy	Ecotherapy uses activities/exercises to emphasise "mutual healing and growth" between human and nature on enhancing one's wellbeing; to promote positive action on the environment and improve community wellbeing.
Wilderness therapy	The immersion in natural, wild and/or wilderness settings provides many

	health and development opportunities. Typically, this form of therapy is supervised by trained healthcare professionals who would: i) use nature as 'co-therapist'; and ii) use therapeutic activities in a wilderness location.

219   *Annex B: Search Strategy in PubMED*

Concepts	**NCBI search strategy keywords**
Green care	Search: (((((((((((((Green care) OR (green therapy)) OR (nature-based interventions)) OR (nature therapy)) OR (outdoor therapy)) OR (green spaces)) OR (green skills)) OR (green social care)) OR (nature-based therapy)) OR (green prescribing)) OR (green prescriptions)) OR (social prescribing)) OR (green exercise)) OR (green interventions)) OR (green health)) OR (ecotherapy)
Blue care	Search: ((((((((((blue care) OR (blue spaces)) OR (blue interventions)) OR (nature-based interventions)) OR (social prescribing)) OR (blue prescriptions)) OR (blue prescribing)) OR (blue therapy)) OR (blue skills)) OR (blue activities)) OR (blue exercise)) OR (blue interventions)
Mental Health	Search: (((((((((((((((((((((mental health) OR (EQ-5D)) OR (wellbeing)) OR (life-satisfaction)) OR (happiness)) OR (emotion)) OR (mood state)) OR (stress)) OR (anxiety)) OR (depression)) OR (GAD-2)) OR (GAD-7)) OR (PC-PTSD-5)) OR (PHQ-2)) OR (PHQ-9)) OR (HDRS)) OR (SF-36)) OR (GHQ)) OR (questionnaire)) OR (formal diagnosis)) OR (diagnosis)) OR (self-esteem)) OR (loneliness)
Physical Health	Search: ((((((((((physical health) OR (physiological health)) OR (bmi)) OR (waist-circumference ratio)) OR (cardiovascular health)) OR (blood pressure)) OR (heart rate)) OR (MRI results)) OR (pulse rate)) OR (cholesterol)) OR (cortisol)
Social Determinants of Health	Search: ((((((((((age) OR (income)) OR (sex)) OR (ethnicity)) OR (socio-economic status)) OR (social gradient)) OR (deprivation)) OR (geography)) OR (employment)) OR (social determinants)
Environmental Determinants of green and blue spaces utilisation	Search: ((((((((((((biodiversity) OR (access)) OR (accessibility)) OR (quality)) OR (safety)) OR (crime)) OR (infrastructure)) OR (public transport)) OR (individual will)) OR (motivation)) OR (pollution)) OR (temperature)) OR (weather)) OR (distance)

Full Search History	Search: (((((((((((((((((biodiversity) OR (access)) OR (accessibility)) OR (quality)) OR (safety)) OR (crime)) OR (infrastructure)) OR (public transport)) OR (individual will)) OR (motivation)) OR (pollution)) OR (temperature)) OR (weather)) OR (distance)) AND (((((((((((age OR (income)) OR (sex)) OR (ethnicity)) OR (socio-economic status)) OR (social gradient)) OR (deprivation)) OR (geography)) OR (employment)) OR (social determinants))) AND (((((((((((physical health) OR (physiological health)) OR (bmi)) OR (waist-circumference ratio)) OR (cardiovascular health)) OR (blood pressure)) OR (heart rate)) OR (MRI results)) OR (pulse rate)) OR (cholesterol)) OR (cortisol))) AND ((((((((((((((((((((((mental health) OR (EQ-5D)) OR (wellbeing)) OR (life-satisfaction)) OR (happiness)) OR (emotion)) OR (mood state)) OR (stress)) OR (anxiety)) OR (depression)) OR (GAD-2)) OR (GAD-7)) OR (PC-PTSD)) OR (PHQ-2)) OR (PHQ-9)) OR (HDRS)) OR (SF-36)) OR (GHQ)) OR (questionnaire)) OR (formal diagnosis)) OR (diagnosis)) OR (self-esteem)) OR (loneliness))) AND ((((((((((blue care) OR (blue spaces)) OR (blue interventions)) OR (nature-based interventions)) OR (social prescribing)) OR (blue prescriptions)) OR (blue prescribing)) OR (blue therapy)) OR (blue skills)) OR (blue activities)) OR (blue exercise)) OR (blue interventions))) AND ((((((((((((((Green care) OR (green therapy)) OR (nature-based interventions)) OR (nature therapy)) OR (outdoor therapy)) OR (green spaces)) OR (green skills)) OR (green social care)) OR (nature-based therapy)) OR (green prescribing)) OR (green prescriptions)) OR (social prescribing)) OR (green exercise)) OR (green interventions)) OR (green health)) OR (ecotherapy)) Filters: from 1980 - 2020

223   *Annex C: List of Abbreviations*

Abbreviation	Meaning
EQ-5D	5 dimensions Euroqol (questionnaire)
GAD	General Anxiety Disorder
GHQ	General Health Questionnaire
GP	General Practitioner
HDRS	Hamilton Depression Rating Scale
HMIC	Health Management Information Consortium
ICD	International Classification of Diseases
ICL	Imperial College London
NBIs	Nature-Based Interventions
NHS	National Health Service
PC-PTSD	Primary Care Posttraumatic Stress Disorder (Screening Questionnaire)
PHE	Public Health England
PHQ	Patient Health Questionnaire
PRISMA-ScR	Preferred Reporting Items for Systematic Reviews and Meta-Analyses Extension for Scoping Reviews (guidelines)
SF-36	36-Item Short Form Health Survey
UK	United Kingdom
WHO	World Health Organisation