medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2020.07.02.20145169; this version posted September 22, 2020. The copyright holder for this preprint **(which was not certified by peer review)** is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity.

It is made available under a CC-BY-ND 4.0 International license .

Assessing the burden of COVID-19 amongst healthcare workers in Mexico City: A data-driven call to action

Neftali Eduardo Antonio-Villa MD[1], Omar Yaxmehen Bello-Chavolla MD, PhD[2], Arsenio Vargas-Vázquez MD [1], Carlos A. Fermín-Martínez MD [1] , Alejandro Márquez-Salinas[1] MD, Julio Pisanty-Alatorre MD[3,4], Jessica Paola Bahena-López MD[1]

[1]MD/PhD (PECEM), Faculty of Medicine, National Autonomous University of Mexico. [2]Dirección de Investigación, Instituto Nacional de Geriatría. [3] Instituto Mexicano del Seguro Social, Mexico City, Mexico. [4]Public Health Department, Faculty of Medicine, National Autonomous University of Mexico

Short title: Health-Care Workers with SARS-CoV-2 in Mexico City

Corresponding author

Omar Yaxmehen Bello-Chavolla. Dirección de Investigación. Instituto Nacional Geriatría. Anillo Perif. 2767, San Jerónimo Lídice, La Magdalena Contreras, 10200, Mexico City, Mexico. Phone: +52 (55) 5548486885. E-mail: oyaxbell@yahoo.com.mx

CONFLICT OF INTERESTS: Nothing to disclose.

FUNDING: This research received no specific funding

Word count: 2,987 words; 3 Figures, 1 Table, 43 references.

NOTE: This preprint reports new research that has not been certified by peer review and should not be used to guide clinical practice.

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2020.07.02.20145169; this version posted September 22, 2020. The copyright holder for this preprint **(which was not certified by peer review)** is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity.

It is made available under a CC-BY-ND 4.0 International license .

ABSTRACT (248 WORDS)

BACKGROUND: Health-care workers (HCWs) could be at increased occupational risk for SARS-CoV-2 infection due to increased exposure. Information regarding the burden of COVID-19 epidemic in HCWs living in Mexico is scarce. Here, we aimed to explore the epidemiology, symptoms, and risk factors associated with adverse outcomes in HCWs in Mexico City.

METHODS: We explored data collected by the National Epidemiological Surveillance System in Mexico City, in HCWs who underwent real-time RT-PCR test. We explored COVID-19 outcomes in HCWs and the performance of symptoms to detect SARS-CoV-2 infection.

RESULTS: As of September 20th, 2020, 57,758 HCWs were tested for SARS-CoV-2 and 17,531 were confirmed (30.35%); 6,610 were nurses (37.70%), 4,910 physicians (28.0%), 267 dentists (1.52%) and 5,744 laboratory personnel and other HCWs (32.76%). Overall, 2,378 HCWs required hospitalization (4.12%), 2,648 developed severe COVID-19 (4.58%), and 336 required mechanical-ventilatory support (0.58%). Lethality was recorded in 472 (0.82%) cases. We identified 635 asymptomatic SARS-CoV-2 infections (3.62%). Compared with general population, HCWs had higher incidence, testing, asymptomatic and mortality rates. No individual symptom offers adequate performance to detect SARS-CoV2. Older HCWs with chronic non-communicable diseases and severe respiratory symptoms were associated with higher risk for adverse outcome; physicians were at higher risk compared with nurses and other HCWs.

CONCLUSIONS: We report a high prevalence of SARS-CoV-2 infection in HCWs in Mexico City. Symptoms as a screening method is not efficient to discern those HCWs with a positive PCR-RT test. Particular attention should focus on HCWs with risk factors to prevent adverse outcomes.

Keywords: COVID-19; SARS-CoV-2; Health-Care Workers; Mortality; Mexico

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2020.07.02.20145169; this version posted September 22, 2020. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity.

It is made available under a CC-BY-ND 4.0 International license .

INTRODUCTION

The pandemic caused by the SARS-CoV-2 has created new challenges in health-care systems worldwide (1). Recently, the pandemic has led to significant increases in the number of cases in the Americas, where it has caused considerable pressure on health-care facilities and led to a substantial number of deaths (2,3). Health-care workers (HCWs) have a fundamental role in caring and managing patients with COVID-19, as they are the primary workers involved in the daily management of pandemic at an individual level. Notably, this population is at a significant occupational risk of infection attributable to an increased frequency of exposure to infected individuals, either symptomatic or asymptomatic, ultimately leading to an increased risk of associated COVID-19 complications. Although it has been emphasized that HCWs with respiratory symptoms should be isolated as soon as possible, there is no consensus on which other symptoms are suggestive of SARS-CoV-2 infection (4). Moreover, reports show that HCWs tend to present without respiratory symptoms at the time of diagnosis (5). Ultimately, this has raised questions on whether a testing strategy guided by the presence of symptoms and whether a mandatory policy of use of Personal Protective Equipment (PPE) outside the areas of care of infected patients are the best approaches in HCWs.

Additionally, the COVID-19 epidemic in Mexico coexists with a high prevalence of chronic health conditions, and HCW are no exception. Since such conditions have been amply shown to increase risk for severe outcomes in COVID-19, the pandemic creates a very complex situation (6). Furthermore, Mexico has a highly fragmented and segmented healthcare system, hampering its ability to provide a unified framework to protect HCWs (7). Besides, social inequities could have increase the disparities in risk among HCWs within marginalized communities (8). Given these fundamental differences, HCWs living in Mexico could be at a substantial risk for SARS-CoV-2 infection and adverse COVID-19 outcomes. There is a need to understand these trends and outcomes related to COVID-19 in HCWs to

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2020.07.02.20145169; this version posted September 22, 2020. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity.

It is made available under a CC-BY-ND 4.0 International license .

generate data-driven evidence which could inform public policy and promote development of recommendations to improve work environments and testing policies amongst HCWs by reducing viral transmission between peers and, ultimately decrease adverse outcomes. Here, we sought to investigate the epidemiology of SARS-CoV-2 infection within HCWs and its related outcomes in Mexico City.

METHODS

Data sources

We analyzed data collected within the National Epidemiological Surveillance System (NESS) database in Mexico City, which is an open-source dataset comprising daily updated suspected COVID-19 cases that have been tested using real-time RT-PCR to confirm SARS-CoV-2 according to the Berlin Protocol (9), and were certified by the National Institute for Epidemiological Diagnosis and Reference (10,11). The database contains information on all persons tested for SARS-CoV-2 infection at public facilities in Mexico, as well as in all private healthcare facilities that comply with the legal mandate to report COVID-19 cases to health authorities (12). Further testing strategy implemented in HCWs in Mexico City is presented in **Supplementary Material**. Data to estimate HCWs population rates were extracted from 2019 Health Information System obtained by the Mexican Ministry of Health (SSA) (13).

Definitions of COVID-19 cases, predictors, and outcomes

Health-care related professions included subjects whose occupations were reported as physicians, nurses, dentists, laboratory personnel and other involved HCWs. Demographic and health data are collected and uploaded to the epidemiologic surveillance database by personnel from each corresponding health-care facility. Available variables include age, sex, nationality, state and municipality where the case was detected, immigration status as well as identification of individuals who self-identify as indigenous. Complete clinical assessment methodology is presented in **Supplementary Material**. Date of symptom onset, hospital

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2020.07.02.20145169; this version posted September 22, 2020. The copyright holder for this preprint **(which was not certified by peer review)** is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity.

It is made available under a CC-BY-ND 4.0 International license .

admission, and death are available for all cases as are outpatient or hospitalized status, information regarding the diagnosis of clinical pneumonia, ICU admission, and whether the patient required mechanical ventilation support (MVS). Severe outcome was defined as a composite definition comprising death, requirement for MVS or ICU admission (14).

Statistical analysis

Population-based statistics

Descriptive analysis methodology is presented in **Supplementary Material**. We estimated the incidence, testing, asymptomatic and mortality rates by standardizing each event among the reported 2019 HCW population working in Mexico City or 2020 population living in Mexico City using data from CONAPO (15), and normalized each estimate to reflect a rate per 100,000 inhabitants.

Conditions and symptoms related to SARS-CoV-2 positivity

We aimed to investigate comorbidities and symptoms associated with SARS-CoV-2 positivity using a mixed effects logistic regression model, including facility of treatment as a random effect to account for the variability in case distribution and treatment across healthcare facilities. We excluded HCWs who were suspected cases at the time of inclusion without a definitive result for SARS-CoV-2. Two separate models were designed to explore separately comorbidities and symptoms associated with SARS-CoV-2 positivity. We further explored the diagnostic test capacity, area under the curve, sensitivity, specificity, positive and negative predictive values (VPP, VPN, respectively) of each symptom to predict SARS-CoV-2 positivity.

COVID-19 mortality risk and clinical outcomes

We fitted Cox Proportional risk regression models to explore risk factors associated to COVID-19 related 30-day lethality, hospitalization, or severe outcome estimating time from symptom onset up to death, clinically reported outcome, or censoring (ongoing studied subjects), whichever occurred first. Factors associated with using mechanical ventilation

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2020.07.02.20145169; this version posted September 22, 2020. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity.

It is made available under a CC-BY-ND 4.0 International license .

support (MVS) were evaluated using a mixed effects logistic regression model. We also performed a Kaplan-Meier analysis to assess differences in COVID-19 outcomes comparing physicians and other HCWs using the Breslow-Cox test. A p-value <0·05 was considered as statistical significance threshold. All analyses were performed using R software version 3.6.2.

RESULTS

COVID-19 in health-care workers

As of the writing of this report (September 20th, 2020) the NESS had assessed for SARS-CoV-2 infection 403,185 people in Mexico City (estimated population: 8,737,172), out of whom 57,758 (14.32%) were HCWs (estimated HCWs population: 161,580). From the evaluated HCWs, 36.1% (n=20,848) were nurses, 30.8% (n=17,778) physicians and 33.1% (n=19,132) other-HCWs (5.51% dentists, 6.21% laboratory personnel and 88.28% supportive HCWs). Amongst them, 30.35% (n=17,531) had confirmed SARS-CoV-2 infection. Positivity rates by HCWs category were 31.2% (n=6,610) in nurses, 27.6% (n=4,910) physicians, 25.3% (n=267) dentists, 36.4% (n=433) laboratory personnel and 31.5% (n=5,311) for the supportive HCWs. In all studied HCWs, we recorded 2,648 (4.58%) HCWs who required hospitalization, 2,735 with a severe outcome (4.73%), and 336 who required MVS (0.58%). Lethality attributable was recorded in 472 (0.82%) HCWs. Overall, 635 (3.62%) HCWs referred no associated symptoms but tested positive for SARS-CoV-2 (**Table 1**).

Population-based analysis in health-care workers

Overall, we found that the positivity (30.3% vs 35.5%) and lethality rates (1.87% vs 9.20%) as well as daily confirmed asymptomatic cases (median IQR: 235 [181-307] vs 1,177 [324-3,047] cases) were lower in HCWs as compared with the general population. This can be partially explained by the fact that testing rates per 100,000 inhabitants were higher in HCWs compared to the general population (34,349 vs 3,825 per 100,000), which is also reflected

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2020.07.02.20145169; this version posted September 22, 2020. The copyright holder for this preprint **(which was not certified by peer review)** is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity.

It is made available under a CC-BY-ND 4.0 International license .

in a higher incidence of confirmed (10,412 vs 1,359 per 100,000) and asymptomatic cases (3,728 vs 564 per 100,000). Nevertheless, in our population-based analysis we observed that the mortality rate (195 vs 125 per 100,000) was higher compared with general population living in Mexico City (**Figure 1**).

Associated symptoms and conditions in health-care workers with SARS-CoV-2 positivity

Examining predictive performance of symptomatology to predict SARS-CoV-2 positivity, we observed that the presence of any symptom had an adequate sensitivity and negative predictive value, despite overall lower AUC. However, no individual symptom offered an adequate diagnostic accuracy or performance. Exploring the effectiveness to determine if known previous contact with suspected viral cases along with the absence of any symptom improved performance, we found that this joint consideration increased both sensitivity and negative predictive value, compared to only using the absence of any symptoms (**Supplementary Table 1**). Additionally, we found that male HCWs, with more than seven days from the onset of symptoms, with obesity, diabetes, or those pregnant women, had increased likelihood of SARS-CoV2 positivity; while those HCWs who reported active smoking, contact with a suspected viral case, with recent trips abroad and women in the puerperium period, had a lower probability for positivity (**Supplementary Figure 1**).

Predictors for COVID-19 related outcomes in health-care workers

In confirmed SARS-CoV-2 cases, we found that symptoms at clinical assessment which increased risk for hospitalization were dyspnea, fever, and polypnea; while HCWs with diarrhea, odynophagia, and conjunctivitis had a decreased risk for this outcome. Furthermore, HCWs >65 years and those living with HIV/AIDS, diabetes, obesity, and arterial hypertension had increased risk of being hospitalized. Risk factors associated to severe outcomes in HCWs were age >65 years, living with diabetes, obesity and presence of dyspnea, fever, or polypnea at the time of initial clinical assessment. Moreover, those HCWs with age >65 years living with diabetes, early onset diabetes (<40 years), obesity,

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2020.07.02.20145169; this version posted September 22, 2020. The copyright holder for this preprint **(which was not certified by peer review)** is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity.

It is made available under a CC-BY-ND 4.0 International license .

and seven days from the onset of symptoms or dyspnea at evaluation were conditions associated with MVS. Finally, predictors for lethality in HCWs were age >65 years, self-reported indigenous ethnicity, diabetes, early onset diabetes, obesity and presence of clinical pneumonia at evaluation (**Figure 2**).

COVID-19 related outcomes of amongst groups of health-care workers.

Overall, compared with general population with positive SARS-CoV-2 test living in Mexico City, HCWs had a decreased risk for hospitalization (HR 0.41, 95%CI 0.39-0.44), severe outcome (HR 0.46, 95%CI 0.44-0.49) and lethality (HR 0.33, 95%CI 0.30-0.37) (**Figure 3**). As a secondary analysis, we sought to explore risk of COVID-19 related outcomes in subgroups of HCWs. Although the group of physicians had a decreased likelihood to have a positive SARS-CoV-2 test (OR 0.81, 95%CI 0.77-0.85) compared with the group of nurses and other HCWs, this group had an increased risk for hospitalization (HR 1.57, 95%CI 1.36-1.81) and severe outcome (HR 1.34, 95%CI 1.17-1.54) after adjusting for the previously observed associated variables for each outcome compared with the group of nurses and other HCWs. We also observed that physicians had an increased lethality risk (HR 1.61, 95%CI 1.12-2.32) after adjusted for covariates (**Figure 3**). Finally, a particular group of interest were HCWs who belonged to an indigenous group, which had up to 14-fold increased risk for COVID-19 lethality (HR 95%CI 4.22-43.06) after adjusting for covariates, although no differences were found in the risk for hospitalization and severe outcome.

DISCUSSION

In this work, we report the prevalence of SARS-CoV-2 infection, estimated epidemiological rates, and COVID-19 clinical outcomes using an open-source city-wide based surveillance reports of HCWs living in Mexico City. Amongst health-care workers, the group of physicians tend to have an increased risk of severe COVID-19 outcomes, which is a remarkable occupational risk. Moreover, certain factors, such as associated comorbidities and symptoms at the time of evaluation are associated with an increased risk of adverse

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2020.07.02.20145169; this version posted September 22, 2020. The copyright holder for this preprint **(which was not certified by peer review)** is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity.

It is made available under a CC-BY-ND 4.0 International license .

outcomes. Particularly concerning is the fact that population-based mortality rate is higher among HCWs than the general population. It is unclear whether this reflects a true higher lethality, higher rates of infection, or a combination of both. These findings should be considered by authorities concerning the occupational hazards in HCWs, particularly in physicians to inform public policy related to ameliorate the burden of the COVID-19 pandemic on HCWs given their vital role in the daily pandemic management at an individual level.

HCWs have increased occupational hazard to acquire SARS-CoV-2 infection compared with general population, attributable to direct contact during care of hospitalized patients. Prevalence and lethality rates of SARS-CoV-2 infections reported in our HCWs population are higher compared with previous reports in China, Europe and the United States (4,16–22). Similarly, in Mexico the number of positive cases reported in HCWs has consistently increased since the first confirmed cases were reported in late February. To reduce transmissibility and improve outcomes, the current policy in Mexico requires that HCWs who develop respiratory symptoms should be isolated to mitigate the spread between peers. However, as our results confirm and based on previous reports, there is no sustainable evidence which allows using any individual symptom to identify SARS-CoV-2 positive cases in a clinical context (4). Nevertheless, a case definition of possible SARS-CoV-2 which includes the presence of any one symptom has acceptable sensitivity and a high negative predictive value, which is further improved by adding contact with symptomatic persons to the definition. Whilst the use of this definition may not eliminate the necessity to extend testing within all reasonable means, it does indicate that adequate contact tracing and case selection would allow to allocate testing in limited resource settings. Furthermore, the testing strategy adopted by the epidemiological surveillance system in Mexico, which is based on the appearance of symptoms to rule out diagnosis, may not be adequate to capture HCWs with asymptomatic infection or with non-respiratory symptoms. An alternative approach

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2020.07.02.20145169; this version posted September 22, 2020. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity.

It is made available under a CC-BY-ND 4.0 International license .

would be to include massive testing using low-cost detection tests for SARS-CoV-2 infectivity, which have recently been shown to have adequate sensitivity and could reasonably be implemented periodically for epidemiological surveillance amongst HCWs once such tests become widely available (23–25).

It is important to note that our results do not distinguish between HCWs directly caring for COVID-19 patients and those carrying out other tasks. It is therefore impossible to ascertain whether the higher COVID-19 risks relate to direct care or rather to high rates of public contact. Although previous reports have shown the effectiveness of personal protective equipment, there is still insufficient evidence if this strategy could mitigate the infection amongst all HCWs at long-term, which sets an area of opportunity for further studies (26,27). Based on the precautionary principle, we advocate a rational and equal access distribution of PPE in HCWs in Mexico City.

Our results also show that comorbidities in HCWs, particularly those related to chronic non-communicable diseases (e.g., diabetes, early onset diabetes, obesity and arterial hypertension), and the presentation of severe respiratory symptoms at the time of clinical assessment, increases the risk of adverse COVID-19 outcomes. Certain groups, such as pregnant women, older workers, and those who self-identify with indigenous ethnicity, are at higher risk for severe COVID-19 outcomes. Previous reports by our group had shown the relationship between the presence of cardiometabolic diseases and risk of complications associated with SARS-CoV-2 infection in Mexico (28–31). Although not completely understood, this relationship could be explained by immunological over responsiveness observed in confirmed cases with diabetes and obesity (28,31,32), particularly given recent evidence relating changes associated with an enhanced immune response to SARS-CoV-2 with risk of respiratory and multi-organ failure (31,33). Conversely, in patients with prior immunosuppression, an increased risk for associated co-infections has been reported, which could explain risk for adverse outcomes in HCWs with HIV/AIDS or pregnancy (34,35).

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2020.07.02.20145169; this version posted September 22, 2020. The copyright holder for this preprint **(which was not certified by peer review)** is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity.

It is made available under a CC-BY-ND 4.0 International license .

Finally, we found that physicians are a group at risk for developing adverse events compared to other HCWs. This is consistent with a previous report, as it has been shown that physicians tend to spend more time in areas where patients with SARS-CoV-2 are assisted (36). Furthermore, prolonged shift times, work overload, psychological distress, exposure to probable cases amongst peers could lead physicians to be considered a group with significant occupational risk for developing COVID-19 related outcomes (37–39). Interestingly, we also found that groups of physicians who self-reported as belonging to an indigenous community were at increased risk of death attributable to COVID-19. Although preliminary, these results may denote an inequality in access to timely care in this group of HCWs, given the significant social discrepancies reported in Mexico, which have been exacerbated during the epidemic (8,40–42). More studies should focus on the risk of adverse outcomes attributable to social conditions in medical personnel.

Our study had some strengths and limitations. First, we analyzed a large dataset which included information on confirmed positive and negative SARS-CoV-2 cases in Mexico City, providing a unique opportunity to investigate COVID-19 specific risk factors in HCWs. A potential limitation of this study is the use of data collected from a sentinel epidemiological surveillance system model, which is skewed towards investigating high-risk cases based on the presentation of respiratory symptoms or only those with specific risk factors, which on the one hand increases power to detect the effect of comorbidities and on the other hand might not be representative of milder COVID-19 cases. This is particularly true for asymptomatic cases amongst HCWs, which were heavily underrepresented in our study and its prevalence must be assessed with widespread systematic testing amongst HCWs to reduce in-hospital transmission amongst peers. Although the testing performed in our HCWs population is higher compared to the general population living in Mexico City, it still remains low compared to other HCWs studies performed in other countries (43). Another potential limitation of our study is that our populational-based analyses of HCWs is limited to those

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2020.07.02.20145169; this version posted September 22, 2020. The copyright holder for this preprint **(which was not certified by peer review)** is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity.

It is made available under a CC-BY-ND 4.0 International license .

living in Mexico City, without the exact number of recruited HCWs during the epidemic, thus our epidemiological rates should be interpreted as approximations. Moreover, all analyses were performed to estimate the burden of HCWs in Mexico City, which may not capture the whole picture in the country or the large socio-economic inequalities which might lead to higher rates of infection amongst HCWs in disadvantaged areas.

In summary, we present the first report of a city-wide based surveillance system which assessed clinical symptomatology and related outcomes attributable to COVID-19 in HCWs living in Mexico City. We found that no individual symptom can accurately discern HCWs with SARS-CoV-2 infection; therefore there may be a considerable but underreported prevalence of positive asymptomatic infections amongst HCWs. Symptoms should not be considered as the main indicator of infection and therefore, we strongly suggest a novel reframing for the current testing strategy implemented for HCWs living in Mexico City. Additionally, comorbidities, presence of respiratory symptoms at clinical assessment, and susceptible groups of HCWs, could have increase the risk of severe outcomes. Our results could inform policies within the health-care systems on a systematic testing policy, the rational use of personal protective equipment, early isolation of probable cases regardless the symptoms, exclusion of risk groups in areas where patients with SARS-CoV-2 are routinely assisted and consideration of intrinsic inequalities between workers, which overall, could bring to a better quality of life for HCWs during the COVID-19 pandemic.

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2020.07.02.20145169; this version posted September 22, 2020. The copyright holder for this preprint **(which was not certified by peer review)** is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity.

It is made available under a CC-BY-ND 4.0 International license .

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

NEAV, AVV, CAFM, AMS, JPBL are enrolled at the PECEM program of the Faculty of Medicine at UNAM. NEAV, JPBL and AVV are supported by CONACyT. The authors would like to acknowledge the invaluable work of all of Mexico's healthcare community in managing the COVID-19 epidemic. Its participation in the COVID-19 surveillance program has made this work a reality, we are thankful for your effort.

DATA AVAILABILITY

All data sources and R code are available for reproducibility of results at https://github.com/oyaxbell/covid_hcws_mx.

AUTHOR CONTRIBUTIONS

Research idea and study design: NEAV, OYBC; data acquisition: NEAV, OYBC; data analysis/interpretation: NEAV, OYBC, AVV, CAFM, AMS, JPA,JPBL; statistical analysis: NEAV, OYBC; manuscript drafting: OYBC, NEAV, AVV, CAFM, JPA, AMS, JPBL; supervision or mentorship: OYBC. Each author contributed important intellectual content during manuscript drafting or revision and accepts accountability for the overall work by ensuring that questions about the accuracy or integrity of any portion of the work are appropriately investigated and resolved.

FUNDING: No funding was received.

CONFLICT OF INTEREST/FINANCIAL DISCLOSURE: Nothing to disclose.

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2020.07.02.20145169; this version posted September 22, 2020. The copyright holder for this preprint **(which was not certified by peer review)** is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity.

It is made available under a CC-BY-ND 4.0 International license .

REFERENCES

1. Clark A, Jit M, Warren-Gash C, Guthrie B, Wang HHX, Mercer SW, et al. Global, regional, and national estimates of the population at increased risk of severe COVID-19 due to underlying health conditions in 2020: a modelling study. Lancet Glob Heal [Internet]. 2020 Jun 28; Available from: https://doi.org/10.1016/S2214-109X(20)30264-3
2. Fontanarosa PB, Bauchner H. COVID-19—Looking Beyond Tomorrow for Health Care and Society. JAMA [Internet]. 2020 May 19;323(19):1907–8. Available from: https://doi.org/10.1001/jama.2020.6582
3. King JS. Covid-19 and the Need for Health Care Reform. N Engl J Med [Internet]. 2020 Apr 17;382(26):e104. Available from: https://doi.org/10.1056/NEJMp2000821
4. Clemency BM, Varughese R, Scheafer DK, Ludwig B, Welch J V, McCormack RF, et al. Symptom Criteria for COVID-19 Testing of Heath Care Workers. Acad Emerg Med [Internet]. 2020 Jun 1;27(6):469–74. Available from: https://doi.org/10.1111/acem.14009
5. Gómez-Ochoa SA, Franco OH, Rojas LZ, Raguindin PF, Roa-Díaz ZM, Wyssmann BM, et al. COVID-19 in Healthcare Workers: A Living Systematic Review and Meta-analysis of Prevalence, Risk Factors, Clinical Characteristics, and Outcomes. Am J Epidemiol [Internet]. 2020 Sep 1; Available from: https://doi.org/10.1093/aje/kwaa191
6. Ejaz H, Alsrhani A, Zafar A, Javed H, Junaid K, Abdalla AE, et al. COVID-19 and comorbidities: Deleterious impact on infected patients. J Infect Public Health [Internet]. 2020; Available from: http://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S1876034120305943
7. Doubova S V, García-Saiso S, Pérez-Cuevas R, Sarabia-González O, Pacheco-Estrello P, Infante-Castañeda C, et al. Quality governance in a pluralistic health

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2020.07.02.20145169; this version posted September 22, 2020. The copyright holder for this preprint **(which was not certified by peer review)** is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity.

It is made available under a CC-BY-ND 4.0 International license .

system: Mexican experience and challenges. Lancet Glob Heal [Internet]. 2018 Nov 1;6(11):e1149–52. Available from: https://doi.org/10.1016/S2214-109X(18)30321-8

8. Puig A, Pagán JA, Wong R. Assessing quality across healthcare subsystems in Mexico. J Ambul Care Manage [Internet]. 2009;32(2):123–31. Available from: https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/19305224

9. Https://datos.cdmx.gob.mx/explore/dataset/base-covid-sinave/table/. Covid-19 SINAVE Ciudad de México — Datos CDMX.

10. Secretaría de Salud Subsecretaría de Prevención y Promoción de la Salud Dirección General de Epidemiología. LINEAMIENTOESTANDARIZADO PARA LA VIGILANCIA EPIDEMIOLÓGICA Y POR LABORATORIO DE LAENFERMEDAD RESPIRATORIA VIRAL. Apr 1, 2020.

11. Corman VM, Landt O, Kaiser M, Molenkamp R, Meijer A, Chu DK, et al. Detection of 2019 novel coronavirus (2019-nCoV) by real-time RT-PCR. Euro Surveill [Internet]. 2020 Jan;25(3):2000045. Available from: https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/31992387

12. Secretaria de Salud. LINEAMIENTO ESTANDARIZADO PARA LA VIGILANCIA EPIDEMIOLÓGICA Y POR LABORATORIO DE LA ENFERMEDAD RESPIRATORIA VIRAL [Internet]. 2020. Available from: https://coronavirus.gob.mx/wp-content/uploads/2020/06/Lineamiento_VE_Lab_enfermedad_respiratoria_viral-_20052020.pdf

13. Secretaria de Salud. Sistema de Información de Salud [Internet]. 2019. Available from: http://sinaiscap.salud.gob.mx:8080/DGIS/

14. Liang W, Liang H, Ou L, Chen B, Chen A, Li C, et al. Development and Validation of a Clinical Risk Score to Predict the Occurrence of Critical Illness in Hospitalized Patients With COVID-19. JAMA Intern Med [Internet]. 2020 May 12; Available from:

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2020.07.02.20145169; this version posted September 22, 2020. The copyright holder for this preprint **(which was not certified by peer review)** is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity.

It is made available under a CC-BY-ND 4.0 International license .

https://doi.org/10.1001/jamainternmed.2020.2033

15. Consejo Nacional de Población. Proyecciones de la Población de México y de las Entidades Federativas, 2016-2050 y Conciliación Demográfica de México, 1950 - 2015. 2020.

16. Lai X, Wang M, Qin C, Tan L, Ran L, Chen D, et al. Coronavirus Disease 2019 (COVID-2019) Infection Among Health Care Workers and Implications for Prevention Measures in a Tertiary Hospital in Wuhan, China. JAMA Netw Open [Internet]. 2020 May 21;3(5):e209666–e209666. Available from: https://doi.org/10.1001/jamanetworkopen.2020.9666

17. Wang Q, Huang X, Bai Y, Wang X, Wang H, Hu X, et al. Epidemiological characteristics of COVID-19 in medical staff members of neurosurgery departments in Hubei province: A multicentre descriptive study. medRxiv [Internet]. 2020 Jan 1;2020.04.20.20064899. Available from: http://medrxiv.org/content/early/2020/04/24/2020.04.20.20064899.abstract

18. Zheng L, Wang X, Zhou C, Liu Q, Li S, Sun Q, et al. Analysis of the infection status of the health care workers in Wuhan during the COVID-19 outbreak: A cross-sectional study. Clin Infect Dis [Internet]. 2020 May 15; Available from: https://doi.org/10.1093/cid/ciaa588

19. Korth J, Wilde B, Dolff S, Anastasiou OE, Krawczyk A, Jahn M, et al. SARS-CoV-2-specific antibody detection in healthcare workers in Germany with direct contact to COVID-19 patients. J Clin Virol [Internet]. 2020;128:104437. Available from: http://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S1386653220301797

20. Lapolla P, Mingoli A, Lee R. Deaths from COVID-19 in healthcare workers in Italy—What can we learn? Infect Control Hosp Epidemiol. 2020;1–2.

21. Felice C, Di Tanna GL, Zanus G, Grossi U. Impact of COVID-19 Outbreak on Healthcare Workers in Italy: Results from a National E-Survey. J Community Health

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2020.07.02.20145169; this version posted September 22, 2020. The copyright holder for this preprint **(which was not certified by peer review)** is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity.

It is made available under a CC-BY-ND 4.0 International license .

[Internet]. 2020;45(4):675–83. Available from: https://doi.org/10.1007/s10900-020-00845-5

22. CDC COVID-19 Response Team. Characteristics of Health Care Personnel with COVID-19 - United States, February 12-April 9, 2020. MMWR Morb Mortal Wkly Rep. 2020 Apr;69(15):477–81.

23. Woo CH, Jang S, Shin G, Jung GY, Lee JW. Sensitive fluorescence detection of SARS-CoV-2 RNA in clinical samples via one-pot isothermal ligation and transcription. Nat Biomed Eng [Internet]. 2020; Available from: https://doi.org/10.1038/s41551-020-00617-5

24. Joung J, Ladha A, Saito M, Kim N-G, Woolley AE, Segel M, et al. Detection of SARS-CoV-2 with SHERLOCK One-Pot Testing. N Engl J Med [Internet]. 2020 Sep 16; Available from: https://doi.org/10.1056/NEJMc2026172

25. Ding X, Yin K, Li Z, Lalla R V, Ballesteros E, Sfeir MM, et al. Ultrasensitive and visual detection of SARS-CoV-2 using all-in-one dual CRISPR-Cas12a assay. Nat Commun [Internet]. 2020;11(1):4711. Available from: https://doi.org/10.1038/s41467-020-18575-6

26. Liu M, Cheng S-Z, Xu K-W, Yang Y, Zhu Q-T, Zhang H, et al. Use of personal protective equipment against coronavirus disease 2019 by healthcare professionals in Wuhan, China: cross sectional study. BMJ [Internet]. 2020 Jun 10;369:m2195. Available from: http://www.bmj.com/content/369/bmj.m2195.abstract

27. Qaseem A, Etxeandia-Ikobaltzeta I, Yost J, Miller MC, Abraham GM, Obley AJ, et al. Use of N95, Surgical, and Cloth Masks to Prevent COVID-19 in Health Care and Community Settings: Living Practice Points From the American College of Physicians (Version 1). Ann Intern Med [Internet]. 2020 Jun 18; Available from: https://doi.org/10.7326/M20-3234

28. Yang JK, Feng Y, Yuan MY, Yuan SY, Fu HJ, Wu BY, et al. Plasma glucose levels

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2020.07.02.20145169; this version posted September 22, 2020. The copyright holder for this preprint **(which was not certified by peer review)** is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity.

It is made available under a CC-BY-ND 4.0 International license .

and diabetes are independent predictors for mortality and morbidity in patients with SARS. Diabet Med [Internet]. 2006;23(6):623–8. Available from: http://europepmc.org/abstract/MED/16759303

29. Guan W, Liang W, Zhao Y, Liang H, Chen Z, Li Y, et al. Comorbidity and its impact on 1590 patients with Covid-19 in China: A Nationwide Analysis. Eur Respir J [Internet]. 2020 Jan 1;2000547. Available from: http://erj.ersjournals.com/content/early/2020/03/17/13993003.00547-2020.abstract

30. Bello-Chavolla OY, Bahena-López JP, Antonio-Villa NE, Vargas-Vázquez A, González-Díaz A, Márquez-Salinas A, et al. Predicting mortality due to SARS-CoV-2: A mechanistic score relating obesity and diabetes to COVID-19 outcomes in Mexico. J Clin Endocrinol Metab [Internet]. 2020 May 31; Available from: https://doi.org/10.1210/clinem/dgaa346

31. Stefan N, Birkenfeld AL, Schulze MB, Ludwig DS. Obesity and impaired metabolic health in patients with COVID-19. Nat Rev Endocrinol [Internet]. 2020;16(7):341–2. Available from: https://doi.org/10.1038/s41574-020-0364-6

32. Chen X, Hu W, Ling J, Mo P, Zhang Y, Jiang Q, et al. Hypertension and Diabetes Delay the Viral Clearance in COVID-19 Patients. medRxiv [Internet]. 2020 Jan 1;2020.03.22.20040774. Available from: http://medrxiv.org/content/early/2020/03/24/2020.03.22.20040774.abstract

33. Klonoff DC, Umpierrez GE. Letter to the Editor: COVID-19 in patients with diabetes: Risk factors that increase morbidity. Metabolism [Internet]. 2020/04/07. 2020 Jul;108:154224. Available from: https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/32275971

34. Rasmussen SA, Smulian JC, Lednicky JA, Wen TS, Jamieson DJ. Coronavirus Disease 2019 (COVID-19) and pregnancy: what obstetricians need to know. Am J Obstet Gynecol [Internet]. 2020 May 1;222(5):415–26. Available from: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ajog.2020.02.017

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2020.07.02.20145169; this version posted September 22, 2020. The copyright holder for this preprint **(which was not certified by peer review)** is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity.

It is made available under a CC-BY-ND 4.0 International license .

35. Shiau S, Krause KD, Valera P, Swaminathan S, Halkitis PN. The Burden of COVID-19 in People Living with HIV: A Syndemic Perspective. AIDS Behav [Internet]. 2020 Apr 18;1–6. Available from: https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/32303925

36. Manzoni P, Milillo C. Covid-19 mortality in Italian doctors. J Infect [Internet]. 2020 May 21;S0163-4453(20)30311-X. Available from: https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/32445726

37. Huang JZ, Han MF, Luo TD, Ren AK, Zhou XP. Mental health survey of 230 medical staff in a tertiary infectious disease hospital for COVID-19. Zhonghua lao dong wei sheng zhi ye bing za zhi= Zhonghua laodong weisheng zhiyebing zazhi= Chinese J Ind Hyg Occup Dis. 2020;38:E001–E001.

38. Huang F, Yang Z, Wang Y, Zhang W, Lin Y, Zeng L, et al. Study on health-related quality of life and influencing factors of pediatric medical staff during the COVID-19 outbreak. 2020;

39. Du J, Dong L, Wang T, Yuan C, Fu R, Zhang L, et al. Psychological symptoms among frontline healthcare workers during COVID-19 outbreak in Wuhan. Gen Hosp Psychiatry. 2020;

40. Barraza-Lloréns M, Bertozzi S, González-Pier E, Gutiérrez JP. Addressing Inequity In Health And Health Care In Mexico. Health Aff [Internet]. 2002 May 1;21(3):47–56. Available from: https://doi.org/10.1377/hlthaff.21.3.47

41. Bello-Chavolla OY, González-Díaz A, Antonio-Villa NE, Fermín-Martínez CA, Márquez-Salinas A, Vargas-Vázquez A, et al. Unequal impact of structural health determinants and comorbidity on COVID-19 severity and lethality in older Mexican adults: Looking beyond chronological aging. medRxiv [Internet]. 2020 Jan 1;2020.05.12.20098699. Available from: http://medrxiv.org/content/early/2020/05/18/2020.05.12.20098699.abstract

42. Agren D. Understanding Mexican health worker COVID-19 deaths. Lancet [Internet].

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2020.07.02.20145169; this version posted September 22, 2020. The copyright holder for this preprint **(which was not certified by peer review)** is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity.

It is made available under a CC-BY-ND 4.0 International license .

2020 Sep 19;396(10254):807. Available from: https://doi.org/10.1016/S0140-6736(20)31955-3

43. Chou R, Dana T, Buckley DI, Selph S, Fu R, Totten AM. Epidemiology of and Risk Factors for Coronavirus Infection in Health Care Workers. Ann Intern Med [Internet]. 2020 May 5;173(2):120–36. Available from: https://doi.org/10.7326/M20-1632

TABLES

Table 1: Characteristics amongst health-care workers assessed for SARS-CoV-2 test living in Mexico City. *Abbreviations*: COPD= Chronic obstructive pulmonary disease; HIV/AIDS= Human immunodeficiency virus and/or acquired immunodeficiency syndrome; CKD= Chronic kidney disease. *Other HCWs include: 1,056 (5.51%) dentists, 1,189 (6.21 %) laboratorians and 16,887 (88.28%) other related HCWs.

Parameter	Physicians (n=17,778)	Nurses (n=20,848)	Other hcw* (n=19,132)	P value
Female sex (%)	9,157 (5.15%)	16,817 (80.6%)	10,895 (56.94)	0.001
Positivite cases (%)	4,910 (27.61%)	6610 (31.71%)	6011 (31.42%)	0.001
Asymptomatic Cases (%)	167 (3.40%)	231 (3.49%)	237 (3.94%)	0.251
Age (years)	39.7 (11.9)	37.97 (10.28)	40.44 (10.93)	0.001
Mortality (%)	211 (1.18%)	64 (3.09%)	197 (10.29%)	0.001
Hospitalizacion (%)	1,059 (5.95%)	739 (3.54%)	850 (4.44%)	0.001
Severe Outcome (%)	1,095 (6.16%)	750 (3.59%)	890 (4.65%)	0.001
Clinical pneumonia (%)	1,233 (6.93%)	1158 (5.55)	1202 (6.28)	0.001
Mechanical-Ventilation (%)	162 (0.91%)	51 (0.24%)	123 (0.64)	0.001
≥7 days since beginning of symptoms (%)	2,463 (13.85%)	3417 (16.4%)	3160 (16.51)	0.001
No-Comorbidities (%)	11,696 (65.99%)	13,295 (64%)	11621 (60.97)	0.001

Diabetes (%)	948 (5.34%)	1,201 (5.77%)	1162 (6.08)	0.008
COPD (%)	105 (0.59%)	69 (0.33%)	103 (0.539)	0.0004
Asma (%)	1075 (6.05%)	675 (3.24%)	574 (3.00)	0.001
HIV/AIDS (%)	65 (0.37%)	55 (2.64%)	73 (0.382)	0.086
Obesity (%)	2,164 (12.18%)	3505 (16.85%)	3245 (16.98)	0.001
Smoking status (%)	1,270 (7.15%)	1969 (9.46%)	2495 (13.06)	<0.001
CKD (%)	106 (0.59%)	79 (3.79)	80 (4.18)	0.0042
Pregnant women (%)	43 (0.24%)	59 (2.83)	56 (2.93)	<0.001
Indigenous (%)	55 (0.32%)	73 (3.60)	74 (3.96)	0.4818

FIGURE LEGENDS



Figure 1: Confirmed SARS-CoV2 cases (A), positivity rate (B), lethality rate (C) alongside the incindence (D), testing (E) and mortality (F) rates per 100,000 inhabitants in health-care workers and general population with SARS-CoV-2 in Mexico City.



Figure 2: Risk factors associated to hospitalization (A), severe outcome (B), MVS (C) and lethality (D) associated to COVID-19 in health-care workers with SARS-CoV-2 in Mexico City. Abbreviations: MVS= Mechanical ventilation support.



Figure 3: Kaplan-Meier survival plot to identify the risk of hospitalization (A-D), severe outcome (B-E) and lethality (C-F) in HCWs compared with other non-HCWs (general population) and between physicians and nurses and other HCW.