

1 **Modeling the Stability of Severe Acute Respiratory Syndrome Coronavirus 2 (SARS-CoV-**
2 **2) on Skin, Currency, and Clothing**

3

4 **Authors:** David E. Harbourt*¹, Andrew D. Haddow², Ashley E. Piper³, Holly Bloomfield⁴,
5 Brian J. Kearney⁴, David Fetterer⁵, Kathleen Gibson⁴ and Timothy Minogue⁶

6

7 **Affiliations:**

8

9 ¹Biosafety Division, United States Army Medical Research Institute of Infectious Diseases, 1425
10 Porter Street, Ft. Detrick Maryland, 21702

11 ²General Dynamics Health Solutions in support of USAMRIID, 1425 Porter Street, Ft. Detrick,
12 Maryland, 21702

13 ³Oak Ridge Institute of Science and Education, 1425 Porter Street, Ft. Detrick, Maryland, 21702

14 ⁴Core Laboratory Services Directorate, United States Army Medical Research Institute of
15 Infectious Diseases, 1425 Porter Street, Ft. Detrick Maryland, 21702

16 ⁵ICON Global Public Health Solutions, 1425 Porter Street, Ft. Detrick, Maryland, 21702

17 ⁶Diagnostic Systems Division, United States Army Medical Research Institute of Infectious
18 Diseases, 1425 Porter Street, Ft. Detrick Maryland, 21702

19

20 *Correspondence to: David.e.harbourt.civ@mail.mil

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

29

30

31

Abstract

32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54

A new coronavirus (SARS-CoV-2) emerged in the winter of 2019 in Wuhan, China, and rapidly spread around the world. The extent and efficiency of SARS-CoV-2 pandemic is far greater than previous coronaviruses that emerged in the 21st Century. Here, we modeled stability of SARS-CoV-2 on skin, paper currency, and clothing to determine if these surfaces may factor in the fomite transmission dynamics of SARS-CoV-2. Skin, currency, and clothing samples were exposed to SARS-CoV-2 under laboratory conditions and incubated at three different temperatures ($4^{\circ}\text{C} \pm 2^{\circ}\text{C}$, $22^{\circ}\text{C} \pm 2^{\circ}\text{C}$, and $37^{\circ}\text{C} \pm 2^{\circ}\text{C}$). Stability was evaluated at 0 hours (h), 4 h, 8 h, 24 h, 72 h, 96 h, 7 days, and 14 days post-exposure. SARS-CoV-2 was shown to be stable on skin through the duration of the experiment at 4°C (14 days). Virus remained stable on skin for at least 96 h at 22°C and for at least 8h at 37°C . There were minimal differences between the tested currency samples. The virus remained stable on the \$1 U.S.A. Bank Note for at least 96 h at 4°C while viable virus was not detected on the \$20 U.S.A. Bank Note samples beyond 72 h. The virus remained stable on both Bank Notes for at least 8 h at 22°C and 4 h at 37°C . Clothing samples were similar in stability to the currency with the virus being detected for at least 96 h at 4°C and at least 4 h at 22°C . No viable virus was detected on clothing samples at 37°C after initial exposure. This study confirms the inverse relationship between virus stability and temperature. Furthermore, virus stability on skin demonstrates the need for continued hand hygiene practices to minimize fomite transmission both in the general population as well as workplaces where close contact is common.

55 **Background**

56
57 The emergence of SARS-CoV-2 represents the third major outbreak of a new human coronavirus
58 disease over the past twenty years. This novel coronavirus (SARS-CoV-2) that initially emerged
59 in Wuhan, China in late 2019 resulted in a global pandemic that, as of April 22, 2020, has
60 officially resulted in more than 2.5 million cases and 175,000 deaths (1-3). The rapid and
61 extensive spread of the virus could be indicative of both aerosol and fomite transmission which
62 has been seen in previous coronavirus outbreaks (4). Previous studies have shown that SARS-
63 CoV-2 is stable at room temperature on stainless steel for approximately 24 hours (h) and on
64 cardboard for up to three days (5-6). Additional studies have shown an inverse relationship
65 between surface temperature and stability which is consistent with previous reporting on stability
66 of human coronaviruses (5).

67
68 While some aspects of SARS-CoV-2 have been reported, there have been only limited
69 investigations into stability on skin specimens and paper currency (7). Despite limited evidence,
70 some countries have taken measures to limit the spread of the virus by either burning or
71 disinfecting paper currency or discouraging the use of cash during transactions (8). It would be
72 expected that the stability of SARS-CoV-2 on currency would be similar to cardboard given that
73 both surfaces are porous, but the effect of ink and toner on the virus remains unknown (6).
74 Furthermore, it was not known how long SARS-CoV-2 could remain viable on human or animal
75 skin as no similar studies had been performed to date. Handwashing and hand hygiene have been
76 a key part of mitigation efforts, but fomite transmission likely remains a contributing factor to

77 the speed and extent of the pandemic (9-11). Herein, we model the stability of SARS-CoV-2
78 across animal skin, paper currency, and clothing.

79

80 **Methods and Materials**

81

82 **Virus isolate.** We utilized the USA-WA1/2020 strain of SARS-CoV-2 isolated from a human
83 patient in Washington State, USA, in January 2020 (GenBank accession no. MN985325.1). This
84 isolate was selected due to its use in related studies (6). A working virus stock was prepared by
85 adding virus to Grivet (*Chlorocebus aethiops*) Vero 76 kidney cells (ATCC, Manassas, VA;
86 #CRL-1587) at a multiplicity of infection (MOI) of 0.01. Cells were incubated for 1 h for virus
87 adsorption and maintained in Eagles Minimal Essential Media (EMEM) with 10% fetal bovine
88 serum at 5% CO₂. The cell supernatant was harvested 50 h post-inoculation. The supernatant was
89 clarified at 10,000 x g for 10 minutes at 4°C and stored at -70°C until use.

90

91 **Virus surface stability.** We evaluated the surface stability of SARS-CoV-2 on four common
92 surfaces (approx. 6.3 mm²). These included: swine skin (*Sus scrofa*) with the hair removed
93 (acquired from a local butcher); uncirculated United States of America \$1 and \$20 Federal
94 Reserve notes comprised of 25% linen and 75% cotton with red and blue security fibers (United
95 States Secret Service, Washington, DC, USA); and unused scrub fabric consisting of 35% cotton
96 and 65% polyester (Labforce, Swedesboro, NJ, USA). Using a pipette, we deposited 50 µL of
97 virus onto the surface of each material in triplicate. Individual groups of samples were incubated
98 for 0 h, 4 h, 8 h, 24 h, 72 h, 96 h, 7 days, and 14 days post-exposure across three temperatures;
99 4°C ± 2°C, 22°C ± 2°C, and 37°C ± 2°C at a relative humidity of 40-50%. Following incubation,

100 samples were transferred to 2-mL CryoSure tubes (Caplugs Evergreen, Buffalo, NY, USA)
101 containing 1 mL of media minimum essential media (MEM: Corning, catalog 10-010-CM),
102 supplemented with 4.0 µg/mL gentamicin (GIBCO, Carlsbad, CA, USA), 2% Penicillin (Sigma-
103 Aldrich, St. Louis, MO, USA), 2% streptomycin (Sigma-Aldrich), and 2.5 mg/mL of
104 amphotericin B (Sigma-Aldrich, St. Louis, MO, USA) using featherweight forceps (BioQuip
105 Products, Inc, Rancho Domingo, CA, USA) to reduce the potential for sample damage. Forceps
106 were disinfected using 5% Microchem Plus™ followed by 70% ETOH between samples.
107 Samples were stored at -80°C prior to virus quantification.

108
109 **Detection and quantification of infectious virus.** Confluent cultures (90-95% confluency) of
110 ATCC Vero 76 cells in 6-well plates were utilized for all assays. Samples were thawed at
111 ambient temperature and diluted by performing a series of 1:10 dilutions in MEM + 5% Heat
112 Inactivated (HI) FBS + 2% penicillin, 2% streptomycin + 0.5% fungizone (MEM Complete). All
113 samples were assayed as undiluted and up to three additional ten-fold dilutions. Media was
114 removed from plates and cells were infected with 100 µL of sample in triplicate. Cells were
115 incubated at 37°C and 5% CO₂ for one hour, with rocking approximately every 15 minutes.
116 Following incubation, media-agarose overlay (2mL of a 1:1 mixture of 1.0% agarose and 2X
117 EBME + 10% HI FBS + 2% penicillin, 2% streptomycin + 1% fungizone (2X EBME
118 Complete)) was added to each well. Once overlay solidified, plates were incubated at 37°C and
119 5% CO₂ for 48 h ± 4 h. Following incubation, a second media agarose overlay containing 4%
120 neutral red in a 1:1 mixture of 1.0% agarose and 2X EBME complete was added to each well.
121 Once overlay solidified, plates were incubated at 37°C and 5% CO₂ overnight. Following
122 incubation, plaques were counted and the virus yield (plaque forming unit, PFU/mL) for each

123 sample calculated, with a lower limit of quantification (LLOQ) of 2.0 log₁₀ PFU/mL. The limit
124 of detection (LOD) for virus isolation attempts was 0.1 log₁₀ PFU/mL.

125

126 **Statistical analyses.** The half-life was estimated by fitting, to each trial, a log-linear Poisson
127 regression model of the form

$$128 \quad \log(\text{PFU}) = m \cdot \text{time} + b + \log(\text{Dilution} * \text{Volume Sampled})$$

129 such that log(Dilution * Volume Sampled) was the offset. The half-life was estimated as
130 $-\log(2)/m$. This analysis was implemented in SAS/PROC GENMOD. The log of the half-lives
131 so estimated were entered into a two-way ANOVA, as implemented in SAS/PROC MIXED.
132 The mixed model procedure was used to allow for a heterogeneous variance structure (11).
133 Denominator degrees of freedom were estimated by Satterthwaite's method, and LS-mean
134 differences between temperatures and surfaces were evaluated. For the purpose of comparing
135 half-lives, one outlier was removed from the clothing surface at 22°C, pursuant to a Dixon gap
136 test applied to the log transformed half-life. Analysis was implemented in SAS version 9.4 (SAS
137 Institute, Cary, NC), with the exception of the gap test, which was implemented in R (12)
138 package outliers version 0.14. No adjustment for multiplicity has been applied to the reported p-
139 values.

140

141 **Results**

142

143 SARS-CoV-2 remained stable on skin at 4°C for the duration of the experiment (Fig 1 and Fig
144 2). The virus exhibited similar initial decay profiles at 4°C across all surfaces. Initially, the virus
145 exhibited a loss of 1-2 log₁₀ PFU in the first 8 h across all surfaces. However, after 8 h, the virus

146 appeared to stabilize to varying degrees for the remainder of the experiment. Clothing and \$1
 147 U.S.A. Bank Note samples remained viable until 96 h with \$20 U.S.A. Bank Note samples
 148 remaining detectable for 7 days. While the virus continued to lose the remaining 2-3 log₁₀ PFU
 149 over the remaining time points of the experiment, the decay rate at 4°C was slower on skin than
 150 any other tested surface.


151

	Positive Samples by Hour Post-Exposure [†]							
	0	4	8	24	72	96	168	336
Skin	+++	+++	+++	++	+	++	+	+
Clothing	+++	+++	+	+	+	+		
\$1 U.S.A. Bank Note	+++	+++	++	+	+	+	+	
\$20 U.S.A. Bank Note	+++	+++	+++	++	+	+	+	

152

153 Fig 1. Recovery of infectious virus.
 154 Limit of detection was one plaque forming unit
 155 [†]Not tested: Skin (22°C at 336 h post-exposure, 37°C at 168 and 336 h post-exposure); and
 156 Cloth, \$1 U.S.A. Bank Note, and \$20 U.S.A. Bank Note (22°C and 37°C, at 168 h and 336 h
 157 post-exposure)
 158 Positive samples at 4°C are represented by a blue +
 159 Positive samples at 22°C are represented by a green +
 160 Positive samples at 37°C are represented by a red +

161
 162
 163
 164
 165
 166
 167
 168
 169
 170
 171
 172
 173
 174
 175



176

177 Fig 2. Quantification of infectious virus. Viral concentrations were determined as described in
178 METHODS. Viral concentrations are expressed as mean \pm SD log₁₀ PFU/mL.

179 †Not tested: Skin (22°C at 336 h post-exposure, 37°C at 168 h and 336 h post-exposure); and
180 Cloth, \$1 U.S.A. Bank Note, and \$20 U.S.A. Bank Note (22°C and 37°C, at 168 h and 336 h
181 post-exposure)

182 *Lower limit of quantification (LLOQ) of 2.0 log₁₀ PFU/mL

183

184 At 22°C, the virus appeared to lose approximately 2-3 log₁₀ PFU within the first 8 h across all
185 surfaces (Fig 2). Virus was not isolated from the \$1 U.S.A. Bank Note or clothing beyond 8 h at
186 room temperature. Viable virus was detected on the \$20 U.S.A. Bank Note at 24 h but all
187 subsequent samples were negative for infectious virus (Fig 1 and Fig 2). Approximately 3 log₁₀
188 PFU of viable virus was detectable on the skin samples at 24 h at 22°C. Virus was isolated at 96
189 h but all other tested samples were below the LOD.

190
191 SARS-CoV-2 remained viable at 37°C on skin samples for up to 8 h (Fig 1 and Fig 2). No
192 viable, infectious virus was detected on clothing samples after four hours at 37°C (Fig 2). There
193 were minor differences in stability at 37°C between the \$1 U.S.A. Bank Note and the \$20 U.S.A.
194 Bank Note, but those differences were not statistically significant. There was a discernable
195 difference in virus stability across each of the temperatures with the 4°C conditions being the
196 most hospitable conditions for virus stability even at 50% RH.

197
198 Statistical analysis indicated that skin samples had the longest half-life at each of the tested
199 temperatures compared to the other surfaces (Table 1). Significant differences in virus stability
200 were noted when each temperature condition was compared (Fig S1). Significant differences
201 were observed in virus stability between the skin samples and all other tested surfaces (Fig S2).
202 No other significant differences were observed during direct comparisons of the other tested
203 surfaces.

204

205 Table 1: Estimates of Geometric Mean Half-Life by Temperature and Surface

	Temperature		
	4°C	22°C	37°C
Skin	46.8 (65.7 , 33.3)	3.5 (14.6 , 0.9)	0.6 (0.9 , 0.4)
Clothing	33.7 (87.6 , 12.9)	1.0 (1.6 , 0.6)	0.2 (0.7 , 0.1)
\$1 U.S.A. Bank Note	33.2 (77.1 , 14.3)	1.3 (5.8 , 0.3)	0.4 (2.8 , 0.1)
\$20 U.S.A Bank Note	15.9 (79.1 , 3.2)	1.1 (1.8 , 0.7)	0.6 (1.3 , 0.3)

Values indicate geometric mean (95%CL) of the half-life, in hours.

206

207

208
209
210
211
212
213
214
215
216
217
218
219
220
221
222
223
224
225
226
227
228
229
230

Discussion

While the outbreak of SARS-CoV in 2002-2003 resulted in approximately 8000 confirmed cases and the 2012 MERS-CoV outbreak has culminated in less than 2500 cases, the current SARS-CoV-2 pandemic has resulted in over 2.5 million cases as of this writing and has spread to nearly every country on Earth (1-3). There are likely a number of reasons for the rapid emergence of SARS-CoV-2. Possibilities for enhanced spread include a different human infection case profile, virus transmission through asymptomatic carriers, or potentially enhanced fomite or aerosol transmission capability (2-4, 6, 7). Previous reports indicate that SARS-CoV-2 is more stable on cardboard and plastic compared to SARS-CoV, but aerosol decay rates are similar under laboratory conditions (6).

We have found that the skin samples were most hospitable for SARS-CoV-2, especially under refrigerated conditions. There are noticeable differences in virus decay rates at increasing temperatures which aligns with previous literature (13). Similar stability profiles were observed in both the currency and clothing samples. All three samples retained viable virus out to at least 96 h at 4°C with no recoverable virus beyond 8 h at 22°C in any of the three surfaces. There were small differences in viral concentration between the \$1 U.S.A. Bank Note and \$20 U.S.A. Bank Note samples, but they were not statistically significant. It is possible that differences in ink type, concentration, or both, affected virus stability and could warrant further study.

This is the first report to our knowledge modeling the stability of SARS-CoV-2 on skin. We note that even at 22°C, SARS-CoV-2 remained infectious on skin samples for 96 h post-exposure.

231 While we understand real-world conditions cannot be replicated in the laboratory setting, this
232 observation indicates the potential for fomite transmission in indoor environments in the absence
233 of good hand hygiene practices, given that even trained medical students have been observed
234 touching their faces approximately 23 times per hour (14). Furthermore, while we did use swine
235 skin samples as a substitute for human skin, swine skin has enough similarities to human skin
236 that it has been used for human allograft transplantation especially in burn victims (15). Based on
237 this, it is expected that if this experiment were ever replicated using human skin samples, it
238 would generate similar results.

239
240 We report the results of SARS-CoV-2 stability on animal skin as a series of outbreaks have been
241 reported in the United States meat packing industry. Since most meat packing and processing
242 procedures are carried out between 4-8°C, it is likely that any viral shedding from either
243 symptomatic or asymptomatic workers in the absence of appropriate PPE would remain viable
244 for an extended period of time on the surface of meat products or other surfaces (16-17).

245 However, even with extensive cleaning, transmission could still occur in the presence of
246 asymptomatic, undiagnosed workers due to both the enhanced stability of the virus and the high
247 viral loads even asymptomatic cases maintain in the nasal passages (18). Without an extensive
248 testing and contact tracing program, transmission around meat packing plants will likely
249 continue to be an issue until herd immunity is reached either by infection or through the
250 administration of an efficacious vaccine.

251
252 It is important to note the limitations of this study when analyzing the results. The variability in
253 the decay curves between earlier studies and our results could have been due to differences in

254 exposure doses (4, 6, 7). In addition, inherent variability with a study where virus is recovered
255 from a tested surface can potentially confound some of the results, particularly at virus
256 concentrations close to the limit of detection (LOD) or lower limit of quantification (LLOQ)
257 (Fig. 1).

258
259 The results in this study demonstrate the continued inverse relationship between virus stability
260 and temperature which is seen both in the laboratory and in the field when evaluating different
261 transmission rates of SARS-CoV-2 in different parts of the world (19). While both fomite and
262 aerosol transmission could be significant factors, due to the stability of SARS-CoV-2 on skin
263 there is a continued need to reinforce proper hand hygiene practices and social distancing
264 guidelines to minimize ongoing transmission potential.

265
266
267
268
269
270
271
272
273
274
275
276

277
278
279
280
281
282
283
284
285
286
287
288
289
290
291
292
293
294
295
296
297
298
299

Acknowledgements

The authors are indebted to Mr. Brian Sauerbry who assisted with material procurement, Dr. Pamela Glass for technical assistance and Ms. Sarah Norris for assistance with the statistical analysis. The views expressed in this article are those of the authors and do not reflect the official policy or position of the U.S. Department of Defense or the U.S. Army.

300
301
302
303
304
305
306
307
308
309
310
311
312
313
314
315
316
317
318
319
320
321
322

References

1. Coronavirus disease (COVID-2019) situation reports. 2020. (Accessed 22nd of April, 2020, at <https://www.who.int/emergencies/diseases/novel-coronavirus-2019/situation-reports/>.)
2. Zhu, N. et al. A Novel Coronavirus from Patients with Pneumonia in China, 2019. *N Engl J Med*, <https://doi.org/10.1056/NEJMoa2001017> (2020).
3. Wang C, Horby PW, Hayden FG, Gao GF. A novel coronavirus outbreak of global health concern. *Lancet*, [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0140-6736\(20\)30185-9](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0140-6736(20)30185-9)
4. Otter JA, Donskey C, Yezli S, Douthwaite S, Goldenberg SD, Weber DJ. Transmission of SARS and MERS coronaviruses and influenza virus in healthcare settings: the possible role of dry surface contamination. *J Hosp Infect* 2016;92:235-50.
5. Kampf G, Todt D, Pfaender S, Steinmann E. Persistence of coronaviruses on inanimate surfaces and their inactivation with biocidal agents. *J Hosp Infect*. 2020 Mar;104(3):246-251.
6. van Doremalen N, Bushmaker T, Morris DH, Holbrook MG, Gamble A, Williamson BN, Tamin A, Harcourt JL, Thornburg NJ, Gerber SI, Lloyd-Smith JO, de Wit E, Munster VJ. Aerosol and Surface Stability of SARS-CoV-2 as Compared with SARS-CoV-1. *N Engl J Med*. 2020 Apr 16;382(16):1564-1567

- 323
- 324 7. Chin AWH, Chu JTS, Mahen RA, Perera K, Hui PY, Hui-Ling YM, Chan CW, Peiris M,
325 Poon LLM. Stability of SARS-CoV-2 in different environmental conditions.
326 <https://doi.org/10.1101/2020.03.15.20036673>.
- 327
- 328 8. Keyes D. Business Insider. 9 Mar 2020 [cited 16 Mar 2020] In: Business Insider
329 [Internet]. Available from: [https://www.businessinsider.com/south-korea-burning-cash-](https://www.businessinsider.com/south-korea-burning-cash-amid-coronavirus-outbreak-2020-3)
330 [amid-coronavirus-outbreak-2020-3](https://www.businessinsider.com/south-korea-burning-cash-amid-coronavirus-outbreak-2020-3).
- 331
- 332 9. Rabenau HF, Cinatl J, Morgenstern B, Bauer G, Preiser W, Doerr HW. Stability and
333 inactivation of SARS coronavirus. *Med Microbiol Immunol* (2005) 194: 1–6
- 334
- 335 10. Geller C, Varbanov M and Duval RE. Human Coronaviruses: Insights into
336 Environmental Resistance and Its Influence on the Development of New Antiseptic
337 Strategies. *Viruses* 2012, 4, 3044-3068.
- 338
- 339 11. SAS Institute Inc. Example 59.7: Influence in Heterogeneous Variance Model. in
340 *SAS/STAT 14.1 User's Guide: The Mixed Procedure*, Cary, NC, SAS Institute Inc., 2015,
341 pp. 5048-5056.
- 342
- 343 12. R Core Team (2019). R: A language and environment for statistical computing. R
344 Foundation for Statistical Computing., Vienna, Austria, 2019.
- 345
- 346

- 347 13. Casanova LM, Jeon S, Rutala WA, Weber DJ, Sobsey MD. Effects of air temperature
348 and relative humidity on coronavirus survival on surfaces. *Appl Environ Microbiol.* 2010
349 May;76(9):2712-7.
350
- 351 14. Kwok YL, Gralton J, McLaws ML. Face touching: a frequent habit that has implications
352 for hand hygiene. *Am J Infect Control.* 2015;43(2):112-4. doi:
353 10.1016/j.ajic.2014.10.015.
354
- 355 15. Yamamoto T, Iwase H, King TW, Hara H, Cooper DKC. Skin xenotransplantation:
356 Historical review and clinical potential. *Burns.* 2018;44(7):1738-1749.
357
- 358 16. Krug PW, Davis T, O'Brien C, LaRocco M, Rodriguez LL. Disinfection of transboundary
359 animal disease viruses on surfaces used in pork packing plants. *Vet Microbiol.* 2018
360 Jun;219:219-225.
361
- 362 17. Sun XD and Holley, RA. Antimicrobial and Antioxidative Strategies to Reduce
363 Pathogens and Extend the Shelf Life of Fresh Red Meats. *Comprehensive Reviews in*
364 *Food Science and Food Safety.* Vol.11, 2012. doi: 10.1111/j.1541-4337.2012.00188.x.
365
- 366 18. Zou L, Ruan F, Huang M, Liang L, Huang H, Hong Z, et al. SARS-CoV-2 Viral Load in
367 Upper Respiratory Specimens of Infected Patients. *N Engl J Med.* 2020;382(12):1177-
368 1179. doi: 10.1056/NEJMc2001737.
369

370 19. Sil, A. Does weather affect the growth rate of COVID-19, a study to comprehend
371 transmission dynamics on human health. BioRxiv [Preprint]. [posted 29 April 2020;
372 cited 6 May 2020] <https://doi.org/10.1101/2020.04.29.20085795>.

373

374

375

376

377

378

379

380

381

382

383

384

385

386

387

388

389

390

391

392

393

Supplementary Figures

394

395 Supplementary Figure 1. Geometric mean ratio of half-lives, averaged across all three
396 temperatures.

397

398

399 Supplementary Figure 2. Geometric mean ratio of half-lives, averaged across all four surfaces.