Title

Spatial-Temporal Trends in Bed Bug Complaints in New York City

Authors

Kathryn P. Hacker[1], Andrew J. Greenlee[2], Alison L. Hill[3], and Daniel Schneider[2], Michael Z. Levy[1]

[1] Department of Epidemiology, Biostatistics, and Informatics, University of Pennsylvania, Philadelphia, PA 19104.

[2] Department of Urban and Regional Planning, University of Illinois at Urbana-Champaign, Urbana, IL 61820.

[3] Program for Evolutionary Dynamics, Harvard University, Cambridge, MA 02138.

Abstract

Bed bug outbreaks pose a major challenge in urban environments and cause significant strain on public resources. Few studies have systematically analyzed the epidemic or the potential effects of policies to combat bed bugs. Here we use three sources of administrative data to characterize the spatial-temporal trends of bed bug complaints and reports in New York City. Bed bug specific complaints have significantly decreased (-0.021 ± CI -0.027 –- - 0.014, $p < 0.001$ decrease in complaints per 100,000 people per month) from 2014–2019. Despite the decrease areas with high bed bug infestation tend to remain infested, highlighting the persistence of these pests. There are limitations to the datasets; still the evidence available suggests that interventions employed by New York City residents and lawmakers are stemming the bed bug epidemic and may serve as a model for other large cities.

Introduction

Bed bugs (*Cimex lectularius*) have reemerged as a substantial public health and economic issue, particularly in dense urban environments (1–5). During the early 2000s, bed bug infestations steadily increased (2, 3, 6, 7). While bed bugs were largely controlled after the Second World War, their populations have resurged since. The resurgence of bed bug populations is likely due to a combination of many factors, among these insecticide resistance (3, 8–11), increased mobility (10, 12, 13), and exchange of used furniture (14–16). By the early 1990s, bed bugs were again documented globally as an arthropod pest of public health importance (1, 4, 8, 17–19).

The overall prevalence of bed bug infestation in major US cities is high, though rarely systematically measured, and has attracted the attention of the media and policy entities (7, 20–

22). Trends in bed bug resurgence and control effectiveness are poorly understood. Many cities lack a standardized reporting system and many infestations are handled individually (to varying degrees of success) and residents may choose not to report infestation to building managers or city authorities. In 2014, New York City established a reporting system for bed bug infestation through the city's 311 database, a dedicated phone and online system to access NYC services and information (20, 23). Additionally in 2014, the New York City department of Health and Human Services collected data on probable bed bug infestations, estimating a prevalence of 5.1% of households citywide, with some areas (defined by New York United Hospital Fund Regions) reporting up to 12% of households infested (24). Other cities across the United States have experienced high levels of infestation and have responded with various policy and public health interventions (7, 22).

The public health burden of bed bug infestations is substantial. Not only are residents of infested dwellings subject to physical symptoms such as painful bites, rashes, sleep loss, and allergic reactions, but some also suffer immense psychological and emotional distress (3, 18, 25–27). Residents of infested dwellings report increased anxiety and depression, linked both to the physicality of the infestation as well as the incurred social stigma (28, 29). The health burden on the homebound and elderly is particularly relevant, as home healthcare personnel and social workers without adequate training can be reticent, or refuse to, enter infested areas (30, 31). Additionally, due to the expense and difficulty of effective extermination, poisoning, property damage, and exposure to inexpertly applied insecticides has occurred (32, 33). Bed bugs are competent hosts for *Trypanosoma cruzi* and *Bartonella quintana*, the etiologic agents of Chagas disease and trench fever respectively (3, 34, 35). Whether bed bugs are, or could become, epidemiologically relevant in the transmission of these agents remains unclear.

To combat this public health crisis, New York City has instituted two bed bug disclosure policies. In 2010, New York passed its first ordinance that required landlords to report bed bug infestations occurring in the previous year to residents and prospective residents (36). The city passed a second disclosure ordinance in 2017 requiring landlords to report annually all units infested or treated for bed bug infestation, and to notify all residents in the building, rather than only current or prospective tenets of a given unit (37). These ordinances mandate disclosure by landlords to tenants, but do not include systematic sampling for bed bugs.

Making use of data resulting from 311 and other reporting systems, we assess the spatial-temporal trends in bed bug complaints and inquiries made by New York City residents and building owners. In addition to exploring these trends, we question whether the policy-driven approaches to managing bed bug infestations have resulted in a decrease in the rate of complaints over time. We also question whether these policy approaches have had differential impacts across New York City's boroughs.

Methods

Databases

We examine three databases archived by New York City: bed bug inquiries registered by the city's 311 non-emergency reporting system, official bed bug complaints made to the city's Department of Housing Preservation and Development, and building owner reported bed bug infestations reported to the city's Department of Housing Preservation and Development. The specifics of each database (attributes, time scales, and geographic information) are summarized in Table 1 and described in detail below.

93 *NYC Open Data and NYC 311: 311 inquiries and 311 bed bug specific requests*

94 Since 2009, New York City's Open Data Portal has maintained an online database of
95 information collected by the city government (38). One of the largest datasets available is the
96 city's 311 database. The 311 service is a designated system for non-emergency information and
97 reporting, which currently consists of a call service, app, and online system that allows
98 individuals, organizations, and businesses to access New York City's government services and
99 information (39). Those accessing the 311 service are able to report various environmental,
100 legislative, and social issues; reports are then referred to an appropriate agency. This process
101 guarantees anonymity. Inquiries are only processed to the level of information that the person or
102 persons is willing to provide (e.g. location information). We accessed all 311 data inquiries from
103 2010–2019 focusing on 311 inquiries specific to bed bugs (all bed bug related inquiries as well
104 as official complaints).

105

106 *NYC housing maintenance code complaints: official bed bug complaints*

107 The Department of Housing Preservation and Development (HPD) compiles housing
108 maintenance code complaints that are reported from NYC 311 Citizen Services Center or Online
109 database and the Code Enforcement Borough Offices. Only formal complaints that violate the
110 New York City Housing Maintenance Code (HMC) or the New York State Multiple Dwelling
111 Law (MDL) are recorded by HPD. The Housing Maintenance Code helps preserve decent
112 housing, prevent housing from deteriorating, and ensures minimal standards for housing and
113 basic decencies to already deteriorated buildings which must serve as dwellings until they can be
114 replaced (35). This housing code provides a comprehensive list of standards for housing
115 maintenance, including urban pests such as bed bugs.

Under the Housing and Maintenance Code tenants have the right to a bed bug free environment (36). Specifically, in the Housing and Maintenance Code, Subchapter 2, Article 4 names bed bugs as a Class B violation, meaning that the landlord is legally obligated to eradicate (*sic*) the problem within 30 days (36). Tenants are therefore incentivized to report probable bed bug infestation through 311 to officially document their complaint. These official complaints were made publicly available in 2014 and are updated continuously. We compiled all Housing Maintenance Code Complaints from 2014–2019 that were the result of a potential bed bug complaint, and, as a point of comparison, also compiled cockroach infestation complaints from the same data.

Building owner reports of bed bug infestation

In 2017, New York City passed an additional bed bug disclosure law that requires property owners of multiple dwellings (buildings with 3+ residential units) to report annually to the Department of Housing Preservation the number of units infested with bed bugs or that were treated for bed bugs (37). They must also disclose these numbers to all tenants at the time of lease renewal or upon the start of a new lease, as well as posting this information in a prominent location within the building. Failure to provide this information results in a Housing Maintenance Code Violation. While this data is required to be accessible to the public, currently New York City does not have this data published through NYC Open Data. We obtained bed bug infestations reported by property owners for 2018 through a Freedom of Information Request. Data were reported by building, and included information on the total number of residential units, the number that had experienced a bed bug infestation, the number of infestations treated, and the number of units re-infested.

Statistical Analyses

Assessing 311 inquiries linked to bed bugs from 2010 - 2019

We used a text search algorithm to isolate all 311 inquiries that included bed bugs in the descriptor as the reason for the call. We categorized the reason for the complaint and the organization or action that fielded the inquiry following the initial inquiry (Appendix Table 1). While Housing Maintenance Code complaints were only published from 2014, 311 general inquiries have been published since 2010. This dataset allows us to: understand the pattern and quantity of inquiries about bed bugs since 2010 and evaluate the patterns present in 311 data generally.

Assessing the geographical distribution of bed bug infestation in New York City

To assess the geographical distribution of bed bug complaints we calculated the number of bed bug complaints per Neighborhood Tabulation Area (NTA) (Figure 2). NTAs are combinations of whole census-tract level population data with a minimum of 15,000 residents per aggregation (40). These areas are used to predict New York City's population projections per micro-area. Unlike census tracts, which are prone to high sampling error, using NTAs as a geographic boundary helps to standardize areas by population while providing a more statistically reliable estimate of population (40). To standardize the number of bed bug complaints per population, we divided the total number of bed bug complaints by the estimated population for each year and multiplied that ratio by 100,000. We mapped this information using QGIS software (41).

Modeling the Temporal Patterns of Housing Maintenance Code Complaints for Bed Bugs and Cockroaches

To evaluate the temporal patterns of code complaints for bed bugs and cockroaches and account for the apparent seasonality, we modeled the number of complaints per month as a function of time using linear regression incorporating a sinusoidal curve. We standardized the total number of official bed bug complaints by the total number of 311 general inquires. We first evaluated the model residuals of a simple sinusoidal curve function. This step allowed us to examine the general patterns of complaints excluding the harmonic patterns and evaluate if a linear function was appropriate for the data. We then incorporated the sinusoidal curve into the linear function. We repeated this analysis for each of the New York City boroughs, standardizing the number of complaints per borough using population estimates obtained by the American Community Survey (24).

Comparing landlord reports of bed bug infestation to 311 resident complaints for 2018

We examined the concordance between the 311-complaint data and recently-available data on building owner reports for each NTA through linear regression.

Results

Summary of 311 inquiries linked to bed bugs from 2010 - 2019

From 2010–2019 there were a total of 72,701,278 inquiries processed by 311 either online, through the app, or by phone. Since 2010, the number of inquiries processed by 311 has steadily decreased (Fig. 1). When we selected for inquiries that included bed bugs in the descriptor, we identified 185,289 inquiries specific to bed bugs. These inquiries were processed

184 as 18 specific descriptions which were forwarded to seven different city departments or by the
185 general 311 call center (Appendix Table 1). Three of these descriptions are types of reports for
186 general pests, which may or may not include bed bugs as the primary complaint. The majority of
187 bed bug related inquiries were forwarded to the Department of Housing Preservation and
188 Development (n = 130,502). The inquiries processed by this department include bed bug
189 complaints for all residential and single room occupancy areas, as well as hotels. The
190 Department of Health and Mental Hygiene (DOHMH) and the Housing Authority (HA) fielded
191 the second (n = 33,250) and third (n = 12,062) largest number of inquiries respectively. The
192 majority of the inquiries processed by these two agencies were informational in nature, asking
193 either for a general brochure about bed bugs (n = 30,447), how to comply with bed bug related
194 ordinances (n = 1,854), or tips on how to prevent and get rid of bed bug infestation (n = 480).
195 Similar to the general 311 inquiries, bed bug related inquiries decreased from 2010–2019.
196 The largest peak in bed bug related inquiries occurred in August 2010 (n = 6,737). Throughout
197 the time series, bed bug inquiries peaked during late summer (June–August) and decreased from
198 September through April, creating a distinct seasonal pattern. This seasonal pattern was not
199 evident in the general 311 inquiries.
200
201 *Geographical distribution of bed bug infestation in New York City*
202 From 2014–2019, the number of official bed bug complaints processed by the department
203 of Housing Preservation and Development (HPD) were widely distributed throughout the five
204 boroughs (Fig. 2). The Bronx had the greatest proportional number of bed bug complaints per
205 borough population per 100,000 (724.8 ± CI 711, 738.8), followed by Brooklyn (559.7 ± CI
206 550.7, 568.8), Manhattan (575.6 ± CI 564.1, 587.3), Queens (281.8 ± CI 275, 288.7), and Staten

207 Island (191.4 ± CI 179.3, 204.2) for the study period (Appendix Table 2). Areas that were
208 positive for bed bug complaints tended to remain positive throughout the study term (Fig. 2).
209 During 2015, bed bug complaints peaked and then decreased until 2019 across all boroughs (Fig.
210 3).
211
212 *Temporal Patterns of Housing Maintenance Code Complaints for Bed Bugs and Cockroaches*
213 Similar to general 311 bed bug inquiries (Fig. 1), official bed bug complaints followed
214 seasonal patterns, peaking during summer (June–August) and bottoming out during winter and
215 spring (December–April) (Fig. 4A). When this harmonic pattern was extracted, the residuals
216 formed a linear decreasing trend from 2014–2019 (Fig. 4C). The complete model fit both the
217 seasonal and decreasing linear trends well (Fig. 4E). The decreasing temporal trend was
218 significant in the full model (Table 3), indicating that bed bug complaints significantly decreased
219 from 2014–2019. Cockroach complaints followed a similar seasonal pattern to bed bug
220 complaints (Fig. 4B). We modeled cockroach complaints using the same model structure, which
221 fit the data well (Fig. 4F). However, unlike bed bug complaints, we observed a significant
222 positive temporal trend (Table 3).
223 We used the same model structure to assess the temporal patterns of bed bug complaints
224 for each of the individual boroughs (Fig. 5). Across all the boroughs, bed bug complaints
225 decreased (Table 2). Once the harmonic pattern was extracted, Brooklyn had the greatest yearly
226 rate of decrease, followed by Manhattan and the Bronx, Queens and lastly Staten Island. The
227 seasonal pattern was evident across all the boroughs. Reflecting the total number of complaints
228 per borough, the R^2 was highest for Brooklyn ($R^2 = 0.82$) and the Bronx ($R^2 = 0.76$), followed by
229 Manhattan ($R^2 = 0.75$) and Queens ($R^2 = 0.71$), and lastly Staten Island ($R^2 = 0.37$).

Comparing landlord reports of bed bug infestation to 311 resident complaints for 2018

When we compared 2018 Housing Preservation bed bug complaint data (total number of complaints per NTA) to building owner reported bed bug infestation (number of reports per NTA) we found significant positive agreement (0.653 ± CI 4.06, 12.4, $p < 0.001$) between the two measures (Fig. 6). The correlation between the two measures was high (R^2= 0.60). When we subset by borough the correlation for each borough was similar for Manhattan, Queens, and Bronx (R^2 of 0.44, 0.40, 0.47) and for Staten Island and Brooklyn (R^2 of 0.27, 0.30).

Discussion

During the time period assessed, both general 311 inquiries about bed bugs (2010–2019) and official bed bug complaints (2014–2019) decreased in New York city. This decrease was significant both when standardized by population and against the totality of 311 inquiries, and is directly contrasted to patterns observed in cockroach complaints. These contrasting patterns, as well as strong seasonal patterns, indicate that the decrease in complaints are not an artifact of overall 311 or reporting use. Ultimately, disclosure laws, new approaches in pest management, increased knowledge, and commitment to inspections have likely all contributed to this decrease in bed bug complaints in New York City.

New York City has enacted one of the most comprehensive strategies to combat bed bug infestations among major US cities (7). The strategy is a combination of policy and enforcement. Ordinances assign responsibility for treatment to landlords, and subsequent disclosure of infestations to tenants. The city then commits substantial resources to rapidly respond to all bed bug related complaints, even employing a canine team to help assist in timely inspections (42).

253 While our study cannot individually and specifically assess the efficacy of these policies, the
254 decrease in bed bug complaints across all boroughs provides evidence that they are working. A
255 previous modeling study by Xie et al not only demonstrates that disclosure laws can reduce the
256 spread of bed bug infestations, but also that they can reduce the costs incurred by landlords and
257 tenants (43). Strong disclosure laws like those in New York City may therefore offer a cost-
258 effective road map for other cities struggling with bed bugs.

259 Best practices for bed bug management have also improved over the course of the
260 epidemic, and could account for the decrease in complaints. These improvements are not specific
261 to New York. There are no comparable studies from other major cities—if such studies were to
262 show a similar downward trend it might be reasonable to attribute the decrease in 311 complaints
263 in New York to improved pest management methods alone. Governmental and nongovernmental
264 entities have also increased educational efforts, and these may have improved knowledge among
265 landlords and residents (although this has not been formally assessed). Improved access to
266 information may also contribute to the decrease in calls to the 311 system. Residents may choose
267 to work directly with their landlord and not involve the city. If this were the case, we might
268 expect to see areas in which mandated landlord reporting was out-of-step with 311 inquiries.
269 Instead we saw high concordance between the two ($R^2 = 0.60$).

270 The decrease in bed bug complaints was observed across all New York City boroughs.
271 However, the rate of decline was not equivalent. Higher income boroughs (Manhattan and
272 Brooklyn) saw steeper declines than Queens and Staten Island. The differences in the rates of
273 decline are very likely due to differences in financial means to properly treat infestations and
274 incorporate recommendations of Integrated Pest Management (IPM). Differences in trust and
275 access to city government and services are also likely to affect rates of decline (7, 16, 44–46). In

Chicago, bed bug infestation was highly associated with lower-income neighborhoods, crowding, and eviction notices (22). While we did not specifically assess sociodemographic features, it is likely that similar patterns exist in New York City and indeed have been noted in smaller scale surveys and assessments (44, 45).

Despite substantial decreases in all boroughs, on the finer scale of NTD areas there are many persistently infested areas (Fig. 2). Many, though not all, of these persistently infested areas are located in lower-income areas. Appropriate treatment for bed bugs can cost well over $1000 (7, 16), in addition residents are faced with additional costs incurred from loss of personal property and time spent dealing with infestation (47). While New York City has made a vested effort to emphasize that tenants are not financially responsible for bed bug treatment, fear of eviction or cost may prevent tenants from reporting bed bug infestation, which may promote spread to non-infested units. Areas with limited financial resources are therefore potentially at risk for persistent or entrenched bed bug infestation.

Our study was not without limitations. Self-reported and landlord reporting of bed bug infestation, like all Volunteered Geographic Information (VGI), have inherent biases and inaccuracies (45). Sociodemographic differences have been documented between bed bug complaints and confirmed bed bug violations, with non-verified bed bug complaints (complaints that resulted in a negative bed bug inspection) occurring primarily in higher-income, majority white non-Hispanic neighborhoods (45). However, barring a standardized spatial sampling design, the VGI provided by New York City Open Data is likely the largest and most complete proxy available to estimate the spatial and longitudinal patterns in bed bug infestations.

Bed bugs are tied inextricably to their human hosts and the dynamic urban environment. Their resurgence in urban spaces and the necessity of rapid intervention strategies have elicited

health policy, increased monitoring, and novel treatment strategies over the past 10 years. While there is continued need to increase active surveillance for bed bug infestations, particularly among vulnerable populations, the policy and public health approaches employed by New York City appear to be a step in the right direction.

Acknowledgments

We thank the other participants in the workshop "Socio-Spatial Ecology of the Bed Bug and its Control"—Claudia Arevalo, Dawn Biehler, Stephen Billings, Warren Booth, Ludovica Gazze, Loren Henderson, Sara McLafferty, Shannon Sked, and Chris Sutherland—for discussions that helped shape this paper. This work was supported by the National Socio-Environmental Synthesis Center under funding received from National Science Foundation Grant DBI-1639145. KPH was supported by the University of Pennsylvania Diversity Postdoc Fellowship and National Institute of General Medical Sciences of the National Institutes of Health under Award Number K12GM081259

The authors declare no competing interests.

321 Tables

322 **Table 1. Description of databases used for the analyses.** Descriptive properties of each of the
323 datasets including timeframe, georeferencing information, organizational management of
324 database, and codes used for analysis.

Database	Timeframe Available	Georeferencing Information	Description	Code or text search used	Department
311 Inquires	2010–2019	Not georeferenced	All calls, online inquiries, and inquiries registered through the app	N/A	NYC 311
311 bed bug specific requests	2010–2019	Not georeferenced	Official bed bug complaints, as well as general bed bug inquires	Text search using bed bug terms[2]	NYC 311
Official bed bug complaints[3]	2014–2019	Georeferenced	Official bed bug complaints registered with HPD[4]	Problem Category Values: 2818 & 2517	HPD
Official cockroach complaints	2014–2019	Georeferenced	Official cockroach complaints registered with HPD	Problem Category Values: 2514 & 2823	HPD
Building owner reported bed bug infestations[5]	2018	Georeferenced	Units infested by bed bugs as reported by building managers to the HDP	Infested units	HPD

325 [1] 311 bed bug specific requests are a subset of general 311 inquiries extracted through text search
326 [2] Text search terms included: bedbug(s), bed bug(s), and pest(s). Pests according to the housing
327 maintenance code include bed bugs as well as "any unwanted member of class Insecta"(36)
328 [3] Formal bed bug complaints have been archived by the HDP since 2014 and are a subset of 311
329 inquiries
330 [4] Department of Housing and Preservation
331 [5] Report obtained by a freedom of information request

Table 2. Association between time and official bed bug and cockroach complaints accounting for seasonality. Model results of a linear harmonic model assessing the association between month and number of official bed bug complaints and cockroach complaints from 2014 – 2019. Bed bug and cockroach complaints were standardized by the total number of 311 inquiries and multiplied by 100,000.

Variable	Estimate	Confidence Interval	p-value
Bed Bug Complaints			
Time[1]	-0.021	-0.027– -0.014	$p < .001$
Amplitude[2]	36	29–43	$p < .001$
Phase Shift[1]	1.1	1.0–1.2	$p < .001$
Cockroach Complaints			
Time[1]	0.081	0.069–0.093	$p < .001$
Amplitude[2]	58	46.5–67.9	$p < .001$
Phase Shift[1]	1.6	1.4–1.8	$p < .001$

[1]Measured in months
[2]Measured in the number of complaints per total number of 311 inquires multiplied by 100,000

Table 3. Association between time and official bed bug complaints for each borough accounting for seasonality. Results of a linear harmonic model standardized per population size for each of the five New York City boroughs from 2014–2019.

Borough	r-squared	Model Variables	Model Coefficient ± (95% confidence interval)	p-value
Bronx	0.76	Time[1]	-3.4e-03 ± CI (-4.3e-03 – -2.5e-03)	p < .001
		Amplitude[2]	4.5 ± CI (3.5–5.5)	p < .001
		Phase Shift[1]	1.0 ± CI (1.0–1.6)	p < .001
Brooklyn	0.82	Time[1]	-3.5e-03 ± CI (-4.1e-03 – -2.9e-03)	p < .001
		Amplitude[2]	2.9 ± CI (2.2–3.5)	p < .001
		Phase Shift[1]	0.99 ± CI (0.95–1.1)	p < .001
Queens	0.71	Time[1]	-1.2e-03 ± CI (-1.5e-03 – -8.2e-04)	p < .001
		Amplitude[2]	1.5 CI ± (1.1–1.9)	p < .001
		Phase Shift[1]	0.90 CI ± (0.87–0.94)	p < .001
Manhattan	0.75	Time[1]	-2.9e-03 ± CI (-3.6e-03 – -2.2e-03)	p < .001
		Amplitude[2]	2.8 ± CI (2.1–3.6)	p < .001
		Phase Shift[1]	1.1 ± CI (1.0–1.2)	p < .001
Staten Island	0.37	Time[1]	-1.1e-03 ± CI (-2.5e-03 – -6.1e-04)	p < .001
		Amplitude[2]	0.78 ± CI (0.46–1.3)	p < .001
		Phase Shift[1]	1.4 ± CI (1.2–2.2)	p < .001

[1]Measured in months
[2]Measured in the number of complaints per borough population multiplied by 100,000

Figures

Figure 1. New York City 311 data usage and bed bug related inquiries. New York City 311 inquiries, bed bug related inquiries, which include official bed bug complaints registered to HPD, and bed bug related inquiries standardized by the total number of 311 inquiries from 2010–2019. Bed bug related inquires were extracted using a text search algorithm. Dates of the two bed bug disclosure laws are indicated by a dashed line



368 **Figure 2. Spatial temporal distribution of bed bug complaints in New York City.** Spatial

369 temporal distribution of official bed bug complaints registered to HPD from 2014–2019

370 standardized by the population per NTD area per 100,000.

371



372

373

374

375

376

377

378

379

380 **Fig. 3 Official bed bug complaints for each borough per year.** Official bed bug complaints

381 registered to HPD standardized by borough population per 100,000 from 2014–2019.

382



383

384

385

386

387

388

389

390

391

392

393

Figure 4. Longitudinal analysis of official bed bug and cockroach complaints registered to HPD. Graphical representation of the results of a linear harmonic model assessing the temporal relationship of official bed bug and cockroach complaints from 2014–2019. (A) Monthly official bed bug complaints standardized by the total number of 311 inquiries per 100,000 with monthly harmonic curve. (B) Monthly cockroach complaints standardized by the total number of 311 inquiries per 100,000 with monthly harmonic curve. (C) Official bed bug complaint residual pattern after extracting the seasonal oscillation from panel A. (D) Official cockroach complaint residual pattern after extracting the seasonal oscillation from panel B. (E) Complete linear harmonic model assessing official bed bug complaints over time. (F) Complete linear harmonic model assessing official cockroach complaints over time.



Figure 5. Harmonic linear model assessing the temporal patterns of official bed bug complaints for each of the five NYC boroughs. Graphical representation of model results assessing official bed bug complaints per each of the NYC borough standardized by borough population from 2014–2019. Model fit assessed by R-squared and slope of the linear residual pattern when only extracting seasonality are reported.



412 **Figure 6. Comparison between building manager reported bed bug infestation and official**

413 **bed bug complaints registered to HPD for 2018.** Correlation between official bed bug

414 complaints from residents and building manager reported infestation was high ($R^2 = 0.60$).



415

416

417

418

419

420

421

422

423 Citations

424 1. Wang L, Xu Y, Zeng L. Resurgence of Bed Bugs (Hemiptera: Cimicidae) in Mainland
425 China. Florida Entomologist. 2013 Mar;96(1):131–6. DOI: 10.1653/024.096.0117

426 3. Doggett SL, Dwyer DE, Peñas PF, Russell RC. Bed Bugs: Clinical Relevance and Control
427 Options. Clinical Microbiology Reviews. 2012 Jan 1;25(1):164–92. DOI:
428 10.1128/CMR.05015-11

429 4. Kilpinen O, Jensen K-MV, Kristensen M. Bed Bug Problems in Denmark, with a european
430 perspective. Procedings of the Sixth International Conference on Urban Pests. 2008;
431 395:399.

432 5. Gangloff-Kaufmann J, Hollingsworth C, Hahn J, Hansen L, Kard B, Waldvogel M. Bed
433 Bugs in America: A Pest Management Industry Survey. American Entomologist.
434 2006;52(2):105–6. DOI: 10.1093/ae/52.2.105

435 6. Scarpino SV, Althouse BM. Uncovering the hidden cost of bed bugs. Proc Natl Acad Sci
436 USA. 2019 Apr 9;116(15):7160–2. DOI: 10.1073/pnas.1902404116

437 7. Schneider D. They’re Back: Municipal Responses to the Resurgence of Bed Bug
438 Infestations. Journal of the American Planning Association. 2019 Apr 3;85(2):96–113.
439 DOI: 10.1080/01944363.2019.1591294

440 8. Doggett SL, Geary MJ, Russell RC. The Resurgence of Bed Bugs in Australia: With Notes
441 on Their Ecology and Control. Environmental Health. 2004 Feb 4; 4(2):30–38.

442 9. Romero A, Potter MF, Potter DA, Haynes KF. Insecticide Resistance in the Bed Bug: A
443 Factor in the Pest’s Sudden Resurgence? Journal of Medical Entomology. 2007
444 Mar;44(2):175-178. DOI:10.1603/0022-2585(2007)44[175:IRITBB]2.0.CO;2

445 10. Davies TGE, Field LM, Williamson MS. The re-emergence of the bed bug as a nuisance
446 pest: implications of resistance to the pyrethroid insecticides. Medical and Veterinary
447 Entomology. 2012 Sep;26(3):241–54. DOI: 10.1111/j.1365-2915.2011.01006.x

448 11. Raab RW, Moore JE, Vargo EL, Rose L, Raab J, Culbreth M, et al. New Introductions,
449 Spread of Existing Matrilines, and High Rates of Pyrethroid Resistance Result in Chronic
450 Infestations of Bed Bugs (Cimex lectularius L.) in Lower-Income Housing. PLoS ONE.
451 2016 Feb 22;11(2):e0117805. DOI: 10.1371/journal.pone.0117805

452 12. Benoit JB, Adelman ZN, Reinhardt K, Dolan A, Poelchau M, Jennings EC, et al. Unique
453 features of a global human ectoparasite identified through sequencing of the bed bug
454 genome. Nat Communications. 2016 Apr;7(1):10165.

455 13. Szalanski AL, Austin JW, McKern JA, Steelman CD, Gold RE. Mitochondrial and
456 ribosomal internal transcribed spacer 1 diversity of Cimex lectularius (Hemiptera:
457 Cimicidae). J Med Entomology. 2008 Mar;45(2):229–36. DOI: 10.1603/0022-
458 2585(2008)45[229:MARITS]2.0.CO;2

14. Changlu Wang, Kurt Saltzmann, Eva Chin, Gary W. Bennett, Timothy Gibb. Characteristics of Cimex lectularius (Hemiptera: Cimicidae), Infestation and Dispersal in a High-Rise Apartment Building. Journal of Economic Entomology. 2010 Feb 1;103(1):172–7. DOI:10.1603/EC09230

15. Cooper R, Wang C, Singh N. Mark-Release-Recapture Reveals Extensive Movement of Bed Bugs (Cimex lectularius L.) within and between Apartments. Lazzari CR, editor. PLoS ONE. 2015 Sep 9;10(9):e0136462. DOI: 10.1371/journal.pone.0136462

16. M. Wong, N. Vaidyanathan, R. Vaidyanathan, Strategies for Housing Authorities and Other Lower-Income Housing Providers to Control Bed Bugs. *Journal of Housing and Community Development; Washington* **70**, 20–28 (2013).

17. M. F. Potter, The History of Bed Bug Management—With Lessons from the Past. *American Entomologist* **57**, 14–25 (2011).

18. X. Bonnefoy, H. Kampen, K. Sweeney, *Public health significance of urban pests* (WHO Reginal Office for Europe, 2008).

19. BedBug Central. History and Resurgence [internet]. 2008 [cited 2020 Apr 26]. Available from: https://www.bedbugcentral.com/bedbugs101/history-resurgence

20. Buckley C. Legislature Passes Bedbug-Notification Law. The New York Times [Internet]. 2010 Jun 24 [cited: 26 Apr 2020]; Available from: https://www.nytimes.com/2010/06/25/nyregion/25bedbugs.html

21. E. Pilkington, How bedbugs invaded New York. *The Guardian* (2010) (April 26, 2020).

22. C. Sutherland, A. J. Greenlee, D. Schneider, Socioeconomic drivers of urban pest prevalence. *People and Nature*, pan3.10096 (2020).

City of New York. NYC Health: Bedbugs Information for Homeowners & Tenants [Internet]. 2020 [cited: 15 March 2020]. Availible from: https://www1.nyc.gov/site/doh/health/health-topics/bedbugs-information-for-homeowners-and-tenants.page

23. NYC Health. Community Health Survey [Internet]. 2020 [cited 2020 Apr 26]. Available from: https://www1.nyc.gov/site/doh/data/data-sets/community-health-survey.page

24. Goddard J, deShazo R. Bed Bugs (Cimex lectularius) and Clinical Consequences of Their Bites. JAMA. 2009 Apr 1;301(13):1358–66. DOI: 10.1001/jama.2009.405

25. Doggett SL, Russell R. Bed bugs – what the GP needs to know. Australian Family Physician. 2009 Nov 1;38(11):880–4. PMID: 19893834.

26. Divjan A, daSilva HA, Acosta LM, Rundle AG, Weichsel A, Montfort WR, et al. Detectable IgG against a Cimex lectularius allergen after a report of bed bug bites among

493 NYC children. Journal of Allergy and Clinical Immunology. 2019 Feb;143(2):AB236.
494 DOI: 10.1016/j.jaci.2018.12.721

495 27. Ashcroft R, Seko Y, Chan LF, Dere J, Kim J, McKenzie K. The mental health impact of
496 bed bug infestations: a scoping review. Int J Public Health. 2015 Nov;60(7):827–37. DOI:
497 10.1007/s00038-015-0713-8

498 29. S. R. Susser, *et al.*, Mental health effects from urban bed bug infestation (Cimex lectularius
499 L.): a cross-sectional study. *BMJ Open; London* **2** (2012).

500 29. Laliberté M, Hunt M, Williams-Jones B, Feldman DE. Health Care Professionals and
501 Bedbugs: An Ethical Analysis of a Resurgent Scourge. HEC Forum. 2013 Sep;25(3):245–
502 55. DOI: 10.1007/s10730-013-9209-1

503 30. Miller DM. Bed Bug Action Plan for Home Health Care and Social Workers. Virginia
504 Department of Agriculture and Consumer Services. 2014 Aug;1–4.

505 31. Jacobson JB, Wheeler K, Hoffman R, Mitchell Y, Beckman J, Mehler L, et al. Acute
506 illnesses associated with insecticides used to control bed bugs--seven states, 2003-2010.
507 Morbidity and Mortality Weekly Report. 2011 Sep 23;60(37):1269-1274. PMID:
508 21937972

509 32. Bashir B, Sharma SG, Stein HD, Sirota RA, D'Agati VD. Acute Kidney Injury Secondary
510 to Exposure to Insecticides Used for Bedbug (Cimex lectularis) Control. American Journal
511 of Kidney Diseases. 2013 Nov 1;62(5):974–7. DOI: 10.1053/j.ajkd.2013.04.020

512 33. Salazar R, Castillo-Neyra R, Tustin AW, Borrini-Mayorí K, Náquira C, Levy MZ. Bed
513 Bugs (Cimex lectularius) as Vectors of Trypanosoma cruzi. Am J Trop Med Hyg. 2015
514 Feb 4;92(2):331–5. DOI: 10.4269/ajtmh.14-0483

515 34. Leulmi H, Bitam I, Berenger JM, Lepidi H, Rolain JM, Almeras L, et al. Competence of
516 Cimex lectularius Bed Bugs for the Transmission of Bartonella quintana, the Agent of
517 Trench Fever. Turell MJ, editor. PLoS Negl Trop Dis. 2015 May 22;9(5):e0003789. DOI:
518 10.1371/journal.pntd.0003789

519 35. Title 27 - Chapter 2: Housing Maintenance Code. New York City Administration Code.
520 2014. Availible from:
521 https://www1.nyc.gov/assets/buildings/pdf/HousingMaintenanceCode.pdf

522 36. Local Laws of the City of New York for the Year 2017. No. 69. Availible from:
523 https://www1.nyc.gov/assets/buildings/pdf/HousingMaintenanceCode.pdf

524 37. City of New York Open Data NYC Open. 311 Call Center Inquiry. City Government. 13
525 June 2016. Availible from: https://data.cityofnewyork.us/City-Government/311-Call-
526 Center-Inquiry/wewp-mm3p.

38. City of New York. 2020. NYC 311 The official website of the city of new york: About NYC 311. [Internet] 2020 [cited 30 Jan 2018]. Availible from: https://portal.311.nyc.gov/about-nyc-311/

39. City of New York. NYC planning: Neighborhood Tabulation Areas (Formerly "Neighborhood Projection Areas") [Internet]. 2020 [cited: 10 March 2020]. Availible from: https://www1.nyc.gov/site/planning/data-maps/open-data/dwn-nynta.page

41. , *QGIS Geographic Information System.* (Open Source Geospatial Foundation Project).

40. City of New York. NYC housing preservation and development: Bed bugs. [Internet]. 2020 [cited: 10 March 2020]. Availible from: https://www1.nyc.gov/site/hpd/services-and-information/bedbugs.page

41. S. Xie, A. L. Hill, C. R. Rehmann, M. Z. Levy, Dynamics of bed bug infestations and control under disclosure policies. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA* **116**, 6473–6481 (2019). DOI: 10.1073/pnas.1814647116

42. N. Ralph, H. E. Jones, L. E. Thorpe, Self-reported bed bug infestation among New York City residents: prevalence and risk factors. *Journal of Environmental Health* **76**, 38- (2013). PMID: 23947287

43. S. McLafferty, D. Schneider, K. Abelt, Placing volunteered geographic health information: Socio-spatial bias in 311 bed bug report data for New York City. *Health & Place*, 102282 (2020). DOI: 10.1016/j.healthplace.2019.102282

46. L. Carter, F. Bélanger, The utilization of e-government services: citizen trust, innovation and acceptance factors*. *Information Systems Journal* **15**, 5–25 (2005).

47. Centers for Disease Control and Prevention. CDC - Bed Bugs. [Internet] 2016 [cited 30 Jan 2018]. Availible from: https://www.cdc.gov/parasites/bedbugs/index.html