

# COVID-19, smoking, vaping and quitting: A representative population survey in England

Harry Tattan-Birch<sup>1,2</sup>, Olga Perski<sup>1,2</sup>, Sarah Jackson<sup>1,2</sup>, Lion Shahab<sup>1,2</sup>,  
Robert West<sup>1,2</sup>, Jamie Brown<sup>1,2</sup>

1. *Institute of Epidemiology and Health Care, University College London, London, UK*

2. *SPECTRUM Consortium, UK*

## Correspondence to:

Harry Tattan-Birch

Email: [h.tattan-birch@ucl.ac.uk](mailto:h.tattan-birch@ucl.ac.uk) | Telephone: +44 789 6878 330

Address: Institute of Epidemiology and Health Care, 1-19 Torrington Place, Fitzrovia, London WC1E 7HB

## Abstract

**Aims:** To explore 1) associations between suspected SARS-CoV-2 infection, hand washing, smoking status, e-cigarette use, and nicotine replacement therapy (NRT) use and 2) whether COVID-19 has prompted smoking and vaping quit attempts, and more smoking inside the home.

**Design:** Cross-sectional household surveys of a representative sample of the population in England from April–May 2020.

**Participants:** The sample included 3,285 adults aged  $\geq 18$  years.

**Measurements:** Participants who reported they definitely or think they had coronavirus were classified as having a suspected SARS-CoV-2 infection. Participants were asked how often they wash their hands after returning home, before eating, before preparing foods or before touching their face. They were also asked whether, due to COVID-19, they had i) attempted to quit smoking, ii) attempted to quit vaping, and iii) changed the amount they smoke inside the home.

**Findings:** Odds of suspected SARS-CoV-2 infection were significantly greater among current smokers (20.9%, adjusted odds ratio [OR<sub>adj</sub>]=1.34, 95% confidence interval [CI]=1.04–1.73) and long-term (>1-year) ex-smokers (16.1%, OR<sub>adj</sub>=1.33, 95% CI=1.05–1.68) than never smokers (14.5%). Recent (<1-year) ex-smokers had non-significantly greater odds of suspected infection (22.2%, OR<sub>adj</sub>=1.50, 95% CI=0.85–2.53, Bayes factor= 0.55–1.17). Bayes factors indicated there was sufficient evidence to rule out large differences in suspected SARS-CoV-2 infection by NRT use and medium differences by e-cigarette use. With the exception of hand washing before face touching, engagement in hand washing behaviours was high (>85%) regardless of nicotine use. A minority (12.2%) of past-year smokers who made a quit attempt in the past three months were triggered by COVID-19, and approximately one-in-ten current e-cigarette users reported attempting to quit vaping because of COVID-19. Most people reported smoking the same amount inside the home.

**Conclusions:** In a representative sample of the adult population in England, current smokers and long-term ex-smokers had higher odds of suspected SARS-CoV-2 infection than never smokers, but there were no large differences by NRT or e-cigarette use. In general, engagement in hand washing was high regardless of nicotine or tobacco use. A minority of past-year smokers and current e-cigarette users, respectively, attempted to quit smoking/vaping due to COVID-19.

**Keywords:** COVID-19, coronavirus, SARS-CoV-2, smoking, vaping, e-cigarettes, quitting, smoking cessation.

## Introduction

COVID-19 is a respiratory disease caused by the SARS-CoV-2 virus.<sup>1</sup> Tobacco smoking was considered an *a priori* risk factor for SARS-CoV-2 infection and poor COVID-19 disease outcomes: current and former smoking is known to increase the risk of respiratory viral and bacterial infection and adverse disease outcomes compared with never smoking.<sup>2,3</sup> Behavioural factors involved in both smoking and vaping, such as regular hand-to-mouth movements, may increase viral infection and transmission if performed without accompanying protective behaviours like hand washing.<sup>4</sup> However, early descriptive epidemiology from the ongoing pandemic produced surprising results. Limited, mixed-quality evidence suggests lower than expected smoking rates among those testing positive for SARS-CoV-2 infection and those hospitalised with COVID-19.<sup>5,6</sup> This has led to the hypothesis that nicotine may protect against a hyper-inflammatory response to SARS-CoV-2 infection,<sup>7,8</sup> thus preventing adverse outcomes such as hospitalisation with COVID-19 disease. Alternatively, the lower than expected smoking rates may reflect smokers being less likely to become infected due to an unexpected interaction between nicotine and ACE2 receptors, or may just be an artefact of various measurement and sampling issues.<sup>5</sup> Our aim is to use a large, representative population survey with reliable assessment of smoking status to estimate rates of self-reported SARS-CoV-2 infection in relation to smoking status.

The early literature has focussed on smoking, SARS-CoV-2 infection and COVID-19 disease. However, comparisons of rates of symptomatic SARS-CoV-2 infection between smokers, ex-smokers and non-smokers are a poor test of the nicotine hypothesis. Other components in tobacco smoke could lead to greater symptom severity, which could obscure the hypothesised protective effect of nicotine. Electronic cigarettes (e-cigarettes) and nicotine replacement therapy (NRT) have lower toxicity than cigarettes.<sup>9,10</sup> Therefore, an assessment of the use of these products provides a better test of a potential protective effect of nicotine. Despite this, few studies so far in the pandemic have measured the use of e-cigarettes or NRT.<sup>11</sup> In addition, most studies have not been able to rely on representative sampling and have failed to distinguish between current smokers, recent ex-smokers, long-term ex-smokers and never smokers.<sup>5</sup> Our secondary aim is therefore to estimate rates of SARS-CoV-2 infection by use of alternative nicotine products in a representative population survey.

Hand washing is an important behaviour in reducing the transmission of infectious diseases through the population, especially for those that are primarily spread through respiratory droplets.<sup>12</sup> Because of the repeated hand-to-mouth movements involved in smoking and vaping, current smokers and e-cigarette users may be less likely to regularly wash their hands before touching their face. Additionally, personality factors, such as lower risk aversion,<sup>13</sup> could lead to reduced hand washing among tobacco and nicotine users. These behavioural factors could explain differences in rates of SARS-CoV-2 infection by smoking status, e-cigarette use or NRT use. Therefore, in this study, we

measured associations between self-reported engagement in hand washing behaviours and use of these products.

In the absence of clear evidence as to whether smoking is a risk factor for SARS-CoV-2 infection or hospitalisation, public health messaging has focused on general benefits of quitting tobacco smoking.<sup>14,15</sup> Some organisations, such as the American Lung Association,<sup>16</sup> have also urged people to stop using e-cigarettes (“vaping”), claiming that doing so may reduce their risk of developing severe COVID-19 symptoms — despite little evidence to support this. The SARS-CoV-2 pandemic may therefore have prompted smokers and e-cigarette users to attempt to stop in greater numbers. Social distancing measures, introduced in England in March 2020, have limited people to leave their home only for food, healthcare, exercise and essential work.<sup>17</sup> These measures may have increased the amount people smoke inside their home, which would be especially damaging because school closures mean children are housebound and at risk of exposure to second-hand smoke.

The COVID-19 pandemic has exacerbated health inequalities in England, with the highest mortality rates found among people working in manual, routine and service occupations<sup>18</sup> and in black and ethnic minority groups.<sup>19</sup> In addition, those living in deprived areas are the most likely to be diagnosed with COVID-19 and have worse outcomes when hospitalised.<sup>20</sup> Because smoking and vaping prevalence is highest among people from disadvantaged groups,<sup>21</sup> the impact these behaviours have on SARS-CoV-2 infection may be more pronounced in these groups. In this study, we will use a representative population sample of adults in England to estimate:

- 1) associations between suspected SARS-CoV-2 infection and smoking status, e-cigarette use and NRT use;
- 2) associations between hand washing and smoking status, e-cigarette use and NRT use;
- 3) the proportion of smokers and e-cigarette users who have attempted to quit product use because they are motivated by the pandemic;
- 4) the proportion of smokers, with or without children in their household, who are smoking more, less, or the same amount inside their home; and
- 5) whether the above associations vary by socioeconomic status (SES).

## Methods

### Design

This study is part of the ongoing Smoking Toolkit Study (STS), a monthly cross-sectional survey in England. It provides detailed information on smoking, vaping and NRT use. It recruits around 1700 participants per month using a combination of random location and quota sampling.<sup>22</sup> Interviews are

performed with one household member until quotas based on factors influencing the probability of being at home (e.g. gender, age, working status) are fulfilled. Comparisons with sales data and other national surveys show that the STS recruits a representative sample of the population in England with regard to key demographic variables, smoking prevalence and cigarette consumption.<sup>22,23</sup> Data are usually collected through face-to-face computer assisted interviews. However, social distancing restrictions under the COVID-19 pandemic mean that data from April and May 2020 were collected via telephone but relied on the same combination of random location and quota sampling, and weighting approach. Ethical approval was provided by the UCL Research Ethics Committee (0498/001).

## Study sample

Adults ( $\geq 18$  years) who were interviewed in April and May 2020, the first survey waves to include questions about COVID-19.

## Measures

**Smoking status:** Participants who reported currently smoking tobacco of any kind were considered *current smokers*. Those who reported having stopped smoking within the last year were considered *recent ex-smokers*. Those who reported having stopped smoking more than a year ago were considered *long-term ex-smokers*. All others were considered *never smokers*. Never smokers were used as the reference category when calculating odds ratios.

**E-cigarette and NRT use:** Participants were asked which products they are currently using to cut down the amount they smoke, in situations when they are not allowed to smoke, to help them stop smoking, or for any other reason at all. Those who reported currently using e-cigarettes were considered *current e-cigarette users* and those who reported currently using NRT (e.g. gum, patches, lozenges) were considered *current NRT users*.

**Suspected SARS-CoV-2 infection:** Participants were told that the symptoms of coronavirus are high temperature/fever or a new, continuous cough. They were then asked which of the following statements best applies to them: (1) I definitely have coronavirus, (2) I think I have coronavirus, (3) I definitely had coronavirus, (4) I think I had coronavirus, (5) I do not have or think I have had coronavirus, (6) Don't know, and (7) Prefer not to say. Those who responded that they "definitely" or "think they" have/had coronavirus (1 – 4) were labelled as having a *suspected SARS-CoV-2 infection*.

**Hand washing:** Participants were asked how frequently, in the last week, they (i) washed their hands when they got home after being out, (ii) washed their hands before they ate, (iii) washed their hands before they prepared food, and (iv) touched their eyes, nose or mouth without washing their hands first. For all questions, participants could respond always, most of the time, about half the time, less

than half the time, never or don't know. Responses were grouped into two categories: engaging in the behaviour the majority (most/always) of the time, coded 1, and all others, coded 0. For question (i), participants could respond that they have not left the house, and for question (ii), that they have not prepared food in the last week. Individuals who gave these responses were considered protected against infection, with responses coded as 1. Responses from question (iv) were reverse coded to reflect the protective behaviour of washing hands before touching eyes, nose or mouth.

**Smoking quit attempt:** Past-year smokers who reported that they had made a quit attempt in the last 3 months were asked if their attempt was triggered by (i) the COVID-19 outbreak or (ii) concern about future health problems.

**Vaping quit attempt:** Current e-cigarette users were asked if they were trying to quit vaping completely because of the COVID-19 outbreak.

**Smoking inside the home:** Smokers were asked whether, in the past month, they had been smoking more, the same amount or fewer cigarettes inside their home.

**Children in household:** Smokers were asked the number of children they had in their household. Responses were dichotomised as  $\geq 1$  and 0.

**SES:** Occupation-based social grade<sup>24</sup> was used as our measure of SES, with responses dichotomised into ABC1 (managerial, professional and clerical workers) and C2DE (manual and casual workers, state pensioners and unemployed).

**Potential confounders:** In addition to SES, we included information on sex, age and region (London, North, Central, South).

## Analysis

All analyses were conducted in R version 3.5.<sup>25</sup> The pre-registered analysis plan is available on the Open Science Framework (<https://osf.io/vb9fm/>). We made one amendment, which was to include data from May 2020 when this became available. Results following the original plan based on April 2020 data are available online (<https://osf.io/7ehx6/>). Alpha was set to 5%. Where there were nonsignificant results when assessing analysis 1 (A1), Bayes factors (BFs) were used to distinguish inconclusive data from evidence for no large, medium or small effect.<sup>26,27</sup> Large effects in either direction were defined as having an odds ratio (OR) = 4 or for lower estimates  $\frac{1}{4}$ , based on evidence that current smoking rates among patients hospitalised for COVID-19 in China were four times lower than would be expected from the smoking prevalence in the country.<sup>6</sup> We defined a medium effect size as OR = 2 or  $\frac{1}{2}$  and a small effect size as OR = 1.5 or  $\frac{2}{3}$ .

**A1:** Logistic regression was used to estimate the association between suspected SARS-CoV-2 infection and (i) smoking status, (ii) e-cigarette use and (iii) NRT use, with and without adjustment for potential confounders. We repeated the above analysis but included the interaction between SES and (i) through (iii) as an explanatory variable.

**A2:** Similarly, for each hand washing behaviour, we used logistic regression to estimate the association between engaging in the behaviour and (i) smoking status, (ii) e-cigarette use and (iii) NRT use, with and without adjustment for potential confounders.

**A3:** We report the proportion of (i) past-year smokers and (ii) e-cigarette users who attempted to quit because of the outbreak, before and after stratifying by SES. For smokers, we also compared this to the proportion who attempted to quit because they were concerned about their future health.

**A4:** We also report the proportion of smokers, with or without children in their household, who were smoking more, less or the same amount inside their home. Logistic regression was used to estimate the association of smoking more (vs. less/about the same amount) inside the home with children in household, SES, and the interaction between SES and children in the household.

## Results

Of the 3,285 participants interviewed, 3,179 (96.8%) provided complete data on all questions required for the current analyses. Sample characteristics are shown in Table 1. Of the analytic sample, 1,804 (56.7%) were never smokers, 834 (26.2%) were long-term ex-smokers, 72 (2.3%) were recent ex-smokers, and 469 (14.8%) were current smokers. In addition, 192 (6.0%) were current e-cigarette users and 88 (2.8%) were current NRT users.

Table 1. Sample characteristics.

	Analytical sample (n = 3,179)
Sex	
Women	1718 (54.0%)
Age	
Mean (sd)	52.4 (17.6)
Region	
London	462 (14.5%)
North	938 (29.5%)
Central	951 (29.9%)
South	828 (26.0%)
SES	
ABC1	1969 (61.9%)
C2DE	1210 (38.1%)

### A1: Suspected SARS-CoV-2 infection

Of the sample, 16.0% (95% confidence interval [CI] = 14.8%–17.4%) reported a suspected SARS-CoV-2 infection. Table 2 shows the prevalence of suspected SARS-CoV-2 infection by smoking status, e-cigarette and NRT use. In adjusted analyses, current smokers and long-term ex-smokers had significantly greater odds of suspected SARS-CoV-2 infection compared with never smokers. There were no other significant differences by smoking status, e-cigarette use or NRT use, or significant interactions between product use and SES (Supplementary Table 1). BFs indicated that there was insufficient evidence to rule out small, medium or large differences in suspected SARS-CoV-2 infection between recent ex-smokers and never smokers (Supplementary Table 2). There was sufficient evidence to rule out (1) large unadjusted and adjusted associations with NRT use and (2) medium and large adjusted associations with e-cigarette use.

Table 2: Associations of suspected SARS-CoV-2 infection with smoking status, e-cigarette use and NRT use.

Variable	N (% [95% CI])	OR (95% CI)	p	OR <sub>adj</sub> (95% CI)	p
Smoking status					
Never	261 (14.5% [12.9%-16.2%])	-	-	-	-
Long-term ex	135 (16.1% [13.8%-18.8%])	1.18 (0.94-1.48)	.16	1.33 (1.05-1.68)	.02
Recent ex	16 (22.2% [14.2%-33.1%])	1.61 (0.92-2.69)	.08	1.50 (0.85-2.53)	.14
Current smoker	98 (20.9% [17.5%-24.8%])	1.44 (1.13-1.84)	<.01	1.34 (1.04-1.73)	.02
E-Cigarette use					
No current use	468 (15.7% [14.4%-17.0%])	-	-	-	-
Current use	42 (21.9% [16.6%-28.2%])	1.30 (0.91-1.81)	.14	1.00 (0.68-1.43)	.99
NRT use					
No current use	494 (16.0% [14.7%-17.3%])	-	-	-	-
Current use	16 (18.2% [11.5%-27.5%])	1.00 (0.55-1.68)	.99	0.82 (0.44-1.41)	.49

Adjusted analyses included terms for SES, sex, age and region. In addition, smoking status was added as a covariate when estimating associations between the outcome and e-cigarette use and NRT use. Similar associations were found when (1) sample weights were not applied in adjusted analyses and (2) current smokers were excluded from the analysis of NRT and EC use (Supplementary Table 3).

### A2: Hand washing

Of participants, 95.6% (95.0%–96.4%) reported washing their hands most of the time after coming home, 97.1% (96.5–97.6%) reported washing their hands most of the time before they prepared food, 87.2% (86.0%–88.3%) reported washing their hands most of the time before they ate, and 71.3% (69.7%–72.9%) reported not touching their face without washing their hands at least half of the time.

Compared with never smokers, recent ex-smokers had significantly lower odds of reporting washing their hands before touching their face the majority of the time, both before and after adjustment for potential confounding variables (see Table 3). All other associations of hand washing with smoking status, e-cigarette use and NRT use were non-significant.

Table 3: Associations between hand washing and smoking status, e-cigarette use and NRT use.

Hand washing context	N (% [95% CI])	OR <sub>un</sub> (95% CI)	p	OR <sub>adj</sub> (95% CI)	p
<b>After coming home</b>					
Smoking status					
Never	1728 (95.8% [94.8%-96.6%])	-	-	-	-
Long-term ex	803 (96.3% [94.8%-97.4%])	1.25 ( 0.82- 1.94)	.31	1.20 ( 0.78- 1.89)	.41
Recent ex	67 (93.1% [84.8%-97.0%])	0.67 ( 0.31- 1.80)	.37	0.76 ( 0.34- 2.06)	.55
Current smoker	446 (95.1% [92.7%-96.7%])	0.94 ( 0.61- 1.48)	.78	1.10 ( 0.71- 1.76)	.68
E-cigarette use					
No current use	2859 (95.7% [94.9%-96.4%])	-	-	-	-
Current use	185 (96.4% [92.7%-98.2%])	1.61 ( 0.79- 3.93)	.24	1.87 ( 0.88- 4.68)	.14
NRT use					
No current use	2959 (95.7% [95.0%-96.4%])	-	-	-	-
Current use	85 (96.6% [90.5%-98.8%])	1.50 ( 0.55- 6.15)	.50	1.73 ( 0.62- 7.25)	.37
<b>Before preparing food</b>					
Smoking status					
Never	1758 (97.5% [96.6%-98.1%])	-	-	-	-
Long-term ex	808 (96.9% [95.5%-97.9%])	0.66 ( 0.41- 1.10)	.10	0.71 ( 0.43- 1.18)	.18
Recent ex	69 (95.8% [88.5%-98.6%])	0.60 ( 0.22- 2.40)	.39	0.55 ( 0.20- 2.23)	.32
Current smoker	452 (96.4% [94.3%-97.7%])	0.67 ( 0.39- 1.18)	.15	0.65 ( 0.37- 1.17)	.14
E-cigarette use					
No current use	2899 (97.1% [96.4%-97.6%])	-	-	-	-
Current use	188 (97.9% [94.8%-99.2%])	1.64 ( 0.67- 5.48)	.34	2.00 ( 0.79- 6.83)	.20
NRT use					
No current use	3002 (97.1% [96.5%-97.7%])	-	-	-	-
Current use	85 (96.6% [90.5%-98.8%])	1.11 ( 0.37- 5.59)	.87	1.40 ( 0.45- 7.21)	.62
<b>Before eating</b>					
Smoking status					
Never	1583 (87.7% [86.2%-89.2%])	-	-	-	-
Long-term ex	720 (86.3% [83.8%-88.5%])	0.87 (0.68-1.12)	.27	0.88 (0.68-1.14)	.33
Recent ex	62 (86.1% [76.3%-92.3%])	1.03 (0.55-2.13)	.94	0.94 (0.50-1.98)	.87
Current smoker	407 (86.8% [83.4%-89.5%])	0.98 (0.74-1.32)	.91	0.94 (0.70-1.28)	.71
E-cigarette use					
No current use	2604 (87.2% [85.9%-88.3%])	-	-	-	-

Current use	168 (87.5% [82.1%-91.5%])	1.20 (0.79-1.90)	.42	1.22 (0.78-1.98)	.40
NRT use					
No current use	2698 (87.3% [86.1%-88.4%])	-	-	-	-
Current use	74 (84.1% [75.0%-90.3%])	1.06 (0.59-2.09)	.86	1.10 (0.59-2.21)	.78
<b>Before touching face</b>					
Smoking status					
Never	1316 (72.9% [70.9%-74.9%])	-	-	-	-
Long-term ex	576 (69.1% [65.8%-72.1%])	0.88 (0.73-1.06)	.19	0.86 (0.71-1.04)	.11
Recent ex	42 (58.3% [46.8%-69.0%])	0.55 (0.36-0.87)	<.01	0.57 (0.37-0.91)	.02
Current smoker	334 (71.2% [67.0%-75.1%])	0.89 (0.72-1.10)	.28	0.94 (0.76-1.16)	.54
E-cigarette use					
No current use	2128 (71.2% [69.6%-72.8%])	-	-	-	-
Current use	140 (72.9% [66.2%-78.7%])	1.12 (0.83-1.53)	.47	1.30 (0.94-1.81)	.12
NRT use					
No current use	2204 (71.3% [69.7%-72.9%])	-	-	-	-
Current use	64 (72.7% [62.6%-80.9%])	1.02 (0.66-1.63)	.92	1.12 (0.71-1.82)	.63

Adjusted analyses included terms for SES, sex, age and region. In addition, smoking status was added as a covariate when estimating associations between the outcome and e-cigarette use and NRT use.

### A3: Quitting

There were 49 past-year smokers who attempted to quit in the past three months, 6 (12.2%, 5.7%-24.2%) of whom reported attempting to quit smoking due to COVID-19. The proportion who reported attempting to quit smoking due to COVID-19 was similar across advantaged (n=3, 13.0%, 4.5%-32.1%) and disadvantaged (n=3, 11.5%, 4.0%-29.0%) groups. In comparison, 20 (40.8%, 28.2%-54.8%) reported being motivated to quit smoking because of future health concerns, again with similar proportions from advantaged (n=8, 34.8%, 18.8%-55.1%) and disadvantaged (n=12, 46.2%, 28.7%-64.5%) groups. Of the 170 current e-cigarette users surveyed, 19 (11.2%, 7.3%-16.8%) attempted to quit vaping because of COVID-19. This was similar across SES: 12 (12.6%, 7.4%-20.8%) of the 95 advantaged current e-cigarette users attempted to quit vaping because of COVID-19, compared with 7 (9.3%, 4.6%-18.0%) of the 75 disadvantaged users.

### A4: Smoking inside the home

Of the 469 current smokers, 223 (47.5%, 43.1%-52.1%) reported smoking the same number of cigarettes inside their home in the past month, 109 (23.2%, 19.6%-27.3%) reported smoking fewer cigarettes inside their home, 112 (23.9%, 20.2%-27.9%) reported smoking more cigarettes inside their home and 15 (3.2%, 1.9%-5.2%) didn't know. As is shown in Table 4, odds of smoking more inside the home did not significantly differ between advantaged and disadvantaged smokers or between

those with or without children in the home. In addition, there was no significant interaction between SES and children in the home (OR = 1.79, 0.73-4.33,  $p = .20$ ).

Table 4: Associations between smoking more inside the home, SES and children in the home.

Variable	N (%[95% CI])	OR (95% CI)	p
SES			
ABC1	46 (20.4% [15.7%-26.2%])	-	-
C2DE	66 (27.0% [21.9%-32.9%])	1.42 (0.94 - 2.16)	.10
Children in home			
Children	30 (20.5% [14.8%-27.8%])	-	-
No children	82 (25.4% [20.9%-30.4%])	1.29 (0.85 - 1.98)	.23

## Discussion

In this representative sample of adults in England, current smokers and long-term ex-smokers had significantly greater odds of suspected SARS-CoV-2 infection compared with never smokers after controlling for a number of potential confounding variables. There was sufficient evidence to rule out a large association of suspected SARS-CoV-2 infection with NRT use, and a medium association with e-cigarette use. Compared with never smokers, recent ex-smokers had significantly lower odds of washing their hands before touching their face. Participants reported high engagement in other hand washing behaviours, regardless of smoking status, e-cigarette use or NRT use. 12.2% of past-year smokers who made a recent quit attempt were motivated to do so by COVID-19 and a similar proportion (11.2%) of current e-cigarette users attempted to quit vaping due to COVID-19. Most current smokers reported smoking the same number of cigarettes inside their home, while equal proportions reported smoking more or fewer. No significant differences by SES were observed across analyses.

Prior studies unexpectedly found that smoking rates among those hospitalised for COVID-19 were lower than would be expected from population estimates.<sup>5</sup> There are at least three possible explanations for the observation: smokers are less likely to become infected, smokers who are infected are less likely to develop disease severe enough to require hospitalisation, or it is an artefact of various measurement or sampling issues. In this study, we found that, compared with never smokers, current smokers and long-term ex-smokers had *greater* odds of suspected SARS-CoV-2 infection. Recent ex-smokers also had greater odds of suspected infection, but not significantly so. These findings suggest that one of the two explanations other than infection are likely to account for the lower than expected smoking rates among those hospitalised for COVID-19. However, see below for an alternative interpretation.

In terms of infection, the most parsimonious interpretation of the current findings is that current smokers and long-term ex-smokers are at increased risk of SARS-CoV-2 infection, independent of a variety of sociodemographic factors. This increased risk could be the result of behaviour or biology. A relevant behaviour is that smoking requires repeated hand-to-mouth actions although we found no equivalent association with e-cigarette use, which involves similar behaviours. In terms of biology, smoking has been associated with upregulation of ACE2, the receptor for the virus in the lung, which would provide a mechanism for increased risk. However, other studies argue the relationship between smoking and ACE2 is more complicated and may vary between epithelial or alveolar cells.<sup>28,29</sup> The alternative explanation is that smokers are more likely to report suspected infection but that this does not reflect an increased infection risk. Instead, these differences could result from smokers and long-term ex-smokers having greater susceptibility to other infections with similar symptoms to COVID-19.<sup>3</sup> Consistent with this explanation is that current compared with never smoking is associated with reduced risk of testing positive among people tested in the community.<sup>5,30</sup> In order to resolve these competing explanations for our observation that smokers had greater odds of reporting suspected infection, future studies need to assess the seroprevalence of SARS-CoV-2 infection by smoking status in large representative randomly selected samples of the population.

Beyond smoking, the current findings are also difficult to reconcile with nicotine being substantially protective of SARS-CoV-2 infection. Bayes factors indicated there was sufficient evidence to rule out large differences in suspected SARS-CoV-2 infection by NRT use and medium differences by e-cigarette use. However, the current study was not able to address the possibility that nicotine may protect against the development of more severe COVID-19 disease among people who become infected.

Protective behaviours, such as hand washing, are important in slowing the transmission of infections like SARS-CoV-2. Here we found that, regardless of smoking status or nicotine use, the majority of respondents (>85%) reported that they wash their hands most of the time after coming home, before preparing food and before eating. Fewer people reported washing their hands most of the time before touching their face, and recent ex-smokers had significantly lower odds than never smokers of reporting doing so. The primary route through which people become infected with SARS-CoV-2 is through cells in the lungs and mucus membranes of the eyes, nose and mouth.<sup>31</sup> Avoiding face touching without first washing one's hands could therefore be an important and understudied behaviour to reduce transmission.<sup>12</sup>

Public health bodies have advised smokers that quitting may reduce their risk of severe COVID-19 outcomes.<sup>15</sup> This advice is based on the observation that despite being hospitalised at lower than expected rates, current smoking is associated with greater disease severity among those who are hospitalised.<sup>5</sup> This advice has been shared through the news and in social media campaigns, such as

“#QuitForCovid” in the UK.<sup>14,32</sup> Here, we found that, while COVID-19 triggered quit attempts among some smokers in England, far more attempts were triggered by other reasons like future health concerns. It is possible that conflicting news stories, reporting the possibility that smoking is protective against COVID-19,<sup>33,34</sup> may have partially undermined attempts to encourage people to quit smoking. Some organisations have also advised people to stop vaping, arguing that e-cigarette use makes one susceptible to SARS-CoV-2 infection — despite little evidence to support this.<sup>5,16</sup> In our sample, one in ten current e-cigarette users attempted to quit vaping due to concerns about COVID-19. These attempts to quit vaping might stem from the deteriorating perceptions about the harms associated with e-cigarette use among smokers in England.<sup>35,36</sup>

There was a concern that social distancing measures might increase the amount people smoke inside their home, especially for disadvantaged individuals who may live in housing without easy access to outdoor smoking areas. Reassuringly, we found that the majority of participants reported smoking the same number of cigarettes inside the home, and equal numbers reported smoking more or fewer cigarettes. There was no significant association between smoking more inside the home and SES or children in the household. However, given our small sample and the wide confidence intervals, we may have lacked sufficient data to detect differences.

This study benefits from using a representative sample of the population in England, including questions about current e-cigarette and NRT use, and distinguishing between never, current, recent ex-smokers and long-term ex-smokers. However, there were a number of limitations. First, for some comparisons, sample sizes were small which meant there was substantial uncertainty in estimates. Second, suspected SARS-CoV-2 infection was self-reported and not confirmed with a viral or antibody test. Given that many other infections share symptoms with COVID-19, some participants may have misdiagnosed themselves. In addition, it is likely that most participants with asymptomatic cases did not report being infected. Third, individuals with the most severe cases of COVID-19 were likely censored from our analysis, because they may have died or been hospitalised. Thus, if nicotine reduces risk of severe symptoms, fewer infected nicotine users would be censored from the analysis than non-users, leading to an upward bias in the OR between these groups. However, at its peak, only 0.02% of the UK population were in hospital with COVID-19.<sup>37</sup> This means censoring likely had a negligible effect on the estimated proportion of participants with suspected SARS-CoV-2 infection, which ranged from 14.5% of never smokers to 22.2% of recent ex-smokers. Fourth, social desirability bias may have led participants to overreport their engagement in hand washing in order to be viewed favourably by their interviewer. Fifth, because reported engagement in hand washing was so high, there may have been a ceiling effect whereby we were unable to detect differences by smoking status, e-cigarette use or NRT use. Finally, only current e-cigarette users were asked whether they had

attempted to quit vaping because of concerns about COVID-19, which meant we did not capture those who had already quit vaping.

In conclusion, these results do not support the hypothesis that smoking or nicotine is protective against SARS-CoV-2 infection, with current smokers and long-term ex-smokers reporting significantly greater odds of suspected infection than never smokers and no significant difference in odds of suspected infection by use of e-cigarettes or NRT. With the exception of washing hands before touching one's face, there was high engagement in hand washing irrespective of nicotine product use. Approximately one in ten current e-cigarette users reported attempting to quit vaping due to COVID-19. As countries begin to relax social distancing measures, it is important to continue tracking how nicotine product use interacts with SARS-CoV-2 infection, and how this pandemic will influence smoking, vaping and quitting behaviours in the population.

## Competing interests

JB has received unrestricted research funding from Pfizer, who manufacture smoking cessation medications. LS has received honoraria for talks, an unrestricted research grant and travel expenses to attend meetings and workshops from Pfizer, and has acted as paid reviewer for grant awarding bodies and as a paid consultant for health care companies. RW has undertaken consultancy for companies that manufacture smoking cessation medications (Pfizer, J&J, and GSK). All authors declare no financial links with tobacco companies or e-cigarette manufacturers or their representatives.

## Funding

Cancer Research UK fund the Smoking Toolkit Study and the salaries of OP and SJ (C1417/A22962). HTB holds a funded studentship from Public Health England (558585/180737). Funders had no role in the design and conduct of the study; collection, management, analysis and interpretation of the data; preparation, review or approval of the manuscript; and decision to submit the manuscript for publication.

## References

1. Li Q, Guan X, Wu P, et al. Early Transmission Dynamics in Wuhan, China, of Novel Coronavirus-Infected Pneumonia. *N Engl J Med.* 2020;382(13):1199-1207. doi:10.1056/NEJMoa2001316
2. Denholm JT, Gordon CL, Johnson PD, et al. Hospitalised adult patients with pandemic (H1N1) 2009 influenza in Melbourne, Australia. *Med J Aust.* 2010;192(2):84-86.

doi:10.5694/j.1326-5377.2010.tb03424.x

3. Feldman C, Anderson R. Cigarette smoking and mechanisms of susceptibility to infections of the respiratory tract and other organ systems. *J Infect.* 2013;67(3):169-184. doi:10.1016/j.jinf.2013.05.004
4. Simons D, Perski O, Brown J. Covid-19: The role of smoking cessation during respiratory virus epidemics. *BMJ News.* <https://blogs.bmj.com/bmj/2020/03/20/covid-19-the-role-of-smoking-cessation-during-respiratory-virus-epidemics/>. Accessed May 12, 2020.
5. Simons D, Shahab L, Brown J, Perski O. The association of smoking status with SARS-CoV-2 infection, hospitalisation and mortality from COVID-19: A living rapid evidence review. *Qeios.* May 2020. doi:10.32388/UJR2AW.3
6. Farsalinos K, Barbouni A, Niaura R. Smoking, vaping and hospitalization for COVID-19. *Qeios.* April 2020. doi:10.32388/z69o8a.13
7. Farsalinos K, Niaura R, Le Houezec J, et al. Editorial: Nicotine and SARS-CoV-2: COVID-19 may be a disease of the nicotinic cholinergic system. *Toxicol Reports.* April 2020. doi:10.1016/j.toxrep.2020.04.012
8. Kloc M, Ghobrial RM, Kubiak JZ. How nicotine can inhibit cytokine storm in the lungs and prevent or lessen the severity of COVID-19 infection? *Immunol Lett.* 2020;224:28-29. doi:10.1016/j.imlet.2020.06.002
9. Stratton K, Kwan L, Eaton D. *Public Health Consequences of E-Cigarettes.* (Eaton DL, Kwan LY, Stratton K, eds.). National Academies of Sciences (US); 2018. doi:10.17226/24952
10. Shahab L, Goniewicz ML, Blount BC, et al. Nicotine, Carcinogen, and Toxin Exposure in Long-Term E-Cigarette and Nicotine Replacement Therapy Users. *Ann Intern Med.* 2017;166(6):390. doi:10.7326/M16-1107
11. Miyara M, Tubach F, POURCHER V, et al. Low rate of daily active tobacco smoking in patients with symptomatic COVID-19. *Qeios.* May 2020. doi:10.32388/WPP19W.4
12. West R, Michie S, Rubin GJ, Amlôt R. Applying principles of behaviour change to reduce SARS-CoV-2 transmission. *Nat Hum Behav.* May 2020:1-9. doi:10.1038/s41562-020-0887-9
13. Jusot F, Khlát M. The role of time and risk preferences in smoking inequalities: A population-based study. *Addict Behav.* 2013;38(5):2167-2173. doi:10.1016/j.addbeh.2012.12.011
14. Today is the Day. <https://www.todayistheday.co.uk/>. Accessed May 12, 2020.
15. Public Health England. Smokers at greater risk of severe respiratory disease from COVID-19 -

- GOV.UK. Gov.uk News . <https://www.gov.uk/government/news/smokers-at-greater-risk-of-severe-respiratory-disease-from-covid-19>. Published April 3, 2020. Accessed May 8, 2020.
16. Rizzo A. What You Need to Know About Smoking, Vaping and COVID-19. American Lung Association. <https://www.lung.org/blog/smoking-and-covid19>. Published March 27, 2020. Accessed May 14, 2020.
  17. UK Government. Coronavirus (COVID-19): what you need to do - GOV.UK. <https://www.gov.uk/coronavirus>. Published 2020. Accessed May 8, 2020.
  18. Windsor-Shellard B, Kaur J. *Coronavirus (COVID-19) Related Deaths by Occupation, England and Wales - Office for National Statistics*. London; 2020. <https://www.ons.gov.uk/peoplepopulationandcommunity/healthandsocialcare/causesofdeath/bulletins/coronaviruscovid19relateddeathsbyoccupationenglandandwales/deathsregistereduptoandincluding20april2020#women-and-coronavirus-related-deaths-by-occupation>. Accessed June 21, 2020.
  19. Aldridge RW, Lewer D, Katikireddi SV, et al. Black, Asian and Minority Ethnic groups in England are at increased risk of death from COVID-19: indirect standardisation of NHS mortality data. *Wellcome Open Res.* 2020;5:88. doi:10.12688/wellcomeopenres.15922.1
  20. Public Health England. *Disparities in the Risk and Outcomes from COVID-19*. London; 2020. [https://assets.publishing.service.gov.uk/government/uploads/system/uploads/attachment\\_data/file/892085/disparities\\_review.pdf](https://assets.publishing.service.gov.uk/government/uploads/system/uploads/attachment_data/file/892085/disparities_review.pdf). Accessed June 21, 2020.
  21. McNeill A, Brose LS, Calder R, Bauld L, Robson D. *Vaping in England: 2020 Evidence Update Summary*. London; 2020. <https://www.gov.uk/government/publications/vaping-in-england-evidence-update-march-2020/vaping-in-england-2020-evidence-update-summary#vaping-among-adults>. Accessed June 21, 2020.
  22. Fidler JA, Shahab L, West O, et al. “The smoking toolkit study”: a national study of smoking and smoking cessation in England. *BMC Public Health.* 2011;11(1):479. doi:10.1186/1471-2458-11-479
  23. Jackson SE, Beard E, Kujawski B, et al. Comparison of Trends in Self-reported Cigarette Consumption and Sales in England, 2011 to 2018. *JAMA Netw open.* 2019;2(8):e1910161. doi:10.1001/jamanetworkopen.2019.10161
  24. Social Grade in National Readership Survey. <http://www.nrs.co.uk/nrs-print/lifestyle-and-classification-data/social-grade/>. Accessed November 7, 2019.
  25. R Development Core Team. R: A language and environment for statistical computing. 2008.

doi:10.2307/1390807

26. Dienes Z. Using Bayes to get the most out of non-significant results. *Front Psychol.* 2014;5:781. doi:10.3389/fpsyg.2014.00781
27. Lakens D, McLatchie N, Isager PM, Scheel AM, Dienes Z. Improving Inferences about Null Effects with Bayes Factors and Equivalence Tests. *Journals Gerontol Ser B.* June 2018. doi:10.1093/geronb/gby065
28. Oakes JM, Fuchs RM, Gardner JD, Lazartigues E, Yue X. Nicotine and the renin-angiotensin system. *Am J Physiol - Regul Integr Comp Physiol.* 2018;315(5):R895-R906. doi:10.1152/ajpregu.00099.2018
29. Muus C, Luecken MD, Eraslan G, et al. Integrated analyses of single-cell atlases reveal age, gender, and smoking status associations with cell type-specific expression of mediators of SARS-CoV-2 viral entry and highlights inflammatory programs in putative target cells. *bioRxiv.* April 2020:2020.04.19.049254. doi:10.1101/2020.04.19.049254
30. Hopkinson NS, Rossi NN, Moustafa JE-SSE, et al. Current tobacco smoking and risk from COVID-19 results from a population symptom app in over 2.4 million people. *medrxiv.* 2020;44(0):2020.05.18.20105288. doi:10.1101/2020.05.18.20105288
31. Lake MA. What we know so far: COVID-19 current clinical knowledge and research. *Clin Med J R Coll Physicians London.* 2020;20(2):124-127. doi:10.7861/clinmed.2019-coron
32. Prior R. Quitting smoking could help you fight Covid-19. CNN. <https://edition.cnn.com/2020/04/03/health/coronavirus-quitting-smoking-wellness/index.html>. Published April 3, 2020. Accessed May 8, 2020.
33. Chalmers V. More evidence smokers are at less risk of Covid-19: Adults are half as likely to test positive. Daily Mail. <https://www.dailymail.co.uk/news/article-8380289/More-evidence-smokers-risk-Covid-19-Adults-half-likely-test-positive.html>. Published June. Accessed June 19, 2020.
34. Samuel H. Smokers “four times less likely” to contract Covid-19, prompting nicotine patch trials on patients. *The Telegraph.* <https://www.telegraph.co.uk/news/2020/04/23/smokers-four-times-less-likely-contract-covid-19-prompting-nicotine/>. Published April 23, 2020. Accessed June 19, 2020.
35. Tattan-Birch H, Brown J, Shahab L, Jackson SE. Association of the US Outbreak of Vaping-Associated Lung Injury With Perceived Harm of e-Cigarettes Compared With Cigarettes. *JAMA Netw Open.* 2020;3(6):e206981. doi:10.1001/jamanetworkopen.2020.6981

36. Perski O, Beard E, Brown J. Association between changes in harm perceptions and e-cigarette use among current tobacco smokers in England: A time series analysis. *BMC Med.* 2020;18(1):98. doi:10.1186/s12916-020-01565-2
37. Heneghan C, Oke J. *COVID-19: Admissions to Hospital - Update* .; 2020. <https://www.cebm.net/covid-19/covid-19-uk-hospital-admissions/>. Accessed June 26, 2020.

## Supplementary Material

Supplementary Table 1: Interaction between SES and smoking status, e-cigarette use and NRT use, when estimating suspected SARS-CoV-2 infection.

Variable	OR (95% CI)	p	OR <sub>adj</sub> (95% CI)	p
Smoking status * SES				
Long-term ex: C2DE	1.11 (0.70-1.77)	.65	1.18 (0.74-1.89)	.48
Recent ex: C2DE	0.43 (0.14-1.26)	.13	0.48 (0.15-1.43)	.19
Current smoker: C2DE	0.75 (0.45-1.23)	.25	0.73 (0.44-1.21)	.22
E-cigarette use * SES				
Current use: C2DE	0.68 (0.34-1.37)	.28	0.73 (0.36-1.48)	.39
NRT use * SES				
Current use: C2DE	0.58 (0.18-1.77)	.34	0.51 (0.16-1.59)	.25

Supplementary Table 2: Bayes factors for non-significant associations between suspected SARS-CoV-2 infection and smoking status, e-cigarette use, and NRT use.

	BF <sub>un</sub> large	BF <sub>un</sub> medium	BF <sub>un</sub> small	BF <sub>adj</sub> large	BF <sub>adj</sub> medium	BF <sub>adj</sub> small
Smoking status						
Never	-	-	-	-	-	-
Long-term ex	-	-	-	-	-	-
Recent ex	0.84	1.38	1.60	0.55	0.93	1.17
Current smoker	-	-	-	-	-	-
E-cigarette use						
No current use	-	-	-	-	-	-
Current use	0.37	0.68	0.99	0.13	0.26	0.46
NRT use						
No current use	-	-	-	-	-	-
Current use	0.20	0.38	0.57	0.26	0.48	0.68

The alternative hypothesis was modelled as a normal distribution centred on zero, with a standard deviation equal to the expected effect size. Large expected effect sizes were set as OR = 4 or 1/4, medium expected effect sizes as OR = 2 or 1/2, small expected effect sizes as OR = 1.5 or 3/2.

Supplementary Table 3: Sensitivity analysis estimating the association of suspected SARS-CoV-2 infection with e-cigarette use and NRT use among individuals who were not current smokers.

Variable	OR (95% CI)	p	OR <sub>adj</sub> (95% CI)	p
E-Cigarette use				
No current use	-	-	-	-
Current use	1.28 (0.76-2.06)	.32	0.96 (0.56-1.59)	.88
NRT use				
No current use	-	-	-	-
Current use	0.89 (0.27-2.25)	.82	0.77 (0.23-2.00)	.62

Adjusted analyses included terms for SES, sex, age and region. In addition, smoking status was added as a covariate when estimating associations between the outcome and e-cigarette use and NRT use