

Effect of different resumption strategies to flatten the potential COVID-19 outbreaks amid society reopens: a modeling study

Yong Ge^{1,2¶*}, Wenbin Zhang^{1,2¶}, Jianghao Wang¹, Mengxiao Liu^{1,2}, Zhoupeng Ren¹, Xining Zhang^{1,2}, Chenghu Zhou^{1,2*}, Zhaoxing Tian³

¹ State Key Laboratory of Resources and Environmental Information Systems, Institute of Geographic Sciences & Natural Resources Research, Chinese Academy of Sciences, Beijing 100101, China; gey@reis.ac.cn; zhangwb@reis.ac.cn; liumx@reis.ac.cn; wangjh@reis.ac.cn; renzp@reis.ac.cn; zhangxn@reis.ac.cn; zhouch@reis.ac.cn ;

² University of Chinese Academy of Sciences, Beijing, 100049, China; gey@reis.ac.cn; zhangwb@reis.ac.cn; liumx@reis.ac.cn; zhangxn@reis.ac.cn; zhouch@reis.ac.cn ;

³ Emergency Department of Peking University International Hospital, Beijing, China; tjtzx@126.com

*Corresponding author

E-mail address: gey@reis.ac.cn (Y. Ge)
zhouch@reis.ac.cn (C. Zhou)

¶ These authors contributed equally to this work

1 **Abstract**

2 The effect of the COVID-19 outbreak has led policymakers around the world to attempt
3 transmission control. However, lockdown and shutdown interventions have caused new social
4 problems and designating policy resumption for infection control when reopening society remains
5 a crucial issue. We investigated the effects of different resumption strategies on COVID-19
6 transmission using a modeling study setting. We employed a
7 susceptible-exposed-infectious-removed model to simulate COVID-19 outbreaks under five
8 reopening strategies based on China's business resumption progress. The effect of each strategy
9 was evaluated using the peak values of the epidemic curves vis-à-vis confirmed active cases and
10 cumulative cases. We found that a hierarchy-based reopen strategy performed best when current
11 epidemic prevention measures were maintained save for lockdown, reducing the peak number of
12 active cases and cumulative cases by 50% and 44%, respectively. However, the modeled effect of
13 each strategy decreased when the current intervention was lifted somewhat. Additional attention
14 should be given to regions with significant numbers of migrants, as the potential risk of COVID-19
15 outbreaks amid society reopening is intrinsically high. Business resumption strategies have the
16 potential to eliminate COVID-19 outbreaks amid society reopening without special control
17 measures. The proposed resumption strategies focused mainly on decreasing the number of
18 imported exposure cases, guaranteeing medical support for epidemic control, or decreasing active
19 cases.

20 **Introduction**

21 Cases of pneumonia with unknown origins were detected in Wuhan, China, in late December
22 2019 [1]. WHO announced the epidemic as an international public health emergency, naming it

23 COVID-19 [2-3]. Although China has used a series of non-pharmaceutical strategies (including
24 the Wuhan travel ban on January 23, 2020 [4-6]) to limit the epidemic, the high transmission
25 ability of the coronavirus has led to secondary outbreaks worldwide [7-10]. Governments and the
26 general public have expressed significant concern regarding these outbreaks and, at the end of May
27 2020, over six million confirmed cases and at last 300 thousand deaths have occurred due to this
28 disease worldwide [11].

29 Contrasting the explicit life-threatening nature of COVID-19, non-pharmaceutical measures
30 to curb the pandemic have also indirectly influenced people’s lives. China has kept its confirmed
31 active COVID-19 cases at a mild order of magnitude, becoming the best example of pandemic
32 control [12]. The rapid increase of confirmed cases in many other countries has driven their
33 governments to set various strict pandemic prevention measures [13-16]. Such policies (e.g.,
34 lockdown and shutdown) have urged hundreds of millions of people to stay at home, creating new
35 social problems (e.g., food shortage, increased unemployment, economic downturn) [17-21].
36 Given such circumstances, policymakers must cope with the tradeoff between strict epidemic
37 preventions and social operation to address COVID-19 transmission and possible future
38 outbreaks.

39 As COVID-19 infection has been eased with lockdown measures, some societies have
40 suspended operations. China has reported a year-on-year fall in economic output for the first time
41 since 1992, when the National Bureau of Statistics started releasing GDP growth numbers
42 quarterly [22]. China’s service industry reported a 5.2 percent fall in value-added due to lockdown
43 measures [23]. Despite this, the Chinese economy has recovered well with factories reopening and
44 some workers returning to cities for work after the extended break caused by COVID-19 [24]. In
45 addition, many other countries have also unveiled plans to reopen their societies, such as the US

46 suggesting its states determine their own tactics according to local situations and proposing a
47 phased strategy [25]. The UK has also unveiled a three-step plan to reopen its society [26].
48 However, these phased plans differ regarding the theme of each stage, as the US has prioritized
49 industries (such as catering, entertainment, and religion) during its first phase while the UK has
50 suggested those unable to work from home should return to the workplace during the first step.
51 These differences are caused by various national or cultural circumstances, yet both plans
52 emphasize that control measures (e.g., social distancing) should be maintained.

53 Investigating the impacts of the different business resumption strategies on COVID-19
54 transmission can provide critical information for reopening a society. In this study, we shall use
55 observed epidemic data from Beijing to study five economic strategies in terms of China's
56 business resumption: direct reopening (DR), risk-based reopening (RR), order-based reopening
57 (OR), theme-based reopening (TR) and a hierarchical reopening (HR). To model outbreaks under
58 different strategies, the susceptible-exposed-infectious-removed (SEIR) model was employed in
59 the simulation. As each resumption strategy considered no further control measures, we divided
60 infectious subjects into undetected infectious (I_u) and detected infectious (I_d) subjects, where the
61 detection rate captured the current measures. The model parameters in our study have been
62 calibrated by the observed epidemic data from Beijing with a reproductive number of 2.2, which is
63 the evaluation of reproductive number for the initial COVID-19 outbreak in Wuhan. For stronger
64 context, three reproductive numbers (1.4, 2.2, and 3.9) were compared, to represent stricter
65 measures (1.4), and the partial lifting of current measures (3.9). The effects of each strategy were
66 evaluated using the peak values of their epidemic curves vis-à-vis confirmed active cases and
67 cumulative cases. Besides, spatiotemporal heterogeneity exists in the distribution of COVID-19 in
68 worldwide [27-28]. Several studies confirm that the spread of COVID-19 is location dependent

69 [29-30]. At different stages of resuming work, population flow is different driving spatiotemporal
70 distribution of COVID-19 [31-33]. Thus, each district of Beijing is studied based on the local
71 conditions.

72 The analysis of the work-resumption patterns documented in this article focused primarily on
73 China as provides accurate information on the impacts of socioeconomic activities being resumed
74 after COVID-19 transmission. In fact, China's financial data saw a considerable rebound in March
75 2020, with business resumption nearly complete while the pandemic remains under control
76 [34-36]. This study seeks provide insight into the impacts of business resumption on COVID-19
77 transmission, producing valuable information for other regions awaiting economic recovery in a
78 post-pandemic world.

79 **Materials and methods**

80 **Resumption strategies**

81 Despite lackluster GDP numbers, economic activities have been recovering in China since
82 early March, as virus transmission has been mostly under control since then [24]. Resumption
83 progress has been seen in monthly indicators that include industrial production, retail sales, and
84 fixed-asset investment. Reviewing China's progress (Fig 1), allows resumption strategies to be
85 summarized in five categories for exploring the epidemic transmission of COVID-19 in Beijing.

86

87 **Fig 1: The progress of China's business resumption.**

88 China reopened its economy chronologically using five themes: Essential material support
89 and services for epidemic control efforts, daily necessities, capital construction, industry, and civil

90 affairs. The resumption progress of the first theme was reported on February 3, 2020, with China's
91 domestic mask producers resuming 60 percent of production capacity as the epidemic outbreak
92 was developing. The other themes reported subsequently according to outbreak circumstances. For
93 instance, civil affairs resumed when the epidemic had been somewhat curbed. The resumption
94 ratio is characterized by circle size, and the resumption extent is depicted by circle envelopes
95 (Source: see appendix).

96 **DR**

97 The most straightforward strategy where the whole working population returns to the
98 workplace together, and epidemic transmission is associated with no further disturbances.

99 **RR**

100 In this strategy, only people living in low-and-moderate-risk regions are allowed to return to
101 work. Such regions are defined as somewhere the COVID-19 incidence possesses a controlled
102 level. In contrast to DR, the ratio of exposed cases to migrants decreased.

103 **OR**

104 A more cautious approach would be to have people return to work in batches instead of all at
105 once during the initial stage. We simplified this strategy by allowing one quarter of total migrants
106 to return to work with a second quarter returning after three weeks. We did not distinguish the
107 occupations of those resuming work.

108 **TR**

109 Considering epidemic intervention, essential material support and services for epidemic
110 control usually reopen first. After that, migrants who returned to work are assumed to have a
111 reduced COVID-19 incidence because of sufficient medical material and services support. After

112 three weeks, daily necessities reopen followed by infrastructure construction, industry, and civil
113 affairs at last.

114 **HR**

115 Comprehensively, TR allows migrants return to work should be of low- and moderate-risk
116 regions, just as RR does. In this way, all migrants possess a lower COVID-19 incidence, which
117 contrasts with TR, regardless of occupation.

118 For each theme, we separated the corresponding population equally into three groups with
119 each group returning to work on subsequent weeks (OR).

120 **Modeling analysis**

121 We captured the epidemic spread amidst work resumption based on SEIR dynamics [37],
122 categorizing infections as I_u and I_d subjects. Each infection detected is assumed to be wholly
123 hospitalized, setting I_d at zero for producing further transmission:

124

$$\begin{aligned} dS &= -kbSI_u/N \\ dE &= kbSI_u/N - rE \\ dI_u &= (1-w)rE - (v+m)I_u \\ dI_d &= wrE - (v+m)I_d \\ dR &= v(I_u + I_d) \\ dead &= m(I_u + I_d) \end{aligned} \quad (1)$$

126 where R_0 is the basic reproductive number, and N represents the total population. The basic
127 reproductive number $R_0 = kb/(w + v + m)$ when there is no intervention, allowing k to
128 represent the daily contact number per capita, and b to represent the probability of getting
129 infected by per contact [38]. In our model, we replaced kb with $R_0(w + v + m)$, because the

130 intervention is characterized by w . As epidemic prevention measures are always positive to
131 epidemic control, the model is not shaped by any control measures. Those returning to work are
132 deemed asymptomatic due to related measures and are isolated from residents, so the only
133 imported exposed cases come from returned workers, and the susceptible population remained
134 limited to health workers. Therefore, epidemic evolution depended on resuming patterns of
135 socioeconomic activities with a particular transmission model.

136 We ran 500 simulations for each strategy, and imported the exposed cases from a Poisson
137 distribution with the expectation that exposed cases corresponded with the defined ratio for each
138 stage. The model was calibrated using epidemic data from Beijing (cumulative cases, recoveries,
139 and deaths) with a fixed $R_0 = 2.2$, where the daily detection rate w reflected the epidemic
140 prevention measures. For generalization, we assumed the imported exposed cases were
141 proportional to the population of returned workers with a basic ratio of 0.1%, wherein the
142 population of migrant residents evaluated the population of returned workers. The ratio for low-
143 and moderate-risk regions was set to 70 percent of the basic ratio, and the restarting of essential
144 material support and services for the epidemic control effect could further reduce COVID-19
145 incidence by 20%. The parameters of the model are given in Table 1.

146

147 **Table 1. Parameters for the model.**

	Explanation	Value	Reference
R_0	The basic reproductive number	2.2 (95% CI, 1.4 to 3.9)	Li et al. [39]
$1/r$	The mean incubation period	5.2	Lauer et al. [40] Backer et al. [41]
w	The daily infection detection rate	0.5762 (95% CI, 0.2655 to 0.8870)	Calibrated by epidemic data in Beijing

v	The daily infection recovery rate	0.0417 (95% CI, 0.0363 to 0.0471)	Calibrated by epidemic data in Beijing
m	The daily death rate	0.0009	Wang et al. [42]

148

149

150 Results

151 Overall, as the reproductive number increased, the risk of the virus spreading increased under
152 all five proposed business resumption strategies. DR represented natural outbreaks leading to most
153 severe outbreak with the corresponding transmission being set as the baseline. Among the other
154 four resumption strategies, HR was the most effective way to reduce COVID-19 incidence for
155 reproductive numbers 1.4 and 2.2 (see Fig 2). Given a sizeable reproductive number of 3.9, the
156 business resumption strategy had little effect.

157 Confirmed active cases described how many infections were hospitalized, indicating strain on
158 local medical services. The whole four strategies (RR, TR, OR and HR) performed well given a
159 low reproductive number, while their effects decreased as reproductive numbers increased.
160 Cumulative cases represented infected subjects including imported exposed cases. Given
161 consistent epidemic prevention measures, OR could not decrease the cumulative cases (Fig 2). Our
162 simulation set February 20, 2020, as the day for reopening society. With a reproductive number of
163 1.4, DR and RR controlled transmission within one month (by early April, 2020), while OR, TR
164 and HR required four months (up to late June) to control the epidemic. Given a reproductive
165 number of 2.2, each strategy required roughly nine months (up to late November of 2020) to
166 control the epidemic. A reproductive number of 3.9 saw each strategy needing about five months
167 (until early July, 2020) to control the epidemic. While lifting the control measures ($R_0 = 3.9$)

168 could lead to a shorter COVID-19 life than maintaining current control measures ($R_0 = 2.2$), the
169 height of the peak for confirmed active cases (hospitalized) under each strategy was considerably
170 higher than that of $R_0 = 2.2$, indicating the COVID-19 curve was not flattened.

171
172 **Fig 2. The simulated outbreaks amid different strategies of business resumption. (A)**
173 **Cumulative and confirmed active cases for all five scenarios with a reproduction number**
174 **(R_0) of 1.4. (B) Cumulative and confirmed active cases for all scenarios with a reproduction**
175 **number of 2.2. (C) Cumulative and confirmed active cases for all scenarios with a**
176 **reproduction number of 3.9.**

177 Four business resumption strategies led to reduced COVID-19 incidence with differing effects,
178 as shown in Fig 3. In the simulation, RR eased the epidemic by decreasing the total imported
179 exposed cases according to regional COVID-19 incidence (i.e., 70% of the DR strategy). OR eased
180 the epidemic by reducing daily infections and, consequently, the total number of imported exposed
181 cases remained identical to DR. TR functioned equivalently to OR as it also asked people to return
182 to work in batches by occupation. As this strategy called epidemic prevention occupations to
183 return first, it was assumed that regional COVID-19 incidence would decrease accordingly.
184 Therefore, TR imported 75% of exposed cases compared to DR and, to this end, HR combined the
185 above three strategies to grant it the lowest number of imported exposed cases (52.5% of DR).

186 With stricter control measures ($R_0 = 1.4$), RR reduced peak numbers of confirmed active and
187 cumulative cases to about 70% of DR. OR only reduced cumulative cases to 47% of DR. TR
188 reduced peak numbers of confirmed active and cumulative cases to about 44.9% and 83.2% of DR,
189 respectively. HR again achieved the greatest reductions of 29.9% confirmed active cases and
190 58.3% cumulative cases to DR. When the reproductive number rose to 2.2, all strategy effects

191 decreased slightly. HR still performed the most effectively, reducing peak numbers of confirmed
192 active and cumulative cases to 49.64% and 55.88% of DR, respectively. As the sizeable
193 reproductive number reached 3.9, all strategies only had slight COVID-19 prevalence reduction
194 effects with all peak numbers being comparable to DR.

195

196 **Fig 3. Strategy effects for COVID-19 prevalence reduction measured against direct**
197 **resumption.**

198 For each reproductive number, the heights presented the ratio of peak numbers between each
199 strategy and DR, with respect to confirmed active, cumulative and imported exposed cases. The
200 RR, OR, TR, and HR had 70%, 100%, 75% and 52.5%, respectively, of imported exposed cases
201 compared to DR. Given a reproductive number of 1.4, peak numbers of confirmed active and
202 cumulative cases for RR were about 70% of DR, while OR, TR, and HR reached (47%, 100%),
203 (44.9%, 83.2%) and (29.9%, 58.3%), respectively. With a reproductive number of 2.2, HR
204 performed best with (49.64%, 55.88%). With a reproductive number of 3.9, all strategies had little
205 effect as all ratios were nearly 100%, showing a failure to reduce outbreaks with smaller numbers
206 of imported exposed cases.

207 In addition to the resumption strategy, different type of workplaces associated with different
208 risk of secondary outbreaks of COVID-19 amid society reopens. In general, the crowd-intensive
209 place and the labor-intensive-place possess a higher risk. The crowd-intensive workplace refers to
210 somewhere population flow is large, such as shopping mall, catering, and office buildings. While
211 the labor-intensive workplace indicates someplace the labor force is, to some extent, isolated from
212 the external environment. The situation of Beijing is illustrated in Fig 4, the crowd-intensive
213 places are centralized in Xicheng Districts (XC) and Dongcheng District (DC), and radioactively

214 diffuse to the periphery; and the labor-intensive places are positioned in the southern Beijing,
215 especially in Shijingshan District (SJS), Fengtai District (FT), and Chaoyang District (CY). Much
216 attention should be paid to the above districts due to their intrinsic risk of the secondary outbreaks
217 of COVID-19 to reopen society.

218

219 **Fig 4. Spatial distribution of the crowd-intensive and the labor-intensive workplaces.**

220 Moreover, the effects of strategies in this study were not sensitive to population scaling.
221 Districts with larger populations are intrinsically high-risk regions as an economy reopens. It is
222 reasonable to study the impact of local migrant resident populations on different strategy effects
223 [43]. Therefore, we generated COVID-19 transmission results for three districts of Beijing, with
224 small (48,000), moderate (306,000), and large (1,579,000) migrant residents with a reproductive
225 number of 2.2 (Fig 5) [44]. Under three graduated population scales, corresponding to Yanqing
226 District (YQ), Fangshan District (FS) and Chaoyang District (CY) respectively, the cumulative
227 cases and confirmed active cases affected by hierarchy-based resumption (HR) with a reproductive
228 number of 2.2 are shown on the left of the Figure 5. The effect of HR strategy is comparable for all
229 three districts.

230

231 **Fig 5. Impact of population-scale on the effects of hierarchy-based resumption.**

232 **Discussion**

233 We examined the effect of different resumption strategies to reduce COVID-19 prevalence
234 amid societal reopening, capturing corresponding strategic influences on epidemic transmission.
235 We found that OR, RR, TR and HR strategies could weaken an epidemic in terms of confirmed

236 active cases with current epidemic prevention measures in Beijing. Using stricter measures than
237 DR strategy found HR having the strongest effects. The lowest reproductive number in this study,
238 1.4, represented stricter epidemic prevention measures than those used for model calibration,
239 while the highest reproductive number, 3.9, represented less intervention. Strategic effects
240 decreased as epidemic prevention measures were lifted.

241 Our model did not include additional epidemic prevention measures that might have
242 decreased COVID-19 transmission capability and, therefore, increase strategic effectiveness. The
243 baseline reproductive number of our model was the first estimated reproductive number provided
244 by Wuhan's epidemic data. We also modified the standard SEIR model by dividing those
245 categorized as infectious further into I_u and I_d categories, with I_d representing infections that were
246 detected and subsequently hospitalized to isolate them and eliminate their transmission ability.
247 Other parameters were calibrated using Beijing epidemic data, placing local control measures
248 within these parameters. Adjusting the reproductive number employed in the simulation
249 represented differing intervention states.

250 As one of the biggest cities in China, Beijing has 21.5 million residents, 7.6 million of which
251 are domestic migrants [44]. Such hosts of imported workers make Beijing a high-risk region in
252 terms of resuming work. For Beijing districts though, significant heterogeneity exists in
253 population distribution and local socioeconomic factors. Our results show that districts with a high
254 migrant density, such as the Chaoyang District, would witness more confirmed active cases and
255 face higher risks of secondary outbreak. However, such districts may not become high-risk regions
256 as the risk of resuming work is also based on each district population's spatial heterogeneity
257 characteristics, socioeconomic factors, prevention measures, and medical capacity. For example,
258 most residents would stay home for much of the time if a district has few open work units.

259 Although China has almost completed its business resumption, there has not yet been a
260 secondary COVID-19 outbreak. To characterize each strategic effect, we simply assumed one
261 exposed case per ten thousand returned people. Only exposed cases were imported due to strict
262 travel restrictions and control measures in place to prevent those with symptoms going to work. In
263 this way, projected infections may not be consistent with China's situation, but our simulations
264 have shown that China's business resumption model can still reduce COVID-19 prevalence with
265 current control measures. Given small to medium reproduction numbers, a business resumption
266 strategy has significant influence on COVID-19 prevalence reduction, particularly HR of
267 socioeconomic activities. When reproduction increased to a sizeable number, business resumption
268 strategy had a negligible effect. Besides, stringent measures should be taken by local governments
269 in different regions based on the local conditions of each district. Regions with crowd-intensive
270 places, such as shopping mall, catering, and office buildings, should pay special attention to
271 strengthening air circulation and cleaning and disinfection. In labor-intensive workplace, daily
272 temperature monitoring is encouraged as well as strict control the problem of excessive numbers
273 of workers in confined rooms.

274 Our study indicates that societal reopening strategies can support epidemic control without
275 further special control measures. As returning to work is an urgent need for several countries, this
276 study could provide valuable insights into business resumption plans. Our model could also be
277 calibrated according to national situations to test proposed plans based on local situation. However,
278 migrants of different occupations are commonly placed in isolated workplaces, while our
279 simulation simply placed them together. Transmission abilities may also vary with occupation,
280 changing model parameters beyond our study's limits.

281

282

283 Reference

- 284 1. World Health Organization [Internet]. Situation report-1. 2020 Jan 21 [cited 2020 May 1
285 7]. Available from: https://www.who.int/docs/default-source/coronaviruse/situation-reports/20200121-sitrep-1-2019-ncov.pdf?sfvrsn=20a99c10_4
286
- 287 2. World Health Organization [Internet]. Statement on the second meeting of the International
288 Health Regulations (2005) Emergency Committee regarding the outbreak of novel coronavirus (2019-nCoV). 2020 Jan 30 [cited 2020 April 20]. Available from: [https://www.who.int/news-room/detail/30-01-2020-statement-on-the-second-meeting-of-the-international-health-regulations-\(2005\)-emergency-committee-regarding-the-outbreak-of-novel-coronavirus-\(2019-ncov\)](https://www.who.int/news-room/detail/30-01-2020-statement-on-the-second-meeting-of-the-international-health-regulations-(2005)-emergency-committee-regarding-the-outbreak-of-novel-coronavirus-(2019-ncov))
289
290
291
292
- 293 3. World Health Organization [Internet]. Naming the coronavirus disease (COVID-19) and the
294 virus that causes it. 2020 [cited 2020 April 20]. Available from: [https://www.who.int/emergencies/diseases/novel-coronavirus-2019/technical-guidance/naming-the-coronavirus-disease-\(covid-2019\)-and-the-virus-that-causes-it](https://www.who.int/emergencies/diseases/novel-coronavirus-2019/technical-guidance/naming-the-coronavirus-disease-(covid-2019)-and-the-virus-that-causes-it)
295
296
- 297 4. Tian H, Li Y, Liu Y, Kraemer M, Chen B, Cai J, et al. Early evaluation of Wuhan City
298 travel restrictions in response to the 2019 novel coronavirus outbreak. Medrxiv: 2020.01.30.20019844 [Preprint]. 2020 Feb 11 [cited 2020 April 15]. Available from: <https://doi.org/10.1101/2020.01.30.20019844>
299
300
- 301 5. Chinazzi M, Davis J T, Ajelli M, Gioannini C, Litvinova M, Merler S, et al. The effect
302 of travel restrictions on the spread of the 2019 novel coronavirus (COVID-19) outbreak. Science. 2020; 368(6489): 395-400.
303

- 304 6. Kraemer M U G, Yang C H, Gutierrez B, Wu C H, Klein B, Pigott D M, et al. The
305 effect of human mobility and control measures on the COVID-19 epidemic in Chin
306 a. Science. 2020; 368(6490): 493-497.
- 307 7. Remuzzi A, Remuzzi G. COVID-19 and Italy: what next?. The Lancet. 2020; 395: 12
308 25-1228.
- 309 8. Salathé M, Althaus C L, Neher R, Stringhini S, Hodcroft E, Fellay J, et al. COVID-1
310 9 epidemic in Switzerland: on the importance of testing, contact tracing and isolatio
311 n. Swiss Medical Weekly. 2020; 150: w202205.
- 312 9. Haines A, de Barros E F, Berlin A, Heymann DL, Harris MJ. National UK programm
313 e of community health workers for COVID-19 response. The Lancet. 2020; 395(102
314 31): 1173-1175.
- 315 10. COVID C D C, Team R. Severe outcomes among patients with coronavirus disease 2
316 019 (COVID-19)—United States, February 12–March 16, 2020. MMWR Morb Morta
317 l Wkly Rep. 2020; 69(12), 343-346.
- 318 11. World Health Organization [Internet]. Situation report-133. 2020 [cited 2020 June 2]. A
319 vailable from: https://www.who.int/docs/default-source/coronaviruse/situation-reports/20200601-covid-19-sitrep-133.pdf?sfvrsn=9a56f2ac_4
- 320 [00601-covid-19-sitrep-133.pdf?sfvrsn=9a56f2ac_4](https://www.who.int/docs/default-source/coronaviruse/situation-reports/20200601-covid-19-sitrep-133.pdf?sfvrsn=9a56f2ac_4)
- 321 12. en.nhc.gov.cn. June 2: Daily briefing on novel coronavirus cases in China [Internet].
322 2020 June 2 [cited 2020 June 2]. Available from: [http://en.nhc.gov.cn/2020-06/02/c_80](http://en.nhc.gov.cn/2020-06/02/c_80573.htm)
323 [573.htm](http://en.nhc.gov.cn/2020-06/02/c_80573.htm)
- 324 13. International Monetary Fund. POLICY RESPONSES TO COVID-19 [Internet]. 2020 J
325 une 2 [cited 2020 June 2]. Available from: [https://www.imf.org/en/Topics/imf-and-covi](https://www.imf.org/en/Topics/imf-and-covid19/Policy-Responses-to-COVID-19)
326 [d19/Policy-Responses-to-COVID-19](https://www.imf.org/en/Topics/imf-and-covid19/Policy-Responses-to-COVID-19)

- 327 14. Hellewell J, Abbott S, Gimma A, Bosse N I, Jarvis C I, Russell T W, et al. Feasibili
328 ty of controlling COVID-19 outbreaks by isolation of cases and contacts. *Lancet Glo*
329 *b Health*. 2020; 8, e488–e496.
- 330 15. Ferretti L, Wymant C, Kendall M, Zhao L, Nurtay A, Abeler-Dörner L, et al. Quanti
331 fying SARS-CoV-2 transmission suggests pandemic control with digital contact tracin
332 g. *Science*. 2020; 368(6491).
- 333 16. Rothe C, Schunk M, Sothmann P, Bretzel G, Froeschl G, Wallrauch C, et al. Transmi
334 ssion of 2019-nCoV infection from an asymptomatic contact in Germany. *N Engl J*
335 *Med*. 2020; 382: 970-971.
- 336 17. McKibbin WJ, Fernando R. The global macroeconomic impacts of COVID-19: Seven
337 scenarios. CAMA. 2020 Feb. Available from: [http://www.sensiblepolicy.com/download](http://www.sensiblepolicy.com/download/2020/2020WorkingPapers/2020_19_CAMA_COVID19_mckibbin_fernando_0.pdf)
338 [/2020/2020WorkingPapers/2020_19_CAMA_COVID19_mckibbin_fernando_0.pdf](http://www.sensiblepolicy.com/download/2020/2020WorkingPapers/2020_19_CAMA_COVID19_mckibbin_fernando_0.pdf)
- 339 18. Atkeson A. What will be the economic impact of covid-19 in the us? rough estimate
340 s of disease scenarios. National Bureau of Economic Research. 2020 March. Availabl
341 e from: <http://acdc2007.free.fr/nber26867.pdf>
- 342 19. Torales J, O’Higgins M, Castaldelli-Maia JM, Ventriglio A. The outbreak of COVID-
343 19 coronavirus and its impact on global mental health. *International Journal of Socia*
344 *l Psychiatry*. 2020: 0020764020915212.
- 345 20. Toda AA. Susceptible-infected-recovered (SIR) dynamics of Covid-19 and economic i
346 mpact. arXiv: 2003.11221 [Preprint]. 2020 [cited 2020 April 15]. Available from: [http](http://arxiv.org/pdf/2003.11221.pdf)
347 [s://arxiv.org/pdf/2003.11221.pdf](http://arxiv.org/pdf/2003.11221.pdf)


- 348 21. Nicola M, Alsafi Z, Sohrabi C, Kerwan A, Al-Jabir A, Iosifidis C, et al. The socio-
349 economic implications of the coronavirus and COVID-19 pandemic: a review. Interna-
350 tional Journal of Surgery. 2020 Jun; 78: 185–193.
- 351 22. Li X, Zhou L. Economy rebounding after drop. China daily. [Internet]. 2020 April 18
352 [cited 2020 April 18]. Available from: [http://english.www.gov.cn/archive/statistics/2020](http://english.www.gov.cn/archive/statistics/202004/18/content_WS5e9a54aec6d0b3f0e9495c5c.html)
353 [004/18/content_WS5e9a54aec6d0b3f0e9495c5c.html](http://english.www.gov.cn/archive/statistics/202004/18/content_WS5e9a54aec6d0b3f0e9495c5c.html)
- 354 23. Xinhua. China's progress on business resumption on May 15. Xinhua. [Internet]. 2020
355 May 15 [cited 2020 May 15]. Available from: [http://english.www.gov.cn/news/topnew](http://english.www.gov.cn/news/topnews/202005/15/content_WS5ebe94aec6d0b3f0e9497c1a.html)
356 [s/202005/15/content_WS5ebe94aec6d0b3f0e9497c1a.html](http://english.www.gov.cn/news/topnews/202005/15/content_WS5ebe94aec6d0b3f0e9497c1a.html)
- 357 24. CGTN. China's financial data see huge rebound in March. CGTN. [Internet]. 2020 A
358 pril 11 [cited 2020 June 2]. Available from: [http://english.www.gov.cn/news/videos/202](http://english.www.gov.cn/news/videos/202004/11/content_WS5e91b3c4c6d0c201c2cc0b2e.html)
359 [004/11/content_WS5e91b3c4c6d0c201c2cc0b2e.html](http://english.www.gov.cn/news/videos/202004/11/content_WS5e91b3c4c6d0c201c2cc0b2e.html)
- 360 25. Guo H. Trump resumes work in "three steps": May 1 may be partially opened, each
361 state decides. Guancha. [Internet]. 2020 April 17 [cited 2020 June 2]. Available fro
362 m: https://www.guancha.cn/international/2020_04_17_547223_1.shtml
- 363 26. Wang H. Financial Watch: Britain cautiously presses economic “restart button”. Xinhua
364 a. [Internet]. 2020 May 15.[cited 2020 June 2]. Available from: [http://www.xinhuanet.](http://www.xinhuanet.com/fortune/2020-05/16/c_1125993125.htm)
365 [com/fortune/2020-05/16/c_1125993125.htm](http://www.xinhuanet.com/fortune/2020-05/16/c_1125993125.htm)
- 366 27. Ma Z. Spatiotemporal fluctuation scaling law and metapopulation modeling of the no
367 vel coronavirus (COVID-19) and SARS outbreaks. arXiv: 2003.03714 [Preprint]. 202
368 0 Mar 8 [cited 2020 June 17]. Available from: <https://arxiv.org/pdf/2003.03714>
- 369 28. Gross B, Zheng Z, Liu S, Chen X, Sela A, Li J, et al. Spatio-temporal propagation
370 of COVID-19 pandemics. medRxiv: 2020.03.23.20041517 [Preprint]. 2020 Jan 1 [cite

- 371 d 2020 June 17]. Available from: [https://www.medrxiv.org/content/medrxiv/early/2020/](https://www.medrxiv.org/content/medrxiv/early/2020/04/11/2020.03.23.20041517.full.pdf)
- 372 [04/11/2020.03.23.20041517.full.pdf](https://www.medrxiv.org/content/medrxiv/early/2020.03.23.20041517.full.pdf)
- 373 29. Huang R, Liu M, Ding Y. Spatial-temporal distribution of COVID-19 in China and it
- 374 s prediction: A data-driven modeling analysis. *The Journal of Infection in Developin*
- 375 *g Countries*. 2020; 14(03): 246-253.
- 376 30. Giuliani D, Dickson MM, Espa G, Santi F. Modelling and predicting the spatio-temp
- 377 oral spread of Coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19) in Italy. arXiv: 2003.06664v2
- 378 [Preprint]. 2020 March 23 [cited 2020 June 17]. Available from: [https://arxiv.org/pdf/](https://arxiv.org/pdf/2003.06664v2)
- 379 [2003.06664v2](https://arxiv.org/pdf/2003.06664v2)
- 380 31. Jia JS, Lu X, Yuan Y, Xu G, Jia J, Christakis NA. Population flow drives spatio-te
- 381 mporal distribution of COVID-19 in China. *Nature*. 2020 Apr 29: 1-5.
- 382 32. Kang D, Choi H, Kim JH, Choi J. Spatial epidemic dynamics of the COVID-19 out
- 383 break in China. *International Journal of Infectious Diseases*. 2020 Apr 3: 96-102.
- 384 33. Lorch L, Trouleau W, Tsirtsis S, Szanto A, Schölkopf B, Gomez-Rodriguez M. A sp
- 385 atiotemporal epidemic model to quantify the effects of contact tracing, testing, and c
- 386 ontainment. arXiv: 2004.07641 [Preprint]. 2020 April 15 [cited 2020 June 17]. Avail
- 387 able from: <https://arxiv.org/pdf/2004.07641>
- 388 34. Xinhua. China's progress on economic resumption on June 3. Xinhua. [Internet]. 2020
- 389 June 3 [cited 2020 June 3]. Available from: [http://english.www.gov.cn/news/topnews/2](http://english.www.gov.cn/news/topnews/202006/03/content_WS5ed7a4a0c6d0b3f0e949986b.html)
- 390 [02006/03/content_WS5ed7a4a0c6d0b3f0e949986b.html](http://english.www.gov.cn/news/topnews/202006/03/content_WS5ed7a4a0c6d0b3f0e949986b.html)
- 391 35. Xinhua. China's Hubei reports no new confirmed COVID-19 cases. Xinhua. [Internet].
- 392 2020 June 2 [cited 2020 June 3]. Available from: [http://english.www.gov.cn/news/top](http://english.www.gov.cn/news/topnews/202006/02/content_WS5ed5c54ec6d0b3f0e949947b.html)
- 393 [news/202006/02/content_WS5ed5c54ec6d0b3f0e949947b.html](http://english.www.gov.cn/news/topnews/202006/02/content_WS5ed5c54ec6d0b3f0e949947b.html)


- 394 36. Xinhua. Chinese mainland reports no new domestically transmitted COVID-19 cases.
395 Xinhua. [Internet]. 2020 June 4 [cited 2020 June 4]. Available from: [http://english.w](http://english.www.gov.cn/statecouncil/ministries/202006/04/content_WS5ed86121c6d0b3f0e949990a.html)
396 [w.gov.cn/statecouncil/ministries/202006/04/content_WS5ed86121c6d0b3f0e949990a.html](http://english.www.gov.cn/statecouncil/ministries/202006/04/content_WS5ed86121c6d0b3f0e949990a.html)
- 397 37. Anderson R M, May R M. (1992). *Infectious Diseases of Humans: Dynamics and C*
398 *ontrol*. Oxford: Oxford university press; 1992.
- 399 38. Lipsitch M, Cohen T, Cooper B, Robins J M, Ma S, James L, et al. Transmission d
400 ynamics and control of severe acute respiratory syndrome. *Science*. 2003; 300(5627),
401 1966-1970.
- 402 39. Li Q, Guan X, Wu P, Wang X, Zhou L, Tong Y, et al. Early transmission dynamics
403 in Wuhan, China, of novel coronavirus-infected pneumonia. *New England Journal o*
404 *f Medicine*. 2020; 382: 1199-1207.
- 405 40. Lauer SA, Grantz KH, Bi Q, Jones FK, Zheng Q, Meredith HR, et al. The incubatio
406 n period of 2019-nCoV from publicly reported confirmed cases: Estimation and appli
407 cation. *Annals of internal medicine*. 2020; 172(9): 577-582.
- 408 41. Backer JA, Klinkenberg D, Wallinga J. The incubation period of 2019-nCoV infectio
409 ns among travellers from Wuhan, China. *Eurosurveillance*. 2020; 25(5): 2000062.
- 410 42. Xia W, Sanyi T, Yong C, Xiaomei F, Yanni X, Zongben X. When will be the resum
411 ption of work in Wuhan and its surrounding areas during COVID-19 pandemic? A d
412 ata-driven network modeling analysis. *SCIENTIA SINICA Mathematica*. 2020; 50: 1–
413 10.
- 414 43. Yin Q, Wang JF, Ren ZP, Li J, Guo Y. Mapping the increased minimum mortality te
415 mperatures in the context of global climate change. *Nature Communications*. 2019; 1
416 0(1): 1-8.

- 417 44. Beijing Municipal Bureau Statistics. Beijing Statistical Yearbook in 2019. Beijing: Chi
418 na Statistics Press; 2019.







RR




OR




TR




HR

confirmed
active cases
(%)cumulative
cases
(%)imported
exposed cases
(%) R_0 R_0



(a) crowd-intensive place (per sq.km)



(b) labor-intensive place (per sq.km)

Resuming — DR — HR

