Statements issued by academic medical institutions after George Floyd's killing by police and subsequent unrest in the United States: cross-sectional study

Mathew V. Kiang (1, 2, 3), Alexander C. Tsai* (4, 5, 6)

1. Department of Psychiatry and Behavioral Sciences, Stanford University School of Medicine, Stanford, California, USA
2. Center for Population Health Sciences, Stanford University School of Medicine, Palo Alto, California, USA
3. FXB Center for Health and Human Rights, Harvard University, Boston, Massachusetts, USA
4. Center for Global Health and Mongan Institute, Massachusetts General Hospital, Boston, Massachusetts, USA
5. Harvard Medical School, Boston, Massachusetts, USA
6. Harvard Center for Population and Development Studies, Cambridge, Massachusetts, USA

* Correspondence: Alexander Tsai, MD, Center for Global Health, Massachusetts General Hospital, 125 Nashua Street, 7th floor, Boston, Massachusetts, 02114. E-mail: actsai@partners.org

Grant support: This study was funded in part by grants from the Sullivan Family Foundation (ACT) and the U.S. National Institutes of Health K99DA051534 (MVK) and U01MD014023 (ACT).

Abstract

Background: The horrific nature of George Floyd's killing by a Minneapolis Police Department officer on May 25, 2020 has sparked more than a month of nationwide protests against police brutality and in support of the Black Lives Matter movement. At critical junctures of the nation's public health such as these, academic medical institutions may exert leadership by issuing public statements to communicate institutional values.

Methods: We obtained statements issued by 56 leading U.S. medical schools relevant to George Floyd's killing and subsequent protests. We tokenized statements into words, n-grams of sizes 2 and 3, and sentences; removed non-informative stop words and words that would compromise de-identification; and stemmed the remaining words using the Porter algorithm. We followed a predefined set of rules for identifying important elements of these statements related to leadership in antiracism and public health.

Results: Nearly all named George Floyd (50 [89%]), a majority noted the role of racism (43 [77%]) and acknowledged the Black community specifically (41 [73%]). Fewer -- slightly more than half -- referenced the act resulting in Floyd's death (31 [55%]) or made explicit reference to the police (29 [52%]). Only 7 (13%) explicitly used terms denoting active support, like "antiracism" or "Black Lives Matter." Most (45 [80%]) included references to negative sequelae resulting from racism like "disparities" or "inequality". All included hopeful language.

Conclusion: Only a minority of institutions made reference to the killing of George Floyd by the police, and most failed to address this country's targeted, historically engrained, and sustained oppression of Black people through white supremacy. Thus, our study identifies significant opportunities for U.S. medical schools to exert meaningful leadership in health.

Introduction

On May 25, 2020, a Minneapolis Police Department officer killed George Floyd, a 46 year-old Black man, by kneeling on Floyd's neck for 7 minutes and 46 seconds while he was handcuffed, lying prone, and pleading for his life. The horrific nature of the killing -- occurring in the context of longstanding disparities in police violence against Black people [1] and an unsuppressed national epidemic of coronavirus disease 2019 that has disproportionately burdened Black, Latinx, and American Indian communities [2] -- has sparked more than a month of nationwide protests against police brutality and in support of the Black Lives Matter movement. At critical junctures of the nation's public health, academic medical institutions may exert leadership by issuing public statements to communicate institutional values; and enhance morale among faculty, staff, and students seeking reassurance of their value and who may be experiencing emotional distress [3]. The objective of this study was to describe statements issued by leading U.S. medical schools following George Floyd's killing and subsequent protests.

Methods

We obtained statements issued by 56 leading U.S. medical schools relevant to George Floyd's killing and subsequent protests (**Supplement**; see also the publicly available Github repository corresponding to this analysis: https://github.com/mkiang/statement_analysis). After pre-processing the statements, we identified important elements each statement should contain based on defined criteria (**Supplement Table**): (1) use of victims' names (e.g., "George Floyd"); (2) reference to Black people; (3) reference to the police; (4) specifies the act resulting in Floyd's death; (5) explicitly naming racism (i.e., not "racial prejudice"); (6) explicitly using the term "antiracism"; (7) reference to negative sequelae resulting from racism (e.g., "inequity"); and (8) use of hopeful language (e.g., "community", "inclusion").

Results

The median statement was issued on June 1, 2020 (interquartile range [IQR], May 31-June 2; range, May 29-June 2) and was 464 words in length (IQR, 371-560). Only three statements (5%) contained all of the defined elements (**Figure**). Nearly all named George Floyd (50 [89%]); fewer named either Breonna Taylor (23 [41%]) or Ahmaud Arbery (26 [46%]). A majority of statements noted the role of racism (43 [77%]) and acknowledged the Black community specifically (41 [73%]). Fewer -- slightly more than half -- referenced the act resulting in Floyd's death (31 [55%]) or made explicit reference to the police (29 [52%]). Only 7 (13%) explicitly used terms denoting active support, like "antiracism" or "Black Lives Matter." Most (45 [80%]) included references to negative sequelae resulting from racism like "disparities" or "inequality". All included hopeful language.

Discussion

In this cross-sectional study of public statements issued by leading U.S. medical schools following the killing of George Floyd and subsequent protests, we found that only a minority of institutions made reference to the killing of George Floyd by the police, and most failed to address this country's targeted, historically engrained, and sustained oppression of Black people through white supremacy. Thus, our study identifies significant opportunities for U.S. medical schools to exert meaningful leadership in health.

Two limitations likely bias our "quality assessments" toward the null. First, our analysis is limited to medical schools labeled as leading institutions according to ranking systems that are widely

used but largely bereft of meaning [4,5]. Second, most academic medical institutions have failed to elevate Black people to the highest levels of institutional leadership [6].

Despite these limitations, our findings illustrate the need for leading academic medical institutions to lead amidst a chronic public health crisis disproportionately affecting Black people [1,3] layered upon an acute public health crisis disproportionately affecting Black, Latinx, and American Indian communities [2]. Possibilities include: articulating a clear stance on racism to affirm Black students, faculty, and staff and to motivate all students, faculty, and staff [3]; investing in financially costly initiatives to increase the proportion of community college and first-generation students, to increase the proportion of Black faculty members in leadership, to narrow Black/white disparities in faculty pay and tenure [4], and to ensure a living wage for full-time and subcontracted staff; training students in ways that do not contribute to the persistence of racism as a fundamental cause of Black/white health disparities; reviewing campus police practices to address racial profiling of Black students and faculty (however few there may be) and staff [1,3]; or committing to financially costless initiatives such as the renovation of educational spaces that venerate the white men who have historically dominated the field.

Figure. Presence of important elements of statements issued after George Floyd's killing by police and subsequent unrest in the United States (y-axis), by medical school (x-axis) (n=56).

Supplement

Kiang MV, Tsai AC. Statements issued by academic medical institutions after George Floyd's killing by police and subsequent unrest in the United States: cross-sectional study.

List of medical schools included in our analyses

We obtained statements relevant to George Floyd's killing by police, and/or the subsequent protests, issued by U.S. medical schools identified in the top 50 ranking by either *U.S. News and World Report* list of "2021 Best Medical Schools: Research" or the 2018 total dollar amount of research awards from the U.S. National Institutes of Health. Due to ties in the rankings, the total sample includes statements from 56 medical schools:

Institution	NIH Funding Rank (2018)	USN&WR Rank (2021)
Albert Einstein College of Medicine	32	40
Baylor College of Medicine	20	22
Boston University	45	29
Brown University (Alpert)	66	38
Case Western Reserve University	31	24
Cleveland Clinic Lerner COM CWRU	50	NA
Columbia Univesity	8	6
Cornell University (Weill)	33	11
Dartmouth College (Geisel)	57	50
Duke University	9	12
Emory University	18	24
Georgetown University	78	44
Harvard University	26	1
Icahn School of Medicine at Mount Sinai	13	20
Indiana University Indianapolis	36	47
Johns Hopkins Uniersity	2	2
Mayo Clinic School of Medicine	22	6
Medical College of Wisconsin	49	NA
Medical University of South Carolina	43	58
New York University (Grossman)	19	4
Northwestern University (Feinberg)	16	18
Ohio State University	48	34
Oregon Health and Science University	25	28

Institution	NIH Funding Rank (2018)	USN&WR Rank (2021)
Stanford University	3	4
Univeristy of Michigan Ann Arbor	10	15
University of Alabama Birmingham	21	31
University of California Davis	29	40
University of California Irvine	56	44
University of California Los Angeles (Geffen)	14	6
University of California San Diego	12	21
University of California San Francisco	1	6
University of Chicago (Pritzker)	38	17
University of Cincinnati	62	44
University of Colorado	23	31
University of Florida	46	40
University of Iowa (Carver)	41	34
University of Kentucky	47	68
University of Maryland	35	34
University of Massachusetts Worcester	34	47
University of Miami (Miller)	40	50
University of Minnesota	30	40
University of North Carolina Chapel Hill	17	23
University of Pennsylvania (Perelman)	5	3
University of Pittsburgh	6	14
University of Rochester	39	34
University of South Florida	85	47
University of Southern California (Keck)	27	31
University of Texas Southwestern Medical Center	28	26
University of Utah	37	38
University of Virginia	42	29
University of Washington	15	13
University of Wisconsin Madison	24	27
Vanderbilt University	11	18
Wake Forest University Health Sciences	44	52
Washington University in St. Louis	4	6
Yale University	7	15

Additional information regarding methods

Given the focus of our analysis on academic medicine, we specifically identified statements made by the medical school dean. If multiple statements were issued, we analyzed the first statement issued. At institutions where a statement by the medical school dean could not be obtained, we substituted the statement made by someone at the institution's next highest level of leadership (e.g., President or Chancellor).

We trimmed the statements to include only the full text of the statements, i.e., excluding the salutation (e.g., “Dear community”), signature (e.g., “Chief Diversity and Inclusion Officer”), and any specific resources identified in the statement (e.g., “*How to be an Antiracist*, by Ibram X. Kendi”). For one institution, the statement about George Floyd was embedded in a weekly newsletter and clearly identified as a discrete block of text. Following standard practice, we tokenized statements into words, n-grams of sizes 2 and 3, and sentences. We then removed non-informative stop words and words that would compromise de-identification (e.g., “Harvard” or “Stanford”). We stemmed the remaining words using the Porter algorithm. Stemming reduces words to their roots such as “communi” representing “community” and “communities”. Frequency tabulations of words and their stems, bigrams, and trigrams, both by institution and across all institutions, are available in the publicly available Github repository corresponding to this analysis (https://github.com/mkiang/statement_analysis).

After the preprocessing described above, we followed a predefined set of rules (**Table**) for identifying important elements of these statements related to leadership in antiracism and public health. These rules are described in both English and code in the Github repository (https://github.com/mkiang/statement_analysis).

Table. Criteria for identifying important elements of statements issued by leading medical schools after George Floyd's killing and subsequent unrest in the United States

Criterion	Rule
(1) Use of victims' names	Statement contains at least one of the following at least once: - "George Floyd*" (e.g., "George Floyd's death") - "Breonna Taylor*" - "Ahmaud Arbery*"
(2) Reference to Black people	Statement contains "Black" or "African*American" at least once.
(3) Reference to the police	Statement contains any of the following at least once: - "police*" (i.e., not "policing" or "policy") - "police officer*" - "law enforcement"
(4) Specifies the act resulting in Floyd's death	Statement contains either: - "murder*", "kill*", "suffocat*" at least once; or - "knee*", or "knelt" and "neck", in the same sentence.
(5) Explicitly naming racism	Statement contains any of the following at least once: - "racist*" (i.e., not "racial prejudice") - "racism*" - "white suprem*" (e.g., white supremacy)
(6) Explicitly using a term connoting active support	Statement contains, at least once, "anti[-]racis*" (e.g., anti-racism, antiracists); "Black Lives Matter" (as a trigram) or "BlackLivesMatter" (word) or "BLM" (word); or "Black", "Lives", and "Matter" in the same sentence
(7) Reference to negative sequelae resulting from racism	Statement contains "inequal*", "injustice", "inequit*", or "disparit*" at least once.
(8) Use of hopeful language	Statement contains "communit*", "inclus*", "justice*", "divers*", "solidarity", "equal*", equit*", or "support*" at least once.

References

1. Edwards F, Lee H, Esposito M. Risk of being killed by police use of force in the United States by age, race-ethnicity, and sex. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A. 2019;116(34):16793-8.
2. Poteat T, Millett G, Nelson LE, Beyrer C. Understanding COVID-19 risks and vulnerabilities among black communities in America: the lethal force of syndemics. Ann Epidemiol. 2020;47:1-3.
3. Bor J, Venkataramani AS, Williams DR, Tsai AC. Police killings and their spillover effects on the mental health of black Americans: population-based, quasi-experimental study. Lancet. 2018;392(10144):302-10.
4. Ginther DK, Schaffer WT, Schnell J, Masimore B, Liu F, Haak LL, et al. Race, ethnicity, and NIH research awards. Science. 2011;333(6045):1015-9.
5. Mullan F, Chen C, Petterson S, Kolsky G, Spagnola M. The social mission of medical education: ranking the schools. Ann Intern Med. 2010;152(12):804-11.
6. Pololi L, Cooper LA, Carr P. Race, disadvantage and faculty experiences in academic medicine. J Gen Intern Med. 2010;25(12):1363-9.


Use of victims' names
"George Floyd"
"Breonna Taylor"
"Ahmaud Arbery"
Reference to Black people
"Black"
"African-American"
Reference to the police
"Police"
"Police officer"
"Law enforcement"
Specifies the act
"Murder"
"Killing"
"Suffocating"
"Kneeling on neck"
Explicitly names racism
"Racist"
"Racism"
"White supremacy"
Active support
"Antiracism"
"Black Lives Matter"
Negative sequelae
"Inequality"
"Injustice"
"Inequity"
"Disparity"
Hopeful language
"Community"
"Inclusion"
"Justice"
"Diversity"
"Solidarity"
"Equality"
"Equity"
"Support"
Institution