medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2020.06.22.20132910; this version posted June 23, 2020. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. It is made available under a CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 International license .

Insufficient social distancing may be related to a future COVID-19 outbreak in Ijuí-Brazil: Predictions of further social interventions.

Thiago Gomes Heck[1,2,3*], Matias Nunes Frizzo[1,2,3], Carlos Henrique Ramires François[3], Mirna Stela Ludwig[1,2,3], Marilia Arndt Mesenburg[4], Giovano Pereira Buratti[2], Lígia Beatriz Bento Franz[2,5], Evelise Moraes Berlezi[2,3,5]

[1]Research Group in Physiology, Department of Life Sciences, Regional University of Northwestern Rio Grande do Sul State (UNIJUÍ), Ijuí, RS, Brazil.
[2]Postgraduate Program in Integral Attention to Health (PPGAIS-UNIJUÍ/UNICRUZ), Ijuí, RS, Brazil.
[3]Medicine Course, Department of Life Sciences, Regional University of Northwestern Rio Grande do Sul State (UNIJUÍ), Ijuí, RS, Brazil.
[4]Federal University of Pelotas (UFPel) and Federal University of Health Sciences of Porto Alegre (UFCSPA).
[5] Research Group in Human Aging, Department of Life Sciences, Regional University of Northwestern Rio Grande do Sul State (UNIJUÍ), Ijuí, RS, Brazil.

***Corresponding author**
Thiago Gomes Heck, thiago.heck@unijui.edu.br
Research Group in Physiology, Department of Life Sciences
Regional University of Northwestern Rio Grande do Sul State (UNIJUI)
Rua do Comércio, 3000, Bairro Universitário, Ijuí, RS 98700-000, Brazil

Abstract

The coronavirus disease that initiates in 2019 (COVID-19) has proven to be highly contagious since it became pandemic quickly and nowadays presents higher transmission rates worldwide, including small Brazilian cities, as Ijuí. Located in the northwestern of the State of Rio Grande do Sul (RS) with 83,475 inhabitants, Ijuí was selected to receive a population-based survey divided into four steps separated by 15 days each that involved 1,750 subjects. Subjects were tested for the presence of antibodies against coronavirus (SARS CoV-2) and answered questions about social distance adherence, daily routine, comorbidities, and sociodemographic characteristics. In parallel, the local government registered the official COVID-19 cases in Ijuí. In this study, we demonstrated the levels of social distancing adherence and the beginning of COVID-19 community transmission in Ijuí and showed some predictions for cases, hospitalization, and deaths. We concluded that the insufficient social distancing registered in the population-based study might be related to the rapid increase of COVID-19 cases in Ijuí. Our study predicts a closer outbreak of community infection of COVID-19, which could be avoided or attenuated if the levels of the social distancing in the population increase in the next weeks.

NOTE: This preprint reports new research that has not been certified by peer review and should not be used to guide clinical practice.
Keywords: COVID19; social distancing; coronavirus;

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2020.06.22.20132910; this version posted June 23, 2020. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. It is made available under a CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 International license .

Introduction

At the end of 2019, some cases of pneumonia of 'unknown cause' were noticed by the Wuhan Municipal Health Commission (China) [1]. Collected bronchoalveolar-lavage samples were consistent with an RNA virus of the Coronaviridae family [2]. Thereafter, WHO named the novel infectious pneumonia as 'coronavirus disease 2019' or COVID-19 [3]. COVID-19 has proven to be highly contagious since it reached ~300 cases in China in January 2020 [4]. Thus, it became an epidemic case, with more than 44,000 infected and more than 1,000 deaths in China, with 441 cases outside of China [5].

The first case of COVID-19 in Brazil was reported on February 27 in the city of São Paulo, and by May 29, there are over 440,000 reported cases and 26,000 deaths. Based on published events, eight of the 27 Federation Units present cumulative mortality rates above 10 per 100,000 inhabitants: four in the North, two in the Northeast, and two in the Southeast Region (Rio de Janeiro and São Paulo) [6]. In the Rio Grande do Sul state (RS), the southernmost State in Brazil (population 11.3 million), the first case of COVID-19 was diagnosed on February 29, 2020. Also, by April 30, 1,466 confirmed 66 cases (129 per 1,000,000 inhabitants), and 50 deaths had been reported [6].

In RS has been conducted a population-based survey in nine sentinel cities (EPICOVID-RS), starting on April 11-13 [7]. One of these cities was Ijuí, in the Northwestern region of RS, with 83,475 inhabitants. In this study, 1,750 subjects were submitted to test the antibodies against SARS CoV-2 in population-based samples, divided into four steps separated by 15 days each. It was also applied to some questions in a survey related to the social distancing behavior of the subjects [7]. In parallel, the local government registered the official COVID-19 cases in Ijuí (for details, please see the official government website) [8].

Since there are no pharmaceutical treatments available, interventions have focused on quarantine and social distancing the goal of these strategies is to slow the spread of infection and reduce the intensity of the epidemic ("flatten the curve") [9]. The Wuhan outbreak [10] indicates that critical care capacities can be exceeded many times if distancing measures are not implemented quickly or strongly enough. An effective social distancing strategy may reduce the risk of an overwhelming health system [9]. Thus, considering the local data of the social distancing behavior, in this study, we show that low levels of social distancing did not avoid the beginning of an outbreak of COVID-19 community infection in Ijuí.

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2020.06.22.20132910; this version posted June 23, 2020. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. It is made available under a CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 International license .

Materials and Methods

Subject and ethics

Ijuí is a Brazilian city located in the northwestern of the RS (Latitude 28°23'16 to the south and a longitude 53°54'53" west). With 83,475 inhabitants [11], it is the most populous city in the Northwest of the State. It is considered a city of students (University) and a center of hospital resources, the largest and most important population center in the region for approximately 100 thousand people. Official data about COVID-19 cases is daily updated on the government website and plotted as cumulative cases line graph by date [8] [12]. Since the State of Rio Grande do Sul is divided by the National Institute of Geography and Statistics in eight intermediary regions [7], and the fact that the main city in each region was selected for the study EPICOVID19-RS [7], Ijuí was one of the selected cities for this study. Ethical approval was obtained from the Brazilian National Ethics Committee (process number 30415520.2.0000.5313), with written informed consent from all participants.

Population-based survey protocol

Multistage sampling was used to select census tracts with probability proportionate to size in the city, and ten households at random in each tract based on census listings updated in 2019. All household members were listed at the beginning of the visit, and one individual was randomly selected through an app used for data collection. The survey waves took place on April 11-13 and 25-27, and May 9-11 and 23-25 (We used the Sunday date of each sample collection in the data description). If there were any refusals at the household level, volunteers were instructed to select the next household on the list until the ten families visited. In the 2nd wave, field workers went to the house visited in the first wave, and then selected the tenth household to its right. The same procedure was performed in the $^{\text{third and fourth}}$ waves. In case of refusal, the next home to the right side was selected. In the case of acceptance at the household level, but the index individual refused to provide a sample, a second member was selected. If this person also refused, the field workers moved on to the next household on the list. (for more details, see reference [7]). Prevalence of antibodies was assessed with a rapid test using finger-prick blood samples - the WONDFO SARS-CoV-2 Antibody Test (Wondfo Biotech Co., Guangzhou, China). The sensitivity and specificity of this rapid test were previously validated (please verify the references [7] and [13]).

Participants answered short questionnaires, including sociodemographic information (sex, age, diseases, schooling, and skin color), COVID-19-related symptoms, use of health services, compliance with

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2020.06.22.20132910; this version posted June 23, 2020. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. It is made available under a CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 International license .

social distancing measures, and use of masks. Field workers used tablets or smartphones to record the full interviews, register all answers, and photograph the test results. All positive or inconclusive tests were read by a second observer, as well as 20% of the negative tests. If the index subject in a household had a positive result, all other family members were invited to be tested. In this study, we described the characteristics of the sample regarding sex, age, education, ethnicity, and comorbidities (mean and upper and lower limit of confidence interval). The focus of our analyses was on declared social distancing behavior and daily behavior characteristics, as well as the association between comorbidities and social distancing behavior using logistic regression and describing as odds ratio and upper and lower limit of the confidence interval. The level of significance was set to $P < 0.05$.

Interviewers were tested and found to be negative for the virus and were provided with personal protection equipment that was discarded after visiting each home. Positive cases were reported to the statewide COVID-19 surveillance system. The study protocol was published before the first wave of data collection. Data will become publicly available upon request from the corresponding author 30 days after publication.

Prediction of COVID-19 cases, hospitalizations, and deaths

Estimative of new cases were first performed using real data about COVID-19 from March 22 to May 17 (nine points). Predictions were calculated using equations generated as follows: Exponential, defined as proportional rate growth using the expression $y = Y0 – (V0/K).(1 - e^{-kx})$. Alternatively, Linear, defined as a straight line using the expression $y = mx + c$. After curve fitting, correlations were predicted (extrapolated) by using the online MyCurveFit software (https://mycurvefit.com/) [14], and results were plotted using GraphPad 8.0.

To estimate the number of exposed subjects, COVID-19 cases, hospitalization rates, and deaths after the 100th case of COVID-19 in Ijuí, we used the method SEIR (Susceptible → Exposed → Infected → Recovered) model proposed by Liu and colleagues [15]. The full description of the equation was provided in the manuscript of WU and Colleagues [16]. We used the following parameters to predictions: Population 83,200; an initial number of cases 97 (real data from June 21); $R_0 = 2.2$ as the basic reproductive number that represents the number of secondary infections that each subject produce, based on the lowest transmission rate documented [17] [18]; incubation of virus time as 5.22 days; time that patient remains transmitting virus infection as 2.3 days; the time between incubation and death of 32 days; Hospitalization

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2020.06.22.20132910; this version posted June 23, 2020. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. It is made available under a CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 International license .

days to the recovery of 28.6 days (severe) and 11.1 days (mild); hospitalization rate as 13% and mortality at 2.3%, based on RS governmental data; time of hospitalization as five days. We used these parameters to calculate the number exposed subjects, COVID-19 cases, hospitalization rates and deaths after the 100th case of COVID-19 in Ijuí in three different situations: 1) Maintaining the transmission rate of community infection by COVID-19; 2) reducing by 50% (R_0 = 1.1) the transmission rate of COVID-19 exactly 30 days after the 100th case and 3) reducing by 50% (R_0 = 1.1) the transmission rate of COVID-19 exactly 15 days after the 100th case. These estimations were calculated using a free website of University of Sao Paulo for epidemic profile calculation [19].

Results

In each step of the population-based survey, it was inquired and tested to the presence of SarsCov-2 antibodies more than 400 people, totalizing 1750 subjects included in the study. People were inquired respectively in the first, second, third, and fourth weekend of the survey with a 15 days interval between the collections date. Characteristics of the subjects are described in Table 1 and comorbidities in Table 2.

Table 1. Sociodemographic characteristics of Ijuí subjects by date of population-based survey.

	Survey 1 (April 11-13)	**Survey 2 (April 25-27)**	**Survey 3 (May 9-11)**	**Survey 4 (May 23-25)**
Sex	**N = 420 (%)**	**N = 426 (%)**	**N = 454 (%)**	**N = 450 (%)**
Men	167 (39.8)	165 (38.7)	174 (38.3)	198 (44.0)
Women	253 (60.2)	261 (61.3)	280 (61.7)	252 (56.0)
Age				
20-29	73 (17.4)	53 (12.4)	61 (13.4)	66 (14.7)
30-39	65 (15.5)	66 (15.5)	61 (31.4)	83 (18.4)
40-49	69 (16.4)	67 (15.7)	81 (17.8)	77 (17.1)
50-59	61 (14.5)	88 (20.7)	88 (19.4)	80 (17.8)
60-69	80 (19.1)	75 (17.6)	73 (16.1)	79 (17.6)
70-79	46 (11.0)	60 (14.1)	64 (14.1)	47 (10.4)
80+	26 (6.2)	17 (4.0)	26 (5.7)	18 (4.0)
Educational attainment				
None/primary school	131 (31.2)	7 (1.6)	13 (2.9)	6 (1.3)
Lower secondary school (complete and incomplete)	66 (15.7)	149 (35.0)	152 (33.5)	145 (32.2)
Upper secondary school (complete and incomplete)	144 (34.3)	138 (32.4)	128 (28.2)	118 (26.2)
University (complete and incomplete)	79 (18.8)	132 (31.0)	161 (35.5)	181 (40.2)
Ethnicity				
White	347 (82.8)	322 (75.8)	360 (80.4)	360 (80.4)
Others (indigenous. black. asian)	72 (17.2)	103 (24.2)	88 (19.6)	88 (19.6)

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2020.06.22.20132910; this version posted June 23, 2020. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. It is made available under a CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 International license .

Table 2. Comorbidities characteristics of Ijuí subjects by date of population-based survey.

	Survey 1 (April 11-13)	Survey 2 (April 25-27)	Survey 3 (May 9-11)	Survey 4 (May 23-25)
Hypertension	**N = 420 (%)**	**N = 426 (%)**	**N = 454 (%)**	**N = 450 (%)**
No	275 (65.5)	273 (64.1)	277 (61.0)	303 (67.3)
Yes	145 (34.5)	153 (35.9)	177 (39.0)	147 (32.7)
Diabetes				
No	366 (87.1)	373 (87.6)	394 (86.8)	390 (86.7)
Yes	54 (12.9)	53 (12.4)	60 (13.2)	60 (13.3)
Asthma				
No	376 (89.5)	381 (89.4)	400 (88.1)	401 (89.1)
Yes	44 (10.5)	45 (10.6)	54 (11.9)	49 (10.9)
Cancer				
No	395 (94.0)	408 (95.8)	436 (96.0)	431 (95.8)
Yes	25 (6.0)	18 (4.2)	18 (4.0)	19 (4.2)
Kidney disease				
No	406 (96.7)	420 (98.6)	436 (96.0)	439 (97.6)
Yes	14 (3.3)	6 (1.4)	18 (4.0)	11 (2.4)
Heart disease				
No	381 (90.7)	390 (91.6)	400 (88.1)	421 (93.6)
Yes	39 (9.3)	36 (8.5)	54 (11.9)	29 (6.4)
Other diseases				
No	369 (87.9)	380 (89.2)	401 (88.3)	383 (85.1)
Yes	51 (12.1)	46 (10.8)	53 (11.7)	67 (14.9)

First, the results of the social distancing survey revealed that the Ijuí community stared (April 12) with a majority of the subjects with high adherence to social distancing recommendations (figure 1A). However, it is possible to observe a decrease in the proportion of subjects that declared adherent to social distancing (high and very high adherence) in parallel to the increase of the proportion that partially adheres to social distancing (figure 1A). Similarly, the proportion of the subjects that stayed at home all-time decreasing, whereas there was an increase in the people that get out their home daily (Figure 1 B). Thus, it is possible to observe that there was a decrease in the social distancing just 15 days after the first survey, which remains to decrease until the last survey (May 26) (green line in figure 1A and Figure 1B). In contrast, the people that get out daily increased in parallel (red lines in figure 1A and 1B). Second, it is possible to observe that until May 17, there was a slight increase in the number of cases registered in Ijuí (Figure 1C). However, on May 24, it is possible to observe a 1.85-fold increase in total COVID-19 cases, which remains increasing.

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2020.06.22.20132910; this version posted June 23, 2020. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. It is made available under a CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 International license .



Figure 1. Social distancing behavior, daily routine, and the number of cases of COVID-19 in Ijuí-Brazil. A) Social distancing behavior indicated in the populational-based survey study. B) Daily routine indicated in the populational-based survey study C) Registered COVID-19 cases in Ijuí according to government data.

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2020.06.22.20132910; this version posted June 23, 2020. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. It is made available under a CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 International license .

We plot the data about the proportion of adherence to social distancing (High plus very high) and proportion of daily routine behavior (stay at home all-time plus out only for essential needs) and the number of total COVID-19 cases in Ijuí (Figure 2A). It is possible to observe, the decrease in the social distancing behavior precedes the increase of the number of COVID-19 cases in Ijuí. Also, we analyzed two scenarios for estimative of the number of cases in Ijuí after the May 17 (Figure 2B): A sustained controlled community infection represented by a linear trend (green line in Figure 2B, estimated by the equation y = y = 1.416667x - 1.416667, $R^2 = 0.848$, P = 0.0004) and an uncontrolled outbreak community infection in Ijuí, represented by an exponential progression in the number of cases (blue line in Figure 3B, y = 1.351649 - (-0.2424262/-0.3155474).(1 - $^{e^{\wedge(+0.3155474x)}}$, $R^2 = 0.955$, P = 0.00019). Worryingly, the number of real cases registered (red line Figure 2B) was higher than predicted by linear and exponential prediction, since 112 COVID-19 cases registered in Ijuí until June 21, while the estimative predicted 20 and 64 COVID-19 cases by linear and exponential equations, respectively. Thus, since the exponential estimative (Blue line) showed that Ijuí could reach 100 and 1,000 cases on July 5 and August 23, respectively, the anticipation of this scenario, marked by the real registered COVID-19 cases, may significate a risk to overwhelm the public health system in Ijuí.

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2020.06.22.20132910; this version posted June 23, 2020. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. It is made available under a CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 International license .



Figure 2. Visual interaction between the decrease in social distancing adherence and an increase in the number of cases of COVID-19 in Ijuí-Brazil and the difference between real cases and predictions. A) The social distancing marked by the percentual of subjects that declared staying at home all-time (green line) in each stage of population-based study all and the number of cases of COVID-19 registered by the local government. B) Difference between real cases and prediction of COVID-19 using linear regression (green line) and exponential equation (Blue line).

Considering the real scenario about the approximately 100th case of COVID-19 in Ijuí, we analyzed the predictions for the next months after June 21. It is possible to estimate a great effect on the number of subjects exposed to coronavirus in the next two months, with higher levels of infected subjects,

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2020.06.22.20132910; this version posted June 23, 2020. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. It is made available under a CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 International license .

hospitalization, and deaths, if the transmission rate is not modified (figure 3A). In this worst scenario, the overwhelm of public and private health care systems is evident since it reached almost 5,000 hospitalizations cases and approximately 1,500 deaths (figure 3A) in 120 days. In contrast, if the population reduces 50% the transmission rate of COVID-19 precisely 30 days after the 100th case, it is expected a considerable decrease in the impact of the health care system (more than 50%) (figure 3B). These predictions can be observed since the peak of hospitalization was less than 2,000 subjects demanding attending and reduced the mortality to less than 700 cases in 120 days.

Also, for further protection, we analyzed the impact if the population reduces 50% the transmission rate of COVID-19 precisely 15 days after the 100th case (figure 3C). Thus, the exposed subjects' peak was ~800, reducing the infected to 372 cases in 60 days. Consequently, the peak of hospitalization was close to three months after the 100th case (86th day) with 623 subjects, resulting in 276 deaths in 120 days and a total of 420 cases. In all scenarios, the number of subjects recovered with success was higher. However, the proportion of the whole population recovered decreased from ~82% in the worst prediction to 20% in the best one. In detail, in the last prediction, considering a 50% reduction in the transmission rates if applied 15 days after the 100th case, approximately 76% of the Ijuí population remains without infection (~63,000 subjects), and approximately 22% recovered (~18,000 subjects). The death proportion reached approximately 0.5% of the Ijuí population.

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2020.06.22.20132910; this version posted June 23, 2020. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. It is made available under a CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 International license .



Figure 3. Predictions for COVID-19 cases, hospitalizations, and death in Ijuí. A) Predictions without any new intervention after the 100th case. B) Predictions after implementing strategies that reduce 50% in the transmission rate 30 days after the 100th case. C) Predictions after implementing strategies that reduce 50% in the transmission rate 15 days after the 100th case. All predictions were performed in the epidemic simulator free app from São Paulo University. Available on https://ciis.fmrp.usp.br/covid19/epcalc/public/index.html

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2020.06.22.20132910; this version posted June 23, 2020. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. It is made available under a CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 International license .

Discussion

Our study described adherence to social distancing, predictions, and the progression of COVID-19 cases in Ijuí-Brazil. To the best of our knowledge, this is the first study that described the social distancing behavior of the community based on a population-survey procedure that preceded the COVID-19 outbreak. Also, it is the first report regarding estimative of the progression of the disease in this city.

Nowadays, Brazil reached 1million COVID-19 cases [20, 21]. The Rio Grande do Sul State provide one of the complete data about COVID-19 in Brazil, which informed until now 18.587 COVID-19 cases, which means an incidence in State ~163 cases/100,000 inhabitants, with 13% of hospitalization and 2,3% of death. If we consider the same rates of hospitalization and deaths, and the predictions listed in the results, Ijuí could reach approximately 5,000 hospitalized people and 1,400 deaths this year. Thus, it is expected that this rapid increase in COVID-19 cases overwhelms the health care system since there are three Hospitals with the capacity to attend patients in intensive care units, which in turn, is now with an occupation of 80.8% without any COVID-19 patients [22].

Our results about social distancing adherence and daily routine may be related to the official recommendations by local and State governments. In the Rio Grande do Sul State has implemented since May 10, the "Controlled Social Distancing Model" (CSDM) to avoid the COVID-19 outbreak considering recommendations for economic activities. According to CSDM, each region was classified ranged from low risk to infection and high health care capacity (yellow flag) to the opposite, with high transmission rates and high occupation rates of the hospitals and intensive care units (black flag). Thus, since May 10, all cities received weekly a classification flag: yellow, orange, red, or black, representing the progression of the COVID-19 outbreak [23]. Ijuí was set as a yellow flag from May 10 to June 8, when it became an orange classification because of the rapid increase in the hospitalization rates in one week (1 to 5 subjects) and then decreased the recovered-to-active ratio cases in Ijuí. Also, was noticed deaths and decreased in intensive care unit vacation. As a local initiative to counteract the COVID-19 outbreak, the Ijuí Municipal Decree nº 7.107 (June 16) improved the recommendations to avoid community transmission [24].

In detail, the decree allows the maintenance of regular service in restaurants (between 7 a.m. and 23 p.m.) with reduced capacity, while snack bars and coffee shops were allowed to work only in the delivery or drive-thru options. Non-essential commercial, authorized to operate, can only receive one customer per employee, respecting the limits established in the occupation and operation protocols. It is allowed to

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2020.06.22.20132910; this version posted June 23, 2020. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. It is made available under a CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 International license .

operate the facilities of sports clubs exclusively for the physical conditioning of the respective contracted professional athletes, observing the minimum distance of two meters between them. Physical contact or agglomerations being prohibited in any case [24]. Thus, the measured social distancing in Ijuí may be in accordance with theses official recommendations but was insufficient to avoid the rapid increase in the number of COVID-19 cases.

Social distancing measures adopted by the population appear effective, mainly when implemented in conjunction with the isolation of cases and quarantining of contacts [25]. Our data showed that preventive behavior, related to the adherence to social distancing recommendation and daily behavior, did not reach 70% of social distancing in any of the four steps of the survey. We mentioned this goal since it was proposed early that maintaining the capacity of social distancing at a maximum of 76% could avoid the death of 90,000 individuals and the overwhelm of the intensive care units in São Paulo (Brazil) [26]. Nowadays, São Paulo registered 105,407 COVID-19 cases and 6,255 deaths [21], suggesting that maintaining and strengthening current social distancing measures, quarantining and isolating cases, is absolutely vital to avoid the collapse of the healthcare systems in the country. Also, it has been suggested that a more restrictive recommendation can be more effective in reducing the number of infected subjects. [27] [28] [29] [30].

It is remarkable that pre-existing comorbidities are strikingly evident (about half of patients), hypertension being the most prevalent (30 %), followed by diabetes (19 %), coronary heart disease (8 %) and 3 % of individuals presenting previous pulmonary condition, such as chronic obstructive lung disease [31] [32]. COVID 19 patients bearing previous comorbidities were also among those with highest mortality rates, with adjusted OR of 7.42 (CI95%: 6.33-8.79) for hypertensive patients, 9.03 (CI95%: 7.39-11.35) for diabetic subjects, 12.83 (CI95%: 10.27-15.86) for coronary heart disease, while for chronic obstructive lung disease OR is 7.79 (CI95%: 5.54-10.43) [33]. Also, it was reported that 31 % of cases, 45 % of hospitalizations, 53 % of intensive care unit (ICU) admissions, and 80 % of deaths occurred among subjects aged 65 years or older [34]. In the same direction, the case-fatality rates among individuals aged 80 years or older are approximately 20 % [35]. Thus, it is necessary to observe the adherence to a protective behavior linked to social distancing adherence associated with the presence of comorbidities in the subjects [36] [37]. The preventive behavior in older subjects and people with chronic diseases in the community may avoid higher levels of hospitalization or high mortality rates.

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2020.06.22.20132910; this version posted June 23, 2020. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. It is made available under a CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 International license .

Conclusion

The insufficient social distancing registered in the population-based study may be related to the rapid increase of COVID-19 cases in Ijuí. These data predict a closer outbreak of community infection of COVID-19, which could be avoided or attenuated if the levels of the social distancing of population increase in the next weeks.

Acknowledgments

The authors would like to thank Prof. Paulo Ivo Homem de Bittencourt Jr from the Laboratory of Cellular Physiology (UFRGS) for his support, discussion, and scientific discussion about the idea of this manuscript. Also, we would like to thank all volunteers who performed the population-based survey. We would like to thanks professor Airam Sausen for her COVID19 math prediction classes and professor Marilia Mesenburg for the EPICOVID19RS data analysis. This work was supported by the Regional University of Northwestern Rio Grande do Sul State (UNIJUI), as well as by the Coordination for the Improvement of Higher Education Personnel (CAPES).

Author Contributions

All authors coordinated the four waves of the population-based study performed in Ijuí integrated with EPICOVID19RS study, collecting data about social distancing (exception, MSL). TGH, EMB and MSL performed the analyses and predictions of the COVID19 case, analyzed the governmental decrees, and wrote the manuscript. MAM produced the sociodemographic descriptions. All authors were involved in analyzing the results and approved the submitted and published versions.

Competing interests

The authors declare no competing interests regarding financial, academic, professional, or commercial issues. However, since all authors live in Ijuí, we declare a conflict of interest about a preoccupation about a COVID-19 outbreak in our city.

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2020.06.22.20132910; this version posted June 23, 2020. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. It is made available under a CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 International license .

Financial support

Coordination and Improvement of Higher Level or Education Personnel (Capes), Rio Grande do Sul State government, Federal University of Pelotas, and Regional University of Northwestern Rio Grande do Sul State (UNIJUÍ) supported this study.

References

1. Zhou F, Yu T, Du R, et al. Clinical course and risk factors for mortality of adult inpatients with COVID-19 in Wuhan, China: a retrospective cohort study. Lancet (2020) 395:1054-62. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0140-6736(20)30566-3
2. Wu F, Zhao S, Yu B, et al. A new coronavirus associated with human respiratory disease in China. Nature (2020) 579:265-9. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41586-020-2008-3
3. Novel Coronavirus Pneumonia Emergency Response Epidemiology Team. [The epidemiological characteristics of an outbreak of 2019 novel coronavirus diseases (COVID-19) in China]. (Chinese) Zhonghua Liu Xing Bing Xue Za Zhi (Chin J Epidemiol) (2020) 41:145-51. https://doi.org/10.3760/cma.j.issn.0254-6450.2020.02.003.
4. WHO, Novel Coronavirus (2019-nCoV) SITUATION REPORT-1 as of 21 Jan 2020. COVID-19 (2020). Available at: https://www.who.int/docs/default-source/coronaviruse/situation-reports/20200121-sitrep-1-2019-ncov.pdf?sfvrsn=20a99c10_4
5. WHO, Coronavirus Disease 2019 (COVID-19) SITUATION REPORT-23 as of 12 Feb 2020. COVID-19 (2020). Available at: https://www.who.int/docs/default-source/coronaviruse/situation-reports/20200212-sitrep-23-ncov.pdf?sfvrsn=41e9fb78_4
6. Hallal P, Hartwig F, Horta B, Victora GD, Silveira M, Struchiner C, Vidaletti LP, Neumann N, Pellanda LC, Dellagostin OA, Burattini MN, Menezes AM, Barros FC, Barros AJ, Victora CG. Remarkable variability in SARS-CoV-2 antibodies across Brazilian regions: nationwide serological household survey in 27 states. medRxiv (2020) 2020.05.30.20117531; doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2020.05.30.20117531
7. Silveira M, Barros A, Horta B, Pellanda L, Victora G, Dellagostin O, Struchiner C, Burattini M, Valim A, Berlezi E, Mesa J, Ikeda ML, Mesenburg M, Mantesso M, Dall'Agnol M, Bittencourt R, Hartwig FP, Menezes AM, Barros FC, Hallal P, Victora CG. Repeated population-based surveys of antibodies against SARS-CoV-2 in Southern Brazil. medRxiv (2020) 2020.05.01.20087205; doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2020.05.01.20087205

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2020.06.22.20132910; this version posted June 23, 2020. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. It is made available under a CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 International license .

8. Ijuí city hall official website. Available in https://www.ijui.rs.gov.br/noticias/municipio_disponibiliza_painel_de_informacoes_sobre_casos
9. Anderson RM, Heesterbeek H, Klinkenberg D, Hollingsworth TD. 2020 How will countrybased mitigation measures influence the course of the COVID-19 epidemic? Lancet (2019) 1–4. doi: https://doi.org/10.1016/S0140-6736(20)30567-5
10. Li R, Rivers C, Tan Q, Murray M, Toner E, Lipsitch M. 2020 The demand for inpatient and ICU beds for COVID-19 in the US: lessons from Chinese cities. medRxiv (2020) 2020.03.09.20033241. doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2020.03.09.20033241
11. National Institute of Geography and Statistics- IBGE – data published on August 28, 2019. Available in https://cidades.ibge.gov.br/brasil/rs/panorama
12. Ijuí city hall official COVID-19 website. (2020). Available at https://datastudio.google.com/reporting/4ff82b8a-a9ff-4577-b239-da2e38d24443/page/vBjQB.
13. Pellanda LC, Wendland EM, McBride AJA, Tovo-Rodrigues L, Ferreira MRA, Dellagostin OA, Silveira MF, Barros AJD, Hallal PC, Victora CG. Sensitivity and specificity of a rapid test for assessment of exposure to SARS-CoV-2 in a community-based setting in Brazil. medRxiv (2020) 2020.05.06.20093476; doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2020.05.06.20093476
14. MyCurveFit online software. Available in https://mycurvefit.com/
15. Liu Y, Gayle AA, Wilder-Smith A, Rocklöv J, The reproductive number of COVID-19 is higher compared to SARS coronavirus, Journal of Travel Medicine. (2020) 27:2 taaa021, https://doi.org/10.1093/jtm/taaa021
16. Wu, JT, Leung K, Leung GM. Nowcasting and forecasting the potential domestic and international spread of the 2019-nCoV outbreak originating in Wuhan, China: a modelling study. The Lancet. (2020) 395:10225, 689-697. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0140-6736(20)30260-9
17. Adhikari SP, Meng S, Wu Y, Mao Y, Ye R, Wang Q, Sun C, Sylvia S, Rozelle S, Raat H, Zhou H. Epidemiology, causes, clinical manifestation and diagnosis, prevention and control of coronavirus disease (COVID-19) during the early outbreak period: a scoping review. Infectious Diseases of Poverty (2020) 9:29. https://doi.org/10.1186/s40249-020-00646-x
18. Riou J, Althaus CL. Pattern of early human-to-human transmission of Wuhan 2019 novel coronavirus (2019-nCoV), December 2019 to January 2020. Euro Surveill. (2020) 25:4. https://doi.org/10.2807/1560-7917.ES.2020.25.4.2000058
19. Epidemic simulator free app from São Paulo University. Available on https://ciis.fmrp.usp.br/covid19/epcalc/public/index.html
20. Johns Hopikins COVID-19 coronavirus resource center. Available at https://coronavirus.jhu.edu/map.html
21. Brazilian national government COVID-19 website. Available in https://covid.saude.gov.br/.
22. Rio Grande do Sul State government COVID-19 website. Available at https://covid.saude.rs.gov.br/

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2020.06.22.20132910; this version posted June 23, 2020. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. It is made available under a CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 International license .

23. Rio Grande do Sul State government controled social distancing model web site. Availeble in https://distanciamentocontrolado.rs.gov.br/
24. Ijuí city hall social distancing decree. Available at https://www.ijui.rs.gov.br/noticias/2_poder_executivo_edita_novo_decreto
25. Aquino EML, Silveira IH, Pescarini JM, Aquino R, Souza-Filho JA (2020). Social distancing measures to control the COVID-19 pandemic: potential impacts and challenges in Brazil. Ciência & Saúde Coletiva. (2020) 25:S1, 2423-2446. https://dx.doi.org/10.1590/1413-81232020256.1.10502020
26. Ganem F, Mendes FM, Oliveira SB, Porto VBG, Araujo W, Nakaya H, Diaz-Quijano FA, Croda J. The impact of early social distancing at COVID-19 Outbreak in the largest Metropolitan Area of Brazil. (2020) medRxiv 2020.04.06.20055103; https://doi.org/10.1101/2020.04.06.20055103
27. Canabarro A, Tenorio E, Martins R, Martins L, Brito S, Chaves R. Data-Driven Study of the COVID-19 Pandemic via Age-Structured Modelling and Prediction of the Health System Failure in Brazil amid Diverse Intervention Strategies. medRxiv (2020) 2020.04.03.20052498. https://doi.org/10.1101/2020.04.03.20052498
28. Hou J, Hong J, Ji B, Dong B, Chen Y, Ward MP, Tu W, Jin Z, Hu J, Su Q, Wang W. Changing transmission dynamics of COVID-19 in China: a nationwide population-based piecewise mathematical modelling study. medRxiv (2020) 2020.03.27.20045757. https://doi.org/10.1101/2020.03.27.20045757
29. Yang Q, Yi C, Vajdi A, Cohnstaedt LW, Wu H, Guo X, Scoglio CM. Short-term forecasts and long-term mitigation evaluations for the COVID-19 epidemic in Hubei Province, China. medRxiv (2020) 2020.03.27.20045625. https://doi.org/10.1101/2020.03.27.20045625
30. Nussbaumer-Streit B, Mayr V, Dobrescu AI, Chapman A, Persad E, Klerings I, Wagner G, Siebert U, Christof C, Zachariah C, Gartlehner G. Quarantine alone or in combination with other public health measures to control COVID-19: a rapid review. Cochrane Database Syst Rev (2020) 4:CD013574. https://doi.org/10.1002/14651858.CD013574
31. Zhou F, Yu T, Du R, et al. Clinical course and risk factors for mortality of adult inpatients with COVID-19 in Wuhan, China: a retrospective cohort study. Lancet (2020) 395:1054-62. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0140-6736(20)30566-3
32. Richardson S, Hirsch JS, Narasimhan M, et al. Presenting Characteristics, Comorbidities, and Outcomes Among 5700 Patients Hospitalized With COVID-19 in the New York City Area. JAMA. (2020) 323(20):2052–2059. https://doi.org/10.1001/jama.2020.6775

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2020.06.22.20132910; this version posted June 23, 2020. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. It is made available under a CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 International license .

33. Caramelo F, Ferreira N, Oliveiros B. Estimation of risk factors for COVID-19 mortality - preliminary results. medRxiv (2020) 2020.02.24.20027268.. https://doi.org/10.1101/2020.02.24.20027268

34. CDC-Severe Outcomes Among Patients with Coronavirus Disease 2019 (COVID-19) — United States, February 12–March 16, 2020. MMWR Morb Mortal Wkly Rep. ePub: 18 March 2020. http://dx.doi.org/10.15585/mmwr.mm6912e2

35. Onder G, Rezza G, Brusaferro S. Case-Fatality Rate and Characteristics of Patients Dying in Relation to COVID-19 in Italy. JAMA (2020) 323(18):1775–1776. https://doi.org/10.1001/jama.2020.4683

36. Kissler SM, Tedijanto C, Lipsitch M, Grad Y. Social distancing strategies for curbing the COVID-19 epidemic. medRxiv (2020) 2020.03.22.20041079; doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2020.03.22.20041079

37. Novel Coronavirus Pneumonia Emergency Response Epidemiology Team. [The epidemiological characteristics of an outbreak of 2019 novel coronavirus diseases (COVID-19) in China]. (Chinese) Zhonghua Liu Xing Bing Xue Za Zhi (Chin J Epidemiol) (2020) 41:145-51. https://doi.org/10.3760/cma.j.issn.0254-6450.2020.02.003.


A
Adherence to social distancing recommendations
Subjects (%)
50
40
30
20
10
0
April 12, 2020
April 26, 2020
May 10, 2020
May 24, 2020
Very low
Low
Partially
High
Very high


B
Daily routine
Subjects (%)
50
40
30
20
10
0
April 12, 2020
April 26, 2020
May 10, 2020
May 24, 2020
Out every day (work)
Out every day (other activity)
Out sometimes (shopping)
Out only for essential needs
Stay at home all time


C
n° of COVID-19 cases
150
100
50
0
Total COVID-19 cases
New COVID-19 cases
+ 1.85-fold
*waiting update
*waiting update
March 22, 2020
March 29, 2020
April 5, 2020
April 12, 2020
April 19, 2020
April 26, 2020
May 3, 2020
May 10, 2020
May 17, 2020
May 24, 2020
May 31, 2020
June 7, 2020
June 14, 2020
June 21, 2020


A
Adherence to social distancing and daily protective routine (%)
n° of COVID-19 cases
Adherence to social distancing
Daily protective routine
Total of COVID19 cases
+ 3.04-fold
+ 2.0-fold
0
20
40
60
80
100
120
March 22, 2020
March 29, 2020
April 5, 2020
April 12, 2020
April 19, 2020
April 26, 2020
May 3, 2020
May 10, 2020
May 17, 2020
May 24, 2020
May 31, 2020
June 7, 2020
June 14, 2020
June 21, 2020


B
n° of COVID-19 cases
Total COVID-19 cases
Exponencial prediction
Linear prediction
1500
1000
520
120
110
100
90
80
70
60
50
40
30
20
10
0
1091
120
112
64
35
20
March 22, 2020
March 29, 2020
April 5, 2020
April 12, 2020
April 19, 2020
April 26, 2020
May 3, 2020
May 10, 2020
May 17, 2020
May 24, 2020
May 31, 2020
June 7, 2020
June 14, 2020
June 21, 2020
July 5, 2020
August 16, 2020
August 23, 2020
September 6, 2020

A


Prediction 1: Maintaining the trassmission rate of Community infection by COVID-19
Exposed to Coronavirus
(Peak on 46th day with 10,973 subjects)
COVID-19 cases
(Peak on 48th day with 4,745 infected subjects)
Hospitalization
(Peak on 68th day with 4,922 hospilazized subjects)
Deaths
(1,441 deaths in the 120th day, 1,605 total deaths)
n° of subjects
15,000
10,000
5,000
0
Days 0
(100th case)
20
40
60
80
100
120
140
160
180
200

B


Prediction 2: Reducing by 50% the transmission rate of COVID-19 (30 days after the 100th case)
← $\mathcal{R}_0 = 2.20$
$\mathcal{R}_t = 1.10$ →
Exposed to Coronavirus
(Peak on 30th day with 4,192 subjects)
COVID-19 cases
(Peak on 34th day with 1,699 infected subjects)
Hospitalization
(Peak on 64th day with 1,859 hospilazized subjects)
Deaths
(662 deaths in the 120th day, 763 total deaths)
n° of subjects
6,000
5,000
4,000
3,000
2,000
1,000
0
Days 0
(100th case)
20
40
60
80
100
120
140
160
180
200

C


Prediction 3: Reducing by 50% the transmission rate of COVID-19 (15 days after the 100th case)
$\mathcal{R}_t = 1.10$ →
Exposed to Coronavirus
(Peak on 52th day with 847 subjects)
COVID-19 cases
(Peak on 60th day with 372 infected subjects)
Hospitalization
(Peak on 86th day with 623 hospilazized subjects)
Deaths
(276 deaths in the 120th day, 420 total deaths)
n° of subjects
1,500
1,000
500
0
Days 0
(100th case)
20
40
60
80
100
120
140
160
180
200