Anti-phospholipid antibodies in COVID-19 are different from those detectable in the anti-phospholipid syndrome.

Maria Orietta Borghi[*,†] , Asmaa Beltagy[*,‡], Emirena Garrafa[§,¶], Daniele Curreli[*], Germana Cecchini[**], Caterina Bodio[*], Claudia Grossi[*], Simonetta Blengino[††], Angela Tincani[‡‡], Franco Franceschini[‡‡], Laura Andreoli[‡‡], Maria Grazia Lazzaroni[‡‡], Silvia Piantoni[‡‡], Stefania Masneri[‡‡], Francesca Crisafulli[‡‡], Duilio Brugnoni[¶], Maria Lorenza Muiesan[§§], Massimo Salvetti[§§], Gianfranco Parati[††], Erminio Torresani[**], Michael Mahler[¶¶], Francesca Heilbron[††], Francesca Pregnolato[*], Martino Pengo[††], Francesco Tedesco[*], Nicola Pozzi[***,#], Pier Luigi Meroni[*,#]

[*]Istituto Auxologico Italiano, IRCCS, Immunorheumatology Research Laboratory, Milan, Italy.

[†]Department of Clinical Sciences and Community Health, University of Milan, Milan, Italy.

[‡]Rheumatology and Clinical Immunology Department, Faculty of Medicine, Alexandria University, Alexandria, Egypt.

[§]Department of Molecular and Translational Medicine, University of Brescia, Brescia, Italy.

[¶]Department of Laboratory Diagnostics, ASST Spedali Civili, Brescia, Italy.

[**]Istituto Auxologico Italiano, IRCCS, Department of Chemical Chemistry, Milan, Italy.

[††]Istituto Auxologico Italiano, IRCCS, Department of Cardiovascular, Neural and Metabolic Sciences, San Luca Hospital, Milan, Italy.

[‡‡]Rheumatology and Clinical Immunology Unit, Department of Clinical and Experimental Sciences, ASST Spedali Civili and University of Brescia, Brescia, Italy.

[§§]UOC 2° Medicina, Department of Clinical and Experimental Sciences, ASST Spedali Civili and University of Brescia, Brescia, Italy.

[¶¶]Inova Diagnostics, Inc., San Diego, CA, USA.

[***]Department of Biochemistry and Molecular Biology, Saint Louis University School of Medicine, St. Louis, MO, USA.

[#]**Corresponding authors**:

Pier Luigi Meroni, Immunorheumatology Research Laboratory, Istituto Auxologico Italiano, Via Zucchi 18, 20095 Cusano Milanino (MI), Italy

Phone number: +39-02-619113033; e-mail: pierluigi.meroni@unimi.it

Nicola Pozzi, Department of Biochemistry and Molecular Biology, Saint Louis University School of Medicine, 1100 South Grand Blvd., St. Louis, MO 63104, USA

Phone number: +1-314-9779241; e-mail: nicola.pozzi@health.slu.edu

Running Head: Anti-phospholipid antibodies and COVID-19

Key words: anti-phospholipid antibodies, β_2-glycoprotein I, prothrombin, autoimmunity, COVID-19, thrombosis.

Abstract

Background. Critically ill patients with coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19) have a profound hypercoagulable state and often develop coagulopathy which leads to organ failure and death. Because of a prolonged activated partial-thromboplastin time (aPTT), a relationship with anti-phospholipid antibodies (aPL) has been proposed, but results are controversial. Functional assays for aPL (i.e., lupus anticoagulant) can be influenced by concomitant anticoagulation and/or high levels of C reactive protein. The presence of anti-cardiolipin (aCL), anti-beta2-glycoprotein I (anti-β_2GPI) and anti-phosphatidylserine/prothrombin (aPS/PT) antibodies was not investigated systematically. Epitope specificity of anti-β_2GPI antibodies was not reported.

Objective. To evaluate the prevalence and the clinical association of aPL in a large cohort of COVID-19 patients, and to characterize the epitope specificity of anti-β_2GPI antibodies.

Methods. ELISA and chemiluminescence assays were used to test 122 sera of patients suffering from severe COVID-19. Of them, 16 displayed major thrombotic events.

Results. Anti-β_2GPI IgG/IgA/IgM were the most frequent in 15.6/6.6/9.0% of patients, while aCL IgG/IgM were detected in 5.7/6.6% by ELISA. Comparable values were found by chemiluminescence. aPS/PT IgG/IgM were detectable in 2.5 and 9.8% by ELISA. No association between thrombosis and aPL was found. Reactivity against domain 1 and 4-5 of β_2GPI was limited to 3/58 (5.2%) tested sera for each domain and did not correlate with aCL/anti-β_2GPI nor with thrombosis.

Conclusions. aPL show a low prevalence in COVID-19 patients and are not associated with major thrombotic events. aPL in COVID-19 patients are mainly directed against β_2GPI but display an epitope specificity different from antibodies in antiphospholipid syndrome.

Key words

Anti-phospholipid antibodies, β_2-glycoprotein I, prothrombin, autoimmunity, COVID-19, thrombosis.

1 INTRODUCTION

Critically ill patients with coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19) have a profound hypercoagulable state and often develop thrombosis in veins, arteries and in the microcirculation.[1,2] Recent analysis showed several coagulation abnormalities in these patients, including prominent elevation of fibrin/fibrinogen degradation products (i.e., D-dimer) and a prolonged activated partial-thromboplastin time (aPTT). While high levels of D-dimer are consistent with sustained activation of the clotting and fibrinolytic cascades, the combination of prolonged aPTT and both arterial and venous thrombosis was, however, surprising, and it is reminiscent of a clinical scenario known as antiphospholipid syndrome (APS).[3]

Looking at the causes for aPTT prolongation, recent studies have shown that lupus anticoagulant (LA) can be detected in a significant percentage of COVID-19 samples.[4-6] Since LA is often caused by aPL, these findings support the idea that aPL may play a role in COVID-19.[7] However, it is important to point out that LA is a very sensitive assay and its outcome can be influenced by several factors, most notably heparin administration[8] and a profound inflammatory state characterized by high levels of C reactive protein (CRP).[9,10] Both of them are present in COVID-19 patients.[11]

Another method to detect aPL that is in principle insensitive to anticoagulation and other confounding agents relies on the detection and quantification of autoantibodies using solid phase assays.[3] Using this method, the presence of aPL was recently reported in a handful of case reports and small cohorts of patients.[4,6,7,12,13] While encouraging, this data is limited and its interpretation remains controversial, with some investigators proposing an important role of aPL in COVID-19 patients[7] while others suggesting a very poor correlation between aPL and thrombotic events.[14] There is no information on the antigen specificity of COVID-19 aPL in comparison with APS antibodies. Such information and a larger study, possibly multicenter, may be instrumental to clarify the real clinical value of these autoantibodies.

2 METHODS

2.1 Patients

A total of 122 patients were enrolled from two COVID-19 referral centers in Lombardia. All patients tested positive to SARS-CoV-2, and classified as severe or critical COVID-19.[11] Mean age was 68.5 (± SD 16.4) years; 77 were men and 45 women. No diagnosis of previous autoimmune diseases was made; six patients had a thrombotic event (three arterial and three venous) in the past clinical history. Eighty-seven patients suffering from APS were also tested for anti-cardiolipin (aCL) and anti-β_2GPI IgG/IgM.[15]The study was approved by the Ethics Committees (Istituto Auxologico Italiano 3-04-2020 - Milan and ASST Spedali Civili NP4187 - Brescia).

2.2 Detection of aPL

Anti-cardiolipin and anti-β_2GPI IgG/IgA/IgM were detected by chemiluminescence immunoassay (CIA; Quanta Flash, Inova, San Diego, CA, US) and-a home-made ELISA as described.[15,16] Anti-β_2GPI domain 1 IgG (anti-D1) were detected by CIA[15,16], IgG anti-D4-5 by a home-made ELISA, as described.[15,16] Anti-phosphatidylserine/prothrombin (aPS/PT) IgG/IgM were detected by a commercial ELISA as reported.[17]

2.3 Statistical analysis

Data were analyzed using R v3.4.0. Descriptive statistics was used to summarize data. Associations and differences between categorical or continuous variables were tested by Fisher's exact test and non-parametric Mann-Whitney test, respectively. A p-value <0.05 was considered statistically significant.

3 RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Prolonged aPTT (>30 sec) was found in 57.6% while PT INR values were above the cut-off in 24.8% of the cases. Most of the patients (120/122) were on anticoagulation with low molecular weight

heparin (70% on therapeutic and the remaining on prophylactic dosage). Despite anticoagulation, we observed sixteen thrombotic events (13.1%, 8 in veins and 8 in arteries). These statistics are in agreement with previous reports[2,18-23] and document a coagulopathy in our patients.

In the APS field, testing for LA is not recommended when patients are on heparin, since the presence of heparin, even if neutralized, may lead to false positive results.[8] Likewise, high levels CRP, such as those found in our cohort of patients, have been shown to prolong aPTT independently from the presence of aPL.[9,10] On these bases, the presence of aPL was researched using solid phase assays, and not LA. First, we investigated the presence of aCL and anti- β_2GPI, two APS classification criteria.[3] Testing was independently performed in Milan and Brescia, using harmonized methodologies.[24] The prevalence of COVID-19 patients positive for aCL and anti-β_2GPI IgG/IgA/IgM detected by ELISA and CIA is summarized in Table 1. The ELISA raw data are shown in Fig 1. We found IgG/IgM aCL in 5.7/6.6% of patients, whereas anti-β_2GPI IgG/IgA/IgM were found in 15.6/6.6/9.0% of patients. Similar values were obtained for aCL antibodies using CIA (Table 1), whereas a slightly lower sensitivity was obtained for anti-β_2GPI antibodies. [25] Taken together, our data shows a low prevalence of classification criteria aPL in COVID-19 patients. In this regard, our study confirms recent studies obtained with smaller cohorts of patients.[4,14,23] Importantly, our data also shows that aPL are slightly more reactive towards β_2GPI-coated plates as compared to CL-coated ones and that, regardless of the nature of aPL, there is no association between aPL positivity and thrombotic events ($p = 1$).

A striking difference between the autoantibody profile in COVID-19 patients as compared to the one in APS concerned the titers of aPL. Medium/low aPL titers were consistently found in the patients with COVID-19. By contrast, medium/high titers are usually found in APS patients (Fig 1). This difference suggests that aPL found in COVID-19 may be different from aPL found in APS and led us to further investigate the epitope specificity of anti-β_2GPI antibodies. We focused on autoantibodies directed against the N-terminal domain 1 (anti-D1) or the C-terminal domains 4-5

(anti-D4-5) of the molecule[16] (Fig 2A). This is because anti-D1 antibodies are associated with an increased risk of thrombosis and pregnancy complications in APS.[15,16,26] By contrast, anti D4-5 antibodies, are associated neither with vascular nor obstetric APS manifestations.[15,27] Furthermore, anti D4-5 antibodies are also reported at high levels in the so called asymptomatic aPL carriers and are frequently found in non-APS (e.g. patients with leprosy, atopic dermatitis, atherosclerosis and in children born to mothers with systemic autoimmune diseases).[27] Fig 2B shows that three out of 58 samples reacted with D1, while in Fig 2C, three samples tested positive for D4-5. None of the sera was positive for both domains and all displayed a weak reactivity. Although the number of the investigated sera is relatively small, this finding is quite different from the results found in APS in which almost all the sera positive for the whole β_2GPI molecule also reacted with domain D1 at high titer.[15,26] Furthermore, at variance with APS patients, none of the anti-D1 positive patients displayed thrombotic events.[26]

Approximately fifty-seven per cent of COVID-19 patients has prolonged aPTT. Yet, only a small proportion of COVID-19 patients carry aCL and anti-β_2GPI antibodies. This suggests that other factors must be responsible for the prolonged aPTT phenomenon. Based on current literature, aPS/PT can be associated with a prolonged aPTT and with the presence of LA.[17] We found fifteen out of 122 sera positive for aPS/PT (12.3%), mostly of the IgM isotype (12 out 15) and at a low titer (Fig 3). There was no association between prolonged aPTT and the presence of aPS/PT antibodies nor with thrombotic events in our COVID-19 cohort. This indicates that aPS/PT are not responsible for the prolongation of aPTT nor are predictors of adverse clinical outcomes. Furthermore, in contrast to what we would have expected in APS,[28] we found no associations between the presence of aPS/PT, aCL and anti-β_2GPI antibodies. This data is in line with the unusual epitope specificity of anti-β_2GPI antibodies documented in Fig 2, supporting the hypothesis that aPL found in COVID-19 patients are different from aPL found in APS patients. Whether COVID-19 aPL are similar to the one found in other infectious diseases such as HCV, HBV and HIV[29] remains to be determined.

In conclusion, while medium/high aPL titers with D1 specificity are associated with vascular events in APS, low antibody titers with reactivity against β_2GPI epitope(s) different from D1 or D4,5 can be found in COVID-19. This may explain the lack of association with thrombotic events in COVID-19. In addition, our data do not support the hypothesis that aPL can be the main cause of prolonged aPTT in these patients. Although low titer aPL are not predictive of vascular events in the APS, it is important to keep in mind that COVID-19 patients suffer from an acute form of systemic inflammation with complement activation,[30] which may be responsible for endothelial perturbation. In this context, since β_2GPI can accumulate on the activated endothelium at high density, even low titers of aPL may become pathogenic thus potentiating or even triggering thrombus formation, especially when anticoagulation is suspended. A comparable condition in which low titers of aPL can cause substantial damage is seen in obstetric APS, where high levels of β_2GPI can be found in the placenta.[31] Hence, while transitory aPL are likely to be clinically irrelevant in COVID-19 patients as in other infections,[29] detection of aPL may be useful for identifying patients potentially at risk of thrombosis after the hospital discharge. Accordingly, an anticoagulant prophylaxis could be justified before a confirmatory assay.[3]

AUTHORSHIP

M.O. Borghi, M. Pengo, A. Tincani, F. Franceschini, F. Tedesco, N. Pozzi, P.L. Meroni designed the study; S. Blengino, G. Parati, F. Heilbron, M. Pengo, M.G. Lazzaroni, M.L. Muiesan, M. Salvetti collected clinical samples; E. Garrafa, D. Curreli, G. Cecchini, C. Bodio, C. Grossi, S. Piantoni, S. Masneri, F. Crisafulli, D. Brugnoni, E. Torresani, M. Mahler, L. Andreoli, performed research; M.O. Borghi, A. Beltagy, F. Pregnolato, F. Tedesco, N. Pozzi, P.L. Meroni analyzed data; M.O. Borghi, F. Tedesco, N. Pozzi, P.L. Meroni wrote the manuscript. All authors reviewed and approved the manuscript.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

The study was in part supported by IRCCS Istituto Auxologico Italiano - Ricerca Corrente 2019 (PL Meroni), a grant from the Italian Ministry of Foreign Affairs and International Cooperation (MAECI) for foreign citizens and Italian citizens living abroad (A. Beltagy) and a National Institutes of Health Research Grant HL150146 (N. Pozzi). The Authors would like to thank: Drs. N. Carabellese and G. Martini (Department of Laboratory Diagnostics; ASST Spedali Civili, Brescia, Italy) for their valuable collaboration; all the physicians of the COVID-19 Units of the IRCCS Istituto Auxologico Italiano (Milan) and the ASST Spedali Civili (Brescia).

CONFLICTS OF INTEREST

M. Mahler is an employee at Inova Diagnostics, Inc. All the other authors declared no conflict of interest.

REFERENCES

1. Carsana L, Sonzogni A, Nasr A, Rossi R, Pellegrinelli A, Zerbi P, et al. Pulmonary post-mortem findings in a large series of COVID-19 cases from Northern Italy. medRxiv. 2020:2020.04.19.20054262. [Epub ahead of print].
2. Tang N, Li D, Wang X, Sun Z. Abnormal coagulation parameters are associated with poor prognosis in patients with novel coronavirus pneumonia. *J Thromb Haemost.* 2020;18(4):844-7.
3. Garcia D, Erkan D. Diagnosis and Management of the Antiphospholipid Syndrome. *N Engl J Med.* 2018;378(21):2010-21.
4. Harzallah I, Debliquis A, Drénou B. Lupus anticoagulant is frequent in patients with Covid-19. *J Thromb Haemost.* 2020 ;10.1111/jth.14867.
5. Bowles L, Platton S, Yartey N, Dave M, Lee K, Hart DP, et al. Lupus Anticoagulant and Abnormal Coagulation Tests in Patients with Covid-19. *N Engl J Med.* 2020 ;NEJMc2013656.
6. Pineton de Chambrun M, Frere C, Miyara M, Amoura Z, Martin-Toutain I, Mathian A, et al. High Frequency of Antiphospholipid Antibodies in Critically-ill COVID-19 Patients: a Link with Hypercoagulability? *J Intern Med.* 2020 ;10.1111/joim.13126.
7. Zhang Y, Xiao M, Zhang S, Xia P, Cao W, Jiang W, et al. Coagulopathy and Antiphospholipid Antibodies in Patients with Covid-19. *N Engl J Med.* 2020;382(17):e38.
8. Martinuzzo ME, Barrera LH, D 'Adamo MA, Otaso JC, Gimenez MI, Oyhamburu J. Frequent False-positive results of lupus anticoagulant tests in plasmas of patients receiving the new oral anticoagulants and enoxaparin. *Int J Lab Hematol.* 2014;36(2):144-50.
9. Schouwers SME, Delanghe JR, Devreese KMJ. Lupus Anticoagulant (LAC) testing in patients with inflammatory status: Does C-reactive protein interfere with LAC test results? *Thromb Res.* 2010;125(1):102-4.
10. Ruinemans-Koerts J, Ahmed-Ousenkova YM, Kaasjager HAH, Hendriks-van Wijhe C, Hovens MMC. When to screen for lupus anticoagulant? Influence of testing during acute phase and consequences for clinical practise. *Lupus.* 2015;24(11):1233-5.
11. Chen G, Wu D, Guo W, Cao Y, Huang D, Wang H, et al. Clinical and immunological features of severe and moderate coronavirus disease 2019. *J Clin Invest.* 2020;130(5):2620-9.
12. Zuo Y, Estes SK, Gandhi AA, Yalavarthi S, Ali RA, Shi H, et al. Prothrombotic antiphospholipid antibodies in COVID-19. *medRxiv.* 2020:2020.06.15.20131607. [Epub ahead of print].

13. Xiao M, Zhang Y, Zhang S, Qin X, Xia P, Cao W, et al. Brief Report: Anti-phospholipid antibodies in critically ill patients with Coronavirus Disease 2019 (COVID-19). *Arthritis Rheumatol.* 2020 ;10.1002/art.41425.
14. Galeano-Valle F, Oblitas CM, Ferreiro-Mazón MM, Alonso-Muñoz J, Del Toro-Cervera J, di Natale M, et al. Antiphospholipid antibodies are not elevated in patients with severe COVID-19 pneumonia and venous thromboembolism. *Thromb Res.* 2020;192:113-5.
15. Chighizola CB, Pregnolato F, Andreoli L, Bodio C, Cesana L, Comerio C, et al. Beyond thrombosis: Anti-β2GPI domain 1 antibodies identify late pregnancy morbidity in anti-phospholipid syndrome. *J Autoimmun.* 2018;90:76-83.
16. Durigutto P, Grossi C, Borghi MO, Macor P, Pregnolato F, Raschi E, et al. New insight into antiphospholipid syndrome: antibodies to β2glycoprotein I-domain 5 fail to induce thrombi in rats. *Haematologica.* 2019;104(4):819-26.
17. Tincani A, Morozzi G, Afeltra A, Alessandri C, Allegri F, Bistoni O, et al. Antiprothrombin antibodies: a comparative analysis of homemade and commercial methods. A collaborative study by the Forum Interdisciplinare per la Ricerca nelle Malattie Autoimmuni (FIRMA). *Clin Exp Rheumatol.* 2007;25(2):268-74.
18. Nahum J, Morichau-Beauchant T, Daviaud F, Echegut P, Fichet J, Maillet J-M, et al. Venous Thrombosis Among Critically Ill Patients With Coronavirus Disease 2019 (COVID-19). *JAMA Network Open.* 2020;3(5):e2010478-e.
19. Zhang L, Feng X, Zhang D, Jiang C, Mei H, Wang J, et al. Deep Vein Thrombosis in Hospitalized Patients with Coronavirus Disease 2019 (COVID-19) in Wuhan, China: Prevalence, Risk Factors, and Outcome. *Circulatio*n. 2020;10.1161/CIRCULATIONAHA.120.046702. [Epub ahead of print].
20. Klok FA, Kruip MJHA, van der Meer NJM, Arbous MS, Gommers DAMPJ, Kant KM, et al. Incidence of thrombotic complications in critically ill ICU patients with COVID-19. *Thromb Res.* 2020;191:145-7.
21. Lodigiani C, Iapichino G, Carenzo L, Cecconi M, Ferrazzi P, Sebastian T, et al. Venous and arterial thromboembolic complications in COVID-19 patients admitted to an academic hospital in Milan, Italy. *Thromb Res.* 2020;191:9-14.
22. Middeldorp S, Coppens M, van Haaps TF, Foppen M, Vlaar AP, Müller MCA, et al. Incidence of venous thromboembolism in hospitalized patients with COVID-19. *J Thromb Haemost.* 2020;10.1111/jth.14888.

23. Beyrouti R, Adams ME, Benjamin L, Cohen H, Farmer SF, Goh YY, et al. Characteristics of ischaemic stroke associated with COVID-19. *J Neurol Neurosurg Psychiatry.* 2020;jnnp-2020-323586. [Epub ahead of print]
24. Andreoli L, Rizzini S, Allegri F, Meroni P, Tincani A. Are the Current Attempts at Standardization of Antiphospholipid Antibodies Still Useful? Emerging Technologies Signal a Shift in Direction. *Semin Thromb Hemost.* 2008;34(04):356-60.
25. Lakos G, Bentow C, Mahler M. A Clinical Approach for Defining the Threshold between Low and Medium Anti-Cardiolipin Antibody Levels for QUANTA Flash Assays. *Antibodies (Basel, Switzerland).* 2016;5(2):14.
26. Radin M, Cecchi I, Roccatello D, Meroni PL, Sciascia S. Prevalence and Thrombotic Risk Assessment of Anti-β2 Glycoprotein I Domain I Antibodies: A Systematic Review. *Semin Thromb Hemost.* 2018;44(05):466-74.
27. Andreoli L, Chighizola CB, Nalli C, Gerosa M, Borghi MO, Pregnolato F, et al. Clinical characterization of antiphospholipid syndrome by detection of IgG antibodies against β2 -glycoprotein i domain 1 and domain 4/5: ratio of anti-domain 1 to anti-domain 4/5 as a useful new biomarker for antiphospholipid syndrome. *Arthritis Rheumatol.* 2015;67(8):2196-204.
28. Cattini MG, Bison E, Pontara E, Cheng C, Denas G, Pengo V. Tetra positive thrombotic antiphospholipid syndrome: Major contribution of anti-phosphatidyl-serine/prothrombin antibodies to lupus anticoagulant activity. *J Thromb Haemost.* 2020;18(5):1124-32.
29. García-Carrasco M, Galarza-Maldonado C, Mendoza-Pinto C, Escarcega RO, Cervera R. Infections and the Antiphospholipid Syndrome. *Clin Rev Allergy Immunol.* 2009;36(2):104-8.
30. Cugno M, Meroni PL, Gualtierotti R, Griffini S, Grovetti E, Torri A, et al. Complement activation in patients with COVID-19: A novel therapeutic target. *J Allergy Clin Immunol.* 2020:S0091-6749(20)30650-3.
31. Pregnolato F, Gerosa M, Raimondo MG, Comerio C, Bartoli F, Lonati PA, et al. EUREKA algorithm predicts obstetric risk and response to treatment in women with different subsets of anti-phospholipid antibodies. *Rheumatolog y (Oxford .* 2020;keaa203. [Epub ahead of print].
32. Ruben EA, Planer W, Chinnaraj M, Chen Z, Zuo X, Pengo V, et al. The J-elongated conformation of beta2-glycoprotein I predominates in solution: Implications for our understanding of antiphospholipid syndrome. *J Biol Chem. 2020;jbc.RA120.013939.*

LEGENDS

Figure 1. Titers of aCL and aβ_2GPI antibodies detected by ELISA in COVID-19 patients (black, n=122) and comparison with APS patients (green, n=86). Values are expressed as median levels [first and third quartile]. **Panel A:** aCL. From the left to the right: COVID-19 IgG: 15 [8 - 15]; APS IgG: 65 [22 – 103]; COVID-19 IgM: 6.2 [2.6 - 10.8]; and APS IgM: 4.0 [1 - 11]. **Panel B**: aβ_2GPI. From the left to the right: COVID-19 IgG: 0.06 [0.04 – 0.10]; APS IgG: 1.14 [0.52– 1.55]; COVID-19 IgM: 0.065 [0.02 – 0.142]; APS IgM: 0.23 [0.105 – 0.741]; and COVID-19 IgA: 0.04 [0.02 – 0.09]. Cutoff values are aCL IgG/IgM 20 phospholipid units (GPL/MPL); aβ_2GPI IgG/IgM/ IgA ELISA 0.13, 0.27 and 0.16 optical units (OD), respectively.

Figure 2. Epitope specificity of anti-β_2GPI antibodies in COVID-19 patients. Panel A. Three-dimensional structure of β_2GPI solved at 2.4 Å by X-ray crystallography (PDI ID: 6V06 [32]) displaying the positioning of the fragments used in this study. The N-terminal D1 is shown in red. The C-terminal D4-5 fragment is shown in blue. N-linked glycosylations are shown as magenta stick. Titers of anti-D1 **(panel B)** and anti-D4-5 antibodies **(panel C)** in 58 COVID-19 patients detected by chemiluminescence and ELISA, respectively. Values are expressed as median levels [first and third quartile]. Anti-D1(aD1): 3.6 [3.6 – 4.7]. Anti-D4-D5 (aD4-D5): 0.10 [0.068 – 0.199]. Cutoff values are >20 chemiluminescent units (CU) and >0.405 optical units (OD) for aD1 and aD4-D5, respectively.

Figure 3. Titers of aPS/PT antibodies detected by ELISA in COVID-19 patients. Values are expressed as median levels [first and third quartile]. aPS/PT IgG:13.6 [8 to 15.2]; aPS/PT IgM:11.4 [8 to 16.5] IgM. Cut-off of the assays was 30 units/ml.

Table 1. Prevalence of COVID-19 patients positive for aPL

	ELISA		CIA	
	aCL	aβ_2GPI	aCL CIA	aβ_2GPI CIA
IgG	5.7 (7)*	15.6 (19)	9.8 (12)	5.0 (6)
IgM	6.6 (8)	9.0 (11)	6.6 (8)	5.0 (6)
IgA	nd	6.6 (8)	2.5 (3)	0.8 (1)

*Values are expressed as percentage (n) of positive patients. aCL: anti-cardiolipin antibodies; aβ_2GPI: anti-β_2 glycoprotein I antibodies; ELISA: enzyme linked immunosorbent assay; CIA: chemiluminescence immunoassay; nd: not done.

Figure 1


A
aCL
Phospholipid Units (GPL/MPL)
200
100
0
COVID-19 IgG
APS IgG
COVID-19 IgM
APS IgM
B
aβ2GPI
OD at 405 nm
3
2
1
0
COVID-19 IgG
APS IgG
COVID-19 IgM
APS IgM
COVID-19 IgA

Figure 2



Figure 3