UV-C Tower for point-of-care Decontamination of filtering facepiece respirators

Badar J. Kayani[1†]**, Davis T. Weaver**[2†]**, Vishvaan Gopalakrishnan**[2]**, Eshan S. King**[2]**, Emily Dolson**[2]**, Nikhil Krishnan**[2]**, Julia Pelesko**[2]**, Michael J. Scott**[2]**, Masahiro Hitomi**[2]**, Jacob G. Scott**[2*]**, and Ian Charnas**[1*]

[1]Case Western Reserve University School of Engineering and Sears think[box], Cleveland, OH, USA
[2]Cleveland Clinic Lerner Research Institute and Case Western Reserve University School of Medicine, Cleveland, OH, USA
[†]Contributed equally
[*]scottj10@ccf.org, ian.charnas@case.edu

ABSTRACT

Filtering facepiece respirators (FFR) are critical for protecting essential personnel and limiting the spread of disease. Due to the current COVID-19 pandemic, FFR supplies are dwindling in many health systems, necessitating re-use of potentially contaminated FFR. Multiple decontamination solutions have been developed to meet this pressing need, including systems designed for bulk decontamination of FFR using vaprous hydrogen peroxide or UV-C radiation. However, the large scale on which these devices operate may not be logistically practical for small or rural health care settings or for *ad hoc* use at points-of-care. Here, we present the Synchronous UV Decontamination System (SUDS), a novel device for rapidly deployable, point-of-care decontamination using UV-C germicidal irradiation. We designed a compact, easy-to-use device capable of delivering over 2 J· cm^{-2} of UV-C radiation in one minute. This short decontamination time should enable care-providers to incorporate decontamination of FFR into a normal donning and doffing routine following patient encounters.

Disclaimer

License

Background and Current Challenges

Filtering facepiece respirators (FFR) are essential for protecting medical personnel and patients during outbreaks of infectious disease. In particular, the use of face shields, surgical masks, and N95 respirators are recommended for

Figure 1. Still photos of the SUDS prototype

infections that may be transmitted by respiratory droplets or airborne particles.[1] Due to the rapidly emergent nature of the novel coronavirus disease (COVID-19) and stringent requirements of proper FFR protocols, many hospitals are running dangerously low on these protective devices, to the point where they are sometimes re-used. As a result, both patients and their healthcare providers are at increased risk of contracting and spreading SARS-CoV-2, the virus responsible for COVID-19, among other pathogens.

One method of preserving our current supply of FFR is through cycles of decontamination and reuse with ultraviolet germicidal irradiation (UVGI). Substantial work has been done to evaluate the safety and efficacy of UVGI for decontamination of N95 filtering facepiece respirators (FFRs).[2–7] Recently, UVGI has also been used to facilitate decontamination and re-use of plastic face shields.[8] High energy UV-C rays can damage DNA and RNA, thus preventing the replication of microbes such as bacteria and viruses.[9]

Although there is no current consensus on the amount of UV radiation required to inactivate SARS-CoV-2, the UV dose required to inactivate 90% of single-stranded RNA viruses on gel media has been reported to be from 1.32 - 3.20 mJ $\cdot$ cm $^{-2}$.[2] These estimates represent the likely dose needed to inactivate COVID-19 on face shields, while porous materials like N95 masks or surgical masks present a different challenge. While more *in vitro* studies are needed to identify the dose required for safe decontamination, literature, and subsequently governmental guidelines, suggest that a dose of at least 1 J$\cdot$ cm $^{-2}$ is required to decontaminate FFR masks prior to re-use.[10]

Figure 2. Measurements of UV-C irradiance inside the SUDS system. A. Time-series measurements of UV-C irradiance by calibrated UV fluence meter. The line corresponds to the lowest reported UV-C measurement throughout the box at a given time point. This should present the most conservative estimate of our device's capabilities. **B**: Boxplot of UV-C irradiance measurements taken at 5 different positions throughout SUDS. Measurements were converted from UV-C irradiance to expected UV-C dose after one minute of exposure.

This relatively high required dose may make existing UVGI devices inefficient for decontamination in this context. For example, we previously described a protocol for the decontamination of FFR in biosafety cabinets available in academic laboratories. Achieving germicidal doses in these cabinets would require a minimum of 4.3 hours per-side,[7] limiting the ease of use and throughput capacity of these devices for UVGI. These data are summarized in a recently released CDC report.[10] UVGI and other decontamination methods are also summarized online at https://www.n95decon.org. Considering these data, we suggest that any UV-C decontamination solution should achieve a dose of at least 2 J$\cdot$cm^{-2} in a reasonable period of time.

Recently, FFR decontamination systems have been developed using vaporized hydrogen peroxide. These systems are designed to operate on large numbers of masks at a time. This approach allows for high throughput, making these systems a good solution for large hospitals. However, such large scale systems are less practical for smaller health care settings, particularly those in rural locations, or without established logistics for centralized collection and dissemination of FFR. Here, we propose a solution designed to fill this gap by enabling rapid decontamination of single masks at the point of care.

Proposed Solution

We developed a small-footprint UV-C tower device for decontamination of FFR in a point-of-care setting (Fig S2). Our device, the Synchronous UV Decontamination System (SUDS), is small enough to be placed on a nursing station counter and can deliver more than 2 J· cm $^{-2}$ of UV-C irradiation to all surfaces of a mask in about a minute (Fig 2). Care providers could use our device to rapidly decontaminate their mask between patient encounters during standard handwashing protocols. Our proposed decontamination workflow using SUDS is as follows: 1) care provider doffs mask and places it in SUDS, 2) while SUDS runs, care providers can replace gloves and wash hands, 3) SUDS door opens automatically and care provider removes decontaminated mask. This workflow ensures that a care provider can continue using the same mask, which minimizes the need for re-fitting, and obviates the need for collection and dissemination. Our design and all data presented in this paper are freely available on github.

Design

Our design features 8 high output UV-C bulbs contained in a compact tower that combine to provide a dose of >2 J· cm $^{-2}$ to a single mask in about 1 minute (Fig 2). The lights are surrounded by a reflector made out of EPTFE material which reflects the UV-C light back towards the mask to allow for maximum possible dosage, and minimizes spatial heterogeneities/shadowing effects. Users hang their mask from a UV-C transparent quartz rod that suspends the mask in the center of our UV-C array. Using fused quartz (which is nearly transparent to UV-C light) ensures that there is no shadowing that could lead to areas of incomplete decontamination. We tested these novel aspects for heterogeneity and shadowing reduction by measuring the UV-C irradiance throughout the device to ensure that our array delivered at least 2 J· cm $^{-2}$ of UV-C, regardless of the position within the device (Fig **??**).

The device is activated upon door closure and automatically deactivates after a single cycle of decontamination is completed. The door opens automatically at the end of the cycle, allowing the user to retrieve their mask (after hand washing), limiting the risk of re-contamination.

All of the tested UV-C lamps were found to have an optimal operating temperature of around 25 - 80 °C (Fig S1). In early prototypes, we found that overheating was a serious impediment to achieving a sufficient dose of UVGI. To maintain the correct temperature and ensure adequate UV-C output, we included a thermostat which controls cooling fans in the design. The fans turn on when temperature increases beyond a set threshold. The exhaust fan intake is lined with a MERV-13 filter to prevent circulation of viral particles outside of the decontamination chamber.

The door has a magnetic sensor and a solenoid latching mechanism to keep it closed during operation. This mechanical interlock ensures that the lights will not operate when the door is open even if the solenoid latch should

fail. The solenoid is actuated to release the spring loaded door after decontamination. The prototype is built out of fire-rated aluminum composite for its durability and low cost. All the main voltage electronics (including heavy ballasts and power supply) are located in the bottom of the unit to allow for better stability. The timers and relays are located in the top compartment. The entire unit runs on 110V AC supplied by a standard NEMA 5-15 plug.

Novelty

Our device and methodology put into practice several innovative ideas that we believe represent meaningful contributions to the field of UV-C decontamination devices.

Speed: Most importantly, our device operates very quickly, decontaminating an N95 mask in 60 seconds. This allows a care provider to decontaminate their own FFR while they are doffing FFR and performing hand washing hygiene. Due to the speed and portability of SUDS, all hospitals, even those without the staff and experience to organize a central decontamination system, should be able to successfully decontaminate their FFR with this system. We call this "point-of-care" decontamination because it integrates seamlessly into a care provider's workflow.

Highly Reflective Chamber: The inside surfaces of our device are covered with a porous EPTFE (expanded Teflon) polymer to create a highly reflective chamber to house the FFR, dramatically increasing the dose received and improving the likelihood of appropriate decontamination of FFR. Whereas aluminum (a typical commercial choice for chamber material) has a nominal UV-C reflectance of 73%, the porous EPTFE has a nominal reflectance of 97%[11]. This effect is dramatic when one considers that the light may have to reflect several times before hitting the FFR. As an illustration of this efficiency, after 10 reflections off aluminum, only 4.3% of the UV-C light will remain, whereas after 10 relfections off the porous EPTFE a much larger 73.7% of the UV-C light will remain. This reflective chamber design also ensures that both sides of the mask are decontaminated simultaneously, removing the need for users or the device to flip the mask, and ensuring both the patient-facing and health-care worker-facing sides are equally decontaminated.

No Shadowing: The FFR is held in place by a single hook made of fused quartz. This innovation is important to ensure the portion of the FFR's elastomeric strap that touches the hook still receives UV-C light. Because fused quartz is 80-90% transmissive in UV-C, it ensures this portion of the strap is not significantly shadowed and does receive UV-C dose.

Single-Door Auto-Open Chamber: Typically, UV-C decontamination chambers contain two doors: an in-feed door where the handle and door surface are presumed to be contaminated (touched with contaminated gloves for example), and an out-feed door where the handle and door surface are presumed to be uncontaminated (touched only with decontaminated hands). While this design is effective at preventing cross-contamination, it means care must be

taken to place the device in a location in the hospital where both doors will be accessible. This constraint limits where the device can be placed, potentially making it difficult to find a spot in already crowded nurse's stations or ICU hallways. In our device, a novel use of a single auto-opening door means this device can be placed against walls or in corners, making it easier to adopt into the clinical environment.

Active Cooling: We found that UV bulb output was highly dependent on bulb temperature (figure S1.). By implementing active cooling in our design, we are able to deliver predictably high doses of UV-C while avoiding temperature-mediated decreases in UV irradiance.

UV-C Sensor Range Extension: Most commercially available UV-C sensors can measure a maximum of 20-40 mW/cm2. Because our system outputs more than these maximum irradiances, we sought to attenuate our UV-C sensors with an affordable, optically-clear plastic having partial transparency in the UV-C range. The last consideration eliminated many common plastics including polycarbonate, polystyrene, and PMMA, which block nearly all UV-C light. A material search indicated Cellophane would meet our needs, and multiple layers of cellophane were employed to ensure the received luminance was within the sensor's operating range. We then corrected for this attenuation while processing the data. This method will be useful to any research group seeking to duplicate our results.

Discussion

Ideally, a new mask or respirator would be used for each individual to minimize the transmission of infectious diseases that are airborne or transmitted via respiratory droplets. However, crises such as the current COVID-19 pandemic can create shortages that necessitate measures to conserve FFR. Among potential methods for decontamination, previous work has suggested UVGI results in less physical deformation than bleach, microwave irradiation, and vaporized hydrogen peroxide. [5] Various groups have therefore begun decontaminating respiratory protective equipment themselves using UVGI and "homebrew" setups. For example, enterprising clinicians at the University of Nebraska Medical Center are stringing N95 respirators between two towers of UVGI bulbs placed on either side of a room in order to inactivate potential SARS-CoV-2 viral contaminants on the masks. [12] Many of the existing solutions, however, require collection and dissemination of masks to be decontaminated in "batches", adding logistical requirements to already busy workflows. Motivated by this, we designed the SUDS to fit directly into the workflow at the point-of-care to provide quick and easy decontamination of FFR.

Limitations

Despite the measures taken here to ensure adequate decontamination of FFR, following this protocol by no means guarantees complete sterilization. This device should be considered *only if* FFR *must be reused*. FFRs contain multiple layers of filtration, and respiratory droplets may penetrate into the inner layers. Though UV-C light has been shown to transmit into and through FFR materials, the transmittance of light ranges from 23-50% through the outer layer depending on the model of the FFR.[6] Therefore, the ability for UVGI to thoroughly sanitize FFRs may vary based on the ability for UV-C light to penetrate through to the internal filtering medium, which contributes the most filtration ability. Virologic testing to determine the degree of decontamination of the inner mask layers is ongoing. Variance in received dose due to the shape and model[6] of the FFRs may also contribute to incomplete decontamination.

As discussed in the background, UV-C-mediated degradation of polymers within the respirator is another possible concern. Fit and filtration testing of the N95 respirators used in a prior experiment did not reveal any decline in filtration efficiency following UV-C exposure[7]. Other testing also showed that, at 2 J$\cdot cm^{-2}$, N95 masks sustained at least 3 decontamination cycles[13]. Virologic validation of N95 masks radiated in our tower decontamination device is ongoing.

Design and Data Availability

The design and associated data is open source and publicly available.[14]

Acknowledgements

We would like to extend our special thanks to Miguel Zubizarreta, whose generous and timely gift made this and many other COVID rapid response projects possible. We would also like to thank Ainsley Buckner, Jason Bradshaw, Umit Erol, John Kasunich, Tyler Laseter, Jim McGuffin-Cawley, Nathan McMullen, Larry Sears, Gary Wnek, and Jim Wyant for their thoughtful contributions to this work.

Author contributions statement

This was a massive team effort with everyone contributing their specific expertise (Fig 3):

Repeat Disclaimer

This article does not represent the official recommendation of the Cleveland Clinic or Case Western Reserve University, nor has it yet been peer reviewed.

Figure 3. Author contributions

References

1. NIOSH. Niosh guide to the selection and use of particulate respirators certified under 42 cfr 84 (1996).

2. Tseng, C.-C. & Li, C.-S. Inactivation of viruses on surfaces by ultraviolet germicidal irradiation. *Journal of Occupational and Environmental Hygiene* **4**, 400–405 (2007).

3. Mills, D., Harnish, D. A., Lawrence, C., Sandoval-Powers, M. & Heimbuch, B. K. Ultraviolet germicidal irradiation of influenza-contaminated n95 filtering facepiece respirators. *American journal of infection control* **46**, e49–e55 (2018).

4. Lindsley, W. G. *et al.* Effects of ultraviolet germicidal irradiation (uvgi) on n95 respirator filtration performance and structural integrity. *Journal of Occupational and Environmental Hygiene* **12**, 509–517, DOI: 10.1080/15459624.2015.1018518 (2015). PMID: 25806411, https://doi.org/10.1080/15459624.2015.1018518.

5. Viscusi, D. J. e. a. Evaluation of five decontamination methods for filtering facepiece respirators. *The Annals of Occupational Hygiene* (2009).

6. Fisher, E. M. & Shaffer, R. E. A method to determine the available uv-c dose for the decontamination of filtering facepiece respirators. *Journal of applied microbiology* **110**, 287–295 (2011).

7. Theory Division, C. C. L. R. I. *et al.* UV Sterilization of Personal Protective Equipment with Idle Laboratory Biosafety Cabinets During the Covid-19 Pandemic. *medRxiv* 2020.03.25.20043489, DOI: 10.1101/2020.03.25.20043489 (2020).

8. She, R. C. *et al.* Build-at-home uv-c disinfection system for healthcare settings (2020). 2003.12916.

9. Kowalski, W. *Ultraviolet germicidal irradiation handbook: UVGI for air and surface disinfection* (Springer science & business media, 2010).

10. COVID-19 Decontamination and Reuse of Filtering Facepiece Respirators | CDC.

11. Crystal IS. Using UV Reflective Materials To Maximize Disinfection. Tech. Rep. (2016).

12. Lowe, J. J. *et al.* N95 filtering facemask respirator ultraviolet germicidal irridation (uvgi) process for decontamination and reuse. Tech. Rep., Nebraska Medicine (2020).

13. Fischer, R. *et al.* Assessment of n95 respirator decontamination and re-use for sars-cov-2. *medRxiv* DOI: 10.1101/2020.04.11.20062018 (2020). https://www.medrxiv.org/content/early/2020/04/24/2020.04.11.20062018.full.pdf.

14. Kayani, B. J., Weaver, D., Gopalakrishnan, V. & Dolson, E. SUDS github repository, DOI: 10.5281/zenodo.3898006 (2020).