

1 **Effect of evacuation of Japanese residents from Wuhan, China, on preventing**
2 **transmission of novel coronavirus infection: a modelling study**

3
4 Yusuke Asai¹, yuasai@ri.ncgm.go.jp

5 Shinya Tsuzuki^{1, 2*}, stsuzuki@hosp.ncgm.go.jp

6 Satoshi Kutsuna³, skutsuna@hosp.ncgm.go.jp

7 Kayoko Hayakawa^{1,3}, khayakawa@hosp.ncgm.go.jp

8 Norio Ohmagari^{1,3} nohmagari@hosp.ncgm.go.jp

9 ¹AMR Clinical Reference Center, National Center for Global Health and Medicine,
10 Tokyo, Japan

11 ²Faculty of Medicine and Health Sciences, University of Antwerp, Antwerp, Belgium

12 ³Disease Control and Prevention Center, National Center for Global Health and
13 Medicine, Tokyo, Japan

14

15 ***Corresponding author**

16 Contact address: 1-21-1 Toyama, Shinjuku-ku, Tokyo, 162-8655, Japan

17 TEL: +81(0)3-3202-7181

18 Keywords: COVID-19, mathematical model, evacuation

19 **Abstract**

20 **Objective:** In late January 2020, the Japanese government carried out three evacuations
21 by aircraft from Wuhan, China, to avoid further cases of coronavirus disease 2019
22 (COVID-19) among Wuhan’s Japanese residents. Evacuation by aircraft may be an
23 effective countermeasure against outbreaks of infectious diseases, but evidence of its
24 effect is scarce. This study estimated how many COVID-19 cases were prevented
25 among the Japanese residents of Wuhan by the evacuation countermeasure.

26 **Results:** Eleven imported COVID-19 cases were reported on Feb 1 from among the
27 total 566 evacuees who returned to Japan. In the case of no evacuations being made, the
28 cumulative number of COVID-19 cases among Wuhan’s Japanese residents was
29 estimated to reach 25 (95% CI [20, 29]) on Feb 8 and 34 (95% CI [28, 40]) on Feb
30 15. A 1-week delay in the evacuation might be led to 14 additional cases and a 2-week
31 delay to 23 additional cases. Evacuation by aircraft can contribute substantially to
32 reducing the number of infected cases in the initial stage of the outbreak.

33

34 **Introduction**

35 Starting at the end of December 2019, Wuhan city in China reported an increasing
36 number of cases of coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-2019) infection [1, 2]. Japan's
37 Ministry of Health, Labour and Welfare (MHLW) decided to deploy charter flights to
38 evacuate Japanese residents of Wuhan over 3 consecutive days, on Jan 29, 30, and 31
39 [3]. This marked the first time that the Japanese government has carried out evacuations
40 as a countermeasure against an infectious disease outbreak. Evacuation by aircraft may
41 be an effective countermeasure to reduce the number of infected cases during an
42 outbreak, but there is little quantitative evidence of its effect. Thus, the main objective
43 of this study was to elucidate the effect of such intervention quantitatively.

44

45 **Main text**

46 **Data sources**

47 For this modelling study, we used data on the number of COVID-19 cases confirmed in
48 Hubei Province for the period Jan 10-19, 2020 from a report by Wu et al. [4] and for the
49 period Jan 20-Feb 16, 2020 from the World Health Organization (WHO) situation
50 reports [2]. We excluded data after Feb 17 due to a change in case definition.

51 We collected pharyngeal swab specimens to determine the number of

52 COVID-19 cases among the Japanese evacuees who returned on the chartered flights.

53 The 536 evacuees answered a questionnaire about their symptoms and contact history

54 and provided the pharyngeal swab specimens for PCR testing at that time.

55

56 **Model structure**

57 We applied the following

58 susceptible-exposed-moderate-asymptomatic-infectious-recovered (SEMAIR) model to

59 simulate the epidemic in Hubei Province:

$$\frac{dS}{dt} = -p \beta_0 S(t) (M(t) + A(t)) - \beta_0 S(t) I(t),$$

$$\frac{dE}{dt} = p \beta_0 S(t) (M(t) + A(t)) + \beta_0 S(t) I(t) - \sigma E(t),$$

$$\frac{dM}{dt} = \sigma E(t) - \epsilon M(t),$$

$$\frac{dA}{dt} = (1 - \delta) \epsilon M(t) - \gamma A(t),$$

$$\frac{dI}{dt} = \delta \epsilon M(t) - \gamma I(t),$$

$$\frac{dR}{dt} = \gamma A(t) + \gamma I(t).$$

60 We created the model based on the assumptions that a substantial proportion of patients

61 are asymptomatic or pauci-symptomatic (as suggested by the clinical characteristics of

62 COVID-19) [5–7] and that transmission may be possible in mild cases (which include

63 asymptomatic and pauci-symptomatic ones) as well as moderate cases) [5, 8, 9], but

64 only some cases become critically ill. Hence we additionally introduced “moderate”
65 compartment M and “asymptomatic” compartment A into the standard
66 susceptible-exposed-infectious-recovered (SEIR) model [10, 11] to create the
67 abovementioned SEMAIR structure. The model structure is shown in Figure 1 and
68 detailed description of each parameter is in Appendix.

69

70 (Figure 1)

71

72 In the early phase of an outbreak when it is not yet recognised as such, the
73 diagnosis rate is considered to be small and not all cases are detectable [4, 12–14]. The
74 diagnosis rate will increase over the course of a pandemic, so we introduce
75 time-dependent function $a(t)$ to represent each day’s ascertainment rate, which
76 reflects people’s awareness of COVID-19. Here we assume that $a(t)$ is a logarithmic
77 growth curve and that the number of reported cases $C(t)$ is given by

78
$$C(t) = a(t) I(t) = \frac{1}{1 + \exp(-k(t - t_0 - D))} I(t).$$

79

80 In addition to the change in diagnosis rate over time, people pay more attention to
81 prevention and start wearing masks and washing their hands more frequently as public

82 awareness increases. It is reported that such behavioural changes lower transmission
83 rate β_0 and this effect is captured by

$$\beta(t) = \beta_0 r (1 - a(t)) = \beta^* (1 - a(t)),$$

84 where r is a proportionality constant and $\beta^* = \beta_0 r$.

85

86 **Results**

87 Parameter values were randomly generated based on the estimated parameter
88 values and their 95% CIs, and 10,000 numerical simulations were carried out to obtain
89 the upper and lower bounds for the total number of COVID-19 patients in Hubei
90 Province. The estimated parameter values are shown in Table 1.

91

92 (Table 1)

93

94 Estimated number of cases by SEMAIR model is shown in Figure 2. In lower
95 left small panels, the brown dots show the total number of COVID-19 cases and the
96 pink dots and shaded region show the 95% CI for Wuhan (612,475 [397,256,
97 827,695]) and Hubei Province (590,873 [487,794, 693,951]) as of Feb 16, 2020. The
98 estimated parameters were slightly different between the Wuhan population-based

99 analysis and the Hubei population-based analysis and the 95% CI was wider for the
100 former than the latter, but the estimated total number of COVID-19 patients agreed well
101 between them.

102 Incidence rates in the Wuhan and Hubei populations were estimated as
103 $612,475/(1.1 \times 10^7)$ and $590,873/(5.9 \times 10^7)$, respectively, and the estimated
104 numbers of cases [95% CI] among Japanese evacuees as of Feb 1 were 31 [20, 42]
105 and 6 [5, 7], respectively, which give bounds for over- and underestimation of Japanese
106 evacuee cases. The actual number of cases among Japanese evacuees was 11, which lies
107 between these estimates. The incidence rate among Japanese evacuees was $0.0195 =$
108 $11/564$. By applying this rate to the Wuhan and Hubei population-based estimations,
109 cumulative numbers of COVID-19 cases among Wuhan's Japanese residents of were
110 estimated as 25 [16, 33] and 25 [20, 29] on Feb 8 and as 34 [22, 46] and 34 [28, 40]
111 on Feb 15, respectively, if the evacuation had not been carried out. As of Feb 1, there
112 were 11 diagnosed cases among the evacuees, so a 1-week delay in the evacuation is
113 estimated to lead to 14 additional cases and a 2-week delay to 23 additional cases. The
114 details of estimated number of cases among Wuhan's Japanese residents are shown in
115 the right panel of Figure 2.

116

117 (Figure 2)

118

119 **Sensitivity analysis**

120 We conducted sensitivity analyses for fixed parameters to reflect uncertainty. The mean
121 values always stayed within 30 to 40 cases and details are shown in Appendix.

122

123 **Discussion**

124 To our knowledge, this is the first study to investigate the effect of evacuation by
125 aircraft as a countermeasure against an emerging infectious disease outbreak. Our
126 results showed that evacuation by aircraft can contribute substantially in the short term
127 to reducing the total cumulative number of patients.

128 One of the strengths of this study is that we could use all test results from the
129 evacuees, including actual prevalence. It is noteworthy that six of 11 PCR-positive cases
130 were asymptomatic. Furthermore, most of these 11 cases had no obvious contact history
131 with patients with COVID-19 or influenza-like illness. These characteristics are
132 compatible with the long duration of comparatively mild illnesses and a large number of
133 unreported cases [5, 7, 8, 14–19]. Given this information, we modified the existing
134 SEIR model to a SEMAIR model in order to reflect the characteristics of COVID-19.

135 In conclusion, evacuation by aircraft might be an effective countermeasure
136 against an emerging infectious disease outbreak. However, further investigation is
137 needed to assess the impact of this countermeasure more precisely.

138

139 **Limitations**

140 We recognise several limitations of our analysis. First, we assumed homogeneity
141 between Wuhan’s Japanese and Chinese residents, but presumably the two populations
142 have some differences in lifestyle and behaviour and if that is the case, frequency of
143 effective contact would be different. A previous study showed that Japanese have more
144 frequent social contact than people in European countries [20, 21], but there is no
145 evidence on social contact in China in the literature. Accordingly, both overestimation
146 and underestimation should be considered when interpreting our results. Second, we
147 fixed some of the parameters in accordance with a previous modelling study. Because
148 these parameter values vary due to each model’s assumption, it is not clear whether
149 fixed parameter values appropriately reflect reality or not. Nevertheless, the results of
150 the sensitivity analyses indicate the validity of our analyses. Third, it is difficult to
151 assess true “effectiveness” of evacuation as a countermeasure against a COVID-19
152 outbreak. While the number of cases averted by the intervention can be estimated, the

153 impact of the intervention on public health is still not apparent. In addition, the Japanese
154 government restricted the movement of evacuees after their check-up at National Center
155 for Global Health and Medicine (NCGM), and this is thought to have prevented the
156 spread of COVID-19 inside Japan. Restriction of movement is another effect of
157 evacuation, but our estimation did not take it into consideration. This might be another
158 topic appropriate for modelling studies in the future.

159

160

161

162 **Abbreviations**

163 COVID-19: coronavirus disease 2019; MHLW: Ministry of Health, Labour and Welfare

164 Japan; WHO: World Health Organization; NCGM: National Center for Global Health

165 and Medicine, Tokyo, Japan; SEMAIR:

166 susceptible-exposed-moderate-asymptomatic-infectious-recovered; SEIR:

167 susceptible-exposed-infectious-recovered; CI: confidence interval.

168

169

170 **Declarations**

171 **Ethics approval and consent to participate**

172 This study was approved by the Ethics Committee of National Center for Global Health

173 and Medicine, Tokyo, Japan (Approval Number NCGM-G-003475-00).

174

175 **Consent for publication**

176 Not applicable.

177

178 **Availability of data and materials**

179 The datasets used and/or analysed during the current study are available from the
180 corresponding author on reasonable request.

181

182 **Competing interests**

183 We declare no competing interests.

184

185 **Funding**

186 No funder supported this study.

187

188 **Authors' contributions**

189 ST and YA conceived the study. YA and ST constructed the model and ran simulations.

190 ST drafted the first manuscript and YA modified it. SK, KH and ST collected a part of

191 data used in this study. SK, KH, and NO critically reviewed the manuscript and all

192 authors approved the final version of the manuscript.

193

194 **Acknowledgments**

195 Not applicable.

196

197

References

198

199 1. Zhu N, Zhang D, Wang W, Li X, Yang B, Song J, et al. A Novel Coronavirus from
200 Patients with Pneumonia in China, 2019. *New England Journal of Medicine*.
201 2020;0:null.

202 2. World Health Organization. Novel Coronavirus (2019-nCoV) situation reports.
203 <https://www.who.int/emergencies/diseases/novel-coronavirus-2019/situation-reports>.
204 Accessed 4 Feb 2020.

205 3. Shimazu S. Nikkei Business Online (in Japanese). Returnee from Wuhan city by the
206 chartered flights were “not isolated.”
207 <https://business.nikkei.com/atcl/gen/19/00002/012801051/>. Accessed 4 Feb 2020.

208 4. Wu P, Hao X, Lau EHY, Wong JY, Leung KSM, Wu JT, et al. Real-time tentative
209 assessment of the epidemiological characteristics of novel coronavirus infections in
210 Wuhan, China, as at 22 January 2020. *Eurosurveillance*. 2020;25:2000044.

211 5. Tian S, Hu N, Lou J, Chen K, Kang X, Xiang Z, et al. Characteristics of COVID-19
212 infection in Beijing. *Journal of Infection*. 2020. doi:10.1016/j.jinf.2020.02.018.

213 6. Tong Z-D, Tang A, Li K-F, Li P, Wang H-L, Yi J-P, et al. Potential Presymptomatic
214 Transmission of SARS-CoV-2, Zhejiang Province, China, 2020. *Emerging Infect Dis*.
215 2020;26.

216 7. Bai Y, Yao L, Wei T, Tian F, Jin D-Y, Chen L, et al. Presumed Asymptomatic Carrier
217 Transmission of COVID-19. *JAMA*. 2020. doi:10.1001/jama.2020.2565.

218 8. Guan W, Ni Z, Hu Y, Liang W, Ou C, He J, et al. Clinical Characteristics of
219 Coronavirus Disease 2019 in China. *New England Journal of Medicine*. 2020;0:null.

220 9. Kam K, Yung CF, Cui L, Lin Tzer Pin R, Mak TM, Maiwald M, et al. A Well Infant
221 with Coronavirus Disease 2019 (COVID-19) with High Viral Load. *Clin Infect Dis*.
222 doi:10.1093/cid/ciaa201.

223 10. Kermack WO, McKendrick AG. Contributions to the mathematical theory of
224 epidemics—I. *Bulletin of mathematical biology*. 1991;53:33–55.

- 225 11. Hethcote HW. The Mathematics of Infectious Diseases. *SIAM Review*.
226 2000;42:599–653.
- 227 12. Huang C, Wang Y, Li X, Ren L, Zhao J, Hu Y, et al. Clinical features of patients
228 infected with 2019 novel coronavirus in Wuhan, China. *The Lancet*. 2020;0.
229 doi:10.1016/S0140-6736(20)30183-5.
- 230 13. Yu P, Zhu J, Zhang Z, Han Y, Huang L. A familial cluster of infection associated
231 with the 2019 novel coronavirus indicating potential person-to-person transmission
232 during the incubation period. *J Infect Dis*. 2020.
- 233 14. Wang W, Tang J, Wei F. Updated understanding of the outbreak of 2019 novel
234 coronavirus (2019-nCoV) in Wuhan, China. *J Med Virol*. 2020;92:441–7.
- 235 15. Li Q, Guan X, Wu P, Wang X, Zhou L, Tong Y, et al. Early Transmission Dynamics
236 in Wuhan, China, of Novel Coronavirus–Infected Pneumonia. *New England Journal of*
237 *Medicine*. 2020;0:null.
- 238 16. Riou J, Althaus CL. Pattern of early human-to-human transmission of Wuhan 2019
239 novel coronavirus (2019-nCoV), December 2019 to January 2020. *Eurosurveillance*.
240 2020;25:2000058.
- 241 17. Chen N, Zhou M, Dong X, Qu J, Gong F, Han Y, et al. Epidemiological and clinical
242 characteristics of 99 cases of 2019 novel coronavirus pneumonia in Wuhan, China: a
243 descriptive study. *The Lancet*. 2020;0. doi:10.1016/S0140-6736(20)30211-7.
- 244 18. Hayakawa K, Kutsuna S, Kawamata T, Sugiki Y, Nonaka C, Tanaka K, et al.
245 SARS-CoV-2 infection among returnees on charter flights to Japan from Hubei, China:
246 a report from National Center for Global Health and Medicine. *Global Health &*
247 *Medicine*. 2020;2:107–11.
- 248 19. Cheng H-Y, Jian S-W, Liu D-P, Ng T-C, Huang W-T, Lin H-H. Contact Tracing
249 Assessment of COVID-19 Transmission Dynamics in Taiwan and Risk at Different
250 Exposure Periods Before and After Symptom Onset. *JAMA Intern Med*. 2020.
251 doi:10.1001/jamainternmed.2020.2020.
- 252 20. Mossong J, Hens N, Jit M, Beutels P, Auranen K, Mikolajczyk R, et al. Social
253 contacts and mixing patterns relevant to the spread of infectious diseases. *PLoS*
254 *medicine*. 2008;5:e74.

255 21. Ibuka Y, Ohkusa Y, Sugawara T, Chapman GB, Yamin D, Atkins KE, et al. Social
256 contacts, vaccination decisions and influenza in Japan. *J Epidemiol Community Health*.
257 2016;70:162–7.

258

259

260 **Figure legends**

261

262 **Figure 1. Model structure**

263 White boxes show each compartment S (Susceptible), E (Exposed), M (Moderate), A
264 (Asymptomatic), I (Infected), and R (Recovered) and arrows represent movement of
265 each individual.

266

267 **Figure 2. Total number of COVID-19 patients estimated by the SEMAIR model**
268 **and estimated cumulative number of Japanese cases in Wuhan if the evacuations**
269 **had not been carried out**

270 The four left small panels show the number of patients estimated by the SEMAIR
271 model.

272 The left two panels show the estimation of cumulative number of confirmed cases and
273 number of individuals in each compartment based on the Wuhan population.

274 The right two panels show the estimation of cumulative number of confirmed cases and
275 number of individuals in each compartment based on the Hubei Province population.

276 Bar plots on the upper panels show the reported number of confirmed cases and dots
277 and triangles show the estimation by spline method and SEMAIR model, respectively.

278 Blue triangles and brown dots on the lower panels represent the estimated number of
279 reported cases and the total number of cases (reported and unreported), respectively.
280 Pink dots and the shaded region represent the 95% confidence intervals.
281 The right large panel shows expected cumulative number of Japanese cases in Wuhan.
282 Green square represents the observed number of Japanese patients on Feb 1. The shaded
283 region represents the 95% confidence intervals.
284
285


286 **Table 1. Values of parameters estimated in SEMAIR model for the Wuhan and**

287 **Hubei population**

	Transmission rate: β^*	Transition rate from M to I : δ	Growth rate of logistic curve: k	Time when $a(t) = 1/2$: D	Coefficient of infectiousness for M and A : p
Wuhan	3.11	0.046	0.34	13.38	0.73
population	[3.00, 3.22]	[0.029, 0.063]	[0.32, 0.35]	[12.77, 13.99]	[0.63, 0.82]
Hubei	3.10	0.047	0.34	13.40	0.72
population	[3.09, 3.11]	[0.038, 0.056]	[0.33, 0.35]	[13.40, 14.41]	[0.68, 0.76]

288

289



Compartments

S : Susceptible

A : Asymptomatic

E : Exposed

I : Infected

M : Moderate

R : Recovered

Parameters

β_0 : Transmission rate



σ : Duration of incubation period

γ : Recovery rate

p : Coefficient of infectiousness

ϵ : Duration of mild illness

δ : Transition rate

Cumulative number of confirmed cases in Hubei ($\times 10^4$)Cumulative number of cases in Hubei ($\times 10^6$)

Estimated number of cases

