Mathematical Modeling of Coronavirus Reproduction Rate with Policy and Behavioral Effects

Rabeya anzum,[1] Md. Zahidul Islam*[2]

1.Department of Electrical and Computer Engineering, Kulliyyah (Faculty) of Engineering, International Islamic University Malaysia, Kuala Lumpur, Malaysia. P.O. Box 10, 50728 Kuala Lumpur.

2. AIKOL, International Islamic University Malaysia, Kuala Lumpur, Malaysia. P.O. Box 10, 50728 Kuala Lumpur.

Corresponding Author: Email: zahidul@iium.edu.my

Abstract: In this paper a modified mathematical model based on the SIR model used which can predict the spreading of the corona virus disease 2019 (COVID-19) and its vulnerability in the days ahead. This model takes into account all the death, infected and recovered characteristics of this disease. To determine the extent of the risk posed by this novel coronavirus the transmission rate (Ro) was utilized with the beginning of the number of newly infected individuals from a single case. In particular, it includes a novel policy to capture the Ro response in the virus spreading over time. The model estimates the vulnerability of the pandemic with a prediction of new cases by estimating a time-varying R0 to capture changes in the behavior in SIR model and with new policy taken at different times and with different intensities in different locations. This modified SIR model with the different values of R0 can be applied to different country scenario using the data report provided by the authorities on this pandemic. The effective evaluation of R0 can forecast the necessity of lockdown as well as reopening the economy.

Keywords: Mathematical model, SIR model, COVID-19, Coronavirus, SARS-CoV-2, Pandemic, Parameter estimation.

Introduction:

Mathematical modeling of the any disease spreading is a very important tool to predict the possible number of infected people as well as the spreading scenario.[1], [2], [3], [4]. As every disease have its own specific biological characteristics so to tackle real life situations, the established models need to be modified with respect to the specific cases. [5], [6].

In December 2019, Coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19) emerged in China and then rapidly spread all over the world [7]. The World Health Organization (WHO) renamed the epidemic disease caused by 2019-nCoV as strain severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus 2 (SARS-CoV-2) on 11 February 2020 [8], [9].

This is a new virus and a completely new situation [10] and there is no vaccine specifically designed for this virus. In this situation, on 30 January 2020, World Health Organization (WHO) declared it to be a Public Health Emergency of Global Concern [11]. As of 20 May 2020, the disease was confirmed in more than 4998097 cases reported globally and there are 325304 death cases reported. World health Organisation (WHO) declared it to be a pandemic, the first one caused by a coronavirus [12].

In this paper, the literature review consists of some relevant mathematical models which tried to describe the dynamics of the evolution of COVID-19. Some of the phenomenological models tried to generate and assess short-term forecasts of the cumulative number based on reported cases. The SIR model is a traditional one to predict the vulnerability of a pandemic can be used to predict the future scenario of coronavirus cases. However this model is modified [13], [14] including other variables to calibrate the possible infection rate with time being.

Coronavirus transmission (how quickly the disease spreads) is indicated by its reproduction number (Ro, pronounced as R-nought or r-zero), which indicates the actual number of people to whom the virus can be transmitted by a single infected individual.

WHO predicts (on Jan. 23) that Ro would be between 1.4 and 2.5. Other research measured Ro with various values somewhere between 3.6 and 4.0, and 2.24. The long used value of Ro is 1.3 for flu and 2.0 for SARS [15] [2].In this research the SIR model is simulated taking a constant value of Ro =5 to observe the response. Since reducing the face to face contact among people and staying home in lockdown can improve in reducing the further infection rate, Ro is taken as a time varying constant rather than a fixed one to observe the overall scenario of coronavirus spreading. The organization of the paper is as follows: A brief study of some mathematical models correlated to coronavirus spreading is extracted in section 2 literature review part, section 3 briefs the SIR and Modified sir Model .Section 4 is result and discussion part and section 5 concludes the paper.

Literature Review:

The SIR epidemic model which is used in this work is one of the simplest compartmental models firstly used by Kermack and McKendrick (1927). Compartmental model denotes mathematical modelling of infectious diseases where the population is separated in various compartments for example, S, I, or R, (Susceptible, Infectious, or Recovered).Many works has been undergone during the coronavirus pandemics utilizing the compartmental models [16], [17] and Imperial College COVID-19 Response Team (2020) provides a useful overview of this class of model to show that these models can be applied to understand the current health crisis. Much interest has been generated among economists to identify the impact of current pandemic on economic sectors by exploring compartmental models along with standard economic models using econometric techniques. [18], [19].

It was argued by the economists that many of the parameters controlling the move among compartments are not structural however it depends on individual decisions and policies. For example, according to Eichenbaum et al. [20] and Farboodi, Jarosch and Shimer [21], the number of new infections is a function of the endogenous labor supply and consumption choices of individuals which is determined by the rate of contact and by the standard decision theory models the rate of contact is amenable. Similarly, the death rates and recovery are not just clinical parameters. It can be functions of policy decisions for example expanded emergency hospital capacity regarding the allocation of scarce medical resources. Also, the case fatality ratio is a complex function of clinical factors for example the severity of

a virus. The selection-into-disease mechanism are themselves partly the product of endogenous choices [22].

Moreover concerning with the identification problems of compartmental models economists Atkeson [23] and Korolev [24] have found that these models lack many set of parameters that is able to fit the observed data efficiently before the long-run consequences. Some of the researchers Linton [25] and Kucinskas [26] used time-series models from the econometric tradition other than using compartmental models. Instead, they have relied on.

However many of the economists are pushing the study of compartmental models in a multitude of dimensions. Acemoglu et al. [27] and Alvarez et al. [28] identified that the optimal lockdown policy for a planner who wants to control the fatalities of a pandemic while minimizing the output costs of the lockdown. Berger et al. [29] examine the role of testing and case-dependent quarantines. Bethune and Korinek [30] estimate the infection externalities associated withCOVID-19. Bodenstein et al. [31] examine a compartmental model with a multi sector dynamic general equilibrium model. Other researcher like Garriga, Manuelli and Sanghi [32], Hornstein [33], and Karin et al. [34] study a variety of containment policies. Toda [35], estimated a SIRD model to explores the optimal mitigation policy that controls the timing and intensity of social distancing. Flavio Toxvaerd [36] also developed a simple economic model emphasizing on endogenous social distancing.

Furthermore, economists commented that disease transmission is no more a biological constant rather it varies with human behavior while human behavior can be predicted in response to incentives. A Multi-Risk SIR Model with Optimally Targeted Lockdown provided by Daron Acemoglu et al [37] which is an epidemiology models with economic incentives.

Many author in their paper arguing that herd immunity may be much lower if the super spreaders like people in nursing homes, emergency room technicians, bus drivers, etc are set to immune first.

The purpose of this paper is to builds on these ideas by allowing the infection rates to be influenced by social distancing and by letting many parameters to vary with time implies to the demographic and policy heterogeneity.

Mathematical Modeling:

According to the conventional SIR model , there is a constant population of N people, each of whom may be in one of five states with respect to time implies that:

$$S_t + I_t + R_t + D_t + C_t = N$$

Where the parameters denotes:

S = susceptible,

I = infected (and infectious),

R =resolving, i.e. sick but not infectious,

D = Number of dead from corona virus,

C = Number of recovered and immune,

N = Number of total population.

A susceptible person contracts the disease by coming into "adequate" contact with an infectious person, assumed to occur at rate βtIt/N, where βt is a time-varying contact rate parameter.

A.Modified-SIR model :

The long aged SIR model used to predict the vulnerability of pandemic may not applicable to corona virus cases since this model assumes the reproduction rate as a constant. The virus seems to be diminished when affected people will be recovered. The affected people by corona virus are highly contagious to other people who come in contact to them. Thus the spreading of it increasing day by day. Therefore, a mathematical model of spreading the virus can help predicting its vulnerability and efficient measures can be taken to lowering the contact rate.

The modified SIR model is presented by Chad Jones and JesúsFernández-Villaverde [38]:

$\Delta \mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{t+1}} = -\beta S_t I_t / N$ (Suceptable)

$\Delta \mathrm{I}_{\mathrm{t+1}} = \frac{\beta S_t I_t}{N} - \gamma I_t$(Infected)

$\Delta \mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{T+1}} = \gamma I_t - \theta R_t$(Resolving)

$\Delta \mathrm{D}_{\mathrm{t+1}} = \delta \theta R_t$(Dead)

$\Delta \mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{t+1}} = (1-\delta)\theta R_t$(Recovered)

Where ,β= Number of contacts per day.Since by lowering the further reproduction rate does not stop the disease immediately, the modified model uses exponential decays other than fixed timeframe to be diminished. A person who can be affected meets β people per day. Therefore, 1/N of them is becoming infected each day, so that $\beta S_t I_t / N$ is the number of infected people each day. The parameters varies over time to capture behavioral or policy changes such as social distancing.

Therefore the modified SIR model can be parameterized in terms of the R_0 =reproduction rate.

$$R_0 = \beta/\gamma$$

The number of infection spreading from a sick person = number of contacts per day × the number of days contacts are infectious (on average).

In the standard SIR model a constant β was used and hence we use a constant R_0 =reproduction rate. Eventually the exponential growth of the disease then the growth can be confined by the reducing the number of susceptible people in the total population. Each of the infected people is considered to be recovered people. But it cannot be happen because of the death from corona virus. The SIR model lowered β. However, by lowering the reproduction rate R_0 , the further spread of coronavirus can be suppressed.

B. Behavioral SIR Model:

After the lockdown most of the people reduced their contacts which reduce the proportionally of the increased number of infectious people. Since β is the rate of susceptible person so that a logarithmic function can be used as it can't be negative.

$$\log(\beta_t) = \log(\beta_0) - \alpha_I I_t/N_t$$

However, due to absence of sufficient continuous testing the number of infectious people at any time cannot be predicted. Therefore the further expansion model can be expressed as utilizing the current death rate:

$$\log(\beta_t) = \log(\beta_0) - \alpha_D \Delta \mathrm{D}_t/N$$

The log also captures the idea that early declines in are easy, by eliminating super spreading activities. Later declines in are more costly.

C. Parameter estimation:

According to the Chad and Jesús numbers,

γ =0.2 which is used for 5 days of infectiousness on average,

θ=0.1 implies that 10 more days on average with the disease for which it can cause death before it resolves.

δ= =0.08 (0.8%death rate).

$\mathrm{R}_0 = 5$(used in the modified SIR model),Implies that $\beta_0 = \gamma\ \mathrm{R}_0 = 1$

As per modified SIR model $R_0^* = 0.5$ and $\beta^* = 0.1$

To estimate α, β=β^* .

For 50 daily deaths per million and assuming $\mathrm{R}_0 = 0.5$, α_D can be solved using the following:

$$\log(.1) = \log(1) - \alpha_D 50/10\text{^}6$$

If the death rate is 1%, therefore the calibration of α becomes at an infection rate of 5000 per million or 0.5%.

$$\log(.1) = \log(1) - \alpha_D (\frac{5000}{10^6})$$



Here the reproduction rate is assumed as a function of deaths per million. The red dot is the calibration point of 50 deaths per day and $\mathrm{R}_0 = 0.5$. The red dashed line is a much more aggressive response, which is elaborated later.

Results and Discussion:

The simulation of the standard SIR model with these selected parameters and values is as follow:



From the graph, the first day starts with a single infected person then the graph grows exponentially with the increasing number of new infected people while it peaks up at about half the population. However, around day 25 the herd immunity starts and the number of infected people was in the pick. The number of sick people who are resolving peaks after few days. The pandemic period is over 2 months and it is expected to go away after that. While everyone of the total 1 million populations get infected and that results into 0.8% or 8000 death.

Furthermore, is the simulation of modified SIR model, in which the system reacts by lowering the contact rate with the varied Ro is as follow:



The graph shows that about 4000 people will be infected but not the total 1 million populations. The pandemic gets going exponentially (blue line), while the infection rate reach 1000 per million there is a noticeable sharp reduction in the further reproduction rate (black colored dashed line).

The reproduction rate is always asymptotes to one in this model, with a steady number of infections and a steady number of deaths per day, until finally after years and years we get herd immunity and all efforts to reduce contacts are turned off. Assuming the reproduction rate is asymptotes to $R_0 = 1$, the following graph shows:



This simulation graph shows the much stronger response, is raised by a factor of 5 showed in the red dashed line in the previous graph. In this graph from the vertical scale it is clear that, the less people are vulnerable to the infections implies that the overall rate of infection is comparatively low. Being stricter about the social gathering and possible contacts the situation doesn't change since the reproduction rate is still asymptotes to one. However in this scenario, we get lower infections on daily basis.

After reducing the number of infected people over time, letting R0 vary over time and growing by a factor of 2 from time 0 to time 100 the following graph shows:



Therefore the simulation of modified SIR model (when people respond to the death rate rather than the infection rate) gives the following graph:



Since deaths occur by the infections after a few weeks, the death rate is steady over time. The pandemic may got out of control with high deaths in the first wave while by taken possible measures and consciousness of people to avoid contact can reduce the infection rate and death rate. Furthermore, after lowering the death rate people ease up and a second wave of infection rate appears so forth. Therefore, the reproduction rate R0 cannot be constant as it varies with the behavioral change of people and policy taken to control the pandemic.

Conclusion:

The COVID-19 is a current global issue which spread over around 208 countries and caused lockdown to the free movement of people which caused a massive economical loss worldwide. Transmission rate (Ro) is the number of newly infected individuals derived from a single case is the factor that can calibrated the reproduction rate of coronavirus utilizing the SIR model. In the traditional SIR model, taking Ro as a constant cannot predict the actual scenario of coronavirus spreading. The value of Ro can be different for different places and time period. Moreover, Ro can be changed with the behavioral changes of people because of the adopted policies by respective authorities. Therefore, in this research Ro is not considered a constant rather it is used as a time varying function. By taken the possible measures to reduce the social contact Ro can be minimized with the time causing less death and forecasting to reopen the economy.

REFERENCES

[1] M Anderson. Population biology of infectious diseases: Part 1. Nature, 280:361–367, 1979.

[2] B Ivorra, B Martínez-López, J M Sánchez-Vizcaíno, and A M Ramos. Mathematical formulation and validation of the Be-FAST model for classical swine fever virus spread between and within farms. Annals of Operations Research, 219(1):25–47, 2014.

[3] B Martínez-López, B Ivorra, A M Ramos, and J M Sánchez-Vizcaíno. A novel spatial and stochastic model to evaluate the within- and between-farm transmission of classical swine fever virus. I. General concepts and description of the model. Veterinary Microbiology, 147(3–4):300 – 309, 2011.

[4] H R Thieme. Mathematics in Population Biology. Mathematical Biology Series. Princeton University Press, 2003.

[5] DYanandHCao. Theglobaldynamicsforanage-structuredtuberculosistransmissionmodelwiththeexponential progression rate. Applied Mathematical Modelling, 75:769 – 786, 2019.

[6] F Brauer and C Castillo-Chávez. Mathematical Models in Population Biology and Epidemiology. Texts in Applied Mathematics, Springer, 2001.

[7] C Wang, P W Horby, FG Hayden, and G F Gao. A novel coronavirus outbreak of global health concern. Lancet, 395:470–473, 2020.

[8] A E Gorbalenya, S C Baker, R S Baric, R J de Groot, C Drosten, A A Gulyaeva, and et al. Severe acute respiratory syndrome-related coronavirus: the species and its viruses - a statement of the Coronavirus Study Group. bioRxiv, pages 1–20, 2020.

[9] World Health Organization. Naming the coronavirus disease (COVID-19) and the virus that causes it. https://www.who.int/emergencies/diseases/novel-coronavirus-2019/technical-guidance/ naming-the-coronavirus-disease-(covid-2019)-and-the-virus-that-causes-it, May 2020.

[10] L Wang, Y Wang, Y Chen, and Q Qin. Unique epidemiological and clinical features of the emerging 2019 novel coronavirus pneumonia (covid-19) implicate special control measures. Journal of Medical Virology, 2020.

[11] World Health Organization. Statement on the second meeting of the international health regulations (2005). emergency committee regarding the outbreak of novel coronavirus (2019-ncov). https://www.who.int/news-room/detail/ 30-01-2020-statement-on-the-second-meeting-of-the-international-health-regulations-(2005) -emergency-committee-regarding-the-outbreak-of-novel-coronavirus-(2019-ncov), May 2020.

[12] World Health Organization. Director-general's opening remarks at the media briefing on COVID-19 - 11 march 2020. https://www.who.int/dg/speeches/detail/ who-director-general-s-opening-remarks-at-the-media-briefing-on-covid-19---11-march-2020, March 2020.

[13] Fernández-Villaverde, Jesús, and Charles I. Jones. *Estimating and Simulating a SIRD Model of COVID-19 for Many Countries, States, and Cities*. No. w27128. National Bureau of Economic Research, 2020.

[14] A J Kucharski, T W Russell, C Diamond, Y Liu, J Edmunds, S Funk, R M Eggo, and et al. Early dynamics of transmission and control of COVID-19: a mathematical modelling study. The Lancet Infectious Diseases, 2020.

[15]

[16] Hethcote, Herbert W., "The Mathematics of Infectious Diseases," SIAM Review, 2000, 42 (4),

599–653.

[17] Brauer,F.,C.Castillo-Chavez,andZ.Feng, MathematicalModelsinEpidemiology,SpringerNew

York, 2019.

[18] Stock, James H, "Data Gaps and the Policy Response to the Novel Coronavirus," Working Paper

26902, National Bureau of Economic Research March 2020.

[19] Avery, Christopher, William Bossert, Adam Clark, Glenn Ellison, and Sara Fisher Ellison, "Policy

Implications of Models of the Spread of Coronavirus: Perspectives and Opportunities for

Economists," Working Paper 27007, National Bureau of Economic Research April 2020.

[20] Eichenbaum, Martin S, Sergio Rebelo, and Mathias Trabandt, “The Macroeconomics of Epi demics,” Working Paper 26882, National Bureau of Economic Research March 2020.

[21] Farboodi, Maryam, Gregor Jarosch, and Robert Shimer, “Internal and External Effects of Social Distancing in a Pandemic,” Technical Report 2020-47 2020. University of Chicago, Becker Friedman Institute for Economics Working Paper.

[22] Korolev, Ivan, “What Does the Case Fatality Ratio Really Measure?,” Technical Report, Binghamton University 2020.

[23] Atkeson, Andrew, “How Deadly Is COVID-19? Understanding The Difficulties With Estimation Of Its Fatality Rate,” Working Paper 26965, NationalBureau of Economic Research April 2020.

[24] Korolev, Ivan, “Identification and Estimation of the SEIRD Epidemic Model for COVID-19,” Technical Report, Binghamton University 2020.

[25] Linton, Oliver, “When will the Covid-19 pandemic peak?,” Technical Report, University of Cambridge 2020.

[26] Kucinskas, Simas, “Tracking R of COVID-19,” Available at SSRN 3581633, 2020.

[27] Acemoglu, Daron, Victor Chernozhukov,Iv´anWerning, andMichael D. Whinston, “A Multi-Risk SIR Model with Optimally Targeted Lockdown,” Technical Report, MIT 2020.

[28] Acemoglu, Daron, Victor Chernozhukov,Iv´anWerning, andMichael D. Whinston, “A Multi-Risk SIR Model with Optimally Targeted Lockdown,” Technical Report, MIT 2020.

[29] Berger,DavidW,KyleFHerkenhoff,andSimonMongey,“AnSEIRInfectiousDiseaseModelwith Testing and Conditional Quarantine,” Working Paper 26901, National Bureau of Economic Research March 2020.

[30] Bethune, Zachary A and Anton Korinek, “Covid-19 Infection Externalities: Trading Off Lives vs. Livelihoods,” Technical Report, National Bureau of Economic Research 2020.

[31] Bodenstein, Martin, Giancarlo Corsetti, and Luca Guerrieri, “Social Distancing and Supply Disruptions in a Pandemic,” Technical Report 2020-031 04 2020.

[32] Garriga, Carlos, Rody Manuelli, and Siddhartha Sanghi, “Optimal Management of an Epidemic: An Application to COVID-19. A Progress Report,” Technical Report April 2020. Federal

Reserve Bank of St. Louis manuscript.

[33] Hornstein, Andreas, “SocialDistancing, Quarantine,ContactTracing, andTesting: Implications of an Augmented SEIR-Mode,” Technical Report, Federal Reserve Bank of Richmond 2020.

[34] Karin, Omer, Yinon M Bar-On, Tomer Milo, Itay Katzir, Avi Mayo, Yael Korem, Boaz Dudovich, Eran Yashiv, Amos J Zehavi, Nadav Davidovich et al., “Adaptive cyclic exit strategies from lockdown to suppress COVID-19 and allow economic activity,” medRxiv, 2020.

[35] Toda, Alexis Akira, “Susceptible-Infected-Recovered (SIR) Dynamics of COVID-19 and Eco nomic Impact,” 2020. U.C.S.D. manuscript.

[36] Toxvaerd, Flavio, “Cambridge Working Papers in Economics,” University of Cambridge. Accessed on 20 May 2020. http://www.econ.cam.ac.uk/research-files/repec/cam/pdf/cwpe2021.pdf.

[37] Gomes, M. Gabriela M., Ricardo Aguas, Rodrigo M. Corder, Jessica G. King, Kate E. Langwig, Caetano Souto-Maior, Jorge Carneiro, Marcelo U. Ferreira, and Carlos Penha-Goncalves. "Individual variation in susceptibility or exposure to SARS-CoV-2 lowers the herd immunity threshold." *medRxiv* (2020).

[38] Fernández-Villaverde, Jesús, and Charles I. Jones. *Estimating and Simulating a SIRD Model of COVID-19 for Many Countries, States, and Cities*. No. w27128. National Bureau of Economic Research, 2020.