

Patterns of growth in childhood in relation to adult schooling attainment and IQ in 6 birth cohorts in low and middle-income countries: evidence from COHORTS

Natalia E Poveda, Fernando P Hartwig, Cesar G Victora, Linda S Adair, Fernando C Barros, Santosh K Bhargava, Bernardo L Horta, Nanette R Lee, Reynaldo Martorell, Mónica Mazariegos, Ana M B Menezes, Shane A Norris, Linda M Richter, Harshpal Singh Sachdev, Alan Stein, Fernando C Wehrmeister, Aryeh D Stein, and COHORTS Group¹

Affiliation(s):

Nutrition and Health Sciences, Laney Graduate School, Emory University, Atlanta, GA, USA (NE Poveda MSc); Post-Graduate Program in Epidemiology, Federal University of Pelotas, Pelotas, Brazil and Medical Research Council Integrative Epidemiology Unit, University of Bristol, Bristol, UK (FP Hartwig PhD); Post-Graduate Program in Epidemiology, Federal University of Pelotas, Pelotas, Brazil (CG Victora MD, BL Horta PhD, AMB Menezes PhD, FC Wehrmeister PhD); Department of Nutrition, Gillings School of Global Public Health, University of North Carolina at Chapel Hill, Chapel Hill, NC, USA (LS Adair PhD); Universidade Católica de Pelotas, Pelotas, Brazil (FC Barros MD); Consultant Pediatrician and Founder New Delhi Birth Cohort, New Delhi, India (SK Bhargava MD); USC-Office of Population Studies Foundation, Inc, University of San Carlos – TC, Talamban, Cebu City, Cebu, Philippines (NR Lee PhD); Hubert Department of Global Health, Rollins School of Public Health, Emory University, Atlanta GA, USA (R Martorell PhD, AD Stein PhD); INCAP Research Center for the Prevention of Chronic Diseases (CIIPEC), Institute of Nutrition of Central America and Panama (INCAP), Guatemala City, Guatemala (M Mazariegos Sc.D); SAMRC Developmental Pathways for Health Research Unit, University of the Witwatersrand, Johannesburg, South Africa and Global Health Research Institute, School of Human Development and Health & NIHR Southampton Biomedical Research Centre, University of Southampton, UK (SA Norris PhD); DSI-NRF Centre of Excellence in Human Development, School of Public Health, University of the Witwatersrand, Johannesburg, South Africa (LM Richter PhD); Senior Consultant Pediatrics and Clinical Epidemiology, Sitaram Bhartia Institute of Science and Research, New Delhi, India (HS Sachdev MD); University of Oxford, Oxford, UK and

MRC/Wits Rural Public Health and Health Transitions Research Unit (Agincourt), School of Public Health, Faculty of Health Sciences, University of the Witwatersrand, Johannesburg, South Africa (A Stein FRCPsych)

¹ Additional members of the COHORTS Group include: **Pelotas Birth Cohorts:** Natalia P Lima, Helen Goncalves, Bruna Goncalves C da Silva, Paula D de Oliveira, Joseph Murray; **Birth to Twenty Plus:** Feziwe Mpondo, Likhanyo Nyati; **New Delhi Birth Cohort:** Caroline HD Fall, Clive Osmond, Lakshmy Ramakrishnan, Sikha Sinha, Bhaskar Singh; **INCAP Nutrition Supplementation Trial Longitudinal Study:** Manuel Ramirez-Zea, Maria F. Kroker-Lobos; **Cebu Longitudinal Health and Nutrition Survey:** Isabelita Bas, Sonny Agustin Bechayda, Delia Carba, Tita Lorna Perez; **University of Oxford:** Charlotte Wray, Gaia Scerif

Correspondence to:

Aryeh D Stein, PhD

Rollins School of Public Health of Emory University

1518 Clifton Rd NE #7007

Atlanta GA 30322, USA

Aryeh.stein@emory.edu

1 **Summary**

2

3 **Background** Growth faltering has been associated with poor intellectual performance. The
4 relative strengths of associations between growth in early and in later childhood remain
5 underexplored. We examined the association between growth in childhood and adolescence and
6 adult human capital in five low- or middle-income countries (LMICs).

7

8 **Methods** We analyzed data from six prospective birth cohorts of five LMICs (Brazil,
9 Guatemala, India, the Philippines, and South Africa). We assessed the associations of measures
10 of height and relative weight at four ages (birth, at around age 2 years, mid-childhood (MC),
11 adulthood), with two dimension of adult human capital (schooling attainment and IQ).

12

13 **Findings** In site- and sex-pooled analyses, size at birth and linear growth from birth to around 2
14 years of age were positively associated with schooling attainment and adult IQ. Linear growth
15 from age 2 years to MC and from MC to adulthood was not associated with higher school
16 attainment or IQ. Change in relative weight in early childhood was not associated with either
17 outcome. Relative weight in MC and in adulthood were inversely associated with schooling
18 attainment but were not associated with adult IQ.

19

20 **Interpretation** Linear growth in the first 1,000 days is a predictor of schooling attainment and
21 IQ in adulthood in LMICs. Linear growth in later periods was not associated with either of these
22 outcomes. Changes in relative weight had inconsistent association with schooling and IQ in
23 adulthood.

24

25 **Funding** Bill and Melinda Gates Foundation (OPP1164115)

26

27

28

29

30

31 **Research in context**

32

33 **Evidence before this study**

34 Early life growth faltering has been associated with poor cognitive and intellectual performance
35 in childhood and poorer schooling outcomes in children and adults. There is a paucity of data
36 about how growth in specific age intervals over the course of childhood and adolescence relates
37 to attained schooling and adult cognitive performance.

38 We conducted a literature search using the terms (growth [Title/Abstract]) AND ((school
39 [Title/Abstract] OR schooling [Title/Abstract]) AND (intelligence [Title/Abstract] OR IQ
40 [Title/Abstract]) OR (human capital [Title/Abstract]) in Pubmed. The search yielded 536
41 publications from 1965 to 2020. We screened titles and selected 31 publications that included
42 linear growth and our outcomes of interest, namely school attainment and intelligence quotient
43 (IQ). Additionally, we checked reference lists of selected articles and identified eleven papers
44 that were not displayed in the initial electronic query. We therefore reviewed 42 abstracts and
45 identified 24 unique studies conducted in low and middle-income countries (LMICs). Fourteen
46 of them investigated the association of birth size and/or early-life size with schooling or IQ, or
47 with both outcomes during childhood. Three studies investigated the association between linear
48 growth in early childhood and schooling and intelligence in adults, one studied the association
49 between early-life undernutrition and IQ in early adulthood and another six publications
50 investigated the association between growth and school attainment in adults. Economists have
51 also studied the relationship between stunting or linear growth and schooling in LMICs, but to
52 our knowledge not the relative importance of growth during specific age intervals.

53

54 **Added value of this study**

55 This is an analysis of the associations between child and adolescent growth and two dimensions
56 of human capital (schooling attainment and IQ) in adulthood in six birth cohorts from five
57 LMICs. The evidence of long-term associations of linear growth with adult IQ is scarce and the
58 few published studies have analyzed data from a single country. In the present study, we found
59 that size at birth and linear growth from birth to around 2 years of age were positively associated
60 with both schooling and IQ in adulthood. Linear growth between early and mid-childhood (MC)
61 was not associated with higher school attainment or IQ in adjusted models. Linear growth from

62 MC to adulthood was not associated with IQ in men or women, and was inversely associated
63 with schooling attainment in women only. Change in relative weight in early childhood was
64 positively associated with schooling attainment only in minimally adjusted models. Relative
65 weight measures in MC and adulthood were inversely associated with schooling attainment.
66 Change in relative weight between MC and adulthood was not associated with adult IQ.

67

68 **Implications of all the available evidence**

69 We confirmed in multiple cohorts that birth size and linear growth from birth to age 2 years are
70 predictors of schooling attainment and adult IQ. Linear growth in early life was the strongest
71 predictor of these two human capital dimensions in adulthood among individuals in LMICs. We
72 did not find evidence that supports the notion that linear growth in adolescence contributes to a
73 better cognitive performance in adulthood. Thus, our results inform the more effective timing of
74 nutritional and other interventions to improve linear growth and human capital in the long-term.

75

76 Introduction

77

78 Growth faltering remains widespread in low and middle-income countries (LMIC), with 96.8
79 million children under five being stunted.¹ The period between conception and the second
80 birthday is critical for human growth² as well as for development of brain structure, architecture
81 and function.³ In early childhood, sensory and motor functions develop, followed by acquisition
82 of basic language skills and spatial attention.³ Evidence from birth cohort studies indicates that
83 linear growth in the first 24 months after birth is among the strongest predictors of school
84 attainment,⁴ being positively associated with more years of schooling, lower probability of
85 failing a grade, younger age of enrollment in school, and better verbal and nonverbal skills.⁴⁻⁸
86 Further, lower schooling attainment is related to future disadvantages such as lower wages^{7,9},
87 less income,⁴ unemployment or informal sector work,¹⁰ and a higher probability of living in
88 poverty.⁷

89 Prenatal and postnatal growth predict childhood and adolescent cognition. A meta-analysis of 68
90 studies from LMIC demonstrated associations between linear growth in children younger than 24
91 months and cognition and motor skills at 5-11 years of age.¹¹ In the multi-country Young Lives
92 Study, growth from 1 to 8 years of age was associated with better performance in mathematics,
93 reading, and vocabulary tests in children aged 8 years.¹² They also found that stunted children or
94 those who were stunted at age 1 but not at age 8 had lower cognitive scores than their
95 counterparts who never experienced growth faltering.¹² Other analyses of the Young Lives Study
96 data also reported that linear growth across childhood or in adolescence was associated with
97 cognitive outcomes at ages 12 and 15 years, respectively.^{13,14} In a cohort of Thai children, linear
98 growth from birth to 4 months and from 4 months to 12 months were both positively associated
99 with intelligence quotient (IQ) at 9 years of age.¹⁵ In a cohort study of Chinese children, weight
100 gain between 6 and 12 months after birth showed positive associations with IQ, comprehension,
101 memory, and reasoning at aged 7-9 years.¹⁶

102 Fewer studies have investigated the association between adult IQ and growth across childhood.
103 Two studies in Brazilian cohorts showed that growth in early childhood had a positive
104 association with IQ, school attainment and monthly income in adults.^{17,18} Given the paucity of
105 studies on this topic and the fact that LMICs have the highest burden of growth faltering in
106 childhood, the long-term correlates of child growth especially in LMICs, need further research.

107 Although the importance of growth during the first 1,000 days to acquisition of human capital is
108 undisputed,⁴⁻⁸ it has been claimed that there is a second window of opportunity during
109 adolescence when catch-up in height may occur.^{2,14,19} In a World Bank Group book about child
110 and adolescent health and development that combines economic theory with health sciences,
111 Alderman et al. agree on the need for a better comprehension of “*individuals' development*
112 *timing and age-dependent responses*”.²⁰ Therefore, studies are needed to assess how adult human
113 capital is associated with growth during different age ranges from birth to adulthood. This
114 evidence may contribute to better targeted interventions among young children, adolescents, or
115 both groups.

116 We describe associations between growth across childhood and adolescence and two dimensions
117 of adult human capital (school attainment and IQ) in six birth cohorts from five LMICs.

118

119 **Methods**

120

121 **Study design and data sources**

122 We analyzed data from the six birth cohorts that constitute the Consortium of Health-Oriented
123 Research in Transitioning Societies (COHORTS).²¹ The cohorts are from Brazil,^{22,23} Guatemala
124,²⁴ India,²⁵ the Philippines,²⁶ and South Africa.²⁷ All fieldwork followed procedures approved
125 by local Ethics Review committees, and the present analyses were approved by the Emory
126 University Institutional Review Board.

127

128 **Child growth**

129 Anthropometrics in childhood were obtained using site-specific protocols as described
130 elsewhere.²²⁻²⁷ Birth data were collected in hospitals after delivery (Brazil and South Africa), in
131 the community within 3 days of birth by the research team (India), at home or in hospitals by
132 birth attendants (Philippines), and in a healthcare center or at home by a project nurse 15 days
133 after birth (Guatemala). Birth weight was available in all cohorts, and birth length was available
134 in all cohorts except Brazil (1982) and South Africa. Calculation of gestational age was based on
135 the date of last menstrual period reported by the child's mother, and supplemented with Ballard
136 scores for LBW infants in the Philippines. We identified ages at measurement common across
137 the birth cohorts. Supine length and weight were measured at age 24 months in all cohorts except

138 Brazil (1993), for which measurements were obtained at 12 months. We refer to this age as at
139 around 2 years. Standing height and weight were measured at 48 months for all cohorts (supine
140 length was measured in Guatemala) except for the Philippines, where measures were obtained at
141 108 months. We refer to this age as mid-childhood (MC). We refer to all postnatal measures of
142 length and height as height, for convenience. Heights and weights were expressed as height-for-
143 age (HAZ) and weight-for-age (WAZ) Z-scores using the WHO Growth Standards.²⁸
144 Repeated measures of height and weight are highly correlated. As in previous work,⁵ we created
145 conditional height measures by regressing current height on all previous height and weight
146 measurements, and conditional weight measures by regressing current weight on current height
147 and all prior height and weight measures, within strata of site and sex. Conditional size variables
148 are standardized (mean=0 and standard deviation=1) residuals of such regressions, and denote
149 how much a child deviates from his/her expected height or weight based on his/her earlier
150 growth, considering the growth trajectories of the other children of the same sex and cohort.
151 We generated three conditional height variables: conditional height at 2 years, and conditional
152 height in MC and in adulthood. These variables correspond to linear growth from birth to 2
153 years, from 2 years to MC, and from MC to adulthood, respectively. Regarding weight, three
154 conditional variables were also generated: conditional weight at 2 years, in MC and in adulthood.
155 These variables correspond to relative weight from birth to 2 years, from 2 years to MC, and
156 from MC to adulthood, respectively.
157 As birth length was not available for two cohorts, we generated conditional measures using birth
158 weight as the anchor. Additionally, results using birth length as the anchor (for the four cohorts
159 for which this measure was available) are provided in the Supplementary tables. Previous
160 analyses have shown that models starting with birthweight or birth length produce similar
161 associations with later outcomes.⁵

162

163 **Adult outcomes**

164 We obtained the highest grade of attained formal schooling by interview. We modeled attained
165 schooling as an integer variable. For India, the data are only available in categorized form, and
166 we assigned numeric values based on the years typically required to attain each category. We
167 also categorized the number of years of schooling attainment as a binary variable, using site-
168 specific thresholds relevant to schooling completion current at the time the cohort members were

169 children (Brazil 1982: ≥ 12 years; Brazil 1993: ≥ 11 years; Guatemala: ≥ 6 years; India: ≥ 13.5
170 years; The Philippines: ≥ 11 years; South Africa: ≥ 12 years).

171 To measure IQ in adulthood, we administered the Raven's Standard Progressive Matrices²⁹ to
172 participants in the Guatemala, Philippines and South Africa cohorts. In Guatemala, sections A
173 through C were administered due to inability to proceed beyond this point, for a maximum score
174 of 36 points. In the Philippines and South Africa, sections A through E were administered, for a
175 maximum score of 60 points. In both Brazilian cohorts, the arithmetic, digit symbol, similarities,
176 and picture completion subtests of the Wechsler Adult Intelligence Scale (3rd version) were
177 administered.³⁰ Adult IQ was not available for the India cohort. We standardized the distribution
178 within each cohort and by sex to a mean of 100 and a standard deviation of 15 to remove
179 between-cohort differences that may relate to language of administration, context, or tests
180 administered

181

182 **Covariates**

183 Maternal height (cm), age at birth of the cohort participant (years), schooling (years), paternal
184 schooling (years), child birth order, household socioeconomic status (quintiles of the site-specific
185 distribution), and for Guatemala birth year and intervention group (Atole, Fresco), in the original
186 nutrition supplementation trial near the time of the cohort participant's birth were extracted from
187 data archives.

188

189 **Statistical analysis**

190 We used R version 3.6.2 for analyses. We restricted all analyses to participants with complete
191 information for anthropometric variables at birth and in childhood, and IQ (except India) and
192 schooling variables in adulthood. For descriptive analyses, we calculated means and standard
193 deviations for continuous variables, and proportions for categorical variables.

194 In cohort-specific analyses, we used linear regression for continuous outcomes (number of years
195 of attained schooling and IQ scores) and quasi-Poisson regression for the binary outcome of
196 school attainment to estimate the associations with conditional size measures. We used inverse
197 sampling weights in all analyses of the 1993 Brazil cohort, because data were collected for all
198 low birthweight infants and a random 20% sample of other infants.

199 All cohort-specific analyses were performed for males and females separately. We stratified the
200 analyses by study site and sex given observed heterogeneity among sites and previous literature
201 that supports sex differences in IQ scores.³¹ Sex-combined estimates were generated by pooling
202 the sex-specific estimates using weighted random effects meta-analysis, with the weight each sex
203 received being proportional to sample size.

204 A doubly-robust strategy was used for covariate adjustment, where adjustment was performed
205 via multivariable regression after inverse probability of treatment weighting (IPTW) using the
206 “ipwpoint” function from the “ipw” package in R,³² applying linear regression to model the
207 relationship between the exposure variable and the covariates. This regression was specified to
208 include a “main effect” term for all covariates, as well as all pairwise product terms between
209 covariates. To mitigate the possibility that individuals with large weights could substantially
210 influence the results, the left tail of the weights was truncated at the 0.5th percentile, and the
211 right tail at the 99.5th percentile.

212 We defined four models a priori with progressive adjustment of potential confounders. We used
213 listwise deletion in all models. In model one (minimal adjustment), we adjusted for sex (for
214 analyses involving both sexes), and year of birth and intervention group in Guatemala. In model
215 two, we controlled for the same variables as in model one but excluded cases that could not be
216 included in subsequent models because of missing values. We found similar point estimates
217 between models one and two, suggesting that missing covariate data was not a major factor in
218 our results. In model three, we adjusted for the covariates in model one, plus early-life
219 socioeconomic quintiles, maternal schooling, maternal age, maternal height, birth order and, for
220 the Brazil 1982 and 1993 cohorts, skin color. Comparing models two and three allowed us to
221 assess changes due to confounding by the selected covariates. In model four (further adjustment),
222 we controlled for covariates in model three plus paternal schooling. Model four is our preferred
223 representation.

224 We used random effects meta-analysis to pool the sex- and cohort-specific results. Pooled sex-
225 combined estimates were generated by pooling the corresponding pooled sex-specific estimates.
226 The variation between cohorts was estimated using I^2 statistic and Cochran’s Q test, and random
227 effects meta-regression was used to test for the effect modification by sex.

228

229 **Role of the funding source**

230

231 The funders of this study did not have any role in study design, collection and analysis of data,
232 description and interpretation of results, and writing of this manuscript. The corresponding
233 author had full access to all the data in the study and had final responsibility for the decision to
234 submit for publication.

235

236 **Results**

237

238 Data from 9503 participants with complete data for at least one of the outcomes and size at 2
239 years of age were analyzed. Table 1 shows selected characteristics of the participants. Birth
240 weight z-scores were lowest among individuals born in India. The Guatemalan, Filipino and
241 Indian participants had the lowest height-for-age and weight-for-age z-scores in early and mid-
242 childhood and were shortest as adults. Schooling for both parents was lowest in Guatemala.
243 School attainment was higher in women across all cohorts when compared to men, except in
244 Guatemala.

245

246 *Growth and school attainment*

247 The site- and sex-pooled associations between growth and school attainment are presented in
248 Table 2 and Supplementary Table 2. Measures of weight or length at birth, and height at around
249 2 years and in MC were each positively associated ($p < 0.01$) with schooling attainment. Covariate
250 adjustment attenuated the estimates and linear growth in MC was no longer significant. The
251 point estimates were larger for conditional height at 2 years of age (0.25, 95%CI: 0.10, 0.40)
252 compared to birth weight (0.13, 95%CI: 0.08, 0.19) or birth length (0.20, 95%CI: 0.11, 0.30).
253 Conditional height in adulthood was not associated with schooling attainment in men ($p = 0.48$)
254 but it was inversely associated in women ($p = 0.013$). Conditional relative weight at around 2
255 years was not associated with schooling attainment in fully adjusted models. Conditional relative
256 weight in MC and in adulthood was inversely associated with schooling attainment. The results
257 were generally consistent between males and females and across the six cohorts, although there
258 was heterogeneity in the size of the estimates (Table 3). Sex differences were observed in the
259 estimates for conditional height in adulthood, and for the estimates for conditional relative
260 weight in MC and in adulthood. In models that examined the binary categorization of schooling

261 attainment, we found similar associations in both pooled and site-stratified analyses
262 (Supplementary Tables 3 and 5).

263

264 *Growth and IQ*

265 The site- and sex-pooled associations between growth and IQ are presented in Table 2 and
266 Supplementary Table 2. Measures of weight or length at birth, and height at around 2 years and
267 in MC were each positively associated ($p < 0.001$) with adult IQ. After covariate adjustment the
268 strength of associations was reduced and the estimate for conditional height in MC was not
269 significant. The point estimates were larger for conditional height at 2 years of age (1.52, 95%CI:
270 0.96, 2.08) compared to birth weight (0.74, 95%CI: 0.35, 1.14) or birth length (0.73, 95%CI:
271 0.35, 1.10). Conditional height in adulthood was not associated with IQ. Measures of relative
272 weight at around 2 years, in MC and in adulthood were not associated with adult IQ. In general,
273 the results were consistent between males and females although there was heterogeneity across
274 the five cohorts in the size and significance of the estimates (Table 4).

275

276 **Discussion**

277

278 This analysis of data from six birth cohorts from LMICs showed that birth size and linear growth
279 from birth to age 2 years were positively associated with schooling attainment and adult IQ.
280 Linear growth from age 2 years to MC was not associated with either of the outcomes in fully
281 adjusted models. We observed that the effect sizes were larger for conditional height at 2 years
282 of age when compared to length at birth. Apart from an inverse association between conditional
283 height in adulthood and schooling attainment in female participants, conditional height in
284 adulthood was not associated with either schooling attainment or IQ. Conditional relative weight
285 in MC and in adulthood were each inversely associated with schooling attainment. Change in
286 relative weight was not associated with adult IQ for any of the age intervals examined.

287 These results suggest that birth size and linear growth from birth to around 2 years are
288 independent predictors of schooling and intelligence in adulthood. This is important because
289 improvements in human capital dimensions are associated with economic growth. This
290 independent association, at least in childhood, had previously been observed.^{12,13,33,34} We found
291 that for every 1 Z-score increase in conditional linear growth at age 2 years there was an increase

292 in 0.25 years of schooling and 1.52 units of adult IQ score after adjustment (Table 2). It has been
293 estimated that for every additional year of schooling there is a 7.9% country level economic
294 return;⁹ and one standard deviation increase in cognitive skills of a country's workforce has been
295 associated with an increase of two percentage points in the per capita GDP (annual growth) and
296 economic returns that range from 0.07 to 0.48, in developing countries.^{35,36}

297 Our findings further confirm that timing (and specifically the first 1,000 days) is critical to
298 improve schooling outcomes and intellectual performance in adulthood. Human growth is not
299 uniform and systems, organs, and tissues develop at different velocities. Linear growth is
300 characterized by high initial velocity with rapid deceleration in the first two years after birth.³⁷
301 The brain achieves 83% of its adult volume by 24 months of postnatal life.³⁸ Thus, shared
302 underlying determinants of both length and neurodevelopment might influence adult human
303 capital defined as a group of capacities, abilities, and intangible assets useful to create economic
304 value.³⁹ Interventions in early life have the highest overall returns compared to other life course
305 stages, and interventions to reduce stunting in the first 24 months of age not only increased
306 preschool linear growth but had benefit-cost ratios greater than one, demonstrating that such
307 interventions are a good economic investment.²⁰ In that sense, that benefit in linear growth also
308 indirectly benefit schooling attainment and cognitive performance in the long term.

309 We infer that the multiple causes of linear growth failure partly impact on brain development and
310 learning; hence, linear growth failure predicts schooling and IQ. The relationship is not causal
311 and there are at least four pathways that explain the results observed. First, linear growth and
312 brain development are susceptible to common nutritional inputs,⁴⁰ many of which have
313 underlying determinants such as socioeconomic status (poverty), maternal education, food
314 insecurity, water scarcity, poor sanitation and hygiene, among others.⁴¹ Thus, nutritional deficits
315 in sensitive periods of life affect not only body growth but brain size, structure, development and
316 function.⁴² Second, growth faltering makes children more susceptible to infections that in turn
317 decrease appetite, absorption, and nutrients' use; nutrients will be diverted to the immune system
318 affecting its availability for growth and development.⁴³ Third, undernourished and ill children are
319 commonly apathetic, irritable, and less interested in exploring their environment.⁴³ Fourth, the
320 size of children may elicit different interactions with adults given that short children appear

321 younger and are treated as such.⁴⁴ Thus, short children lag in acquiring motor, cognitive and
322 social behaviors.⁴⁴

323 Our results confirm earlier research that prenatal growth and linear growth in early childhood are
324 significantly associated with higher school attainment^{4-7,17,18} and adult IQ.^{7,17,18} Analyses of
325 Young Lives Study data found that linear growth in different age intervals (1, 5, 8, 12, and 15)
326 was positively associated with cognitive skills in mid-childhood, early adolescence and
327 adolescence.^{12-14,33} Two studies suggested that these associations were mediated through HAZ at
328 subsequent age intervals.^{13,33} We observed similar tendencies in the direction of the associations,
329 however, the magnitudes of our estimates were stronger than those reported in the Young Lives
330 Study.^{12,14,33}

331 We did not find statistical associations between conditional relative weight in early childhood
332 and school attainment after full adjustment. Adair et al⁵ had previously observed a significant but
333 weak association between conditional relative weight in early childhood and the highest grade
334 attained. The differences in our study might be attributed to the inclusion of a new birth cohort
335 (Brazil 1993), variations in sample sizes, and a higher number of covariates. Conditional relative
336 weight in early and in MC were not associated with IQ, as previously shown in studies
337 conducted with adults^{17,18} and children.¹⁵

338 Our findings agreed with previous studies that linear growth from 2 years to MC and from MC to
339 adulthood were not associated with schooling attainment and adult IQ.^{5,17,18} Indeed, we found
340 that conditional height and change in relative weight from MC to adulthood were inversely
341 associated with schooling attainment in female participants. Our results do not support the notion
342 that interventions in adolescence aimed at improving linear growth are likely to impact adult IQ,
343 as has been suggested by other authors.¹⁴ The period from MC to adulthood includes late
344 childhood, adolescence and adulthood through ages 18 to 46 years. Linear growth and
345 neurodevelopment are largely complete by adulthood and individuals have already finished
346 school. Thus, it is unlikely that weight changes in adulthood are predictors of schooling
347 attainment. Rather, the inverse associations may reflect reverse causality. In adulthood, women`s
348 weight and weight changes are influenced by multiple biological and environmental factors such
349 as genetics (body composition), reproductive events (parity), marital status, life-style behaviors,

350 work settings, food environment, socioeconomic status, many of which might be determined
351 schooling attainment earlier in life.

352 Among the study's limitations, we note some inconsistencies in the ages of exposures and
353 outcomes across the cohorts. Height was measured at 2 years of age in all sites except Brazil
354 1993 cohort, where it was measured at 1 year of age. Similarly, MC was considered to be 4 years
355 in most of the cohorts, but 8.5 years in the Philippines. There were also differences in the ages in
356 which the schooling and IQ outcomes were obtained, and some differences in the instruments
357 used to measure IQ across sites. Finally, residual and unmeasured confounding should be
358 considered given the observational nature of our study.

359 This study has several strengths. We analyzed six well-characterized population-based birth
360 cohorts in five LMIC with follow-up periods that ranged from 18 to 46 years. Each study site had
361 trained staff who followed standard methodologies to collect the anthropometric and
362 sociodemographic data, which minimized measurement error and recall bias. The treatment of
363 our exposures as conditional measures of growth avoids collinearity and facilitates
364 differentiation of linear growth at specific age intervals. Each site used validated measures of
365 intelligence, and we standardized the distributions within each cohort and by sex to be able to
366 compare outcomes. We adjusted our models for a range of early life social factors. Additionally,
367 our analytic sample with complete data was not affected by missing values and loss at follow-up,
368 as evidenced by the similar results obtained when comparing models one and two
369 (Supplementary Table 1). We saw evidence of heterogeneity among the cohorts in the magnitude
370 of the estimates but not in the direction of the associations. Thus, we were able to obtain single
371 pooled estimates combining cohort-specific results. These associations might be generalized to
372 other LMICs, but should be interpreted with caution given the differences between pooled and
373 site-specific results, acknowledging that our findings might not represent the entire diversity and
374 complexity of the studied countries.

375 In conclusion, our results show an independent association between prenatal growth and linear
376 growth from birth until the second year of life with adult human capital. These findings confirm
377 the importance of the first 1,000 days, a sensitive period where adversities including poor
378 nutrition will have long-lasting effects on adult size and functional capacities such as learning
379 and intelligence. Our findings do not show that improvements in linear growth after the first two

380 years, during childhood, adolescence or adulthood, were associated with adult human capital
381 outcomes.

382

383 **Contributors**

384 ADS and CGV conceived the idea and study design. NEP performed the literature review and
385 wrote the first draft of the manuscript. NEP, ADS, CGV and FPH led the writing team. FPH
386 performed the statistical analyses. CGV, LSA, FCB, SKB, BLH, NRL, RM, MM, AMBM, SAN,
387 LMR, HSS, AS, FCW, and ADS participated in data collection. All authors read and commented
388 on successive drafts, and approved the final manuscript.

389

390 **Declaration of interests**

391 All authors declare no conflicts of interest.

392

393 **Acknowledgments**

394 We acknowledge funding from the Bill and Melinda Gates Foundation (OPP1164115) for data
395 collection in Guatemala, Philippines and South Africa and for data management and analysis.
396 Data collection in Brazil was funded by the Wellcome Trust. The New Delhi Birth Cohort has
397 received funding from the Indian Council of Medical Research, the Department of
398 Biotechnology, the United States National Center for Health Statistics, the Medical Research
399 Council (UK), the British Heart Foundation, and the Bill and Melinda Gates Foundation. The
400 Birth to Twenty Plus Cohort is supported by the South African Medical Research Council, DSI-
401 NRF Centre of Excellence in Human Development at the University of the Witwatersrand,
402 Johannesburg, South Africa, and the Wellcome Trust (UK).

403

404 **References**

405

- 406 1. Group U-W-TWB. Joint child malnutrition estimates - Levels and trends (2019 edition):
407 World Health Organization, 2019.
- 408 2. Bundy DAP, Horton S. Impact of Interventions on Health and Development during
409 Childhood and Adolescence: A Conceptual Framework. In: Bundy DAP, Silva ND, Horton S,
410 Jamison DT, Patton GC, eds. Child and Adolescent Health and Development. Washington (DC):
411 The International Bank for Reconstruction and Development / The World Bank; 2017.

- 412 3. Thompson RA, Nelson CA. Developmental Science and the Media. *Early Brain*
413 *Development. The American psychologist* 2001; **56**(1).
- 414 4. Victora CG, Adair L, Fall C, et al. Maternal and child undernutrition: consequences for
415 adult health and human capital. *Lancet* 2008; **371**(9609): 340-57.
- 416 5. Adair LS, Fall CH, Osmond C, et al. Associations of Linear Growth and Relative Weight
417 Gain During Early Life With Adult Health and Human Capital in Countries of Low and Middle
418 Income: Findings From Five Birth Cohort Studies. *Lancet (London, England)* 2013; **382**(9891).
- 419 6. Martorell R, Horta BL, Adair LS, et al. Weight Gain in the First Two Years of Life Is an
420 Important Predictor of Schooling Outcomes in Pooled Analyses From Five Birth Cohorts From
421 Low- And Middle-Income Countries. *The Journal of nutrition* 2010; **140**(2).
- 422 7. Hoddinott J, Behrman JR, Maluccio JA, et al. Adult consequences of growth failure in
423 early childhood. *The American journal of clinical nutrition* 2013; **98**(5): 1170-8.
- 424 8. Daniels MC, Adair LS. Growth in young Filipino children predicts schooling trajectories
425 through high school. *The Journal of nutrition* 2004; **134**(6): 1439-46.
- 426 9. Fink G, Peet E, Danaei G, et al. Schooling and wage income losses due to early-
427 childhood growth faltering in developing countries: national, regional, and global estimates. *The*
428 *American journal of clinical nutrition* 2016; **104**(1): 104-12.
- 429 10. Carba DB, Tan VL, Adair LS. Early childhood length-for-age is associated with the work
430 status of Filipino young adults. *Econ Hum Biol* 2009; **7**(1): 7-17.
- 431 11. Sudfeld CR, McCoy DC, Danaei G, et al. Linear growth and child development in low-
432 and middle-income countries: a meta-analysis. *Pediatrics* 2015; **135**(5): e1266-75.
- 433 12. Crookston BT, Schott W, Cueto S, et al. Postinfancy Growth, Schooling, and Cognitive
434 Achievement: Young Lives. *The American journal of clinical nutrition* 2013; **98**(6).
- 435 13. Kowalski AJ, Georgiadis A, Behrman JR, Crookston BT, Fernald LCH, Stein AD. Linear
436 Growth Through 12 Years Is Weakly but Consistently Associated With Language and Math
437 Achievement Scores at Age 12 Years in 4 Low- Or Middle-Income Countries. *The Journal of*
438 *nutrition* 2018; **148**(11).
- 439 14. Fink G, Rockers PC. Childhood growth, schooling, and cognitive development: further
440 evidence from the Young Lives study. *The American journal of clinical nutrition* 2014; **100**(1):
441 182-8.
- 442 15. Pongcharoen T, Ramakrishnan U, DiGirolamo AM, et al. Influence of prenatal and
443 postnatal growth on intellectual functioning in school-aged children. *Arch Pediatr Adolesc Med*
444 2012; **166**(5): 411-6.
- 445 16. Li C, Zeng L, Wang D, et al. Growth in early life and physical and intellectual
446 development at school age: a cohort study. *Br J Nutr* 2019; **121**(8): 866-76.
- 447 17. Horta BL, Victora CG, de Mola CL, et al. Associations of Linear Growth and Relative
448 Weight Gain in Early Life With Human Capital at 30 Years of Age. *The Journal of pediatrics*
449 2017; **182**.
- 450 18. Menezes AMB, Oliveira PD, Wehrmeister FC, et al. Associations between growth from
451 birth to 18 years, intelligence, and schooling in a Brazilian cohort. *The American journal of*
452 *clinical nutrition* 2020.
- 453 19. Prentice AM, Ward KA, Goldberg GR, et al. Critical windows for nutritional
454 interventions against stunting. *The American journal of clinical nutrition* 2013; **97**(5): 911-8.
- 455 20. Alderman H, Behrman JR, Glewwe P, Fernald L, Walker S. Evidence of Impact of
456 Interventions on Growth and Development during Early and Middle Childhood. In: Bundy DAP,
457 Silva ND, Horton S, Jamison DT, Patton GC, eds. *Child and Adolescent Health and*

- 458 Development. Washington (DC): The International Bank for Reconstruction and Development /
459 The World Bank; 2017.
- 460 21. Richter LM, Victora CG, Hallal PC, et al. Cohort profile: the consortium of health-
461 orientated research in transitioning societies. *Int J Epidemiol* 2012; **41**(3): 621-6.
- 462 22. Victora CG, Barros FC. Cohort profile: the 1982 Pelotas (Brazil) birth cohort study. *Int J*
463 *Epidemiol* 2006; **35**(2): 237-42.
- 464 23. Victora CG, Hallal PC, Araújo CL, Menezes AM, Wells JC, Barros FC. Cohort Profile:
465 The 1993 Pelotas (Brazil) Birth Cohort Study. *International journal of epidemiology* 2008;
466 **37**(4).
- 467 24. Stein AD, Melgar P, Hoddinott J, Martorell R. Cohort Profile: the Institute of Nutrition of
468 Central America and Panama (INCAP) Nutrition Trial Cohort Study. *Int J Epidemiol* 2008;
469 **37**(4): 716-20.
- 470 25. Bhargava SK, Sachdev HS, Fall CH, et al. Relation of serial changes in childhood body-
471 mass index to impaired glucose tolerance in young adulthood. *N Engl J Med* 2004; **350**(9): 865-
472 75.
- 473 26. Adair LS, Popkin BM, Akin JS, et al. Cohort profile: the Cebu longitudinal health and
474 nutrition survey. *Int J Epidemiol* 2011; **40**(3): 619-25.
- 475 27. Richter L, Norris S, Pettifor J, Yach D, Cameron N. Cohort Profile: Mandela's children:
476 the 1990 Birth to Twenty study in South Africa. *Int J Epidemiol* 2007; **36**(3): 504-11.
- 477 28. WHO. The WHO Child Growth Standards. 2016-06-08 09:11:46 2016.
478 <https://www.who.int/childgrowth/en/>.
- 479 29. Raven J. The Raven's progressive matrices: change and stability over culture and time.
480 *Cogn Psychol* 2000; **41**(1): 1-48.
- 481 30. Banhato EFC, Leite ICG, Guedes DV, Chaoubah A. Criterion Validity of a Wechsler-III
482 Scale Short Form in a Sample of Brazilian Elderly. *Dementia & neuropsychologia* 2010; **4**(3).
- 483 31. Nisbett RE, Aronson J, Blair C, et al. Intelligence: new findings and theoretical
484 developments. *Am Psychol* 2012; **67**(2): 130-59.
- 485 32. van der Wal WM, Geskus RB. ipw: An R Package for Inverse Probability Weighting.
486 *Journal of Statistical Software* 2011; **43**: 1-23.
- 487 33. Georgiadis A, Benny L, Crookston BT, et al. Growth trajectories from conception
488 through middle childhood and cognitive achievement at age 8 years: Evidence from four low-
489 and middle-income countries. *SSM Popul Health* 2016; **2**: 43-54.
- 490 34. Silva A, Metha Z, O'Callaghan F J. The relative effect of size at birth, postnatal growth
491 and social factors on cognitive function in late childhood. *Ann Epidemiol* 2006; **16**(6): 469-76.
- 492 35. Hanushek EA. Economic growth in developing countries: The role of human capital.
493 *Economics of Education Review* 2013; **37**: 204-12.
- 494 36. Hanushek EA, Woessmann L. The Role of Cognitive Skills in Economic Development.
495 *Journal of Economic Literature* 2008; **46**(3): 607-68.
- 496 37. Cameron N, Bogin B. Human Growth and Development. Second ed. London, UK:
497 Elsevier; 2012.
- 498 38. Knickmeyer RC, Gouttard S, Kang C, et al. A structural MRI study of human brain
499 development from birth to 2 years. *J Neurosci* 2008; **28**(47): 12176-82.
- 500 39. Martorell R. Improved nutrition in the first 1000 days and adult human capital and health.
501 *Am J Hum Biol* 2017; **29**(2).

- 502 40. Prado EL, Larson LM, Cox K, Bettencourt K, Kubes JN, Shankar AH. Do effects of early
503 life interventions on linear growth correspond to effects on neurobehavioural development? A
504 systematic review and meta-analysis. *Lancet Glob Health* 2019; **7**(10): e1398-e413.
- 505 41. Alderman H, Fernald L. The Nexus Between Nutrition and Early Childhood
506 Development. *Annual review of nutrition* 2017; **37**: 447-76.
- 507 42. Prado EL, Dewey KG. Nutrition and brain development in early life. *Nutr Rev* 2014;
508 **72**(4): 267-84.
- 509 43. de Onis M, Branca F. Childhood stunting: a global perspective. *Matern Child Nutr* 2016;
510 **12 Suppl 1**(Suppl 1): 12-26.
- 511 44. Pollitt E, Golub M, Gorman K, et al. A Reconceptualization of the Effects of
512 Undernutrition on Children's Biological, Psychosocial, and Behavioral Development *Social*
513 *Policy Report* 1996; **X**(5): 32.
- 514
- 515

Tables

Table 1. Characteristics of participants in the six cohorts stratified by sex

	Brazil 1982		Brazil 1993		Guatemala 1969-77		India 1969-72		Philippines 1983-84		South Africa 1990	
	Men (n=1606)	Women (n=1657)	Men (n=564)	Women (n=629)	Men (n=332)	Women (n=394)	Men (n=840)	Women (n=614)	Men (n=901)	Women (n=813)	Men (n=548)	Women (n=605)
Birth variables												
Gestational age (weeks)	39.4 (1.7)	39.4 (1.8)	39.2 (2.6)	39.1 (2.8)	39.1 (2.8)	39.6 (3.1)	38.7 (2.6)	39.1 (2.4)	38.7 (2.2)	38.8 (2.1)	38.1 (1.8)	38.0 (1.9)
Birth length (WHO Z-scores)	NA	NA	-0.8 (1.6)	-1.0 (1.5)	0.0 (1.3)	0.0 (1.1)	-0.7 (1.1)	-0.6 (1.1)	-0.3 (1.1)	-0.2 (1.1)	NA	NA
Birth weight (WHO Z-scores)	-0.2 (1.1)	-0.2 (1.2)	-0.7 (1.5)	-0.9 (1.5)	-0.7 (1.1)	-0.6 (1.0)	-1.1 (1.0)	-1.1 (0.9)	-0.7 (1.0)	-0.6 (1.0)	-0.6 (1.1)	-0.6 (1.2)
Childhood variables												
HAZ at around 2 years (SD)	-0.7 (1.2)	-0.6 (1.2)	-0.3 (1.3)	-0.2 (1.2)	-3.0 (1.1)	-2.9 (1.1)	-2.0 (1.2)	-1.9 (1.1)	-2.4 (1.1)	-2.4 (1.1)	-1.4 (1.1)	-1.1 (1.0)
HAZ in mid-childhood (SD)	-0.6 (1.1)	-0.6 (1.1)	-0.2 (1.1)	-0.2 (1.1)	-2.4 (0.9)	-2.5 (1.0)	-1.9 (1.0)	-2.0 (1.0)	-2.1 (0.9)	-2.0 (0.9)	-1.0 (0.9)	-0.9 (0.9)
WAZ at around 2 years (SD)	0.1 (1.1)	0.1 (1.0)	0.4 (1.2)	0.4 (1.0)	-1.8 (1.0)	-1.7 (1.0)	-1.5 (1.1)	-1.4 (1.1)	-1.7 (1.0)	-1.7 (1.0)	-0.7 (1.1)	-0.3 (1.0)
WAZ in mid-childhood (SD)	0.1 (1.0)	0.0 (1.0)	0.3 (1.2)	0.2 (1.1)	-1.4 (0.8)	-1.5 (0.9)	-1.3 (0.9)	-1.5 (0.9)	-2.0 (1.0)	-1.9 (0.9)	-0.5 (0.9)	-0.5 (0.9)
Adult variables												
Age (years)	30.2 (0.3)	30.2 (0.3)	22.6 (0.3)	18.4 (0.3)	46.5 (2.6)	46.2 (2.6)	36.1 (1.1)	36.1 (1.0)	34.4 (0.5)	34.5 (0.5)	28.5 (0.4)	28.5 (0.4)
Height (cm)	173.8 (6.9)	161.1 (6.2)	174.1 (7.7)	160.2 (6.8)	163.7 (5.8)	151.1 (5.7)	169.6 (6.4)	154.9 (5.6)	162.9 (5.9)	151.1 (5.4)	171.5 (6.4)	159.5 (6.2)
BMI (kg/m ²)	27.2 (5.1)	26.8 (6.0)	24.6 (4.7)	25.4 (5.8)	26.7 (4.3)	29.4 (5.1)	26.9 (4.6)	27.5 (5.2)	24.8 (4.4)	25.2 (5.0)	21.6 (3.9)	25.8 (6.1)
Covariates												

516
517
518
519

	Brazil 1982		Brazil 1993		Guatemala 1969-77		India 1969-72		Philippines 1983-84		South Africa 1990	
	Men (n=1606)	Women (n=1657)	Men (n=564)	Women (n=629)	Men (n=332)	Women (n=394)	Men (n=840)	Women (n=614)	Men (n=901)	Women (n=813)	Men (n=548)	Women (n=605)
Maternal age at childbirth (years)	26.1 (6.2)	26.2 (6.4)	26.3 (6.6)	26.3 (6.2)	27.1 (7.2)	27.3 (7.1)	26.9 (6.0)	26.9 (5.6)	26.4 (6.1)	26.4 (6.0)	25.6 (6.3)	25.7 (6.3)
Maternal height (cm)	156.6 (6.3)	156.5 (5.8)	159.7 (6.6)	159.7 (6.7)	148.1 (4.8)	148.3 (5.1)	151.8 (5.6)	152.0 (5.1)	150.5 (4.9)	150.5 (5.0)	158.2 (5.8)	158.7 (5.8)
Maternal schooling (years)	6.5 (4.0)	6.7 (4.2)	6.7 (3.5)	6.5 (3.4)	1.3 (1.5)	1.3 (1.5)	5.5 (4.5)	5.7 (4.5)	7.0 (3.3)	6.8 (3.1)	9.7 (2.4)	9.6 (2.6)
Paternal schooling (years)	6.7 (4.1)	6.9 (4.2)	6.6 (3.5)	6.9 (4.2)	1.8 (2.2)	1.8 (2.1)	10.4 (5.1)	11.2 (4.7)	7.2 (3.5)	6.9 (3.3)	10.6 (2.4)	10.5 (2.5)
Birth order												
1	40.6%	38.9%	35.6%	33.1%	18.5%	14.0%	16.4%	14.0%	21.8%	21.8%	37.4%	38.7%
2	28.7%	29.0%	24.5%	28.5%	12.7%	14.7%	23.1%	20.9%	21.6%	23.0%	29.2%	28.4%
3	16.0%	16.0%	19.1%	22.1%	12.1%	15.0%	20.7%	21.4%	19.6%	18.9%	17.0%	17.4%
≥4	14.7%	16.1%	20.7%	16.4%	56.7%	56.3%	39.8%	43.8%	37.0%	36.3%	16.4%	15.5%
Human capital variables												
IQ score (standardized)	100.8 (15.2)	99.7 (14.7)	99.1 (15.9)	99.6 (14.1)	105.8 (15.6)	97.8 (13.4)	NA	NA	100.3 (14.8)	99.6 (15.2)	100.6 (14.4)	99.4 (14.8)
School attainment (years of schooling)	10.9 (4.0)	11.9 (4.2)	9.2 (2.7)	10.0 (2.3)	5.6 (3.7)	4.7 (3.7)	13.2 (3.4)	13.9 (3.1)	10.2 (3.4)	11.2 (2.9)	11.5 (1.6)	11.9 (1.4)

520 Data are presented as mean (SD) or percentage. NA=not available data. HAZ= height-for-age Z-score. WAZ=weight-for-age Z-score. IQ=intelligence quotient.
521 Sample sizes refer to participants with non-missing data for at least one outcome and non-missing data for either HAZ or WAZ at 2 years of age. Adult age was
522 estimated based on date of IQ measurement, except India (age at latest wave of data collection).
523

524
525

Table 2. Pooled adjusted associations between growth in childhood and school attainment and IQ in adulthood, by sex

	Sex	Models	Birth weight	Conditional height at around 2 years of age	Conditional height in mid-childhood	Conditional height in adulthood	Conditional relative weight at around 2 years of age	Conditional relative weight in mid-childhood	Conditional relative weight in adulthood
School attainment (years of schooling)	Both sexes	Minimally adjusted	0.25*	0.70*	0.25*	-0.08	0.15*	-0.08	-0.04
		(95% CI)	(0.14, 0.36)	(0.37, 1.02)	(0.16, 0.34)	(-0.24, 0.09)	(0.05, 0.25)	(-0.17, 0.00)	(-0.53, 0.45)
	Men	Adjusted	0.13*	0.25*	0.09	-0.05	0.05	-0.09 *	-0.13*
		(95% CI)	(0.08, 0.19)	(0.10, 0.40)	(-0.03, 0.20)	(-0.24, 0.14)	(-0.03, 0.13)	(-0.18, -0.01)	(-0.26, -0.01)
	Women	Minimally adjusted	0.24*	0.76*	0.25*	0.02	0.15	-0.08	0.22
		(95% CI)	(0.08, 0.41)	(0.28, 1.23)	(0.15, 0.35)	(-0.17, 0.21)	(-0.02, 0.31)	(-0.18, 0.03)	(-0.07, 0.52)
	Adjusted	0.15*	0.19	0.11	0.09	0.07	-0.12*	-0.07	
	(95% CI)	(0.04, 0.27)	(-0.02, 0.40)	(-0.07, 0.28)	(-0.16, 0.33)	(-0.08, 0.22)	(-0.24, 0.00)	(-0.48, 0.33)	
IQ score (harmonized units)	Both sexes	Minimally adjusted	0.26*	0.64*	0.26*	-0.15*	0.15*	-0.16	-0.28*
		(95% CI)	(0.12, 0.40)	(0.19, 1.09)	(0.02, 0.49)	(-0.29, -0.01)	(0.03, 0.27)	(-0.33, 0.01)	(-0.51, -0.06)
	Men	Adjusted	0.13*	0.32*	0.07	-0.12*	0.04	0.02	-0.14*
		(95% CI)	(0.07, 0.19)	(0.09, 0.54)	(-0.09, 0.23)	(-0.21, -0.02)	(-0.06, 0.14)	(-0.19, 0.22)	(-0.27, -0.01)
	Women	Minimally adjusted	1.45*	3.24*	1.07*	-0.37	0.30	0.08	-0.01
		(95% CI)	(1.14, 1.76)	(2.35, 4.13)	(0.69, 1.46)	(-0.85, 0.12)	(-0.16, 0.75)	(-0.43, 0.59)	(-1.63, 1.60)
	Adjusted	0.74*	1.52*	0.16	0.05	-0.26	-0.19	0.04	
	(95% CI)	(0.35, 1.14)	(0.96, 2.08)	(-0.47, 0.78)	(-0.91, 1.00)	(-1.21, 0.69)	(-0.69, 0.32)	(-0.50, 0.58)	
Men	Minimally adjusted	1.33*	3.43*	0.96*	-0.46	0.28	0.08	0.88	
	(95% CI)	(0.88, 1.78)	(2.29, 4.58)	(0.42, 1.51)	(-1.01, 0.09)	(-0.28, 0.83)	(-0.48, 0.64)	(-0.29, 2.05)	
Women	Adjusted	0.70*	1.66*	0.00	0.00	-1.26	-0.27	0.18	
	(95% CI)	(0.14, 1.26)	(1.04, 2.29)	(-0.93, 0.92)	(-1.34, 1.33)	(-5.07, 2.55)	(-0.82, 0.29)	(-1.57, 1.94)	
	Minimally adjusted	1.57*	2.95*	1.18*	-0.04	0.35	0.06	-0.77*	
	(95% CI)	(1.13, 2.00)	(1.54, 4.36)	(0.65, 1.72)	(-1.07, 1.00)	(-0.46, 1.16)	(-1.20, 1.32)	(-1.48, -0.07)	
	Adjusted	0.78*	1.00	0.29	0.10	-0.19	0.22	0.03	
	(95% CI)	(0.23, 1.34)	(-0.19, 2.19)	(-0.56, 1.15)	(-1.28, 1.48)	(-1.17, 0.79)	(-1.02, 1.46)	(-0.54, 0.60)	

526 Data are presented as linear regression coefficients (95% Confidence Intervals). In minimally adjusted models, we controlled for sex in analysis including both
527 sexes and in Guatemala analysis, we controlled for year at birth and intervention group variables. In fully adjusted analyses, we also controlled for maternal
528 factors (height, age at childbirth, schooling), paternal schooling, birth order, and income/wealth quintiles. Additionally, we controlled for maternal skin color in
529 both Brazil cohorts. IQ = intelligence quotient. *p-values for associations < 0.05.
530

531
532

Table 3. Adjusted associations between growth in childhood and the number of years of school attainment in adulthood, by study site and sex

Study site	Sex	Birth weight	Conditional height at around 2 years of age	Conditional height in mid-childhood	Conditional height in adulthood	Conditional relative weight at around 2 years of age	Conditional relative weight in mid-childhood	Conditional relative weight in adulthood	N
Brazil 1982	Men	0.23* (0.08, 0.39)	0.45* (0.16, 0.74)	-0.08 (-0.27, 0.10)	-0.04 (-0.26, 0.18)	0.27* (0.07, 0.46)	-0.05 (-0.22, 0.13)	-0.20* (-0.40, -0.01)	1456
	Women	0.22* (0.03, 0.41)	0.51* (0.30, 0.71)	0.07 (-0.15, 0.30)	-0.09 (-0.30, 0.12)	-0.05 (-0.25, 0.15)	-0.01 (-0.19, 0.18)	-0.30* (-0.50, -0.10)	1500
	Both sexes	0.23* (0.10, 0.35)	0.48* (0.30, 0.65)	0.00 (-0.16, 0.15)	-0.06 (-0.22, 0.09)	0.11 (-0.19, 0.42)	-0.03 (-0.16, 0.10)	-0.25* (-0.39, -0.11)	2956
Brazil 1993	Men	0.18* (0.07, 0.29)	-0.07 (-0.29, 0.15)	0.34* (0.09, 0.60)	-0.18 (-0.54, 0.19)	-0.11 (-0.35, 0.12)	-0.16 (-0.45, 0.13)	0.01 (-0.25, 0.27)	403
	Women	0.13* (0.05, 0.21)	0.19 (-0.03, 0.41)	0.22* (0.01, 0.43)	-0.14 (-0.35, 0.08)	0.05 (-0.12, 0.22)	-0.01 (-0.22, 0.19)	0.03 (-0.20, 0.26)	490
	Both sexes	0.15* (0.09, 0.22)	0.07 (-0.18, 0.33)	0.28* (0.11, 0.44)	-0.15 (-0.36, 0.05)	-0.02 (-0.18, 0.13)	-0.08 (-0.26, 0.09)	0.02 (-0.15, 0.19)	893
Guatemala	Men	0.35 (-0.21, 0.90)	0.58 (-0.18, 1.35)	-0.50 (-1.27, 0.28)	0.92* (0.38, 1.47)	-0.08 (-0.61, 0.46)	0.29 (-0.38, 0.96)	-1.23* (-1.70, -0.77)	134
	Women	0.27 (-0.21, 0.76)	-0.01 (-0.69, 0.67)	0.35 (-0.09, 0.78)	0.15 (-0.40, 0.71)	0.18 (-0.74, 1.11)	0.66 (-0.24, 1.56)	-0.51 (-1.15, 0.12)	148
	Both sexes	0.31 (-0.06, 0.67)	0.27 (-0.30, 0.85)	-0.05 (-0.87, 0.77)	0.52 (-0.24, 1.28)	0.07 (-0.50, 0.63)	0.49 (-0.09, 1.07)	-0.83* (-1.55, -0.12)	282
India	Men	0.53* (0.06, 0.99)	0.44 (-0.14, 1.01)	-0.13 (-0.74, 0.47)	0.10 (-0.61, 0.82)	0.56 (-0.04, 1.16)	-0.24 (-0.71, 0.24)	0.08 (-0.82, 0.99)	280
	Women	-0.04 (-0.51, 0.42)	0.89* (0.30, 1.47)	0.24 (-0.20, 0.68)	-0.06 (-0.48, 0.35)	0.39* (0.01, 0.77)	-0.37 (-0.74, 0.00)	-0.25 (-0.54, 0.04)	243
	Both sexes	0.26 (-0.30, 0.82)	0.65* (0.20, 1.09)	0.04 (-0.34, 0.42)	0.03 (-0.40, 0.45)	0.48* (0.12, 0.85)	-0.30 (-0.60, 0.01)	-0.07 (-0.58, 0.43)	523
Philippines	Men	0.09 (-0.14, 0.31)	0.29* (0.00, 0.57)	0.23* (0.00, 0.46)	-0.17 (-0.42, 0.09)	-0.06 (-0.31, 0.18)	-0.20 (-0.50, 0.10)	0.41* (0.11, 0.70)	877

Study site	Sex	Birth weight	Conditional height at around 2 years of age	Conditional height in mid-childhood	Conditional height in adulthood	Conditional relative weight at around 2 years of age	Conditional relative weight in mid-childhood	Conditional relative weight in adulthood	N
South Africa	Women	0.11 (-0.16, 0.38)	0.44* (0.21, 0.67)	-0.01 (-0.19, 0.18)	0.01 (-0.20, 0.22)	0.12 (-0.16, 0.41)	-0.21 (-0.47, 0.05)	-0.09 (-0.32, 0.14)	783
	Both sexes	0.10 (-0.08, 0.27)	0.36* (0.17, 0.54)	0.12 (-0.12, 0.36)	-0.08 (-0.26, 0.09)	0.02 (-0.16, 0.21)	-0.20 (-0.40, 0.00)	0.18 (-0.31, 0.67)	1660
	Men	0.00 (-0.12, 0.11)	-0.02 (-0.15, 0.11)	0.18 (-0.01, 0.36)	0.22 (-0.01, 0.44)	0.05 (-0.09, 0.20)	-0.32 (-0.66, 0.03)	0.47* (0.08, 0.87)	309
	Women	0.10 (-0.02, 0.21)	0.02 (-0.15, 0.19)	-0.16* (-0.31, -0.02)	-0.21* (-0.37, -0.06)	-0.04 (-0.28, 0.20)	0.01 (-0.14, 0.17)	-0.02 (-0.23, 0.18)	338
	Both sexes	0.05 (-0.05, 0.15)	0.00 (-0.10, 0.11)	0.00 (-0.33, 0.33)	-0.01 (-0.43, 0.41)	0.00 (-0.14, 0.15)	-0.15 (-0.47, 0.17)	0.21 (-0.27, 0.70)	647
	Men	0.15* (0.04, 0.27)	0.19 (-0.02, 0.40)	0.11 (-0.07, 0.28)	0.09 (-0.16, 0.33)	0.07 (-0.08, 0.22)	-0.12* (-0.24, 0.00)	-0.07 (-0.48, 0.33)	3459
	I ² statistic (%)	54.5	67.7	57.5	70.7	51.6	0	88.4	
	p-value Cochran's Q test	0.051	0.008	0.038	0.004	0.067	0.566	<0.001	
All sites	Women	0.13* (0.07, 0.19)	0.32* (0.09, 0.54)	0.07 (-0.09, 0.23)	-0.12 (-0.21, -0.02)	0.04 (-0.06, 0.14)	-0.06 (-0.18, 0.07)	-0.14* (-0.27, -0.01)	3502
		I ² statistic (%)	0	75.7	62.2	0	0	34.2	34.9
		p-value Cochran's Q test	0.83	0.001	0.021	0.55	0.436	0.179	0.175
	Both sexes	0.13* (0.08, 0.19)	0.25* (0.10, 0.40)	0.09 (-0.03, 0.20)	-0.05 (-0.24, 0.14)	0.05 (-0.03, 0.13)	-0.09* (-0.18, -0.01)	-0.13* (-0.26, -0.01)	6961
		I ² statistic (%)	16.3	74.4	59.6	56.2	28.2	12.9	78.8
		p-value Cochran's Q test	0.284	<0.001	0.004	0.009	0.169	0.319	<0.001

533 Data are presented as linear regression coefficients (95% Confidence Intervals). Conditionals for all sites and site-specific were calculated using birth weight as
534 anchor. In adjusted analyses, we controlled for maternal factors (height, age at childbirth, schooling), birth order, and income/wealth quintiles. Additionally, we
535 controlled for year at birth and intervention group variables (in Guatemala analysis), and for maternal skin color (in both Brazil cohorts). These models exclude
536 cases with missing values. The I² statistic and Cochran's Q test were used to quantify between-cohort variation. *p-values for linear associations < 0.05.
537

Table 4. Adjusted associations between growth in childhood and IQ in adulthood, by study site and sex

Study site	Sex	Birth weight	Conditional height at around 2 years of age	Conditional height in mid-childhood	Conditional height in adulthood	Conditional relative weight at around 2 years of age	Conditional relative weight in mid-childhood	Conditional relative weight in adulthood	N
Brazil 1982	Men	0.36 (-0.31, 1.03)	1.94* (1.10, 2.79)	-0.15 (-0.89, 0.59)	0.32 (-0.80, 1.43)	0.58 (-0.17, 1.32)	-0.05 (-0.77, 0.67)	0.48 (-0.21, 1.18)	1445
	Women	1.26* (0.59, 1.92)	1.13* (0.32, 1.93)	0.38 (-0.39, 1.15)	-0.09 (-0.83, 0.64)	-0.11 (-0.84, 0.62)	-0.26 (-1.04, 0.52)	-0.05 (-0.85, 0.75)	1492
	Both sexes	0.81 (-0.07, 1.69)	1.53* (0.73, 2.33)	0.12 (-0.41, 0.65)	0.11 (-0.56, 0.77)	0.24 (-0.44, 0.91)	-0.15 (-0.68, 0.38)	0.22 (-0.31, 0.75)	2937
Brazil 1993	Men	1.06* (0.42, 1.70)	2.52* (0.70, 4.34)	1.62 (-0.25, 3.50)	0.46 (-1.05, 1.98)	0.40 (-1.90, 2.69)	-0.65 (-2.25, 0.96)	-0.80 (-2.71, 1.11)	381
	Women	0.51 (-0.06, 1.09)	0.42 (-0.93, 1.77)	1.36 (-0.09, 2.81)	0.12 (-1.40, 1.64)	0.88 (-0.60, 2.36)	0.14 (-1.49, 1.78)	0.21 (-1.33, 1.76)	466
	Both sexes	0.78* (0.25, 1.31)	1.37 (-0.68, 3.41)	1.48* (0.32, 2.64)	0.27 (-0.81, 1.35)	0.66 (-0.65, 1.98)	-0.21 (-1.36, 0.94)	-0.24 (-1.45, 0.97)	847
Guatemala	Men	2.04 (-0.19, 4.26)	2.21 (-0.44, 4.86)	-3.02 (-7.23, 1.18)	3.70* (0.38, 7.03)	-7.22* (-8.00, -6.44)	-1.60 (-5.26, 2.06)	-2.33* (-3.08, -1.58)	130
	Women	-0.74 (-2.54, 1.07)	-2.36 (-5.67, 0.94)	1.09 (-1.78, 3.96)	1.76 (-1.87, 5.39)	-2.66 (-5.59, 0.28)	1.17 (-2.52, 4.87)	0.23 (-1.87, 2.33)	147
	Both sexes	0.54 (-2.17, 3.25)	-0.22 (-4.72, 4.29)	-0.84 (-4.84, 3.16)	2.67* (0.19, 5.15)	-4.66* (-9.22, -0.11)	-0.05 (-2.79, 2.69)	-0.90 (-3.47, 1.68)	277
India	Men	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA
	Women	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA
	Both sexes	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA
Philippines	Men	-0.21 (-1.37, 0.95)	0.55 (-0.78, 1.88)	0.38 (-0.84, 1.60)	-1.90* (-3.10, -0.71)	-0.29 (-1.48, 0.90)	0.32 (-0.95, 1.58)	1.31 (-0.27, 2.90)	672
	Women	1.16 (-0.18, 2.50)	2.83* (1.61, 4.05)	-1.18 (-2.49, 0.14)	2.00* (0.44, 3.56)	0.70 (-0.70, 2.10)	-1.42* (-2.81, -0.03)	0.00 (-1.46, 1.45)	576
	Both sexes	0.42	1.60	-0.34	-0.10	0.16	-0.47	0.71	1248

Study site	Sex	Birth weight	Conditional height at around 2 years of age	Conditional height in mid-childhood	Conditional height in adulthood	Conditional relative weight at around 2 years of age	Conditional relative weight in mid-childhood	Conditional relative weight in adulthood	N
South Africa	Men	(-0.92, 1.76)	(-0.64, 3.84)	(-1.87, 1.19)	(-3.93, 3.73)	(-0.80, 1.12)	(-2.18, 1.23)	(-0.58, 2.01)	309
		1.14	1.43	-1.37	-0.56	0.41	-1.41	2.54*	
	Women	(-0.08, 2.36)	(-0.31, 3.18)	(-3.55, 0.81)	(-2.24, 1.13)	(-1.24, 2.06)	(-2.92, 0.09)	(0.87, 4.22)	339
All sites	Both sexes	(-0.97, 3.12)	(-1.47, 2.98)	(-1.27, 2.14)	(-5.20, -0.79)	(-3.89, 0.24)	(0.77, 4.42)	(-1.46, 1.57)	648
		1.11	1.08	-0.43	-1.83	-0.76	0.69	1.24	
	Men	(-0.12, 2.33)	(-0.35, 2.51)	(-2.19, 1.33)	(-4.24, 0.57)	(-2.96, 1.44)	(-3.25, 4.62)	(-1.19, 3.68)	2937
		(0.14, 1.26)	(1.04, 2.29)	(-0.93, 0.92)	(-1.34, 1.33)	(-5.07, 2.55)	(-0.82, 0.29)	(-1.57, 1.94)	
		I ² statistic (%)	38	4.2	42.3	72.8	98.3	2.8	91.7
		p-value	0.168	0.383	0.14	0.005	<0.001	0.391	<0.001
		Cochran's Q test							
All sites	Women	0.78*	1	0.29	0.1	-0.19	0.22	0.03	3020
		(0.23, 1.34)	(-0.19, 2.19)	(-0.56, 1.15)	(-1.28, 1.48)	(-1.17, 0.79)	(-1.02, 1.46)	(-0.54, 0.60)	
			I ² statistic (%)	32	68.6	45	72.2	53	68.1
		p-value	0.208	0.013	0.122	0.006	0.075	0.014	0.998
		Cochran's Q test							
All sites	Both sexes	0.74*	1.52*	0.16	0.05	-0.26	-0.19	0.04	5957
		(0.35, 1.14)	(0.96, 2.08)	(-0.47, 0.78)	(-0.91, 1.00)	(-1.21, 0.69)	(-0.69, 0.32)	(-0.50, 0.58)	
			I ² statistic (%)	27.7	49.8	38.8	69.9	96.8	46.6
		p-value	0.19	0.036	0.1	<0.001	<0.001	0.051	<0.001
		Cochran's Q test							

540 Data are presented as linear regression coefficients (95% Confidence Intervals). NA=not available data (IQ not available in India). Conditionals for all sites and
541 site-specific were calculated using birth weight as anchor. In adjusted analyses, we controlled for maternal factors (height, age at childbirth, schooling), birth
542 order, and income/wealth quintiles. Additionally, we controlled for year at birth and intervention group variables (in Guatemala analysis), and for maternal skin
543 color (in both Brazil cohorts). These models exclude cases with missing values. The I² statistic and Cochran's Q test were used to quantify between-cohort
544 variation. IQ = Intelligence quotient. *p-values for linear associations < 0.05.