Proteomics Uncovers Immunosuppression in COVID-19 Patients with Long Disease Course

Shaohua Tang [1#], Rui Sun [2,3#], Qi Xiao [2,3#], Tingting Mao [1#], Weigang Ge [2,3#], Chongquan Huang [1#], Meng Luo [2,3,4], Liujia Qian [2,3], Hao Chen [2,3], Qiushi Zhang [2,3], Sainan Li [2,3], Wei Liu [2,3,5], Shufei Li [1], Xueqin Xu [1], Huanzheng Li [1], Lianpeng Wu [1], Jianyi Dai [1], Huanhuan Gao [2,3], Lu Li [2,3], Tian Lu [2,3], Xiao Liang [2,3], Xue Cai [2,3], Guan Ruan [2,3], Kexin Liu [4], Fei Xu [5], Yan Li [6], Yi Zhu [2,3] *, Jianping Huang [1]*, Tiannan Guo [2,3,7]*

[1] Wenzhou Central Hospital, Dingli Clinical Medical School of Wenzhou Medical University, Wenzhou, 325000, Zhejiang, China;

[2] Key Laboratory of Structural Biology of Zhejiang Province, School of Life Sciences, Westlake University, 18 Shilongshan Road, Hangzhou 310024, Zhejiang Province, China;

[3] Institute of Basic Medical Sciences, Westlake Institute for Advanced Study, 18 Shilongshan Road, Hangzhou 310024, Zhejiang Province, China;

[4] Department of Clinical Pharmacology, College of Pharmacy, Dalian Medical University, Dalian 200335, Liaoning, China

[5] Department of Anatomy, College of Basic Medical, Dalian Medical University, Dalian, China

[6] Department of Anatomy and Physiology, College of Basic Medical Sciences, Shanghai Jiao Tong University, No.280 Chongqing South Road, Shanghai 200025, China

[7] Lead Contact

[#] These authors contribute equally

* Correspondence: zhuyi@westlake.edu.cn (Y.Z.); hjpzxyy006@163.com (J.H.); guotiannan@westlake.edu.cn (T.G.)

Abstract

Little is known regarding why a subset of COVID-19 patients exhibited prolonged positivity of SARS-CoV-2 infection. Here, we studied the sera proteomic dynamics in 37 COVID-19 patients over nine weeks, quantifying 2700 proteins with high quality. Remarkably, we found that during the first three weeks since disease onset, while clinical symptoms and outcome were indistinguishable, patients with prolonged disease course displayed characteristic immunological responses including enhanced Natural Killer cell-mediated innate immunity and regulatory T cell-mediated immunosuppression. We further showed that it is possible to predict the length of disease course using machine learning based on blood protein levels during the first three weeks. Validation in an independent cohort achieved an accuracy of 82%. In summary, this study presents a rich serum proteomic resource to understand host responses in COVID-19 patients and identifies characteristic Treg-mediated immunosuppression in patients with prolonged disease course, nominating new therapeutic target and diagnosis strategy.

INTRODUCTION

COVID-19 caused by the SARS-CoV-2 virus is an ongoing pandemic spreading all over the world. About 80% of COVID-19 patients exhibit mild clinical symptoms including fever and cough, while ~20% of patients deteriorate to life-threatening severe or critical conditions (Wu and McGoogan, 2020). Multiple studies have investigated the clinical course and pathogenesis (To et al., 2020; Yang et al., 2020; Zhou et al., 2020) and molecular changes (Messner, 2020; Shen, 2020; Wu et al., 2020) of severe or critical cases.

What remains largely unknown is the substantial diversity of COVID-19 patients in terms of disease course. In a recent report, the median interval of COVID-19 patients from onset to clinical recovery was 20 days, with the longest disease course of 37 days (Zhou et al., 2020). According to our clinical treatment of 37 COVID-19 patients, some patients exhibited surprisingly prolonged virus infection. The longest disease course we have observed thus far is 107 days (P33, a 55-year-old male) as of 17 May 2020. Little is known about the causes of persistent virus infection and how he could be effectively treated.

Here we report a longitudinal and in-depth proteomic profiling of 37 COVID-19 patients with short and long disease courses. We analyzed the sera proteomes collected over nine weeks for both patients with short disease course (SC) who turned negative for the virus infection in less than 22 days, and patients with long disease course (LC) who remained positive for 22 days or longer. We have also analyzed the sera proteomes of 35 non-COVID-19 patients with flu-like symptoms as controls. 2700 proteins were quantified with high quality, offering a rich resource to understand the dynamic disease course of COVID-19 patients in response to virus infection. Our data showed characteristic immune responses between the SC and LC patient groups. Remarkably, the data suggest regulatory T cell (Treg)-mediated immunosuppression was specifically activated in LC patients. We also showed that it is possible to predict the disease course of COVID-19 patients by measuring the characteristic protein levels from blood samples collected in the first three weeks since disease onset using a machine learning model. This study not only presents a rich resource to study the COVID-19 host responses, but also nominates novel therapeutic and diagnostic strategies for COVID-19 patients with prolonged infection positivity.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Patients, samples and proteomics

We procured 37 COVID-19 patients in this study of which 35 were typical and two were severe cases (Table 1, Table S1), including 19 males and 18 females, with a median age of 48 years. The median duration of disease in this cohort, as defined in Methods, was 22 days. These patients were divided into two groups based on the length of their disease course (Figure 1). The SC group comprised 17 patients with a disease course shorter than 22 days. The other 20 patients were defined as LC group. Interestingly, one of the severe cases was classified as SC, while the other severe case

was in the LC group (Figure 1).

These two groups (SC and LC) were indistinguishable based on clinical symptoms. The median age of SC patients was 43.2 years (range, 27-67 years) for SC patients, and 49.0 years (range, 2-84 years) for LC patients. The Wilcox t-test showed no significant age difference between the SC and LC patient groups (Figure S1A). Clinical treatment of both groups of patients was not significantly different by Fisher's exact test (Figure S1B, Table 1), indicating that the different lengths of disease course were unlikely due to existing therapeutics. Routine blood test data for the two groups showed no significant difference either (Figure S1C). We analyzed an additional 35 non-COVID-19 patients with flu-like symptoms as controls (Table 1, Table S1, and Figure 1B).

We performed in-depth profiling for sera proteome collected from each patient over nine weeks using TMTpro 16plex-based data-dependent acquisition mass spectrometry (Thompson et al., 2019). 14 high-abundance blood proteins were largely depleted to enhance the ability to measure low-abundance proteins. Each TMT-labeled peptide mixture was fractionated into 26-30 fractions for comprehensive discovery proteomic analysis (Figure 1A). A total of 224 depleted serum samples were analyzed using TMT-based proteomics (Figure 1). Samples were randomly distributed into 18 batches. We also analyzed 44 technical replicates for randomly selected samples in each batch (Figure S2A). Each pool of TMT-labeled peptides was further fractionated into 26-30 fractions using high-pH reverse phase liquid chromatography (RPLC) and analyzed by LC-MS/MS using a 60-min RPLC gradient. The median coefficient of variance (CV) among MS/MS technical replicates was 0.19 (Figure S2B). After excluding proteins with missing values in over 80% of samples, we presented quantitative levels of 2700 proteins across 268 sera samples with relatively high degrees of quantitative accuracy (Table S2A).

Complex immunity in the LC patients

To investigate host response differences in the serum proteome data set from the SC and LC patients, we performed a pairwise comparison of the proteins quantified in these two groups at each week over nine weeks. We then combined the regulated proteins at each week, leading to the identification of 921 dysregulated proteins (Table S2B), of which 405 proteins were functionally annotated in the UniProt database. We firstly used only GO biological process, KEGG and Reactome pathway enrichment using 405 proteins, and found that most pathways were related to immunity and metabolism (Figure S3).

Generally, our data showed that the first week is the inflammatory response period, featured by activation of redox and amino acid metabolic processes. In the following three weeks, more pathways involved in adaptive immunity and glycometabolism were activated. Interestingly, some sex hormone associated pathways were also enriched, such as fertilization and response to estradiol. From fifth to ninth week, the two patient groups displayed difference in pathways involved in tissue remodelling and repair, apoptosis and sulfur compound catabolic process.

We then focused on the immunity-related pathways at each time point as shown

in Figure 2A. For SC patients, they showed upregulated platelet degranulation in the first week, followed by activation of antigen presentation in the following two weeks. Leukocyte degranulation was activated in the third week. In the sixth week, SC patients showed upregulation of serum proteins involved in tissue remodeling (Figure 2A) as signs of recovery. LC patients displayed much more complex serum proteome dynamics. During the first week, both platelet degranulation and upregulation of Treg vs Teff were activated. Activation of antigen presentation occurred in the second week. The complement system was also activated from the second week. Interestingly, the regulatory T cell (Treg) activation pathway was enriched in the first two weeks and the fifth week, suggesting immunosuppression occurred early in these patients. From the fourth week, leukocyte migration was enriched. Tissue repair was enriched only in the eighth week, followed by the complement system in the ninth week (Figure 2A). These data suggest that immunological responses during the first three weeks may be critical in determining the length of the disease course. During this period, both innate and adaptive immunity were activated in SC patients, while in contrast, LC patients showed signs of Treg-mediated immunosuppression in addition to innate and adaptive immunity.

Our data also underscored the proteins involved in the above-mentioned pathways as shown in Figure S3. In SC patients, a secreted protein, the soluble scavenger receptor cysteine-rich domain-containing protein (SSC5D) was highly expressed in the second week (Figure S4). SSC5D is highly expressed on monocytes and activated lymphocytes rather than naïve immune cells, and mediates both innate and adaptive immunity (Goncalves et al., 2009), suggesting activated immune responses to virus infection. In the third week, another upregulated protein in SC patient sera was non-secretory ribonuclease (RNASE2), a chemoattractant for dendritic cells. RNASE2 is reported to participate in antigen presentation (Yang et al., 2003), and may play a role in the adaptive immunity against SARS-CoV-2 (Figure S4). In the fourth week, a pro-inflammatory factor, chromogranin-A (CHGA), was upregulated in the sera in SC patients. CHGA highly expressed on the secretory cells to regulate catecholamine secretion which is associated with serum CHGA (di Comite et al., 2006) and is reported to activate pro-inflammatory M1 macrophages and inhibit anti-inflammatory M2 macrophages (Eissa et al., 2018). In the sixth week, serum amyloid P-component (APCS) was upregulated in SC patient sera (Figure S4), suggesting clearance of damaged circulating cells and enhanced angiogenesis.

In LC patients, positive regulators for immune response were found to be activated. Hepatocyte growth factor-like protein (MST1) was upregulated in the second week (Figure 2A). MST1 is required for thymocyte migration and antigen recognition (Ueda et al., 2012). Besides, Golgi-associated plant pathogenesis related protein 2 (GLIPR2), associated with the migration of T and B lymphocytes, was up-regulated in the fourth week (Figure S4). In the following week, we observed upregulation of two immunosuppressive factors, namely fibroleukin (FGL2) and programmed cell death 1 ligand 2 (PDCD1LG2). FGL2 negatively regulates dendritic cell antigen presentation and T cell differentiation, especially the secreted FGL2 (Hu et al., 2016). It was upregulated in LC patients and further increased during recovery

from COVID-19 (Figure S4). PDCD1LG2 negatively regulates T cell activation (Brown et al., 2003), and followed similar dynamics over the disease course to FGL2 (Figure S4). In the eighth week, tissue remodeling associated proteins, such as von Willebrand factor (VWF), were upregulated in the LC group, suggesting recovery from COVID-19. Besides, our data show that the complement system may play important roles in LC patients during the entire disease course. In the second week, the most enriched pathway involving the upregulation of C5 was the complement cascade (Figure 2A). In the last week, C8A and other complement system proteins remained upregulated (Figure S4). Complement activation was reported in our previous study of COVID-19 sera (Shen, 2020). The complement cascade could also contribute to immunosuppression (Kemper et al., 2003) and might be a potential therapeutic target for COVID-19 (Cao, 2020).

Altogether, negative regulator factors and innate immune performed more active might result in longer disease course.

Characteristic protein dynamics in the LC patients

Next, we investigated whether the differentially expressed proteins between SC and LC patients were unique to COVID-19 disease by making comparison between COVID-19 and non-COVID-19 patients with flu-like symptoms (Ctrl, Figure 1B). We first analyzed the temporal dynamics of the 2700 proteins using Mfuzz (Kumar and Mattias, 2007) for SC and LC patients. 1746 proteins, grouped in eight clusters in the SC and LC patient groups (Table S2C), were consistently dysregulated over time compared to the control group (Figure S5A-B). Four characteristic protein clusters were enriched in the two patient groups (Figure S5C). In the meantime, 774 proteins were identified to be differentially expressed in COVID-19 patients compared to the Ctrl group (Table S2D). By overlapping the two above-mentioned protein shortlists with the 921 dysregulated proteins between SC and LC patients, our data were narrowed down to 207 proteins which fulfill the following three criteria: a) differentially expressed between SC and LC; b) consistently up- or down-regulated over time; c) COVID-19 disease specific (Figure 2B). Out of 207 proteins, 97 proteins were functionally annotated by the Ingenuity Pathway Analysis (IPA) software tool (Table S2E).

These proteins were segregated into two major groups based on their expression across all nine time points during the disease course (Figure 2C). The first group of 80 proteins showed increased expression over time. SC patients exhibited earlier elevation of these proteins, while LC patients exhibited delayed increase (Figure 2C). Four major pathways were enriched in this protein group, namely acute phase response, LXR/RXR activation, agranulocyte adhesion and diapedesis, and actin cytoskeleton signaling (Figure 2D). Also, the coagulation and complement systems were also enriched in this protein group. Acute phase response signaling, coagulation and complement systems are associated with innate immunity, while actin cytoskeleton signaling is associated with leukocyte migration in adaptive immunity.

The second group of 17 proteins showed decreasing expression over time. SC patients showed an earlier decrease than LC patients (Figure 2E). These proteins were

mapped to enriched pathways including metabolic processes such as myo-inositol biosynthesis, glycolytic shunt and glutamyl cycle (Figure 2E).

Next, we calculated the median expression level of the two groups of proteins and present them in boxplots (Figure 2D, 2E). For the first group of proteins, generally SC patients showed lower protein expression than LC patients in the first three weeks, but the expression was dramatically elevated from the fourth week and maintained thereafter at a high level for several weeks (Figure 3D). This agrees with clinical data that all these SC patients tested negative for SARS-CoV-2 nucleic acid after the third week. In contrast, LC patients showed a higher degree of longitudinal diversity in the trends of expression of these proteins, probably partly due to their variable clinical recovery trajectories. Expression of the first group of proteins in the first four weeks was relatively stable but dramatically increased in the fifth week, followed by a decrease from the sixth to the seventh week, and a second rise in the eighth week (Figure 2D).

Interestingly, the expression of the second group of proteins displayed an opposite trend compared to the first group (Figure 2E). Protein expression of the SC patients started to decrease during the 4th week and continued to decrease until the last time point; while the LC patients showed high protein expression level till the fifth week, with a shallow decrease beginning in the sixth week (Figure 2E).

Dysregulated leukocyte migration and IFG/redox homeostasis

Pathway analysis of the two protein groups mentioned above highlighted leukocyte migration and IGF/redox homeostasis (Figure 3).

Representative proteins related to leukocyte migration included beta-defensin 1 (DEFB1), selectin P (SELP), ezrin (EZR) and moesin (MSN) (Figure 3A). DEFB1 is a ligand for the chemokine receptor CCR6 and a positive upstream regulator of Th17 (Wojtowicz et al., 2015). SC patients exhibited high expression of DEFB1 in the first three weeks, but it decreased from the fourth week when patients became negative in the nucleic acid test (Figure 3A). In contrast, LC patients exhibited a delayed increase of this protein until the third week (Figure 3A), suggesting delayed activation of Th17 in LC patients. Leukocyte extravasation occurs in two sequential steps, among which SELP participates in the first process of leukocyte adhesion to the endothelial surface (Vicente-Manzanares and Sanchez-Madrid, 2004). In SC patients, expression of SELP was relatively low in the first three weeks, and then increased significantly in the fourth week and maintained at a high level until the ninth week (Figure 3A). SELP increase in LC patients, however, was much shallower, and peaked as late as the eighth week, in line with their prolonged disease course (Figure 3A). The complex of ezrin-radixin-moesin (ERM) links cytoskeleton with membrane adhesion molecules; however, moesin (MSN) and ezrin exert opposite functions (Ivetic et al., 2002). Our data showed that EZR was maintained at a relatively high level during the first three weeks in SC patients and then decreased thereafter (Figure 3A). Interestingly, in these patients, MSN showed an opposite trend in which expression increased from the fourth week and thereafter was maintained at a relatively high level. In LC patients, the change of ERM components showed a similar pattern but with a delayed transition

258 point (Figure 3A).
259 In addition to the proteins directly involved in immunity, our data also
260 highlighted metabolic pathways such as the IGF/redox homeostasis pathway which is
261 critical in maintaining the microenvironment for immune responses (Figure 3A). We
262 narrowed our focus to proteins involved in IGF/redox homeostasis namely insulin-like
263 growth factor 1 (IGF1), superoxide dismutase (SOD1), glutathione synthetase (GSS)
264 and 5-oxoprolinase (OPLAH) (Figure 3A). IGF1 promotes the proliferation of Treg
265 cells (Anguela et al., 2013). In SC patients, expression of IGF1 increased from the
266 second to the sixth week, followed by a dramatic decrease in the seventh week, in line
267 with the recovery of these patients (Figure 3A). However, in LC patients, expression
268 of IGF1 slightly upregulated than in SC patients and increased until the eighth week,
269 followed by a slow decline thereafter. The expression of IGF1 is higher in the LC
270 patients than SC patients in the initial and the end of the disease stage (Figure 3A).
271 Infection-induced ROS was reported to accelerate the accumulation of Treg cells,
272 which led to immunosuppression (Yang et al., 2013). ROS may also induce the
273 expression of SOD1 in the mitochondria to reduce ROS as negative feedback (Ma,
274 2013). Our data showed that the expression of SOD1 peaked earlier in SC patients
275 (fourth week) than that in the LC patients (fifth week, Figure 4A), indicating that
276 clearance of infection-induced ROS in SC patients occurred earlier than in LC
277 patients. GSS and OPLAH are both involved in the glutamyl cycle that maintains
278 redox homeostasis (Forman et al., 2009). In the glutamyl cycle, GSS catalyzes the
279 generation of reduced glutathione (GSH). OPLAH catalyzes the conversion of 5-
280 oxoproline into glutamate and indirectly enhances the consumption of GSH. Our data
281 showed that GSS was upregulated in the fourth week in SC patients, about one week
282 earlier than its increase in LC patients (Figure 3A). In contrast, the downregulation of
283 OPLAH began in the fourth week in SC patients, while in LC patients it did not
284 substantially decrease until the eighth week (Figure 3A). The timely upregulation of
285 GSS and downregulation of OPLAH might contribute to the elimination of infection-
286 induced ROS in SC patients, while their delayed modulation may have contributed to
287 the prolonged disease course of LC patients.
288
289 **Treg-mediated immunosuppression and crosstalk with other immune cells in the**
290 **LC patients**
291 The leukocyte migration and IGF/redox homeostasis pathway may modulate the
292 activities of Th17 and Treg cells (Figure 3B). Th17 cells are a subset of T helper (Th)
293 cells. Th17 cells are differentiated from CD4+ T cells with a pro-inflammatory role
294 upon infection when IL6 is relatively high (Kimura and Kishimoto, 2010). The
295 upregulation of IL-6 has been reported as one of the most critical cytokines in severe
296 COVID-19 patients (Ruan et al., 2020). Our data suggested that activation of Th17
297 cells may play a role in T cell immunity in COVID-19. Opposite to Th17 cells, Treg
298 cells are induced from CD4+ T cells when IL-6 is relatively low and they suppress
299 immunity (Kimura and Kishimoto, 2010).
300 Our data showed longitudinal down-regulation of DEFB1, an upstream activator
301 of Th17. LC patients showed a delayed decrease of DEFB1, suggesting delayed

activation of Th17. IGF1 promotes Treg cells. A higher level of IGF1 in the LC patients suggested aberrant Treg-mediated immunosuppression in the LC patients (Figure 3A). Besides, infection-induced ROS, regulated by GSS and OPLAH, may also trigger Treg-mediated immunosuppression in LC patients as discussed above.

We next applied flow cytometry to analyze the $CD127^{-}CD25^{+}$ Treg cell subpopulation in peripheral blood samples collected from disease onset to the fourth week (SC: 17 patients, 30 samples; LC: 17 patients, 22 samples). The data showed that the number of $CD127^{-}CD25^{+}$ Treg cells was significantly higher in LC patients than that in SC patients (p=0.006), while the $CD45^{+}$ lymphocytes (p=0.306) and $CD3^{+}CD4^{+}$ T cells (p=0.871) did not show a significant difference (Figure 4A). The data support the hypothesis that $CD127^{-}CD25^{+}$ Treg-mediated immunosuppression may be induced in LC patients during the first few weeks. Inhibition of Treg cells could be a potential therapeutic approach for COVID-19 patients with long disease course.

More natural killer (NK) cells, especially the cytotoxic subset ($CD3^{-}CD56^{+}CD16^{+}$), were found in LC patients (Figure 4B). NK cells are a typical kind of cells in innate immunity. In the meantime, $CD3^{-}CD56^{-}CD16^{-}$ cells were found to be decreased in the LC group (Figure S6A-B). These cells are probably B cells, which is further supported by our finding that the antibody level of these patients were also decreased (Huang, 2020). The decrease of B cells may also be supported by the finding that NK cells can inhibit the B cell immunity through reducing neutralizing antibodies (Rydyznski et al., 2015). However, unfortunately we did not have sufficient sample to stain these reduced cells with markers for B cells to confirm their identity.

We then measured the key cytokines and growth factors such as IL-2, IL-4, IL-5, IL-6, IL-10, IL-17A, IFN-γ, and TNF-α of clinical importance. They were measured using antibody-based method (SC: 17 patients, 30 samples; LC: 17 patients, 22 samples; Figure 5B and Figure S6C). Except for TNF-α, other molecules showed no difference between SC and LC patients, probably because most patients in this study were non-severe cases (Figure 5B). TNF-α was found to be increases in the LC group, which might be associated with the upregulated NK cells.

However, in our proteomics data, we identified two key receptors for IL-6, namely the alpha subunit (IL6R) and the beta subunit (IL6ST) of the interleukin-6 receptor. These two subunits are jointly required to mediate IL-6 signal transmission. Overall, LC patients expressed higher IL6ST than SC patients did (Figure 5). Interestingly, IL6R was upregulated in LC patients during the first four weeks followed by a decline thereafter. In SC patients, IL6R expression did not show the substantial change (Figure 5). This difference of IL6R expression trends may reflect transient activation of Th17 during the prolonged disease course. We also detected the dynamics of two proteins, transforming growth factor beta-1 protein (TGFB1) and cytosol aminopeptidase (LAP3), which are associated with Treg function. LAP3 is one of the surface markers of Treg, and TGFB1 regulated Treg and Th17 (Oida et al., 2003). Similar to IL6ST, LAP3 also kept a higher level in the LC group across the disease course than in SC patients (Figure 5). TGFB1 showed no difference between the SC and LC patients (Figure 5).

346 Taken together, our data showed that NK cell-mediated innate immune and Treg-
347 mediated immunosuppression were both activated in the LC group, while $CD3^-CD56^-$
348 $CD16^-$ cells were decreased in the LC group, suggesting suppression of B cells which
349 may be associated with decreased antibody level.
350
351 **Predictive model for the length of disease course**
352 The above analysis showed that SC and LC patients have different proteomic
353 serological responses during the early phase of COVID-19 when the two groups of
354 patients were clinically indistinguishable during the first few weeks (Figure S1). This
355 raises the possibility of predicting disease course based on proteomic patterns during
356 the early phase. To test this, we built a random forest model using the serum
357 proteomic data collected during the first three weeks. We included a total of 80 serum
358 samples as a discovery dataset (Figure 6A) which were randomly divided into two
359 groups: a 66-sample training dataset (30 patients and 66 samples) and a validation
360 dataset (7 patients and 14 samples). Next, a previously published cohort (39 patients
361 and 39 samples) (Shen, 2020) was employed as an independent test dataset from a
362 different clinical center.
363 To select the most relevant proteins (referred as "feature" in machine learning),
364 we retrieved 174 significantly differentially expressed proteins from the proteomic
365 profiling of SC and LC patients during the first three weeks (Table S3). These proteins
366 were also identified in the independent test dataset. Then 58 features with highest
367 predictive accuracy were prioritized from the training set using random forest (4.6.14)
368 (Figure 6A, Figure S7A). After a six-fold cross-validation between the training set and
369 the validation set, a classifier with 35 feature proteins was finalized for classifying LC
370 patients with 100% accuracy in the training set (Figure 6A). The details of the 35 key
371 features are shown in Figure 6B. Intercellular adhesion molecule 1 (ICAM1), low
372 affinity immunoglobulin gamma Fc region receptor 3-A (FCGR3A) and
373 immunoglobulin kappa variable 1-16 (IGKV1-16) are the top three ranked proteins,
374 which were significantly regulated in LC patients within the first three weeks (Figure
375 S7B). ICAM1 mediates cell-cell contact and promotes T cell apoptosis (Starke et al.,
376 2010). FCGR3A is involved in antibody-dependent cell-mediated cytotoxicity
377 (ADCC). The elevation of FCGR3A is consistent with the increase of NK cells in the
378 LC group. IGKV1-16 is a part of the variable domain of immunoglobulin light chain,
379 and it is secreted by B cell. IGKV1-16 was down-regulated in the LC group, which
380 might be associated with dysregulation of B cell in the LC as discussed previously.
381 We then applied the model to the independent test cohort from a different clinical
382 center. The model correctly classified 32 out of 39 patients with an overall accuracy of
383 82% (Figure 6C). Due to biosafety issues and the emergency of this pandemic, this
384 study is limited by the sample size. We noticed that the distribution of samples in the
385 different disease stage is different between discovery dataset and test dataset. The
386 numbers of serum samples of the discovery dataset are 18, 31 and 31 for the first,
387 second and the third week, respectively. However, the numbers for the test dataset are
388 21, 14 and 4, showing substantially lower proportions in the second and third week.
389 This difference was significant as measured by a two-sides Fisher's exact test (p =

0.0004). The substantially higher number of samples collected in the first week have probably contributed to the difficulty of correct classification by this model. Indeed, the prediction accuracy was 92.9% for the samples collected in the second and third weeks, while the accuracy was only 72.2% for the samples collected in the first week. Future application and improvement of this model in larger clinical cohorts are needed.

Conclusion

COVID-19 patients with short and long disease courses showed no significant difference in clinical symptoms, laboratory tests and response to conventional therapy. Our longitudinal sera proteomic analysis uncovered characteristic host responses in sera of patients with long disease course, including enhanced NK cell-mediated innate immune response and Treg-mediated immunosuppression at an early stage. Our data also suggested $CD3^{-}CD56^{-}CD16^{-}$ cells might contribute to decreased production of S protein specific antibodies in the patients with a prolonged disease course. Our data suggest modulation of Treg cells may be potential therapeutics for these COVID-19 patients. We also showed that it is possible to predict the disease course of COVID-19 patients based on selected protein levels within the first three weeks after disease onset. The model nevertheless requires further validation in independent cohorts.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

This work is supported by grants from Tencent Foundation (2020), National Natural Science Foundation of China (81972492, 21904107, 81672086), Zhejiang Provincial Natural Science Foundation for Distinguished Young Scholars (LR19C050001), and Hangzhou Agriculture and Society Advancement Program (20190101A04). We thank Drs O.L. Kon, H. Qi, H. Xu, and X. Chang for helpful comments to this study, and Westlake University Supercomputer Center and biomedical research core facilities for assistance in data generation and analysis.

AUTHOR CONTRIBUTIONS

T.G., S.T., Y.Z. and J.H. designed and supervised the project. S.T., J.H., T.M., C. H., S.L., X.X., H.L., L.W., J.D. collected the samples and clinical data. R.S., Q.X., W.G., M.L., L.Q., H.C., Q.Z., S.L., W.L., H.G., L.L., T.L., X.L., X.C., and G.R. conducted proteomic analysis. T.M., Q.X. and R.S. performed flow cytometry analysis. R.S., Q.X., W.G., T.M., C.H., Y.Z., S.T. and T.G. interpreted the data with inputs from all co-authors. R.S., Q.X., Y.Z. and T.G. wrote the manuscript with inputs from co-authors.

DECLARATION OF INTERESTS

This study is partly supported by Tecent.

REFERENCES

Anguela, X.M., Tafuro, S., Roca, C., Callejas, D., Agudo, J., Obach, M., Ribera, A., Ruzo, A., Mann, C.J., Casellas, A.*, et al.* (2013). Nonviral-mediated hepatic expression of IGF-I increases Treg levels and suppresses autoimmune diabetes in mice. Diabetes *62*, 551-560.

Brown, J.A., Dorfman, D.M., Ma, F.R., Sullivan, E.L., Munoz, O., Wood, C.R., Greenfield, E.A., and Freeman, G.J. (2003). Blockade of programmed death-1 ligands on dendritic cells enhances T cell activation and cytokine production. J Immunol *170*, 1257-1266.

Cao, X. (2020). COVID-19: immunopathology and its implications for therapy. Nat Rev Immunol *20*, 269-270.

Colaert, N., Barsnes, H., Vaudel, M., Helsens, K., Timmerman, E., Sickmann, A., Gevaert, K., and Martens, L. (2011). Thermo-msf-parser: an open source Java library to parse and visualize Thermo Proteome Discoverer msf files. J Proteome Res *10*, 3840-3843.

di Comite, G., Marinosci, A., Di Matteo, P., Manfredi, A., Rovere-Querini, P., Baldissera, E., Aiello, P., Corti, A., and Sabbadini, M.G. (2006). Neuroendocrine modulation induced by selective blockade of TNF-alpha in rheumatoid arthritis. Ann N Y Acad Sci *1069*, 428-437.

Eissa, N., Hussein, H., Kermarrec, L., Ali, A.Y., Marshall, A., Metz-Boutigue, M.H., Hendy, G.N., Bernstein, C.N., and Ghia, J.E. (2018). Chromogranin-A Regulates Macrophage Function and the Apoptotic Pathway in Murine DSS colitis. J Mol Med (Berl) *96*, 183-198.

Forman, H.J., Zhang, H., and Rinna, A. (2009). Glutathione: overview of its protective roles, measurement, and biosynthesis. Mol Aspects Med *30*, 1-12.

Gao, H., Zhang, F., Liang, S., Zhang, Q., Lyu, M., Qian, L., Liu, W., Ge, W., Chen, C., Yi, X.*, et al.* (2020). Accelerated Lysis and Proteolytic Digestion of Biopsy-Level Fresh-Frozen and FFPE Tissue Samples Using Pressure Cycling Technology. J Proteome Res *19*, 1982-1990.

Goncalves, C.M., Castro, M.A., Henriques, T., Oliveira, M.I., Pinheiro, H.C., Oliveira, C., Sreenu, V.B., Evans, E.J., Davis, S.J., Moreira, A.*, et al.* (2009). Molecular cloning and analysis of SSc5D, a new member of the scavenger receptor cysteine-rich superfamily. Mol Immunol *46*, 2585-2596.

Hu, J., Yan, J., Rao, G., Latha, K., Overwijk, W.W., Heimberger, A.B., and Li, S. (2016). The Duality of Fgl2 - Secreted Immune Checkpoint Regulator Versus Membrane-Associated Procoagulant: Therapeutic Potential and Implications. Int Rev Immunol *35*, 325-339.

Huang, J.e.a. (2020). Long period dynamics of viral load and antibodies for SARS-CoV-2 infection: an observational cohort study. medRxiv *https://doi.org/10.1101/2020.04.22.20071258*.

Ivetic, A., Deka, J., Ridley, A., and Ager, A. (2002). The cytoplasmic tail of L-selectin interacts with members of the Ezrin-Radixin-Moesin (ERM) family of proteins: cell activation-dependent binding of Moesin but not Ezrin. J Biol Chem *277*, 2321-2329.

Kemper, C., Chan, A.C., Green, J.M., Brett, K.A., Murphy, K.M., and Atkinson, J.P. (2003). Activation of human CD4+ cells with CD3 and CD46 induces a T-regulatory cell 1 phenotype. Nature *421*, 388-392.

Kimura, A., and Kishimoto, T. (2010). IL-6: regulator of Treg/Th17 balance. Eur J Immunol *40*, 1830-1835.

Kumar, L., and Mattias, E.F. (2007). Mfuzz: a software package for soft clustering of microarray data. Bioinformation *2*, 5-7.

Li, J., Van Vranken, J.G., Pontano Vaites, L., Schweppe, D.K., Huttlin, E.L., Etienne, C., Nandhikonda, P., Viner, R., Robitaille, A.M., Thompson, A.H.*, et al.* (2020). TMTpro reagents: a set of isobaric

labeling mass tags enables simultaneous proteome-wide measurements across 16 samples. Nat Methods *17*, 399-404.

Messner, e.a. (2020). Ultra-high-throughput clinical proteomics reveals classifiers of COVID-19 infection. Cell Systems, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cels.2020.1005.1012.

Oida, T., Zhang, X., Goto, M., Hachimura, S., Totsuka, M., Kaminogawa, S., and Weiner, H.L. (2003). CD4+CD25- T cells that express latency-associated peptide on the surface suppress CD4+CD45RBhigh-induced colitis by a TGF-beta-dependent mechanism. J Immunol *170*, 2516-2522.

Ruan, Q., Yang, K., Wang, W., Jiang, L., and Song, J. (2020). Clinical predictors of mortality due to COVID-19 based on an analysis of data of 150 patients from Wuhan, China. Intensive Care Med *46*, 846-848.

Shen, B., *et al.* (2020). Proteomic and Metabolomic Characterization of COVID-19 Patient Sera. medRxiv.

Starke, A., Lindenmeyer, M.T., Segerer, S., Neusser, M.A., Rusi, B., Schmid, D.M., Cohen, C.D., Wuthrich, R.P., Fehr, T., and Waeckerle-Men, Y. (2010). Renal tubular PD-L1 (CD274) suppresses alloreactive human T-cell responses. Kidney Int *78*, 38-47.

Thompson, A., Wolmer, N., Koncarevic, S., Selzer, S., Bohm, G., Legner, H., Schmid, P., Kienle, S., Penning, P., Hohle, C.*, et al.* (2019). TMTpro: Design, Synthesis, and Initial Evaluation of a Proline-Based Isobaric 16-Plex Tandem Mass Tag Reagent Set. Anal Chem *91*, 15941-15950.

To, K.K., Tsang, O.T., Leung, W.S., Tam, A.R., Wu, T.C., Lung, D.C., Yip, C.C., Cai, J.P., Chan, J.M., Chik, T.S.*, et al.* (2020). Temporal profiles of viral load in posterior oropharyngeal saliva samples and serum antibody responses during infection by SARS-CoV-2: an observational cohort study. Lancet Infect Dis.

Ueda, Y., Katagiri, K., Tomiyama, T., Yasuda, K., Habiro, K., Katakai, T., Ikehara, S., Matsumoto, M., and Kinashi, T. (2012). Mst1 regulates integrin-dependent thymocyte trafficking and antigen recognition in the thymus. Nat Commun *3*, 1098.

Wojtowicz, A., Gresnigt, M.S., Lecompte, T., Bibert, S., Manuel, O., Joosten, L.A., Rueger, S., Berger, C., Boggian, K., Cusini, A.*, et al.* (2015). IL1B and DEFB1 Polymorphisms Increase Susceptibility to Invasive Mold Infection After Solid-Organ Transplantation. J Infect Dis *211*, 1646-1657.

Wu, D., Shu, T., Yang, X., Song, J.-X., Zhang, M., Yao, C., Liu, W., Huang, M., Yu, Y., Yang, Q.*, et al.* (2020). Plasma Metabolomic and Lipidomic Alterations Associated with COVID-19. National Science Review.

Wu, Z., and McGoogan, J.M. (2020). Characteristics of and Important Lessons From the Coronavirus Disease 2019 (COVID-19) Outbreak in China: Summary of a Report of 72314 Cases From the Chinese Center for Disease Control and Prevention. JAMA.

Yang, D., Rosenberg, H.F., Chen, Q., Dyer, K.D., Kurosaka, K., and Oppenheim, J.J. (2003). Eosinophil-derived neurotoxin (EDN), an antimicrobial protein with chemotactic activities for dendritic cells. Blood *102*, 3396-3403.

Yang, X., Yu, Y., Xu, J., Shu, H., Xia, J., Liu, H., Wu, Y., Zhang, L., Yu, Z., Fang, M.*, et al.* (2020). Clinical course and outcomes of critically ill patients with SARS-CoV-2 pneumonia in Wuhan, China: a single-centered, retrospective, observational study. Lancet Respir Med.

Yang, Y., Bazhin, A.V., Werner, J., and Karakhanova, S. (2013). Reactive oxygen species in the immune system. Int Rev Immunol *32*, 249-270.

Zhou, F., Yu, T., Du, R., Fan, G., Liu, Y., Liu, Z., Xiang, J., Wang, Y., Song, B., Gu, X.*, et al.* (2020). Clinical course and risk factors for mortality of adult inpatients with COVID-19 in Wuhan, China: a

retrospective cohort study. Lancet *395*, 1054-1062.
Zhou, Y., Zhou, B., Pache, L., Chang, M., Khodabakhshi, A.H., Tanaseichuk, O., Benner, C., and Chanda, S.K. (2019). Metascape provides a biologist-oriented resource for the analysis of systems-level datasets. Nat Commun *10*, 1523.

523 **Table 1. Baseline and progression of CVDTSA cohort**

Baseline Characteristic	non-COVID-19 (N=35)	COVID-19 SC (N=17)	COVID-19 LC (N=20)
Gender — no. [a](%)			
male	17 (53.1)	8 (47.1)	11 (55.0)
female	15 (47.9)	9 (52.9)	9 (45.0)
Age — yr [b]			
mean ± SD	43.0 ± 18.0	43.2 ± 10.5	49.0 ± 15.4
median (IQR)	37.5 (28.8-56.0)	44 (35.0-50.0)	50 (42.8-55.5）
range	18-80	27-67	2-84
Symptoms —no. (%)			
fever	28 (87.6)	10 (58.8)	14 (70.0)
cough	2 (6.3)	11 (64.7)	15 (75.0)
diarrhea	1 (3.1)	10 (58.8)	8 (40.0)
fatigue	1 (3.1)	8 (47.1)	7 (35.0)
Comorbidities — no. (%)			
hypertension		2 (11.8)	6 (30.0)
diabetes		0 (0.0)	3 (15.0)
hepatitis B		2 (11.8)	0 (0.0)
coronary sclerosis		0 (0.0)	3 (15.0)
gastrohelcosis		1 (5.9)	0 (0.0)
psoatic strain		0 (0.0)	1 (5.0)
chronic gynecologic inflammation		1 (5.9)	0 (0.0)
gout		0 (0.0)	1 (5.0)
asthma		0 (0.0)	1 (5.0)
Treatment— no. (%)			
lopinavir and ritonavir		17 (100.0)	19 (95.0)
Atomized interferon		17 (100.0)	20 (100.0)
Arbidol		7 (41.2)	12 (60.0)
Lianhuaqingwen (Chinese traditional medicine)		15 (88.2)	16 (80.0)
Ribavirin		0 (0.0)	3 (15.0)
Hydroxychloroquine		1 (5.9)	3 (15.0)

[a] no.: number.

[b] yr.: year

524
525

Figure legend

Figure 1. Patients, samples and study workflow. (A) Baseline characteristics of the study cohort. The y-axis shows the patient ID, and the x-axis displays the length of disease course from onset. The 37 patients are separated into SC (17 patients) and LC (20 patients) groups. Other important information including the virus nucleic acid test results (sputum/throat swab-positive/-negative), gender, severity, comorbidity baseline, etc., are shown in the right panel of the figure. The black dots indicate sampling time. More details are provided in Table S1. **(B)** Workflow for TMT based proteomic analysis in this study. 37 COVID-19 patients and 32 control (Ctrl) patients were included. In total, 224 sera samples were collected and 268 peptide samples including 44 technical replicates were analyzed by TMT 16plex-based quantitative proteomics.

Figure 2. Complex immunity in the LC patients. (A) Summary of enriched immune-related pathways in LC and SC patients across the disease course. Representative proteins involved in these pathways are also shown. **(B)** Venn diagram of differentially expressed proteins between the SC and LC groups over nine time points and specifically regulated in COVID-19 sera. **(C)** Heatmap of 97 dysregulated proteins in the SC and LC groups over nine time points. **(D-E)** Expression of protein group 1 and 2 across nine time points. The y-axis stands for the relative protein expression normalized by z-score. The pathways and representative participating proteins are shown below the boxplots.

Figure 3. Characteristic proteins and pathways in LC patients. (A) Representative proteins involved in leukocyte migration and IGF/redox homeostasis are plotted over time. **(B)** Diagram depicting that major regulated pathways in the LC patients including leukocytes migration and IGF/redox homeostasis jointly modulate Treg-mediated immunosuppression.

Figure 4. Flow cytometric analysis of immune cells between SC and LC patients. 22 samples from 17 LC patients and 30 samples from 17 SC patients were analyzed. **(A)** Flow cytometric analysis of lymphocytes, CD4+ cells and CD127-CD25+ Treg cells of two representative patients. Bean plots show the comparison between the two groups. **(B)** Flow cytometric analysis of lymphocytes, $CD8^+$ cells and CD56+CD16+ NK cells of two representative patients. Bean plots show the comparison between the two groups.

Figure 5. Dynamics of IL6ST, IL6R, LAP3 and TGFB1 in SC and LC patients. (A) Proteins detected by MS-based proteomics. **(B)** Violin plot showed that cytokines detected by antibody-based flow cytometric analysis (24 samples from 13 SC patients and 19 samples from 12 LC patients).

Figure 6. Machine learning model for predicting disease course. (A) Workflow for machine learning. **(B)** The top 35 key feature proteins selected by the machine learning model. **(C)** The validation of the model in an independent test dataset.

566 # METHODS

567 ## KEY RESOURCES TABLE

REAGENT or RESOURCE	SOURCE	IDENTIFIER
Biological Samples		
Serum samples from 37 COVID-19 patients and 34 non-COVID-19 patients	Wenzhou Central Hospital	This paper
Chemicals, Peptides, and Recombinant Proteins		
Triethylammonium bicarbonate buffer (TEAB)	Sigma-Aldrich	Cat # T7408
Pierce™ Micro-spin column	Thermo Fisher Scientific	Cat # 89868
High Select™ Top14 abundant protein depletion resin	Thermo Fisher Scientific	Cat # A36372
3K MWCO pierce protein concentrators	Thermo Fisher Scientific	Cat # 88521
Urea	Sigma-Aldrich	Cat # U1250
Tris (2-carboxyethyl) phosphine (TCEP)	Adamas-beta	Cat # 61820E
Iodoacetamide (IAA)	Sigma-Aldrich	Cat # I6125
Trypsin	Hualishi Tech	Cat # HLS TRY001C
Trifluoroacetic acid (TFA)	Thermo Fisher Scientific	Cat # 85183
Water	Thermo Fisher Scientific	Cat # W6-4
Acetonitrile	Thermo Fisher Scientific	Cat # A955-4
Formic acid (FA)	Thermo Fisher Scientific	Cat # A117-50
Ammonium hydroxide solution	Sigma-Aldrich	Cat # 221228
Methanol	Sigma-Aldrich	Cat # 34860
Critical Commercial Assays		
TMTpro™ 16plex reagents	Thermo Fisher Scientific	Cat # A44520
SARS-CoV-2 nucleic acid detection kit	Shanghai BioGerm Medical Technology	Cat # 20200125E
CD4-PE-Cy7	UB Biotechnology	Cat # UB105441
CD3-FITC	UB Biotechnology	Cat # UB104411
CD25-PE	UB Biotechnology	Cat # UB112421
CD45-PerCP-Cy5.5	UB Biotechnology	Cat # UB109481
CD127-APC	UB Biotechnology	Cat # UB113451
CD8-PE	UB Biotechnology	Cat # UB106421
CD16-PE-Cyanine7	UB Biotechnology	Cat # UB107441
CD56-PE	UB Biotechnology	Cat # UB108421
Cytokines detection kit including IL-2/IL-4/IL-5/IL-6/IL-10/IL-17A/TNF-α/IFN-γ	UB Biotechnology	Cat # UB06PX

Software and Algorithms		
Xcalibur	Thermo Fisher Scientific	Cat # OPTON-30965
Proteome Discoverer Version 2.4.1.15	Thermo Fisher Scientific	https://www.thermofisher.com/hk/en/home/industrial/mass-spectrometry/liquid-chromatography-mass-spectrometry-lc-ms/lc-ms-software/multi-omics-data-analysis/proteome-discoverer-software.html
R version 3.6.1	R Project	https://www.r-project.org
Ingenuine pathway analysis (version 51963813)	Kramer et al., 2014	https://www.qiagen.com/cn/
Kaluza analysis soft version 2.1	Beckman coulter life sciences	Cat # A82959
NovoExpress version 1.4.1	Agilent Bio	https://www.aceabio.com/products/novoexpress-software/
Other		
SOLAμ	Thermo Fisher Scientific	Cat # 62209-001
ACQUITY UPLC Systems with 2D LC Technology	Waters Corporation	Cat # 186015001
ACQUITY BEH C18 column, 2.1 × 100 mm, 1.7 μm	Waters Corporation	Cat # 186008316
ACQUITY BEH Amide column, 2.1 × 100 mm, 1.7 μm	Waters Corporation	Cat # 186008315

568

RESOURCE AVAILABILITY

Lead contact

Further information should be directed to and will be fulfilled by the Lead Contact Tiannan Guo (guotiannan@westlake.edu.cn).

Materials Availability

This study did not generate new unique reagents.

Data Availability

All data are available in the manuscript or the supplementary materials. The proteomics data are deposited in ProteomeXchange Consortium (https://www.iprox.org/). Project ID: IPX0002170000. All the data will be publicly released upon publication.

EXPERIMENTAL MODEL AND SUBJECT DETAILS

Patients and sera samples

We procured 72 patients in this study, including 37 COVID-19 patients whose sputa or throat swabs were tested positive for SARS-CoV-2 according to the manufacturer's instructions (Shanghai BioGerm Medical Technology Co., LTD, Shanghai, China). According to the Chinese Government Diagnosis and Treatment Guideline (Trial 4th version) (Shen, 2020), these 37 COVID-19 patients include 35 typical cases and two severe cases. We have also procured 35 non-COVID-19 patients showing similar flu-like clinical symptoms to COVID-19 patients with negative nucleic acid testing for SARS-CoV-2. More details of these patients are provided in Figure 1A and Table S1.

We defined the disease onset as the day when the patient manifests clinical symptoms, while the disease recovery as the day when nucleic acid test of sputa or throat swab turns negative, and negative for the second test after a minimal interval of 24 hours, the same test is still negative. The disease course was thus defined as the period between disease onset and disease recovery.

Totally 224 sera samples from these patients were collected longitudinally for proteomics analysis (Figure 1B, Table S1). Sampling was performed in the early morning before diet using serum separation tubes (BD, USA). The blood was clotted for about 30 min at room temperature, and then centrifuged at 1000 g for ten min for serum sample collection. This study has been registered in the Chinese Clinical Trial Registry with an ID of ChiCTR2000031699. This study has been approved by the Ethical/Institutional Review Board of Wenzhou Central Hospital and Westlake University. Contents from patients were waived by the boards.

METHOD DETAILS

610 **Proteome analysis**

611 Serum samples were prepared as previously described (Shen, 2020). Briefly, serum
612 samples were firstly inactivated and sterilized at 56 ºC for 30 mins. For proteomic
613 study, 4 μL of serum was used for each sample. The serum was firstly depleted of
614 14 high abundant serum proteins using a human affinity depletion kit (Thermo
615 Fisher Scientific™, San Jose, USA). After depletion, the serum solution was
616 concentrated into 50 μL through a 3k MWCO filtering unit (Thermo Fisher
617 Scientific™, San Jose, USA) according to manufacturer. And then was mixed with
618 500 μL 8 M urea (Sigma) and concentrated into 50 μL. Then proteins were reduced
619 and alkylated with 10 mM tris (2-carboxyethyl) phosphine (TCEP, Sigma) and 40
620 mM iodoacetamide (IAA), respectively. Proteins were submitted to two times of
621 tryptic digestion (enzyme to protein ratio: 1:20; Hualishi Tech. Ltd, Beijing, China).
622 The digestion was then stopped with 1% trifluoroacetic (TFA) (Thermo Fisher) to
623 pH 2–3 to stop the reaction, and peptides were subjected to C18 (Thermo Fisher)
624 desalting.

625 TMT 16-plex (Thermo Fisher) reagents were applied to label the digested
626 peptides. The TMT labeled samples were further fractionated along a 2 hr basic pH
627 reverse phase LC gradient using a Dinex Ultimate 3000 UHPLC (Thermo Fisher)
628 (Li et al., 2020). LC-MS/MS analysis was performed using the Easy-nLC™ 1200
629 nanoLC-MS/MS system (Thermo Fisher) or a Dinex Ultimate 3000 UHPLC
630 coupled to a Q Exactive HF or HF-X (Thermo Fisher), along a 60 min LC gradient
631 at a flowrate of 300 nL/min as described previously (Gao et al., 2020; Shen, 2020).
632 To reach comparable proteomic depth, the fractionated samples were combined into
633 30 fractions for analysis in QE-HF instruments and 26 for QE-HFX instruments.
634

635 **Database search and statistical analysis**

636 MS data was performed using Proteome Discoverer (Version 2.4.1.15, Thermo
637 Fisher) (Colaert et al., 2011) search engine against the human protein
638 database downloaded from UniProt (version 02/01/2020; 164,930 sequences), with a
639 precursor ion mass tolerance of 10 ppm and fragment ion mass tolerance of 0.02 Da.
640 Please see previous paper (Shen, 2020) for detailed parameters of the database
641 searching. Briefly, TMT pro-plex labels to lysine and N-terminus, and
642 carbamidomethylation of cysteine were set as static modifications. A cut-off
643 criterion of a q-value of 0.01, corresponding to a 1% false-discovery rate (FDR) was
644 set for the filtered of identified peptides with highly confident peptide hits.

645 After filtering 80% missing rate proteins, 2700 proteins used for differential
646 expression analysis based on |log2(FC)| and two-sided unpaired Welch's t test. The
647 created missing values were imputed with zero.
648

649 **Pathway analysis**

650 For the pathway enrichment analysis, firstly, four databases including KEGG
651 pathway, GO biological processes, Reactome gene sets and immunologic signatures
652 were used for immune characterization analysis on the Metascape web-based

platform (Zhou et al., 2019). IPA was then used to look at the pathways for differentially expressed proteins.

Statistical analysis

Two-sided unpaired Welch's t test was performed for each pair of comparing groups. The one-way analysis of variance (ANOVA) was used to performed among nine time points. Adjusted p values were calculated using Benjamini & Hochberg correction.

Machine learning

The machine learning was performed using the R package randomForest (version 4.6.14) as described previously with some modifications (Shen, 2020) as described briefly in the following. The discovery dataset was divided into two parts, *i.e.* the training set and the validation set. We optimized the key random forest parameters including the cutoff values for decrease mean accuracy, cross-validation fold, and the number of trees. Input protein features were selected based on the mean decrease accuracy cutoff. For the optimized model, the minimal mean decrease accuracy of protein features was 2, the mtry was set as 13, and 800 trees were built. Six-fold cross validation was performed and this was repeated 100 times.

Flow cytometry analysis

Peripheral blood samples from EDTA anticoagulants were incubated with mixture antibodies including CD4-PE-Cy7 (UB105441, UB Biotechnology Co., Ltd, Hangzhou, China), CD3-FITC (UB104411), CD25-PE (UB112421), CD45-PerCP-Cy5.5 (UB109481), CD127-APC (UB113451) and a kit (UB06PX) for cytokines detection including IL-2/IL-4/IL-5/IL-6/IL-10/IL-17A/TNF-α/IFN-γ for 15 min at room temperature. Double negative control and single-stain controls were prepared by normal samples, and were used to calculate a compensation matrix. Sample acquisition was performed on a Gallios (Beckman Coulter) cytometer equipped. Final analysis and graphical output were performed using NovoExpress software (Agilent Bio).

SUPPLEMENTARY FIGURE LEGEND

Figure S1. Correlation analysis between the SC and LC group based on clinical data, Related to Figure 1. (A) Boxplot of patient ages. Ctrl (N=35), SC (N=17) and LC(N=20). **(B)** Heatmap shows medication history in SC and LC groups. The column set on the right represents the drugs and relevant p value showing medication difference between the two groups (two-sided Fisher. test). **(C)** Comparison of blood test results between SC (N=17) and LC (N=15) patients. Boxplots display the blood biochemistry tests based on samples collected on the first day in the hospital.

Figure S2. Quality assessment of proteomics data. Related to Figure 1. (A) Batch design of proteomic analysis. All 268 peptide samples are randomly separated into 18 batches for TMTpro labeling and MS analysis. **(B)** The median technical CV of the proteomics data is calculated by the identified proteins in 44 technical replicates.

Figure S3. Pathway enrichment analysis at nine time points using differentially expressed proteins between the SC and LC group, Related to Figure 2. Pathways were enriched using Metascape. The pathways in the blue box are not directedly related to immunity.

Figure S4. The dynamic expression of proteins across the entire disease course between SC and LC, Related to Figure 2. The x-axis shows the week index, while the y-axis denotes protein expression ratio from the TMT experiment. Pair-wise comparison of each proteins in the two patient groups was performed with student's *t* test. *, $p < 0.05$; **, $p < 0.01$.

Figure S5. Eight clusters of proteins showing temporal dynamics by Mfuzz analysis in the SC and LC group, Related to Figure 4. Proteins detected in SC **(A)** and LC **(B)** are grouped into eight clusters with different quantitative patterns over the disease course. ANOVA analysis was performed in each cluster (the cutoff of the adjusted p value (BH adjust) was 0.05). **(C)** Upset plots shows the overlapping protein clusters selected by Mfuzz.

Figure S6. Flow cytometric analysis of lymphocytes, CD3- cells, Related to Figure 4. 22 samples from 17 LC patients and 30 samples from 17 SC patients were measured and analyzed. **(A)** Results of lymphocytes, CD3- cells, i.e. P14 (SC) and P15 (LC). **(B)** Bean plots show the comparison of cell populations between patient groups. **(C)** Violin plots show cytokines detected by antibody-based flow cytometric analysis (24 samples from 13 SC patients and 19 samples from 12 LC patients). The y-axis shows the intensity of each cytokine.

Figure S7. 58 key feature proteins and the expression of top three proteins, ICAM1, FCGR3A and IGKV1-16. Related to Figure 6 (A) 58 features ranking according to mean decrease accuracy. **(B)** Protein expression of ICAM1, FCGR3A and IGKV1-16 between SC and LC groups in discovery dataset test dataset, respectively.

Figure 1


A
P2
P7
P9
P10
P15
P16
P17
P18
P19
P21
P22
P25
P27
P32
P33
P34
P35
P36
P37
P20
P1
P3
P4
P5
P6
P8
P11
P12
P13
P14
P24
P26
P28
P29
P30
P31
P23
Gender
Group
Class
0 10 20 30 40 50 60 70 80 90 (Days)
Nucleic acid test
Sputum/throat swab-negetive
Sputum/throat swab-positive
Gender
Female
Male
Group
SC
LC
Class
Non-severe
Severe
Comorbidities
Diabetes
Hypertension
Coronary sclerosis
Gastrohelcosis
Hepatitis B
Gout
Chronic gynecologic inflammation
Psoatic strain
Pancreatic cancer
Coronary heart disease
Asthma
B
Short disease course (SC) (n = 17)
Long disease course (LC) (n = 20)
Ctrl (n = 35)
Serum samples (n = 224)
0 1 2 9 Time (weeks)
Inactivation 56°C, 30min
Batch design
Batches (n = 18)
Samples (n = 268)
Technical replicates (n = 44)
Depletion
Protein digestion
TMTpro 16plex
TMT pro-126
TMT pro-127N
TMT pro-127C
TMT pro-128N
TMT pro-133C
TMT pro-134N
LC/MS
Database search
6673 proteins
Filter: NA< 80%
2700 proteins

Figure 2


A
Platelet degranulation
Antigen presenting
Leukocyte degranulation
Tissue remodeling
Activated pathways in SC
CLECB
SSC5D
RNASE2
CHGA
APCS
T1
T2
T3
T4
T5
T6
T7
T8
T9
weeks
HRG
Galectin
C5
GLIPR2
PDCDILG2
FGL2
VWF
C8A
MST1
Antigen presenting
Leukocyte migration
Tissue repair
Activated pathways in LC
Platelet degranulation
Treg vs Teff UP
CD8 vs Teff UP
Treg vs Teff UP
Complement system
B
Characteristic dynamics
866
321
352
207
144
102
260
Differential expression between COVID-19 and Ctrl
Differential expression between LC and SC
C
Group 1 (n=80)
Group 2 (n=17)
SC
LC
D
Group 1
SC
LC
Protein expression
weeks
• Acute phase response: SAA1, C4BP
• LXR/RXR activation: APOA5, SAA1
• Agranulocyte adhersion and diapedesis: ACTG2, MSN, PODXL, SELP, VCAM1
• Actin Cytoskeleton signaling: MSN, CRKL, PFN1
E
Group 2
Protein expression
weeks
• Myo-inositol biosynthesis: IMPAD1
• Rapoport-luebering glycolytic shunt: BPGM
• Glutamyl cycle: OPLAH
• Complement: MBL2
• Th17 activation: DEFB1
• Fc receptor-mediated phagocytosis: EZR
• IGF-1 signaling: IGFBP6

Figure 3


A
Leukocytes migration
P60022_DEFB1
Q6NUL9_SELP
SC
LC
Q6NUR7_EZR
P26038_MSN
Protein expression
Time(weeks)
IGF/redox homeostasis
Q9NP10_IGF1
P00441_SOD1
V9HWJ1_GSS
O14841_OPLAH
B
Arbitray cell number
SC Th17
LC Th17
SC Treg
LC Treg
weeks
Acts on
Inhibits
Reaction
IGF1
Th17
Differentiation
IL6, TGF-beta
CD4⁺ T cell
Differentiation
IL2, TGF-beta
Treg
DEFB1↓
SAAs↑
SELP↑
MSN↑
EZR↓
Blood Vessel
Leukocytes migration
ROS
GSSG
GSH
Redox
SOD1↑
GSS↑
5-oxoproline
Glutamate
OPLAH↓

Figure 4


A
P14 (SC)
Day 10
P15 (LC)
Day 8
Lymphocytes
29.40%
Lymphocytes
30.99%
SSC
CD45
Lymphocytes
CD3-CD4+
1.74%
CD3+CD4+
50.09%
CD3-CD4-
13.87%
CD3+CD4-
34.31%
CD3-CD4+
2.96%
CD3+CD4+
56.47%
CD3-CD4-
15.66%
CD3+CD4-
24.91%
CD4
CD3
Lymphocytes/CD3+CD4+
Treg
1.72%
Treg
6.80%
CD127
CD25
% CD45+ cells
% CD4+CD3+ cells
% CD127-CD25+ cells
p = 0.006
SC (n=30) LC (n=22)
B
P14 (SC)
Day 10
P15 (LC)
Day 8
Lymphocytes
CD3-
15.88%
CD3+
84.08%
CD3-
25.93%
CD3+
74.05%
SSC
CD3
Lymphocytes/CD3+
CD4+CD8-
54.83%
CD4+CD8+
1.10%
CD4-CD8-
9.58%
CD4-CD8+
34.49%
CD4+CD8-
61.51%
CD4+CD8+
0.97%
CD4-CD8-
3.35%
CD4-CD8+
34.16%
CD4
CD8
Lymphocytes/CD3-
NK1
0.18%
NK1
1.59%
CD56
CD16
% CD3+ cells
% CD4-CD8+ cells
% CD16+CD56+ cells
p = 0.04
SC (n=30) LC (n=22)

Figure 5


A
P40189_IL6ST
A0A087WTB5_IL6R
P28838_LAP3
A0A024R0P8_TGFB1
Protein expression
Time(weeks)
B
IFN-γ
TNF-α
pg/mL
p value = 0.02
SC-2
LC-2
SC-3
LC-3
SC-4
LC-4

Figure 6


A
Discovery dataset
Short disease course (SC) (N=16, n=42)
Long disease course (LC) (N=14, n=38)
Features (n=174)
RF for feature selection
Features (n=58)
Data loading
Model training
Onset
7
14
21
(Days)
Training set (N=30, n = 66)
Validation set (N=7, n = 14)
six-fold cross validation
Model, a classifier with 35 proteins
Test dataset
Short disease course (SC) (N = 29, n = 29)
Onset
7
14
21 (Days)
Long disease course (LC) (N = 10, n = 10)
(Shen. 2020)
Prediction
Accuracy: 0.820
AUC: 0.764
B
Q5NKV8 (ICAM1)
P08637 (FCGR3A)
A0A449C198 (IGKV1-16)
P12259 (F5)
L8E853 (VWF)
A0A140TA32 (C4A)
P04217 (A1BG)
K7ELM9 (APOC1)
P0DJI8 (SAA1)
H7BZJ3 (PDIA3)
A0A024R2W4 (DAG1)
A0A0B4J1R4 (HPD)
B1ALD9 (POSTN)
A0A087WVC6 (PTPRJ)
Q68GS6 (EGFR)
Q16853 (AOC3)
H0YGS3 (MFAP5)
P55103 (INHBC)
Q9BTY2 (FUCA2)
P09871 (C1S)
P06744 (GPI)
A0A024QZN4 (VCL)
Q9UNN8 (PROCR)
U5LGW0 (APOL1)
B4E3Q4 (ADA2)
P02042 (HBD)
P11226 (MBL2)
Q07507 (DPT)
B4DPQ0 (C1R)
Q92820 (GGH)
P13645 (KRT10)
P24387 (CRHBP)
P68871 (HBB)
P02766 (TTR)
Q14520 (HABP2)
0
2
4
6
Mean Decrease Accuracy
C
Sensitivity
1 − Specificity
0.0
0.2
0.4
0.6
0.8
1.0
AUC: 0.764

Figure S1


A
Group
Negative
SC
LC
p = 0.044
p = 0.077
p = 0.45
Age
90
60
30
0
Negative
SC
LC
B
SC
LC
lopinavir and ritonavir (P=1)
Atomized interferon (P=NA)
Arbidol (P=0.33)
Lianhuaqingwen (Chinese traditional medicine) (P=1)
Ribavirin (P=0.23)
Hydroxychloroquine (P=0.61)
Entecavir (P=0.46)
Thymosin (P=0.32)
Methylprednisolone (P=0.58)
Leucogen (P=0.49)
Legend
Yes
No
C
White blood cell (WBC)
p=0.54
Count (10^9/L)
Neutrophils
p=0.17
Lymphocyte
p=0.57
Platelet
p=0.82
Urea nitrogen
p=0.17
μmol/L
Creatinine
p=0.73
Creatine kinase
p=0.73
U/L
C reactive protein (CRP)
p=0 73
mg/L
Lactate dehydrogenase (LDHA)
p=0.43
SC
LC

Figure S2

A

	B1	B2	B3	B4	B5	B6	B7	B8	B9	B10	B13	B14	B15	B16	B17	B18	B19	B20
126	Pool 1	Pool 1	Pool 1	Pool 1	Pool 1	Pool 1	Pool 1	Pool 1	Pool 1	Pool 1	Pool 1	Pool 2	Pool 2	Pool 2	Pool 2	Pool 1	Pool 1	Pool 1
127C	P23_2	P5_2	P25_1	P29_2	P13_1	P26_1	P7_1	P2_2	P34_4	Pool 2	Pool 2	P10_1B	P22_1B	P23_7	P18_4	P29_5	P26_5	P33_8
127N	P23_3	P5_3	P25_2	P29_3	P13_2	P26_2	P7_2	P2_3	P35_7	P33_7	P2_2B	P10_2C	P22_2B	P23_8	P5_7	P29_6	P28_5	P33_9
128C	P23_5	P9_4	P25_4	P29_4	P13_3	P26_3	P7_3	P27_2	P35_6	P33_5	P2_3B	P10_3B	P22_3B	P20_8	P5_9	P29_8	P28_4	P33_10
128N	P20_3	P17_2	P8_1	P30_1	P10_1	P21_1	P32_2	P27_3	P36_7	P33_6	P2_4B	P10_4	P22_4	P20_9	P8_3B	P30_5	P28_7	P16_4
129C	P20_4	P17_3	P8_2	P30_2	P10_2	P21_2	P32_3	P27_4	P36_5	P10_6	P2_5B	P10_6B	P22_9	P6_6	P25_5	P30_6	P7_5	P16_5
129N	P20_5	P17_4	P8_3	P30_3	P10_3	P21_3	P32_4	P16_1	P37_6	P10_2B	P2_6C	P21_1B	P24_1B	P6_7	P25_6	P15_4	P7_6	P16_6
130C	P6_2	P22_1	P3_2	P18_1	P14_1	P24_1	P1_2	P16_2	Ctrl_1	P2_4	P2_7	P21_2B	P24_2B	P6_9	P25_8	P15_5	P7_8	P16_8
130N	P6_3	P22_2	P3_3	P18_2	P14_2	P24_2	P1_3	P16_3	P2_6	P2_6B	P32_2B	P21_3B	P24_3B	P31_4	P8_4	P15_6	P12_4	P19_6
131C	P6_4	P22_3	P3_4	P18_3	P14_3	P24_3	P12_1	P19_2	P36_7B	P2_5	P32_3B	P21_4	P24_4	P31_5	P8_5	P15_8	P12_5	P19_7
131N	P31_1	Ctrl_5	P11_1	P15_1	Ctrl_12	P28_1	P12_2	P19_3	P7_3B	Ctrl_2B	P32_4B	P21_5	P24_5	P31_7	P8_7	P13_4	P12_6	P19_9
132C	P31_2	Ctrl_16	P11_2	P15_2	Ctrl_35	P28_2	P12_3	P19_4	P18_2B	Ctrl_6B	P32_6	P21_6	P24_6	P35_9	P11_4	P13_6	P12_8	P2_2C
132N	P31_3	Ctrl_32	P11_3	P15_3	Ctrl_34	P28_3	Ctrl_18	Ctrl_30	P22_3B	Ctrl_26B	P32_8	P1_5	P24_8	P36_8	P11_5	P13_8	P9_5	P4_6
133C	Ctrl_6	Ctrl_27	Ctrl_3	Ctrl_4	Ctrl_22	Ctrl_10	Ctrl_11	Ctrl_23	Ctrl_14	P21_3C	Ctrl_6B	P1_6	P3_5	P36_9	P11_6	P14_4	P12_3B	P4_8
133N	Ctrl_13	Ctrl_17	Ctrl_24	Ctrl_25	Ctrl_29	Ctrl_36	Ctrl_8	Ctrl_9	Ctrl_15	P25_4B	Ctrl_13B	P1_8	P3_7	P20_5B	P11_8	P14_5	P37_9	P22_5
134N	Ctrl_31	Ctrl_33	Ctrl_26	Ctrl_21	Ctrl_7	Ctrl_19	Ctrl_28	Ctrl_20	Ctrl_1B	P19_4B	Ctrl_31B	Ctrl_27B	P3_8	P10_3C	P5_6	P14_7	P34_7	P16_2B

B



Figure S3


T1
R-HSA-114608: Platelet degranulation
M5885: NABA MATRISOME ASSOCIATED
GO:0050830: defense response to Gram-positive bacterium
GO:0008285: negative regulation of cell proliferation
R-HSA-381426: Regulation of Insulin-like Growth Factor (IGF) transport and uptake by Insulin-like Growth Factor Bi
GO:0006575: cellular modified amino acid metabolic process
GO:0006979: response to oxidative stress
M3468: NABA ECM REGULATORS
GO:0045926: negative regulation of growth
GO:0098742: cell-cell adhesion via plasma-membrane adhesion molecules
0 1 2 3 4
-log10(P)
T2
R-HSA-166658: Complement cascade
GO:0019882: antigen processing and presentation
GO:0045055: regulated exocytosis
GO:0019730: antimicrobial humoral response
R-HSA-114608: Platelet degranulation
R-HSA-140877: Formation of Fibrin Clot (Clotting Cascade)
GO:0030198: extracellular matrix organization
R-HSA-381426: Regulation of Insulin-like Growth Factor (IGF) transport and uptake by Insulin-like Growth Factor Bi
GO:0005996: monosaccharide metabolic process
GO:0031589: cell-substrate adhesion
hsa05150: Staphylococcus aureus infection
GO:1901136: carbohydrate derivative catabolic process
GO:0051701: interaction with host
hsa04514: Cell adhesion molecules (CAMs)
M14532: ST PHOSPHOINOSITIDE 3 KINASE PATHWAY
R-HSA-948021: Transport to the Golgi and subsequent modification
GO:1901658: glycosyl compound catabolic process
GO:0090288: negative regulation of cellular response to growth factor stimulus
GO:0008285: negative regulation of cell proliferation
GO:0006935: chemotaxis
0.0 2.5 5.0 7.5 10.0 12.5 15.0 17.5 20.0
-log10(P)
T3
GO:0043299: leukocyte degranulation
GO:0050918: positive chemotaxis
GO:0043588: skin development
GO:0006959: humoral immune response
GO:0019882: antigen processing and presentation
hsa04610: Complement and coagulation cascades
R-HSA-6807878: COPI-mediated anterograde transport
GO:0019932: second-messenger-mediated signaling
GO:0030203: glycosaminoglycan metabolic process
GO:0009566: fertilization
0 2 4 6 8
-log10(P)
T4
GO:0002576: platelet degranulation
hsa04145: Phagosome
GO:0030335: positive regulation of cell migration
R-HSA-166658: Complement cascade
GO:0006959: humoral immune response
GO:0034764: positive regulation of transmembrane transport
GO:0016339: calcium-dependent cell-cell adhesion via plasma membrane cell adhesion molecules
GO:0030198: extracellular matrix organization
GO:0032355: response to estradiol
GO:0019226: transmission of nerve impulse
hsa04974: Protein digestion and absorption
R-HSA-8957275: Post-translational protein phosphorylation
M5885: NABA MATRISOME ASSOCIATED
GO:0052547: regulation of peptidase activity
GO:0005996: monosaccharide metabolic process
GO:0048469: cell maturation
0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7
-log10(P)


T5
M5884: NABA CORE MATRISOME
M3008: NABA ECM GLYCOPROTEINS
GO:0001568: blood vessel development
0 1 2 3 4 5 6
-log10(P)
T6
M5885: NABA MATRISOME ASSOCIATED
GO:0032103: positive regulation of response to external stimulus
GO:0043062: extracellular structure organization
GO:0045055: regulated exocytosis
GO:0009611: response to wounding
GO:0001568: blood vessel development
R-HSA-8957275: Post-translational protein phosphorylation
GO:0051701: interaction with host
GO:0002685: regulation of leukocyte migration
GO:0008037: cell recognition
GO:0002526: acute inflammatory response
GO:0002888: positive regulation of myeloid leukocyte mediated immunity
GO:0048678: response to axon injury
GO:0032642: regulation of chemokine production
R-HSA-8950505: Gene and protein expression by JAK-STAT signaling after Interleukin-12 stimulation
GO:0001906: cell killing
GO:0001953: negative regulation of cell-matrix adhesion
GO:0014812: muscle cell migration
GO:0032963: collagen metabolic process
GO:0050679: positive regulation of epithelial cell proliferation
0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7
-log10(P)
T7
R-HSA-114608: Platelet degranulation
GO:0045055: regulated exocytosis
GO:0043062: extracellular structure organization
R-HSA-9646399: Aggrephagy
GO:0045429: positive regulation of nitric oxide biosynthetic process
R-HSA-109581: Apoptosis
R-HSA-8950505: Gene and protein expression by JAK-STAT signaling after Interleukin-12 stimulation
R-HSA-1280218: Adaptive Immune System
GO:0051701: interaction with host
GO:0044273: sulfur compound catabolic process
GO:0050663: cytokine secretion
R-HSA-422475: Axon guidance
GO:0030335: positive regulation of cell migration
GO:0042060: wound healing
GO:1901342: regulation of vasculature development
GO:0002685: regulation of leukocyte migration
R-HSA-397014: Muscle contraction
GO:0002526: acute inflammatory response
GO:0048588: developmental cell growth
R-HSA-381426: Regulation of Insulin-like Growth Factor (IGF) transport and uptake by Insulin-like Growth Factor Bi
0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7
-log10(P)
T8
hsa04610: Complement and coagulation cascades
R-HSA-977606: Regulation of Complement cascade
GO:0043062: extracellular structure organization
R-HSA-381426: Regulation of Insulin-like Growth Factor (IGF) transport and uptake by Insulin-like Growth Factor Bi
M159: PID AMB2 NEUTROPHILS PATHWAY
M5884: NABA CORE MATRISOME
GO:0045055: regulated exocytosis
M3468: NABA ECM REGULATORS
GO:0035821: modification of morphology or physiology of other organism
0 2 4 6 8 10
-log10(P)
T9
R-HSA-166658: Complement cascade
R-HSA-2173782: Binding and Uptake of Ligands by Scavenger Receptors
R-HSA-166663: Initial triggering of complement
R-HSA-6798695: Neutrophil degranulation
GO:0007596: blood coagulation
GO:0045861: negative regulation of proteolysis
GO:0001906: cell killing
GO:0030595: leukocyte chemotaxis
GO:0031330: negative regulation of cellular catabolic process
GO:0048545: response to steroid hormone
0 5 10 15 20
-log10(P)

Figure S4


P05452_CLEC3B
P04196_HRG
Q59FR8_Galectin
P26927_MST1
A1L4H1_SSCD5
P01031_C5
P10153_RNASE2
P10645_CHGA
Q9H4G4_GLIPR2
Q14314_FGL2
Q9BQ51_PDCD1LG2
P02743_APCS
P04275_VWF
P07357_C8A
Protein expression
Time(weeks)
Type
SC
LC

Figure S5


A
SC
Cluster 1
n=145
Cluster 2
n=208
Cluster 3
n=75
Cluster 4
n=716
Expression changes
Time (week)
B
LC
Cluster 1
n=471
Cluster 2
n=121
Cluster 3
n=739
Cluster 4
n=94
C
289
282
214
199
183
95
73
72
58
53
51
45
34
32
23
22
11
10
SC cluster 1
SC cluster 2
SC cluster 3
SC cluster 4
LC cluster 1
LC cluster 2
LC cluster 3
LC cluster 4
800 600 400 200 0

Figure S6


A
P14 (SC)
Lymphocytes/CD3-
CD56+CD16-
17.15%
CD56+CD16+
0.18%
CD16-CD56-
81.95%
CD16+CD56-
0.72%
CD56
CD16
P15 (LC)
Lymphocytes/CD3-
CD56+CD16-
16.39%
CD56+CD16+
1.59%
CD56-CD6-
77.76%
CD56-CD16+
4.26%
B
P = 0.004
% CD16-CD56- cells
% CD16-CD56+ cells
% CD16+CD56- cells
SC
LC
C
IL-5
IL-2
IL-6
IL-10
IL-17A
IL-4
pg/mL
SC-2
LC-2
SC-3
LC-3
SC-4
LC-4

Figure S7


A
A0A449C198_IGKV1_16
Q9UNN8_PROCR
H7BZJ3_PDIA3
P04217_A1BG
P08637_FCGR3A
Q9BTY2_FUCA2
Q5TBF5_OGN
B1ALD9_POSTN
A0A0B4J1R4_HPD
Q5NKV8_ICAM1
L8E853_VWF
A0A140TA32_C4A
K7ELM9_APOC1
P09871_C1S
U5LGW0_APOL1
P06744_GPI
B4DPQ0_C1R
A0A0F7G8J1_PLG
P0DJI8_SAA1
Q07507_DPT
P68871_HBB
A0A087WVC6_PTPRJ
P24387_CRHBP
Q68GS6_EGFR
P12259_F5
Q86U17_SERPINA11
H0YGS3_MFAP5
P26038_MSN
P26927_MST1
P07988_SFTPB
Q06830_PRDX1
P04196_HRG
Q16853_AOC3
B4E3Q4_ADA2
Q9BYJ0_FGFBP2
P02042_HBD
P13645_KRT10
Q5T7F0_NRP1
C9JF17_APOD
A0A024R2W4_DAG1
Q06033_ITIH3
P61626_LYZ
P55774_CCL18
Q6FHW3_DF
P11226_MBL2
P02750_LRG1
A0A2R8Y793_ACTB
Q14520_HABP2
P55103_INHBC
P06681_C2
Q92820_GGH
P33908_MAN1A1
Q07954_LRP1
A0A0A0MSV6_C1QB
A0A024QZN4_VCL
P02766_TTR
E7EQ64_PRSS1
P10643_C7
2 3 4 5 6 7
MeanDecreaseAccuracy
B
Q5NKV8_ICAM1
p = 0.006
p = 0.027
Protein expression ratio
0 1 2 3
SC LC SC LC
Discovery Test
P08637_FCGR3A
p = 0.003
Protein expression ratio
0 1 2 3
SC LC SC LC
Discovery Test
A0A449C198_IGKV1-16
p = 0.0002
Protein expression ratio
0.0 0.5 1.0 1.5
SC LC SC LC
Discovery Test