

1 **Stability of SARS-CoV-2 on Critical Personal Protective Equipment**

2

3 Samantha B Kasloff^{*}; James E Strong^{2,3}; Duane Funk⁴; and Todd Cutts^{1*#}

4

5 ¹National Microbiology Laboratory, Public Health Agency of Canada, 1015 Arlington Street,

6 Winnipeg, Manitoba, R3E 3R2, Canada.

7 ²Department of Pediatrics & Child Health, College of Medicine, Faculty of Health Sciences,

8 University of Manitoba, Winnipeg, Manitoba, Canada.

9 ³Department of Infectious Diseases and Medical Microbiology, College of Medicine, Faculty of

10 Health Sciences, University of Manitoba, Winnipeg, Manitoba

11 ⁴Department of Anaesthesia and Medicine, College of Medicine, Faculty of Health Sciences,

12 University of Manitoba, Winnipeg, Manitoba, Canada.

13

14

15 ^{*}These authors contributed equally to this work.

16 [#]Corresponding Author: Todd.Cutts@canada.ca

17

18

19

20

21

22

23 **Abstract**

24

25 The spread of COVID-19 in healthcare settings is concerning, with healthcare workers
26 representing a disproportionately high percentage of confirmed cases. Although SARS-CoV-2
27 virus has been found to persist on surfaces for a number of days, the extent and duration of
28 fomites as a mode of transmission, particularly in healthcare settings, has not been fully
29 characterized. To shed light on this critical matter, the present study provides the first
30 comprehensive assessment of SARS-CoV-2 stability on experimentally contaminated
31 personal protective equipment (PPE) widely used by healthcare workers and the general public.
32 Persistence of viable virus was monitored over 21 days on eight different materials, including
33 nitrile medical examination gloves, reinforced chemical resistant gloves, N-95 and N-100
34 particulate respirator masks, Tyvek[®], plastic, cotton, and stainless steel. Unlike previous
35 reports, viable SARS-CoV-2 in the presence of a soil load persisted for up to 21 days on
36 experimentally inoculated PPE, including materials from filtering facepiece respirators (N-95
37 and N-100 masks) and a plastic visor. Conversely, when applied to 100% cotton fabric, the virus
38 underwent rapid degradation and became undetectable in less than 24 hours. These findings
39 underline the importance of appropriate handling of contaminated PPE during and following
40 use in high-risk settings and provide interesting insight into the potential utility of cotton,
41 including cotton masks, in limiting COVID-19 transmission.

42

43

44

45 **Introduction**

46

47 Since its emergence in China at the end of 2019, the unprecedented spread of SARS-CoV-2 has
48 lead to the declaration of a pandemic by the World Health Organization. The role of healthcare
49 settings in the spread of this virus is of particular concern, as healthcare workers have
50 represented a disproportionately high number of confirmed cases in the first months of the
51 COVID-19 pandemic (COVID-19 Editorial, 2020; Anelli *et al*, 2020; Time.com; CEBM 2020; CBC
52 2020; LA Times). Similar trends were noted in the 2003 SARS outbreak, where healthcare
53 workers accounted for approximately 20% of cases (Chan-Yeung, 2004).

54

55 Among the many questions yet to be adequately addressed about the modes of transmission of
56 COVID-19 are those related to fomite spread and environmental contamination. In healthcare
57 settings in particular, numerous surfaces ranging from door handles to disposable gowns and
58 gloves may become contaminated by droplets of infectious secretions and shed by infected
59 persons. Further, global shortages in personal protective equipment (PPE) may result in items
60 intended for single use being reused or worn for longer periods than recommended (Ranney,
61 2020). This in turn may increase the opportunity for viral contamination and subsequent
62 spread. An understanding of the environmental stability and persistence of SARS-CoV-2 on
63 contaminated PPE may have profound impacts on the handling of both reusable and single-use
64 items during use after wear.

65

66 The present study aimed to determine the stability of SARS-CoV-2 on experimentally inoculated
67 surfaces of PPE widely used by healthcare workers and the members of the general population.
68 Our findings underline the importance of appropriate handling of contaminated PPE after its
69 use and provide interesting insight into the potential utility of cotton masks in limiting COVID-
70 19 transmission.

71

72

73 **Materials and Methods**

74

75 ***Cell culture and virus***

76

77 Passage 3 stocks of SARS-CoV-2 (*hCoV-19/Canada/ON-VIDO-01/2020*, GISAID accession#

78 *EPI_ISL_425177*) were prepared in Vero E6 cells as previously described (Cook et al, 2015).

79 Endpoint titration of stock virus and all experimental eluates were calculated via the 50% Tissue

80 Culture Infectious dose assay (TCID₅₀) on Vero E6 cells in a 96 well plate format using the

81 method of Reed and Muench (1938). As SARS-CoV-2 is classified as a Risk Group 3 pathogen, all

82 experimental procedures took place in a high containment laboratory at the National

83 Microbiology Laboratory in Winnipeg, Canada.

84

85 ***Test Surfaces***

86

87 Eight materials, representing a range of environmental surfaces commonly encountered in
88 healthcare facilities with a particular focus on personal protective equipment were included in
89 this study. These included nitrile medical examination gloves, reinforced chemical resistant
90 gloves, N-95 and N-100 particulate respirator masks, Tyvek® coveralls, plastic from face shields,
91 heavy cotton, and stainless steel (Table 1).

92
93 Pre-cut, 1cm² stainless steel coupons were obtained directly from the manufacturer and
94 sterilized as previously described (Springthorpe & Sattar, 2003). Coupons of all other
95 experimental materials were cut onsite to 1.4 cm² and sterilized by 2 Mrads of gamma radiation
96 prior to use.

97

98 ***Experimental Inoculation and Sampling***

99

100 Experimental inoculum consisted of stock virus (titre = 7.88 LogTCID₅₀/mL) in a tripartite soil
101 load containing mucin (Sigma #M3895), bovine serum albumin (Sigma #A1933) and tryptone
102 (Sigma #T7293) to represent the organic components of typical virus-containing fluids shed by
103 infected individuals (ASTM 2002; Sattar et al 2003). Ten microliters of the resulting virus
104 suspension was added via positive displacement pipette to the center of each coupon and left
105 to air dry in a biosafety cabinet for 1 hour. Once dry, coupons were placed in a vented plastic
106 container and stored in a closed cabinet at ambient temperature for the duration of the study.
107 Daily recordings of temperature and humidity at 12-hour intervals were monitored with a
108 Professional Thermo-Hygrometer with data logger (TFA Dostmann Product#30.3039.IT).

109

110 Three technical replicates (N=3) of each test material were sampled at the following time points
111 post inoculation: T=1hr (point of drying), 4hrs, and at 1, 2, 3, 4, 7, 14 and 21 days. Virus was
112 recovered by elution in 1 mL of culture medium (DMEM + 2% FBS + 1% Pen-Strep) with rigorous
113 and repeated pipetting. Eluates were immediately 10-fold serially diluted for endpoint titration
114 in Vero E6 cells, using three biological replicates per dilution. Remaining volumes of eluates
115 were stored at -80°C for potential re-processing if required. Samples resulting in low-level
116 cytopathic effect (i.e., in only a single well at neat dilutions) underwent additional sub-passage
117 to confirm the presence of viable virus. Additionally, on the first instance where all technical
118 replicates of a given sample material resulted as negative, neat dilutions of all three biological
119 replicates underwent additional sub-passage to ensure capture of potentially low-level virus
120 not visually detected on the first passage. At the completion of the study, the full remaining
121 volume from each day 21 eluate was added to an individual well of a 6-well plate of Vero E6
122 cells and monitored for cytopathic effect to ensure even a single viable virus particle was
123 captured.

124

125 ***Statistical Analyses***

126

127 Graphical representations of TCID₅₀ results, including averages and standard deviations, were
128 performed using GraphPad Prism (version 7) software. Technical replicates with no recoverable
129 virus were assigned a value of zero for the purposes of these calculations.

130

131

132 **Results**

133

134 The environmental persistence of SARS-CoV-2 dried onto surfaces of commonly used PPE and
135 surfaces found in healthcare settings over a period of 21 days revealed dramatic differences in
136 virus stability. By 4 hours post-deposition on cotton, infectious SARS-CoV-2 virus was drastically
137 diminished, and no longer recoverable by 24 hours. Conversely, while reduced almost to the
138 limit of detection, SARS-CoV-2 remained viable for 21 days when dried on plastic and
139 particulate respirator procedural masks. Real-time monitoring of ambient conditions revealed a
140 steady temperature near 20°C with 35-40% relative humidity (**Figure 1**).

141

142 ***Persistence of SARS-CoV-2 on Non-Porous surfaces***

143

144 When a dried onto non-porous surfaces in an organic soil load SARS-CoV-2 showed sustained
145 persistence for a prolonged period of time. Viable SARS-CoV-2 was recovered after 21 days on
146 plastic, 14 days on stainless steel, 7 days on nitrile gloves and 4 days on chemical resistant
147 gloves, though at significantly reduced levels compared to the initial inoculum (**Table 2, Figure**
148 **2**).

149

150 Persistence data on stainless steel showed a decrease in viral titre from 5.74 to 4.39 log
151 TICD₅₀/ml after a 24 hours, representing a >95% decrease in viable virus. Sampling over a 21-
152 day time course revealed that SARS-CoV-2 remained viable on stainless steel for up to 14 days

153 at ambient conditions, though final titers of 0.7 Log TCID₅₀/ml were exponentially lower than
154 starting inoculum (**Figure 2A**). On plastic, a similar reduction in viable virus was observed by 24
155 hours of drying compared to initial inoculum (from 5.74 to 4.5 log TCID₅₀/ml). However, virus
156 dried on this surface remained viable through the final sampling point at 21 days post-
157 inoculation (**Figure 2B**).

158
159 Two glove types, representing those used in clinical and potential field hospital situations, were
160 also included for analysis. With nitrile gloves, no decrease in viable virus was observed after
161 four hours of drying, though by 24 hours a 2-log reduction in viral titer was recorded. At 7 days
162 post-inoculation, low levels of viable virus (mean 1.50 log TCID₅₀/ml) remained on all three
163 technical replicates (**Figure 2C**). Conversely, virus applied to the chemical protective gloves
164 showed a 2-log reduction in viability after only a single hour of drying, and a nearly 4-log
165 reduction to 1.58 log TCID₅₀/ml by 24 hours (**Figure 2D**).

166

167 ***Persistence of SARS-CoV-2 on Porous Materials***

168

169 Though reduced to almost undetectable levels, viable SARS-CoV-2 could be recovered from
170 inoculated N-95 (Mask 1) and N-100 (Mask 2) surface materials for up to 21 days. Decay
171 patterns of virus viability were comparable on the two masks; both showing a nearly 1 log
172 decrease from 24-48 hours, stable titres between 2-4 days, and a steady decline from days 7
173 through 21 (**Figure 2E&F**). On Tyvek, infectious SARS-CoV-2 persisted up to 14 days; again,

174 reduced to very low levels compared to the starting inoculum. Persistence patterns on this
175 material (**Figure 2G**) most closely resembled those for stainless steel.

176

177 Of all the materials tested, cotton provided the lowest environmental stability to SARS-CoV-2.

178 After only a single hour of drying, over 4 logs of viable virus were lost, representing a 99.995%

179 decrease from input inoculum. After four hours of drying, a further decrease was recorded and

180 only two of three technical replicates produced viable virus upon elution. By the 24 hour time

181 point and at all subsequent sampling days, no detectable SARS-CoV-2 remained on cotton

182 (**Figure 2H**).

183

184

185 **Discussion**

186

187 The COVID-19 pandemic has led to unprecedented burdens on healthcare facilities worldwide.

188 While respiratory droplets are considered an important mode of transmission, the role of

189 fomites in the spread of SARS-CoV-2, as suggested for SARS-CoV-1 (Xiao et al), is also suspected

190 (Ong et al). Our work represents the first comprehensive characterization of SARS-CoV-2

191 persistence on PPE materials and inanimate surfaces typical of healthcare facilities.

192

193 Viable SARS-CoV-2 was recovered after 21 days on plastic, 14 days on stainless steel, 7 days on

194 nitrile gloves and 4 days on chemical resistant gloves. Though reduced from baseline,

195 significant quantities of viable SARS-CoV-2 could be recovered from inoculated N-95 and N-100

196 masks at 14 days. When dried onto Tyvek, infectious SARS-CoV-2 persisted up to 14 days. Of all
197 the materials tested, cotton provided the lowest environmental stability to SARS-CoV-2. After
198 only a single hour of drying, over 4 logs of viable virus were lost, representing a 99.995%
199 decrease from input inoculum. These results have direct relevance to IPC practices, laundering
200 and waste handling protocols in healthcare settings.

201
202 The global shortage of N-95 masks has led healthcare centers worldwide to extend the usage of
203 these masks despite them being designed for single use (Ranney, 2020). Our results
204 demonstrate that this decision, in the absence of a decontamination strategy, may result in
205 persistence of SARS-CoV-2 on the mask. Persistence of other viruses, such as influenza,
206 Ebolavirus, and other coronaviruses, has been reported on experimentally-inoculated
207 respirators (Coulliette et al, 2013; Cook et al 2015, Casanova et al 2010b). While a number of
208 decontamination methodologies show promise for the re-use of N-95s (Fischer et al 2020;
209 Kumar et al 2020), our results suggest that careful attention must be paid to their collection
210 criteria and provision of appropriate risk-based PPE to staff involved in sorting and packaging of
211 these masks prior to decontamination.

212
213 Our finding that SARS-CoV-2 can remain viable for up to two weeks at room temperature on
214 Tyvek is novel and significant. Tyvek garments have been widely adopted in high-risk
215 healthcare, field, and laboratory settings due to unique tensile properties combined with
216 imperviousness to infectious agents. Viral persistence on Tyvek, combined with our
217 demonstration of viral persistence on plastic has implications for the re-use of Powered Air

218 Purifying Respirator hoods (PAPRs) in health care settings. Disinfection of these devices has
219 proven to be challenging (Lawrence et al 2017). These results highlight the need for risk-based
220 decision-making and implementation of appropriate decontamination protocols where re-use
221 of these critical PPE components is practiced.

222
223 The extremely limited viability of SARS-CoV-2 on cotton aligns with survival results of other
224 enveloped viruses on cotton (Cook et al, 2015; Tiwari et al, 2006; Bean et al 1982). Similar to
225 our results with SARS-CoV-2, the viability of SARS-CoV-1 when applied at 10^5 TCID₅₀/ml was
226 limited to 1 hour on a cotton gown compared to 24 hours on a disposable gown (Lai et al 2005).

227
228 The use of non-medical masks to limit the spread of transmissible respiratory diseases,
229 including COVID-19, has been contentious. While mounting evidence suggests that simple cloth
230 (cotton) masks would be effective in limiting SARS-CoV-2 (Howard et al, 2020), others have
231 questioned the efficacy of manufactured or home-made cloth masks to effectively prevent
232 release of viral particles from infected individuals (Bae et al 2020, Davies et al 2013). Our
233 observations in the present study are not intended for inference on the ability of cotton masks
234 to prevent aerosol transmission of SARS-CoV-2, nor do they address filtration efficacy of cotton
235 against the virus. However, the fact that virus viability is rapidly reduced on cotton exposure
236 has implications for droplet transmission for both the wearer and nearby contacts, and
237 complements the growing support of widespread cotton mask use. Further, these results
238 suggest that the use of cotton-based fabrics in healthcare settings may present a lower risk
239 during handling for subsequent decontamination and reuse.

240

241 The survival of SARS-Cov-2 on environmental surfaces has been described in two other studies
242 (Chin et al Lancet Microbe 2020; van Doremalen et al NEJM 2020). Our results are in agreement
243 with those reported, however viral persistence was significantly prolonged under our
244 experimental conditions. These discrepancies are likely due to a combination of different
245 ambient conditions, inoculum titres, and readout assay sensitivity.

246

247 The work of Chin and colleagues, using a 5 µl droplet of high titre stock virus (~7.8 log TCID₅₀
248 units/mL), most closely resembled our inoculation conditions. The reported 65% RH in their
249 study compared to ~40% RH under our experimental conditions is likely a significant contributor
250 to the reduction in viability over time. The detrimental effects of high relative humidity on
251 survival of other coronaviruses, including MERS and SARS-CoV-1, has been demonstrated (van
252 Doremalen et al 2013; Chan et al., 2011; Casanova et al 2010a). Nevertheless, the availability of
253 data specific to diverse environmental conditions is of importance to capture the realities at
254 different geographical locations.

255

256 In the report by Van Doremalen et al., viable SARS-CoV-2 persisted up to only 3 days on
257 stainless steel and plastic. While the reported ambient conditions more closely represented
258 ours, the titre of infectious SARS-CoV-2 applied to test surfaces was approximately 2 logs lower
259 than that applied in our study. The protective effect of high titre inoculum on environmental
260 persistence has been previously shown for SARS-CoV-1 (Lai et al 2005) and therefore the
261 extended survival observed under our experimental design is not surprising.

262

263 An additional factor differentiating the present work from other studies is the matrix in which
264 inocula were prepared. Contamination in a healthcare setting can occur through droplets from
265 an array of infectious biological fluids, therefore a standardized tripartite soil load (ASTM, 2002)
266 was included in our experimental inoculum. The added stability provided by matrices to viruses
267 under drying conditions has been demonstrated for many viruses, including SARS-CoV-1
268 (Rabenau et al, 2005; Sattar et al 2003, Terpstra et al 2007; Krug et al 2011). As neither of the
269 two recently published studies mention the use of a soil load for testing environmental stability,
270 this likely accounts for the extended viral persistence observed in our experimental setting.

271

272 The decision to use a high titre inoculum in our study was to represent a worst-case scenario of
273 SARS-CoV-2 persistence on a contaminated surface. Shedding of viral RNA from COVID-19
274 patients varies widely based on sample type, time post-symptom onset, severity of disease, and
275 on an individual basis (Zou et al 2020, Pan et al 2020). Clinical data from mild and severe cases
276 has revealed a range of data, with median initial viral loads of 5.11 and 6.17 \log_{10} copies of viral
277 RNA per ml in mild and severe cases, respectively (To et al 2020). In a critically ill patient, loads
278 of up to 1.34×10^{11} copies per mL were detected (Pan et al, 2020). Data on infectious virus shed
279 in excreta from acutely ill COVID-19 patients is lacking. However, in a recently published SARS-
280 CoV-2 pathogenesis study, peak viral loads of $>6 \log_{10}$ TCID₅₀/mL, corresponding to $11 \log_{10}$
281 TCID₅₀ RNA copies per mL were observed in the golden hamster model (Sia et al 2020). Taking
282 these data into consideration, our experimental model would more closely demonstrate
283 environmental contamination from a severe COVID-19 case.

284

285 A potential limitation of this study lies in testing being carried out in a high containment
286 laboratory, where 12 air exchanges per hour may represent a high air flow not necessarily
287 representative of most health facilities, homes and other environments. Additionally, the initial
288 hour of drying time under a biosafety cabinet would have accelerated the drying effect and may
289 have shortened the amount of time to killing of the virus. However, the revealed persistence of
290 up to 21 days was significant and may be the best-case scenario associated with high airflow
291 conditions that can occur in some health care environments.

292

293 Additionally, the implications of these results and those of others (Chin, Van Doremalen) on the
294 duration of potential fomite transmission of SARS-CoV-2 should be interpreted with caution
295 due to the sampling methodology utilized. Viral recovery was achieved by material saturation in
296 media, enabling recovery of extremely low levels of remaining virus. Had we employed a
297 swabbing method to more closely mimic a casual contact scenario, a decrease in sensitivity
298 would be expected and thus duration of viable virus detection may have been reduced. As a
299 result, the data generated represent a worst-case scenario for potential exposure through
300 these contaminated surfaces, though the risk of fomite transmission through casual contact can
301 only be inferred by the presence of infectious virus at a given time point.

302

303 Our findings suggest that SARS-CoV-2 can remain infectious on contaminated PPE for extended
304 periods under ambient environmental conditions. Conversely, they demonstrate that stability is
305 highly reduced on cotton even within hours of contamination, and encourage the use of 100%

306 cotton in infection prevention and control practices in healthcare settings and general use.
307 These results underline the importance of proper handling of personal protective equipment
308 during and following use in high-risk settings to minimize the likelihood of fomite transmission,
309 and may assist centers in developing guidelines and protocols relating to decontamination and
310 reuse of PPE in short supply.

311

312

313 **Acknowledgments**

314 We kindly thank Dr. Yohannes Berhane for his insightful review of this manuscript. The authors
315 declare no potential conflicts of interest.

316

317 **Data Availability**

318 Data and associated protocols can be made available to readers without undue qualifications in
319 material transfer agreements.

320

321

322 **References**

323

324 CEBM (Centre for Evidence-Based Medicine) [https://www.cebm.net/covid-19/covid-19-how-](https://www.cebm.net/covid-19/covid-19-how-many-healthcare-workers-are-infected/)
325 [many-healthcare-workers-are-infected/](https://www.cebm.net/covid-19/covid-19-how-many-healthcare-workers-are-infected/), published April 17 2020. Accessed April 29 2020.

326

327 CBC News. [https://www.cbc.ca/news/canada/toronto/health-care-workers-make-up-1-in-10-](https://www.cbc.ca/news/canada/toronto/health-care-workers-make-up-1-in-10-known-cases-of-covid-19-in-ontario-1.5518456)
328 [known-cases-of-covid-19-in-ontario-1.5518456](https://www.cbc.ca/news/canada/toronto/health-care-workers-make-up-1-in-10-known-cases-of-covid-19-in-ontario-1.5518456). Published Apr 02, 2020, Accessed May 1st 2020.
329
330 Johns Hopkins University. 2020. CORONAVIRUS RESOURCE CENTER.
331 <https://coronavirus.jhu.edu/> Published May 3rd, 2020. Accessed May 7th 2020.
332
333 ASTM International, 2002. Standard Quantitative Disk Carrier Test Method for Determining the
334 Bactericidal, Virucidal, Fungicidal, Mycobactericidal and Sporicidal Activities of Liquid Chemical
335 Germicides, Designation E2197-02, West Conshohocken, PA.
336
337 Sattar SA, Springthorpe VS, Adegbinrin O, Zafer AA, Busa M. 2003. A disc-based quantitative
338 carrier test method to assess the virucidal activity of chemical germicides. *J Virol Methods*.
339 112(1-2):3-12.
340
341 Bae S, Kim MC, Kim JY, Cha HH, Lim JS, Jung J, Kim MJ, Oh DK, Lee MK, Choi SH, Sung M, Hong
342 SB, Chung JW, Kim SH. 2020. Effectiveness of Surgical and Cotton Masks in Blocking SARS-CoV-
343 2: A Controlled Comparison in 4 Patients. *Ann Intern Med*. doi: 10.7326/M20-1342.
344
345 Davies A, Thompson KA, Giri K, Kafatos G, Walker J, Bennett A. 2013. Testing the efficacy of
346 homemade masks: would they protect in an influenza pandemic? *Disaster Med Public Health*
347 *Prep*. 7(4):413-8. doi: 10.1017/dmp.2013.43.
348

349 Howard, J.; Huang, A.; Li, Z.; Tufekci, Z.; Zdimal, V.; van der Westhuizen, H.; von Delft, A.; Price,
350 A.; Fridman, L.; Tang, L.; Tang, V.; Watson, G.L.; Bax, C.E.; Shaikh, R.; Questier, F.; Hernandez, D.;
351 Chu, L.F.; Ramirez, C.M.; Rimoin, A.W. Face Masks Against COVID-19: An Evidence Review.
352 Preprints 2020, 2020040203 (doi: 10.20944/preprints202004.0203.v1).

353

354 Cook BW, Cutts TA, Nikiforuk AM, Poliquin PG, Court DA, Strong JE, Theriault SS. 2015.
355 Evaluating environmental persistence and disinfection of the Ebola virus Makona variant.
356 *Viruses*. 7(4):1975-86. doi: 10.3390/v7041975.

357

358 Tiwari A, Patnayak DP, Chander Y, Parsad M, Goyal SM. 2006. Survival of two avian respiratory
359 viruses on porous and nonporous surfaces. *Avian Dis*. 50(2):284-7.

360

361 Bean B, Moore BM, Sterner B, Peterson LR, Gerding DN, Balfour HH Jr. 1982. Survival of
362 influenza viruses on environmental surfaces. *J Infect Dis*. 146(1):47-51.

363

364 Casanova LM, Jeon S, Rutala WA, Weber DJ, Sobsey MD. 2010. Effects of air temperature and
365 relative humidity on coronavirus survival on surfaces. *Appl Environ Microbiol*. 76(9):2712-7. doi:
366 10.1128/AEM.02291-09.

367

368 van Doremalen N, Bushmaker T, Munster VJ. 2013. Stability of Middle East respiratory
369 syndrome coronavirus (MERS-CoV) under different environmental conditions. *Euro Surveill*.
370 18(38). pii: 20590.

371

372 Casanova L, Rutala WA, Weber DJ, Sobsey MD. 2010b. Coronavirus survival on healthcare
373 personal protective equipment. *Infect Control Hosp Epidemiol.* 31(5):560-1. doi:
374 10.1086/652452.

375

376 Casanova L, Alfano-Sobsey E, Rutala WA, Weber DJ, Sobsey M. 2008. Virus transfer from
377 personal protective equipment to healthcare employees' skin and clothing. *Emerg Infect Dis.*
378 14(8):1291-3. doi: 10.3201/eid1408.080085.

379

380 Chan-Yeung M. 2004. Severe acute respiratory syndrome (SARS) and healthcare workers. *Int J*
381 *Occup Environ Health.* 10(4):421-7.

382

383 Anelli F, Leoni G, Monaco R, Nume C, Rossi RC, Marinoni G et al. 2020. Italian doctors call for
384 protecting healthcare workers and boosting community surveillance during covid-19 outbreak.
385 Letter. *BMJ*; 368 doi: <https://doi.org/10.1136/bmj.m1254> (Published 26 March 2020)

386

387 COVID-19: protecting health-care workers. Editorial. *Lancet.* 395(10228), P922.

388

389 van Doremalen N, Bushmaker T, Morris DH, Holbrook MG, Gamble A, Williamson BN, Tamin A,
390 Harcourt JL, Thornburg NJ, Gerber SI, Lloyd-Smith JO, de Wit E, Munster VJ. 2020. Aerosol and
391 Surface Stability of SARS-CoV-2 as Compared with SARS-CoV-1. *N Engl J Med.* 382(16):1564-
392 1567. doi: 10.1056/NEJMc2004973

393

394 Chin AWH, Julie T S Chu, Mahen R A Perera, Kenrie P Y Hui, Hui-Ling Yen, Michael C W Chan

395 Malik Peiris, Leo L M Poon. 2020. Stability of SARS-CoV-2 in different environmental conditions.

396 *Lancet Microbe*. DOI:[https://doi.org/10.1016/S2666-5247\(20\)30003-3](https://doi.org/10.1016/S2666-5247(20)30003-3)

397

398 Lai MY, Cheng PK, Lim WW. 2005. Survival of severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus.

399 *Clin Infect Dis*. 41(7):e67-71.

400

401 Reed LJ & Muench H. 1938. A simple method of estimating fifty per cent endpoints. *Amer. J.*

402 *Hyg.* 27, 493.

403

404 Lawrence C, Harnish DA, Sandoval-Powers M, Mills D, Bergman M, Heimbuch BK. 2017.

405 Assessment of half-mask elastomeric respirator and powered air-purifying respirator

406 reprocessing for an influenza pandemic. *Am J Infect Control*. 45(12):1324-1330. doi:

407 10.1016/j.ajic.2017.06.034.

408

409 To KK et al. 2020. Temporal profiles of viral load in posterior oropharyngeal saliva samples and

410 serum antibody responses during infection by SARS-CoV-2: an observational cohort study.

411 *Lancet Infect Dis*. pii: S1473-3099(20)30196-1. doi: 10.1016/S1473-3099(20)30196-1.

412

413 Pan Y, Zhang D, Yang P, Poon LLM, Wang Q. 2020. Viral load of SARS-CoV-2 in clinical samples.

414 *Lancet Infect Dis*. 20(4):411-412. doi: 10.1016/S1473-3099(20)30113-4.

415

416 Zou L, Ruan F, Huang M, Liang L, Huang H, Hong Z, Yu J, Kang M, Song Y, Xia J, Guo Q, Song T, He

417 J, Yen HL, Peiris M, Wu J. 2020. SARS-CoV-2 Viral Load in Upper Respiratory Specimens of

418 Infected Patients. *N Engl J Med*. 382(12):1177-1179. doi: 10.1056/NEJMc2001737.

419

420 Terpstra FG, van den Blink AE, Bos LM, Boots AG, Brinkhuis FH, Gijsen E, van Remmerden Y,

421 Schuitemaker H, van 't Wout AB. 2007. Resistance of surface-dried virus to common

422 disinfection procedures. *J. Hosp. Infect.* 66:332–338. 10.1016/j.jhin.2007.05.005.

423

424 Rabenau HF, Cinatl J, Morgenstern B, Bauer G, Preiser W, Doerr HW. 2005. Stability and

425 inactivation of SARS coronavirus. *Med Microbiol Immunol*. 194(1-2):1-6.

426

427 Krug PW1, Lee LJ, Eslami AC, Larson CR, Rodriguez L. 2011. Chemical disinfection of high-

428 consequence transboundary animal disease viruses on nonporous surfaces. *Biologicals*.

429 39(4):231-5. doi: 10.1016/j.biologicals.2011.06.016.

430

431 Ranney ML, Griffeth V, Jha, AK. 2020. Critical Supply Shortages — The Need for Ventilators and

432 Personal Protective Equipment during the Covid-19 Pandemic. *N Engl J Med*. 382:e41

433 DOI: 10.1056/NEJMp2006141.

434

435 Fischer R *et al*. 2020. Assessment of N95 respirator decontamination and re-use for SARS-CoV-2

436 medRxiv 2020.04.11.20062018; doi: <https://doi.org/10.1101/2020.04.11.20062018>

437

438 Kumar A *et al.* 2020. N95 Mask Decontamination using Standard Hospital Sterilization

439 Technologies. medRxiv 2020.04.05.20049346; doi:

440 <https://doi.org/10.1101/2020.04.05.20049346>

441

442 Ranney AD, Perry KA, Edwards JR, Noble-Wang JA. 2013. Persistence of the 2009 pandemic

443 influenza A (H1N1) virus on N95 respirators. *Appl Environ Microbiol.* 79(7):2148-55. doi:

444 [10.1128/AEM.03850-12](https://doi.org/10.1128/AEM.03850-12).

445

446 Sia SF *et al.* Pathogenesis and transmission of SARS-CoV-2 virus in golden Syrian hamsters.

447 *Nature Research.* DOI: [10.21203/rs.3.rs-20774/v1](https://doi.org/10.21203/rs.3.rs-20774/v1)

448

449

450 **List of Tables**

451

452 **Table 1.** Comprehensive descriptions of materials used for assessment of SARS-CoV-2

453 environmental persistence.

454

455 **Table 2.** Table 2. Recovery of viable SARS-CoV-2 on experimentally inoculated environmental

456 surfaces (Log₁₀ TCID₅₀/mL)

457

458

459

460 **List of Figures**

461

462 **Figure 1.** Ambient conditions recorded for the duration of the experiment. Temperature (°C)
463 and relative humidity (%RH) readings were logged at 12-hour intervals using a Professional
464 Thermo-Hygrometer with data logger.

465

466 **Figure 2.** Persistence of viable SARS-CoV-2 on experimentally inoculated surfaces. Materials
467 from eight experimental surfaces, including A) stainless steel, B) plastic, C) nitrile gloves, D)
468 chemical gloves, E) N95 mask, F) N100 mask, G) Tyvek, and H) cotton were inoculated with 10
469 μ l of SARS-CoV-2 (titre = 7.88 LogTCID₅₀/mL) in a tripartite soil load and sampled at
470 predetermined intervals over a 21 day time-course. All materials were sampled in triplicate
471 (n=3) at each experimental time point by elution in 1 mL culture medium, with viable virus
472 quantified by end-point titration in Vero E6 cells. Results represent mean \pm SD log TCID₅₀ values
473 of recovered virus from three technical replicates. Red dashed lines indicate limits of
474 quantification for the TCID₅₀ assay.

Table 1. Comprehensive descriptions of materials used for assessment of SARS-CoV-2 environmental persistence.

Test Material	Description	Manufacturer/Source	Porosity
Stainless Steel	Stainless Steel (10mm, AISI 430)	Klassen Manufacturing, Winnipeg Canada	Non-porous
Plastic face shield	Plastic face shield from PAPR Hood Assembly (BE-10L)	3M™, Saint Paul, Minnesota	Non-porous
Nitrile gloves	Nitrile Gloves (KC55081)	Kimberly-Clark Professional™, Irving, Texas	Non-porous
Chemical gloves	Chemical resistant, reinforced nitrile gloves (AlphaTec® 39-124)	Ansell, Melbourne, AUS	Non-porous
Tyvek	Tyvek® 400 Coveralls (TY125SWH)	DuPont™, Wilmington, Delaware	Porous
Mask 1	N95 Particulate Filter Respirator and Surgical Mask (KC46727)	Kimberly-Clark Professional™, Irving, Texas	Porous
Mask 2	N-100 Particulate Respirator (3M8233)	3M™, Saint Paul, Minnesota	Porous
Cotton	Heavy Cotton T Shirt	Fruit of the Loom, Bowling Green, Kentucky	Porous




Figure 1. Ambient conditions recorded for the duration of the experiment. Temperature (°C) and relative humidity (%RH) readings were logged at 12-hour intervals using a Professional Thermo-Hygrometer with data logger.




Figure 2. Persistence of viable SARS-CoV-2 on experimentally inoculated surfaces. Materials from eight experimental surfaces, including A) stainless steel, B) plastic, C) nitrile gloves, D) chemical gloves, E) N95 mask, F) N100 mask, G) Tyvek, and H) cotton were inoculated with 10 l of SARS-CoV-2 (titre = 7.88 LogTCID₅₀/mL) in a tripartite soil load and sampled at predetermined intervals over a 21 day time-course. All materials were sampled in triplicate (n=3) at each experimental time point by elution in 1 mL culture medium, with viable virus quantified by end-point titration in Vero E6 cells. Results represent mean + SD log TCID₅₀ values of recovered virus from three technical replicates. Red dashed lines indicate limits of quantification for the TCID₅₀ assay.

Table 2. Recovery of viable SARS-CoV-2 on experimentally inoculated environmental surfaces (Log₁₀ TCID₅₀/mL)

Sampling Time	Stainless steel		Tyvek		Plastic		Nitrile gloves		Chemical gloves		Mask 1		Mask 2		Cotton	
	Mean ^a	+/- SD	Mean	+/- SD	Mean	+/- SD	Mean	+/- SD	Mean	+/- SD	Mean	+/- SD	Mean	+/- SD	Mean	+/- SD
1 hr	5.58	0.14	5.50	0.00	5.75	0.66	5.92	0.29	3.42	2.01	5.75	0.50	5.75	0.25	1.42	0.14
4 hrs	5.25	0.50	5.17	0.52	5.92	0.63	5.67	0.52	2.25	1.30	5.50	0.25	5.17	0.14	1.08	0.95
1 day	4.39	0.13	4.50	0.43	4.50	0.25	3.67	0.14	1.58	0.14	4.67	0.14	4.58	0.80	-	-
2 days	3.58	0.14	3.58	0.14	3.75	0.43	3.00	0.66	1.92	0.29	3.75	0.00	3.58	0.38	-	-
3 days	3.00	0.00	3.33	0.14	3.42	0.14	2.67	0.14	1.58	0.14	3.50	0.25	3.33	0.29	-	-
4 days	3.33	0.14	3.00	0.25	3.42	0.14	3.25	1.09	1.75	0.43	3.75	0.43	3.33	0.29	-	-
7 days	2.42	0.29	2.00	0.25	2.00	0.43	1.50	0.25	-	-	2.33	0.38	2.33	0.38	-	-
14 days	0.75	0.66	0.67	0.63	1.01	0.24	-	-	-	-	1.26	0.42	1.17	0.37	-	-
21 days	-	-	-	-	0.26*	0.44	-	-	-	-	0.83*	0.76	0.26*	0.44	-	-

^a Mean TCID₅₀ values calculated from three independent technical replicates, each with triplicate biological replicates per dilution. Limit of detection was Log(0.767) TCID₅₀/mL

(-) = no CPE detected in any biological replicate at any dilution of eluted material.

* presence of viable virus confirmed upon subpassage